THE ESCAPING SET OF A QUASIREGULAR MAPPING

WALTER BERGWEILER, ALASTAIR FLETCHER, JIM LANGLEY, AND JANIS MEYER

Abstract. We show that if the maximum modulus of a quasiregular mapping $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ grows sufficiently rapidly then there exists a non-empty escaping set $I(f)$ consisting of points whose forward orbits under iteration of f tend to infinity. We also construct a quasiregular mapping for which the closure of $I(f)$ has a bounded component. This stands in contrast to the situation for entire functions in the complex plane, for which all components of the closure of $I(f)$ are unbounded, and where it is in fact conjectured that all components of $I(f)$ are unbounded.

MSC 2000: Primary 30C65, 30C62; secondary 37F10.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the study [\[1\]](#page-9-0) of the dynamics of nonlinear entire functions $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ considerable recent attention has focussed on the escaping set

$$
I(f) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(z) = \infty \},
$$

where $f^1 = f, f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n$ denote the iterates of f. Eremenko [\[5\]](#page-9-1) proved that if f is transcendental then $I(f) \neq \emptyset$ and indeed that, in keeping with the nonlinear polynomial case [\[17\]](#page-9-2), the boundary of $I(f)$ is the Julia set $J(f)$. The proof in [\[5\]](#page-9-1) that $I(f)$ is non-empty is based on the Wiman-Valiron theory [\[6\]](#page-9-3).

For transcendental entire functions f , Eremenko went on to prove in [\[5\]](#page-9-1) that all components of the closure of $I(f)$ are unbounded, and to conjecture that the same is true of $I(f)$ itself. For entire functions with bounded postcritical set this conjecture was proved by Rempe [\[11\]](#page-9-4), and for the general case it was shown by Rippon and Stallard [\[15\]](#page-9-5) that $I(f)$ has at least one unbounded component.

In the meromorphic case the set $I(f)$ was first studied by Dominguez [\[4\]](#page-9-6), who proved that again $I(f) \neq \emptyset$ and $\partial I(f) = J(f)$. For meromorphic f it is possible that all components of $I(f)$ are bounded [\[4\]](#page-9-6), and the closure of $I(f)$ may have bounded components even if f has only one pole $[4, p.229]$. On the other hand $I(f)$ always has at least one unbounded component if the inverse function f^{-1} has a direct transcendental singularity over infinity: this was proved by Bergweiler, Rippon and Stallard [\[3\]](#page-9-7) by developing an analogue of the Wiman-Valiron theory in the presence of a direct singularity.

The present paper is concerned with the escaping set for quasiregular mappings $f: \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ [\[14\]](#page-9-8), which represent a natural counterpart in higher real dimensions of analytic functions in the plane, and exhibit many analogous properties, a highlight among these being Rickman's Picard theorem for entire quasiregular maps

This research was supported by: the G.I.F, the German-Israeli Foundation for Scientific Research and Development, Grant G-809-234.6/2003, and the EU Research Training Network CODY (Bergweiler); EPSRC grant RA22AP(Fletcher and Langley); the ESF Research Networking Programme HCAA (Bergweiler and Langley); DFG grant ME 3198/1-1 (Meyer).

2 WALTER BERGWEILER, ALASTAIR FLETCHER, JIM LANGLEY, AND JANIS MEYER

[\[12,](#page-9-9) [14\]](#page-9-8). For the precise definition and further properties of quasiregular mappings we refer the reader to Rickman's text [\[14\]](#page-9-8). Now the iterates of an entire quasiregular map are again quasiregular, and properties such as the existence of periodic points were investigated in [\[2,](#page-9-10) [16\]](#page-9-11). Further, there is increasing interest in the dynamics of quasiregular mappings on the compactification $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N}$ of \mathbb{R}^N , although attention has been restricted to mappings which are uniformly quasiregular in the sense that all iterates have a common bound on their dilatation: see [\[8,](#page-9-12) Section 21] and [\[7\]](#page-9-13). In the absence of this uniform quasiregularity there are evidently some difficulties in extending some concepts of complex dynamics to quasiregular mappings in general, but the escaping set $I(f)$ makes sense nevertheless, and we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Let $N \geq 2$ and $K > 1$. Then there exists $J > 1$, depending only on N and K, with the following property.

Let $R > 0$ and let $f: D_R \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a K-quasiregular mapping, where $D_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain containing the set

(1)
$$
B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : R \leq |x| < \infty\}.
$$

Assume that f satisfies

(2)
$$
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{M(r, f)}{r} \ge J, \quad \text{where} \quad M(r, f) = \max\{|f(x)| : |x| = r\},
$$

and define the escaping set by

(3)
$$
I(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \lim_{n \to \infty} f^n(x) = \infty\}, \quad f^1 = f, \quad f^{n+1} = f \circ f^n.
$$

Then $I(f)$ is non-empty. If, in addition, f is K-quasiregular on \mathbb{R}^N then $I(f)$ has an unbounded component.

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is based on the approach of Dominguez [\[4\]](#page-9-6), as well as that of Rippon and Stallard [\[15\]](#page-9-5). A key role is played also by the analogue of Zalcman's lemma [\[18,](#page-9-14) [19\]](#page-9-15) developed for quasiregular mappings by Miniowitz [\[9\]](#page-9-16) (see §[2\)](#page-2-0). It seems worth observing that in Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) the hypothesis [\(2\)](#page-1-1) cannot be replaced by

$$
\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{M(r, f)}{r} > 1,
$$

as the following example shows. Take cylindrical polar coordinates $r \cos \theta$, $r \sin \theta$, x_3 in \mathbb{R}^3 , let $\lambda > 0$ and and let f be the mapping defined by

$$
0 \to 0, \quad (re^{i\theta}, x_3) \to (re^{\lambda \cos \theta + i(\theta + \pi)}, x_3).
$$

Then f^2 is given by

$$
(re^{i\theta}, x_3) \rightarrow (re^{\lambda \cos \theta + \lambda \cos(\theta + \pi) + i(\theta + 2\pi)}, x_3)
$$

and so is the identity, while since f is C^1 on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ and satisfies $f(2x) = 2f(x)$ it is easy to see that f is quasiconformal on \mathbb{R}^3 . On the other hand if $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is quasiregular with an essential singularity at infinity, then $M(r, f)/r \to \infty$ as $r \to \infty$ (see, for example, [\[2,](#page-9-10) Lemma 3.4]) so that [\(2\)](#page-1-1) holds with any $J > 1$.

Next, we show in §[6](#page-5-0) that there exists a quasiregular mapping f on \mathbb{R}^2 with an essential singularity at infinity, such that the closure of $I(f)$ has a bounded component. Thus while the result of [\[15\]](#page-9-5) that $I(f)$ has at least one unbounded component extends to quasiregular mappings by Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) Eremenko's theorem [\[5\]](#page-9-1) that all components of the closure of $I(f)$ are unbounded does not.

We remark finally that it is easy to show that if f is quasimeromorphic with infinitely many poles in \mathbb{R}^N then $I(f)$ is non-empty, and for completeness we outline how this is proved in §[7,](#page-8-0) using the "jumping from pole to pole" method [\[3,](#page-9-7) [4\]](#page-9-6).

2. Theorems of Rickman and Miniowitz

Let G be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N . A continuous mapping $f: G \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is called quasireg-ular [\[14\]](#page-9-8) if f belongs to the Sobolev space $W^1_{N,loc}(G)$ and there exists $K \in [1,\infty)$ such that

$$
|f'(x)|^N \le K J_f
$$
 a.e. in G.

Moreover, f is called K -quasiregular if its inner and outer dilations do not exceed K: for the details and equivalent definitions we refer the reader to $[14]$. Rickman proved [\[12,](#page-9-9) [14\]](#page-9-8) that given $N \geq 2$ and $K \geq 1$ there exists an integer $C(N, K)$ such that if f is K-quasiregular on \mathbb{R}^N and omits $C(N, K)$ distinct values $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ then f is constant. Here $C(2, K) = 2$ because a quasiregular mapping in \mathbb{R}^2 may be written as the composition of a quasiconformal mapping with an entire function, but for $N \geq 3$ this integer $C(N, K)$ in general exceeds 2 [\[13,](#page-9-17) [14\]](#page-9-8).

Miniowitz [\[9\]](#page-9-16) established for quasiregular mappings the following direct analogue of Zalcman's lemma [\[18,](#page-9-14) [19\]](#page-9-15). A family F of K -quasiregular mappings on the unit ball B^N of \mathbb{R}^N is not normal if and only if there exist

$$
f_n \in F
$$
, $x_n \in B^N$, $x_n \to \hat{x} \in B^N$, $\rho_n \to 0+$,

such that

$$
f_n(x_n + \rho_n x) \to f(x)
$$

locally uniformly in \mathbb{R}^N , where f is K-quasiregular and non-constant. Using this she established the following analogue of Montel's theorem, in which $C(N, K)$ is the integer from Rickman's theorem [\[12\]](#page-9-9) and $\chi(x, y)$ denotes the spherical distance on \mathbb{R}^N .

Theorem 2.1 ([\[9\]](#page-9-16)). Let $N \geq 2, K > 1, \varepsilon > 0$ and let D be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Let F be a family of functions K -quasiregular on D with the following property. Each $f \in F$ omits $q = C(N, K)$ values $a_1(f), \ldots, a_q(f)$ on D, which may depend on f but satisfy

$$
\chi(a_j(f), a_k(f)) \geq \varepsilon \quad \text{for} \quad j \neq k.
$$

Then F is normal on D.

Theorem [2.1](#page-2-1) leads at once to the following standard lemma of Schottky type.

Lemma 2.1. Let $N \geq 2$ and $K > 1$. Then there exists $Q > 2$ with the following property. Let f be K-quasiregular on the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 1 < |x| < 4\}$ such that f omits $q = C(N, K)$ values y_1, \ldots, y_q , with

$$
|y_j| = 4^{j-1}, \quad j = 1, ..., q.
$$

If $\min\{|f(x)| : |x| = 2\} \leq 2$ then $\max\{|f(x)| : |x| = 2\} \leq Q$.

3. Two lemmas needed for Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)

We need the following two facts, the first of which is from Newman's book [\[10,](#page-9-18) Exercise, p.84]:

Lemma 3.1. Let G be a continuum in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N} = \mathbb{R}^N \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\infty \in G$, and let H be a component of $\mathbb{R}^N \cap G$. Then H is unbounded.

4 WALTER BERGWEILER, ALASTAIR FLETCHER, JIM LANGLEY, AND JANIS MEYER

This leads on to the second fact we need:

Lemma 3.2. Let E be a continuum in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N}$ such that $\infty \in E$, and let $g : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be a continuous open mapping. Then the preimage

$$
g^{-1}(E) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : g(x) \in E \}
$$

cannot have a bounded component.

For completeness we give a proof of Lemma [3.2](#page-3-0) in §[8.](#page-8-1)

4. An analogue of Bohr's theorem

Let $f: D_R \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be K-quasiregular, where $D_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain containing the set B_R in [\(1\)](#page-1-2), and assume that f satisfies [\(2\)](#page-1-1) for some $J > 1$. For $0 \le r < s \le \infty$ set

$$
A(r,s) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : r < |x| < s \}.
$$

Using [\(2\)](#page-1-1) choose $s_0 > R$ such that

 $M(r, f) > M(R, f)$ for all $r \geq s_0$.

Then $M(r, f)$ is strictly increasing on $[s_0, \infty)$ because if $s_0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \infty$ and $M(r_2, f) \leq M(r_1, f)$ then $|f(x)|$ has a local maximum at some $\hat{x} \in A(R, r_2)$, which contradicts the openness of the mapping f. Following Dominguez $[4]$ we establish a lemma analogous to Bohr's theorem.

Lemma 4.1. Let $c = 1/2Q$, where Q is the constant of Lemma [2.1.](#page-2-2) Then for all sufficiently large ρ there exists $L \geq cM(\rho/2, f)$ such that

$$
S(0, L) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} : |x| = L \} \subseteq f(A(R, \rho)).
$$

Proof. Using [\(2\)](#page-1-1) let ρ be so large that

(4)
$$
\rho > 4R
$$
 and $S = cM(\rho/2, f) > 2T = 4M(R, f),$

and assume that the assertion of the lemma is false for ρ . Then for $j = 1, \ldots, q$, where $q = C(N, K)$ is the integer from Rickman's Picard theorem [\[12\]](#page-9-9) (see §[2\)](#page-2-0), there exists $a_j \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with

(5)
$$
|a_j| = 4^{j-1}S
$$
 and $a_j \notin f(A(R, \rho)).$

Furthermore, there exists $x_1 \in A(R, \rho/2)$ such that $|f(x_1)| = S$. To see this join a point x_0 on $S(0, \rho/2)$ such that $|f(x_0)| = M(\rho/2, f)$ to $S(0, R)$ by a radial segment and use [\(4\)](#page-3-1) and the fact that $c < 1$. Let G be the component of the set

$$
\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : T < |f(x)| < 2S\}
$$

which contains x_1 . Then $G \subseteq A(R, \infty)$ by [\(4\)](#page-3-1). Suppose first that $G \subseteq A(R, \rho/2)$. Then the closure \overline{G} of G lies in $A(R, \rho)$, by [\(4\)](#page-3-1) again. Choose a geodesic $\sigma \subseteq S(0, S)$ joining $f(x_1)$ to a_1 . Let

$$
\mu = \inf\{|f(x) - a_1| : x \in \overline{G}, f(x) \in \sigma\}
$$

and take $\zeta_n \in \overline{G}$ with $f(\zeta_n) \in \sigma$ and $|f(\zeta_n) - a_1| \to \mu$. Then we may assume that $\zeta_n \to \hat{\zeta} \in \overline{G}$, and we have $f(\hat{\zeta}) \in \sigma$ and so $\hat{\zeta} \in G$. But then the open mapping theorem forces $\mu = |f(\hat{\zeta}) - a_1| = 0$, which contradicts [\(5\)](#page-3-2).

Thus $G \nsubseteq A(R, \rho/2)$ and this implies using [\(4\)](#page-3-1) again that there must exist x_2 on $S(0, \rho/2)$ such that $|f(x_2)| \leq 2S$. By [\(4\)](#page-3-1) and [\(5\)](#page-3-2) the function $g(x) = f(x\rho/4)/S$ is K-quasiregular on $A(1,4)$, and omits the q values $y_j = a_j/S$, which satisfy

 $|y_j| = 4^{j-1}$. Since $|g(4x_2/\rho)| \le 2$, Lemma [2.1](#page-2-2) implies that $|g(x)| \le Q$ for $|x| = 2$, which gives

$$
M(\rho/2, f) \le QS = QcM(\rho/2, f) = \frac{M(\rho/2, f)}{2},
$$

a contradiction.

5. Proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0)

Again let $f: D_R \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be K-quasiregular, where $D_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a domain containing the set B_R in [\(1\)](#page-1-2), but this time assume that f satisfies [\(2\)](#page-1-1) for some large positive J. Retain the notation of §[4.](#page-3-3) Following Dominguez' method [\[4\]](#page-9-6) let $\rho_0 > R$ be so large that every $\rho \ge \rho_0$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma [4.1](#page-3-4) and further that, with the same constant c as in Lemma [4.1,](#page-3-4)

(6)
$$
cM(\rho/2, f) > 4\rho > \rho > M(R, f) \text{ for all } \rho \ge \rho_0,
$$

which is possible by [\(2\)](#page-1-1) and the assumption that J is large. Fix $\rho \ge \rho_0$.

Lemma 5.1. There exist bounded open sets G_0, G_1, \ldots with the following properties.

(i) The set $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N} \setminus G_n$ has two components, namely

$$
\widetilde{G}_n = \overline{B(0, R)} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \le R \}
$$

and $G_n^* = A_n$, which satisfies $\infty \in A_n$. (ii) We have

(7)
$$
\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : R < |x| \le 2^n \rho\} \subseteq G_n.
$$

(iii) The sets G_n , A_n and $\gamma_n = \partial A_n$ satisfy

(8)
$$
\gamma_{n+1} \subseteq f(\gamma_n) \quad and \quad f(G_n) \cap A_{n+1} = \emptyset.
$$

Proof. The open sets G_n will be constructed inductively. We begin by setting $G_0 = A(R, \rho')$ for some $\rho' > \rho$, so that [\(7\)](#page-4-0) obviously is satisfied for $n = 0$. It remains to show how to construct G_{n+1} given the existence of G_0, \ldots, G_n for some $n \geq 0$. The fact that f maps open sets to open sets gives

(9)
$$
\partial f(G_n) \subseteq f(\partial G_n) = f(S(0,R)) \cup f(\gamma_n),
$$

using (i) and the definition $\gamma_n = \partial A_n$. By Lemma [4.1,](#page-3-4) [\(6\)](#page-4-1) and [\(7\)](#page-4-0) there exists

(10)
$$
T_n \ge cM(2^{n-1}\rho, f) > 2^{n+2}\rho
$$
 with $S(0, T_n) \subseteq f(A(R, 2^n \rho)) \subseteq f(G_n)$.

Now $f(G_n)$ is a bounded open set, so let A_{n+1} be the component of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N} \setminus f(G_n)$ which contains ∞ and set

$$
\gamma_{n+1} = \partial A_{n+1}.
$$

Then by [\(10\)](#page-4-2) we have

(12)
$$
\gamma_{n+1} \subseteq A_{n+1} \subseteq A(2^{n+2}\rho,\infty),
$$

and (6) , (9) and (11) imply the first assertion of (8) . Let

$$
G_{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus (\overline{B(0,R)} \cup A_{n+1}).
$$

Then (i) is satisfied with n replaced by $n+1$, and the second assertion of [\(8\)](#page-4-5) follows from the definition of A_{n+1} . Finally [\(12\)](#page-4-6) shows that [\(7\)](#page-4-0) is satisfied with n replaced by $n + 1$, and so the induction is complete. **Lemma 5.2.** Let $w \in \gamma_n$. Then there exists $z_n \in \gamma_0$ with $f^n(z_n) = w$ and

(13)
$$
f^{m}(z_{n}) \in \gamma_{m} \quad \text{for} \quad m = 0, \ldots, n.
$$

Proof. This is easily proved using induction and (8) .

Now take a sequence of points $z_n \in \gamma_0$ satisfying [\(13\)](#page-5-1). We may assume that (z_n) converges to $\hat{z} \in \gamma_0$, and we have, by [\(13\)](#page-5-1),

(14)
$$
f^{m}(\hat{z}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f^{m}(z_{n}) \in \gamma_{m} \quad \text{for each } m \ge 0.
$$

Using [\(12\)](#page-4-6) we get $\hat{z} \in I(f)$ and hence $I(f)$ is non-empty. This proves the first assertion of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

The second assertion will be established by modifying the method of Rippon and Stallard [\[15\]](#page-9-5), so assume that f is K-quasiregular in \mathbb{R}^N and take \hat{z} satisfying [\(14\)](#page-5-2). As before let $A_n = G_n^*$ be the component of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N} \setminus G_n$ containing ∞ , and let L_n be the component of $f^{-n}(A_n)$ containing \hat{z} , which is well-defined since $f^{n}(\hat{z}) \in \gamma_n$ and $\gamma_n = \partial A_n$ by definition.

Lemma 5.3. L_n is closed and unbounded.

Proof. L_n is closed since A_n is closed, and L_n is unbounded by Lemma [3.2.](#page-3-0)

Lemma 5.4. We have $L_{n+1} \subseteq L_n$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$

Proof. Suppose that $f^{n+1}(z') \in A_{n+1}$ but $f^{n}(z') \notin A_n$. Thus either $|f^{n}(z')| \leq R$ or $f^{n}(z') \in G_n$, from which we obtain $f^{n+1}(z') \notin A_{n+1}$, in the first case from [\(6\)](#page-4-1) and [\(7\)](#page-4-0) and in the second case from [\(8\)](#page-4-5), and this is a contradiction. Hence if $z' \in L_{n+1}$ then z' lies in a component of $f^{-n-1}(A_{n+1})$ which contains \hat{z} , and this component in turn lies in a component of $f^{-n}(A_n)$. Hence we get $z' \in L_n$.

We may now write

$$
K_n = L_n \cup \{\infty\}, \quad \{\hat{z}, \infty\} \subseteq K_{n+1} \subseteq K_n, \quad \{\hat{z}, \infty\} \subseteq K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n.
$$

Since K_n is compact and connected so is K [\[10,](#page-9-18) Theorem 5.3, p.81]. Let Γ be the component of $K \setminus \{\infty\}$ which contains \hat{z} . Then Γ is unbounded by Lemma [3.1.](#page-2-3) Now for $w \in \Gamma$ we have $w \in L_n$ and so $f^n(w) \in A_n = G_n^*$, so that $w \in I(f)$ by [\(7\)](#page-4-0). This completes the proof of Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0)

We do not know whether the second conclusion of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) holds if f is only quasiregular on the set B_R in [\(1\)](#page-1-2), but this seems unlikely. The difficulty is that for large *n* we cannot control the behaviour of f^n near $S(0, R)$ and so the component L_n in Lemma [5.3](#page-5-3) may in principle be bounded.

6. A QUASIREGULAR MAPPING f for which $\overline{I(f)}$ has a bounded component

To show that there exists a quasiregular mapping $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that the closure of the escaping set $I(f)$ has a bounded component, we begin by constructing a quasiconformal map g with the following properties. For each z in the punctured disc $A := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ the iterates g^n satisfy $\lim_{n \to \infty} |g^n(z)| = 1$, and we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} g^n(1/2) = 1$. On the other hand there exist annuli $A_n \subseteq A$ such that g maps A_n onto A_{n+1} , but with sufficient rotation that for each $z \in A_n$ infinitely many of the forward images $g^k(z)$ lie away from 1. A map h is then obtained from g by conjugation with a Möbius map L which sends 1 to ∞ , and finally h

$$
\Box
$$

is interpolated on a sector to ensure that the resulting function has an essential singularity at infinity.

We will use the fact that if p is quasiregular on a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
p_z = \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \right)
$$

is bounded below in modulus on D , and if q is continuous and such that the partial derivatives q_x, q_y are sufficiently small on D, then $p + q$ is quasiregular on D. If $0 \notin D \cup p(D)$ the same property may be applied locally to log p as a function of log z.

Turning to the detailed construction, we define $a:[1,2] \to [0,\pi/4]$ by

$$
a(r) = \frac{\pi}{4} - \arcsin\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2r}\right).
$$

Then an application of the sine rule shows that the line segment

$$
Re z = 1 + Im z, \quad 1 \le |z| \le 2,
$$

is parametrized by $z = re^{ia(r)}$.

For $c > 0$ we define $g : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ as follows. Let $g(0) = 0$ and for $z = re^{it}$ with $r>0$ and $-\pi\leq t\leq\pi$ set:

$$
g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{4}{3}r \exp\left(i\left(t+c\left|\sin t\right|\right)\right), & 0 < r < \frac{1}{2};\\ \frac{1}{2-r} \exp\left(i\left(t+c\left|\sin t\right|+c(1-r)^2\left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{1-r}\right)\right|\right)\right), & \frac{1}{2} \le r < 1;\\ r \exp\left(i\left(t+c(2-r)\sin\left(\frac{|t|-a(r)}{\pi-a(r)}\pi\right)\right)\right), & 1 \le r \le 2, a(r) < |t|;\\ r \exp(it), & 1 \le r \le 2, |t| \le a(r);\\ r > 2. \end{cases}
$$

Then q is continuous on $\mathbb C$. Moreover, if c is sufficiently small then q is quasiconformal, and in particular we choose $c < \pi/4$. Note that, by the choice of $a(r)$,

(15)
$$
g(z) = z \quad \text{if} \quad \text{Re } z \ge |\text{Im } z| + 1.
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

(16)
$$
g\left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{n+2}.
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, we consider the annulus

$$
A_n := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - \frac{1}{n+1/4} < |z| < 1 - \frac{1}{n+3/4} \right\}.
$$

Then $g(A_n) = A_{n+1}$.

Lemma 6.1. For each $z \in A_n$ with $\text{Re } z > 0$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\text{Re } g^k(z) \leq 0$. *Proof.* Let $z \in A_m$ and suppose first that $0 < t := \arg z < \pi/2$. Then

(17)
$$
\pi > t + \frac{\pi}{2} > t + 2c \ge \arg g(z) \ge t + c \sin t \ge t + \frac{2c}{\pi} t = \left(1 + \frac{2c}{\pi}\right)t.
$$

8 WALTER BERGWEILER, ALASTAIR FLETCHER, JIM LANGLEY, AND JANIS MEYER

On the other hand if $-\pi/2 < t = \arg z \leq 0$ then

$$
(18)\ \ \frac{\pi}{2} > \arg g(z) \ge t + c|\sin t| + \frac{c\sqrt{2}}{2(m+3/4)^2} \ge \left(1 - \frac{2c}{\pi}\right)t + \frac{c'}{(m+1)^2} > -\frac{\pi}{2},
$$

where $c' := \frac{1}{2}c\sqrt{2}$. In particular, [\(17\)](#page-6-0) and [\(18\)](#page-7-0) both hold with $\arg g(z)$ the principal argument.

Suppose then that there exists $z \in A_n$ with $\text{Re } g^k(z) > 0$ for all integers $k \ge 0$, and set $t_k = \arg g^k(z) \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. Then $g^k(z) \in A_{n+k}$. If there exists $k \ge 0$ with $0 < t_k < \pi/2$ then by repeated application of [\(17\)](#page-6-0) we obtain $k' > k$ with $t_{k'} \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, a contradiction. Hence we must have $-\pi/2 < t_k \leq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. But then repeated application of (18) gives, for large k,

$$
t_{k-1} \ge \left(1 - \frac{2c}{\pi}\right)^{k-1} t_0, \quad t_k \ge \left(1 - \frac{2c}{\pi}\right) t_{k-1} + \frac{c'}{(n+k)^2} > 0,
$$
again a contradiction.

With the Möbius transformation

$$
L(z) = \frac{1}{1 - z}
$$

we now consider the map $h := L \circ g \circ L^{-1}$. Then h is a quasiconformal self-map of the plane. Moreover, [\(15\)](#page-6-1) gives $h(z) = z$ if $\text{Re } L^{-1}(z) \ge |\text{Im } L^{-1}(z)| + 1$, which is equivalent to $\text{Re } z \leq -|\text{Im } z|$, and we have

(19)
$$
L(A_n) \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re } z > 0\}
$$
 and $h(L(A_n)) = L(A_{n+1}),$

using the fact that $g(A_n) = A_{n+1}$.

It follows from [\(16\)](#page-6-2) that

(20)
$$
h(n+1) = n+2 \quad \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N},
$$

and we deduce at once that $2 \in I(h)$. Next we show that $L(A_n) \cap I(h) = \emptyset$ for every integer $n \geq 2$. In fact, suppose that $n \geq 2$ and $u \in L(A_n) \cap I(h)$. Then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|h^j(u)| > 1$ for $j \geq j_0$. Put $w := h^{j_0}(u)$ and $m := n + j_0$. Then $L^{-1}(w) \in A_m$ by [\(19\)](#page-7-1), and Lemma [6.1](#page-6-3) gives $k \ge 0$ with $\text{Re } g^k(L^{-1}(w)) \le 0$. Since $|L(z)| \leq 1$ for $\text{Re } z \leq 0$ we deduce that

$$
\left| h^{k+j_0}(u) \right| = \left| h^k(w) \right| = \left| L \left(g^k \left(L^{-1}(w) \right) \right) \right| \leq 1,
$$

contradicting the choice of j_0 . Thus $L(A_n) \cap I(h) = \emptyset$.

Since A_2 separates $\frac{1}{2}$ from 1 it follows that 2 lies in the bounded component of the complement of $L(A_2)$, and we deduce that the component of $\overline{I(h)}$ containing 2 is bounded.

To construct a quasiregular map $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with an essential singularity at ∞ for which the closure of $I(f)$ has a bounded component we put $f(z) = h(z)$ for $\text{Re } z \ge -|\text{Im } z|$ and $f(z) = z + d \exp(z^4)$ for $\text{Re } z \le -|\text{Im } z| - 1$, where d is a small positive constant. In the remaining region Ω we define f by interpolation, using

$$
f(z) = z - d\phi(z)
$$
, $\phi(z) = (\text{Re } z + |\text{Im } z|) \exp(z^4)$ for $-1 < \text{Re } z + |\text{Im } z| < 0$.

Since $\exp(z^4)$ tends to 0 rapidly as z tends to infinity in Ω , it is then clear that the partial derivatives of ϕ are bounded on Ω , so that f is quasiregular on Ω because d is small.

In particular we have $f(z) = h(z)$ for $\text{Re } z > 0$ and so it follows from [\(20\)](#page-7-2) that $2 \in I(f)$, whereas $L(A_n) \cap I(f)$ is again empty using [\(19\)](#page-7-1). Thus the component of $\overline{I(f)}$ containing 2 is bounded.

7. The quasimeromorphic case

Let f be K-quasimeromorphic in the set B_R defined in [\(1\)](#page-1-2), with a sequence of poles tending to ∞ , and set $R_{-1} = R$. Choose x_j, D_j, R_j for $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ as follows. Each x_j is a pole of f, and D_j is a bounded component of the set ${x \in B_R : R_j < |f(x)| \leq \infty}$ which contains x_j but no other pole of f, such that D_j is mapped by f onto $\{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^N} : R_j < |y| \leq \infty\}$. Moreover, by choosing R_{j+1} and x_{j+1} sufficiently large, we may ensure that

(21)
$$
|x_{j+1}| > 4R_j
$$
 and $D_{j+1} \subseteq \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : 2R_j < |x| < \infty\}$ for $j \ge -1$.

Since $|f(x)| = R_j$ for all $x \in \partial D_j$ we may write, for $j \geq 0$, using [\(21\)](#page-8-2),

(22)
$$
C_j = \{x \in D_j : f(x) \in D_{j+1}\} \subseteq C_j \subseteq D_j.
$$

Now set

(23)
$$
X_0 = \overline{C_0}, \quad X_{j+1} = \{x \in X_j : f^{j+1}(x) \in \overline{C_{j+1}}\}.
$$

Evidently X_0 is compact. Assuming that X_j is compact, it then follows that X_{j+1} is the intersection of a compact set with the closed set $f^{-j-1}(\overline{C_{j+1}})$ and so is compact. Hence the X_j form a nested sequence of compact sets. We assert that

$$
(24) \t\t\t fj(Xj) = \overline{C_j}.
$$

We clearly have $f^j(X_j) \subseteq \overline{C_j}$ by [\(23\)](#page-8-3), and [\(24\)](#page-8-4) is obviously true for $j = 0$, so assume the assertion for some $j \geq 0$ and take $w \in \overline{C_{j+1}}$. Since f maps D_j onto ${y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^N} : R_j < |y| \leq \infty}$, it follows from [\(21\)](#page-8-2) and [\(22\)](#page-8-5) that there exists $v \in C_j$ with $f(v) = w$. Hence there exists $x \in X_j$ with $f^j(x) = v$ and $f^{j+1}(x) = w$, completing the induction.

Again since f maps D_i onto $\{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^N} : R_i \leq |y| \leq \infty\}$, we evidently have $C_i \neq \emptyset$ and so X_j is non-empty by [\(24\)](#page-8-4). Hence there exists x lying in the intersection of the X_j , so that $f^j(x) \in \overline{C_j}$ and $x \in I(f)$ by [\(21\)](#page-8-2) and [\(22\)](#page-8-5).

8. Proof of Lemma [3.2](#page-3-0)

To establish Lemma [3.2](#page-3-0) let E and g be as in the statement and assume that $g^{-1}(E)$ is non-empty since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Note first that $g^{-1}(E)$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^N by continuity. Thus

$$
F = g^{-1}(E) \cup \{\infty\}
$$

is a compact subset of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N}$. In order to prove Lemma [3.2](#page-3-0) it therefore suffices in view of Lemma [3.1](#page-2-3) to show that F is connected. Suppose that this is not the case. Then there is a partition of F into non-empty disjoint relatively closed (and so closed) sets H_1, H_2 such that $\infty \in H_2$. Let $W = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus H_2$. Then W is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and $g(W \setminus H_1) \cap E = \emptyset$. Moreover, H_1 is a closed subset of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^N}$ and so compact, and hence a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^N since $\infty \in H_2$. Thus $g(H_1)$ is compact and so a non-empty closed subset of E.

Now suppose that there exist $y_n \in E \setminus g(H_1)$ with $y_n \to \widetilde{y} \notin E \setminus g(H_1)$. Since E is compact we have $\widetilde{y} \in E$ and so $\widetilde{y} \in g(H_1)$. Hence there exists $\widetilde{x} \in H_1$ with $g(\widetilde{x}) = \widetilde{y}$ and for large enough n there exists x_n close to \tilde{x} with $g(x_n) = y_n \in E \setminus g(H_1)$. But then we must have $x_n \in H_1$, since $g(W \setminus H_1) \cap E = \emptyset$, and this is a contradiction. So $E \setminus g(H_1)$ is also closed, but evidently non-empty since $g(\mathbb{R}^N) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\infty \in E$, which contradicts the hypothesis that E is connected.

References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, Iteration of meromorphic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 29 (1993), 151- 188.
- [2] W. Bergweiler, Fixed points of composite entire and quasiregular maps, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. 31 (2006), 523-540.
- [3] W. Bergweiler, P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard, Dynamics of meromorphic functions with direct or logarithmic singularities, to appear, Proc. London Math. Soc.
- [4] P. Dominguez, Dynamics of transcendental meromorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. 23 (1998), 225-250.
- [5] A. Eremenko, On the iteration of entire functions, Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory, Banach Centre Publ. 23, Polish Scientific Publishers , Warsaw 1989, 339-345.
- [6] W.K. Hayman, The local growth of power series: a survey of the Wiman-Valiron method, Canad. Math. Bull. 17 (1974), 317-358.
- [7] A. Hinkkanen, G.J. Martin and V. Mayer, Local dynamics of uniformly quasiregular mappings, Math. Scand. 95 (2004), 80-100.
- [8] T. Iwaniec and G. Martin, Geometric function theory and non-linear analysis, Clarendon Press, Oxford 2001.
- [9] R. Miniowitz, Normal families of quasimeromorphic mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84 (192), 35-43.
- [10] M.H.A. Newman, Elements of the topology of plane sets of points, Cambridge, 1961.
- [11] L. Rempe, A question of Eremenko concerning escaping components of entire functions, Bull. London Math. Soc. 39 (2007), 661-666.
- [12] S. Rickman, On the number of omitted values of entire quasiregular mappings, J. Analyse Math. 37 (1980), 100-117.
- [13] S. Rickman, The analogue of Picard's theorem for quasiregular mappings in dimension three, Acta Math. 154 (1985), 195-242.
- [14] S. Rickman, Quasiregular mappings, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 26, Springer, 1993.
- [15] P.J. Rippon and G.M. Stallard, On questions of Fatou and Eremenko, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 1119-1126.
- [16] H. Siebert, Fixed points and normal families of quasiregular mappings, J. Analyse Math. 98 (2006), 145-168.
- [17] N. Steinmetz, Rational iteration, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 16, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin/New York, 1993.
- [18] L. Zalcman, A heuristic principle in complex function theory, Amer. Math. Monthly 82 (1975), 813-817.
- [19] L. Zalcman, Normal families: new perspectives, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 35 (1998), 215-230.

Mathematisches Seminar, Christian–Albrechts–Universitat zu Kiel, Ludewig–Meyn– ¨ Str. 4, D–24098 Kiel, Germany

E-mail address: bergweiler@math.uni-kiel.de

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK E-mail address: alastair.fletcher@nottingham.ac.uk

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK E-mail address: jkl@maths.nott.ac.uk

School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK $\it E\text{-}mail\;address:~jains.\texttt{meyer@maths.nottingham.ac.uk}$