

# GEOMETRICAL REPRESENTATIONS OF EQUIAFFINE CURVATURE OPERATORS

P. GILKEY AND S. NIKČEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We examine geometric representability results for various classes of equiaffine curvature operators.

This is dedicated to Professor Udo Simon

**1. Introduction.** Curvature is a fundamental object of study in differential geometry. It is often convenient to work first in an algebraic context and then pass to the geometric setting subsequently. In this paper, we shall discuss questions of geometric representability in the affine setting. Here is a brief outline to the paper. In Section 2, we establish notational conventions. In Section 3, we show any equiaffine algebraic curvature operator arises from an equiaffine connection. In Section 4, we discuss the decomposition of the space of equiaffine algebraic curvature operators into two summands which are irreducible under the natural action of the general group in dimension  $m \geq 3$ . This gives rise to two additional geometric representation questions. In Section 5, we discuss the Weyl projective curvature tensor and show any projectively flat equiaffine algebraic curvature operator arises from a projectively flat equiaffine connection. In Section 6, we show every Ricci flat equiaffine algebraic curvature operator is geometrically representable by a Ricci flat equiaffine connection.

Throughout this paper, we will be using results from affine differential geometry; [8] is an excellent reference for this material which contains a more complete bibliography than we can present here. We also refer to [1] for a discussion of associated representation theory discussed in Section 4. We adopt the *Einstein convention* and sum over repeated indices. All connections are assumed to be torsion free connections on the tangent bundle  $TM$  of a smooth manifold  $M$ . A connection is equiaffine if it locally admits a parallel volume form or, equivalently (see Lemma 2), the associated Ricci tensor is symmetric.

**2. Torsion free algebraic curvature operators.** Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \otimes^2 V^* \otimes \text{End}(V)$  be an *algebraic curvature operator*, i.e.  $\mathcal{A}$  has the symmetries of the curvature operator defined by a torsion free connection:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{A}(x, y)z = -\mathcal{A}(y, x)z \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}(x, y)z + \mathcal{A}(y, z)x + \mathcal{A}(z, x)y = 0.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}(V)$  be the vector space of all such operators; see for example the discussion in [2]. In the geometrical setting, let  $\nabla$  be a torsion free connection on  $TM$ . If  $P \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_P^\nabla$  be the associated curvature operator;  $\mathcal{R}_P^\nabla \in \mathfrak{A}(T_P M)$  for any  $P \in M$ . We have the following representability theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(V)$ , then there is a torsion free connection  $\nabla$  on  $T(V)$  so that  $\mathcal{R}_0^\nabla = \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Although this result is well known, we include the proof as we shall need the construction subsequently. Fix a basis  $\{e_i\}$  for  $V$ . Expand  $\mathcal{A}(e_i, e_j)e_k = A_{ijk}{}^\ell e_\ell$ .

---

*Key words and phrases.* algebraic curvature operator, equiaffine, projectively flat, Ricci symmetric, Ricci flat, Weyl projective curvature.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53B20.

If  $v \in V$ , expand  $v = x^i e_i$  where  $\{x^i\}$  are the dual coordinates on  $V$ . Define the Christoffel symbols of a connection  $\nabla$  on  $T(V)$  by setting:

$$(2) \quad \Gamma_{uv}{}^l = \frac{1}{3}(A_{wuv}{}^l + A_{wvu}{}^l)x^w.$$

Since  $\Gamma_{uv}{}^l = \Gamma_{vu}{}^l$ ,  $\nabla$  is torsion free. Since  $\Gamma(0) = 0$ , we use the curvature symmetries to complete the proof by computing:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_0^\nabla(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j})\partial_{x_k} &= \{\partial_{x_i}\Gamma_{jk}{}^l - \partial_{x_j}\Gamma_{ik}{}^l\}(0)\partial_{x_l} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\{A_{ijk}{}^l + A_{ikj}{}^l - A_{jik}{}^l - A_{jki}{}^l\}\partial_{x_l} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\{A_{ijk}{}^l - A_{kij}{}^l + A_{ijl}{}^k - A_{jli}{}^k\}\partial_{x_l} = A_{ijk}{}^l\partial_{x_l}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 shows that every algebraic curvature operator is *geometrically representable*. Thus there are no universal symmetries for the curvature operator of a torsion free connection other than those given above in Equation (1).

**3. Equiaffine curvature operators.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(V)$ ; the *Ricci tensor* is defined by:

$$\rho(x, y) := \text{Tr}\{z \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(z, x)y\}.$$

We say a curvature operator is an *equiaffine curvature operator* or equivalently is a *Ricci symmetric curvature operator* if  $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$  for all  $x, y$ . We let  $\mathfrak{F}(V) \subset \mathfrak{A}(V)$  be the set of all such operators. Such operators play a central role in many settings – see, for example, the discussion in [3, 4, 5, 6].

The following geometric observation will play a crucial role in our analysis and justifies the use of the terminology *equiaffine curvature operators*; we refer to [1, 8] for further details.

**Lemma 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a torsion free connection  $\nabla$ :*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{O}$  is a coordinate chart, let  $\omega = \omega_{\mathcal{O}} := \Gamma_{ij}{}^j dx^i$ . Then  $d\omega = 0$ .*
- (2) *One has that  $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{R}) = 0$ .*
- (3) *The connection  $\nabla$  is Ricci symmetric.*
- (4) *The connection  $\nabla$  locally admits a parallel volume form.*

*Proof.* Although this result is well known (see, for example, [7] page 99), we present the proof as it is elementary and central to our development. By Equation (1),

$$(4) \quad \text{Tr}\{\mathcal{R}(x, y) - \rho(y, x) + \rho(x, y)\} = 0.$$

The equivalence of Assertions (2) and (3) now follows. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijk}{}^l\partial_{x_\ell} &= \nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\nabla_{\partial_{x_j}}\partial_{x_k} - \nabla_{\partial_{x_j}}\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\partial_{x_k} \\ &= \{\partial_{x_i}\Gamma_{jk}{}^\ell - \partial_{x_j}\Gamma_{ik}{}^\ell + \Gamma_{in}{}^\ell\Gamma_{jk}{}^n - \Gamma_{jn}{}^\ell\Gamma_{ik}{}^n\}\partial_{x_\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

We show that Assertions (1) and (2) are equivalent by computing:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{\mathcal{R}_{ij}\}dx^i \wedge dx^j &= \{\partial_{x_i}\Gamma_{jk}{}^k - \partial_{x_j}\Gamma_{ik}{}^k + \Gamma_{in}{}^\ell\Gamma_{jl}{}^n - \Gamma_{jn}{}^\ell\Gamma_{il}{}^n\}dx^i \wedge dx^j \\ &= \{\partial_{x_i}\Gamma_{jk}{}^k - \partial_{x_j}\Gamma_{ik}{}^k\}dx^i \wedge dx^j = d\{\Gamma_{ij}{}^j dx^i\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\nabla_{\partial_{x_i}}\{e^\Phi dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m\} = \{\partial_{x_i}\Phi - \sum_k \Gamma_{ik}{}^k\}e^\Phi dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^m\}.$$

Thus there exists a parallel volume form on  $\mathcal{O}$  if and only if  $\Gamma_{ik}{}^k dx^i$  is exact. As every closed 1-form is locally exact, Assertions (1) and (4) are equivalent.  $\square$

We have the following representability theorem in this context:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ . Then there exists an equiaffine connection  $\nabla$  on  $T(V)$  so that  $\mathcal{R}_0^\nabla = \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\nabla$  be defined by Equation (2);  $\mathcal{R}_0^\nabla = \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$ ,  $A_{ijk}{}^k = 0$  by Equation (4). We use Lemma 2 to show  $\nabla$  is equiaffine by computing:

$$d\omega = d\Gamma_{ik}{}^k dx^i = \frac{1}{3}(A_{lik}{}^k + A_{lki}{}^k)dx^l \wedge dx^i = -\frac{1}{3}\rho_{li}dx^l \wedge dx^i = 0. \quad \square$$

**4. Representation theory.** Results of [1] show that there is a  $\text{Gl}(V)$  equivariant short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\rho) \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho} S^2(V^*) \rightarrow 0.$$

which is  $\text{Gl}(V)$  equivariantly split into two irreducible inequivalent  $\text{Gl}(V)$  summands. Thus we have:

$$(5) \quad \mathfrak{F}(V) = S^2(V^*) \oplus \ker(\rho) \quad \text{as a } \text{Gl}(V) \text{ module.}$$

If  $m = 2$ , then  $\ker(\rho) = \{0\}$  so we shall assume  $m \geq 3$  henceforth. The associated projection of  $\mathfrak{F}(V)$  on  $\ker(\rho)$  is provided by the *Weyl projective curvature operator*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}}(x, y)z := \mathcal{A}(x, y)z - \frac{1}{m-1}\{\rho(y, z)x - \rho(x, z)y\}.$$

Following [8], we say that  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$  is *projectively flat* if  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ , i.e. if  $\mathcal{A}$  belongs to the summand  $S^2(V^*)$ . The decomposition of Equation (5) gives rise to two additional natural questions which we shall answer affirmatively Sections 5 and 6:

- (1) Is every equiaffine algebraic curvature operator  $\mathcal{A}$  which is projectively flat representable by a equiaffine connection which is projectively flat?
- (2) Is every Ricci flat algebraic curvature operator representable by a Ricci flat torsion free connection?

**5. The Weyl projective curvature operator.** Two connections  $\nabla$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  are said to be *projectively equivalent* if there is a 1-form  $\theta$  so

$$(6) \quad \nabla_x y - \bar{\nabla}_x y = \theta(x)y + \theta(y)x.$$

The unparametrized geodesics of  $\nabla$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  coincide if and only if the two connections are projectively equivalent. Furthermore, if  $\nabla$  and  $\bar{\nabla}$  are projectively equivalent, then they have the same Weyl projective curvature tensors. A connection is said to be *projectively flat* if it is projectively equivalent to a flat connection or equivalently if  $\mathcal{P}_{\nabla}$  vanishes identically (Weyl) or if there exist a local frames  $s = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$  on a neighborhood of any point so that  $\nabla_{x_i} x_j = \theta(x_i)x_j + \theta(x_j)x_i$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$  be projectively flat. Then there exists an equiaffine projectively flat connection  $\nabla$  on  $T(V)$  so  $\mathcal{R}_0^{\nabla} = \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\theta = \theta_i dx^i$  be a closed smooth 1-form on  $V$  which vanishes at the origin. Motivated by Equation (6), we define a projectively flat torsion free connection  $\nabla^{\theta}$  by setting  $\Gamma_{ij}^k = \theta_i \delta_j^k + \theta_j \delta_i^k$ . Since  $\omega := \Gamma_{ik}^k dx^i = (m+1)\theta$ ,  $d\omega = 0$  and hence  $\nabla^{\theta}$  is equiaffine. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijk}^l(0) &= \{\partial_{x_i} \Gamma_{jk}^l - \partial_{x_j} \Gamma_{ik}^l\}(0) \\ &= \{(\partial_{x_i} \theta_j - \partial_{x_j} \theta_i) \delta_k^l + \partial_{x_i} \theta_k \delta_j^l - \partial_{x_j} \theta_k \delta_i^l\}(0) = \{\partial_{x_k} (\theta_i \delta_j^l - \theta_j \delta_i^l)\}(0) \\ \rho_{uv} &= (1-m) \partial_{x_u} \theta_v = (1-m) \partial_{x_v} \theta_u. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose given  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$  which is projectively flat. Let  $f(x) := \frac{1}{2(1-m)} \rho_{\mathcal{A}, ij} x^i x^j$  and let  $\theta := \frac{1}{1-m} df$ . One will then have  $\rho_{\mathcal{R}}(0) = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\nabla^{\theta}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  are projectively flat, the full tensors agree, i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(0) = \mathcal{A}$  as desired.  $\square$

**6. Ricci flat curvature operators.** We say that  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{A}(V)$  is *Ricci flat* if  $\rho = 0$ ; such an operator is then necessarily equiaffine. Similarly a torsion free connection  $\nabla$  is said to be Ricci flat if  $\mathcal{R}_P$  is Ricci flat for all  $P \in M$ ; such a  $\nabla$  is then necessarily equiaffine. We have a representability theorem in this final context as well; we may suppose  $m \geq 3$  since any Ricci flat connection is flat if  $m = 2$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{F}(V)$  be Ricci flat. There exists the germ of a real analytic torsion free Ricci flat connection  $\nabla$  on  $T(V)$  with  $\mathcal{R}_0^{\nabla} = \mathcal{A}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\nabla$  be a torsion free connection. Set  $\omega := \Gamma_{ij}^j dx^i$ . If  $\omega = 0$ , then

$$(7) \quad \rho_{jk} = \partial_{x_l} \Gamma_{jk}^l - \partial_{x_j} \Gamma_{lk}^l + \Gamma_{ln}^l \Gamma_{jk}^n - \Gamma_{jn}^l \Gamma_{lk}^n = \partial_{x_l} \Gamma_{jk}^l - \Gamma_{jn}^l \Gamma_{lk}^n.$$

For each pair of indices  $\{i, j\}$ , not necessarily distinct, choose an index  $k_{ij} = k_{ji}$  which is distinct from  $i$  and from  $j$ . If  $\Theta$  is a polynomial, let

$$(8) \quad \left(\int_k \Theta\right)(x) := x^k \int_0^1 \Theta(x^1, \dots, x^{k-1}, tx^k, x^{k+1}, \dots, x^m) dt$$

be the indefinite integral. We then have that

$$(9) \quad \partial_{x_k} \int_k \Theta = \Theta,$$

$$(10) \quad |\Theta(x)| \leq C|x|^\mu \text{ for } |x| \leq \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \left|\int_k \Theta(x)\right| \leq C|x|^{\mu+1} \text{ for } |x| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We suppose  $\nabla$  is defined by the connection 1-form

$$(11) \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 + \dots + \Gamma_{2\nu-1} + \dots$$

where the  $\Gamma_{2\nu-1}$  and  $\Theta_{2\nu}$  are the polynomials defined recursively by the relations:

$$(12) \quad \Gamma_{1,ij}^l = \frac{1}{3}(A_{kij}^l + A_{kji}^l)x^k,$$

$$(13) \quad \Theta_{2\nu,ij} = \Gamma_{2\nu-1,in}^l \Gamma_{2\nu-1,jl}^n + \sum_{\mu < \nu} \{\Gamma_{2\nu-1,in}^l \Gamma_{2\mu-1,jl}^n + \Gamma_{2\mu-1,in}^l \Gamma_{2\nu-1,jl}^n\},$$

$$(14) \quad \Gamma_{2\nu+1,ij}^l = \delta_{k_{ij}}^l \int_{k_{ij}} \Theta_{2\nu,ij} \quad \text{for } \nu \geq 1.$$

Since  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ , we have  $\Gamma_{1,ij}^j = 0$ . As  $k_{ij}$  is an index distinct from  $i$  and  $j$ , it follows that  $\Gamma_{2\nu+1,ij}^j = 0$  for  $\nu \geq 1$ . Thus  $\omega = 0$ . We have  $\Gamma_{1,ij}^l = \Gamma_{1,ji}^l$ . It is clear from Equation (13) that  $\Theta_{2\nu,ij} = \Theta_{2\nu,ji}$ ; we integrate to see

$$\Gamma_{2\nu+1,ij}^l = \Gamma_{2\nu+1,ji}^l \quad \text{for } \nu \geq 1.$$

Thus  $\nabla$  is torsion free. Clearly  $\Gamma_1 = O(|x|)$ . We use induction, the recursion relations of Equations (13) and (14), and the estimate of Equation (10) to see

$$(15) \quad \|\Gamma_{2\nu-1}\| = O(|x|^{2\nu-1}) \quad \text{for } \nu \geq 1$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a suitably chosen operator norm. As  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 + O(|x|^3)$ , Equation (3) shows  $R_{ijk}^l(0) = A_{ijk}^l$ . Since  $\partial_l \Gamma_{2\nu+1,ij}^l = \Theta_{2\nu,ij}$  and since  $\rho(0) = 0$ , Equation (7) implies that  $\rho \equiv 0$ .

We must improve Equation (15) to show that there exist  $C > 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  so

$$(16) \quad \|\Gamma_{2\nu-1}\| \leq C^\nu |x|^{2\nu-1} \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \varepsilon.$$

We complexify and permit  $x^i \in \mathbb{C}$  to be coordinates on  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ . We extend Equation (8) to the complex domain; Equations (9) and (10) continue to hold. We then extend Equations (12), (13), and (14) to the complex domain as well.

Since  $\Gamma_1$  is a homogeneous linear polynomial,  $\|\Gamma_1\| \leq C_1|x|$  where we may take  $C_1 \geq 1$ . Set  $C = 4C_1$  and  $\varepsilon = (8C_1)^{-1} < 1$ . We suppose inductively that the estimate in Equation (16) holds for  $\nu \leq \mu$  and attempt to establish the estimate for  $\Gamma_{2\nu+1}$ . We use the recursive definition of Equation (13) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Theta_{2\nu}\| &\leq 2\|\Gamma_{2\nu-1}\| \cdot \sum_{\mu \leq \nu} \|\Gamma_{2\mu-1}\| \\ &\leq 2(4C_1)^\nu |x|^{2\nu-1} \left\{ C_1|x| + \sum_{2 \leq \mu \leq \nu} (4C_1)^\mu |x|^{2\mu-1} \right\} \\ &\leq 2(4C_1)^\nu |x|^{2\nu-1} \left\{ C_1|x| + (4C_1)^2 |x|^3 (1 - 4C_1|x|^2)^{-1} \right\} \\ &\leq 2(4C_1)^\nu |x|^{2\nu-1} \{C_1|x| + |x|\} \leq (4C_1)^{\nu+1} |x|^{2\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating this estimate then establishes the estimate of Equation (16) since we pick up an extra power of  $|x|$  in the decay by Equation (10). This establishes the convergence in the  $C^0$  sup norm of the series defining  $\Gamma$  in Equation (11) for  $|x| \leq \varepsilon$ . The  $\Gamma_i$  are polynomials and hence holomorphic. Recall that the uniform limit of holomorphic functions is holomorphic and furthermore the convergence of the series

in question is in fact uniform in the  $C^k$  norm for any  $k$  for  $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ . Thus  $\Gamma$  is smooth and the convergence is in the  $C^k$  norm.  $\square$

**Remark 6.** It is in fact necessary to correct the construction of Theorem 1 in this setting. For example, suppose that the non-zero components of  $\mathcal{A}$  are given by:

$$A_{211}^2 = 1, \quad A_{121}^2 = -1, \quad A_{311}^3 = -1, \quad A_{131}^3 = 1.$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is Ricci flat. If we use Equation (2) to define  $\nabla$ , then  $\rho_{\nabla,22} = \frac{2}{9}x_2^2 \neq 0$ .

**Remark 7.** In contrast to the constructions performed in previous sections, the construction used to prove Theorem 5 is not  $\text{Gl}(V)$  equivariant.

**Acknowledgments.** Research of P. Gilkey partially supported by the Max Planck Institute in the Mathematical Sciences (Leipzig) and by Project MTM2006-01432 (Spain). Research of S. Nikčević partially supported by Project 144032 (Srbija). Both authors acknowledge with gratitude many conversations with Udo Simon; he is our mentor in this area and we have been honored by his friendship.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] N. Blažić, P. Gilkey, S. Nikčević, and U. Simon, *Algebraic theory of affine curvature tensors*, Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO), **42** (2006), Supplement, 147–168.
- [2] N. Bokan, *On the complete decomposition of curvature tensors of Riemannian manifolds with symmetric connection*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **XXIX** (1990), 331–380.
- [3] N. Bokan, M. Djorić, Mirjana, and U. Simon, *Geometric structures as determined by the volume of generalized geodesic balls*, Results Math. **43** (2003), 205–234.
- [4] F. Manhart, *Surfaces with affine rotational symmetry and flat affine metric in  $\mathbb{R}^3$*  Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **40** (2003), no. 4, 397–406.
- [5] A. Mizuhara, and H. Shima, *Invariant projectively flat connections and its applications*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **4** (1999), 99–107.
- [6] U. Pinkall, A. Schwenk-Schellschmidt, and U. Simon, U, *Geometric methods for solving Codazzi and Monge-Ampère equations*, Math. Ann. **298** (1994), 89–100.
- [7] P. A. Schirokow and A. P. Schirokow, *Affine Differentialgeometrie*, Teubner Leipzig (1962).
- [8] U. Simon, A. Schwenk-Schellschmidt, and H. Viesel, *Introduction to the affine differential geometry of hypersurfaces*, Lecture Notes, Science University of Tokyo 1991.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OREGON 97403 USA  
*E-mail address:* [gilkey@uoregon.edu](mailto:gilkey@uoregon.edu)

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SANU, KNEZ MIHAILOVA 35, P.P. 367, 11001 BELGRADE, SERBIA.  
*E-mail address:* [stanan@mi.sanu.ac.yu](mailto:stanan@mi.sanu.ac.yu)