

**Investigating a Class of $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ Chessboard Density Matrices via
Linear and Non-linear Entanglement Witnesses Constructed by
Exact Convex Optimization**

M. A. Jafarizadeh ^{a,b,c} *, Y. Akbari ^{a,b} †, K. Aghayar ^a ‡,
A. Heshmati ^a §, M. Mahdian ^a ¶

^aDepartment of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Tabriz University, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

^bInstitute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Tehran 19395-1795, Iran.

^cResearch Institute for Fundamental Sciences, Tabriz 51664, Iran.

November 10, 2018

*E-mail:jafarizadeh@tabrizu.ac.ir

†E-mail:y-akbari@tabrizu.ac.ir

‡E-mail:aghayar@tabrizu.ac.ir

§E-mail:heshmati@tabrizu.ac.ir

¶E-mail:mahdian@tabrizu.ac.ir

Abstract

Here we consider a class of $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ chessboard density matrices starting with three-qubit ones which have positive partial transposes with respect to all subsystems. To investigate the entanglement of these density matrices, we use the entanglement witness approach. For constructing entanglement witnesses (EWs) detecting these density matrices, we attempt to convert the problem to an exact convex optimization problem. To this aim, we map the convex set of separable states into a convex region, named feasible region, and consider cases that the exact geometrical shape of feasible region can be obtained. In this way, various linear and non-linear EWs are constructed. The optimality and decomposability of some of introduced EWs are also considered. Furthermore, the detection of the density matrices by introduced EWs are discussed analytically and numerically.

Keywords: chessboard density matrices, optimal non-linear entanglement witnesses, convex optimization

PACs Index: 03.65.Ud

1 Introduction

Bound entangled states, states with positive partial transposes with respect to all subsystems, are of great importance in quantum information processes [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. One class of bound entangled states is the three-qubit chessboard states considered in [6] where the authors have used a separability criterion due to P. Horodecki to show the boundness of such states. The boundness of these states for some range of parameters are also investigated in [7] using entanglement witnesses (EWs) and in [8] from the perspective of convex optimization. Another class of chessboard states has been discussed in [9] again by using entanglement witnesses (EWs). The EWs are of special interest since it has been proved that for any entangled state there exists at least one EW detecting it. The EWs are Hermitian operators which have non-negative expectation values over all separable states while they have negative expectation values over, that is they are able to detect, some entangled states [10, 11].

In this paper, we consider a generalized form of the above chessboard states initially for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$ case, then extend them for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ case and use EWs approach to analyze their entanglement. For constructing the relevant EWs, we attempt to convert the problem to an exact convex optimization problem. This method are general and one can apply it for multi-qubits in a similar way. All of witnesses constructing in this way are valid with some changes in notation. As the dimension of problem increases the number and categories of EW's increases but the procedures are same in general. Convex optimization techniques have been widely used in quantum information problems recently [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. In references [24, 25, 26, 27, 28] the problem of constructing EWs was converted to a linear programming problem, a special case of convex optimization problem, exactly or approximately. To this aim, the convex set of separable states was mapped into a convex region, named feasible region (FR). The FR may be a polygon by itself or it may not. When FR was not a polygon, it was approximated by a polygon. In this way, the problem was

converted to a linear programming problem whose linear constraints came from the exact or approximated boundary surfaces of FR.

Here we consider the cases that the geometrical shape of FR can be obtained exactly and hence convert the problem to an exact convex optimization problem. Any hyper-plane tangent to the FR corresponds to a linear EW. According to the geometrical shape of FR, we can construct non-linear EWs or can not. It is shown that when the geometrical shape of FR is a polygon, all EWs are linear; otherwise it is possible to construct non-linear EWs. In the previous works where a non-polygonal FR was approximated by a polygonal one, the number of obtained linear EWs was not sufficient for constructing non-linear EWs. However, in the present work where we consider the exact geometrical shape of a non-polygonal FR, any hyper-plane tangent to the surface of FR is a linear EW. Therefore, there exist innumerable linear EWs which is enough for constructing a non-linear EW as the envelop of linear functionals arising from them. By construction, a non-linear EW plays the role of innumerable linear EWs as a whole and hence it may detect bound entangled states. Our approach is typical and can be applied in all cases where the exact geometrical shape of FR is known.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the basic notions and definitions of EWs relevant to our study and describe our approach of constructing EWs. Then we present a generalized form of a class of three-qubit density matrices of [6]. In Section 3, we consider the construction of linear and non-linear EWs that can detect the mentioned density matrices. Section 4 is devoted to an analysis of optimality of introduced EWs. It is proved that some of the EWs are optimal. In Section 5, we consider the detection of mentioned density matrix by introduced EWs analytically and numerically. Section 6 is devoted to the comparison of our results with other works. In section 7 we extend all these methods to $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ case and we see that all these methods are general and one can apply them for multipartite chessboard density matrices. This extension neither change the structure of PPT's conditions nor the EW's structures. In section 8 numerical analysis for detection ability of introduced EW's for

$2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$ and $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 3$ chessboard density matrices are discussed.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 A class of three-qubit density matrices with positive partial transposes

Here we consider a generalized form of a class of three-qubit density matrices presented in [6]

$$\rho = \frac{1}{n} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_1 e^{i\varphi_1} \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r_2 e^{i\varphi_2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 & 0 & r_3 e^{i\varphi_3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d & r_4 e^{i\varphi_4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r_4 e^{-i\varphi_4} & \frac{1}{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r_3 e^{-i\varphi_3} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{c} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 e^{-i\varphi_2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{b} & 0 \\ r_1 e^{-i\varphi_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{a} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

where a, b, c, d are non-negative parameters, $0 \leq r_i \leq 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $n = (a + b + c + d + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d})$. It is easy to see that this density matrix has positive partial transposes with respect to all subsystems, i.e., it is a PPT state. The density matrix of [6] is a special case of ρ where $\varphi_1 = 0$, $r_1 = 1$, $r_2 = r_3 = r_4 = 0$, and $a = 1$. We want to show that for some values of the parameters, ρ is a PPT entangled state. To this aim, we will construct various linear and non-linear non-decomposable EWs that are able to detect it.

Written in the Pauli matrices basis, ρ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \rho = \frac{1}{8} [& IIII + r_{300} \sigma_z II + r_{030} I \sigma_z I + r_{003} II \sigma_z + r_{330} \sigma_z \sigma_z I + r_{303} \sigma_z I \sigma_z \\ & + r_{033} I \sigma_z \sigma_z + r_{333} \sigma_z \sigma_z \sigma_z + r_{111} \sigma_x \sigma_x \sigma_x + r_{112} \sigma_x \sigma_x \sigma_y + r_{121} \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_x \\ & + r_{211} \sigma_y \sigma_x \sigma_x + r_{122} \sigma_x \sigma_y \sigma_y + r_{212} \sigma_y \sigma_x \sigma_y + r_{221} \sigma_y \sigma_y \sigma_x + r_{222} \sigma_y \sigma_y \sigma_y] \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where the coefficients r_{ijk} are given in appendix B. We will try to construct our non-decomposable EWs by using Pauli group operators appearing in the ρ . But before this, let us review the

basic notions and definitions of EWs relevant to our study.

2.2 Entanglement witnesses

Let us first recall the definition of entanglement and separability [29]. By definition, an n -partite quantum mixed state $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ (the Hilbert space of bounded operators acting on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{d_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{d_n}$) is called fully separable if it can be written as a convex combination of pure product states, that is

$$\rho = \sum_i p_i |\alpha_i^{(1)}\rangle\langle\alpha_i^{(1)}| \otimes |\alpha_i^{(2)}\rangle\langle\alpha_i^{(2)}| \otimes \dots \otimes |\alpha_i^{(n)}\rangle\langle\alpha_i^{(n)}| \quad (2.3)$$

where $|\alpha_i^{(j)}\rangle$ are arbitrary but normalized vectors lying in the \mathcal{H}_{d_j} , and $p_i \geq 0$ with $\sum_i p_i = 1$. Otherwise, ρ is called entangled. Throughout the paper, by separability we mean fully separability.

An entanglement witness (EW) W is a Hermitian operator which has non-negative expectation value over all separable states ρ_s and its expectation value over, at least, one entangled state ρ_e is negative. The existence of an EW for any entangled state is a direct consequence of Hahn-Banach theorem [30] and the fact that the subspace of separable density operators is convex and closed.

Based on the notion of partial transpose map, the EWs are classified into two classes: decomposable (d-EW) and non-decomposable (nd-EW). An EW W is called decomposable if there exist positive operators $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}_K$ such that

$$W = \mathcal{P} + \sum_{K \subset \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{Q}_K^{T_K} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\mathcal{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and T_K denotes the partial transpose with respect to partite $K \subset \mathcal{N}$ and it is non-decomposable if it can not be written in this form [31]. Clearly, d-EWs can not detect bound entangled states (entangled states with positive partial transpose (PPT) with respect to all subsystems) whereas there are some bound entangled states which can be detected by an nd-EW.

A non-linear EW associated to an entangled density matrix ρ is simply a non-linear functional of ρ such that it is non-negative valued over all separable states, but has negative value over the density matrix ρ . A non-linear EW can be viewed as the envelop of a set of linear functionals $Tr(W\rho)$ that arise from corresponding linear EWs W .

Usually one is interested in finding EWs W which detect entangled states in an optimal way. An EW W is called an optimal EW if there exists no other EW which detects more entangled states than W . It is shown that the necessary and sufficient condition for optimality of an EW W is that there exist no positive operator \mathcal{P} and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $W' = W - \epsilon\mathcal{P}$ be again an EW [32].

2.3 Constructing of EWs via exact convex optimization

Let us consider a set of given Hermitian operators Q_i . By using these operators, we will attempt to construct various linear and non-linear EWs. To this aim, for any separable state ρ_s we introduce the maps

$$P_i = Tr(Q_i\rho_s) \quad (2.5)$$

which map the convex set of separable states into a convex region named the feasible region (FR). Any hyper-plane tangent to the FR corresponds to a linear EW, since such hyper-planes separate the FR from entangled states. Hence, we need to determine the geometrical shape of FR. In general, determining the geometrical shape of FR is a difficult task. However, one may choose the Hermitian operators Q_i such that the exact geometrical shape of FR can be obtained rather simply. By such a choice, when the FR is a polygon, its surface corresponds to linear EWs which are linear combinations of the operators Q_i ; otherwise, linear EWs come from any hyper-plane tangent to the surface of FR. When the FR is not a polygon, besides the linear EWs it is possible to obtain non-linear EWs for the given density matrix.

To obtain the geometrical shape of FR, we note that every separable mixed state ρ_s can be written as a convex combination of pure product states, so the subspace of separable states

\mathcal{S} can be considered as a convex hull of the set of all pure product states \mathcal{D} . Thus first we specify the geometrical shape of a region obtained from mapping of \mathcal{D} under the P_i 's. If the resulted region is convex by itself, we get the FR, otherwise we have to take the convex hull of that region as FR.

In this paper, the operators Q_i are chosen as linear combinations of Hermitian operators in the Pauli group \mathcal{G}_n , a group consisting of tensor products of the identity I_2 and the usual Pauli matrices σ_x, σ_y and σ_z together with an overall phase ± 1 or $\pm i$ [33, 34, 35].

3 A class of three-qubit EWs

In this section, we want to introduce various nd-EWs for the density matrix ρ of (2.1). To simplify the analysis, let us classify these EWs according to the shape of relevant FRs: polygonal, conical, cylindrical and spherical. Hereafter, we will use the following notation for the three-qubit Pauli group operators

$$O_{ijk} = \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \otimes \sigma_k, \quad i, j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \quad (3.6)$$

where $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ and σ_3 stand for the 2×2 identity matrix I_2 and single qubit Pauli matrices $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$ respectively. Let us begin with polygonal case.

3.1 EWs with polygonal FR

Let us consider the following operators

$$Q_1^{\text{Po}} = O_{333}, \quad Q_2^{\text{Po}} = O_{111} + (-1)^i O_{122}, \quad Q_3^{\text{Po}} = O_{212} + (-1)^{i+1} O_{221}, \quad i = 0, 1$$

and try to construct nd-EWs from them for detecting ρ . To this end, we define the maps

$$P_j = \text{Tr}(Q_j^{\text{Po}} |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta| |\gamma\rangle\langle\alpha| \langle\beta| \langle\gamma|), \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

for any pure product state $|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle|\gamma\rangle$. In this case, the FR is a polygon which its boundary planes are as follows:

$$(-1)^{j_1} P_1 + (-1)^{j_2} P_2 + (-1)^{j_3} P_3 = 1 \quad , \quad (j_1, j_2, j_3) \in \{0, 1\}^3 \quad (3.7)$$

(for a proof, see appendix A). These planes can be rewritten as

$$\min_{|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle|\gamma\rangle} \text{Tr}([III - (-1)^{j_1} Q_1^{\text{Po}} - (-1)^{j_2} Q_2^{\text{Po}} - (-1)^{j_3} Q_3^{\text{Po}}]|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle|\gamma\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|\langle\gamma|) = 0$$

It is seen that the operators in the bracket have non-negative expectation values over all pure product states, hence they give rise to the following linear EWs

$${}^1W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}} = III + (-1)^{i_1} O_{333} + (-1)^{i_2} O_{111} + (-1)^{i_3} O_{122} + (-1)^{i_4} O_{212} + (-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4+1} O_{221}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in \{0, 1\}^4$. Besides the above 16 EWs, we can construct other 16 EWs by using the fact that local unitary operators take an EW to another EW. For this purpose, we act the phase-shift gate

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

locally on the first qubit which takes $\sigma_x \rightarrow \sigma_y$, $\sigma_y \rightarrow -\sigma_x$, and $\sigma_z \rightarrow \sigma_z$ under conjugation, and get

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}} &= MII(W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}})M^\dagger II = III + (-1)^{i_1} O_{333} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{i_2} O_{111} + (-1)^{i_3} O_{122} + (-1)^{i_4+1} O_{212} + (-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4} O_{221}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

We could replace Q_1^{Po} with the operator $\sigma_z \sigma_z I$ or any cyclic permutation of it, but since these lead to d-EWs we do not consider such cases here.

In this way, we have constructed 32 linear EWs with polygonal FR.

3.2 EWs with conical FR

For this case, we consider the following Hermitian operators

$$Q_1^{\text{Co}} = O_{k'j'l'}, \quad Q_2^{\text{Co}} = O_{111} + (-1)^i O_{kjl}, \quad Q_3^{\text{Co}} = O_{lkj} + (-1)^i O_{jlk}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where $k'j'l'$ is one of the triples 333, 330, 303, 033, and kjl is one of the triples 122, 212, 221.

Now we try to determine the exact shape of the FR. The FR is a cone given by

$$(1 \pm P_1)^2 = P_2^2 + P_3^2 \quad (3.10)$$

(for a proof, see appendix A), where

$$P_j = \text{Tr}(Q_j^{\text{Co}} |\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle|\gamma\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|\langle\gamma|), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We assert that any plane tangent to the FR corresponds to an EW. To show this, we maximize the function

$$f(P_1, P_2, P_3) = A_1 P_1 + A_2 P_2 + A_3 P_3 \quad (3.11)$$

where A_i are real parameters, under the constraint (3.10). This is a convex optimization problem since the function and its constraint are both convex functions. Using the Lagrange multiplier method shows that this maximum is $\pm A_1$ provided that $A_1^2 = A_2^2 + A_3^2$. It is easy to see that the plane $A_1 P_1 + A_2 P_2 + A_3 P_3 = \pm A_1$ is tangent to the surface (3.10) at the point $(-A_1 \pm 1, A_2, A_3)$. This plane can be rewritten as

$$\min_{|\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle|\gamma\rangle} \text{Tr}([A_1 III \pm A_1 Q_1^{\text{Co}} \pm (A_2 Q_2^{\text{Co}} + A_3 Q_3^{\text{Co}})] |\alpha\rangle|\beta\rangle|\gamma\rangle\langle\alpha|\langle\beta|\langle\gamma|) = 0.$$

Thus the operator

$$W_{\pm}^{\text{Co}} = A_1 III \pm A_1 Q_1^{\text{Co}} \pm (A_2 Q_2^{\text{Co}} + A_3 Q_3^{\text{Co}})$$

has non-negative expectation value over all pure product states, hence it can be a linear EW.

By defining $\cos \psi = \frac{A_2}{A_1}$ and $\sin \psi = \frac{A_3}{A_1}$, W_{\pm}^{Co} is rewritten as

$${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i\pm}^{\text{Co}} = III \pm O_{k'j'l'} + \cos \psi (O_{111} + (-1)^i O_{kjl}) + \sin \psi (O_{lkj} + (-1)^i O_{jlk}). \quad (3.12)$$

where $i = 0, 1$. Now we obtain non-linear functionals of ρ , hence non-linear EWs, by optimizing $\text{Tr}[({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i\pm}^{\text{Co}})\rho]$ with appropriate choice of the parameter ψ as a functional of ρ . We note that

$$\text{Tr}[({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i\pm}^{\text{Co}})\rho] = 1 \pm r_{k'j'l'} + \cos \psi (r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl}) + \sin \psi (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk}).$$

By defining

$$\cos \eta = \frac{r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl}}{\sqrt{(r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}}$$

$Tr[(k'j'l'W_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co})\rho]$ can be rewritten as

$$Tr[(k'j'l'W_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co})\rho] = 1 \pm r_{k'j'l'} + \sqrt{(r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2} \cos(\psi - \eta).$$

The trace take its minimum for $\psi - \eta = \pi$:

$${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co}(\rho) = \min Tr[(k'j'l'W_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co})\rho] = 1 \pm r_{k'j'l'} - \sqrt{(r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}. \quad (3.13)$$

These are the required non-linear functionals, hence non-linear EWs, associated with ρ . It is seen that the number of such non-linear EWs is 48.

We can obtain other 48 linear EWs from ${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co}$ by conjugating them with MII . This gives further 48 non-linear EWs of conical case as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co}(\rho) &= \min Tr[MII({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i\pm}^{Co})M^\dagger III\rho] \\ &= 1 \pm r_{k'j'l'} - \sqrt{(r_{222} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

here kjl is one of the triples 211, 121 and 112.

In this way, we have constructed 96 non-linear EWs with conical FR.

3.3 EWs with cylindrical FR

The second type of non-linear EWs for ρ can be derived by considering the following operators

$$Q_1^{Cy} = O_{k'j'l'}, \quad Q_2^{Cy} = O_{111} + (-1)^i O_{kjl}, \quad Q_3^{Cy} = O_{lkj} + (-1)^{i+1} O_{jlk}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where $k'j'l'$ is one of the triples 300, 030, 003, and kjl is one of the triples 122, 212, 221. It can be shown that the FR has the cylindrical shape

$$P_1^2 + (P_2 + P_3)^2 = 1, \quad (3.15)$$

the maximum of the function (3.11) under the constraint (3.15) is $\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2}$ provided that $A_2 = A_3$ and this leads to the linear EWs

$${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}} = III + (\cos \psi)O_{k'j'l'} + \sin \psi(O_{111} + (-1)^{i_1}O_{kjl} + (-1)^{i_2}O_{lkj} + (-1)^{i_1+i_2+1}O_{jlk}). \quad (3.16)$$

where $\cos \psi = A_1/\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2}$ and $i_1, i_2 = 0, 1$. Similar arguments as above shows that ${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}}$ gives rise to non-linear EWs for ρ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}}(\rho) &= \min Tr[({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}})\rho] \\ &= 1 - \sqrt{r_{k'j'l'}^2 + (r_{111} + (-1)^{i_1}r_{kjl} + (-1)^{i_2}r_{lkj} + (-1)^{i_1+i_2+1}r_{jlk})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

The number of these non-linear EWs is 36. We obtain other 36 non-linear EWs of this type by conjugating ${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}}$ with III as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}}(\rho) &= \min Tr[MII({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl;i_1i_2}^{\text{Cy}})M^\dagger II\rho] \\ &= 1 - \sqrt{r_{k'j'l'}^2 + (r_{222} + (-1)^{i_1}r_{kjl} + (-1)^{i_2}r_{lkj} + (-1)^{i_1+i_2+1}r_{jlk})^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

here kjl is one of the triples 211, 121 and 112 and $i_1, i_2 = 0, 1$.

In this way, we have constructed 72 non-linear EWs with cylindrical FR.

3.4 EWs with spherical FR

The third type of non-linear EWs for ρ follows from the operators

$$Q_1^{\text{Sp}} = O_{k'j'l'}, \quad Q_2^{\text{Sp}} = O_{111} + (-1)^i O_{kjl}, \quad Q_3^{\text{Sp}} = O_{lkj} + (-1)^i O_{jlk}, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

where $k'j'l'$ is one of the triples 300, 030, 003, and kjl is one of the triples 122, 212, 221. In this case, the FR is of spherical shape

$$P_1^2 + P_2^2 + P_3^2 = 1, \quad (3.19)$$

the maximum of the function (3.11) under the constraint (3.19) is $\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2}$ and this leads to the linear EW

$${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i}^{\text{Sp}} = III + (\sin \eta \cos \zeta)O_{k'j'l'} + \sin \eta \sin \zeta(O_{111} + (-1)^i O_{kjl}) + \cos \eta(O_{lkj} + (-1)^i O_{jlk}), \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$\sin \eta \cos \zeta = \frac{A_1}{\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2}}, \quad \sin \eta \sin \zeta = \frac{A_2}{\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2}}, \quad \cos \eta = \frac{A_3}{\sqrt{A_1^2 + A_2^2 + A_3^2}}.$$

The 18 non-linear EWs which correspond to ${}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i}^{\text{Sp}}$ is

$${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl,i}^{\text{Sp}}(\rho) = \min Tr[({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i}^{\text{Sp}})\rho] = 1 - \sqrt{r_{k'j'l'}^2 + (r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}. \quad (3.21)$$

We obtain other 18 non-linear EWs of this type by conjugating ${}^3W_i^{\text{NL}}$ with MII as follows

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl,i}^{\text{Sp}}(\rho) &= \min Tr[MII({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl,i}^{\text{Sp}})M^\dagger I I \rho] \\ &= 1 - \sqrt{r_{k'j'l'}^2 + (r_{222} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

here kjl is one of the triples 211, 121 and 112.

In this way, we have constructed 36 non-linear EWs with spherical FR.

4 Optimality of the EWs

In this section we discuss the optimality of EWs introduced so far. Let us recall that if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a positive operator \mathcal{P} such that $W' = W - \epsilon\mathcal{P}$ be again an EW, the EW W is not optimal, otherwise it is. Every positive operator can be expressed as a sum of pure projection operators with positive coefficients, i.e., $\mathcal{P} = \sum_i \lambda_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ with all $\lambda_i \geq 0$, so we can take \mathcal{P} as pure projection operator $\mathcal{P} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. If W' is to be an EW, then $|\psi\rangle$ must be orthogonal to all pure product states that the expectation value of W over them is zero. The eigenstates of each three-qubit Pauli group operator can be chosen as pure product states, half with eigenvalue +1 and the other half with eigenvalue -1. In EWs introduced so far, there exists no pair of locally commuting Pauli group operators, so the expectation value of such pauli group operators vanishes over the pure product eigenstates of one of them.

Regarding the above facts, now we are ready to discuss the optimality of introduced EWS.

4.1 Optimality of EWs with polygonal FR

Let us begin with EWs of (3.8). We discuss two cases $i_1 = 0$ and $i_1 = 1$ separately. For the case $i_1 = 0$, note that as eigenstates of the operator $\sigma_z \sigma_z \sigma_z$ with eigenvalue +1 we can take the pure product states

$$|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle, \quad |z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle, \quad |z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle, \quad |z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle, \quad (4.23)$$

and as eigenstates with eigenvalue -1 we can take the following ones

$$|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle, \quad |z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle, \quad |z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle, \quad |z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle. \quad (4.24)$$

The EWs $W_{0i_2i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ have zero expectation values over the states of (4.24), so if there exists a pure projection operator $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ that can be subtracted from EWs $W_{0i_2i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$, the state $|\psi\rangle$ ought to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle = & a_{+++}|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle + a_{+--}|z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle \\ & + a_{-+-}|z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle + a_{---}|z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

Expectation values of $W_{00i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ over pure product eigenstates of the operator $\sigma_x \sigma_x \sigma_x$ with eigenvalue -1 are zero, so $|\psi\rangle$ should be orthogonal to these eigenstates. Applying the orthogonality constraints gives the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x; +|\langle x; +|\langle x; -||\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(a_{+++} - a_{+--} - a_{-+-} + a_{---}) = 0, \\ \langle x; +|\langle x; -|\langle x; +||\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(a_{+++} - a_{+--} + a_{-+-} - a_{---}) = 0, \\ \langle x; -|\langle x; +|\langle x; +||\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(a_{+++} + a_{+--} - a_{-+-} - a_{---}) = 0, \\ \langle x; -|\langle x; -|\langle x; -||\psi\rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(a_{+++} + a_{+--} + a_{-+-} + a_{---}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The solution of this system of four linear equations is $a_{+++} = a_{+--} = a_{-+-} = a_{---} = 0$. Thus $|\psi\rangle = 0$, that is, there exists no pure projection operator $|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, hence no positive operator \mathcal{P} , which can be subtracted from $W_{00i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ and leave them EWs again. So the EWs $W_{00i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ are optimal. Similar argument proves the optimality of EWs $W_{01i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$.

As for EWs $W_{1i_2i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$, the state $|\psi\rangle$ (if exists) ought to be of the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle = & a_{++-}|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle + a_{+-+}|z; +\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle \\ & + a_{-++}|z; -\rangle|z; +\rangle|z; +\rangle + a_{---}|z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle|z; -\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

The same argument as above shows the impossibility of existing such $|\psi\rangle$. Therefore, the EWs $W_{1i_2i_3i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ are also optimal.

4.2 Optimality of EWs with conical FR

The optimality of EWs ${}^{330}W_{122,i\pm}^{\text{Co}}$ has been proved in [36], so we talk about the optimality of EWs ${}^{333}W_{122,i\pm}^{\text{Co}}$. Let us first find pure product states that the expectation value of ${}^{333}W_{122,i\pm}^{\text{Co}}$ over them vanishes. For this purpose, we consider a pure product state as follows

$$|\nu\rangle = \bigotimes_{j=1}^3 \left(\cos\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right)|z; +\rangle + \exp(i\varphi_j) \sin\left(\frac{\theta_j}{2}\right)|z; -\rangle \right) \quad (4.27)$$

and attempt to choose parameters θ_j and φ_j such that $\text{Tr}[({}^{333}W_{122,i\pm}^{\text{Co}})|\nu\rangle\langle\nu|] = 0$. By direct calculation, this trace is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}[({}^{333}W_{122,i\pm}^{\text{Co}})|\nu\rangle\langle\nu|] = & 1 \pm \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 \cos\theta_3 + \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 \sin\theta_3 \\ & \times [\cos\psi \cos\varphi_1 \cos(\varphi_2 + (-1)^{i+1}\varphi_3) + \sin\psi \sin\varphi_1 \sin(\varphi_2 + (-1)^i\varphi_3)]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

It is easy to see that the following four choices of parameters θ_j and φ_j lead to zero value for the trace of ${}^{333}W_{122,0\pm}^{\text{Co}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\nu_{1+}\rangle : & \quad \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{3\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_1 = \psi, \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \\ |\nu_{2+}\rangle : & \quad \theta_1 = \theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{3\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_1 = \psi, \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}, \\ |\nu_{3+}\rangle : & \quad \theta_2 = \theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{3\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_1 = -\psi, \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = -\frac{\pi}{4}, \\ |\nu_{4+}\rangle : & \quad \theta_1 = \theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{3\pi}{2}, \quad \varphi_1 = -\psi, \quad \varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = -\frac{\pi}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

For ${}^{333}W_{122,0+}^{\text{Co}}$, the state $|\psi\rangle$ (if exists) must be of the form (4.25) and be orthogonal to the above four states, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nu_{1+} | \psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[-a_{+++} + ia_{+--} + \exp(-i(\psi + \frac{\pi}{4}))(a_{-+-} + a_{--+})] = 0, \\ \langle \nu_{2+} | \psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[-a_{+++} - ia_{+--} - \exp(-i(\psi + \frac{\pi}{4}))(a_{-+-} - a_{--+})] = 0, \\ \langle \nu_{3+} | \psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[-a_{+++} - ia_{+--} + \exp(i(\psi + \frac{\pi}{4}))(a_{-+-} + a_{--+})] = 0, \\ \langle \nu_{4+} | \psi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}[-a_{+++} + ia_{+--} - \exp(i(\psi + \frac{\pi}{4}))(a_{-+-} - a_{--+})] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The above system of four equations has trivial solution $a_{+++} = a_{+--} = a_{-+-} = a_{--+} = 0$ provided that $\psi \neq \pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{3\pi}{4}$. This proves the optimality of ${}^{333}W_{122,0+}^{\text{Co}}$ for all but $\pm\frac{\pi}{4}, \pm\frac{3\pi}{4}$ values of ψ . Similarly, the optimality of ${}^{333}W_{122,0-}^{\text{Co}}$ is proved for the same values of ψ .

5 Detection of ρ by EWs

In this section, we consider the problem of detection of ρ by introduced EWs.

5.1 Detection of EWs with polygonal FR

First we begin with 16 EWs ${}^1W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ of (3.8). For these EWs we have

$$\text{Tr}({}^1W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}} \rho) = 1 + (-1)^{i_1} r_{333} + (-1)^{i_2} r_{111} + (-1)^{i_3} r_{122} + (-1)^{i_4} r_{212} + (-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4+1} r_{221}. \quad (5.29)$$

It is seen that ρ is detectable by ${}^1W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ if the parameters of ρ satisfy the following conditions

$$b + c + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{d} < \pm 4r_j \cos \varphi_j, \quad a + d + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} < \pm 4r_j \cos \varphi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (5.30)$$

For the 16 EWs ${}^2W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}}$ of (3.9), we have

$$\text{Tr}({}^2W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}} \rho) = 1 + (-1)^{i_1} r_{333} + (-1)^{i_2} r_{211} + (-1)^{i_3} r_{222} + (-1)^{i_4+1} r_{112} + (-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4} r_{121}. \quad (5.31)$$

The detection condition imposes the following constraints on the parameters

$$b + c + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{d} < \pm 4r_j \sin \varphi_j, \quad a + d + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} < \pm 4r_j \sin \varphi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (5.32)$$

5.2 Detection of EWs with conical FR

The detection conditions obtained from 48 non-linear EWs ${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i_{\pm}}^{Co}(\rho)$ of (3.13) together with 48 non-linear EWs ${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i_{\pm}}^{Co}(\rho)$ of (3.14) are

$$\begin{aligned}
(a + \frac{1}{a} + b + \frac{1}{b})^2 &< 4w \quad , \quad (a + \frac{1}{a} + c + \frac{1}{c})^2 < 4w \\
(a + \frac{1}{a} + d + \frac{1}{d})^2 &< 4w \quad , \quad (b + \frac{1}{b} + c + \frac{1}{c})^2 < 4w \\
(b + \frac{1}{b} + d + \frac{1}{d})^2 &< 4w \quad , \quad (c + \frac{1}{c} + d + \frac{1}{d})^2 < 4w \\
(a + \frac{1}{b} + d + \frac{1}{c})^2 &< 4w \quad , \quad (b + \frac{1}{a} + c + \frac{1}{d})^2 < 4w
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

where $w = u_1, u_2, u_3; v_1, v_2, v_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
u_1 &= (r_2 \cos \varphi_2 \pm r_3 \cos \varphi_3)^2 + (r_1 \cos \varphi_1 \mp r_4 \cos \varphi_4)^2, \\
u_2 &= (r_1 \cos \varphi_1 \pm r_3 \cos \varphi_3)^2 + (r_2 \cos \varphi_2 \mp r_4 \cos \varphi_4)^2, \\
u_3 &= (r_1 \cos \varphi_1 \pm r_2 \cos \varphi_2)^2 + (r_3 \cos \varphi_3 \mp r_4 \cos \varphi_4)^2, \\
v_1 &= (r_2 \sin \varphi_2 \pm r_3 \sin \varphi_3)^2 + (r_1 \sin \varphi_1 \mp r_4 \sin \varphi_4)^2, \\
v_2 &= (r_1 \sin \varphi_1 \pm r_3 \sin \varphi_3)^2 + (r_2 \sin \varphi_2 \mp r_4 \sin \varphi_4)^2, \\
v_3 &= (r_1 \sin \varphi_1 \pm r_2 \sin \varphi_2)^2 + (r_3 \sin \varphi_3 \mp r_4 \sin \varphi_4)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

5.3 Detection of EWs with cylindrical FR

The detection conditions obtained from 36 non-linear EWs ${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i_1 i_2}^{Cy}(\rho)$ of (3.17) together with 36 non-linear EWs ${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i_1 i_2}^{Cy}(\rho)$ of (3.18) are

$$z_i < 16r_j^2 \cos^2 \varphi_j, \quad z_i < 16r_j^2 \sin^2 \varphi_j, \quad i = 1, 2, 3; \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4, \tag{5.35}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 &= (a + b + c + d)(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}), \\
z_2 &= (a + b + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d})(c + d + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}), \\
z_3 &= (a + c + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{d})(b + d + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{c}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

Unfortunately, as the following argument shows, the conditions (5.35) are not hold for ρ . We can write

$$z_1 = 4 + (\frac{a}{b} + \frac{b}{a}) + (\frac{a}{c} + \frac{c}{a}) + (\frac{a}{d} + \frac{d}{a}) + (\frac{b}{c} + \frac{c}{b}) + (\frac{b}{d} + \frac{d}{b}) + (\frac{c}{d} + \frac{d}{c}).$$

The two terms of each parenthesis are inverse of each other, so the value of each parenthesis is greater than or equal to 2 and hence $z_1 \geq 16$, while in accord to (5.35) $z_1 < 16$. Similar arguments show that $z_2, z_3 \geq 16$, but in accord to (5.35) they are smaller than 16.

5.4 Detection of EWs with spherical FR

Finally, the detection conditions obtained from 18 non-linear EWs ${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i}^{Sp}(\rho)$ of (3.21) together with 36 non-linear EWs ${}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl;i}^{Sp}(\rho)$ of (3.22) are

$$z_i < 4u_j \quad , \quad z_i < 4v_j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where z_i, u_j and v_j are defined as in (5.36) and (5.34).

6 Comparison with other works

If we put $a = b = c = d = 1, r_1 = r_2 = 1$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$, the detection conditions (5.33) give

$$4 < 4 + (r_3 \cos \varphi_3 - r_4 \cos \varphi_4)^2.$$

Hence, this case is detected by our EWs unless $r_3 \cos \varphi_3 = r_4 \cos \varphi_4$. Further inspection shows that if in addition $\varphi_3 = \varphi_4 = 0, \pi$, then ρ is separable. So for the choice of parameters as $a = b = c = d = 1, r_1 = r_2 = 1, \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = 0$ and $\varphi_3 = \varphi_4 = 0, \pi$, the ρ is separable if and only if $r_3 = r_4$; in agreement with Ref. [9].

For the case $a = 1, 0 < b, c, \frac{1}{d} < 1, r_1 = 1, \varphi_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = r_3 = r_4 = 0$, we have

$$Tr({}^1W_{1101}^{Po}\rho) = \frac{2(b + c + \frac{1}{d} - 3)}{2 + b + c + d + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}}.$$

This trace attains its minimum value -0.3371 at $b = c = \frac{1}{d} = 0.3798$ and hence improves the result -0.1069 at $b = c = \frac{1}{d} = 0.3460$ of Ref. [7].

For the case $a = 1, 0 < \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{c}, d < 1, r_1 = 1, \varphi_1 = 0$ and $r_2 = r_3 = r_4 = 0$, we have

$$Tr({}^1W_{0101}^{Po}\rho) = \frac{2(\frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + d - 3)}{2 + b + c + d + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}}.$$

This trace attains its minimum value -0.3371 at $\frac{1}{b} = \frac{1}{c} = d = 0.3460$.

7 $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ Chessboard Density Matrices

We generalize previous chessboard density matrices to $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ case and see that the PPT conditions are valid. EW's forms remain the same with a few changes in notation. These methods can be applied even for higher dimensions and for multi-qubits although the number of EW's and classification of them increases. Using some new algebraic notation for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ case we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{d,\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = & \sum_{j=0}^1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^d a_{jk}^{jk} |0jk\rangle \langle 0jk| + z_{\bar{j}\beta}^{j\alpha} |0j\alpha\rangle \langle 1\bar{j}\beta| + \bar{z}_{\bar{j}\beta}^{j\alpha} |1\bar{j}\beta\rangle \langle 0j\alpha| \right. \\
& + z_{\bar{j}\alpha}^{j\beta} |0j\beta\rangle \langle 1\bar{j}\alpha| + \bar{z}_{\bar{j}\alpha}^{j\beta} |1\bar{j}\alpha\rangle \langle 0j\beta| + z_{\bar{j}\gamma}^{j\gamma} |0j\gamma\rangle \langle 1\bar{j}\gamma| \\
& + \bar{z}_{\bar{j}\gamma}^{j\gamma} |1\bar{j}\gamma\rangle \langle 0j\gamma| + \frac{1}{a_{j\alpha}^{j\alpha}} |1j\alpha\rangle \langle 1j\alpha| + \frac{1}{a_{j\beta}^{j\beta}} |1j\beta\rangle \langle 1j\beta| \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{a_{j\gamma}^{j\gamma}} |1j\gamma\rangle \langle 1j\gamma| \right) \tag{7.37}
\end{aligned}$$

here $\bar{j} = 0$ if $j = 1$ and vice versa and

$$\alpha \neq \beta = 0, \dots, d-1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d-1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq d-1$$

$$z_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu} = r_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu} \exp(i\varphi_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu}), \quad \bar{z}_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu} = r_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu} \exp(-i\varphi_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu})$$

For given α, β if $r_{\bar{j}\mu}^{j\nu} \leq 1$ for every j, μ, ν then these type density matrices have positive partial transposes with respect to all subsystems, i.e., they are PPT states. All of previous witnesses classes including polygonal, conical, cylindrical and spherical become witnesses for this density matrices if we replace

I_2 to I_d ($d \times d$ identity matrix)

σ_x to $\sqrt{2}\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+$

σ_y to $\sqrt{2}\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^-$

σ_z to $E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\beta\beta}$

on third partite of each terms of all of previous witnesses (see appendix C), as we do in

following subsections, where

$$\alpha \neq \beta = 0, \dots, d-1, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq d-1.$$

7.1 Polygonal EW's

With the notations as above, for polygonal case we have $32\left(\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\right)$ EW's. In analogy with (3.8) the $16\left(\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\right)$ EW's are

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\alpha, \beta} &= I_2 I_2 I_d + (-1)^{i_1} \sigma_z \sigma_z (E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\beta\beta}) + \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_2} \sigma_x \sigma_x \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+ \\ &+ \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_3} \sigma_x \sigma_y \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^- + \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_4} \sigma_y \sigma_x \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^- + \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4+1} \sigma_y \sigma_y \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (7.38)$$

where $(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4) \in \{0, 1\}^4$. The remaining $16\left(\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\right)$ polygonal EW's can obtain by applying the phase-shift gate locally on the first qubit. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\alpha, \beta} &= I_2 I_2 I_d + (-1)^{i_1} \sigma_z \sigma_z (E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\beta\beta}) + \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_2} \sigma_x \sigma_x \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+ \\ &+ \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_3} \sigma_x \sigma_y \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^- + \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_4+1} \sigma_y \sigma_x \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^- + \sqrt{2}(-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4} \sigma_y \sigma_y \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+ \end{aligned} \quad (7.39)$$

7.2 Conical EW's

We can expand the relevant density matrices in terms of Pauli and $SU(N)$ operators for convenience (see appendix C). In the following relations r_{ijk} are coefficients of relevant operator appearing in density matrices expansions, i.e. r_{ij1} is the coefficient of $\sqrt{2}\sigma_i \sigma_j \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+$, r_{ij2} is the coefficient of $\sqrt{2}\sigma_i \sigma_j \lambda_{\alpha\beta}^-$, and r_{ij3} is the coefficient of $\sigma_i \sigma_j (E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\beta\beta})$.

The $96\left(\frac{d(d-1)}{2}\right)$ conical EW's (in analogy with (3.13) and (3.14)) are

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl, i\pm}^{Co}(\rho) &= \min Tr[({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl, i\pm}^{Co})\rho] = \\ &1 \pm r_{k'j'l'} - \sqrt{(r_{111} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.40)$$

where $k'j'l'$ is one of the triples 333, 330, 303, 033, and kjl is one of the triples 122, 212, 221.

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{k'j'l'}F_{kjl, i\pm}^{Co}(\rho) &= \min Tr[MII({}^{k'j'l'}W_{kjl, i\pm}^{Co})M^\dagger III\rho] \\ &= 1 \pm r_{k'j'l'} - \sqrt{(r_{222} + (-1)^i r_{kjl})^2 + (r_{lkj} + (-1)^i r_{jlk})^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.41)$$

here kjl is one of the triples 211, 121 and 112. Cylindrical and spherical EW's for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ chessboard density matrices can construct with this procedure which are in full analogy with equations (3.17) , (3.18) , (3.21) , (3.22). As result the number of EW's are $236 \binom{d(d-1)}{2}$

7.3 $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 3$ Chessboard Density Matrices : An Example

Now let us study density matrix for $d = 3$, $\alpha = 0, \beta = 2, \gamma = 1$ in some details. In this case we can expand this density matrix in terms of Pauli and Gell-Mann operators $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_8$ (see appendix D), and all of previous witnesses including polygonal, conical, cylindrical and spherical are valid if we replace

I_2 to I_3 (3×3 identity matrix)

σ_x to $\sqrt{2}\lambda_{02}^+ = \Lambda_4$

σ_y to $\sqrt{2}\lambda_{02}^- = \Lambda_5$

σ_z to $E_{00} - E_{22} = \frac{1}{2}(\Lambda_3 + \sqrt{3}\Lambda_8)$

on the third partite of each terms of all of previous witnesses. For example, using above prescription, polygonal witness in (3.8) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} {}^1W_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}^{\text{Po}} &= I_2 I_2 I_3 + (-1)^{i_1} \sigma_z \sigma_z \left(\frac{1}{2}(\Lambda_3 + \sqrt{3}\Lambda_8) \right) + (-1)^{i_2} \sigma_x \sigma_x \Lambda_4 \\ &+ (-1)^{i_3} \sigma_x \sigma_y \Lambda_5 + (-1)^{i_4} \sigma_y \sigma_x \Lambda_5 + (-1)^{i_2+i_3+i_4+1} \sigma_y \sigma_y \Lambda_4 \end{aligned} \quad (7.42)$$

By similar substitution, all of 236 EW's can be constructed. The detection ratio (the ratio of entangled density matrices detected by all our EW's to all randomly selected density matrices), is listed in table 2.

8 Numerical analysis of entanglement property of ρ

In this section we deal with some numerical analysis regarding detection ability of introduced EW's for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$ and $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 3$ chessboard density matrices. Numerical calculation is done on

random set of relevant PPT chessboard density matrices. Those density matrices detected by EW's are counted and then the ratio is calculated. The percent of the volume of phase space that can be detected by introduced EWs is as listed in the table 1.

EWs	percent of detection	EWs	percent of detection
Polygonal	28.3	Not polygonal but conical	0.44
Conical	18.3	Not polygonal but spherical	0.0275
Spherical	0.047	Polygonal and spherical	0.0176
All EWs	28.62	Conical and spherical	0.031

Table 1: The percent of detection for introduced EWs. “Not polygonal but conical” means the percent of the three-qubit PPT density matrices ρ that the polygonal EWs can not detect but conical ones can detect.

EWs	percent of detection
All 236 EW's	$\bar{R} \pm \sigma = 85.45 \pm 3.336$

Table 2: The percent of detection for introduced $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 3$ EW's. \bar{R} indicates mean ratios and σ is standard deviation

9 Conclusion

In this paper, we have considered a class of three-partite PPT Chessboard density matrices and via an exact convex optimization method, have constructed various linear and non-linear EWs detecting them. The operators participating in constructing the EWs have been chosen such that the geometrical shape of the feasible region have been obtained exactly. The EWs have been classified according to the geometrical shape of relevant feasible regions. When feasible region was not a polygon, non-linear EWs were obtained. The optimality of EWs

with polygonal and conical feasible region have been shown. The introduced EWs were all non-decomposable, since they were able to detect PPT entangled states. Event hough, we have mainly discussed these methods for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes 2$ and $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$ chessboard density matrices, but they are general and one can apply them for $d_1 \otimes d_2 \otimes d_3$ via some minor changes in notation and calculations. It was shown that the detection ability of introduced EWs is often comparable with one of EWs introduced elsewhere. In some cases, the detection ability of EWs introduced here is better. Finally the prescription of this work is applicable for multi-partite PPT Chessboard density matrices which is under investigation.

Appendix A

Proving the inequalities:

In the following proofs, we use the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned} Tr(\sigma_i^{(1)} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|) &= a_i \\ Tr(\sigma_i^{(2)} |\beta\rangle\langle\beta|) &= b_i \\ Tr(\sigma_i^{(3)} |\gamma\rangle\langle\gamma|) &= c_i. \end{aligned} \tag{A-i}$$

Since $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1$ and also the similar relations hold for b_i 's and c_i 's, so the points a, b, c lie on a unit sphere and we can parameterize their coordinates by using spherical coordinates θ and φ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \sin \theta_1 \cos \varphi_1, & a_2 &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \varphi_1, & a_3 &= \cos \theta_1 \\ b_1 &= \sin \theta_2 \cos \varphi_2, & b_2 &= \sin \theta_2 \sin \varphi_2, & b_3 &= \cos \theta_2 \\ c_1 &= \sin \theta_3 \cos \varphi_3, & c_2 &= \sin \theta_3 \sin \varphi_3, & c_3 &= \cos \theta_3. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (3.7):

To prove this equality, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= a_3 b_3 c_3 = \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_3 \\ P_2 &= a_1 (b_1 c_1 \pm b_2 c_2) = \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \cos \varphi_1 \cos(\varphi_3 \mp \varphi_2) \\ P_3 &= a_2 (b_1 c_2 \mp b_2 c_1) = \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \sin \varphi_1 \sin(\varphi_3 \mp \varphi_2) \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\frac{P_2^2}{\cos^2 \varphi_1} + \frac{P_3^2}{\sin^2 \varphi_1} = \sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3$$

Taking derivative with respect to φ_1 we obtain

$$\frac{P_2}{\cos^2 \varphi_1} = \pm \frac{P_3}{\sin^2 \varphi_1}$$

Above two equations yield

$$\sin^2 \varphi_1 = \frac{\pm P_3 (P_2 \pm P_3)}{\sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3}$$

$$\cos^2 \varphi_1 = \frac{P_2(P_2 \pm P_3)}{\sin^2 \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3}$$

Noting that $\sin^2 \varphi_1 + \cos^2 \varphi_1 = 1$, we get

$$P_2 + P_3 = \pm \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \quad , \quad P_2 - P_3 = \pm \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3$$

Eliminating θ_1 between $P_2 \pm P_3$ and P_1 leads to

$$\frac{(P_2 \pm P_3)^2}{\sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3} + \frac{P_1^2}{\cos^2 \theta_2 \cos^2 \theta_3} = 1$$

Taking derivative with respect to θ_2 and by similar argument as above, we get

$$\frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} + \frac{P_2 \pm P_3}{\sin \theta_3} = \pm 1 \quad , \quad \frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} - \frac{P_2 \pm P_3}{\sin \theta_3} = \pm 1.$$

Finally, taking derivative with respect to θ_3 and using the identity $\sin^2 \theta_3 + \cos^2 \theta_3 = 1$ gives

$$P_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + (P_2 \pm P_3)^{\frac{2}{3}} = 1$$

But, as the Fig. 1 shows, this is a concave curve. Since the mixed separable states are convex combinations of pure product states, the boundaries of FR are the planes of (3.7).

The proof of (3.10):

The proofs are similar, so we give the proof for the case $Q_1^{Co} = O_{333}$ and $kjl = 122$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= a_3 b_3 c_3 = \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2 \cos \theta_3, \\ P_2 &= a_1 (b_1 c_1 \pm b_2 c_2) = \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \cos \varphi_1 \cos(\varphi_2 \mp \varphi_3), \\ P_3 &= a_2 (b_1 c_2 \pm b_2 c_1) = \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_2 \sin \theta_3 \sin \varphi_1 \sin(\varphi_2 \pm \varphi_3). \end{aligned}$$

By eliminating θ_1 and φ_1 , we get

$$\frac{P_1^2}{\cos^2 \theta_2 \cos^2 \theta_3} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3} \left(\frac{P_2^2}{\cos^2(\varphi_2 \mp \varphi_3)} + \frac{P_3^2}{\sin^2(\varphi_2 \pm \varphi_3)} \right) = 1$$

Now we put $\varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\varphi_2 = \frac{3\pi}{4}$ and $\varphi_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ to obtain

$$\frac{P_1^2}{\cos^2 \theta_2 \cos^2 \theta_3} + \frac{P_2^2 + P_3^2}{\sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3} = 1$$

Derivation with respect to θ_2 leads to

$$\frac{P_1}{\cos^2 \theta_2 \cos \theta_3} = \pm \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin^2 \theta_2 \sin \theta_3}$$

Above two equations yield

$$\sin^2 \theta_2 = \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin \theta_3} \left(\pm \frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} + \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin \theta_3} \right), \quad \cos^2 \theta_2 = \frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} \left(\frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} \pm \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin \theta_3} \right)$$

From $\sin^2 \theta_2 + \cos^2 \theta_2 = 1$, we have

$$\frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} + \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin \theta_3} = \pm 1, \quad \frac{P_1}{\cos \theta_3} - \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin \theta_3} = \pm 1.$$

Finally, taking derivative with respect to θ_3 and using the identity $\sin^2 \theta_3 + \cos^2 \theta_3 = 1$ gives

$$P_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + ((P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{2}{3}} = 1$$

But, as the Fig. 1 shows, this is a concave curve in terms of variables P_1 and $(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Since the mixed separable states are convex combinations of pure product states, the relations between these two variables are given by the lines

$$P_1 + (P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \pm 1, \quad P_1 - (P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \pm 1$$

So the relations between P_1 , P_2 , and P_3 are as in (3.10).

If we take $Q_1^{Co} = O_{330}$, the proof of (3.10) proceeds as follows. We note that in this case

$$P_1 = a_3 b_3 = \cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_2$$

By eliminating θ_1 and φ_1 , we get

$$\frac{P_1^2}{\cos^2 \theta_2} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta_2 \sin^2 \theta_3} \left(\frac{P_2^2}{\cos^2(\varphi_2 \mp \varphi_3)} + \frac{P_3^2}{\sin^2(\varphi_2 \pm \varphi_3)} \right) = 1$$

Now we put $\theta_3 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\varphi_2 = \varphi_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ or $\varphi_2 = \frac{3\pi}{4}$ and $\varphi_3 = \frac{\pi}{4}$ to obtain

$$\frac{P_1^2}{\cos^2 \theta_2} + \frac{P_2^2 + P_3^2}{\sin^2 \theta_2} = 1$$

Taking derivative with respect to θ_2 leads to

$$\frac{P_1}{\cos^2 \theta_2} = \pm \frac{(P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sin^2 \theta_2}$$

Above two equations yield

$$\sin^2 \theta_2 = \pm (P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} (P_1 \pm (P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \cos^2 \theta_2 = P_1 (P_1 \pm (P_2^2 + P_3^2)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

Finally, the (3.10) follows from the identity $\sin^2 \theta_2 + \cos^2 \theta_2 = 1$.

Appendix B

The coefficients of Pauli operators appearing in ρ :

$$\begin{array}{l|l}
 r_{111} = \frac{2}{n}(r_1 \cos \varphi_1 + r_2 \cos \varphi_2 + r_3 \cos \varphi_3 + r_4 \cos \varphi_4) & r_{300} = \frac{1}{n}(a + b + c + d - \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{112} = \frac{2}{n}(r_1 \sin \varphi_1 - r_2 \sin \varphi_2 + r_3 \sin \varphi_3 - r_4 \sin \varphi_4) & r_{030} = \frac{1}{n}(a + b - c - d - \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{121} = \frac{2}{n}(r_1 \sin \varphi_1 + r_2 \sin \varphi_2 - r_3 \sin \varphi_3 - r_4 \sin \varphi_4) & r_{003} = \frac{1}{n}(a - b + c - d - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{211} = \frac{2}{n}(-r_1 \sin \varphi_1 - r_2 \sin \varphi_2 - r_3 \sin \varphi_3 - r_4 \sin \varphi_4) & r_{330} = \frac{1}{n}(a + b - c - d + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{122} = \frac{2}{n}(-r_1 \cos \varphi_1 + r_2 \cos \varphi_2 + r_3 \cos \varphi_3 - r_4 \cos \varphi_4) & r_{303} = \frac{1}{n}(a - b + c - d + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{212} = \frac{2}{n}(r_1 \cos \varphi_1 - r_2 \cos \varphi_2 + r_3 \cos \varphi_3 - r_4 \cos \varphi_4) & r_{033} = \frac{1}{n}(a - b - c + d + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{221} = \frac{2}{n}(r_1 \cos \varphi_1 + r_2 \cos \varphi_2 - r_3 \cos \varphi_3 - r_4 \cos \varphi_4) & r_{333} = \frac{1}{n}(a - b - c + d - \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{d}) \\
 r_{222} = \frac{2}{n}(r_1 \sin \varphi_1 - r_2 \sin \varphi_2 - r_3 \sin \varphi_3 + r_4 \sin \varphi_4) &
 \end{array}$$

Appendix C

Every d -dimensional square matrix could be written in terms of square matrices E_{ij} , which show the value 1 at the position (i, j) and zeros elsewhere. Now one can define Hermitian traceless basis for d -dimensional matrices as follows (see [37])

The off-diagonal basis are

$$\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(E_{\alpha\beta} + E_{\beta\alpha})$$

$$\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^- = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(E_{\alpha\beta} - E_{\beta\alpha})$$

and diagonal basis are

$$\lambda_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & -1 & \\ & & 0 \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ & & -2 \\ 0 & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \lambda_{d-2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{d(d-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & 1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 \\ 0 & & & & -d+1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to generalize the witnesses, we must write $E_{\alpha\alpha}$ in terms of I_d ($d \times d$ identity matrix) and λ_α 's. Some calculation shows that

$$E_{ii} = E_{i+1,i+1} + \sqrt{\frac{i+2}{2(i+1)}} \lambda_i - \sqrt{\frac{i}{2(i+1)}} \lambda_{i-1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq d-2$$

(recursion relation) and

$$E_{d-1,d-1} = \frac{1}{d}I_d - \sqrt{\frac{d-1}{2d}} \lambda_{d-2}$$

Proving the inequalities for $2 \otimes 2 \otimes d$:

The proof is almost the as explained in appendix A. We use the abbreviations

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr(\sigma_i^{(1)} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|) &= a_i \\
Tr(\sigma_i^{(2)} |\beta\rangle\langle\beta|) &= b_i \\
Tr(\sqrt{2}\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^+ |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|) &= c_1 \\
Tr(\sqrt{2}\lambda_{\alpha\beta}^- |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|) &= c_2 \\
Tr((E_{\alpha\alpha} - E_{\beta\beta}) |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|) &= c_3
\end{aligned} \tag{A-ii}$$

where

$$|\xi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_0^2 + \dots + r_{d-1}^2}} \begin{pmatrix} r_0 e^{i\theta_0} \\ \vdots \\ r_{d-1} e^{i\theta_{d-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

We have

$$a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1, \quad b_1^2 + b_2^2 + b_3^2 = 1$$

and

$$c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 = \frac{(r_\alpha^2 + r_\beta^2)^2}{(r_0^2 + \dots + r_{d-1}^2)^2} = q$$

if we set $q = 1$ without loss of generality, then the points a, b, c lie on a unit sphere and we can parameterize their coordinates by using spherical coordinates θ and φ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \sin \theta_1 \cos \varphi_1, & a_2 &= \sin \theta_1 \sin \varphi_1, & a_3 &= \cos \theta_1 \\
b_1 &= \sin \theta_2 \cos \varphi_2, & b_2 &= \sin \theta_2 \sin \varphi_2, & b_3 &= \cos \theta_2 \\
c_1 &= \sin \theta_3 \cos \varphi_3, & c_2 &= \sin \theta_3 \sin \varphi_3, & c_3 &= \cos \theta_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix D

The Gell-Mann Matrices

The analog of the Pauli matrices for $SU(3)$ are Gell-Mann matrices defined as:

$$\Lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda_8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

References

- [1] P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1056 (1999).
- [2] G. Wang, and M. Ying, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 052332 (2007).
- [3] R. Augusiak, and P. Horodecki, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 012318 (2006).
- [4] K. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and J. Oppenheim, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 160502 (2005).
- [5] K. G. H. Vollbrecht, and M. M. Wolf, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 247901 (2002).
- [6] A. Acín, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, and A. Sanpera, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 040401 (2001).
- [7] P. Hyllus, C. M. Alves, D. Bruß, and C. Macchiavello, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 032316 (2004).
- [8] J. Eisert, P. Hyllus, O. Ghne, and M. Curty, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 062317 (2004).
- [9] A. O. Pittenger, and M. H. Rubin, *Phys. Rev. A*, **67**, 012327 (2003).
- [10] S. L. Woronowicz, *Rep. on Math. Phys.* **10**, 165 (1976).
- [11] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, *Phys. Lett. A* **223**, 1 (1996).
- [12] K. Audenaert, J. Eisert, E. Jané, M. B. Plenio, S. Virmani, and B. De Moor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 217902 (2001).
- [13] K. Audenaert, and B. De Moor, *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 030302 (2002).
- [14] F. G. S. L. Brandão, and R. O. Vianna, *Phys. Rev. A* **70**, 062309 (2004)
- [15] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 187904 (2002).
- [16] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 022308 (2004).

- [17] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Mirzaee, and M. Rezaee, Quantum Information Processing, Vol. 4, No. 3, 199 (2005).
- [18] Yeong-Cherng Liang, and A. C. Doherty, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 042103 (2007).
- [19] A. S. Fletcher, P. W. Shor, and Moe Z. Win, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 012338 (2007).
- [20] M. Mirzaee, M. Rezaee, and M. A. Jafarizadeh, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 46, No. 6, 1471 (2007).
- [21] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Mirzaee, and M. Rezaee, Physica A **349**, 459 (2005).
- [22] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Mirzaee, and M. Rezaee, Int. J. Quantum Inf. **2**, 541 (2004).
- [23] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Mirzaee and M. Rezaee, International Journal of Quantum Information (IJQI) Vol.3, No. 3, 511 (2005).
- [24] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Rezaee and S. K. A. Seyed Yagoobi, Phys. Rev. A. **72**, 062106 (2005).
- [25] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Rezaee and S. Ahadpour, Phys. Rev. A **74**, 042335 (2006).
- [26] M. A. Jafarizadeh, G. Najarbashi and H. Habibian, Phys. Rev. A, **75**, 052326 (2007).
- [27] M. A. Jafarizadeh, G. Najarbashi, Y. Akbari, H. Habibian, Accepted for publication in *European Physical Journal D*
- [28] M. A. Jafarizadeh, R. Sufiani, Phys. Rev. A, **77**, 012105 (2008).
- [29] B. M. Terhal, Phys. Lett. A **271**, 319 (2000).
- [30] W. Rudin, Functional Analysis,(McGraw-Hill, Singapore,1991).
- [31] A. C. Doherty, P. A. Parrilo, and F. M. Spedalieri, Phys. Rev. A, **71**, 032333 (2005).

- [32] M. Lewenstein, D. Bruß, J. I. Cirac, B. Kraus, M. Kus, J. Samsonowicz, A. Sanpera, and R. Tarrach, *J. Mod. Opt.* **47**, 2841 (2000).
- [33] J. Preskill, *The Theory of Quantum Information and Quantum Computation* (California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, 2000), <http://www.theory.caltech.edu/poeole/preskill/ph229/>.
- [34] D. Gottesman, *Phys. Rev. A* **54**, 1862 (1996).
- [35] D. Gottesman, Ph. D. thesis, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, (1997).
- [36] M. A. Jafarizadeh, M. Mahdiana, A. Heshmati, K. Aghayar, e-print: [quant-ph/0801.3100v1](http://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0801.3100v1) (2008).
- [37] W. Pfeifer, *The Lie Algebras $su(N)$, An Introduction* (Birkhäuser Verlag, Switzerland, 2003)

Figure Captions

Figure-1: The boundaries of feasible region for pure product states (dotted curve) and mixed separable states (line) for EWs of relation (3.8).

