

Quantizations of the W Algebra $W(2, 2)^1$

Junbo Li^{*,†}, Yucai Su[‡]

^{*})Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China

[†]) Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China

[‡])Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China Hefei 230026, China

E-mail: sd_junbo@163.com, ycsu@ustc.edu.cn

Abstract. We quantize the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$, whose Verma modules, Harish-Chandra modules, irreducible weight modules and Lie bialgebra structures have been investigated and determined in a series of papers recently.

Keywords: quantization, the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$, quantum groups, Lie bialgebras.

§1. Introduction

It is interesting to construct new quantum groups by quantizing Lie bialgebras to some authors (see [2]–[4], [6, 15]). Originally, Witt and Virasoro type algebras were quantized in [3], whose Lie bialgebra structure were presented in [16] and further classified in [13]. Afterwards, the Lie bialgebras of generalized Witt type, generalized Virasoro-like type and Block type were considered in [14], [17] and [8] respectively, which were quantized in [4], [15] and [6].

In the present paper we shall quantize the W algebra $W(2, 2)$, introduced by Zhang and Dong in [18], whose Lie bialgebra structures have been proved to be triangular coboundary in [7] by the authors. The algebra \mathcal{W} considered in this paper is an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra with a \mathbb{C} -basis $\{L_n, W_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the following Lie brackets (other components vanishing):

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n}, \quad [L_m, W_n] = (m - n)W_{m+n}. \quad (1.1)$$

The highest weight modules of \mathcal{W} were investigated in [18], which produce a new class of irrational vertex operator algebras. Later on, the irreducible weight modules and indecomposable modules on \mathcal{W} were considered in [5] and [9].

Let \mathcal{A} be a unitary \mathbb{C} -algebra. For any $y \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} (y + \mathfrak{b} + k), \quad y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} = \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} (y + \mathfrak{b} - k), \quad \binom{\mathfrak{b}}{i} = \frac{1}{i!} \prod_{k=0}^{i-1} (\mathfrak{b} - k).$$

Lemma 1.1 ([3]) *For any $y \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}$, $m, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has*

$$y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle m+n \rangle} = y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle m \rangle} y_{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{c}}^{\langle n \rangle}, \quad y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[m+n]} = y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[m]} y_{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{c}}^{[n]}, \quad y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[m]} = y_{\mathfrak{b}-m+1}^{\langle m \rangle}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{m+n=k} \frac{(-1)^n}{m! n!} y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[m]} y_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\langle n \rangle} = \binom{\mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{c}}{k}, \quad \sum_{m+n=k} \frac{(-1)^n}{m! n!} y_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[m]} y_{\mathfrak{c}-m}^{[n]} = \binom{\mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{c} + k - 1}{k}. \quad (1.3)$$

¹Supported by NSF grants 10471091, 10671027 of China, “One Hundred Talents Program” from University of Science and Technology of China.

Corresponding E-mail: sd_junbo@163.com

Definition 1.2 ([1, 2]) Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mu, \tau, \Delta, \epsilon, \mathcal{S})$ be a quantized enveloping algebra satisfying $\mathcal{H}/t\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{L} is a Lie algebra and t a deformation parameter. An invertible element $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ is called a Drinfeld's twisting if it satisfies the following conditions

$$(\mathcal{D} \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes Id)(\mathcal{D}) = (1 \otimes \mathcal{D})(Id \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{D}), \quad (1.4)$$

$$(\epsilon \otimes Id)(\mathcal{D}) = 1 \otimes 1 = (Id \otimes \epsilon)(\mathcal{D}). \quad (1.5)$$

The following well-known theorem is due to Drinfeld (see [1, 2]).

Theorem 1.3 Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mu, \tau, \Delta^o, \epsilon, \mathcal{S}^o)$ be a Hopf algebra over a commutative ring and \mathcal{D} a Drinfeld's element of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. Then

(1) $\mathcal{U} = \mu \cdot (\mathcal{S}^o \otimes Id)(\mathcal{D})$ is invertible in \mathcal{H} with $\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \mu(Id \otimes \mathcal{S}^o)(\mathcal{D}^{-1})$.

(2) The algebra $(\mathcal{H}, \mu, \tau, \Delta, \epsilon, \mathcal{S})$ is a new Hopf algebra if we define

$$\Delta(h) = \mathcal{D}\Delta^o(h)\mathcal{D}^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{S}(h) = \mathcal{U}^{-1}\mathcal{S}^o(h)\mathcal{U}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})$ the universal enveloping algebra of \mathcal{W} and $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W}), \mu, \tau, \Delta^o, \epsilon, \mathcal{S}^o)$ its natural Hopf algebra structure. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{W}$,

$$\Delta^o(x) = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \quad \mathcal{S}^o(x) = -x, \quad \epsilon(x) = 0.$$

For any $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, denote by $\mathcal{X} = L_{n_0}$ or $\mathcal{X} = W_{n_0}$ and $\hbar = -\frac{L_0}{n_0}$, then $[\hbar, \mathcal{X}] = \mathcal{X}$. For any $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, denote

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k, \quad \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mu \cdot (\mathcal{S}^o \otimes Id)(\mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}), \quad (1.6)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mu \cdot (Id \otimes \mathcal{S}^o)(\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}). \quad (1.7)$$

Since $\mathcal{S}^o(\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle}) = (-1)^k \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]}$ and $\mathcal{S}^o \mathcal{X}^k = (-1)^k \mathcal{X}^k$, one has

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \mathcal{X}^k t^k, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \mathcal{X}^k t^k. \quad (1.8)$$

For convenience, we shall use the following abbreviations:

$$\hbar_0^{\langle i \rangle} := \hbar^{\langle i \rangle}, \quad \hbar_0^{[i]} := \hbar^{[i]}, \quad \mathcal{C}_0 := \mathcal{C}, \quad \mathcal{D}_0 := \mathcal{D}, \quad \mathcal{U}_0 := \mathcal{U}, \quad \mathcal{V}_0 := \mathcal{V}. \quad (1.9)$$

The main results of this paper can be formulated as the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.4 If $\mathcal{X} = L_{n_0}$, then there exists a Hopf structure $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]], \mu, \tau, \Delta, \mathcal{S}, \epsilon)$ on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]$ over $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]/t\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]] = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})$, which preserves the product and the counit of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]$, while the coproduct and antipode are determined by

$$\mathcal{S}(L_n) = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k L_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\mathcal{S}(W_n) = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\Delta(L_n) = L_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \hbar^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k} L_{n+kn_0} t^k, \quad (1.12)$$

$$\Delta(W_n) = W_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \hbar^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^k, \quad (1.13)$$

where $\mathfrak{m} = -\frac{n}{n_0}$, $b_k = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n)$, $b_0 = 1$.

Conveniently, we use the same notations in the following theorem as those in Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.5 *If $\mathcal{X} = W_{n_0}$, then there exists another Hopf structure $(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]], \mu, \tau, \Delta, S, \epsilon)$ on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]$ over $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$, such that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]/t\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]] = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})$, which preserves the product and the counit of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]$, while the coproduct and antipode are determined by*

$$\mathcal{S}(L_n) = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-\mathfrak{m}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k, \quad (1.14)$$

$$\Delta(L_n) = L_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{\mathfrak{m}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \hbar^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^k, \quad (1.15)$$

$$\mathcal{S}(W_n) = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-\mathfrak{m}} W_n, \quad \Delta(W_n) = W_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{\mathfrak{m}} + 1 \otimes W_n. \quad (1.16)$$

Throughout the paper we denote by \mathbb{Z}_+ the set of all nonnegative integers and \mathbb{C} the set of all complex numbers.

§2. Proofs of the main results

The proofs of Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 are based on a series of technical lemmas, some of which were originally developed in [3, 4] and ever employed in [6, 15]. For completeness, we shall still prove them in detail under our background.

Lemma 2.1 *If $\mathcal{X} = L_{n_0}$ or $\mathcal{X} = W_{n_0}$, then for any $L_n, L_m, W_n, W_m \in \mathcal{W}$, one always has*

$$\mathcal{X}^k \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-k}^{[i]} \mathcal{X}^k, \quad \mathcal{X}^k \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-k}^{\langle i \rangle} \mathcal{X}^k, \quad L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{[i]} L_n, \quad (2.1)$$

$$L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{\langle i \rangle} L_n, \quad W_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{[i]} W_n, \quad W_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{\langle i \rangle} W_n, \quad (2.2)$$

$$L_n (L_m)^i = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)m - n) (L_m)^{i-k} L_{n+km}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$L_n (W_m)^i = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)m - n) (W_m)^{i-k} W_{n+km}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$W_n (L_m)^i = \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)m - n) (L_m)^{i-k} W_{n+km}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{m} = -\frac{n}{n_0}$, $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof From $[\hbar, L_n] = \mathfrak{m}L_n$ and $[\hbar, W_n] = \mathfrak{m}W_n$, one has $L_n \hbar = (\hbar - \mathfrak{m})L_n$ and $W_n \hbar = (\hbar - \mathfrak{m})W_n$, which imply the case $i = 1$ of (2.2). We will use induction on i to prove (2.2). Suppose all equations of (2.2) hold for i . Then for the case $i + 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} &= L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{[i]} L_n (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{[i+1]} L_n, \\ L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i+1 \rangle} &= L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} + i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{\langle i \rangle} L_n (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} + i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{\langle i+1 \rangle} L_n, \\ W_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} &= W_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{[i]} W_n (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{[i+1]} W_n, \\ L_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i+1 \rangle} &= W_n \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} + i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{\langle i \rangle} W_n (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} + i) = \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}-n}^{\langle i+1 \rangle} W_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.2) follows. Similarly, one can obtain (2.1) from using the induction on i and k . Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(L_m)^i &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (L_m)^{i-k} (\text{ad} L_m)^k (L_n), \quad (\text{ad} L_m)^k (L_n) = \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)m - n) L_{n+km}, \\ L_n(W_m)^i &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (W_m)^{i-k} (\text{ad} W_m)^k (L_n), \quad (\text{ad} W_m)^k (L_n) = \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)m - n) W_{n+km}, \\ W_n(L_m)^i &= \sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \binom{i}{k} (L_m)^{i-k} (\text{ad} L_m)^k (W_n), \quad (\text{ad} L_m)^k (W_n) = \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)m - n) W_{n+km}, \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.3)–(2.5) follows. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Whether $\mathcal{X} = L_{n_0}$ or $\mathcal{X} = W_{n_0}$, for any $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{b}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{c}} = 1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{c}}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{b}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{c}} = (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{c}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Therefore, the elements $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ are invertible with $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}, \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1} = \mathcal{V}_{-\mathfrak{b}}$.

Proof Using (1.3), (1.6) and (1.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{b}} \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{c}} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i t^i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\langle j \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{X}^j t^j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i! j!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \hbar_{\mathfrak{c}}^{\langle j \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{i+j} t^{i+j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{\mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{c}}{k} \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k = 1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.3), (1.8) and (2.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{\mathfrak{b}} \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{c}} &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \mathcal{X}^i t^i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{c}}^{[j]} \mathcal{X}^j t^j \right) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{i! j!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{c}-i}^{[j]} \mathcal{X}^{i+j} t^{i+j} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i+j=k} \frac{(-1)^j}{i! j!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{c}-i}^{[j]} \mathcal{X}^k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c} + k - 1}{k} \mathcal{X}^k t^k = (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{c}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then this lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2.3 *For any $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, one can write*

$$\Delta^{\circ} \hbar^{[i]} = \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]}. \quad (2.7)$$

In particular, one has $\Delta^{\circ} \hbar^{[i]} = \sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \hbar^{[k]} \otimes \hbar^{[i-k]}$.

Proof We will use induction on i . The case of $i = 1$ follows from the formula $\Delta^\circ(\hbar) = \hbar \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \hbar$. Suppose (2.7) holds for i . As for the case $i + 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^\circ(\hbar^{[i+1]}) &= \Delta^\circ(\hbar^{[i]})\Delta^\circ(\hbar - i) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \right) ((\hbar - \mathfrak{b} - i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i) + i(1 \otimes 1)) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \right) ((\hbar - \mathfrak{b} - i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i)) + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \otimes (\hbar + \mathfrak{b} - i) \\
&\quad + i \left(\sum_{k=0}^i \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \right) + (1 \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} \otimes 1) + (\hbar - \mathfrak{b} - i) \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \\
&= 1 \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} \otimes 1 + i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \right) + (\hbar - \mathfrak{b}) \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \\
&\quad + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \otimes (\hbar + \mathfrak{b}) + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k+1]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (k-i) \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k+1]} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} (-k) \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \\
&= (1 \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} \otimes 1) + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k+1]} + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} \otimes (\hbar + \mathfrak{b}) \right) \\
&\quad + \left((\hbar - \mathfrak{b}) \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i]} + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \binom{i}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k+1]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i-k]} \right) \\
&= 1 \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} + \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1]} \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^i \left(\binom{i}{k-1} + \binom{i}{k} \right) \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1-k]} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{i+1} \binom{i+1}{k} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{[i+1-k]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then this lemma follows. \square

Lemma 2.4 $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \hbar^{[k]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{kt^k}$ is a Drinfeld's twist element of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{W})[[t]]$, i.e., \mathcal{D} satisfies (1.4) and (1.5), no matter when $\mathcal{X} = L_{n_0}$ or $\mathcal{X} = W_{n_0}$.

Proof Firstly, we check that \mathcal{D} satisfies (1.4). Using Lemma 2.3, (1.2) and (2.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{D} \otimes 1)(\Delta^\circ \otimes Id)(\mathcal{D}) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \hbar^{[i]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i t^i \otimes 1 \right) (\Delta^\circ \otimes Id) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \hbar^{[j]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^j t^j \right) \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i!} \hbar^{[i]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i t^i \otimes 1 \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \hbar_{-i}^{[k]} \otimes \hbar_i^{[j-k]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^j t^j \right) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \hbar^{[i]} \hbar_{-i}^{[k]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i \hbar_i^{[j-k]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^j \right) \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{i!j!} t^{i+j} \\
&= \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \hbar^{[i+k]} \otimes \hbar^{[j-k]} \mathcal{X}^i \otimes \mathcal{X}^j \right) \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{i!j!} t^{i+j},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes \mathcal{D})(Id \otimes \Delta^\circ)(\mathcal{D}) &= \left(\sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \otimes \hbar^{[p]} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p+q} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^q}{q!} \hbar^{[q]} \otimes \sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \mathcal{X}^r \otimes \mathcal{X}^{q-r} t^q \right) \\
&= \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \hbar^{[q]} \otimes \hbar^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^r \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p+q-r} \right) \frac{(-1)^{p+q}}{p!q!} t^{p+q}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to show that the following equation holds for any fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i+j=m}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \hbar^{[i+k]} \otimes \hbar^{[j-k]} \mathcal{X}^i \otimes \mathcal{X}^j \right) \frac{1}{i!j!} \\
&= \sum_{p+q=m}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{r=0}^q \binom{q}{r} \hbar^{[q]} \otimes \hbar^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^r \otimes \mathcal{X}^{p+q-r} \right) \frac{1}{p!q!}.
\end{aligned}$$

Fixing p, q, r such that $p+q = m$, $0 \leq r \leq q$. Set $i = r$, $i+k = q$. Then $j = m-r$, $j-k = p$. It is easy to see that the coefficients of coefficients of $\hbar^{[q]} \otimes \hbar^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^r \otimes \mathcal{X}^{m-r}$ in both sides are equal to each other. \square

Lemma 2.5 *If $\mathcal{X} = L_{n_0}$, then for any $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, $L_n \in \mathcal{W}$, we have the following identities:*

$$(1 \otimes L_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}+k} (\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes L_{n+kn_0} t^k), \quad (2.8)$$

$$(1 \otimes W_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}+k} (\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k), \quad (2.9)$$

$$(L_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{n}}(L_n \otimes 1), \quad L_n \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k L_{n+kn_0} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}+k}^{\langle k \rangle} t^k, \quad (2.10)$$

$$(W_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{n}}(W_n \otimes 1), \quad W_n \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}+k}^{\langle k \rangle} t^k, \quad (2.11)$$

where $\mathfrak{n} = \frac{n}{n_0}$, $b_k = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)\mathfrak{n} - n)$, $b_0 = 1$.

Proof Recalling (2.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \otimes L_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \left(\sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \left(\binom{i}{k} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{i-k} L_{n+kn_0} \right) t^k \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(i-k)!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{i-k} L_{n+kn_0} t^k \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i+k \rangle} \otimes \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{i-k} L_{n+kn_0} t^{i+k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}+k}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i t^i \right) (\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes L_{n+kn_0} t^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}+k} (\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes L_{n+kn_0} t^k).
\end{aligned}$$

So (2.8) holds. Similarly, (2.9) follows by (2.4). From (2.2), we can deduce

$$(L_n \otimes 1)\mathcal{C}_b = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} L_n \hbar_b^{<k>} \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \hbar_{b-n}^{<k>} L_n \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k = \mathcal{C}_{b-n}(L_n \otimes 1), \quad (2.12)$$

$$(W_n \otimes 1)\mathcal{C}_b = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} W_n \hbar_b^{<k>} \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \hbar_{b-n}^{<k>} W_n \otimes \mathcal{X}^k t^k = \mathcal{C}_{b-n}(W_n \otimes 1). \quad (2.13)$$

The first identities of (2.10) and (2.11) follow. As for the latter part of (2.10), one has

$$\begin{aligned} L_n \mathcal{U}_b &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} L_n \hbar_{-b}^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^p t^p = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-n-b}^{[p]} L_n \mathcal{X}^p t^p \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-n-b}^{[p]} \left(\sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k \binom{p}{k} \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{p-k} L_{n+kn_0} \right) t^p \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{(p-k)!} \hbar_{-n-b}^{[p]} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{X}^{p-k} L_{n+kn_0} t^p \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-n-b}^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^p t^p \right) \hbar_{-n-b}^{[k]} b_k L_{n+kn_0} t^k \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{n+b} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar_{-n-b}^{[k]} b_k L_{n+kn_0} t^k = \mathcal{U}_{n+b} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k L_{n+kn_0} \hbar_{k-b}^{[k]} t^k. \end{aligned}$$

The second identity of (2.11) can be similarly obtained. Then the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4 For any $L_n, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(L_n) &= \mathcal{D}(L_n \otimes 1)\mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes L_n)\mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}(L_n \otimes 1)\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes L_n)\mathcal{C} \\ &= \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}_{-n}(L_n \otimes 1) + \mathcal{D} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_k (\hbar^{<k>} \otimes L_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n)(L_n \otimes 1) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k}) (\hbar^{<k>} \otimes L_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= L_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \hbar^{<k>} \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k} L_{n+kn_0} t^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(W_n) &= \mathcal{D}(W_n \otimes 1)\mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes W_n)\mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}(W_n \otimes 1)\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes W_n)\mathcal{C} \\ &= \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}_{-n}(W_n \otimes 1) + \mathcal{D} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_k (\hbar^{<k>} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n)(W_n \otimes 1) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k}) (\hbar^{<k>} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= W_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \hbar^{<k>} \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(L_n) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{S}^o(L_n) \mathcal{U} = -\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k L_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{<k>} t^k = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k L_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{<k>} t^k,$$

$$\mathcal{S}(W_n) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{S}^o(W_n) \mathcal{U} = -\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k.$$

By now, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

Lemma 2.6 *If $\mathcal{X} = W_{n_0}$, then for any $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathbb{C}$, $L_n \in \mathcal{W}$, we have the following identities:*

$$(L_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}-n}(L_n \otimes 1), \quad (W_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}-n}(W_n \otimes 1), \quad (2.14)$$

$$(1 \otimes W_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}(1 \otimes W_n), \quad L_n \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}+n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}+k}^{\langle k \rangle} t^k, \quad (2.15)$$

$$(1 \otimes L_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}+k}(\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k), \quad W_n \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}+n} W_n. \quad (2.16)$$

Proof Both identities of (2.14) can be obtained similar to those given in Lemma 2.5. The former identity of (2.15) follows from

$$(1 \otimes W_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes W_n \mathcal{X}^i t^k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i W_n t^k = \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}(1 \otimes W_n).$$

As for the latter part of (2.15), one has

$$\begin{aligned} L_n \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}} &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} L_n \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^p t^p = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[p]} L_n \mathcal{X}^p t^p \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[p]} \left(\sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k \binom{p}{k} \prod_{q=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{p-k} W_{n+kn_0} \right) t^p \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{(p-k)!} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[p]} \sum_{k=0}^p (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{X}^{p-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^p \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^p t^p \right) \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} b_k W_{n+kn_0} t^k \\ &= \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{b}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar_{-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} b_k W_{n+kn_0} t^k = \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{b}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_{k-\mathfrak{b}}^{[k]} t^k. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (2.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \otimes L_n) \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \left(\sum_{k=0}^i (-1)^k \left(\binom{i}{k} \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{i-k} W_{n+kn_0} \right) t^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^i \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(i-k)!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{i-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle i+k \rangle} \otimes \prod_{p=0}^{k-1} ((1-p)n_0 - n) \mathcal{X}^{i-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^{i+k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{i!} \hbar_{\mathfrak{b}+k}^{\langle i \rangle} \otimes \mathcal{X}^i t^i \right) (\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{b}+k}(\hbar_{\mathfrak{b}}^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k). \end{aligned}$$

So the former identity of (2.16) holds. Observing

$$W_n \mathcal{U}_b = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} W_n \hbar_{-b}^{[p]} \mathcal{X}^p t^p = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \hbar_{-n-b}^{[p]} W_n \mathcal{X}^p t^p = \mathcal{U}_{n+b} W_n,$$

we obtain the second identity of (2.16). Then the lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5 For any $L_n, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(L_n) &= \mathcal{D}(L_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes L_n) \mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}(L_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes L_n) \mathcal{C} \\ &= \mathcal{D} \mathcal{C}_{-n}(L_n \otimes 1) + \mathcal{D} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \mathcal{C}_k(\hbar^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n)(L_n \otimes 1) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k})(\hbar^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes W_{n+kn_0} t^k) \\ &= L_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k \hbar^{\langle k \rangle} \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-k} W_{n+kn_0} t^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(W_n) &= \mathcal{D}(W_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{D}^{-1} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes W_n) \mathcal{D}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}(W_n \otimes 1) \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes W_n) \mathcal{C} \\ &= \mathcal{D} \mathcal{C}_{-n}(W_n \otimes 1) + \mathcal{D} \mathcal{C}(1 \otimes W_n) \\ &= (1 \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n)(W_n \otimes 1) + 1 \otimes W_n \\ &= W_n \otimes (1 - \mathcal{X}t)^n + 1 \otimes W_n, \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{S}(L_n) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{S}^o(L_n) \mathcal{U} = -\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k W_{n+kn_0} \hbar_k^{\langle k \rangle} t^k,$$

$$\mathcal{S}(W_n) = \mathcal{U}^{-1} \mathcal{S}^o(W_n) \mathcal{U} = -\mathcal{V} \mathcal{U}_n W_n = -(1 - \mathcal{X}t)^{-n} W_n.$$

By now, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

References

- [1] V.G. Drinfeld, Constant quasiclassical solutions of the Yang -Baxter quantum equation, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **28**(3) (1983), 667–671.
- [2] V.G. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, in: *Proceeding of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, Vol. 1, 2, Berkeley, Calif. 1986, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 798-820.
- [3] C. Grunspan, Quantizations of the Witt algebra and of simple Lie algebras in characteristic p , *J. Alg.* **280** (2004), 145-161.
- [4] N. Hu, X. Wang, Quantizations of generalized-Witt algebra and of Jacobson -Witt algebra in the modular case, *J. Alg.* **312** (2007), 902-929.
- [5] D. Liu, S. Gao, L. Zhu, Classification of irreducible weight modules over the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$, arXiv:0801.2603v2.
- [6] J. Li, Y. Su, Quantizations of Block Type Algebras, preprint.
- [7] J. Li, Y. Su, Lie bialgebra structures on the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$, arXiv:0801.4144v1.

- [8] J. Li, Y. Su, B. Xin, Lie bialgebras of a family of Block type, *Chinese Annals of Math. (Series.B)*, in press.
- [9] D. Liu, L. Zhu, Classification of Harish-Chandra over the W -algebra $W(2, 2)$, preprint, arXiv:0801.2601v2.
- [10] W. Michaelis, A class of infinite-dimensional Lie bialgebras containing the Virasoro algebras, *Adv. Math.* **107** (1994), 365–392.
- [11] W. Michaelis, Lie coalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **38** (1980), 1–54.
- [12] W. Michaelis, The dual Poincare-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, *Adv. Math.* **57** (1985), 93–162.
- [13] S.-H. Ng, E.J. Taft, Classification of the Lie bialgebra structures on the Witt and Virasoro algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **151** (2000), 67–88.
- [14] Song G, Su Y. Lie Bialgebras of generalized Witt type. *Science in China: Series A*, 2006,49(4):533-544.
- [15] G. Song, Y. Su, Y. Wu, Quantization of generalized Virasoro-like algebras. arXiv:Math.QA/0611944.
- [16] E.J. Taft, Witt and Virasoro algebras as Lie bialgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **87** (1993), 301–312.
- [17] Y. Wu, G. Song, Y. Su, Lie bialgebras of generalized Virasoro-like type, *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, **22** (2006), 1915–1922.
- [18] W. Zhang, C. Dong, W -algebra $W(2, 2)$ and the Vertex operator algebra $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(0, \frac{1}{2})$, arXiv:0711.4624v1.