Natural Inflation: status after WMAP 3-year data

Katherine Freese[∗] , Christopher Savage†

Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

William H. Kinney[‡]

Department of Physics, University at Buffalo, SUNY, Buffalo, NY 14260

Abstract

Inflationary cosmology, a period of accelerated expansion in the early universe, is being tested by Cosmic Microwave Background measurements. Generic predictions of inflation have been shown to be correct, and in addition individual models are being tested. The model of Natural Inflation is examined in light of recent 3-year data from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe and shown to provide a good fit. The inflaton potential is naturally flat due to shift symmetries, and in the simplest version is $V(\phi) = \Lambda^4[1 \pm \cos(N\phi/f)].$ The model agrees with WMAP3 measurements as long as $f > 0.7m_{Pl}$ (where $m_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV) and $\Lambda \sim m_{\text{GUT}}$. The running of the scalar spectral index is shown to be small – an order of magnitude below the sensitivity of WMAP3. The location of the field in the potential when perturbations on observable scales are produced is examined; for $f > 5m_{\text{Pl}}$, the relevant part of the potential is indistinguishable from a quadratic, yet has the advantage that the required flatness is well-motivated. Depending on the value of f , the model falls into the large field $(f \ge 1.5m_{\text{Pl}})$ or small field $(f < 1.5m_{\text{Pl}})$ classification scheme that has been applied to inflation models. Natural inflation provides a good fit to WMAP3 data.

[∗]ktfreese@umich.edu

[†]cmsavage@umich.edu

[‡]whkinney@buffalo.edu

Figure 1: Natural inflation predictions and WMAP3 constraints in the $r-n_s$ plane. (Solid/blue) lines running from approximately the lower left to upper right are predictions for constant N and varying f , where N is the number of e-foldings prior to the end of inflation at which current horizon size modes were generated and f is the width of the potential. The remaining (dashed/red) lines are for constant f and varying N . The (light blue) band corresponds to the values of N for standard post-inflation cosmology with $(1 \text{ GeV})^4 < \rho_{\text{RH}} < V_{\text{end}}$. Filled (nearly vertical) regions are the parameter spaces allowed by WMAP3 at 68% and 95% C.L.'s. Natural inflation is consistent with the WMAP3 data for $f \gtrsim 0.7m_{\text{Pl}}$ and essentially all likely values of N.

1 Introduction

Over the past five years, Cosmic Microwave Background data have taught us a tremendous amount about the global properties of the universe. We have learned about the geometry of the universe, precise measurements of the age of the universe, the overall content of the universe, and other properties. A "standard cosmology" with precision measurements is emerging. However, the standard Hot Big Bang has inconsistencies, many of which can be resolved by an accelerated period of expansion known as inflation.

Inflation was proposed [\[1\]](#page-12-0) to solve several cosmological puzzles: the homogeneity, isotropy, and flatness of the universe, as well as the lack of relic monopoles. While inflation results in an approximately homogeneous universe, inflation models also predict small inhomogeneities. Observations of inhomogeneities via the cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies and structure formation are now providing tests of inflation models.

The release of three years of data from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP3) [\[2\]](#page-12-1) satellite has generated a great deal of excitement in the inflationary community. First, generic predictions of inflation match the observations: the universe has a critical density $(\Omega = 1)$, the density perturbation spectrum is nearly scale invariant, and superhorizon fluctuations are evident. Second, current data is beginning to differentiate between inflationary models and already rules some of them out. For example, quartic potentials and generic hybrid models do not provide a good match to the data [\[2,](#page-12-1) [3,](#page-12-2) [4\]](#page-12-3). We here illustrate that the model known as Natural Inflation is an excellent match to current data.

Inflation models predict two types of perturbations, scalar and tensor, which result in density and gravitational wave fluctuations, respectively. Each is typically characterized by a fluctuation amplitude $(P_R^{1/2}$ for scalar and $P_T^{1/2}$ $T^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for tensor, with the latter usually given in terms of the ratio $r \equiv P_T/P_R$) and a spectral index (n_s for scalar and n_T for tensor) describing the scale dependence of the fluctuation amplitude. As only two of these four degrees of freedom are independent parameters (as discussed below), theoretical predictions as well as data are presented in the $r-n_s$ plane.

Most inflation models suffer from a potential drawback: to match various observational constraints, notably CMB anisotropy measurements as well as the requirement of sufficient inflation, the height of the inflaton potential must be of a much smaller scale than that of the width, by many orders of magnitude $(i.e., the potential must be very flat).$ This requirement of two very different mass scales is what is known as the "fine-tuning" problem in inflation, since very precise couplings are required in the theory to prevent radiative corrections from bringing the two mass scales back to the same level. The natural inflation model (NI) uses shift symmetries to generate a flat potential, protected from radiative corrections, in a natural way [\[5\]](#page-12-4). In this regard, NI is one of the best motivated inflation models.

One of our major results is shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) The predictions of NI are plotted in the $r-n_s$ plane for various parameters: the width f of the potential and number of e-foldings N before the end of inflation at which present day horizon size fluctuations were produced. N depends upon the post-inflationary universe and is ∼50-60. Also shown in the figure are the observational constraints from WMAP's recent 3-year data, which provides some of the tightest constraints on inflationary models to date [\[2\]](#page-12-1). The primary result is that NI, for $f \gtrsim 0.7m_{\text{Pl}},$ is consistent with current observational constraints^{[1](#page-2-0)}.

We emphasize two further results as well. First, the running of the spectral index in natural inflation, *i.e.* the dependence of n_s on scale is shown to be small: an order of magnitude smaller than the sensitivity of WMAP3. Second, we find how far down the potential the field is at the time structure is produced, and find that for $f > 5m_{Pl}$ the relevant part of the potential is indistinguishable from a quadratic potential. Still, the naturalness motivation for NI renders it a superior

¹We take $m_{\text{Pl}} = 1.22 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV}$. Our result extends upon a previous analysis of NI [\[6\]](#page-12-5) that was based upon WMAP's first year data [\[7\]](#page-12-6).

model to a quadratic potential as the latter typically lacks an explanation for its flatness.

2 The Model of Natural Inflation

Motivation: To satisfy a combination of constraints on inflationary models (sufficient inflation and CMB measurements), the potential for the inflaton field must be very flat. For models with a single slowly-rolling field, it has been shown that the ratio of the height to the $(width)⁴$ of the potential must satisfy [\[8\]](#page-12-7)

$$
\chi \equiv \Delta V / (\Delta \phi)^4 \leq \mathcal{O}(10^{-6} - 10^{-8}), \qquad (1)
$$

where ΔV is the change in the potential $V(\phi)$ and $\Delta \phi$ is the change in the field ϕ during the slowly rolling portion of the inflationary epoch. The small ratio of mass scales required by Eqn. [\(1\)](#page-3-0) is known as the "fine-tuning" problem in inflation.

Three approaches have been taken toward this required flat potential characterized by a small ratio of mass scales. First, some simply say that there are many as yet unexplained hierarchies in physics, and inflation requires another one. The hope is that all these hierarchies will someday be explained. Second, models have been attempted where the smallness of χ is stabilized by supersymmetry. However, the required mass hierarchy, while stable, is itself unexplained. In addition, existing models have limitations.

Hence, in 1990 a third approach was proposed, Natural Inflation [\[5\]](#page-12-4), in which the inflaton potential is flat due to shift symmetries. Nambu-Goldstone bosons (NGB) arise whenever a global symmetry is spontaneously broken. Their potential is exactly flat due to a shift symmetry under $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \text{ constant}$. As long as the shift symmetry is exact, the inflaton cannot roll and drive inflation, and hence there must be additional explicit symmetry breaking. Then these particles become pseudo-Nambu Goldstone bosons (PNGBs), with "nearly" flat potentials, exactly as required by inflation. The small ratio of mass scales required by Eqn. [\(1\)](#page-3-0) can easily be accommodated. For example, in the case of the QCD axion, this ratio is of order 10[−]⁶⁴. While inflation clearly requires different mass scales than the axion, the point is that the physics of PNGBs can easily accommodate the required small numbers.

The NI model was first proposed in [\[5\]](#page-12-4). Then, in 1993, a second paper followed which provides a much more detailed study [\[9\]](#page-12-8). Many types of candidates have subsequently been explored for natural inflation, e.g., [\[10\]](#page-12-9)⁻[\[16\]](#page-13-0). We focus here on the original version of NI, in which there is a single rolling field.

Potential: The PNGB potential resulting from explicit breaking of a shift symmetry in single field models is

$$
V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 [1 \pm \cos(M\phi/f)]. \tag{2}
$$

We will take the positive sign in Eqn. [\(2\)](#page-3-1) and $M = 1$, so the potential, of height 2 Λ^4 , has a unique minimum at $\phi = \pi f$ (the periodicity of ϕ is $2\pi f$).

For appropriately chosen values of the mass scales, e.g. $f \sim m_{pl}$ and $\Lambda \sim$ $m_{\text{GHIT}} \sim 10^{15}$ GeV, the PNGB field ϕ can drive inflation. This choice of parameters indeed produces the small ratio of scale required by Eqn. [\(1\)](#page-3-0), with $\chi \sim (\Lambda/f)^4 \sim 10^{-13}$. While $f \sim m_{\text{Pl}}$ seems to be a reasonable scale for the potential width, there is no reason to believe that f cannot be much larger than m_{Pl} . In fact, Kim, Nilles & Peloso [\[17\]](#page-13-1) as well as the idea of N-flation [\[18\]](#page-13-2) showed that an *effective* potential of $f \gg m_{\text{Pl}}$ can be generated from two or more axions, each with sub-Plankian scales. We shall thus include the possibility of $f \gg m_{\text{Pl}}$ is our analysis and show that these parameters can fit the data.

Evolution of the Inflaton Field: The evolution of the inflaton field is described by

$$
\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} + \Gamma\dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0, \qquad (3)
$$

where Γ is the decay width of the inflaton. A sufficient condition for inflation is the slow-roll (SR) condition $\ddot{\phi} \ll 3H\dot{\phi}$. The expansion of the scale factor a, with $H = \dot{a}/a$, is determined by the scalar field dominated Friedmann equation,

$$
H^{2} = \frac{8\pi}{3m_{\text{Pl}}^{2}}V(\phi).
$$
 (4)

The slow roll (SR) condition implies that two conditions are met:

$$
\epsilon(\phi) \approx \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{16\pi} \left[\frac{V'(\phi)}{V(\phi)} \right]^2 = \frac{1}{16\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{f} \right)^2 \left[\frac{\sin(\phi/f)}{1 + \cos(\phi/f)} \right]^2 \ll 1 \tag{5}
$$

and

$$
\eta(\phi) \approx \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{8\pi} \left[\frac{V''(\phi)}{V(\phi)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V'(\phi)}{V(\phi)} \right)^2 \right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{f} \right)^2 \ll 1. \tag{6}
$$

Inflation ends when the field ϕ reaches a value ϕ_e such that $\epsilon(\phi) < 1$ is violated, or

$$
\cos(\phi_{\rm e}/f) = \frac{1 - 16\pi (f/m_{\rm Pl})^2}{1 + 16\pi (f/m_{\rm Pl})^2} \,. \tag{7}
$$

More accurate results can be attained by numerically solving the equation of motion, Eqn. [\(3\)](#page-4-0), together with the Friedmann equations. Such calculations have been performed in Ref. [\[9\]](#page-12-8), where it was shown the SR analysis is accurate to within a few percent for the $f \gtrsim 0.5m_{\text{Pl}}$ parameter space we will be examining. Thus, we are justified in using the SR approximation in our calculations.

To test inflationary theories, present day observations must be related to the evolution of the inflaton field during the inflationary epoch. A comoving scale k today can be related back to a point during inflation by finding the value of N_k , the number of e-foldings before the end of inflation, at which structures on scale k were produced [\[19\]](#page-13-3).

Under a standard post-inflation cosmology, once inflation ends, the universe undergoes a period of reheating. Reheating can be instantaneous or last for a prolonged period of matter-dominated expansion. Then reheating ends at $T < T_{\text{RH}}$, and the universe enters its usual radiation-dominated and subsequent matter-dominated history. Instantaneous reheating ($\rho_{\rm RH} = \rho_e$) gives the minimum number of e-folds as one looks backwards to the time of perturbation production, while a prolonged period of reheating gives a larger number of e-folds.

Henceforth we will use N to refer to the number of e-foldings prior to the end of inflation that correspond to the current horizon scale. Under the standard cosmology, the current horizon scale corresponds to $N \sim 50-60$ (smaller N corresponds to smaller $\rho_{\rm RH}$), with a slight dependence on f. However, if one were to consider non-standard cosmologies $[20]$, the range of possible N would be broader. Hence we will show results for the range $40 \le N \le 70$.

3 Perturbations

As the inflaton rolls down the potential, quantum fluctuations are generated which later give rise to galaxy formation and leave their imprint the cosmic microwave background (CMB). We will examine the scalar (density) and tensor (gravitational wave) purturbations predicted by NI and compare them with the WMAP 3 year (WMAP3) data [\[2\]](#page-12-1).

3.1 Scalar (Density) Fluctuations

The perturbation amplitude for the density fluctuations (scalar modes) produced during inflation is given by [\[21,](#page-13-5) [22\]](#page-13-6)

$$
P_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}(k) = \frac{H^2}{2\pi \dot{\phi}_k}.
$$
 (8)

Here, $P_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}(k)$ denotes the perturbation amplitude when a given wavelength reenters the Hubble radius and the right hand side of Eq.[\(8\)](#page-5-0) is to be evaluated when the same comoving wavelength $(2\pi/k)$ crosses outside the horizon during inflation.

Normalizing to the COBE [\[23\]](#page-13-7) or WMAP [\[2\]](#page-12-1) anisotropy measurements gives $P_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} \sim 10^{-5}$. This normalization can be used to approximately fix the height of the potential Eqn. [\(2\)](#page-3-1) to be $\Lambda \sim 10^{15} \text{--} 10^{16}$ GeV for $f \sim m_{\text{Pl}}$, yielding an inflaton mass $m_{\phi} = \Lambda/f^2 \sim 10^{11} \text{-} 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$. Thus, a potential height Λ of the GUT scale and a potential width f of the Planck scale are required in NI in order to produce the fluctuations responsible for large scale structure. For $f \gg m_{\text{Pl}}$, the potential height scales as $\Lambda \sim (10^{-3} m_{\rm Pl}) \sqrt{f/m_{\rm Pl}}$.

The fluctuation amplitudes are, in general, scale dependent. The spectrum of fluctuations is characterized by the spectral index n_s ,

$$
n_s - 1 \equiv \frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\mathcal{R}}}{\mathrm{d}\ln k} \approx -\frac{1}{8\pi} \left(\frac{m_{\text{Pl}}}{f}\right)^2 \frac{3 - \cos(\phi/f)}{1 + \cos(\phi/f)}.
$$
(9)

Figure 2: The spectral index n_s is shown as a function of the potential width f for various numbers of e-foldingss N before the end of inflation.

The spectral index for natural inflation is shown in Figure [2.](#page-6-0) For small f, n_s is essentially independent of N, while for $f \gtrsim 2m_{\text{Pl}}$, n_s has essentially no f dependence. Analytical estimates can be obtained in these two regimes:

$$
n_s \approx \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{m_{\text{Pl}}^2}{8\pi f^2}, & \text{for } f \lesssim \frac{3}{4} m_{\text{Pl}}\\ 1 - \frac{2}{N}, & \text{for } f \gtrsim 2m_{\text{Pl}}. \end{cases}
$$
(10)

The WMAP 3-year data yield $n_s = 0.951_{-0.019}^{+0.015}$ $(n_s = 0.987_{-0.037}^{+0.019}$ when tensor modes are included in the fits) on the $k = 0.002 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ $k = 0.002 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ $k = 0.002 \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ scale². The WMAP3 results lead to the constraint on the width of the natural inflation potential, $f \gtrsim 0.7m_{\text{Pl}}$ at 95% C.L.

3.2 Tensor (Gravitational Wave) Fluctuations

In addition to scalar (density) perturbations, inflation also produces tensor (gravitational wave) perturbations with amplitude

$$
P_T^{1/2}(k) = \frac{4H}{\sqrt{\pi}m_{\text{Pl}}}.
$$
\n(11)

²As discussed in Section [4,](#page-8-0) the running of the spectral index in NI is so small that the amplitude at the scale of the WMAP3 measurements is virtually identical to the amplitude on the horizon scale.

Figure 3: The tensor to scalar ratio $r \equiv \frac{P_T}{P_R}$ is shown as a function of the potential width f for various numbers of e-foldingss N before the end of inflation.

Here, we examine the tensor mode predictions of natural inflation and compare with WMAP data. Conventionally, the tensor amplitude is given in terms of the tensor/scalar ratio

$$
r \equiv \frac{P_T}{P_{\mathcal{R}}} = 16\epsilon \,,\tag{12}
$$

which is shown in Figure [3](#page-7-0) for NI. For small f, r rapidly becomes negligible, while $f \to \frac{8}{N}$ for $f \gg m_{\text{Pl}}$. In all cases, $r \lesssim 0.2$, well below the WMAP limit of $r < 0.55$ (95% C.L., no running).

As mentioned in the introduction, in principle, there are four parameters describing scalar and tensor fluctuations: the amplitude and spectra of both components, with the latter characterized by the spectral indices n_s and n_T (we are ignoring any running here). The amplitude of the scalar perturbations is normalized by the height of the potential (the energy density Λ^4). The tensor spectral index n_T is not an independent parameter since it is related to the tensor/scalar ratio r by the inflationary consistency condition $r = -8n_T$. The remaining free parameters are the spectral index n_s of the scalar density fluctuations, and the tensor amplitude (given by r).

Hence, a useful parameter space for plotting the model predictions versus observational constraints is on the $r-n_s$ plane [\[24,](#page-13-8) [25\]](#page-13-9). Natural inflation generically predicts a tensor amplitude well below the detection sensitivity of current measurements such as WMAP. However, the situation will improve markedly in future experiments with greater sensitivity such as QUIET [\[26\]](#page-13-10) and PLANCK

Figure 4: The spectral index running $\frac{dn_s}{d \ln k}$ is shown as a function of the number of e-foldings N_k before the end of inflation for several values of the potential width f (note that larger N_k corresponds to smaller values of k). Results are shown using the slow roll approximation, which is numerically inaccurate for this parameter, and may be off by up to a factor of 2-3.

[\[27\]](#page-13-11), as well as proposed experiments such as CMBPOL [\[28\]](#page-13-12).

In Figure [1,](#page-1-0) we show the predictions of natural inflation for various choices of the number of e-folds N and the mass scale f , together with the WMAP3 observational constraints. For a given N, a fixed point is reached for $f \gg m_{pl}$; that is, r and n_s become essentially independent of f for any $f \gtrsim 10m_{\text{Pl}}$. This is apparent from the $f = 10m_{\text{Pl}}$ and $f = 100m_{\text{Pl}}$ lines in the figure, which are both shown, but are indistinguishable. As seen in the figure, $f \lesssim 0.7m_{\text{Pl}}$ is excluded. However, $f \gtrsim 0.8m_{\text{Pl}}$ falls well into the WMAP3 allowed region and is thus consistent with the WMAP3 data.

4 Running of the Spectral Index

In general, n_s is not constant: its variation can be characterized by its running, $\frac{dn_s}{d \ln k}$. In this section, we will use the slow roll approximation, which is numerically inaccurate for this parameter, and may lead to inaccuracies of a factor of 2-3. However, our basic result, that the predicted running is small, is unaffected. As shown in Figure [4,](#page-8-1) natural inflation predicts a small, $\mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$, negative spectral index running. This is negligibly small for WMAP sensitivities and this model is essentially indistinguishable from zero running in the WMAP

analysis. While WMAP data prefer a non-zero, negative running of $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$ when running is included in the analysis, zero running is not excluded at 95% C.L. Small scale CMB experiments such as CBI [\[29\]](#page-13-13), ACBAR [\[30\]](#page-13-14), and VSA [\[31\]](#page-13-15) will provide more stringent tests of the running and hence of specific inflation models. If these experiments definitively detect a strong running $(i.e.,$ excluding a zero/trivial running), natural inflation in the form discussed here would be ruled out.

5 Inflaton Potential and Inflationary Model Space

In this section, we will examine the evolution of the inflaton field ϕ along the potential. We will show that the location on the potential at which the final $~\sim 60$ e-foldings of inflation occurs depends on the width f of the potential. We will also show that natural inflation can fall into either the 'large field' or 'small field' categorization defined by [\[24\]](#page-13-8), depending again on the value of f .

The natural inflation potential is shown in Figure [5.](#page-10-0) For comparison, a quadratic expansion about the minimum at $\phi = \pi f$ is also shown. Inflation occurs when the field slowly rolls down the potential and ends at the point where the field begins to move rapidly (technically, when $\epsilon > 1$). In the bottom panel of the figure, we show the location along the potential where inflation ends $(N_k = 0)$ for various values of the potential width f. We see that inflation ends near the bottom of the potential for $f > 0.5m_{pl}$. In the top panel, the location along the potential is shown at $N_k = 60$ e-foldings prior to the end of inflation, the approximate time when fluctuations were produced that correspond to the current horizon – the largest scales observable in the CMB. The start of the observable portion of rolling is spread widely over the potential. For $f \lesssim 1m_{\text{Pl}}$, current horizon modes were produced while the field was near the top of the potential. Conversely, for $f \gtrsim 3m_{\text{Pl}}$, those modes were produced near the bottom of the potential. For $f \geq 5m_{pl}$, the observationally relevant portion of the potential is essentially a ϕ^2 potential; note, however, that in natural inflation this effectively power law potential is produced via a natural mechanism.

Due to the variety of inflation models, there have been attempts to classify models into a few groups. [\[24\]](#page-13-8) have proposed a scheme with three categories: small field, large field, and hybrid inflation models, which are easily distinguishable in the SR approximation by the parameters ϵ and η . To first order in slow roll, the categories have distinct regions in the $r-n_s$ plane, as shown in Figure [6.](#page-11-0) Also shown in the figure are the predictions for natural inflation; parameters are labeled as in Figure [1](#page-1-0) (which showed the same predictions, albeit with a logarithmic rather than linear scale). From Figure [6,](#page-11-0) it can be seen that natural inflation does not fall into a single category, but may be either small field or large field, depending on the potential width f . This should not be surprising from the preceding discussion of the potential. For $f \lesssim 1.5m_{\text{Pl}}, \phi$ is on the upper part of the potential, where $V''(\phi) < 0$, at $N_k = 60$ and, thus, falls into the small field regime. For $f \gtrsim 1.5m_{\text{Pl}}$, ϕ is lower down the potential, where $V''(\phi) > 0$, at $N_k = 60$ and falls into the large field regime along with power

Figure 5: The natural inflation potential is shown, along with a quadratic expansion around the potential minimum. Also shown are the positions on the potential at 60 e-foldings prior to the end of inflation (top panel) and at the end of inflation (bottom panel) for potential widths $f = (0.5, 0.7, 1, 2, 10, 100) m_{\text{Pl}}$. For $f \gtrsim 5m_{\text{Pl}}$, the relevant portion of the potential is essentially quadratic during the last 60 e-foldings of inflation.

Figure 6: Natural inflation predictions in the $r-n_s$ plane (parameters and regions labeled as in Figure 1), as well as the regions classifying small field, large field, and hybrid inflation models. Natural inflation falls into different classes depending on the potential width f: for $f \lesssim 1.5m_{\text{Pl}}$, natural inflation can be classified as a small field model, while for $f \gtrsim 1.5m_{\text{Pl}}$, natural inflation can be classified as a large field model.

law $(V(\phi) \sim \phi^p$ for $p > 1)$ $p > 1)$ $p > 1)$ models. The WMAP3 constraints shown in Figure 1 and discussed in Section [3,](#page-5-1) requiring $f \gtrsim 0.7m_{\text{Pl}}$, still allow natural inflation to fall into either of the small or large field categories.

6 Conclusion

Remarkable advances in cosmology have taken place in the past decade thanks to Cosmic Microwave Background experiments. The release of the 3 year data set by the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe is leading to exciting times for inflationary cosmology. Not only are generic predictions of inflation confirmed (though there are still outstanding theoretical issues), but indeed individual inflation models are beginning to be tested.

Currently the natural inflation model, which is extremely well-motivated on theoretical grounds of naturalness, is a good fit to existing data. For potential width $f > 0.7m_{\text{Pl}}$ and height $\Lambda \sim m_{\text{GUT}}$ the model is in good agreement with WMAP3 data. Natural inflation predicts very little running, an order of magnitude lower than the sensitivity of WMAP. The location of the field in the potential while perturbations on observable scales are produced was shown to depend on the width f. Even for values $f > 5m_{pl}$ where the relevant parts of the potential are indistinguishable from quadratic, natural inflation provides a framework free of fine-tuning for the required potential.

There has been some confusion in the literature as to whether natural inflation should be characterized as a 'small-scale' or 'large-scale' model. In Figure 8 we demonstrated that either categorization is possible, depending on the value of f , and that both are in agreement with data.

Natural inflation makes definite predictions for tensor modes, as shown in Figure 1. Polarization measurements in the next decade have the capability of testing these predictions and of nailing down the right type of inflationary potentials.

Acknowledgments

C.S. and K.F. acknowledge the support of the DOE and the Michigan Center for Theoretical Physics via the University of Michigan. K.F. thanks R. Easther and L. Verde for useful discussions.

References

- [1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 23, 347 (1981).
- [2] D. N. Spergel et al., [arXiv:astro-ph/0603449.](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0603449)
- [3] W. H. Kinney, E. W. Kolb, A. Melchiorri and A. Riotto, Phys. Rev. D 74, 023502 (2006) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/0605338\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0605338).
- [4] L. Alabidi and D. H. Lyth, [arXiv:astro-ph/0603539.](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0603539)
- [5] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3233 (1990).
- [6] K. Freese and W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. D 70, 083512 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0404012\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0404012).
- [7] D. N. Spergel et al. [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. 148, 175 (2003) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/0302209\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0302209).
- [8] F. C. Adams, K. Freese and A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D 43, 965 (1991).
- [9] F. C. Adams, J. R. Bond, K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. D 47, 426 (1993) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/9207245\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9207245).
- [10] M. Kawasaki, M. Yamaguchi and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3572 (2000) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0004243\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0004243).
- [11] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. C. Cheng, P. Creminelli and L. Randall, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 221302 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0301218\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0301218).
- [12] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. C. Cheng, P. Creminelli and L. Randall, JCAP 0307, 003 (2003) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0302034\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0302034).
- [13] D. E. Kaplan and N. J. Weiner, JCAP 0402, 005 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0302014\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0302014).
- [14] H. Firouzjahi and S. H. H. Tye, Phys. Lett. B 584, 147 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0312020\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0312020).
- [15] J. P. Hsu and R. Kallosh, JHEP 0404, 042 (2004) [\[arXiv:hep-th/0402047\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0402047).
- [16] K. Freese, Phys. Rev. D 50, 7731 (1994) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/9405045\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9405045).
- [17] J. E. Kim, H. P. Nilles and M. Peloso, JCAP 0501, 005 (2005) [\[arXiv:hep-ph/0409138\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0409138).
- [18] S. Dimopoulos, S. Kachru, J. McGreevy and J. G. Wacker, [arXiv:hep-th/0507205.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0507205)
- [19] J. E. Lidsey, A. R. Liddle, E. W. Kolb, E. J. Copeland, T. Barreiro and M. Abney, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 373 (1997) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/9508078\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9508078).
- [20] A. R. Liddle and S. M. Leach, Phys. Rev. D 68, 103503 (2003) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/0305263\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0305263).
- [21] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. 215, 203 (1992).
- [22] E. D. Stewart and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B 302, 171 (1993) [\[arXiv:gr-qc/9302019\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9302019).
- [23] G. F. Smoot et al., Astrophys. J. **396**, L1 (1992).
- [24] S. Dodelson, W. H. Kinney and E. W. Kolb, Phys. Rev. D 56, 3207 (1997) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/9702166\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9702166).
- [25] W. H. Kinney, Phys. Rev. D 58, 123506 (1998) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/9806259\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9806259).
- [26] See e.g. B. Winstein, 2nd Irvine Cosmology Conference (2006).
- [27] [Planck Collaboration], [arXiv:astro-ph/0604069.](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0604069)
- [28] J. Bock et al., [arXiv:astro-ph/0604101.](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0604101)
- [29] B. S. Mason et al., Astrophys. J. 591, 540 (2003) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/0205384\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0205384).
- [30] C. l. Kuo et al. [ACBAR collaboration], Astrophys. J. 600, 32 (2004) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/0212289\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0212289).
- [31] C. Dickinson et al., Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. 353, 732 (2004) [\[arXiv:astro-ph/0402498\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0402498).