On the Supremum of Random Dirichlet Polynomials with Multiplicative Coefficients

Mikhail Lifshits and Michel Weber

February 24, 2019

Abstract

We study the average supremum of some random Dirichlet polynomials $D_N(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma - it}$, where (ε_n) is a sequence of independent Rademacher random variables, the weights $(d(n))$ satisfy some reasonable conditions and $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1/2$. We use an approach based on methods of stochastic processes, in particular the metric entropy method developed in [\[8\]](#page-17-0).

1 Introduction

Let $\{d(n), n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Consider the Dirichlet polynomials

$$
P(\sigma + it) = P_N(\sigma + it) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} d(n) n^{-\sigma - it}.
$$

As is well-known, the abscissa of uniform convergence σ_u of the associated Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) n^{-\sigma - it}$, which is defined by

$$
\sigma_u = \inf \Big\{ \sigma : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d(n) n^{-\sigma - it} \text{ converges uniformly over } t \in \mathbf{R} \Big\},\
$$

satisfies the relation $\sigma_u = \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |P_N(it)|}{\log N}$. And this gives, if necessary, a motivation to study of the supremum of the Dirichlet polynomials $P_N(s)$ over lines $\{s = \sigma + it, t \in \mathbf{R}\}\$ (see for instance [\[6\]](#page-17-1), [\[8\]](#page-17-0) and the references therein).

A first basic reduction step allows to replace the Dirichlet polynomial by some relevant trigonometric polynomial. Introduce some necessary notation. Let $2 = p_1 \lt p_2 \lt \ldots$ be the sequence of all primes. If $n = \prod_{j=1}^{\tau} p_j^{a_j(n)}$, we write $\underline{a}(n) = \{a_j(n), 1 \leq j \leq \tau\}$. Let $\pi(N)$ denote the number of prime numbers that are less or equal to N. Let also $\mathbf{T} = [0,1] = \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ be the torus. Now fix N, put $\tau = \pi(N)$, and define, for $\underline{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_\tau) \in \mathbf{T}^\tau$

$$
Q(\underline{z}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} d(n) n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle}.
$$

H. Bohr's observation (see e.g. [\[10\]](#page-17-2)), based on Kronecker's Theorem (see [\[4\]](#page-17-3), Theorem 442, p.382) states that

$$
\sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |P(\sigma + it)| = \sup_{\underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\tau}} |Q(\underline{z})|.
$$
 (1) $\boxed{\text{e11}}$

The supremum properties of random Dirichlet polynomials and random Dirichlet series were investigated by Halász, Bayard, Konyagin, Queffélec, recently by the authors, and earlier, by Hartman, Clarke, Dvoretzky and Erdös, where random power series are also considered (see [\[8\]](#page-17-0) for references).

Let $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ be (here and throughout the whole paper) a sequence of independent Rademacher random variables $(\mathbf{P}\{\varepsilon_i = \pm 1\} = 1/2)$ defined on a basic probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . Consider the random Dirichlet polynomials

$$
\mathcal{D}(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-s}.
$$
 (2) 1

In the particular case $d(n) \equiv 1$, if $\sigma = 0$, the following result was proved by Halász (see [\[11\]](#page-17-4),[\[12\]](#page-18-0)): for some absolute constant C, and all integers $N \ge 2$

$$
C^{-1} \frac{N}{\log N} \le \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n n^{-it} \right| \le C \frac{N}{\log N} \,. \tag{3}
$$

In $[11],[12]$ $[11],[12]$ (see also [\[10\]](#page-17-2) for a first result), Queffélec extended Halász's result to the range of values $0 \le \sigma \le 1/2$; and provided a probabilistic proof of the original one, using Bernstein's inequality for polynomials, properties of complex Gaussian processes and the sieve method introduced by Halász. He obtained that for some constant C depending on σ only, and all integers $N \geq 2$

$$
C_{\sigma}^{-1} \frac{N^{1-\sigma}}{\log N} \le \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varepsilon_n n^{-\sigma - it} \right| \le C_{\sigma} \frac{N^{1-\sigma}}{\log N} . \tag{4} \quad \boxed{\text{e14}}
$$

This result was further extended in [\[8\]](#page-17-0) for the polynomials

$$
\sum_{P^+(n)\leq\theta,n\leq N}\varepsilon_nn^{-\sigma-it}
$$

(here and in what follows $P^+(n)$ denotes the largest prime divisor of n) with fine estimates depending on both parameters θ and N. For small θ the estimates of such kind are related to the construction of so called Rudin-Shapiro Dirichlet polynomials.

In this work, we show that the approach developed in $[8]$ is sufficiently robust to allow the similar study for random Dirichlet polynomials with weakly multiplicative weights. We will also extend in a separate section the main result in [\[8\]](#page-17-0) to the boundary case $\sigma = 1/2$.

We introduce now some characteristics of weights. Let

$$
D_1(M) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} d(m); \qquad \widetilde{D}_1(M) = \max_{1 \le m \le M} \frac{D_1(m)}{m} ,
$$

and, similarly,

$$
D_2(M) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} d(m)^2; \qquad \widetilde{D}_2^2(M) = \max_{1 \le m \le M} \frac{D_2(m)}{m} ,
$$

Obviously, we have $\widetilde{D}_2(M) \geq \widetilde{D}_1(M)$.

Example 1. Let $d(n)$ be the divisor function (number of divisors of integer n). Then d is a multiplicative function and it is well-known that

$$
\widetilde{D}_1(M) \sim \log M, \qquad \widetilde{D}_2(M) \sim \log^{3/2} M.
$$

Example 2. Let von Mangoldt function Λ be defined as follows:

$$
\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & n = p^k, \ p \text{ is prime} \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{5} \quad \text{mangoldt}
$$

Then Λ is neither additive nor multiplicative, and we have for any integers k, j and any prime p either $\Lambda(kp^j) = 0$, or $k = p^i$, hence $\Lambda(kp^j) = \Lambda(k) = \log p$. Moreover, elementary calculations show that

$$
\lim_{M \to \infty} \widetilde{D}_1(M) = 1, \qquad \widetilde{D}_2(M) \sim \log M.
$$

til Theorem 1 Let $0 \le \sigma \le 1/2$ and assume that

$$
d(kp^j) \le C d(k) j^H \tag{6}
$$
 basic_d

for some positive C, H , any positive integer k, j and any prime p. Then there exists a constant C depending on d and σ such that for any integer $N \geq 2$ it is true that

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \mathcal{D}(\sigma + it) \right| \le \frac{C \ N^{1-\sigma} D_2(N)}{\log N} \ . \tag{7} \quad \text{main_upper}
$$

Moreover, if for some $b < b_* := (\sqrt{5} - 1)/4 \approx 0.31$

$$
\widetilde{D}_2(M) \leq C M^b,\tag{8}
$$

then

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} |\mathcal{D}(\sigma + it)| \leq \frac{C N^{1-\sigma}}{\log N}.
$$

Remark 2 If one assumes [\(8\)](#page-2-0) with $b \in (b_*, 1/2)$, then our proof shows that

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \left| \mathcal{D}(\sigma + it) \right| \le \frac{C N^{r-\sigma}}{(\log N)^{1/2}}, \qquad r = r(b) = b + \frac{2b+3}{4(1+b)},
$$

which is better than (7) . However, we did not find typical examples in number theory with polynomial growth of $\widetilde{D}_2(M)$. Therefore, we don't dwell on this case.

 $extra$

2 Proof of Theorem [1.](#page-2-2)

For proving the upper bound, we first operate the reduction to the study of a random polynomial Q on the multidimensional torus by using (1) . Next we use a decomposition $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$. The study of the supremum of the polynomial Q_1 is made by using the metric entropy method. The investigation of the supremum of the polynomial Q_2 first relies upon the contraction principle, reducing the study to the one of a complex valued Gaussian process, next via Slepian's comparison Lemma, by a thorough study of the L^2 -metric induced by this process.

The calculations are first provided for $\sigma < 1/2$. At the end of the proof we comment on the case $\sigma = 1/2$ for which some minor details are different from the generic case.

Introduce some notation. We can represent $[1, N]$ as the union of disjoint sets

$$
E_j = \{2 \le n \le N : P^+(n) = p_j\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, \tau.
$$

For $\underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\tau}$ we put

$$
Q(\underline{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle}.
$$

By (1) we have

$$
\sup_{t\in\mathbf{R}}\big|\sum_{j=1}^\tau\sum_{n\in E_j}\varepsilon_nd(n)n^{-\sigma-it}\big|=\sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathbf{T}^\tau}\big|Q(\underline{z})\big|.
$$

Let $1 \leq \nu < \tau$ be fixed. Write $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ where

$$
Q_1(\underline{z}) = \sum_{P^+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle},
$$

$$
Q_2(\underline{z}) = \sum_{p_{\nu} < P^+(n) \leq p_{\tau}} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle}.
$$

First, evaluate the supremum of Q_2 . Introduce the following random process

$$
X^{\varepsilon}(\gamma) = \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \alpha_j \sum_{n \in E_j} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}}, \qquad \gamma \in \Gamma,
$$

where $\gamma = ((\alpha_j)_{\nu \leq j \leq \tau}, (\beta_m)_{1 \leq m \leq N/2})$ and

$$
\Gamma = \{ \gamma : |\alpha_j| \vee |\beta_m| \leq 1, \nu < j \leq \tau, 1 \leq m \leq N/2 \}.
$$

Writing

$$
Q_2(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} e^{2i\pi z_j} \sum_{n \in E_j} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \{\sum_{k \neq j} a_k(n) z_k + [a_j(n) - 1]z_j\}} \\
= \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} e^{2i\pi z_j} \sum_{n \in E_j} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \{\sum_k a_k(\frac{n}{p_j}) z_k\}}\n\tag{2.12}
$$

and considering separately the imaginary and real parts of the exponents, it follows that $Q_2(\underline{z})$ can be written as the sum of four terms each being of the form

$$
\eta \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \alpha_j \sum_{n \in E_j} \varepsilon_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}},
$$

where $\eta \in \{1, i, -i, -1\}$, and

$$
\alpha_j = \alpha_j(\underline{z}) = \begin{cases} \cos(2\pi z_j), \\ \text{or} \\ \sin(2\pi z_j), \end{cases} \qquad \nu < j \leq \tau;
$$

$$
\beta_m = \beta_m(\underline{z}) = \begin{cases} \cos(2\pi \sum_k a_k(m) z_k), \\ \text{or} \\ \sin(2\pi \sum_k a_k(m) z_k), \end{cases} \quad 1 \le m \le \frac{N}{2} .
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
\sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathbf{T}^{\tau}}|Q_2(\underline{z})| \le 4 \sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma}|X^{\varepsilon}(\gamma)|.
$$

By the contraction principle([\[5\]](#page-17-5) p.16-17)

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\tau}} |Q_2(\underline{z})| \le 4 \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |X(\gamma)|,
$$

where $\{X(\gamma), \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ is the same process as $X^{\varepsilon}(\gamma)$ except that the Rademacher random variables ε_n are replaced by independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables μ_n :

$$
X(\gamma) = \sum_{\nu < j \le \tau} \alpha_j \sum_{n \in E_j} \mu_n d(n) n^{-\sigma} \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}}.
$$

The problem now reduces to estimating the supremum of the real valued Gaussian process X . Towards this aim, we examine the L^2 -norm of its increments:

$$
\begin{array}{rcl} \|X_{\gamma}-X_{\gamma'}\|_2^2 &=& \sum\limits_{\nu< j\leq \tau} \sum\limits_{n\in E_j} d(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma} \big[\alpha_j \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} - \alpha'_j \beta'_{\frac{n}{p_j}} \big]^2 \\ & \leq & 2 \sum\limits_{\nu< j\leq \tau} \sum\limits_{n\in E_j} d(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma} \big[(\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 + (\beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} - \beta'_{\frac{n}{p_j}})^2 \big], \end{array}
$$

where we have used the identity

$$
\alpha_j \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} - \alpha'_j \beta'_{\frac{n}{p_j}} = (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)\beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} + (\beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} - \beta'_{\frac{n}{p_j}})\alpha'_j.
$$

The " α " component part is easily controlled as follows,

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} d(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 \leq C \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 p_j^{-2\sigma} \sum_{m \leq N/p_j} \frac{d(m)^2}{m^{2\sigma}} \leq C \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 \frac{N^{1-2\sigma} \widetilde{D}_2^2(N/p_j)}{p_j}, (9) \quad \boxed{\text{e21}}
$$

since by Abel transformation

$$
\sum_{m \le M} d(m)^2 m^{-2\sigma} \le C \widetilde{D}_2^2(M) M^{1-2\sigma}.
$$
 (10) **abel**

For the " β " component part, we use $d(mp_j) \leq Cd(m)$, which is a particular case of [\(6\)](#page-2-3), and obtain

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} \frac{d(n)^2 (\beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} - \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}}')^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \leq C \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} (\beta_m - \beta_m')^2 \Big(\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau \atop m p_j \leq N} \frac{d(m)^2}{(mp_j)^{2\sigma}} \Big)
$$
\n
$$
= C \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} K_m^2 (\beta_m - \beta_m')^2. \tag{11} \quad \textbf{e22}
$$

Now we evaluate the coefficients $\mathcal{K}_m.$

Take a unique $k \in (\nu, \tau]$ such that $N/p_k < m \le N/p_{k-1}$. By using

$$
p_j \sim j \log j \tag{12}
$$

we have

$$
K_m^2 = \sum_{\nu < j \le k-1} d(m)^2 (mp_j)^{-2\sigma} \le d(m)^2 m^{-2\sigma} \sum_{j \le k-1} p_j^{-2\sigma}
$$
\n
$$
\le C d(m)^2 m^{-2\sigma} \sum_{j \le k} (j \log j)^{-2\sigma} \le C d(m)^2 m^{-2\sigma} \frac{k^{1-2\sigma}}{(\log k)^{2\sigma}}
$$
\n
$$
\le C d(m)^2 m^{-2\sigma} \frac{k}{p_k^{2\sigma}} \le C m^{-2\sigma} d(m)^2 \frac{k}{(N/m)^{2\sigma}}
$$
\n
$$
= C d(m)^2 \frac{k}{N^{2\sigma}}.
$$

Since $k \log k \leq Cp_k \leq C \frac{N}{m}$, we have

$$
k \leq C \ \frac{N}{m} \ (\log(\frac{N}{m}))^{-1}.
$$

We arrive at

$$
K_m \le C \ d(m) N^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{N}{m}\right)^{1/2} \left(\log(\frac{N}{m})\right)^{-1/2} \,. \tag{13}
$$

By Abel transformation,

$$
\sum_{m \leq M} (\frac{N}{m})^{1/2} (\log(\frac{N}{m}))^{-1/2} d(m)
$$
\n
$$
\leq D_1(M) (\frac{N}{M})^{1/2} (\log(\frac{N}{M}))^{-1/2} + C \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{N^{1/2}}{m^{3/2}} (\log(\frac{N}{m}))^{-1/2} D_1(m)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \widetilde{D}_1(M) \left((NM)^{1/2} (\log(\frac{N}{M}))^{-1/2} + C \sum_{m \leq M} \frac{N^{1/2}}{m^{1/2}} (\log(\frac{N}{m}))^{-1/2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \widetilde{D}_1(M) \left(\frac{(NM)^{1/2}}{(\log(\frac{N}{M}))^{1/2}} + CN \int_0^{M/N} u^{-1/2} (\log(1/u))^{-1/2} du \right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq C\widetilde{D}_1(M)(NM)^{1/2} (\log(\frac{N}{M}))^{-1/2}.
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} K_m \le C N^{-\sigma} \sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} \left(\frac{N}{m}\right)^{1/2} (\log(\frac{N}{m}))^{-1/2} d(m)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{CN^{1-\sigma}\widetilde{D}_1(N/p_\nu)}{\nu^{1/2}\log\nu} \ . \tag{14}
$$

Now define a second Gaussian process by putting for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$
Y(\gamma) = \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \left(\frac{\tilde{D}_2^2 (N/p_j) N^{1-2\sigma}}{p_j} \right)^{1/2} \alpha_j \xi'_j + \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} K_m \; \beta_m \xi''_m := Y'_{\gamma} + Y''_{\gamma},
$$

where ξ'_i , ξ''_j are independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables. It follows from [\(9\)](#page-4-0) and (11) that for some suitable constant C, one has the comparison relations: for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$,

$$
||X_{\gamma}-X_{\gamma'}||_2\leq C||Y_{\gamma}-Y_{\gamma'}||_2.
$$

By virtue of the Slepian comparison lemma (see [\[7\]](#page-17-6), Theorem 4 p.190), since $X_0 = Y_0 = 0$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |X_{\gamma}| \leq 2\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_{\gamma} \leq 2C\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} Y_{\gamma} \leq 2C\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y_{\gamma}|.
$$

It remains to evaluate the supremum of Y . First of all,

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \le N^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} \sum_{\nu < j \le \tau} p_j^{-1/2} \widetilde{D}_2(N/p_j).
$$

By using [\(12\)](#page-5-1) we have

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \le \tau} p_j^{-1/2} \le \sum_{1 < j \le \tau} p_j^{-1/2} \le \frac{C\tau^{1/2}}{(\log \tau)^{1/2}} \;,
$$

thus

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \le C \ N^{\frac{1}{2} - \sigma} \widetilde{D}_2(N/p_\nu) \ \frac{\tau^{1/2}}{(\log \tau)^{1/2}} \le \frac{C \ N^{1 - \sigma} \widetilde{D}_2(N/p_\nu)}{\log N} \ . \tag{15} \ \boxed{\text{e24}}
$$

Under assumption [\(8\)](#page-2-0) we can get a better estimate by using the following lemma.

Lemma 3 Let $f(N) \le cN^b$, $b < 1/2$. Then

$$
\sum_{1 \le j \le \tau(N)} p_j^{-1/2} f(N/p_j) \le \frac{CN^{1/2}}{\log N} ,
$$

with C depending on c and b.

Proof.

$$
\sum_{1 \le j \le \tau(N)} p_j^{-1/2} f(N/p_j) \le c \sum_{1 \le j \le \tau(N)} p_j^{-1/2} (N/p_j)^b
$$
\n
$$
= cN^b \sum_{1 \le j \le \tau(N)} p_j^{-(1/2+b)} \le CN^b \sum_{1 \le j \le \tau(N)} \frac{1}{(j \log j)^{1/2+b}}
$$
\n
$$
\le CN^b \tau(N)^{1/2-b} (\log \tau(N))^{-1/2-b} \le \frac{CN^{1/2}}{\log N}.
$$

By applying lemma to $f(N) = \tilde{D}_2(N)$ we see that [\(8\)](#page-2-0) implies

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \le \frac{C N^{1-\sigma}}{\log N} \,. \tag{16} \quad \text{(16)}
$$

To control the supremum of Y'' , we use our estimates for the sums of K_m and write that

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y''(\gamma)| \le \sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} K_m \le \frac{CN^{1-\sigma} \widetilde{D}_1(N/p_{\nu})}{\nu^{1/2} \log \nu} \,. \tag{17}
$$

Now, we turn to the supremum of Q_1 . Introduce the auxiliary Gaussian process

$$
\Upsilon(\underline{z}) = \sum_{n:P^+(n)\leq p_\nu} d(n)n^{-\sigma} \{ \vartheta_n \cos 2\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle + \vartheta_n' \sin 2\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle \}, \qquad \underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^\nu,
$$

where ϑ_i , ϑ'_j are independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables. By symmetrization (see e.g. Lemma 2.3 p. 269 in [\[9\]](#page-17-7)),

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathbf{T}^{\nu}} |Q_1(\underline{z})| \leq \sqrt{8\pi} \mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathbf{T}^{\nu}} |\Upsilon(\underline{z})|,
$$

so that we are again led to evaluating the supremum of a real valued Gaussian process. For $\underline{z}, \underline{z'} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ put $\|\Upsilon(\underline{z}) - \Upsilon(\underline{z})\|_2 := \rho(\underline{z}, \underline{z'})$, and observe that

$$
\rho(\underline{z}, \underline{z}')^2 = 4 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \frac{d(n)^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \sin^2(\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} - \underline{z}' \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \frac{d(n)^2}{n^{2\sigma}} |\langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} - \underline{z}' \rangle|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} d(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} a_j(n) |z_j - z'_j| \right]^2
$$

\n
$$
= 4\pi^2 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\nu} a_{j_1}(n) a_{j_2}(n) |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| d(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
= 4\pi^2 \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\nu} \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} a_{j_1}(n) a_{j_2}(n) |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| d(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| \sum_{b_1, b_2=1}^{\infty} b_1 b_2 \sum_{\substack{n \leq N, a_{j_1}(n) = b_1, a_{j_2}(n) = b_2}} a(n)^2 n^{-2\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\leq C \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| \sum_{b_1, b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_1^{1+H} b_2^{1+H}}{p_{j_1}^{2b_1\sigma} p_{j_2}^{2b_2\sigma}} \sum_{k \leq N p_{j_1}^{-b_1} p_{j_2}^{-b_2}} a(k)^2 k^{-2\sigma}.
$$

By Abel transformation argument [\(10\)](#page-4-1), denoting $\Delta := \widetilde{D}_2(N)$, we have

$$
\rho(\underline{z},\underline{z}')^2 \le CN^{1-2\sigma}\Delta^2 \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1}-z_{j_1}'| |z_{j_2}-z_{j_2}'| \sum_{b_1,b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_1^{1+H}b_2^{1+H}}{p_{j_1}^{2b_1\sigma}p_{j_2}^{2b_2\sigma}} [p_{j_1}^{-b_1}p_{j_2}^{-b_2}]^{1-2\sigma}
$$

$$
= CN^{1-2\sigma} \Delta^2 \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| \sum_{b_1,b_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_1^{1+H} b_2^{1+H}}{p_{j_1}^{b_1} p_{j_2}^{b_2}}
$$

$$
= CN^{1-2\sigma} \Delta^2 \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |z_j - z'_j| \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} b^{1+H} p_j^{-b} \right\}^2.
$$

Thus,

$$
\rho(z, z') \le CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |z_j - z'_j| \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} b^{1+H} p_j^{-b} \right\}.
$$
 (18) $\boxed{\text{e27}}$

Now we explore the entropy properties of the metric space (\mathbf{T}^{ν}, ρ) . Towards this aim, take $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and cover T^{ν} by rectangular cells so that if z and z' belong to the same cell we have

$$
|z_j - z'_j| \le \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon}{\log \log \nu} & , 1 \le j \le \nu^{1/2}, \\ \varepsilon & , \nu^{1/2} < j \le \nu. \end{cases} \tag{19}
$$

Thus, every cell is a product of two cubes of different size and dimension. The necessary number of cells $M(\varepsilon)$ is bounded as follows,

$$
M(\varepsilon) \le \left(\frac{\log\log\nu}{\varepsilon}\right)^{\lbrack\nu^{1/2}\rbrack} \varepsilon^{-(\nu-\lbrack\nu^{1/2}\rbrack)} = (1/\varepsilon)^{\nu} (\log\log\nu)^{\lbrack\nu^{1/2}\rbrack}.
$$

Let us now evaluate the distance $\rho(z, z')$ for z, z' satisfying [\(19\)](#page-8-0). By [\(18\)](#page-8-1) we have

$$
\rho(z, z') \leq C N^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta \{ \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 \},
$$

where

$$
\rho_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |z_j - z'_j| \sum_{b=2}^{\infty} b^{1+H} p_j^{-b},
$$

$$
\rho_2 = \sum_{\nu^{1/2} < j \le \nu} |z_j - z'_j| p_j^{-1},
$$

$$
\rho_3 = \sum_{j \le \nu^{1/2}} |z_j - z'_j| p_j^{-1}.
$$

For any $j \geq 1$ we have

$$
\sum_{b=2}^{\infty} b^{1+H} p_j^{-b} = \sum_{b=2}^{\infty} b^{1+H} \left(\frac{2}{p_j}\right)^b 2^{-b} \le \left(\frac{2}{p_j}\right)^2 \sum_{b=2}^{\infty} b^{1+H} 2^{-b} = C p_j^{-2}.
$$
 (20) $\boxed{\text{e29}}$

Hence,

$$
\rho_1 \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} C p_j^{-2}\right) \max_{j \le \nu} |z_j - z'_j| \le C\varepsilon.
$$

Similarly,

$$
\rho_2 \leq \left(\sum_{\nu^{1/2} < j \leq \nu} p_j^{-1}\right) \ \ \max_{\nu^{1/2} < j \leq \nu} |z_j - z_j'| \leq C \ \left(\sum_{\nu^{1/2} < j \leq \nu} (j \log j)^{-1}\right) \ \varepsilon
$$

$$
\leq C \int_{\nu^{1/2}}^{\nu} \frac{du}{u \log u} \ \varepsilon = C(\log \log \nu - \log(\frac{\log \nu}{2})) \ \varepsilon = C(\log 2) \ \varepsilon.
$$

Finally,

$$
\rho_3 \le \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} p_j^{-1}\right) \max_{j \le \nu^{1/2}} |z_j - z'_j| \le C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} (j \log j)^{-1}\right) \frac{\varepsilon}{\log \log \nu} \le C \varepsilon.
$$

By summing up three estimates, we have $\rho(z, z') \leq CN^{1/2-\sigma} \Delta \varepsilon$ which enables the evaluation of the metric entropy.

Let $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{T}^{\nu}, \rho, u)$ be the minimal number of balls of radius u that cover the space (\mathbf{T}^{ν}, d) . We have

$$
\log \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{T}^{\nu}, \rho, CN^{1/2-\sigma} \Delta \varepsilon\right) \leq \log M(\varepsilon) \leq \nu |\log \varepsilon| + \nu^{1/2} \cdot \log \log \log \nu.
$$

Observe also that

$$
\|\Upsilon(\underline{z})\|_2 \le CN^{1/2-\sigma}\Delta, \quad \underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}.
$$
 (21) e210

Hence, $D := diam(\mathbf{T}^{\nu}, \rho) \leq CN^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\Delta$, and by the classical Dudley's entropy theorem (see [\[7\]](#page-17-6), Theorem 1 p.179), for any fixed $\underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}' \in T^{\nu}} |\Upsilon(\underline{z}') - \Upsilon(\underline{z})| &\leq & C \int_{0}^{D} [\log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{T}^{\nu}, \rho, u)]^{1/2} du \\
&\leq & C \int_{0}^{CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta} [\log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{T}^{\nu}, \rho, u)]^{1/2} du \\
&= & CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta \int_{0}^{1} [\log \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{T}^{\nu}, \rho, CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \varepsilon)]^{1/2} d\varepsilon \\
&\leq & CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta \int_{0}^{1} \left[\nu |\log \varepsilon| + \log \log \log \nu \cdot \nu^{1/2} \right]^{1/2} d\varepsilon \\
&\leq & CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta \nu^{1/2}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Using again [\(21\)](#page-9-0), we have

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}' \in T^{\nu}} |\Upsilon(\underline{z}')| \leq CN^{1/2 - \sigma} \Delta \nu^{1/2}.
$$
 (22) $\boxed{\text{e211}}$

The final stage of the proof provides the optimal choice of the parameter ν balancing the quantities (15) , (17) , and (22) . In the first version, we brutally replace by Δ the quantities $\widetilde{D}_2(N/p_\nu)$, resp. $\widetilde{D}_1(N/p_\nu)$ in [\(15\)](#page-6-0) and [\(17\)](#page-7-0). By taking any ν in the fairly vast range

$$
\frac{\log^2 N}{\log^2 \log N} \le \nu \le \frac{N}{\log^2 N} ,
$$

we conclude that the main term is [\(15\)](#page-6-0) and thus obtain the first result of the theorem.

Moreover, assuming [\(8\)](#page-2-0) to be verified, we can choose $\nu = N^h$, where $h =$ $h(b) = \frac{1}{2(b+1)}$ satisfies $h < 1$. Due to the choice of h, we have

$$
b + h/2 - 1/2 = b((1 - h) - h/2) = \frac{4b^2 + 2b - 1}{4(b + 1)} < 0,\tag{23}
$$
 $\boxed{\text{comp}}$

since $b < (\sqrt{5}-1)/4$. The estimate [\(16\)](#page-7-1) already provides a good order. We now take care of the two remaining bounds. For [\(17\)](#page-7-0) we have

$$
\frac{CN^{1-\sigma}\widetilde{D}_1(N/p_\nu)}{\nu^{1/2}\log \nu} \leq \frac{CN^{1-\sigma}}{\log N} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{D}_2(N/p_\nu)}{\nu^{1/2}} \leq \frac{CN^{1-\sigma}}{\log N} \cdot \frac{\widetilde{D}_2(CN/\nu)}{\nu^{1/2}} \leq \frac{CN^{1-\sigma}}{\log N} \cdot N^{b(1-h)-h/2},
$$

which is good by (23) . Similarly, for (22) we have

$$
CN^{1/2-\sigma}\widetilde{D}_2(N)\nu^{1/2}\leq \frac{CN^{1-\sigma}}{\log N}\left(N^{b+h/2-1/2}\cdot\log N\right),
$$

which is also good by (23) . Therefore, we obtain the second result of the theorem.

Finally, let us give the necessary modifications for the exceptional case $\sigma =$ $1/2$. The first deviation of the proof occurs at (10) where we have this time

$$
\sum_{m \le M} d(m)^2 m^{-1} \le C \widetilde{D}_2^2(M) \log M. \tag{24}
$$

After substitution in [\(9\)](#page-4-0) this yields

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} d(n)^2 n^{-1} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 \leq C \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 \frac{\widetilde{D}_2^2(N/p_j) \log_+(N/p_j)}{p_j},\tag{25}
$$

Furthermore, at the place of [\(13\)](#page-5-2) we have

$$
K_m = \frac{d(m)}{m^{1/2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{\nu \le j \le r \\ mp_j \le N}} p_j^{-1} \right)^{1/2} \le C \frac{d(m)}{m^{1/2}} \left[\log \log(N/m) \right]^{1/2} . \tag{26}
$$

which results in

$$
\sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} K_m \le C \sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} \frac{d(m)}{m^{1/2}} \left[\log \log (N/m) \right]^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\le \frac{C N^{1/2} \widetilde{D}_1(N/p_{\nu}) (\log \log \nu)^{1/2}}{\nu^{1/2} (\log \nu)^{1/2}}.
$$
\n(27) $\boxed{\text{e23b_2}}$

at the place of [\(14\)](#page-5-3) and [\(17\)](#page-7-0). Next, at the place of [\(15\)](#page-6-0) we have

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \leq C \sum_{\nu \leq j \leq \tau} \frac{\tilde{D}_2(N/p_j) \log_+^{1/2}(N/p_j)}{p_j^{1/2}} \\
\leq C \tilde{D}_2(N/p_\nu) \sum_{\nu \leq j \leq \tau} \frac{\log_+^{1/2}(N/p_j)}{p_j^{1/2}} \\
\leq \frac{C N^{1/2} \tilde{D}_2(N/p_\nu)}{\log N}, \tag{28} \quad \text{e24_2}
$$

where at the last step we applied Lemma [3](#page-6-1) to the logarithmic function. More-over, under assumption [\(8\)](#page-2-0) one applies Lemma [3](#page-6-1) to the function $f(r) = r^b \log_+^{1/2} r$, and obtains a bound

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \le \frac{C N^{1/2}}{\log N} , \qquad (29) \quad \boxed{\text{e24_ex_2}}
$$

which perfectly matches [\(16\)](#page-7-1).

The evaluation of the process Q_1 goes along the same lines as before until we have to use [\(24\)](#page-10-0) thus arriving to

$$
\rho(z, z') \le C (\log N)^{1/2} \Delta \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |z_j - z'_j| \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} b^{1+H} p_j^{-b} \right\}.
$$
 (30) $\boxed{\text{e27_2}}$

at the place of [\(18\)](#page-8-1). One observes that only the constant is different : $(\log N)^{1/2}$ stands for $N^{1/2-\sigma}$. By carrying on this constant along the rest of the calculation, we arrive at

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}' \in T^{\nu}} |\Upsilon(\underline{z}')| \le C(\log N)^{1/2} \Delta \nu^{1/2}.
$$
 (31) $\boxed{\text{e211_2}}$

 \blacksquare

at the place of [\(22\)](#page-9-1). The concluding part of the proof which deals with optimal balance of three expressions does not require any substantial change since the most sensible expression [\(15\)](#page-6-0), resp. [\(28\)](#page-10-1) is the same in both cases.

3 Other results

Fix some positive integer $\tau \leq \pi(N)$, and recall that $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots$ is the sequence of primes. Put

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\tau} = \mathcal{E}_{\tau}(N) = \{2 \le n \le N : P^+(n) \le p_{\tau}\}.
$$

Note that for $\mu = \pi(N)$ we have $\mathcal{E}_{\mu} = \{2, ..., N\}$. The \mathcal{E}_{τ} -based Dirichlet polynomials were considered in [\[12\]](#page-18-0) and [\[8\]](#page-17-0). In this section, we will establish the theorem below extending the main result of [\[8\]](#page-17-0) (Theorem 1.1) to the boundary case $\sigma = 1/2$.

Theorem 4 a) Upper bound. Then there exists a constant C such that for any integer $N \geq 2$ it is true that

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \Big| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau}} \varepsilon_n n^{-1/2 - it} \Big| \leq \begin{cases} C \left(\frac{\tau}{\log \tau} \log_+(\frac{N}{p_{\tau}}) \right)^{1/2} & , \text{ if } (N \log \log N)^{1/2} \leq \tau \leq \frac{N}{\log N}, \\ C (N \log \log N)^{1/4} & , \text{ if } \frac{(N \log \log N)^{1/2}}{\log N} \leq \tau \leq (N \log \log N)^{1/2}, \\ C (\log N \tau)^{1/2} & , \text{ if } 1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{(N \log \log N)^{1/2}}{\log N} . \end{cases}
$$

b) Lower bound. There exists a constant c such that for every $N \geq 2$,

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \Big| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau}} \varepsilon_n n^{-1/2 - it} \Big| \ge c \left(\frac{\tau}{\log \tau} \min \left\{ \log_+ \left(\frac{N}{p_{\tau}} \right) ; \log p_{\tau/2} \right\} \right)^{1/2}.
$$

Proof of the upper bound. We start exactly as in the proof of Theorem [1](#page-2-2) until [\(9\)](#page-4-0) where one has

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} n^{-2\sigma} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 \leq \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 p_j^{-2\sigma} \sum_{m \leq N/p_j} m^{-2\sigma}.\tag{32}
$$

When $\sigma = 1/2$, it follows that

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} n^{-2\sigma} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 \leq C_{\sigma} \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} (\alpha_j - \alpha'_j)^2 p_j^{-1} \log_+ (N/p_j). \tag{33}
$$

Next, in [\(11\)](#page-5-0) one obtains

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} \frac{(\beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}} - \beta_{\frac{n}{p_j}}')^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \leq \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} (\beta_m - \beta_m')^2 \Big(\sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau \atop m p_j \leq N} \frac{1}{(mp_j)^{2\sigma}} \Big)
$$
\n
$$
:= \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} K_m^2 (\beta_m - \beta_m')^2. \tag{34}
$$

while with $\sigma = 1/2$ we have

$$
K_m^2 = \sum_{\substack{\nu < j \le \tau \\ mp_j \le N}} (mp_j)^{-1} = m^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\nu < j \le \tau \\ mp_j \le N}} p_j^{-1}.
$$

The upper summation border is different in two cases $(p_j \leq p_\tau \text{ vs } p_j \leq N/m)$. Therefore, we distinguish two cases.

1) $m \leq N/p_\tau.$ Here, $N/m \geq p_\tau$ and the border $j \leq \tau$ is crucial. We obtain

$$
K_m^2 = m^{-1} \sum_{\substack{\nu < j \le \tau \\ \nu < j \le \tau}} p_j^{-1} \le m^{-1} \sum_{1 \le j \le \tau} p_j^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\le C m^{-1} \sum_{1 \le j \le \tau} (j \log j)^{-1} \le C m^{-1} \log \log \tau.
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum_{m \le N/p_{\tau}} K_m \le C \sum_{m \le N/p_{\tau}} m^{-1/2} (\log \log \tau)^{1/2} \le C(N/p_{\tau})^{1/2} (\log \log \tau)^{1/2}.
$$

2) $N/p_{\tau} \leq m \leq N/p_{\nu}$. Here, the border $p_j \leq N/m$ is crucial. We choose a positive integer k such that $N/p_k \sim m$ and obtain

$$
K_m^2 \le m^{-1} \sum_{j \le k} p_j^{-1} \le Cm^{-1} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} (j \log j)^{-1} \le C m^{-1} \log \log k
$$

 $\sim C m^{-1} \log \log p_k \sim C m^{-1} \log \log (N/m).$

It follows

 $K_m \leq C \ m^{-1/2} [\log \log (N/m)]^{1/2}.$

Hence,

$$
\sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} K_m \le C \sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} m^{-1/2} [\log \log (N/m)]^{1/2}
$$

$$
= CN^{-1/2} \sum_{m \le N/p_{\nu}} (m/N)^{-1/2} [\log \log (N/m)]^{1/2}
$$

\n
$$
\le CN^{1/2} \int_0^{1/p_{\nu}} u^{-1/2} [\log \log (1/u)]^{1/2} du
$$

\n
$$
\le C \left(\frac{N}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{1/2} [\log \log p_{\nu}]^{1/2}.
$$

As in [\[8\]](#page-17-0), we define a second Gaussian process by putting for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$

$$
Y(\gamma) = \sum_{\nu < j \leq \tau} (p_j^{-1} \log(N/p_j))^{1/2} \alpha_j \xi'_j + \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} K_m \beta_m \xi''_m := Y'_{\gamma} + Y''_{\gamma},
$$

where ξ'_i , ξ''_j are independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables. It follows from [\(33\)](#page-12-0) and [\(34\)](#page-12-1) that for some suitable constant C_{σ} , one has the comparison relations: for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$,

$$
||X_{\gamma} - X_{\gamma'}||_2 \le C_{\sigma} ||Y_{\gamma} - Y_{\gamma'}||_2.
$$

Next, by virtue of the Slepian comparison lemma, since $X_0 = Y_0 = 0$, we have

$$
\mathbf{E}\ \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |X_\gamma| \leq 2 \mathbf{E}\ \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} X_\gamma \leq 2 C_\sigma \mathbf{E}\ \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} Y_\gamma \leq 2 C_\sigma \mathbf{E}\ \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y_\gamma|.
$$

It remains to evaluate the supremum of Y . First of all,

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \le \sum_{\nu < j \le \tau} p_j^{-1/2} [\log(N/p_j)]^{1/2}.
$$

We have

$$
\sum_{\nu < j \le \tau} p_j^{-1/2} [\log(N/p_j)]^{1/2} \le C \sum_{1 < j \le \tau} (j \log j)^{-1/2} [\log(N/p_j)]^{1/2}
$$
\n
$$
\le C \left(\frac{\tau}{\log \tau} \right)^{1/2} [\log(N/p_\tau)]^{1/2}.
$$

thus

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y'(\gamma)| \le C \, \frac{\tau^{1/2}}{(\log \tau)^{1/2}} \, [\log (N/p_\tau)]^{1/2}.\tag{35}
$$

.

To control the supremum of Y'' , we use our estimates for the sums of K_m and write that

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y''(\gamma)| \leq \sum_{m \leq N/p_{\nu}} K_m
$$

$$
\leq C \left(\frac{N}{p_{\tau}}\right)^{1/2} (\log \log \tau)^{1/2} + C \left(\frac{N}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{1/2} [\log \log p_{\nu}]^{1/2}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\log \log \tau}{p_\tau} \le \frac{\log \log \nu}{p_\nu} \sim \frac{\log \log p_\nu}{p_\nu},
$$

only the second term is important and by

 $\log \log p_{\nu} \sim \log \log \nu$

we obtain

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |Y''(\gamma)| \le C \left(\frac{N}{p_{\nu}} \log \log \nu\right)^{1/2}.\tag{36} \quad \boxed{\text{e36}}
$$

Next, as in $[8]$, we turn to the supremum of \mathcal{Q}_1 . Towards this aim, introduce the auxiliary Gaussian process

$$
\Upsilon(\underline{z}) = \sum_{P^+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} n^{-\sigma} \{ \vartheta_n \cos 2\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle + \vartheta_n' \sin 2\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle \}, \qquad \underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu},
$$

where ϑ_i , ϑ'_j are independent $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ random variables. By symmetrization, $\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}}$ $\big|Q_1(\underline{z})\big|\leq$ $\sqrt{8\pi}E \sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathbf{T}^{\nu}}$ $\left|\Upsilon(\underline{z})\right|,$ so that we are again led to evaluating the supremum of a real valued Gaussian process. For $\underline{z}, \underline{z}' \in \mathbf{T}^{\nu}$ put

$$
d(\underline{z},\underline{z}'):=\,\big\|\Upsilon(\underline{z})-\Upsilon(\underline{z})\big\|_2,
$$

and observe that

$$
d(\underline{z}, \underline{z}')^2 = 4 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma}} \sin^2(\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} - \underline{z}' \rangle)
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \frac{1}{n^{2\sigma}} |\langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} - \underline{z}' \rangle|^2
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} n^{-2\sigma} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} a_j(n) |z_j - z'_j| \right]^2
$$

\n
$$
= 4\pi^2 \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{\nu} a_{j_1}(n) a_{j_2}(n) |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| n^{-2\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
= 4\pi^2 \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{\nu} \sum_{n:P+(n) \leq p_{\nu}} a_{j_1}(n) a_{j_2}(n) |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| n^{-2\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| \sum_{b_1,b_2=1}^{\infty} b_1 b_2 \sum_{n \leq N, a_{j_1}(n) = b_1, a_{j_2}(n) = b_2} n^{-2\sigma}
$$

\n
$$
\leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{j_1,j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| \sum_{b_1,b_2=1}^{\infty} b_1 b_2 p_{j_1}^{-2b_1 \sigma} p_{j_2}^{-2b_2 \sigma} \sum_{p+\{k\} > p_{j_1} \atop P+(k) \leq p_{\nu}}
$$

\n(37)

In the case $\sigma = 1/2$ we obtain

$$
d(\underline{z}, \underline{z}')^2 \leq 4\pi^2 \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^{\nu} |z_{j_1} - z'_{j_1}| |z_{j_2} - z'_{j_2}| \sum_{b_1, b_2=1}^{\infty} b_1 b_2 p_{j_1}^{-b_1} p_{j_2}^{-b_2} \log_+\left(\frac{N}{p_{j_1}^{b_1} p_{j_2}^{b_2}}\right).
$$

We ignore the denominator in the logarithm and move $\log N$ ahead:

$$
d(\underline{z}, \underline{z}')^2 \le C \log N \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |z_j - z'_j| \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} b p_j^{-b} \right\}^2, \tag{38}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
d(\underline{z}, \underline{z}')^{\leq} C \, (\log N)^{1/2} \, \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} |z_j - z'_j| \sum_{b=1}^{\infty} b \, p_j^{-b}.\tag{39} \, \boxed{\text{e39}}
$$

The subsequent estimates are identical to those of [\[8\]](#page-17-0) with $N^{1-2\sigma}$ being replaced by $\log N$ and $N^{1/2-\sigma}$ by $(\log N)^{1/2}$ until we arrive at

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}' \in T^{\nu}} |\Upsilon(\underline{z}')| \le C_{\sigma} (\log N)^{1/2} \nu^{1/2}.
$$
 (40) $\boxed{\text{e40}}$

The final stage of the proof provides the optimal choice of the parameter ν balancing the quantities [\(35\)](#page-13-0), [\(36\)](#page-14-0), and [\(40\)](#page-15-0). As suggests the Theorem's claim, we consider three cases.

Case 1. $(N \log \log N)^{1/2} \leq \tau \leq \frac{N}{\log N}$. In this case we choose

$$
\nu = \frac{(N \log \log N)^{1/2}}{\log N} \tag{41}
$$

thus balancing [\(36\)](#page-14-0) and [\(40\)](#page-15-0). Both terms yield then $(N \log \log N)^{1/4}$ which is dominated in this zone by the constant (35) . From (35) we obtain the bound $C_{\sigma}\left(\frac{\tau}{\log \tau} \log_+(N/p_{\tau})\right)^{1/2}$. The correctness condition $\nu \leq \tau$ is obvious.

Case 2. $\frac{(N \log \log N)^{1/2}}{\log N} \le \tau \le (N \log \log N)^{1/2}$.

In this case we still choose ν from [\(41\)](#page-15-1) thus balancing [\(36\)](#page-14-0) and [\(40\)](#page-15-0) and getting the bound $(N \log \log N)^{1/4}$. The difference is that in this range the constant term [\(35\)](#page-13-0) is negligible. It follows that our total bound is $(N \log \log N)^{1/4}$. The correctness condition $\nu \leq \tau$ is still obvious for the range under consideration.

Case 3. $1 \leq \tau \leq \frac{(N \log \log N)^{1/2}}{\log N}$ $\frac{\log N}{\log N}$. Here we just set $\nu = \tau$. It means that we do not need the splitting of the polynomial in two parts. Formally, the quantities [\(35\)](#page-13-0) and [\(36\)](#page-14-0) are not necessary and we obtain the bound C_{σ} (log N τ)^{1/2} directly from [\(40\)](#page-15-0).

The upper bound is proved completely.

Proof of the lower bound. Let $\underline{d} = \{d_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of reals. Recall that by [\(1\)](#page-1-0) we have

$$
\sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \Big| \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} d_n \varepsilon_n n^{-\sigma - it} \Big| = \sup_{\underline{z} \in \mathbf{T}^{\tau}} |Q(\underline{z})|.
$$

where

$$
Q(\underline{z}) = \sum_{j=1}^{\tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} d_n \varepsilon_n n^{-\sigma} e^{2i\pi \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle}.
$$

Consider the subset $\mathcal Z$ of $\mathbf T^{\tau}$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{Z} = \left\{ \underline{z} = \{z_j, 1 \le j \le \tau \} : z_j = 0, \text{ if } j \le \tau/2, \text{ and } z_j \in \{0, 1/2\}, \text{ if } j \in (\tau/2, \tau] \right\}.
$$

Observe that the imaginary part of Q vanishes on Z, since for any $z \in \mathcal{Z}$ and any n it is true that

$$
e^{2i\pi\langle \underline{a}(n),\underline{z}\rangle} = \cos(2\pi\langle \underline{a}(n),\underline{z}\rangle) = (-1)^{2\langle \underline{a}(n),\underline{z}\rangle}.
$$

Hence, Q takes the following simple form on $\mathcal Z$

$$
Q(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\tau/2 < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in E_j} d_n \varepsilon_n n^{-\sigma} (-1)^{2 \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle}.
$$

This is no longer a trigonometric polynomial, but simply a finite rank Rademacher process.

For $j \in (\tau/2, \tau]$ define

$$
\mathcal{L}_j = \Big\{ n = p_j \, \tilde{n} \; : \; \tilde{n} \leq \frac{N}{p_j} \; \text{and} \; P^+(\tilde{n}) \leq p_{\tau/2} \Big\}.
$$

Since

$$
E_j \supset \mathcal{L}_j, \quad j=1,\ldots \tau,
$$

the sets \mathcal{L}_j are pairwise disjoint.

Put for $z \in \mathcal{Z}$,

$$
Q'(\underline{z}) = \sum_{\tau/2 < j \leq \tau} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{L}_j} d_n \varepsilon_n n^{-\sigma} (-1)^{2 \langle \underline{a}(n), \underline{z} \rangle}.
$$

We now recall a useful fact.([\[8\]](#page-17-0) Lemma 3.1)

Lemma 5 Let $X = \{X_z, z \in Z\}$ and $Y = \{Y_z, z \in Z\}$ be two finite sets of random variables defined on a common probability space. We assume that X and Y are independent and that the random variables Y_z are all centered. Then

$$
\mathbf{E}\sup_{z\in Z}|X_z+Y_z|\geq \mathbf{E}\sup_{z\in Z}|X_z|.
$$

Clearly, since $\{Q(\underline{z}) - Q'(\underline{z}), \underline{z} \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ and $\{Q'(\underline{z}), \underline{z} \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ are independent,

$$
\mathbf{E}\sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{Z}}|Q(\underline{z})|\geq \mathbf{E}\sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{Z}}|Q'(\underline{z})|\,.
$$

We now proceed to a direct evaluation of $Q'(\underline{z})$ by proving

Proposition 6 There exists a universal constant c such that for any system of coefficients (d_n)

$$
c \sum_{\tau/2 < j \leq \tau} \big|\sum_{n \in \mathcal{L}_j} d_n^2\big|^{1/2} \leq \mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z} \in \mathcal{Z}} |Q'(\underline{z})| \leq \sum_{\tau/2 < j \leq \tau} \big|\sum_{n \in \mathcal{L}_j} d_n^2\big|^{1/2}.
$$

Corollary 7 If (d_n) is a multiplicative system, we have

$$
\mathbf{E} \sup_{\underline{z}\in\mathcal{Z}} |Q'(\underline{z})| \geq c \sum_{\tau/2 < j \leq \tau} d_{p_j} \Big(\sum_{\substack{\tilde{n}\leq N/p_j\\P^+(\tilde{n}) \leq P_{\tau/2}}} d_{\tilde{n}}^2\Big)^{1/2}.
$$

When $\sigma = 1/2$, we apply Corollary 3.3 with $d_n = n^{-1/2}$ which yields

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbf{E} \, \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \big| \sum_{n \in \mathcal{E}_{\tau}} \varepsilon_n n^{-1/2 - it} \big| & \geq & c \sum_{\tau/2 < j \leq \tau} p_j^{-1/2} \Big(\sum_{n \leq N/p_j \atop P^+(n) \leq p_{\tau/2}} n^{-1} \Big)^{1/2} \\ & \geq & c \frac{\tau}{2} \, p_{\tau}^{-1/2} \, \Big(\sum_{n \leq \min\{N/p_{\tau}; p_{\tau/2}\}} n^{-1} \Big)^{1/2} \\ & \geq & \left(\frac{c \, \tau}{\log \tau} \right)^{1/2} \, \Big(\log_+ \min\{N/p_{\tau}; p_{\tau/2}\} \Big)^{1/2}. \end{array}
$$

Acknowledgements. The work of the first mentioned author was supported by grants RFBR 05-01-00911 and NSh-422.2006.1 "Leading scientific schools of Russia". He is also grateful for hospitality to the L.Pasteur University (Strasburg) where this research has been done.

References

- [1] Bohr H. (1952) Collected Mathematical Works, Copenhagen.
- [2] Halász G., private communication to H.Queffélec, see [\[10\]](#page-17-2).
- [3] Halász G. (1983) On random multiplicative functions, Hubert Delange colloquium (Orsay 1982), Pub. Math. Orsay, 83-4, Univ. Paris XI, Orsay, 74-96.
- [4] Hardy G.H., Wright E.M. (1979) An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, Oxford University Press, Clarendon Press, Fifth ed.
- [5] Kahane J. P. (1968) Some random series of functions, D. C. Heath and Co. Raytheon Education Co., Lexington, Mass.
- [6] Konyagin S.V., Queffélec H. (2001/2002) The translation $\frac{1}{2}$ in the theory of Dirichlet series, Real Anal. Exchange 27(1), 155–176.
- [7] Lifshits M.A. (1995) Gaussian Random Functions, Kluwer, Dordrecht.
- [8] Lifshits M.A., Weber M. (2006) On the supremum of random Dirichlet polynomials. Studia Math., 182, 41–65.
- [9] Peskir G., Schneider D., Weber M. (1996) Randomly weighted series of contractions in Hilbert spaces, Math. Scand. 79, 263–282.
- [10] Queffélec H. (1980) Propriétés presque sûres et quasi-sûres des séries de Dirichlet et des produits d'Euler, Can. J. Math. XXXII no. 3, 531–558.
- [11] Queffélec H. (1983) Sur une estimation probabiliste liée à l'inégalité de Bohr, In: Harmonic analysis: study group on translation-invariant Banach spaces, Exp. No. 6, 21 pp., Publ. Math. Orsay, 84-1, Univ. Paris XI, Orsay, 1984.
- [12] Queffélec H. (1995) H. Bohr's vision of ordinary Dirichlet series; old and new results, J. Analysis 3, p.43-60.
- [13] Weber M. (2000) Estimating random polynomials by means of metric entropy methods, Math. Inequal. Appl. 3, no. 3, 443–457.
- [14] Weber M. (2006) On a stronger form of Salem-Zygmund inequality for random polynomials, Periodica Math. Hung. 52, No. 2, 73–104.

Mikhail Lifshits, St.Petersburg State University, Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, 198504, Bibliotechnaya pl, 2, Stary Peterhof, Russia. E-mail: lifts@mail.rcom.ru

MICHEL WEBER, MATHÉMATIQUE (IRMA), UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS-PASTEUR ET C.N.R.S., 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE.

E-mail: weber@math.u-strasbg.fr