

# On bounded solutions of a problem of R. Schilling

Janusz Morawiec

**Abstract.** It is proved that if

$$0 < q \leq (1 - \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4})/3,$$

then the zero function is the only solution  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of (1) satisfying (2) and bounded in a neighbourhood of at least one point of the set (3).

The paper concerns bounded solutions  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)]$$

such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| > Q$$

where  $q$  is a fixed number from the open interval  $(0, 1)$  and

$$Q = \frac{q}{1-q}.$$

In what follows any solution  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of (1) satisfying (2) will be called a *solution of Schilling's problem*. In the present paper we are interested in bounded solutions of Schilling's problem. The first theorem in this direction was obtained by K. Baron in [1]. This theorem reads as follows:

*If  $q \in (0, \sqrt{2} - 1]$ , then the zero function is the only solution of Schilling's problem which is bounded in a neighbourhood of the origin.*

This paper generalizes the above theorem in two directions. Namely, the interval  $(0, \sqrt{2} - 1]$  is replaced by the larger one

---

<sup>0</sup>AMS (1991) subject classification: Primary 39B12, 39B22.

$(0, \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3}]$  and instead of the boundedness in a neighbourhood of the origin we have boundedness in a neighbourhood of at least one point of the set

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i \quad : \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, +\infty\}, \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\} \right\}.$$

(To simplify formulas we adopt the convention  $\sum_{i=1}^0 a_i = 0$  for all real sequences  $(a_i : i \in \mathbb{N})$ .) In other words, we shall prove the following.

**Theorem.** *If*

$$(4) \quad 0 < q \leq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3},$$

*then the zero function is the only solution of Schilling's problem which is bounded in a neighbourhood of at least one point of the set (3).*

The proof of this theorem is based on two lemmas. However, we start with the following simple remarks.

**Remark 1.** *If  $f$  is a solution of Schilling's problem then so is the function  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the formula  $g(x) = f(-x)$ .*

**Remark 2.** *Assume that  $f$  is a solution of Schilling's problem.*

(i) *If  $q \neq \frac{1}{4}$ , then  $f(Q) = 0$ . If  $q = \frac{1}{4}$ , then  $f(Q) = 0$  iff  $f(qQ) = 0$ .*

(ii) *If  $q < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $f(0) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** It is enough to put in (1):  $x = Q/q$ ,  $x = Q$  and  $x = 0$ , respectively, and to use condition (2).

**Lemma 1.** *Assume  $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . If a solution of Schilling's problem vanishes either on the interval  $(-q, 0)$  or on the interval  $(0, q)$ , then it vanishes everywhere.*

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a solution of Schilling's problem vanishing on the interval  $[0, q)$ . We shall prove that  $f$  vanishes on the interval  $[0, Q)$ . Define a sequence of sets  $(A_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  by the formula:

$$A_n = [0, \sum_{i=1}^n q^i).$$

Fix a positive integer  $n$  and suppose that  $f$  vanishes on the set  $A_n$ . We shall show that  $f$  vanishes also on the set  $A_{n+1}$ . To this end fix an  $x_0 \in A_{n+1} \setminus A_n$ . Putting  $x = x_0/q$  into (1) and taking into account that  $x - 1 \in A_n$ , whereas  $x + 1 > x > 1 > Q$  we get

$$(5) \quad f(x_0) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(x - 1) + f(x + 1) + 2f(x)] = 0.$$

Consequently  $f$  vanishes on the set  $\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  which equals to  $[0, Q)$ . This and Remark 2 (i) show that  $f$  vanishes on  $[0, +\infty)$ . Hence and from (1) we infer that  $f$  vanishes everywhere.

The case of the interval  $(-q, 0)$  reduces to the previous one by Remark 1.

**Lemma 2.** *Assume  $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . If  $f$  is a solution of Schilling's problem, then*

$$(6) \quad f(q^{m+n}x + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^{m+n} f(x)$$

for all  $x \in (Q - 1, 1 - Q)$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and for all non-negative integers  $m$  and  $n$ .

**Proof.** Fix an  $x_0 \in (Q - 1, 1 - Q)$ . First we shall show that

$$(7) \quad f(q^m x_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^m f(x_0)$$

for all non-negative integers  $m$ . Of course (7) holds for  $m = 0$ . Suppose that (7) holds for an  $m$ . Putting  $x = q^m x_0$  into (1) and using (2) and (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(q^{m+1}x_0) &= \frac{1}{4q}[f(x - 1) + f(x + 1) + 2f(x)] = \frac{1}{2q}f(x) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^{m+1} f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (7) holds for all non-negative integers  $m$ .

Fix now a non-negative integer  $n$  and suppose that (6) is satisfied for all  $x \in (Q - 1, 1 - Q)$ , for all  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and for all non-negative

integers  $m$ . Putting  $x = q^{m+n}x_0 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i + \varepsilon$  into (1) and applying (2) and (6) with  $x = x_0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(q^{m+n+1}x_0 + \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} q^i) &= f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(x-1) + f(x+1) + 2f(x)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4q}f(x-\varepsilon) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2q}\right)^{m+n+1} f(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.

Now we pass to the proof of the main theorem.

**Proof of the theorem.** It follows from (4) that  $q < 1/2$ .

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, +\infty\}$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that a solution  $f$  of Schilling's problem is bounded in a neighbourhood of  $\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^n q^i$ . We may (and we do) assume that  $n$  is finite.

If  $|x| < 1 - Q$  is fixed, then the left-hand-side of (6) is bounded with respect to  $m$  whereas  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1/2q)^{m+n} = +\infty$ . This shows that

$$(8) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| < 1 - Q.$$

Consider two cases:

$$(i) \quad q \leq \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2} < q \leq \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\sqrt[3]{2}}{3} + \frac{\sqrt[3]{4}}{3}.$$

In the case (i) we have  $q \leq 1 - Q$  which jointly with (8) and Lemma 1 gives  $f = 0$ .

So we assume now that (ii) holds. First we notice that putting  $x = 1 - Q$  into (1) and applying (8), Remarks 1 and 2 (i) and (2) we get

$$0 = f(q(1-Q)) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(-Q) + f(2-Q) + 2f(1-Q)] = \frac{1}{2q}f(1-Q).$$

Hence, from (8) and Remark 1 we obtain

$$(9) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \leq 1 - Q.$$

Fix an  $x_0 \in [qQ, q(2-Q)]$ . Putting  $x = x_0/q$  into (1) and using (9), (2) and Remark 2 we have (5). Similarly (cf. Remark 1),

$f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in [-q(2 - Q), -qQ]$ . Consequently,

$$(10) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad qQ \leq |x| \leq q(2 - Q).$$

Now we fix an  $x_0 \in [q - q^2(2 - Q), q^2(2 - Q)]$ . Putting  $x = x_0/q$  into (1), taking into account the inequality  $qQ < 1 - q(2 - Q)$  and applying (10) and (2) we obtain (5) once again. Similarly  $f(x) = 0$  for  $x \in [-q^2(2 - Q), -q + q^2(2 - Q)]$  and so

$$(11) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad q - q^2(2 - Q) \leq |x| \leq q^2(2 - Q).$$

As the function  $3t^3 - 3t^2 + 3t - 1$  increases and vanishes at  $(1 - \sqrt[3]{2} + \sqrt[3]{4})/3$ , we have

$$(12) \quad q - q^2(2 - Q) \leq 1 - Q.$$

Relations (9), (12) and (11) give

$$(13) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |x| \leq q^2(2 - Q).$$

Now let us fix an  $x_0 \in [1 - q(2 - Q), 1 - qQ]$ . Putting  $x = x_0 - 1$  into (1) and using (13), (2) and (10) we have

$$(14) \quad 0 = f(qx) = \frac{1}{4q}[f(x - 1) + f(x + 1) + 2f(x)] = \frac{1}{4q}f(x_0).$$

So we obtain

$$(15) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad 1 - q(2 - Q) \leq x \leq 1 - qQ.$$

Since (cf. (12))  $q + q^2Q < 1 - qQ$  and  $1 - q(2 - Q) < q(2 - Q)$ , (15) proves that

$$(16) \quad f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad q(2 - Q) \leq x \leq q + q^2Q.$$

Finally assume that  $1 - Q \leq x_0 \leq qQ$ . Putting  $x = x_0 + 1$  into (1) and using (16) and (2) we see that (14) holds. Hence

$$f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad 1 - Q \leq x \leq qQ,$$

which jointly with (9) and (10) gives

$$f(x) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad 0 \leq x \leq q(2 - Q).$$

In particular, since  $q < q(2 - Q)$ ,  $f$  vanishes on the interval  $(0, q)$ . This jointly with Lemma 1 completes the proof.

**Acknowledgement.** This research was supported by the Silesian University Mathematics Department (Iterative Functional Equations program).

## Reference

- [1] K. Baron, *On a problem of R.Schilling*. Berichte der Mathematisch-statistischen Sektion in der Forschungsgesellschaft Joanneum–Graz, Bericht Nr. 286 (1988).

Instytut Matematyki,  
Uniwersytet Śląski,  
ul. Bankowa 14,  
PL-40-007 Katowice