

On vertex algebras and their modules associated with even lattices

Haisheng Li¹ and Qing Wang²

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Rutgers University, Camden, NJ 08102

Abstract

We study vertex algebras and their modules associated with possibly degenerate even lattices, using an approach somewhat different from others. Several known results are recovered and a number of new results are obtained. We also study modules for Heisenberg algebras and we classify irreducible modules satisfying certain conditions and obtain a complete reducibility theorem.

1 Introduction

Let L be any nondegenerate even lattice in the sense that L is a finite-rank free abelian group equipped with a symmetric \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in L$. Associated to L there exists a canonical vertex algebra V_L ([Bo], [FLM]). These vertex algebras were originated from the explicit vertex-operator realizations of the basic modules for affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras and they form an important class in the theory of vertex algebras. For vertex algebras V_L , irreducible modules were classified in [D] (cf. [DLM2], [LL]) where Dong proved that every irreducible V_L -module is isomorphic to one of those constructed in [FLM].

The vertex algebras V_L were built up by using certain infinite-dimensional Heisenberg (Lie) algebras and their modules. For a nondegenerate even lattice L , set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and extend the \mathbb{Z} -bilinear form on L to a nondegenerate symmetric \mathbb{C} -bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{h} . Associated to the pair $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, there is an affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$, whose subalgebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_* = \sum_{n \neq 0} \mathfrak{h}(n) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$ is a Heisenberg algebra, where $\mathfrak{h}(m) = \mathfrak{h} \otimes t^m$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}^*$, there is a canonical irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module $M(1, \alpha)$ on which \mathbf{k} acts as identity and $h(0)$ acts as scalar $\langle \alpha, h \rangle$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. The associated vertex algebra V_L is built on the direct sum of the non-isomorphic irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -modules $M(1, \alpha)$ for $\alpha \in L$, where $M(1, 0)$ is a vertex subalgebra with $M(1, \alpha)$ as irreducible modules. Note that the vertex algebra $M(1, 0)$ can also be constructed independently by starting with the finite-dimensional vector space \mathfrak{h} equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, without referring to the lattice L . All of these motivated the work [LX].

The main goal of [LX] was to characterize the family of vertex algebras V_L . With vertex algebras V_L as models, a class \mathcal{A} of simple vertex algebras was formulated, where each V in \mathcal{A} contains $M(1, 0)$ associated to some \mathfrak{h} as a vertex subalgebra

¹Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0600189

²Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

and is a direct sum of some non-isomorphic irreducible $M(1, 0)$ -modules $M(1, \alpha)$ with $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$. It was proved therein that each V in \mathcal{A} is a direct sum of $M(1, \alpha)$ with $\alpha \in L$ for some additive subgroup L of \mathfrak{h} such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha \in L$ and such that there exists a function (normalized 2-cocycle) $\varepsilon : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying the condition that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon(\alpha, 0) &= \varepsilon(0, \alpha) = 1, \\ \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma) &= \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta), \\ \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha)^{-1} &= (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in L.\end{aligned}$$

Such a vertex algebra V was denoted therein by $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$. (However, the existence and uniqueness of the desired vertex algebra $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ was neglected.) If the vertex algebra V is finitely generated, one can readily see that L is finitely generated, so that L is free of finite rank (as L is torsion-free). In this case, L is a (finite rank) possibly degenerate even lattice.

In another work [BDT], motivated by a certain connection between vertex algebras and toroidal Lie algebras, Berman, Dong and Tan studied modules for a class of vertex algebras which may be identified as $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_L = 0$ and $\dim \mathfrak{h} = 2\text{rank}(L)$ (finite). Among other results, they constructed and classified \mathbb{N} -graded irreducible modules.

In this current paper, we study vertex algebra $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ and its modules associated with a general pair (\mathfrak{h}, L) from the point of view of vertex algebra extensions. Specifically, we make use of the vertex algebra $M(1, 0)$ and its modules to construct vertex algebra $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ and its modules. This idea goes back to the so-called simple current extension of vertex operator algebras ([Li2], [Li3], [DLM1], [Li4]). For the vertex algebra V_L with L a nondegenerate even lattice, irreducible modules have been constructed before in [FLM] and [LL] with quite different methods. Our treatment here is further different from those of [FLM] and [LL].

It is known (cf. [LL]) that on every $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module W of level 1, which is *restricted* in the sense that for every $w \in W$, $\mathfrak{h}(n)w = 0$ for n sufficiently large, there exists a unique module structure for vertex algebra $M(1, 0)$, extending the action of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ in a certain canonical way and that every module for vertex algebra $M(1, 0)$ is naturally a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1. This leads us to study irreducible restricted modules for Heisenberg algebras, e.g., $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$. It is well known that Heisenberg algebras have canonical realizations on polynomial algebras by differential (annihilation) operators and left multiplication (creation) operators. For a Heisenberg algebra with a fixed nonzero level, there is a very nice module category in which there is only one irreducible module up to equivalence and each module is completely reducible; see [LW2] ([FLM], Theorem 1.7.3), [K]. In the case with the Heisenberg algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$, the modules in this category are restricted and $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ are locally nilpotent for $n \geq 1$. In this paper, we study a bigger category \mathcal{F} of restricted modules on which $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ are locally finite for $n \geq 1$. It turns out that \mathcal{F} is also very nice in the sense that each module is completely reducible and each irreducible representation can be explicitly

realized by using differential operators and left multiplication operators on a space of exponential functions and polynomials. In contrast to the old case, in the category \mathcal{F} there are infinitely many unequivalent irreducible objects. We also study and construct certain irreducible restricted modules outside the category \mathcal{F} .

For a general pair (\mathfrak{h}, L) with $\mathfrak{h} \neq \text{span}(L)$, the vertex algebra $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ like $M(1, 0)$ has irreducible modules other than those constructed from the canonical realization of Heisenberg algebras on polynomial algebras. We construct and classify irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -modules in terms of irreducible restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -modules of a certain type. For the construction of irreducible modules, we use the idea from [Li3] and [DLM1] and for the classification of irreducible modules, we use certain important ideas (and results) from [D] and [DLM2]. In the case that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L = 0$ we obtain certain irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -modules other than those constructed by Berman, Dong and Tan in [BDT]. Furthermore, we give another construction of the vertex algebra $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ by using a certain affine Lie algebra.

This paper is organized in the following manner. In Section 2, we study certain categories of modules for Heisenberg algebras and we classify all the irreducible objects. In Section 3, we study the modules for vertex algebras associated with even lattices. In Section 4, we give a characterization of vertex algebras in terms of an affine Lie algebra.

2 Modules for Heisenberg Lie algebras

In this section we first associate a Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H}_I to each nonempty set I and we then construct and classify irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -modules of certain types. Especially, we define a category \mathcal{F} and we establish a complete reducibility theorem, which generalizes a theorem of [FLM] (Section 1.7).

First, we start with introducing the Heisenberg Lie algebra \mathcal{H}_I . Let I be any nonempty set, which is fixed throughout this section. Let \mathcal{H}_I be the Heisenberg Lie algebra with a basis $\{\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{k} \mid i \in I\}$ (over \mathbb{C}) and with Lie bracket relations

$$[\mathbf{k}, \mathcal{H}_I] = 0, \quad [\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{p}_j] = 0 = [\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{q}_j] \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathbf{p}_i, \mathbf{q}_j] = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{k} \quad \text{for } i, j \in I.$$

An \mathcal{H}_I -module W is said to be of level $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ if \mathbf{k} acts as scalar ℓ .

Lemma 2.1. *Let W be any irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1. For $i \in I$, \mathbf{p}_i has an eigenvector in W if and only if \mathbf{p}_i acts locally finitely. Furthermore, $\mathbf{p}_i w = 0$ for some nonzero $w \in W$ if and only if \mathbf{p}_i acts locally nilpotently. The same assertions hold with \mathbf{p}_i replaced by \mathbf{q}_i .*

Proof. It is clear that if \mathbf{p}_i is locally finite, \mathbf{p}_i has an eigenvector, as the scalar field is \mathbb{C} . Now, assume that \mathbf{p}_i has an eigenvector w_0 of eigenvalue λ . Set

$$A = \sum_{j \in I, j \neq i} (\mathbb{C} \mathbf{p}_j + \mathbb{C} \mathbf{q}_j) + \mathbb{C} \mathbf{p}_i + \mathbb{C} \mathbf{k},$$

a Lie subalgebra of \mathcal{H}_I . We have $\mathcal{H}_I = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{q}_i \oplus A$. Since W is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module, $W = U(\mathcal{H}_I)w_0 = U(A)\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}_i]w_0$. It follows from induction that for any nonnegative integer k , $\sum_{n=0}^k \mathbb{C}\mathbf{q}_i^n w_0$ is a finite-dimensional subspace which is closed under the action of \mathbf{p}_i . Furthermore, for any $a \in U(A)$, as $[\mathbf{p}_i, A] = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^k a\mathbb{C}\mathbf{q}_i^n w_0$ is a finite-dimensional subspace which is closed under the action of \mathbf{p}_i . Now, it follows that \mathbf{p}_i is locally finite. For the nilpotent case, it is also clear. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let W be an irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1 and let $i \in I$. If \mathbf{p}_i is locally finite on W , then any nonzero \mathbf{q}_i -stable subspace is infinite-dimensional. In particular, \mathbf{q}_i is not locally finite. The same assertion holds when \mathbf{p}_i and \mathbf{q}_i are exchanged.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists a nonzero finite-dimensional subspace U which is \mathbf{q}_i -stable. Then there exists $0 \neq w \in U$ such that $\mathbf{q}_i w = \alpha w$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Using induction we get

$$\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_i^n w = (\alpha \mathbf{p}_i^n - n \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1})w \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

It follows that $\mathbf{p}_i^n w \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$. As \mathbf{p}_i is locally finite, $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}_i]w$ is finite-dimensional. Let $n = \dim \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}_i]w \geq 1$. Then $w, \mathbf{p}_i w, \dots, \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w$ are linearly independent and

$$\mathbf{p}_i^n w = c_{n-1} \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w + c_{n-2} \mathbf{p}_i^{n-2} w + \dots + c_0 w$$

for some $c_0, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Applying \mathbf{q}_i we get

$$\alpha \mathbf{p}_i^n w - n \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w = \alpha c_{n-1} \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w + u$$

for some $u \in \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{p}_i^r w$. Then we obtain

$$n \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w = \alpha (c_{n-1} \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w + \dots + c_0 w) - u.$$

This is a contradiction as $w, \mathbf{p}_i w, \dots, \mathbf{p}_i^{n-1} w$ are linearly independent. \square

Definition 2.3. Let W be an \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1. Set

$$\begin{aligned} I_W^{\mathbf{p}} &= \{i \in I \mid \mathbf{p}_i \text{ is locally finite}\}, \\ I_W^{\mathbf{q}} &= \{i \in I \mid \mathbf{q}_i \text{ is locally finite}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For our study in the next section on modules for vertex algebras associated to Heisenberg Lie algebras we are interested in \mathcal{H}_I -modules W such that for every $w \in W$, $\mathbf{p}_i w = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$. For convenience, we call such an \mathcal{H}_I -module a *restricted* module. In view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, if W is an irreducible restricted \mathcal{H}_I -module, then $I_W^{\mathbf{p}}$ is a cofinite subset of I and $I_W^{\mathbf{p}} \cap I_W^{\mathbf{q}} = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.4. Define \mathcal{F} to be the category of restricted \mathcal{H}_I -modules W of level 1 such that $I_W^{\mathbf{p}} = I$, i.e., \mathbf{p}_i acts locally finitely on W for every $i \in I$.

Let x_i ($i \in I$) be mutually commuting independent formal variables. Denote by $F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$ the set of functions $\mu : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu_i = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$. For $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, set

$$\lambda \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i x_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_i \mid i \in I].$$

Furthermore, set

$$M(1, \lambda) = e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} \mathbb{C}[x_i \mid i \in I],$$

a space of functions in x_i ($i \in I$). It is clear that $M(1, \lambda)$ is an \mathcal{H}_I -module with \mathbf{p}_i acting as $\partial/\partial x_i$, \mathbf{q}_i as (the left multiplication of) x_i , and \mathbf{k} as identity.

Lemma 2.5. *The \mathcal{H}_I -module $M(1, \lambda)$ belongs to the category \mathcal{F} and is irreducible. For $\lambda, \mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, $M(1, \lambda) \simeq M(1, \mu)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.*

Proof. Let S be a finite subset of I such that $\lambda_i = 0$ for all $i \in I - S$. Then $\partial e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} / \partial x_i = 0$ for all $i \in I - S$. On the other hand, for any polynomial $f(\mathbf{x})$ in x_j ($j \in I$), $\partial f / \partial x_i = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$. It follows that for any $w \in M(1, \lambda)$, $\mathbf{p}_i w = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$. This shows that $M(1, \lambda)$ is a restricted \mathcal{H}_I -module. Let $i \in I$ and let f be any polynomial in x_j with $j \neq i$. For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{n=0}^r f e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} \mathbb{C} x_i^n$ is closed under the action of \mathbf{p}_i . It follows that \mathbf{p}_i acts locally finitely on $M(1, \lambda)$. This proves that $M(1, \lambda)$ belongs to the category \mathcal{F} . For any function $\mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, set

$$M(1, \lambda)_\mu = \{w \in M(1, \lambda) \mid \mathbf{p}_i w = \mu_i w \quad \text{for } i \in I\}.$$

With \mathbf{p}_i acting as $\partial/\partial x_i$ ($i \in I$), for $f(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}[x_i \mid i \in I]$, $e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \in M(1, \lambda)_\mu$ if and only if

$$\partial f / \partial x_i = (\mu_i - \lambda_i) f \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

Furthermore, if $f(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0$, we have $f \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_i = \mu_i$ for all $i \in I$. Thus $M(1, \lambda)_\mu = 0$ for $\mu \neq \lambda$ and $M(1, \lambda)_\lambda = \mathbb{C} e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}}$. It now follows immediately that $M(1, \lambda)$ is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module. From this proof, it is evident that for $\lambda, \mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, $M(1, \lambda) \simeq M(1, \mu)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$. \square

Lemma 2.6. *For every nonzero \mathcal{H}_I -module W in the category \mathcal{F} , there exist a nonzero vector $w_0 \in W$ and a function $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\mathbf{p}_i w_0 = \lambda_i w_0$ for $i \in I$. Furthermore, the submodule generated by w_0 is isomorphic to $M(1, \lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq w \in W$. From definition, $\mathbf{p}_i w = 0$ for $i \in I - S$, where S is a finite subset of I . Set $\Omega' = \{u \in W \mid \mathbf{p}_i u = 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I - S\}$. Then $w \in \Omega' \neq 0$. With $[\mathbf{p}_r, \mathbf{p}_s] = 0$ for $r, s \in I$, Ω' is closed under the actions of \mathbf{p}_j for $j \in S$. With \mathbf{p}_j ($j \in S$) locally finite and mutually commuting, there exists $0 \neq w_0 \in \Omega'$ such that $\mathbf{p}_j w_0 = \lambda_j w_0$ for $j \in S$ with $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$. We also have $\mathbf{p}_i w_0 = 0$ for $i \in I - S$. Defining $\lambda_j = 0$ for $j \in I - S$ gives rise to a function $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$. In view of the P-B-W theorem, we have $U(\mathcal{H}_I)w_0 = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}_i \mid i \in I]w_0$. Define a linear map

$$\psi : M(1, \lambda) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{H}_I)w_0, \quad e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \mapsto f(\mathbf{q})w_0.$$

It is straightforward to show that ψ is an \mathcal{H}_I -module isomorphism. \square

The following is a generalization of a theorem of [FLM] (Theorem 1.7.3):

Theorem 2.7. *Every irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module in the category \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to $M(1, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$ and every \mathcal{H}_I -module in the category \mathcal{F} is completely reducible.*

Proof. The first assertion follows immediately from Lemma 2.6. For complete reducibility we first consider a special case. Let \mathcal{N} be the subcategory of \mathcal{F} , consisting of restricted \mathcal{H}_I -modules of level 1 on which \mathbf{p}_i acts locally nilpotently for every $i \in I$. We see that for $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, $M(1, \lambda)$ is in \mathcal{N} if and only if $\lambda = 0$. Then $M(1, 0)$ is the only irreducible module in \mathcal{N} up to equivalence. With Lemma 2.6, the same proof of Theorem 1.7.3 of [FLM] shows that every \mathcal{H}_I -module in \mathcal{N} is completely reducible.

We now prove that every \mathcal{H}_I -module W in \mathcal{F} is completely reducible. For $\mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, set

$$W_\mu = \{w \in W \mid (\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i)^r w = 0 \text{ for } i \in I \text{ and for some } r \geq 0 \text{ depending on } i\}.$$

Note that

$$(\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i)^r \mathbf{p}_j = \mathbf{p}_j (\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i)^r, \quad (\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i)^r \mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{q}_i (\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i)^r + r(\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i)^{r-1} \mathbf{k}$$

for $i, j \in I$, $r \geq 0$. It follows that W_μ is an \mathcal{H}_I -submodule of W . For any $w \in W$, since $\mathbf{p}_i w = 0$ for all but finitely many $i \in I$ and since each \mathbf{p}_i is locally finite, $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{p}_i \mid i \in I]w$ is finite-dimensional. From this we have $W = \bigoplus_{\mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})} W_\mu$. Now it suffices to prove that for each $\mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$, W_μ is completely reducible. Let $\mu \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$ be fixed. Then $\mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i$ is locally nilpotent on W_μ for $i \in I$. Define a linear endomorphism θ_μ of \mathcal{H}_I by

$$\theta_\mu(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}, \quad \theta_\mu(\mathbf{p}_i) = \mathbf{p}_i - \mu_i \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_\mu(\mathbf{q}_i) = \mathbf{q}_i \quad \text{for } i \in I.$$

Clearly, θ_μ is a Lie algebra automorphism of \mathcal{H}_I . Let $\rho : \mathcal{H}_I \rightarrow \text{End} W_\mu$ denote the Lie algebra homomorphism for the \mathcal{H}_I -module W_μ . Then $\rho \circ \theta_\mu$ is a representation of \mathcal{H}_I on W_μ in the category \mathcal{N} , which is completely reducible. Consequently, ρ is completely reducible. \square

Let I_1 be any cofinite subset of I and let $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$. Define an action of \mathcal{H}_I on the space $e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} \mathbb{C}[x_i \mid i \in I]$ by

$$\mathbf{p}_i \mapsto \begin{cases} \partial/\partial x_i & \text{for } i \in I_1 \\ x_i & \text{for } i \in I - I_1, \end{cases} \quad \mathbf{q}_i \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i & \text{for } i \in I_1 \\ -\partial/\partial x_i & \text{for } i \in I - I_1. \end{cases}$$

This makes $e^{\lambda \mathbf{x}} \mathbb{C}[x_i \mid i \in I]$ an \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1, which we denote by $M(1, I_1, \lambda)$. In fact, this \mathcal{H}_I -module $M(1, I_1, \lambda)$ is a twisting of the \mathcal{H}_I -module $M(1, \lambda)$ by an automorphism of \mathcal{H}_I . Let θ_{I_1} be the linear endomorphism of \mathcal{H}_I defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{I_1}(\mathbf{p}_i) &= \mathbf{p}_i, & \theta_{I_1}(\mathbf{q}_i) &= \mathbf{q}_i & \text{for } i \in I_1, \\ \theta_{I_1}(\mathbf{p}_i) &= \mathbf{q}_i, & \theta_{I_1}(\mathbf{q}_i) &= -\mathbf{p}_i & \text{for } i \in I - I_1. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that θ_{I_1} is a Lie algebra automorphism and that $M(1, I_1, \lambda)$ is isomorphic to the twisting of $M(1, \lambda)$ by the automorphism θ_{I_1} . Consequently, $M(1, I_1, \lambda)$ is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1. Furthermore, using the automorphism θ_{I_1} and Theorem 2.7 we immediately have:

Corollary 2.8. *Let W be an irreducible restricted \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1 such that $I_W^{\mathbf{p}} \cup I_W^{\mathbf{q}} = I$. Then W is isomorphic to $M(1, I_W^{\mathbf{p}}, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in F^0(I, \mathbb{C})$.*

Next, we continue to investigate general irreducible restricted \mathcal{H}_I -modules of level 1. Let $I = I_0 \cup I_1$ be any disjoint decomposition of I with $I_0 \neq \emptyset$ and $I_1 \neq \emptyset$. We view \mathcal{H}_{I_0} and \mathcal{H}_{I_1} as subalgebras of \mathcal{H}_I in the obvious way. Note that the two subalgebras are commuting. Let W_0 and W_1 be irreducible modules of level 1 for \mathcal{H}_{I_0} and \mathcal{H}_{I_1} , respectively. Then $W_0 \otimes W_1$ is naturally an \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1. Furthermore, if either I_0 or I_1 is countable, $W_0 \otimes W_1$ is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module. (Notice that either \mathcal{H}_{I_0} or \mathcal{H}_{I_1} is of countable dimension, which implies that either $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{I_0}}(W_0) = \mathbb{C}$ or $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{I_1}}(W_1) = \mathbb{C}$.)

Proposition 2.9. *Assume that I is countable. Let W be an irreducible restricted \mathcal{H}_I -module of level 1 such that $I \neq I(W) = I_W^{\mathbf{p}} \cup I_W^{\mathbf{q}}$. Set $I_0 = I - I(W)$. Then $W \simeq M(1, I_W^{\mathbf{p}}, \lambda) \otimes U$, where $M(1, I_W^{\mathbf{p}}, \lambda)$ is an $\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}$ -module for some $\lambda \in F^0(I(W), \mathbb{C})$ and U is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module such that $(I_0)_U^{\mathbf{p}} = \emptyset = (I_0)_U^{\mathbf{q}}$.*

Proof. We view $\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}$ and \mathcal{H}_{I_0} as subalgebras of \mathcal{H}_I in the obvious way. Note that the two subalgebras are commuting. From Theorem 2.7, W as an $\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}$ -module is completely reducible. Let W_1 be an irreducible $\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}$ -submodule of W . Since W is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_I -module, we have

$$W = U(\mathcal{H}_I)W_1 = U(\mathcal{H}_{I_0})W_1.$$

As $[\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}, \mathcal{H}_{I_0}] = 0$, it follows that W as an $\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}$ -module is a sum of irreducible modules isomorphic to W_1 . With I countable, W_1 is of countable dimension, so that $\text{End}_{\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}}(W_1) = \mathbb{C}$. It follows that $W = W_0 \otimes W_1$, where $W_0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}_{I(W)}}(W_1, W)$ is naturally an \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module. Furthermore, W_0 is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module. In view of Lemma 2.1 we have $(I_0)_U^{\mathbf{p}} = \emptyset = (I_0)_U^{\mathbf{q}}$. \square

Having established Proposition 2.9, we next study irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -modules U of level 1 with $(I_0)_U^{\mathbf{p}} = (I_0)_U^{\mathbf{q}} = \emptyset$ with I_0 a finite subset of I . For the rest of this section, let I_0 be a nonempty finite subset of I . For $\mu \in F^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$, set

$$\mathbf{x}^\mu = \prod_{i \in I_0} x_i^{\mu_i},$$

where as before x_i ($i \in I_0$) are mutually commuting independent formal variables. Set

$$\mathbb{C}_* \{x_i \mid i \in I_0\} = \prod_{\mu \in F^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})} \mathbb{C} \mathbf{x}^\mu,$$

a vector space. With \mathbf{p}_i acting as $\partial/\partial x_i$ (the formal partial differential operator), \mathbf{q}_i as x_i , and \mathbf{k} as identity, the space $\mathbb{C}_*\{x_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ becomes an \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module of level 1.

Denote by $F_*^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$ the subset of $F^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$, consisting functions $\mu : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $i \in I_0$. For $\mu \in F_*^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$, set

$$M_*[\mu] = \prod_{\lambda \in F(I_0, \mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{C}\mathbf{x}^{\mu+\lambda} = \mathbf{x}^\mu \mathbb{C}[x_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I_0],$$

where $F(I_0, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the set of integer-valued functions on I_0 . It is clear that $M_*[\mu]$ is an \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -submodule of $\mathbb{C}_*\{x_i \mid i \in I_0\}$.

We say that an \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module W of level 1 satisfies *Condition C_{I_0}* if for every $i \in I_0$, $\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_i$ is semisimple and $\mathbf{p}_i w \neq 0$, $\mathbf{q}_i w \neq 0$ for any $0 \neq w \in W$. In terms of this notion we have:

Proposition 2.10. *The \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module $M_*[\mu]$ is irreducible and satisfies Condition C_{I_0} . On the other hand, every irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module W satisfying Condition C_{I_0} is isomorphic to $M_*[\mu]$ for some $\mu \in F_*^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. For any function $\beta \in F^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$, we have

$$\left(x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) \mathbf{x}^\beta = \beta_i \mathbf{x}^\beta \quad \text{for } i \in I_0.$$

Then $\mathbf{q}_i \mathbf{p}_i$ for all $i \in I_0$ act semisimply on $M_*[\mu]$. We also have

$$\frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right)^r \mathbf{x}^\beta = \binom{\beta_i}{r} \mathbf{x}^\beta x_i^{-r} \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{N},$$

where if $\beta_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $\binom{\beta_i}{r} \neq 0$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$. It is then clear that $M_*[\mu]$ is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module. It is also clear that

$$\mathbf{p}_i w \neq 0, \quad \mathbf{q}_i w \neq 0 \quad \text{for } i \in I_0, 0 \neq w \in M_*[\mu].$$

This proves that $M_*[\mu]$ satisfies Condition C_{I_0} .

Let W be an irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module of level 1, satisfying Condition C_{I_0} . As $\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{p}_j$ for $j \in I_0$ are mutually commuting and are semisimple on W by assumption, there exists $0 \neq w_0 \in W$ such that

$$\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{p}_j w_0 = \beta_j w_0 \quad \text{for } j \in I_0,$$

where $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C}$. This gives rise to a function $\beta \in F^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$. We claim that $\beta \in F_*^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$, i.e., $\beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j \in I_0$. For $j \in I_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{p}_j) \mathbf{p}_j^n w_0 &= \mathbf{p}_j^n (\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{p}_j) w_0 - n \mathbf{p}_j^n w_0 = (\beta_j - n) \mathbf{p}_j^n w_0, \\ (\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{p}_j) \mathbf{q}_j^n w_0 &= \mathbf{q}_j^n (\mathbf{q}_j \mathbf{p}_j) w_0 + n \mathbf{q}_j^n w_0 = (\beta_j + n) \mathbf{q}_j^n w_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{p}_j w \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{q}_j w \neq 0$ for any $0 \neq w \in W$, it follows that $\beta_j - n \neq 0$ and $\beta_j + n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$, proving $\beta_j \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\beta \in F_*^0(I_0, \mathbb{C})$.

Since W is an irreducible \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module, we have $W = U(\mathcal{H}_{I_0})w_0$. Define a linear map $\psi : M_*[\beta] \rightarrow W$ by

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}^{\beta+\mathbf{m}}) = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{m}} w_0$$

for $\mathbf{m} : I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{m}} = \prod_{i \in I_0} \mathbf{q}_i^{m_i}$ with $\mathbf{q}_i^{m_i} = \mathbf{q}_i^{m_i}$ for $m_i \geq 0$ and

$$\mathbf{q}_i^{m_i} = \frac{1}{\binom{\beta_i}{-m_i}} \frac{1}{(-m_i)!} \mathbf{p}_i^{-m_i}$$

for $m_i < 0$. One can show that ψ is an \mathcal{H}_{I_0} -module isomorphism. \square

3 Vertex algebras $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ and their modules

In this section, we study vertex algebras associated with possibly degenerate even lattices. This slightly generalizes the vertex algebras associated with nondegenerate even lattices. We construct and classify irreducible modules satisfying certain conditions for the vertex algebras.

First, we start with vertex operator algebras associated with (infinite-dimensional) Heisenberg Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{h} be a finite-dimensional vector space equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, which is fixed throughout this section. Viewing \mathfrak{h} as an abelian Lie algebra equipped with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as a nondegenerate symmetric invariant bilinear form, we have an affine Lie algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k},$$

where \mathbf{k} is central and for $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$[u(m), v(n)] = m \langle u, v \rangle \delta_{m+n, 0} \mathbf{k}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $h(n) = h \otimes t^n$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$\mathfrak{h}(n) = \{h(n) \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{h}}$$

and we set

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_* = \prod_{n \neq 0} \mathfrak{h}(n) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}.$$

Note that $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ is a central subalgebra, $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$ is a Heisenberg algebra, and

$$\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = \hat{\mathfrak{h}}_* \oplus \mathfrak{h}(0),$$

a Lie algebra product decomposition.

Lemma 3.1. *Let W be any irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module. Then \mathbf{k} and $h(0)$ for every $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ act as scalars on W and W as an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$ -module is also irreducible.*

Proof. Since W is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module and \mathfrak{h} is finite-dimensional, W is of countable dimension over \mathbb{C} . By a version of Schur lemma, we have $\text{End}_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(W) = \mathbb{C}$. With \mathbf{k} and $h(0)$ being central in $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$, they must act as scalars. It is now clear that W as an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$ -module is also irreducible. \square

An $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module W is said to be of *level* ℓ in \mathbb{C} if \mathbf{k} acts on W as scalar ℓ , and an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module W is said to be *restricted* if for every $w \in W$, $\mathfrak{h}(n)w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by \mathbb{C}_ℓ the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$ -module \mathbb{C} with $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acting trivially and with \mathbf{k} acting as scalar ℓ . Form the induced module

$$V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})} \mathbb{C}_\ell.$$

Set $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ and identify \mathfrak{h} as a subspace of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ through the linear map $h \mapsto h(-1)\mathbf{1}$. It is well known now (cf. [LL]) that there exists a unique vertex algebra structure on $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with $Y(h, x) = h(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h(n)x^{-n-1}$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Furthermore, for every nonzero ℓ , $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ is a vertex operator algebra of central charge $d = \dim \mathfrak{h}$ with conformal vector

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2\ell} \sum_{r=1}^d h^{(r)}(-1)h^{(r)}(-1)\mathbf{1},$$

where $\{h^{(1)}, \dots, h^{(d)}\}$ is any orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h} . It is also known (cf. [LL]) that every module (W, Y_W) for vertex algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level ℓ with $h(x)$ acting as $Y_W(h, x)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and the set of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ -submodules of W coincides with the set of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -submodules of W . On the other hand, on every restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module W of level ℓ , there is a unique module structure Y_W for vertex algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ with $Y_W(h, x) = h(x)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$.

It is known (cf. [LL]) that vertex algebras $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(\ell, 0)$ for $\ell \neq 0$ are all isomorphic. In view of this, we restrict ourselves to the vertex operator algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$. In literature, the vertex operator algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ is also often denoted by $M(1)$. In view of Lemma 3.1, classifying irreducible modules for vertex algebra $M(1)$ amounts to classifying irreducible restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$ -modules of level 1. One way to apply the results of Section 2 is to fix an orthonormal basis $\{h^{(1)}, \dots, h^{(d)}\}$ of \mathfrak{h} and set

$$I = \{(r, n) \mid 1 \leq r \leq d, n \geq 1\}.$$

Then identify the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}_*$ with \mathcal{H}_I by

$$\mathbf{p}_{(r,n)} = \frac{1}{n}h^{(r)}(n), \quad \mathbf{q}_{(r,n)} = h^{(r)}(-n) \quad \text{for } (r, n) \in I.$$

In view of Theorem 2.7 we immediately have:

Proposition 3.2. *Let W be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1 such that $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ is semisimple and $\mathfrak{h}(n)$ is locally finite for $n \geq 1$. Then W is completely reducible.*

For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, we set ([LW1], [FLM])

$$E^\pm(\alpha, x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\pm n)}{\pm n} x^{\mp n}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

The following are the fundamental properties:

$$\begin{aligned} E^\pm(0, x) &= 1, \\ E^\pm(\alpha, x_1)E^\pm(\beta, x_2) &= E^\pm(\beta, x_2)E^\pm(\alpha, x_1), \\ E^+(\alpha, x_1)E^-(\beta, x_2) &= \left(1 - \frac{x_2}{x_1}\right)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(\beta, x_2)E^+(\alpha, x_1), \\ E^\pm(\alpha, x)E^\pm(\beta, x) &= E^\pm(\alpha + \beta, x). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x) = (-x)^{\alpha(0)} \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(n)}{-n} (-x)^{-n}\right) = (-x)^{\alpha(0)} E^+(-\alpha, -x).$$

This is a well defined element of $(\text{End}W)[[x, x^{-1}]]$ for any module W for vertex algebra $M(1)$, on which $\alpha(0)$ acts semisimply with only integer eigenvalues and $\alpha(n)$ for $n \geq 1$ act locally nilpotently. The following are immediate consequences:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}(0, x) &= 1, \\ \bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_2) &= \bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x_1), \\ \bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x) &= \bar{\Delta}(\alpha + \beta, x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$ and let (W, Y_W) be an $M(1)$ -module on which $\alpha(0)$ acts semisimply with only integer eigenvalues and $\alpha(n)$ for $n \geq 1$ act locally nilpotently. Recall from [Li2] (and [Li3]) that

$$\Delta(\alpha, x) = x^{\alpha(0)} E^+(-\alpha, -x).$$

The following basic properties were established in [Li2] and [Li3]³: $\Delta(\alpha, x)\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$,

$$\Delta(\alpha, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2) = Y_W(\Delta(\alpha, x_1 + x_2)v, x_2)\Delta(\alpha, x_1) \quad (3.3)$$

for $v \in M(1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &Y_W(E^-(\alpha, x_1)v, x_2) \\ &= E^-(\alpha, x_1 + x_2)E^-(\alpha, x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)x_2^{\alpha(0)}E^+(-\alpha, x_2)(x_2 + x_1)^{-\alpha(0)}E^+(\alpha, x_2 + x_1) \\ &= E^-(\alpha, x_1 + x_2)E^-(\alpha, x_2)Y_W(v, x_2)\Delta(\alpha, -x_2)\Delta(-\alpha, -x_2 - x_1), \end{aligned}$$

³Note that the notation $E^-(\alpha, x)$ in [Li3] is the notation $E^+(-\alpha, x)$ in this paper and in [FLM], and all the related formulas have been adjusted correspondingly.

$$E^-(\alpha, x_1)Y_W(v, x_2)E^-(-\alpha, x_1) = Y_W(\Delta(\alpha, x_2 - x_1)\Delta(-\alpha, x_2)v, x_2) \quad (3.4)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}$, $v \in M(1)$. Note that $\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x) = (-1)^{\alpha(0)}\Delta(\alpha, x)$ and that

$$(-1)^{\alpha(0)}Y_W(v, x) = Y_W((-1)^{\alpha(0)}v, x)(-1)^{\alpha(0)} \quad \text{for } v \in M(1).$$

It follows that all the above properties with $\Delta(\alpha, x)$ replaced by $\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x)$ still hold.

For the rest of this section, we assume that L is an additive subgroup of \mathfrak{h} such that

$$\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L, \quad (3.5)$$

equipped with a function $\varepsilon : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, satisfying the condition that

$$\varepsilon(\alpha, 0) = \varepsilon(0, \alpha) = 1, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma) = \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha)^{-1} = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \quad (3.8)$$

for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$.

Remark 3.4. Note that if L is finitely generated, L is a finite rank free group as L is torsion-free. Assume that L is a free group of finite rank. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -basis of L . Define $\varepsilon : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ to be the group homomorphism uniquely determined by

$$\varepsilon(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_j \rangle} & \text{if } i < j \\ 1 & \text{if } i \geq j. \end{cases}$$

Then ε satisfies all the conditions.

Set

$$V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)} = \mathbb{C}[L] \otimes M(1). \quad (3.9)$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in L$, $u, v \in M(1)$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & Y(e^\alpha \otimes u, x)(e^\beta \otimes v) \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x)Y(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x)u, x)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x)v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

In particular, for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$Y(e^0 \otimes h, x)(e^\beta \otimes v) = e^\beta \otimes (\langle \beta, h \rangle x^{-1} + Y(h, x))v, \quad (3.11)$$

$$Y(e^\alpha \otimes \mathbf{1}, x)(e^\beta \otimes v) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x)E^+(-\alpha, x)v \quad (3.12)$$

as $\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x)h = h + \langle \beta, h \rangle \mathbf{1}x^{-1}$ and $\alpha(0) = 0$ on $M(1)$. One can prove that the quadruple $(V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}, Y, e^0 \otimes \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of a vertex algebra, by using a theorem of [FKRW] and [MP]. Here, we give a uniform treatment for both the vertex algebras and their modules.

Definition 3.5. We say an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module W satisfies *Condition C_L* if W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1, satisfying the condition that for any $\alpha \in L$, $\alpha(0)$ is semisimple with only integer eigenvalues and $\alpha(n)$ with $n \geq 1$ are locally nilpotent.

Theorem 3.6. *Let U be an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1, satisfying Condition C_L . Set*

$$V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}(U) = \mathbb{C}[L] \otimes U. \quad (3.13)$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in L$, $v \in M(1)$, $w \in U$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes v, x)(e^\beta \otimes w) \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x^{(\alpha, \beta)} E^-(-\alpha, x) Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x)v, x) \bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x)w. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Then $(V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}, Y, e^0 \otimes \mathbf{1})$ carries the structure of a vertex algebra with $M(1)$ as a vertex subalgebra and $(V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}(U), Y_W)$ carries the structure of a $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}$ -module. Furthermore, if U is irreducible, so is $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}(U)$.

Proof. First, notice that the $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module $M(1)$ satisfies all the assumptions on U and that $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)} = V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}(U)$ with $U = M(1)$ where Y_W coincides with Y . Second, for any $\alpha, \beta \in L$, $v \in M(1)$, $w \in U$, we have

$$Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes v, x)(e^\beta \otimes w) \in V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}(U)((x)).$$

Third, $Y_W(e^0 \otimes \mathbf{1}, x) = 1$ and when $U = M(1)$ we also have

$$Y(e^\alpha \otimes u, x)(e^0 \otimes \mathbf{1}) = e^\alpha \otimes E^-(-\alpha, x)Y(u, x)\mathbf{1} \in V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}[[x]]$$

with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y(e^\alpha \otimes u, x)(e^0 \otimes \mathbf{1}) = e^\alpha \otimes u.$$

Next, we show that the Jacobi identity holds. Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in L$, $u, v \in M(1)$, $w \in U$. Using definition and formulas we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes u, x_1)Y_W(e^\beta \otimes v, x_2)(e^\gamma \otimes w) \\ &= Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes u, x_1) \left(\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)e^{\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_2^{(\beta, \gamma)} E^-(-\beta, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)v, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w \right) \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_1^{(\alpha, \beta+\gamma)} x_2^{(\beta, \gamma)} E^-(-\alpha, x_1) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta + \gamma, x_1)u, x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1)E^-(-\beta, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)v, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_1^{(\alpha, \beta+\gamma)} x_2^{(\beta, \gamma)} E^-(-\alpha, x_1)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta + \gamma, x_1)u, x_1) \cdot \\ & \quad \cdot (1 - x_2/x_1)^{(\alpha, \beta)} E^-(-\beta, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1 + x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)v, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta + \gamma, x_1)u, x_1)E^-(-\beta, x_2) \\ &= E^-(-\beta, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_1 - x_2)\bar{\Delta}(-\beta, x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta + \gamma, x_1)u, x_1) \\ &= E^-(-\beta, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_1 - x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_1)u, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes u, x_1)Y_W(e^\beta \otimes v, x_2)(e^\gamma \otimes w) \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_1^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} x_2^{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle} (x_1 - x_2)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x_1)E^-(-\beta, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_1 - x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_1)u, x_1)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1 + x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)v, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w.
\end{aligned}$$

This also shows

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_W(e^\beta \otimes v, x_2)Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes u, x_1)(e^\gamma \otimes w) \\
&= \varepsilon(\beta, \alpha + \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_1^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} x_2^{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle} (x_2 - x_1)^{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x_1)E^-(-\beta, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x_2 - x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)v, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2 + x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_1)u, x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_1^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} x_2^{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle} (-x_2 + x_1)^{\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x_1)E^-(-\beta, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, x_2 - x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)v, x_2)Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2 + x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_1)u, x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon(\beta, \alpha + \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \gamma) = (-1)^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Y_W(Y(e^\alpha \otimes u, x_0)(e^\beta \otimes v), x_2)(e^\gamma \otimes w) \\
&= Y_W(\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x_0^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x_0)Y(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v, x_2)(e^\gamma \otimes w) \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_0^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} x_2^{\langle \alpha+\beta, \gamma \rangle} E^-(-\alpha - \beta, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)E^-(-\alpha, x_0)Y(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha + \beta, -x_2)w \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_0^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} x_2^{\langle \alpha+\beta, \gamma \rangle} E^-(-\alpha - \beta, x_2)(1 + x_0/x_2)^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(E^-(-\alpha, x_0)\Delta(\gamma, x_2)Y(\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha + \beta, -x_2)w \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_0^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} x_2^{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle} E^-(-\alpha - \beta, x_2)(x_2 + x_0)^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(E^-(-\alpha, x_0)Y(\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2 + x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \bar{\Delta}(\alpha + \beta, -x_2)w \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_0^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} x_2^{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle} E^-(-\alpha - \beta, x_2)(x_2 + x_0)^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x_0 + x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot E^-(\alpha, x_2)Y_U(Y(\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2 + x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \bar{\Delta}(-\alpha, -x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_2 - x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha + \beta, -x_2)w \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x_0^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} x_2^{\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle} (x_2 + x_0)^{\langle \alpha, \gamma \rangle} E^-(-\beta, x_2)E^-(-\alpha, x_0 + x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot Y_U(Y(\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2 + x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v, x_2) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_2 - x_0)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, x_0)u, \quad B = \bar{\Delta}(\gamma, x_2)\bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_0)v \in M(1)[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, x_0^{\pm 1}], \\
C &= \bar{\Delta}(\alpha, -x_1)\bar{\Delta}(\beta, -x_2)w \in U[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}].
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following Jacobi identity

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right)Y_U(A, x_1)Y_U(B, x_2)C - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{-x_0}\right)Y_U(B, x_2)Y_U(A, x_1)C \\
&= x_1^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0}{x_1}\right)Y_U(Y(A, x_0)B, x_2)C.
\end{aligned}$$

Using this and delta-function substitutions we obtain the Jacobi identity as desired. This proves the assertions on vertex algebra structure and module structure. It follows from (3.11) that $M(1)$ is a vertex subalgebra with $e^0 \otimes v$ identified with v for $v \in M(1)$. Furthermore, by (3.14) (cf. (3.11)) we have

$$h_W(n)(e^\beta \otimes w) = e^\beta \otimes h(n)w \quad \text{for } n \neq 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$h_W(0)(e^\beta \otimes w) = e^\beta \otimes (\langle \beta, h \rangle + h(0))w \quad (3.16)$$

for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha, \beta \in L$, where $Y_W(h, x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_W(n)x^{-n-1}$.

Assume that U is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module. In view of Lemma 3.1, there exists $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $h(0)$ acts as scalar $\langle \lambda, h \rangle$ on U for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Furthermore, $h(0)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}e^\alpha \otimes U$ as scalar $\langle \lambda + \alpha, h \rangle$ for $\alpha \in L$. Consequently, $\mathbb{C}e^\alpha \otimes U$ for $\alpha \in L$ are non-isomorphic irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -submodules of $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(U)$. Furthermore, for $\alpha, \beta \in L$, $w \in U$ we have

$$Y_W(e^\alpha, x)(e^\beta \otimes w) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(\alpha, x)E^+(-\alpha, x)x^{\alpha(0)}w,$$

which gives

$$x^{-\alpha(0)}E^+(\alpha, x)(E^-(\alpha, x)Y_W(e^\alpha, x)(e^\beta \otimes w)) = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}w.$$

Then for every $\beta \in L$, $0 \neq w \in U$, $e^\beta \otimes w$ generates $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(U)$ as an $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module. It now follows that $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(U)$ is an irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module. \square

Remark 3.7. Let V be a simple vertex algebra containing $M(1)$ (associated to some \mathfrak{h}) as a vertex subalgebra such that V as an $M(1)$ -module is a direct sum of non-isomorphic irreducible modules $M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}e^\alpha$ with $\alpha \in S$, where S is a (nonempty) subset of \mathfrak{h} . It was proved in [LX] that S is an (additive) subgroup equipped with a function $\varepsilon : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, satisfying all the conditions (3.5)—(3.8), such that (3.12) holds for $\alpha, \beta \in S$. Now, Theorem 3.6 provides the existence of such a simple vertex algebra. On the other hand, it is straightforward to see that cohomologous cocycles ε give rise to isomorphic vertex algebras. If we restrict ourselves to finitely generated vertex algebras, then S is finitely generated. As S , a subgroup of \mathfrak{h} , is torsion-free, S is a finite rank free group. It follows from [FLM] (Chapter 5) that all the 2-cocycles $\varepsilon : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying (3.6)—(3.8) are cohomologous.

Lemma 3.8. *Let W be any irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module. Then W is an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module with $h(x) = Y_W(h, x)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, satisfying Condition C_L .*

Proof. With $M(1)$ as a vertex subalgebra of $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$, W is a module for vertex algebra $M(1)$, so that W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1. By Lemma 3.15 of [DLM2], there exists a nonzero vector $w \in W$ such that

$$\alpha(n)w = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L, n \geq 1.$$

For the rest of the proof we follow Dong's arguments in [D]. Let α be any nonzero element of L . As $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ is simple, from [DL], we have $Y(e^\alpha, x)w \neq 0$. Assume that

$e_k^\alpha w \neq 0$ and $e_m^\alpha w = 0$ for $m > k$. From the relation $\frac{d}{dx}e^\alpha(x)w = \alpha(x)e^\alpha(x)w$, extracting the coefficients of x^{-k-2} we obtain $(-k-1)e_k^\alpha w = \alpha(0)e_k^\alpha w$. That is, $e_k^\alpha w$ is an eigenvector of $\alpha(0)$ with integer eigenvalue $-k-1$. We have

$$[\alpha(m), h(n)] = m\langle \alpha, h \rangle \delta_{m+n,0}, \quad [\alpha(m), e_n^\beta] = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle e_{m+n}^\beta$$

for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\beta \in L$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. As W is irreducible, $e_k^\alpha w$ generates W by operators $h(m)$, e_m^β for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\beta \in L$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it follows that $\alpha(0)$ acts semisimply on W with only integer eigenvalues and that $\alpha(n)$ for $n \geq 1$ act locally nilpotently. \square

Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} \subset L$ be such that $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ is a basis for the subspace $\mathbb{C}L$ of \mathfrak{h} . Then extend $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ to a basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, u_1, \dots, u_s\}$ of \mathfrak{h} . Let $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r, v_1, \dots, v_s\}$ be the dual basis. Set

$$L^\circ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in L\}. \quad (3.17)$$

Consider subalgebras of $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 &= \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{n \geq 1} (\mathbb{C}\alpha_i(n) + \mathbb{C}\beta_i(-n)) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}, \\ \mathcal{L}_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \geq 1} (\mathbb{C}u_j(n) + \mathbb{C}v_j(-n)) + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\hat{\mathfrak{h}} = (\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1) \oplus \mathfrak{h}(0)$ with \mathcal{L}_0 , \mathcal{L}_1 , and $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ mutually commuting. If $\mathbb{C}L = \mathfrak{h}$, we have $\mathcal{L}_1 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$ and if $L = 0$, we have $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$. Otherwise, \mathcal{L}_0 and \mathcal{L}_1 are infinite-dimensional Heisenberg algebras.

Let $M_0(1)$ ($= \mathbb{C}[\beta_i(-n) \mid 1 \leq i \leq d, n \geq 1]$ as a vector space) denote the canonical irreducible \mathcal{L}_0 -module of level 1 on which $\alpha_i(n)$ acts locally nilpotent for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $n \geq 1$. Let U_1 be an irreducible \mathcal{L}_1 -module of level 1 which is restricted in the sense that for every $w \in U_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$, $u_j(n)w = 0$ for n sufficiently large. Let $\lambda \in L^\circ$ and denote by $\mathbb{C}e^\lambda$ (where e^λ is just a symbol) the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ -module with $h(0)$ acting as scalar $\langle \lambda, h \rangle$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. Set

$$M(1, \lambda, U_1) = \mathbb{C}e^\lambda \otimes U_1 \otimes M_0(1).$$

Then $M(1, \lambda, U_1)$ is an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1 with $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ acting on $\mathbb{C}e^\lambda$, \mathcal{L}_1 acting on U_1 , and \mathcal{L}_0 acting on $M_0(1)$.

Proposition 3.9. *The defined $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module $M(1, \lambda, U_1)$ satisfies Condition C_L and is irreducible. On the other hand, every irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module satisfying Condition C_L is isomorphic to $M(1, \lambda, U_1)$ for some $\lambda \in L^\circ$ and some irreducible restricted \mathcal{L}_1 -module U_1 of level 1.*

Proof. It is evident that $M(1, \lambda, U_1)$ satisfies Condition C_L . Notice that the Schur lemma holds for the \mathcal{L}_0 -module $M_0(1)$ as $M_0(1)$ is of countable dimension over \mathbb{C} . Then it follows that $M(1, \lambda, U_1)$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module. Let U be an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module satisfying Condition C_L . By Theorem 2.7, U viewed as an \mathcal{L}_0 -module is completely reducible with each irreducible submodule isomorphic to $M_0(1)$. Consequently, $U = M_0(1) \otimes U^1$, where $U^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{L}_0}(M_0(1), U)$ is naturally an $(\mathcal{L}_1 + \mathfrak{h}(0))$ -module of level 1. With U an irreducible restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module, U^1 irreducible and restricted. As $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ is central in $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and commutes with \mathcal{L}_1 , there exists $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $h(0)$ acts as scalar $\langle \lambda, h \rangle$ on U^1 for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, and U^1 is an irreducible \mathcal{L}_1 -module of level 1. From Condition C_L , we have $\langle \lambda, \alpha_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus $\lambda \in L^\circ$. Taking $U_1 = U^1$ viewed as an \mathcal{L}_1 -module, we have $U \simeq M(1, \lambda, U_1)$. \square

Let $\lambda \in L^\circ$ and U_1 an irreducible restricted \mathcal{L}_1 -module of level 1. In view of Proposition 3.9 and Theorem 3.6, we have an irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(U)$ with $U = M(1, \lambda, U_1)$. We denote this module by $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda, U_1)$, where

$$V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda, U_1) = \mathbb{C}e^\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}[L] \otimes U_1 \otimes M_0(1)$$

as a vector space. Set

$$\mathfrak{u}(0) = \mathbb{C}u_1(0) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}u_s(0) \subset \mathfrak{h}(0) \subset \hat{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let W be an irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module on which $\mathfrak{u}(0)$ acts semisimply. Then W is isomorphic to $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda, U_1)$ for some $\lambda \in L^\circ$ and for some irreducible restricted \mathcal{L}_1 -module U_1 of level 1.*

Proof. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$, set

$$W_\lambda = \{w \in W \mid h(0)w = \langle \lambda, h \rangle w \text{ for } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

Because $\alpha_1(0), \dots, \alpha_r(0)$ are semisimple by Lemma 3.8 and $\mathfrak{u}(0)$ is assumed to be semisimple, we have $W = \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}} W_\lambda$. As $[\mathfrak{h}(0), \hat{\mathfrak{h}}] = 0$, W_λ are $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -submodules of W . For $\alpha \in L$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $v \in M(1)$, we have

$$[h(0), Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes v, x)] = Y_W(h(0)(e^\alpha \otimes v), x) = \langle h, \alpha \rangle Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes v, x).$$

Consequently,

$$Y_W(e^\alpha \otimes v, x)W_\lambda \subset W_{\lambda+\alpha}[[x, x^{-1}]] \quad (3.18)$$

for $\alpha \in L$, $v \in M(1)$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$. Suppose that $W_{\lambda_0} \neq 0$ and let $0 \neq w \in W_{\lambda_0}$. By a result of [DM] and [Lil], the linear span of $\{a_m w \mid a \in V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -submodule of W . Consequently,

$$W = \text{span}\{a_m w \mid a \in V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Combining this with (3.18) and the decomposition $W = \coprod_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}} W_\lambda$, we get

$$W_{\lambda_0} = \text{span}\{v_m w \mid v \in M(1), m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \coprod_{\alpha \in L} W_{\lambda_0 + \alpha}.$$

It follows that W_{λ_0} is an irreducible module for $M(1)$ viewed as a vertex algebra. As \mathfrak{h} generates $M(1)$ as a vertex algebra, W_{λ_0} is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module. In view of Lemma 3.8, W_{λ_0} satisfies Condition C_L . We are going to prove that $W \simeq V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(W_{\lambda_0})$.

Let $\alpha \in L$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx} Y_W(e^\alpha, x) &= Y_W(L(-1)e^\alpha, x) = Y_W(\alpha(-1)e^\alpha, x) \\ &= \alpha(x)^+ Y_W(e^\alpha, x) + Y_W(e^\alpha, x) \alpha(x)^-, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(x)^+ = \sum_{n < 0} \alpha(n) x^{-n-1}$ and $\alpha(x)^- = \sum_{n \geq 0} \alpha(n) x^{-n-1}$. We also have $\frac{d}{dx} E^-(\alpha, x) = -E^-(\alpha, x) \alpha(x)^+$, and $\frac{d}{dx} E^+(\alpha, x) x^{-\alpha(0)} = -\alpha(x)^- E^+(\alpha, x) x^{-\alpha(0)}$. Using these relations we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dx} E^-(\alpha, x) Y_W(e^\alpha, x) E^+(\alpha, x) x^{-\alpha(0)} = 0.$$

Then we set

$$E_\alpha = E^-(\alpha, x) Y_W(e^\alpha, x) E^+(\alpha, x) x^{-\alpha(0)} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}} W.$$

Define a linear map $\psi : V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(W_{\lambda_0}) \rightarrow W$ by

$$\psi(e^\alpha \otimes u) = E_\alpha u \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L, u \in W_{\lambda_0}. \quad (3.19)$$

We are going to prove that ψ is a $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module isomorphism.

First, we establish some properties for E_α with $\alpha \in L$. For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, we have

$$[h(0), E_\alpha] = E^-(\alpha, x) [h(0), Y_W(e^\alpha, x)] E^+(\alpha, x) x^{-\alpha(0)} = \langle h, \alpha \rangle E_\alpha. \quad (3.20)$$

As $h(i)e^\alpha = \delta_{i,0} \langle h, \alpha \rangle e^\alpha$ for $i \geq 0$, we have

$$[h(n), Y_W(e^\alpha, x)] = \langle h, \alpha \rangle x^n Y_W(e^\alpha, x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $n > 0$, from [FLM] (Proposition 4.1.1) we also have

$$\begin{aligned} [h(n), E^-(\alpha, x)] &= -\langle h, \alpha \rangle x^n E^-(\alpha, x), \\ [h(n), E^+(\alpha, x)] &= 0 = [h(n), \alpha(0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get $[h(n), E_\alpha] = 0$. Similarly, we have $[E_\alpha, h(n)] = 0$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n < 0$.

From the definition of E_α we have

$$Y_W(e^\alpha, x) = E^-(\alpha, x) E^+(\alpha, x) E_\alpha x^{\alpha(0)}. \quad (3.21)$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in L$, from (3.12) we have

$$e_{-\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - 1}^\alpha e^\beta = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) e^{\alpha + \beta} \quad \text{and} \quad e_m^\alpha e^\beta = 0 \quad \text{for } m \geq -\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle.$$

Let $0 \neq w \in W_{\lambda_0}$ be such that $\gamma(n)w = 0$ for $\gamma \in L$, $n \geq 1$. Using (3.21) we have

$$e_{-\langle \gamma, \lambda_0 \rangle - 1}^\gamma w = E_\gamma w \quad \text{and} \quad e_m^\gamma w = 0 \quad \text{for } \gamma \in L, m \geq -\langle \gamma, \lambda_0 \rangle.$$

In particular, this is true for $\gamma = \alpha$, or β . Combining this with Jacobi identity we get

$$(x_0 + x_2)^{-\langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle} Y_W(e^\alpha, x_0 + x_2) Y_W(e^\beta, x_2) w = (x_2 + x_0)^{-\langle \alpha, \lambda_0 \rangle} Y_W(Y(e^\alpha, x_0) e^\beta, x_2) w.$$

Then by applying $\text{Res}_{x_0} \text{Res}_{x_2} x_0^{-\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle - 1} x_2^{-\langle \beta, \lambda_0 \rangle - 1}$ we obtain

$$E_\alpha E_\beta = \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) E_{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (3.22)$$

Finally, we are ready to finish the proof. We have

$$\psi(h(0)(e^\alpha \otimes u)) = \langle \alpha, h \rangle E_\alpha u + E_\alpha h(0)u = h(0)E_\alpha u = h(0)\psi(e^\alpha \otimes u).$$

Then ψ is an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module homomorphism. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(Y_W(e^\alpha, x)(e^\beta \otimes u)) &= \psi(\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) e^{\alpha + \beta} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E^-(-\alpha, x) E^+(-\alpha, x) x^{\alpha(0)} u) \\ &= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E_{\alpha + \beta} E^-(-\alpha, x) E^+(-\alpha, x) x^{\alpha(0)} u \\ &= x^{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle} E_\alpha E_\beta E^-(-\alpha, x) E^+(-\alpha, x) x^{\alpha(0)} u \\ &= E^-(-\alpha, x) E^+(-\alpha, x) E_\alpha x^{\alpha(0)} E_\beta u \\ &= Y_W(e^\alpha, x) \psi(e^\beta \otimes u). \end{aligned}$$

As \mathfrak{h} and e^α ($\alpha \in L$) generate $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ as a vertex algebra, ψ is a $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in L^\circ$ and let U_1 and U_2 be irreducible restricted \mathcal{L}_1 -modules of level 1. Then $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_1, U_1) \simeq V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_2, U_2)$ if and only if $\lambda_1 + L = \lambda_2 + L$ and $U_1 \simeq U_2$.*

Proof. Note that for each $\alpha \in L$, $\mathbb{C}e^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}e^{\lambda_i} \otimes U_i \otimes M_0(1)$ is an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -submodule of $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_i, U_i)$ and

$$\mathbb{C}e^\alpha \otimes \mathbb{C}e^{\lambda_i} \otimes U_i \otimes M_0(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}e^{\lambda_i + \alpha} \otimes U_i \otimes M_0(1) = M(1, \lambda_i + \alpha, U_i).$$

We see that the set of $\mathfrak{h}(0)$ -weights of $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_i, U_i)$ is $\lambda_i + L$. If $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_1, U_1) \simeq V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_2, U_2)$, we must have $\lambda_1 + L = \lambda_2 + L$ and $U_1 \otimes M_0(1) \simeq U_2 \otimes M_0(1)$ as $(\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1)$ -modules, which implies that $U_1 \simeq U_2$.

On the other hand, assume $\lambda_1 + L = \lambda_2 + L$ and $U_1 = U_2$. Then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 + \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in L$. Define a linear isomorphism $\theta : V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_1, U_1) \rightarrow V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}(\lambda_2, U_2)$ by

$$\theta(e^\beta \otimes e^{\lambda_1} \otimes w) = \varepsilon(\beta, \gamma) (e^{\beta + \gamma} \otimes e^{\lambda_2} \otimes w)$$

for $\beta \in L$, $w \in U_1 \otimes M_0(1)$. It is clear that θ is an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module isomorphism. Furthermore, for $\alpha \in L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \theta(Y_W(e^\alpha, x)(e^\beta \otimes e^{\lambda_1} \otimes w)) \\
&= \theta(\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta + \lambda_1 \rangle} e^{\lambda_1} \otimes E^-(-\alpha, x)E^+(-\alpha, x)w) \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta)\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta + \lambda_1 \rangle} e^{\lambda_2} \otimes E^-(-\alpha, x)E^+(-\alpha, x)w \\
&= \varepsilon(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)\varepsilon(\beta, \gamma)e^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \otimes x^{\langle \alpha, \beta + \gamma + \lambda_2 \rangle} e^{\lambda_2} \otimes E^-(-\alpha, x)E^+(-\alpha, x)w \\
&= Y_W(e^\alpha, x)\theta(e^\beta \otimes e^{\lambda_1} \otimes w).
\end{aligned}$$

Since \mathfrak{h} and e^α for $\alpha \in L$ generate $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ as a vertex algebra, θ is a $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module homomorphism. \square

Remark 3.12. Assume that L is a nondegenerate even lattice with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$. Let U be an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module satisfying Condition C_L . Then for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $n \geq 1$, $h(n)$ is locally nilpotent and $h(0)$ is semisimple on U . It follows that U is completely reducible. Since $\alpha(0)$ for $\alpha \in L$ are assumed to have only integer eigenvalues, U is a direct sum of irreducible modules isomorphic to $M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}e^\beta$ for $\beta \in L^\circ$. If U is irreducible, we have $U = M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}e^\beta$ for some $\beta \in L^\circ$. Thus Theorem 3.6 recovers the corresponding results of [FLM] with a different proof. In this case, the assumption in Theorem 3.10 that $\mathfrak{u}(0)$ is semisimple is vacuous. Then we recover Dong's corresponding result in [D] (cf. [DLM2], [LL]).

Remark 3.13. Let (\mathfrak{h}, L) be a pair as in Theorems 3.6 and 3.10 such that $\mathbb{C}L \neq \mathfrak{h}$. In this case, \mathcal{L}_1 is infinite-dimensional and from Section 2 there are many irreducible restricted \mathcal{L}_1 -modules of level 1 besides the one on the polynomial algebra, which give rise to families of irreducible $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -modules.

4 A characterization of vertex algebras $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_L = 0$

In this section we study vertex algebras $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_L = 0$. In this case, we give a characterization of the vertex algebras in terms of a certain affine Lie algebra.

Let \mathfrak{h} be a finite-dimensional vector space equipped with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $L \subset \mathfrak{h}$ a free abelian group with $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$ for $\alpha, \beta \in L$. View \mathfrak{h} and the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L]$ as abelian Lie algebras. Let \mathfrak{h} act on $\mathbb{C}[L]$ by

$$h \cdot e^\alpha = \langle h, \alpha \rangle e^\alpha \quad \text{for } h \in \mathfrak{h}, \alpha \in L.$$

Then \mathfrak{h} acts on $\mathbb{C}[L]$ (viewed as a Lie algebra) by derivations. Form the cross product Lie algebra $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{h} \ltimes \mathbb{C}[L]$ and extend the bilinear form on \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{p} by

$$\langle h + u, h' + v \rangle = \langle h, h' \rangle \quad \text{for } h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}, u, v \in \mathbb{C}[L]. \quad (4.1)$$

This form is symmetric and invariant. Then we have an affine Lie algebra

$$\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k},$$

where \mathbf{k} is central and

$$\begin{aligned} [h(m), h'(n)] &= m\langle h, h' \rangle \delta_{m+n, 0} \mathbf{k}, \\ [h(m), e^\alpha(n)] &= \langle h, \alpha \rangle e^\alpha(m+n), \\ [e^\alpha(m), e^\beta(n)] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha, \beta \in L$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let ℓ be a complex number. Denote by \mathbb{C}_ℓ the 1-dimensional $(\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})$ -module \mathbb{C} with $\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acting trivially and with \mathbf{k} acting as scalar ℓ . Form the induced $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module

$$V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(\ell, 0) = U(\hat{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] + \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})} \mathbb{C}_\ell.$$

We identify \mathfrak{p} as a subspace of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(\ell, 0)$ through the linear map $a \mapsto a(-1)\mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1$. In particular, we identify α with $\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$ and e^α with $e^\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$ for $\alpha \in L$. Then there exists a vertex algebra structure on $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(\ell, 0)$ with $\mathbf{1}$ as the vacuum vector and with $Y(a, x) = a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ (cf. [LL]). Recall that \mathcal{D} is the linear operator on $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(\ell, 0)$ defined by $\mathcal{D}v = v_{-2}\mathbf{1}$. Note that the normalized 2-cocycle $\varepsilon : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ defined in Remark 3.4 is trivial in the sense that $\varepsilon(\alpha, \beta) = 1$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in L$.

Theorem 4.1. *The vertex algebra $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ is isomorphic to the quotient vertex algebra of $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, 0)$ modulo the ideal J generated by the elements*

$$e^0 - \mathbf{1}, \quad e_{-1}^\alpha e^\beta - e^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \mathcal{D}e^\alpha - \alpha(-1)e^\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in L.$$

Proof. First, we show that $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of level 1 with $h(x) = Y(h, x)$ and $e^\alpha(x) = Y(e^\alpha, x)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha \in L$. We know that $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ is an $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1 with $h(x) = Y(h, x)$. For $\alpha, \beta \in L$, since $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = 0$, we have

$$Y(e^\alpha, x)e^\beta = E^(-\alpha, x)E^+(-\alpha, x)e^\alpha x^{\alpha(0)} \cdot e^\beta = E^(-\alpha, x)e^{\alpha+\beta},$$

which contains only nonnegative powers of x , so that

$$[Y(e^\alpha, x_1), Y(e^\beta, x_2)] = 0.$$

For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, since $h(n)e^\alpha = \delta_{n,0}\langle h, \alpha \rangle e^\alpha$ for $n \geq 0$, we have

$$[Y(h, x_1), Y(e^\alpha, x_2)] = \langle h, \alpha \rangle x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) Y(e^\alpha, x_2).$$

Then $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ is a $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of level 1. Clearly, $\mathbf{1}$ generates $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ as a $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module with $a(n)\mathbf{1} = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, $n \geq 0$. It follows that there exists a unique $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module homomorphism ψ from $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, 0)$ onto $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$, sending the vacuum vector to the vacuum vector. That is, $\psi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$ and

$$\psi(a_m v) = a_m \psi(v) \quad \text{for } a \in \mathfrak{p}, v \in V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, 0), m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

As \mathfrak{p} generates $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1,0)$ as a vertex algebra, it follows that ψ is a vertex algebra homomorphism. It is easy to see that the following relations hold in $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}$:

$$e^0 = \mathbf{1}, \quad e_{-1}^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \mathcal{D}e^\alpha = e_{-2}^\alpha \mathbf{1} = \alpha(-1)e^\alpha \quad \text{for } \alpha \in L,$$

so that the kernel of ψ contains the ideal J . Set $V = V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1,0)/J$ (the quotient vertex algebra). Due to the linear map ψ , the linear map $a \in \mathfrak{p} \mapsto a(-1)\mathbf{1} \in V$ is also injective, so that \mathfrak{p} can be identified as a subspace of V . Set

$$K = \sum_{\alpha \in L} U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})e^\alpha \subset V.$$

We shall show that $K = V$ by proving that K is a vertex subalgebra of V , containing all the generators. As $[\mathcal{D}, h(m)] = -mh(m-1)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{D}e^\alpha = \alpha(-1)e^\alpha$ for $\alpha \in L$, we see that $\mathcal{D}K \subset K$. For $\alpha, \beta \in L$, we have $e_n^\alpha e^\beta = 0$ for $n \geq 0$ and $e_{-1}^\alpha e^\beta = e^{\alpha+\beta}$. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ne_{-n-1}^\alpha e^\beta &= [\mathcal{D}, e_{-n}^\alpha]e^\beta = \mathcal{D}e_{-n}^\alpha e^\beta - e_{-n}^\alpha \mathcal{D}e^\beta = \mathcal{D}e_{-n}^\alpha e^\beta - e_{-n}^\alpha \beta(-1)e^\beta \\ &= (\mathcal{D} - \beta(-1))e_{-n}^\alpha e^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $[\beta(-1), e_m^\alpha] = 0$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows from induction that $e_{-n-1}^\alpha e^\beta \in K$ for $n \geq 0$. Thus

$$e_m^\alpha e^\beta \in K \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta, m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha \in L$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$e_m^\alpha h(n) = h(n)e_m^\alpha + \langle \alpha, h \rangle e_{m+n}^\alpha.$$

Again, it follows from induction that $e_m^\alpha K \subset K$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus K is a $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule of V , containing the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1}$. Consequently, $K = V$. For $\alpha \in L$, $U(\hat{\mathfrak{h}})e^\alpha$ is an irreducible $\hat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module of level 1, which is isomorphic to $M(1) \otimes \mathbb{C}e^\alpha$. Then it follows that ψ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 4.2. *For any $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}$ -module (W, Y_W) , W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of level 1 with $a(x) = Y_W(a, x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{p}$, satisfying the condition that*

$$e^0(x) = 1, \quad e^{\alpha+\beta}(x) = e^\alpha(x)e^\beta(x), \quad \frac{d}{dx}e^\alpha(x) = \alpha(x)e^\alpha(x) \quad (4.2)$$

for $\alpha \in L$. On the other hand, if W is a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of level 1, satisfying the above condition, then there exists a $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}$ -module structure Y_W such that $Y_W(a, x) = a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. Let (W, Y_W) be a $V_{(\mathfrak{h},L)}$ -module. Then W is naturally a $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1,0)$ -module. We have

$$e^0(x) = Y_W(e^0, x) = Y_W(\mathbf{1}, x) = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dx}e^\alpha(x) &= \frac{d}{dx}Y_W(e^\alpha, x) = Y_W(\mathcal{D}e^\alpha, x) = Y_W(\alpha(-1)e^\alpha, x) = Y_W(\alpha, x)Y_W(e^\alpha, x) \\
&= \alpha(x)e^\alpha(x), \\
e^\alpha(x)e^\beta(x) &= Y_W(e^\alpha, x)Y_W(e^\beta, x) = Y_W(e_{-1}^\alpha e^\beta, x) = Y_W(e^{\alpha+\beta}, x) = e^{\alpha+\beta}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, let W be a restricted $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of level 1, satisfying the conditions. Then W is a $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, 0)$ -module with $Y_W(a, x) = a(x)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_W(e^0 - \mathbf{1}, x) &= e^0(x) - 1 = 0, \\
Y_W(e_{-1}^\alpha e^\beta - e^{\alpha+\beta}, x) &= Y_W(e^\alpha, x)Y_W(e^\beta, x) - Y_W(e^{\alpha+\beta}, x) \\
&= e^\alpha(x)e^\beta(x) - e^{\alpha+\beta}(x) = 0, \\
Y_W(\mathcal{D}e^\alpha - \alpha(-1)e^\alpha, x) &= \frac{d}{dx}Y_W(e^\alpha, x) - Y_W(\alpha, x)Y_W(e^\alpha, x) \\
&= \frac{d}{dx}e^\alpha(x) - \alpha(x)e^\alpha(x) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then W is naturally a module for the quotient vertex algebra $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{p}}}(1, 0)/J$, where J is the ideal generated by the vectors

$$e^0 - \mathbf{1}, \quad e_{-1}^\alpha e^\beta - e^{\alpha+\beta}, \quad \mathcal{D}e^\alpha - \alpha(-1)e^\alpha$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in L$. In view of Theorem 4.1, W is a $V_{(\mathfrak{h}, L)}$ -module. \square

References

- [BDT] S. Berman, C. Dong and S. Tan, Representations of a class of lattice type vertex algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **176** (2002) 27-47.
- [Bo] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986) 3068-3071.
- [D] C. Dong, Vertex algebras associated with even lattices, *J. Algebra* **160** (1993) 245-265.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Math., Vol. 112, 1993.
- [DLM1] C. Dong, H.-S. Li, and G. Mason, Simple currents and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **180** (1996) 671-707.
- [DLM2] C. Dong, H.-S. Li, and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Adv. Math.* **132** (1997) 148-166.
- [DM] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997) 305-321.

- [FKRW] E. Frenkel, V. Kac, A. Radul and W. Wang, $W_{1+\infty}$ and $W(gl_\infty)$ with central charge N , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **170** (1995) 337-357.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Applied Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [K] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H.-S. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Math. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [LW1] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, Construction of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **62** (1978) 43-53.
- [LW2] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, A Lie theoretic interpretation and proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Advances in Math.* **45** (1982) 21-72.
- [Li1] H.-S. Li, Representation theory and tensor product theory for vertex operator algebras, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1994.
- [Li2] H.-S. Li, The physics superselection principle in vertex operator algebra theory, *J. Algebra* **196** (1997) 436-457.
- [Li3] H.-S. Li, Extension of vertex operator algebras by a self-dual simple module, *J. Algebra* **187** (1997) 236-267.
- [Li4] H.-S. Li, Certain extensions of vertex operator algebras of affine type, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 653-696.
- [LX] H.-S. Li and X. Xu, A characterization of vertex algebras associated to even lattices, *J. Algebra* **173** (1995) 253-270.
- [MP] A. Meurman and M. Primc, Vertex operator algebras and representations of affine Lie algebras, *Acta Applicandae Math.* **44** (1996) 207-215.