## On bounded solutions of a problem of R. Schilling

## Janusz Morawiec

Abstract. It is proved that if

 $0 < q \leq (1 - \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4})/3,$ 

then the zero function is the only solution  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of (1) satisfying (2) and bounded in a neighbourhood of at least one point of the set (3).

The paper concerns bounded solutions  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of the functional equation

(1) 
$$
f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q} [f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)]
$$

such that

(2) 
$$
f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > Q
$$

where  $q$  is a fixed number from the open interval  $(0, 1)$  and

$$
Q = \frac{q}{1-q}.
$$

In what follows any solution  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  of (1) satisfying (2) will be called a solution of Schilling's problem. In the present paper we are interested in bounded solutions of Schilling's problem. The first theorem in this direction was obtained by K.Baron in [1]. This theorem reads as follows:

If  $q \in (0, \sqrt{2}-1]$ , then the zero function is the only solution of Schilling's problem which is bounded in a neighbourhood of the origin.

This paper generalizes the above theorem in two directions. Namely, the interval  $(0, \sqrt{2} - 1]$  is replased by the larger one

<sup>0</sup>AMS (1991) subject classification: Primary 39B12, 39B22.

 $(0, \frac{1}{3} \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3}$  $\frac{\sqrt{4}}{3}$  and instead of the boundedness in a neighbourhood of the origin we have boundedness in a neighbourhood of at least one point of the set

(3) 
$$
\left\{\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i \ : \ n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, +\infty\}, \ \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}\right\}.
$$

(To simplify formulas we adopt the convention  $\sum_{i=1}^{0} a_i = 0$  for all real sequences  $(a_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ .) In other words, we shall prove the following.

Theorem. If

(4) 
$$
0 < q \leq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3},
$$

then the zero function is the only solution of Schilling's problem which is bounded in a neighbourhood of at least one point of the set (3).

The proof of this theorem is based on two lemmas. However, we start with the following simple remarks.

**Remark 1.** If f is a solution of Schilling's problem then so is the function  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by the formula  $g(x) = f(-x)$ .

Remark 2. Assume that f is a solution of Schilling's problem.

(i) If  $q \neq \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}$ , then  $f(Q) = 0$ . If  $q = \frac{1}{4}$  $\frac{1}{4}$ , then  $f(Q) = 0$  iff  $f(qQ) = 0.$ 

(ii) If  $q < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $f(0) = 0$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to put in (1):  $x = Q/q$ ,  $x = Q$  and  $x = 0$ , respectively, and to use condition (2).

**Lemma 1.** Assume  $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). If a solution of Schilling's problem vanishes either on the interval  $(-q, 0)$  or on the interval  $(0, q)$ , then it vanishes everywhere.

**Proof.** Let f be a solution of Schilling's problem vanishing on the interval  $[0, q)$ . We shall prove that f vanishes on the interval [0, Q]. Define a sequence of sets  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  by the formula:

$$
A_n = [ 0 , \sum_{i=1}^n q^i ).
$$

Fix a positive integer n and suppose that f vanishes on the set  $A_n$ . We shall show that f vanishes also on the set  $A_{n+1}$ . To this end fix an  $x_0 \in A_{n+1} \setminus A_n$ . Putting  $x = x_0/q$  into (1) and taking into account that  $x - 1 \in A_n$ , whereas  $x + 1 > x > 1 > Q$  we get

(5) 
$$
f(x_0) = \frac{1}{4q} [f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)] = 0.
$$

Consequently f vanishes on the set  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  which equals to  $[0, Q)$ . This and Remark 2 (i) show that f vanishes on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Hence and from  $(1)$  we infer that f vanishes everywhere.

The case of the interval  $(-q, 0)$  reduces to the previous one by Remark 1.

**Lemma 2.** Assume  $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  $\frac{1}{2}$ ). If f is a solution of Schilling's problem, then

(6) 
$$
f(q^{m+n}x + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{i}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^{m+n} f(x)
$$

for all  $x \in (Q-1, 1-Q)$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1,1\}$ , and for all nonnegative integers m and n.

**Proof.** Fix an  $x_0 \in (Q - 1, 1 - Q)$ . First we shall show that

(7) 
$$
f(q^m x_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^m f(x_0)
$$

for all non-negative integers m. Of course (7) holds for  $m = 0$ . Suppose that (7) holds for an m. Putting  $x = q^m x_0$  into (1) and using  $(2)$  and  $(7)$  we have

$$
f(q^{m+1}x_0) = \frac{1}{4q} [f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)] = \frac{1}{2q} f(x)
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^{m+1} f(x_0).
$$

This proves that  $(7)$  holds for all non-negative integers m.

Fix now a non-negative integer n and suppose that  $(6)$  is satisfied for all  $x \in (Q-1, 1-Q)$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and for all non-negative

integers m. Putting  $x = q^{m+n}x_0 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i + \varepsilon$  into (1) and applying (2) and (6) with  $x = x_0$  we obtain

$$
f(q^{m+n+1}x_0 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} q^i) = f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q} [f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{4q} f(x-\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^{m+n+1} f(x_0).
$$

The proof is completed.

Now we pass to the proof of the main theorem.

**Proof of the theorem.** It follows from (4) that  $q < 1/2$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, +\infty\}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that a solution f of Schilling's problem is bounded in a neighbourhood of  $\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i$ . We may (and we do) assume that  $n$  is finite.

If  $|x| < 1 - Q$  is fixed, then the left-hand-side of (6) is bounded with respect to m whereas  $\lim_{m\to\infty}(1/2q)^{m+n} = +\infty$ . This shows that

(8) 
$$
f(x) = 0
$$
 for  $|x| < 1 - Q$ .

Consider two cases:

(i) 
$$
q \leq \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

and

(ii)  $\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} < q \le \frac{1}{3}$  $\frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3}$  $\frac{74}{3}$ .

In the case (i) we have  $q \leq 1 - Q$  which jointly with (8) and Lemma 1 gives  $f = 0$ .

So we assume now that (ii) holds. First we notice that putting  $x = 1 - Q$  into (1) and applying (8), Remarks 1 and 2 (i) and (2) we get

$$
0 = f(q(1 - Q)) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(-Q) + f(2 - Q) + 2f(1 - Q)] = \frac{1}{2q}f(1 - Q).
$$

Hence, from (8) and Remark 1 we obtain

(9) 
$$
f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \leq 1 - Q.
$$

Fix an  $x_0 \in [qQ, q(2 - Q)]$ . Putting  $x = x_0/q$  into (1) and using  $(9)$ ,  $(2)$  and Remark 2 we have  $(5)$ . Similarly  $(cf.$  Remark 1),  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in [-q(2 - Q), -qQ]$ . Consequently, (10)  $f(x) = 0$  whenever  $qQ \le |x| \le q(2 - Q)$ .

Now we fix an  $x_0 \in [q - q^2(2 - Q), q^2(2 - Q)]$ . Putting  $x = x_0/q$ into (1), taking into account the inequality  $qQ < 1 - q(2 - Q)$  and applying (10) and (2) we obtain (5) once again. Similarly  $f(x) = 0$ for  $x \in [-q^2(2 - Q), -q + q^2(2 - Q)]$  and so

(11) 
$$
f(x) = 0
$$
 whenever  $q - q^2(2 - Q) \le |x| \le q^2(2 - Q)$ .

As the function  $3t^3 - 3t^2 + 3t - 1$  increases and vanishes at  $(1 - \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4})/3$ , we have

(12) 
$$
q - q^2(2 - Q) \le 1 - Q.
$$

Relations  $(9)$ ,  $(12)$  and  $(11)$  give

(13) 
$$
f(x) = 0
$$
 for  $|x| \le q^2(2 - Q)$ .

Now let us fix an  $x_0 \in [1 - q(2 - Q), 1 - qQ]$ . Putting  $x = x_0 - 1$ into  $(1)$  and using  $(13)$ ,  $(2)$  and  $(10)$  we have

(14) 
$$
0 = f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q} [f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)] = \frac{1}{4q} f(x_0).
$$

So we obtain

(15) 
$$
f(x) = 0
$$
 whenever  $1 - q(2 - Q) \le x \le 1 - qQ$ .

Since (cf. (12))  $q + q^2 Q < 1 - qQ$  and  $1 - q(2 - Q) < q(2 - Q)$ , (15) proves that

(16) 
$$
f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad q(2 - Q) \le x \le q + q^2 Q.
$$

Finally assume that  $1 - Q \le x_0 \le qQ$ . Putting  $x = x_0 + 1$  into  $(1)$  and using  $(16)$  and  $(2)$  we see that  $(14)$  holds. Hence

$$
f(x) = 0 \qquad \text{whenever} \quad 1 - Q \le x \le qQ,
$$

which jointly with  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  gives

$$
f(x) = 0 \qquad \text{whenever} \quad 0 \le x \le q(2 - Q).
$$

In particular, since  $q < q(2 - Q)$ , f vanishes on the interval  $(0, q)$ . This jointly with Lemma 1 completes the proof.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by the Silesian University Mathematics Department (Iterative Functional Equations program).

## Reference

[1] K. Baron, On a problem of R.Schilling. Berichte der Mathematisch-statistischen Sektion in der Forschungsgesellschaft Joanneum–Graz, Bericht Nr. 286 (1988).

Instytut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Śląski, ul. Bankowa 14, PL-40-007 Katowice