

Loop Quantum Gravity in Ashtekar and Lagrange–Finsler Variables and Fedosov Quantization of General Relativity

Sergiu I. Vacaru*

*The Fields Institute for Research in Mathematical Science
222 College Street, 2d Floor, Toronto M5T 3J1, Canada*

January 31, 2008

Abstract

We propose an unified approach to loop quantum gravity and Fedosov quantization of gravity following the geometry of double space-time fibrations and their quantum deformations. There are considered pseudo–Riemannian manifolds enabled with 1) a nonholonomic 2+2 distribution defining a nonlinear connection (N–connection) structure and 2) an Arnowitt–Deser–Misner 3+1 decomposition. The Ashtekar–Barbero variables are generalized and adapted to the N–connection structure which allows us to write the general relativity theory equivalently in terms of Lagrange–Finsler variables and related canonical almost symplectic forms and connections. The Fedosov results are re–defined for gravitational gauge like connections and there are analyzed the conditions when the star product for deformation quantization is computed in terms of geometric objects in loop quantum gravity. We speculate on equivalence of quantum gravity theories with 3+1 and 2+2 splitting and quantum analogs of the Einstein equations.

Keywords: Loop quantum gravity, nonlinear connections, general relativity, nonholonomic manifolds, nonholonomic frames, Ashtekar–Barbero variables, Fedosov quantization, deformation quantization, Finsler geometry methods, (pseudo) Riemannian and Lagrange spaces.

MSC: 83C45, 83C99, 53D55, 53B40, 53B35
PACS: 04.60.Pp, 02.40.-k, 02.90.+g, 03.65.Sq

*sergiu_vacaru@yahoo.com, svacaru@fields.utoronto.ca

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Lagrange–Finsler and Almost Kähler Formulations of Einstein Gravity	5
2.1	Lagrange–Finsler variables in GR	6
2.1.1	Effective Lagrangians for (pseudo) Riemannian metrics	6
2.1.2	Canonical Lagrange nonlinear connections in GR . . .	8
2.1.3	Canonical almost complex/ symplectic structures . . .	10
2.1.4	Equivalent metric compatible linear connections . . .	13
2.2	An almost symplectic formulation of GR	16
3	N–adapted (3+1)–Splitting in GR	18
3.1	The Palatini action in N–adapted ADM variables	18
3.2	N–adapted 3+1 splitting and the Hamilton formalism	20
4	Fedosov–Ashtekar N–adapted Operators	23
4.1	N–adapted Ashtekar–Barbero variables	23
4.2	Star products and Fedosov–Ashtekar d–operators in GR . . .	25
5	Deformation Quantization and Ashtekar d–Connections	28
5.1	Fedosov’s approach for Ashtekar d–connections	29
5.1.1	Definition of flat Fedosov–Ashtekar d–connection . . .	29
5.1.2	The star–product induced by the Fedosov–Ashtekar d–connection	30
5.2	The zero–degree cohomology for Einstein spaces in Ashtekar– Lagrange variables	34
6	Conclusions	35
A	Almost complex adapted Ashtekar Connections	40

1 Introduction

In recent thirty years, there were developed three most popular approaches to quantization of gravity and unified and nonlinear theories in physics: the string/M–theory (SMT), geometric quantization and deformation quantization (DQ) and loop quantum gravity (LQG).

The SMT aims to a theory unifying all (in general, supersymmetric) interactions on higher dimension spacetimes and proposes a general physical

paradigm containing as particular cases the well known four dimensional classical and quantum models of gravity and matter field interactions, see reviews of results and methods in Refs. [1, 2, 3].¹

The second approach (we cite here some important works on DQ [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]) concerns various scenarios of geometric quantization of linear and nonlinear field theories when there are synthesized and developed the methods of modern symplectic/ Poisson geometry, algebroid mathematics, quantum group theory and noncommutative geometry [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

In contrast to very general purposes to unification of physical interactions and elaborating general geometric principles of quantization (respectively, in SMT and DQ), the third approach, i.e. LQG, was performed just as a theory of quantum gravity combining the general relativity (GR) and quantum mechanics, see reviews of results in Refs. [18, 19, 20, 21]. This implies a non-perturbative formulation when the background independence (the key feature of Einstein's theory) is preserved. At present time, LQG is supposed to have a clear conceptual setup following from physical considerations and supported by a rigorous mathematical formulation. The existing criticism against LQG (see, for instance, [22]) is considered to be motivated in the bulk by arguments that the mathematical formalism is not that which is familiar for the particle physicists working with perturbation theory, Fock spaces, background fields etc, see details and discussion [23].

In a series of our works [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29], we developed the idea that it is possible to re-formulate equivalently the GR in a formal language of Lagrange-Finsler variables, with a nonholonomic spacetime 2+2 splitting defining canonically an almost Kähler geometry.² That allowed us to develop some models of Fedosov quantization for the Einstein gravity (with violation of local Lorentz symmetry [26, 29], or preserving this symmetry [27, 28], certain generalizations to Lagrange-Finsler [24, 25, 26] and Hamilton-Cartan [29] spaces and analogous modelling by Lagrange and/or Finsler systems of interactions in classical and quantum gravity).³ Using nonholonomic and

¹in this article, we do not aim to outline and discuss the achievements of string theory or to present comprehensive bibliographies on this and below-mentioned directions; for more details, see cited works and references therein

²We note that we shall work with (pseudo) Riemannian spaces enabled with nonholonomic distributions modelling effective Lagrange-Finsler geometries on nonholonomic manifolds, i.e. with certain classes of Lagrange-Finsler variables in GR but not on tangent bundles; see details on physical applications of Finsler geometry methods in standard models of physics in Refs. [30, 31, 32, 33].

³Former works on deformation quantization did not concern an explicit quantization of GR because in the past there were not constructed natural almost symplectic variables (2-forms and connections) which would be equivalent to the (pseudo) Riemannian metric

quantum deformations on (pseudo) Riemannian, or effective (induced by deformations of certain geometric structures) Riemann–Cartan manifolds, the procedure of quantization can be performed in certain forms preserving the Lorentz local invariance. If the quantization formalism is developed on (co) tangent bundles, one gets quantum corrections violating this local symmetry. The Lagrange–Finsler variables associated to 2+2 distributions are similar to the Ashtekar–Barbero [38, 39, 40, 41] variables and related spacetime 3+1 splitting (details on the so-called ADM, i.e. Arnowitt–Deser–Misner, formalism in GR can be found, for instance, in [42, 18, 19, 20]). Both types of such variables (and other various ones, like spinor variables and spin connections, tetradic variables etc) can be equivalently introduced on (pseudo) Riemannian spacetimes and generalized, for instance, on Riemann–Cartan spaces [43, 30, 31]. The main difference is that the 2+2 splitting is more convenient for certain methods and purposes of deformation quantization but the 3+1 splitting, with shift and lapse functions related to a corresponding Hamilton formalism, was chosen as the starting point for LQG. It should be noted here that both approaches can be adapted to preserve the diffeomorphism invariance and encode classical and quantum gravitational interactions, non-perturbatively, into certain nonlinear geometric structures.

The goal of this article is to analyze the conditions when two types of quantization of gravity, i.e. the LQG and DQ, are equivalent and admit mutual transforms of geometric and physical objects and fundamental equations. The task to establish certain equivalence conditions for two quantizations of generic nonlinear theories is not trivial: The ‘deformation philosophy’ [10] is very different from the paradigm of LQG and the mathematical methods and elaborated schemes of quantization belong to quite different branches of nonlinear functional analysis and almost Hermitian geometry. Our intention is to compare the mentioned formalisms of quantum gravity and give self-consistent and complimentary points of view together with a synthesis of ideas outlined in separate forms in [18, 19, 20, 21] and [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. This is the first paper in a series of works (the second partner is [44]) oriented to a comparative study of the methods and results of LQG and DQ. We shall also consider possible applications of quantum gravity techniques in geometric mechanics, string theory and noncommutative geometry and analogous gravity for modelling respective quantum and classical interactions.

and related Levi Civita connection. Nevertheless, a number of interesting approaches to deformation quantizations and gauge gravity models, generalized gravity and linearized versions were considered, see discussions and original results in Refs. [34, 35, 36, 37].

The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2, we formulate the general relativity theory in terms of Lagrange-Finsler variables which allows us to define certain canonical nonlinear connection and almost complex/ symplectic structures induced by (pseudo) Riemannian metrics. The Einstein gravity is formulated equivalently on almost Kähler spaces.

Section 3 is devoted to a (3+1)-splitting formalism in general relativity which is adapted to a fixed nonlinear connection structure (induced effectively by certain off-diagonal metric terms). The corresponding Hamiltonian formulations and generalized phase constructions are provided.

In section 4, we generalize the Ashtekar connections in a form allowing straightforward applications of deformation quantization methods formally elaborated for almost symplectic spaces enabled with a nontrivial Neijenhuis structure and compatible affine connection. We compute the star product in Lagrange-Finsler variables in general relativity and define the Fedosov-Ashtekar distinguished operators.

We provide the main results on deformation quantization of gravity in terms of nonholonomically generalized Ashtekar connections in section 5. There are also computed the Chern-Weyl form defining the zero-degree cohomology for Einstein spaces and discussed the problem of quantum deformation imbedding of vacuum Einstein equations.

We conclude and discuss the results in section 6. In Appendix, there are considered some necessary formulas on nonholonomic deformation of connections and geometric objects.

2 Lagrange-Finsler and Almost Kähler Formulations of Einstein Gravity

In this section we introduce two different classes of variables that are used for the Lagrange-Finsler modelling of general relativity, GR, and nonholonomic deformation quantization of GR and, respectively, in the definition of loop quantum gravity, LQG. We emphasize that in both cases the covariant character of four dimensional spacetime is preserved.

Before starting with the more technical material, let us establish some important coordinate and index conventions:

We consider a real four dimensional (pseudo) Riemannian spacetime manifold V of signature $(-, +, +, +)$ and necessary smooth class. For a conventional 2+2 splitting, the local coordinates $u = (x, y)$ on a open region $U \subset V$ are labelled in the form $u^\alpha = (x^i, y^a)$, where indices of type $i, j, k, \dots = 1, 2$

and $a, b, c, \dots = 3, 4$, for tensor like objects, will be considered with respect to a general (non-coordinate) local basis $e_\alpha = (e_i, e_a)$. One says that x^i and y^a are respectively the conventional horizontal/ holonomic (h) and vertical / nonholonomic (v) coordinates (both types of such coordinates can be time- or space-like ones). In the case of $3 + 1$ splitting, we label the coordinates as $u^\alpha = (u^0, u^I)$, where the space like indices run values as $I, J, K, \dots = 1, 2, 3$ and $\overset{\circ}{0}$ is the time like index. We shall use both types of indices as abstract or coordinate ones but underline them, $\underline{i}, \underline{a}, \underline{I}, \underline{J}, \dots$, in order to emphasize that such indices are just coordinate ones.

Primed indices of type i', a', I', J', \dots will be used for labelling coordinates with respect to a different local basis $e_{\alpha'} = (e_{i'}, e_{a'})$ or $e_{\alpha'} = (e'_{\overset{\circ}{0}}, e'_{I'})$, for instance, for an orthonormalized basis. For the local tangent Minkowski space, we chose $e_{\overset{\circ}{0}'} = i\partial/\partial u^{\overset{\circ}{0}'}$, where i is the imaginary unity, $i^2 = -1$, and write $e_{\alpha'} = (i\partial/\partial u^{\overset{\circ}{0}'}, \partial/\partial u^{1'}, \partial/\partial u^{2'}, \partial/\partial u^{3'})$. To consider such formal Euclidean coordinates is useful for some purposes of analogous modelling of gravity theories as effective Lagrange mechanics geometries, but this does not mean that we introduce any complexification of classical spacetimes.

We also note that Einstein's rule on summing up/low indices will be applied in this work if the contrary will be not stated as a particular case. The symbol " \doteq " means "by definition". Boldface letters will be used for spaces and geometric objects enabled/ adapted with/to certain nonholonomic distributions with effective nonlinear connection structure on a (pseudo) Riemannian spacetime \mathbf{V} , see our conventions from [31, 30, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29].

2.1 Lagrange–Finsler variables in GR

Let us parametrize the coefficients of a general (pseudo) Riemannian metric on a spacetime V in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} &= g_{i'j'}(u)e^{i'} \otimes e^{j'} + h_{a'b'}(u)e^{a'} \otimes e^{b'}, \\ e^{a'} &= \mathbf{e}^{a'} - N_{i'}^{a'}(u)e^{i'}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the required form of vierbein coefficients $e^{\alpha'}$ of the dual basis

$$e^{\alpha'} = (e^{i'}, e^{a'}) = e^{\alpha'}_{\alpha}(u)du^\alpha, \quad (2)$$

defining a formal $2 + 2$ splitting, will be stated below.

2.1.1 Effective Lagrangians for (pseudo) Riemannian metrics

We consider an effective regular Lagrangian (fundamental, or generating, function) $L(u) = L(x^i, y^a)$, on a spacetime V , defining the nondegenerate

Hessian⁴

$${}^L h_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^a \partial y^b}, \quad (3)$$

when $\det |{}^L h_{ab}| \neq 0$, and

$${}^L N_i^a = \frac{\partial G^a}{\partial y^{2+i}}, \quad (4)$$

for

$$G^a = \frac{1}{4} {}^L h^{a \ 2+i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial y^{2+i} \partial x^k} y^{2+k} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i} \right), \quad (5)$$

where ${}^L h^{ab}$ is inverse to ${}^L h_{ab}$ and respective contractions of h - and v -indices, i, j, \dots and a, b, \dots , are performed following the rule: we can write, for instance, an up v -index a as $a = 2 + i$ and contract it with a low index $i = 1, 2$. Briefly, we shall write y^i instead of y^{2+i} , or y^a . The values (3), (4) and (5) allows us to construct a Lagrange–Sasaky type metric (we adapt for pseudo–Riemannian manifold of even dimension the terminology used, for instance, in Refs. [45, 30])

$$\begin{aligned} {}^L \mathbf{g} &= {}^L g_{ij} dx^i \otimes dx^j + {}^L h_{ab} {}^L \mathbf{e}^a \otimes {}^L \mathbf{e}^b, \\ {}^L \mathbf{e}^a &= dy^a + {}^L N_i^a dx^i, \quad {}^L g_{ij} = {}^L h_{2+i \ 2+j}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Let us consider a subclass of frame transforms $e^{\alpha'} = e^{\alpha'}_{\alpha} du^{\alpha}$, when the coefficients are parametrized in the form

$$e^{i'} = e^{i'}_i dx^i, \quad e^{a'} = e^{a'}_i dx^i + e^{a'}_a dy^a,$$

with $e^{i'}_a = 0$. A metric \mathbf{g} (1) with coefficients $g_{\alpha'\beta'} = [g_{i'j'}, h_{a'b'}]$ computed with respect to a dual basis $e^{\alpha'} = (e^{i'}, e^{a'})$ is equivalent to a metric ${}^L \mathbf{g}$ (6) with coefficients ${}^L g_{\alpha\beta} = [{}^L g_{ij}, {}^L h_{ab}]$ defined with respect to a N -adapted dual basis ${}^L e^{\alpha} = (dx^i, {}^L \mathbf{e}^a)$ if there are satisfied the conditions

$$g_{i'j'} e^{i'}_i e^{j'}_j + h_{a'b'} e^{a'}_i e^{b'}_j = {}^L g_{ij} + {}^L h_{ab} {}^L N_i^a {}^L N_j^b, \quad (7)$$

$$h_{a'b'} e^{a'}_i e^{b'}_b = {}^L h_{ab} {}^L N_i^a, \quad (8)$$

$$h_{a'b'} e^{a'}_a e^{b'}_b = {}^L h_{ab}. \quad (9)$$

We argue that a metric \mathbf{g} is written in Lagrange variables on an open region $U \subset \mathbf{V}^{2+2}$, induced by a generating function L , if and only if there is a

⁴we get the so-called Lagrange metric if y^a are associated to vertical coordinates in a Lagrange geometry [45, 29] constructed on a tangent bundle TM with a base manifold M labelled by coordinates x^i ; we emphasize here that regular Lagrange systems can be effectively modelled on nonholonomic manifolds, i.e. manifolds enabled with nonholonomic distributions defined canonically by a Lagrangian L , see details in [30, 31]

nontrivial real solution $e^{\alpha'} = [e^{i'}, e^{i'_a} = 0, e^{a'}, e^{b'}]$ on U of the system of quadratic algebraic equations (7)–(9), for given values $[g_{i'j'}, h_{a'b'}, N_{i'}^{\alpha'}]$ and $[{}^L g_{ij}, {}^L h_{ab}, {}^L N_i^a]$, when

$$N_{i'}^{\alpha'} = e_{i'}^i e_a^{\alpha'} {}^L N_i^a \quad (10)$$

for $e_{i'}^i$ being inverse to $e^{i'}$.

For simplicity, in this work, we suppose that there is always a finite covering of \mathbf{V}^{2+2} (in brief, denoted \mathbf{V}) by a family of open regions ${}^I U$, labelled by an index I , on which there are considered certain nontrivial effective Lagrangians ${}^I L$ with real solutions ${}^I e_{\alpha'}$ defining vielbein transforms to systems of Lagrange variables. It should be noted here that the generating functions ${}^I L$ are arbitrary ones on a ${}^I U$ which satisfy the conditions (3): we compute explicitly an effective ${}^I L$ by integrating two times a convenient for our purposes ${}^L h_{ab}$ together with a prescribed $h_{a'b'}$ both resulting in a real solution ${}^I e_a^{\alpha'}$ of (7). Having any ${}^I L$, ${}^L h_{ab}$ and ${}^I e_a^{\alpha'}$, we compute ${}^L N_i^a$ (4) which allows us to define ${}^I e_{i'}^a$ from (8) (we have to change the partition ${}^I U$ and generating function ${}^I L$ till we are able to construct real solutions). Finally, we solve the algebraic equations (7) for any prescribed values $g_{i'j'}$ (we also have to change the partition ${}^I U$ and generating function ${}^I L$ till we are able to construct real solutions) and find ${}^I e^{i'}$ which, in its turn, allows us to compute $N_{i'}^{\alpha'}$ (10) and all coefficients of the metric \mathbf{g} (1) and vierbein transform (2).

2.1.2 Canonical Lagrange nonlinear connections in GR

One holds some important results on analogous geometric mechanical modelling of gravitational interactions (see details in Refs. [45, 31, 30, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]):

The Euler–Lagrange equations

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^i} = 0$$

are equivalent to the "nonlinear" geodesic equations

$$\frac{dy^a}{d\tau} + 2G^a(x^k, y^b) = 0,$$

where G^a is computed following formula (5). The solutions of these equation, parametrized as $u^\alpha(\tau) = (x^i(\tau), y^a(\tau))$ for a real parameter $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_0$, when $y^a(\tau) = dx^i(\tau)/d\tau$, define the paths of the canonical semispray

$$S = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^a \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \quad (11)$$

covering the region $U \subset \mathbf{V}$ with a prescribed regular effective Lagrangian.

Having chosen on \mathbf{V} an effective Lagrange structure L , we associate to any (pseudo) Riemannian metric \mathbf{g} (1), equivalently ${}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6), a set of important geometric objects as in usual Lagrange mechanics (this is a formal analogy because we do not work on a tangent bundle):

A nonlinear connection (N-connection) \mathbf{N} is induced as a Whitney sum (nonholonomic distribution)

$$T\mathbf{V} = h\mathbf{V} \oplus v\mathbf{V}, \quad (12)$$

splitting globally the tangent bundle $T\mathbf{V}$ into respective h- and v-subspaces, $h\mathbf{V}$ and $v\mathbf{V}$, given locally, in canonical form defined by a regular L , by a set of coefficients ${}^L N_i^a$ (4), equivalently $N_i^{a'}$ (10), as

$${}^L\mathbf{N} = {}^L N_i^a dx^i \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} = N_i^{a'} e^{i'} \otimes e_{a'}.$$

There is a proof in Ref. [45] that for any vector bundle over a paracompact manifold there exists nonlinear connections. In this work, we shall consider only (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds admitting a local fibred structure with a splitting ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ defined by coefficients of type (10).

For any N-connection structure $\mathbf{N} = \{N_j^a\}$, we can define on \mathbf{V} a frame structure (with coefficients depending linearly on N_j^a) denoted $\mathbf{e}_\nu = (\mathbf{e}_i, e_a)$, where

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - N_i^a(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a} \text{ and } e_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}, \quad (13)$$

and the dual frame (coframe) structure is $\mathbf{e}^\mu = (e^i, \mathbf{e}^a)$, where

$$e^i = dx^i \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^a = dy^a + N_i^a(u) dx^i, \quad (14)$$

satisfying nontrivial nonholonomy relations

$$[\mathbf{e}_\alpha, \mathbf{e}_\beta] = \mathbf{e}_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\beta - \mathbf{e}_\beta \mathbf{e}_\alpha = W_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \mathbf{e}_\gamma, \quad (15)$$

with (antisymmetric) anholonomy coefficients $W_{ia}^b = \partial_a N_i^b$ and $W_{ji}^a = \Omega_{ij}^a$. We omitted the label L for the above frame coefficients formulas in order to emphasize that such geometric objects can be defined for any formal splitting (12) induced, or not, by an effective Lagrangian.

Any effective regular Lagrangian L prescribed on a spacetime manifold \mathbf{V} states a canonical N-connection, ${}^L\mathbf{N} = \{ {}^L N_i^{a'}(u) \}$ (4), and frame, $\mathbf{e}_\nu = (\mathbf{e}_i, e_{a'})$ and $\mathbf{e}^\mu = (e^i, \mathbf{e}^{a'})$, structures. In our further considerations,

we shall put the label L only in the cases when it is important to emphasize certain geometric structures/objects are induced by a regular L and omit left labels if the formulas will hold true for more general classes of nonholonomic distributions.

One perform \mathbf{N} -adapted geometric constructions by defining the coefficients of geometric objects and respective equations with respect to nonholonomic frames of type (13) and (14). The \mathbf{N} -adapted tensors, vectors, forms etc are called respectively distinguished tensors (by a \mathbf{N} -connection structure) etc, in brief, \mathbf{d} -tensors, \mathbf{d} -vectors, \mathbf{d} -forms etc. For instance, a vector field $\mathbf{X} \in T\mathbf{V}$ is expressed

$$\mathbf{X} = (hX, vX), \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbf{X} = X^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha = X^i \mathbf{e}_i + X^a e_a,$$

where $hX = X^i \mathbf{e}_i$ and $vX = X^a e_a$ state, respectively, the adapted to the \mathbf{N} -connection structure horizontal (h) and vertical (v) components of the vector.

As a particular case of Lagrange modelling, we may consider a Finsler modelling with $L = F^2(x, y)$, where the effective Finsler metric F (fundamental function) is a differentiable of class C^∞ in any point (x, y) with $y \neq 0$ and is continuous in any points $(x, 0)$; $F(x, y) > 0$ if $y \neq 0$; it is satisfied the homogeneity condition $F(x, \beta y) = |\beta|F(x, y)$ for any nonzero $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and the Hessian (3) computed for $L = F^2$ is positive definite. In such cases, we argue that a (pseudo) Riemannian space with metric \mathbf{g} (1) is modelled by an effective Finsler geometry and, inversely, a Finsler geometry is modelled on a (pseudo) Riemannian space. Similar constructions were performed, for instance, in Ref. [45] for Lagrange and Finsler spaces defined on tangent bundles. In our works, we follow an approach when such geometries are modelled on (pseudo) Riemannian and Riemann–Cartan spaces endowed with nonholonomic distributions, see a review of results in Ref. [30].

A (pseudo) Riemannian manifold \mathbf{V} is nonholonomic (\mathbf{N} -anholonomic) if it is provided with a nonholonomic distribution on $T\mathbf{V}$ (\mathbf{N} -connection structure \mathbf{N}). Any (pseudo) Riemannian space can be transformed into a \mathbf{N} -anholonomic manifold \mathbf{V} modelling an effective Lagrange (or Finsler) geometry by prescribing a generating Lagrange (or Finsler) function $L(x, y)$ (or $F(x, y)$). For simplicity, in this work we shall use only Lagrange structures considering the Finsler ones to consist a particular (homogeneous) case.

2.1.3 Canonical almost complex/ symplectic structures

Let $\mathbf{e}_{\alpha'} = (\mathbf{e}_i, e_{b'})$ and $\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'} = (e^i, \mathbf{e}^{b'})$ be defined respectively by (13) and (14) for the canonical \mathbf{N} -connection ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ (4) stated by a metric structure

$\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6) on \mathbf{V} . We introduce a linear operator \mathbf{J} acting on vectors on \mathbf{V} following formulas

$$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{e}_i) = -e_{2+i} \text{ and } \mathbf{J}(e_{2+i}) = \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where and $\mathbf{J} \circ \mathbf{J} = -\mathbf{I}$ for \mathbf{I} being the unity matrix, and construct a tensor field on \mathbf{V} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J} &= \mathbf{J}^\alpha_\beta e_\alpha \otimes e^\beta = \mathbf{J}^\alpha_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial u^\alpha} \otimes du^\beta \\ &= \mathbf{J}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'} \mathbf{e}_{\alpha'} \otimes \mathbf{e}^{\beta'} = -e_{2+i} \otimes e^i + \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}^{2+i} \\ &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes dx^i + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - {}^L N_i^{2+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} \right) \otimes \left(dy^i + {}^L N_k^{2+i} dx^k \right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

defining globally an almost complex structure on \mathbf{V} completely determined by a fixed $L(x, y)$. Using vielbeins \mathbf{e}^α_α and their duals \mathbf{e}_α^α , defined by e^i_i, e^a_i and e^a_α solving (7)–(9), we can compute the coefficients of tensor \mathbf{J} with respect to any local basis e_α and e^α on \mathbf{V} , $\mathbf{J}^\alpha_\beta = \mathbf{e}^\alpha_\alpha \mathbf{J}^\alpha_\beta \mathbf{e}^\beta_\beta$. In general, we can define an almost complex structure \mathbf{J} for an arbitrary N–connection \mathbf{N} by using N–adapted bases (13) and (14), not obligatory induced by an effective Lagrange function.

The Neijenhuis tensor field for any almost complex structure \mathbf{J} defined by a N–connection (equivalently, the curvature of N–connection) is

$${}^J\Omega(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq -[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] + [\mathbf{JX}, \mathbf{JY}] - \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{JX}, \mathbf{Y}] - \mathbf{J}[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{JY}], \quad (17)$$

for any d–vectors \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . With respect to N–adapted bases (13) and (14), a subset of the coefficients of the Neijenhuis tensor defines the N–connection curvature, see details in Ref. [45],

$$\Omega_{ij}^a = \frac{\partial N_i^a}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial N_j^a}{\partial x^i} + N_i^b \frac{\partial N_j^a}{\partial y^b} - N_j^b \frac{\partial N_i^a}{\partial y^b}. \quad (18)$$

A N–anholonomic manifold \mathbf{V} is integrable if $\Omega_{ij}^a = 0$. We get a complex structure if and only if both the h– and v–distributions are integrable, i.e. if and only if $\Omega_{ij}^a = 0$ and $\frac{\partial N_j^a}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial N_i^a}{\partial y^j} = 0$.

One calls an almost symplectic structure on a manifold V a nondegenerate 2–form

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2} \theta_{\alpha\beta}(u) e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta.$$

For any θ on V , there is a unique N–connection $\mathbf{N} = \{N_i^a\}$ (12) satisfying the conditions:

$$\theta = (h\mathbf{X}, v\mathbf{Y}) = 0 \text{ and } \theta = h\theta + v\theta, \quad (19)$$

for any $\mathbf{X} = h\mathbf{X} + v\mathbf{X}$, $\mathbf{Y} = h\mathbf{Y} + v\mathbf{Y}$, where $h\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq \theta(h\mathbf{X}, h\mathbf{Y})$ and $v\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq \theta(v\mathbf{X}, v\mathbf{Y})$.

For $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha = (\mathbf{e}_i, e_a)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{e}_\beta = (\mathbf{e}_l, e_b)$, where \mathbf{e}_α is a N-adapted basis of type (13), we write the first equation in (19) in the form

$$\theta = \theta(\mathbf{e}_i, e_a) = \theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}\right) - N_i^b \theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a}\right) = 0.$$

We can solve this system of equations in a unique form and define N_i^b if $\text{rank}|\theta(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^b}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^a})| = 2$. Denoting locally

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}\theta_{ij}(u)e^i \wedge e^j + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{ab}(u)\mathbf{e}^a \wedge \mathbf{e}^b, \quad (20)$$

where the first term is for $h\theta$ and the second term is $v\theta$, we get the second formula in (19).

An almost Hermitian model of a (pseudo) Riemannian space \mathbf{V} equipped with a N-connection structure \mathbf{N} is defined by a triple $\mathbf{H}^{2+2} = (\mathbf{V}, \theta, \mathbf{J})$, where $\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ for any \mathbf{g} (1). A space \mathbf{H}^{2+2} is almost Kähler, denoted \mathbf{K}^{2+2} , if and only if $d\theta = 0$.

For $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6) and structures ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ (4) and \mathbf{J} canonically defined by L , we define ${}^L\theta(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) \doteq {}^L\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{J}\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ for any d-vectors \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . In local N-adapted form form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^L\theta &= \frac{1}{2} {}^L\theta_{\alpha\beta}(u)e^\alpha \wedge e^\beta = \frac{1}{2} {}^L\theta_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}(u)du^\alpha \wedge du^\beta \\ &= {}^Lg_{ij}(x, y)e^{2+i} \wedge dx^j = {}^Lg_{ij}(x, y)(dy^{2+i} + {}^LN_k^{2+i}dx^k) \wedge dx^j. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let us consider the form ${}^L\omega = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial L}{\partial y^i}dx^i$. A straightforward computation shows that ${}^L\theta = d {}^L\omega$, which means that $d {}^L\theta = dd {}^L\omega = 0$, i.e. the canonical effective Lagrange structures $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}$, ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ and \mathbf{J} induce an almost Kähler geometry. We can express the 2-form (21) as

$${}^L\theta = \frac{1}{2} {}^L\theta_{ij}(u)e^i \wedge e^j + \frac{1}{2} {}^L\theta_{ab}(u)\mathbf{e}^a \wedge \mathbf{e}^b,$$

see (20), where the coefficients ${}^L\theta_{ab} = {}^L\theta_{2+i \ 2+j}$ are equal respectively to the coefficients ${}^L\theta_{ij}$. It should be noted that for a general 2-form θ constructed for any metric \mathbf{g} and almost complex \mathbf{J} structures on V one holds $d\theta \neq 0$. But for any $2+2$ splitting induced by an effective Lagrange generating function, we have $d {}^L\theta = 0$.

We conclude that having chosen a generating function $L(x, y)$ on a (pseudo) Riemannian spacetime \mathbf{V} , we can model this spacetime equivalently

as an almost Kähler manifold (more exactly, for corresponding generating functions, as an almost Kähler–Lagrange, or Kähler–Finsler, nonholonomic manifold).

2.1.4 Equivalent metric compatible linear connections

A distinguished connection (in brief, d–connection) on a spacetime \mathbf{V} ,

$$\mathbf{D} = (hD; vD) = \{\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = (L_{jk}^i, {}^vL_{bk}^a; C_{jc}^i, {}^vC_{bc}^a)\},$$

is a linear connection which preserves under parallel transports the distribution (12). In explicit form, the coefficients $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ are computed with respect to a N–adapted basis (13) and (14). A d–connection \mathbf{D} is metric compatible with a d–metric \mathbf{g} if $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{g} = 0$ for any d–vector field \mathbf{X} .

If an almost symplectic structure θ is considered on a N–anholonomic manifold, an almost symplectic d–connection ${}_\theta\mathbf{D}$ on \mathbf{V} is defined by the conditions that it is N–adapted, i.e. it is a d–connection, and ${}_\theta\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}\theta = 0$, for any d–vector \mathbf{X} . From the set of metric and/or almost symplectic compatible d–connections on a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold \mathbf{V} , we can select those which are completely defined by a metric $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6) and an effective Lagrange structure $L(x, y)$:

There is a unique normal d–connection

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{D}} &= \{h\widehat{D} = (\widehat{D}_k, {}^v\widehat{D}_k = \widehat{D}_k); v\widehat{D} = (\widehat{D}_c, {}^v\widehat{D}_c = \widehat{D}_c)\} \\ &= \{\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = (\widehat{L}_{jk}^i, {}^v\widehat{L}_{2+j}^{2+i} = \widehat{L}_{jk}^i; \widehat{C}_{jc}^i = {}^v\widehat{C}_{2+j}^{2+i}, {}^v\widehat{C}_{bc}^a = \widehat{C}_{bc}^a)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

which is metric compatible,

$$\widehat{D}_k {}^Lg_{ij} = 0 \text{ and } \widehat{D}_c {}^Lg_{ij} = 0,$$

and completely defined by a couple of h– and v–components $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}_\alpha = (\widehat{D}_k, \widehat{D}_c)$, with N–adapted coefficients $\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = (\widehat{L}_{jk}^i, {}^v\widehat{C}_{bc}^a)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}_{jk}^i &= \frac{1}{2} {}^Lg^{ih} (\mathbf{e}_k {}^Lg_{jh} + \mathbf{e}_j {}^Lg_{hk} - \mathbf{e}_h {}^Lg_{jk}), \\ \widehat{C}_{jk}^i &= \frac{1}{2} {}^Lg^{ih} \left(\frac{\partial {}^Lg_{jh}}{\partial y^k} + \frac{\partial {}^Lg_{hk}}{\partial y^j} - \frac{\partial {}^Lg_{jk}}{\partial y^h} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Introducing the normal d–connection 1–form

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_j^i = \widehat{L}_{jk}^i e^k + \widehat{C}_{jk}^i \mathbf{e}^k,$$

we prove that the Cartan structure equations are satisfied,

$$de^k - e^j \wedge \widehat{\Gamma}_j^k = -\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^i, \quad de^k - e^j \wedge \widehat{\Gamma}_j^k = -v\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^i, \quad (24)$$

and

$$d\widehat{\Gamma}_j^i - \widehat{\Gamma}_j^h \wedge \widehat{\Gamma}_h^i = -\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^i_j. \quad (25)$$

The h- and v-components of the torsion 2-form

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^\alpha = \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^i, v\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^i \right) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\tau\beta}^\alpha \mathbf{e}^\tau \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta$$

from (24) is computed with components

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^i = \widehat{C}_{jk}^i e^j \wedge e^k, \quad v\widehat{\mathcal{T}}^i = \frac{1}{2} {}^L\Omega_{kj}^i e^k \wedge e^j + \left(\frac{\partial}{{\partial}y^j} {}^L N_k^i - \widehat{L}^i_{kj} \right) e^k \wedge e^j, \quad (26)$$

where ${}^L\Omega_{kj}^i$ are coefficients of the curvature of the canonical N-connection \widehat{N}_k^i defined by formulas similar to (18). The formulas (26) parametrize the h- and v-components of torsion $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ in the form

$$\widehat{T}_{jk}^i = 0, \widehat{T}_{jc}^i = \widehat{C}_{jc}^i, \widehat{T}_{ij}^a = {}^L\Omega_{ij}^a, \widehat{T}_{ib}^a = e_b ({}^L N_i^a) - \widehat{L}^a_{bi}, \widehat{T}_{bc}^a = 0. \quad (27)$$

It should be noted that $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}$ vanishes on h- and v-subspaces, i.e. $\widehat{T}_{jk}^i = 0$ and $\widehat{T}_{bc}^a = 0$, but certain nontrivial h-v-components induced by the nonholonomic structure are defined canonically by $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6) and L .

We compute also the curvature 2-form from (25),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^\tau_\gamma &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\gamma\alpha\beta}^\tau \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \widehat{R}^i_{jkh} e^k \wedge e^h + \widehat{P}^i_{jka} e^k \wedge e^a + \frac{1}{2} \widehat{S}^i_{jcd} e^c \wedge e^d, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the nontrivial N-adapted coefficients of curvature $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma\tau}^\alpha$ of $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{R}^i_{hjk} &= \mathbf{e}_k \widehat{L}^i_{hj} - \mathbf{e}_j \widehat{L}^i_{hk} + \widehat{L}^m_{hj} \widehat{L}^i_{mk} - \widehat{L}^m_{hk} \widehat{L}^i_{mj} - \widehat{C}^i_{ha} {}^L\Omega^a_{kj} \\ \widehat{P}^i_{jka} &= e_a \widehat{L}^i_{jk} - \widehat{\mathbf{D}}_k \widehat{C}^i_{ja}, \\ \widehat{S}^a_{bcd} &= e_d \widehat{C}^a_{bc} - e_c \widehat{C}^a_{bd} + \widehat{C}^e_{bc} \widehat{C}^a_{ed} - \widehat{C}^e_{bd} \widehat{C}^a_{ec}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Contracting the first and forth indices $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma\alpha}^\alpha$, we get the N-adapted coefficients for the Ricci tensor

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma} = \left(\widehat{R}_{ij}, \widehat{R}_{ia}, \widehat{R}_{ai}, \widehat{R}_{ab} \right). \quad (30)$$

The scalar curvature ${}^L R$ of $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ is

$${}^L R = {}^L \mathbf{g}^{\beta\gamma} \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma}. \quad (31)$$

The normal d-connection $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ (22) defines a canonical almost symplectic d-connection, $\widehat{\mathbf{D}} \equiv {}_\theta \widehat{\mathbf{D}}$, which is N-adapted to the effective Lagrange and, related, almost symplectic structures, i.e. it preserves under parallelism the splitting (12), ${}_\theta \widehat{\mathbf{D}}_{\mathbf{X}} {}^L \theta = 0$ and its torsion is constrained to satisfy the conditions $\widehat{T}_{jk}^i = \widehat{T}_{bc}^a = 0$. In the canonical approach to the general relativity theory, one works with the Levi Civita connection $\nabla = \{ {}_i \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \}$ which is uniquely derived following the conditions ${}_i \mathcal{T} = 0$ and $\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0$. This is a linear connection but not a d-connection because ∇ does not preserve (12) under parallelism. Both linear connections ∇ and $\widehat{\mathbf{D}} \equiv {}_\theta \widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ are uniquely defined in metric compatible forms by the same metric structure \mathbf{g} (1). The second one contains nontrivial d-torsion components $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ (27), induced effectively by an equivalent Lagrange metric $\mathbf{g} = {}^L \mathbf{g}$ (6) and adapted both to the N-connection ${}^L \mathbf{N}$, see (4) and (12), and almost symplectic ${}^L \theta$ (21) structures L .

Any geometric construction for the normal d-connection $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ can be re-defined by the Levi Civita connection, and inversely, using the formula

$${}_i \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g}) = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g}) + {}_i Z_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g}), \quad (32)$$

where the both connections ${}_i \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g})$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g})$ and the distorsion tensor ${}_i Z_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g})$ with N-adapted coefficients (for the normal d-connection ${}_i Z_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g})$ is proportional to $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\mathbf{g})$ (27)). In this work, we emphasize if it is necessary the functional dependence of certain geometric objects on a d-metric (\mathbf{g}), for tensors and connections completely defined by the metric structure.⁵

If we work with nonholonomic constraints on the dynamics/ geometry of gravity fields in DQ, it is more convenient to use a N-adapted and/or almost symplectic approach. For other purposes, it is preferred to use only the Levi-Civita connection, or a 3+1 formalism, for instance, in ADM formulation of gravity. Introducing the distorsion relation (32) into respective formulas (27), (29) and (30) written for $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$, we get deformations

$$\begin{aligned} {}_i T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\mathbf{g}) &= \widehat{\mathbf{T}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\mathbf{g}) + {}_i Z_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g}) = 0, \\ {}_i R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha(\mathbf{g}) &= \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha + {}_i \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha(\mathbf{g}), \quad {}_i R_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{g}) = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma} + {}_i \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{g}), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

⁵see formulas (A.2), and below, in Appendix, on similar deformation properties of fundamental geometric objects

see Refs. [30, 31] and Appendix to this work for explicit formulas for distortions of the torsion, curvature, Ricci tensors, i.e. ${}^T Z^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{g})$, ${}^{\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\delta}(\mathbf{g})$ and ${}^{\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}}_{\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{g})$, which are completely defined by a metric structure $\mathbf{g} = {}^L \mathbf{g}$ with a nonholonomic 2+2 splitting induced by a prescribed regular L .

2.2 An almost symplectic formulation of GR

Having chosen a canonical almost symplectic d-connection, we compute the Ricci d-tensor $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}_{\beta\gamma}$ (30) and the scalar curvature ${}^L R$ (31)). Then, we can postulate in a straightforward form the field equations

$$\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^\alpha_\beta - \frac{1}{2}({}^L R + \lambda)\mathbf{e}^\alpha_\beta = 8\pi G \mathbf{T}^\alpha_\beta, \quad (34)$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{R}}^\alpha_\beta = \mathbf{e}^\alpha_\gamma \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^\gamma_\beta$, \mathbf{T}^α_β is the effective energy-momentum tensor, λ is the cosmological constant, G is the Newton constant in the units when the light velocity $c = 1$, and the coefficients \mathbf{e}^α_β of vierbein decomposition $\mathbf{e}_\beta = \mathbf{e}^\alpha_\beta \partial/\partial u^\alpha$ are defined by the N-coefficients of the N-elongated operator of partial derivation, see (13).

In order to formulate a variational N-adapted formalism for equations (34), for the gravitational $(\mathbf{e}, \widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}})$ and matter ϕ fields, we consider the effective action

$$\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{e}, \widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}, \phi] = {}^{gr} \mathcal{S}[\mathbf{e}, \widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}] + {}^{matter} \mathcal{S}[\mathbf{e}, \widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}, \phi]. \quad (35)$$

Introducing the absolute antisymmetric tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ and the effective source 3-form

$$\mathcal{T}_\beta = \mathbf{T}^\alpha_\beta \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} du^\beta \wedge du^\gamma \wedge du^\delta$$

and expressing the curvature tensor $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^\tau_\gamma = \widehat{\mathbf{R}}^\tau_{\gamma\alpha\beta} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta$ of $\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} = {}_i \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} - {}^{\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ as $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}^\tau_\gamma = {}_i \mathcal{R}^\tau_\gamma - {}^{\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\tau}_\gamma$, where ${}_i \mathcal{R}^\tau_\gamma = {}_i R^\tau_{\gamma\alpha\beta} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta$ is the curvature 2-form of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ and the distortion of curvature 2-form $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^\tau_\gamma$ is defined by $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}^\alpha_{\beta\gamma}$, see (32) and (33), we derive the equations (34) (varying the action on components of \mathbf{e}_β). The gravitational field equations are represented as 3-form equations,

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\tau} \left(\mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{\beta\gamma} + \lambda \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta \wedge \mathbf{e}^\gamma \right) = 8\pi G \mathcal{T}_\tau, \quad (36)$$

when

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_\tau &= {}^m \mathcal{T}_\tau + {}^Z \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\tau, \\ {}^m \mathcal{T}_\tau &= {}^m \mathbf{T}^\alpha_\tau \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} du^\beta \wedge du^\gamma \wedge du^\delta, \\ {}^Z \mathcal{T}_\tau &= (8\pi G)^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^\alpha_\tau \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} du^\beta \wedge du^\gamma \wedge du^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where ${}^m\mathbf{T}_\tau^\alpha = \delta^{matter} \mathcal{S} / \delta \mathbf{e}_\alpha^\tau$. The above mentioned equations are equivalent to the usual Einstein equations for the Levi–Civita connection ∇ ,

$${}^i\mathbf{R}_\beta^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}({}^iR + \lambda)\mathbf{e}_\beta^\alpha = 8\pi G {}^m\mathbf{T}_\beta^\alpha.$$

The vacuum Einstein equations with cosmological constant, written in terms of the canonical N–adapted vierbeins and normal d–connection, are

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\tau} \left(\mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \widehat{\mathcal{R}}^{\beta\gamma} + \lambda \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta \wedge \mathbf{e}^\gamma \right) = 8\pi G {}^Z\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\tau, \quad (37)$$

with effective source ${}^Z\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\tau$ induced by nonholonomic splitting by the metric tensor and its off–diagonal components transformed into the N–connection coefficients or, in terms of the Levi–Civita connection

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\tau} \left(\mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge {}^i\mathcal{R}^{\beta\gamma} + \lambda \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta \wedge \mathbf{e}^\gamma \right) = 0.$$

Such formulas are necessary for encoding the vacuum field equations into cohomological structure of quantum almost Kähler model of the Einstein gravity, see [27].

If former geometric constructions in GR and LQG were related to frame and coordinate form invariant transforms, various purposes in geometric modelling of physical interactions and quantization request application of more general classes of transforms. For such generalizations, the linear connection structure is deformed (in a unique/canonical form following well defined geometric and physical principles) and there are considered non-holonomic spacetime distributions. All geometric and physical information for any data 1) $[\mathbf{g}, {}^i\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g})]$ are transformed equivalently for canonical constructions with 2) $[\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}, {}^L\mathbf{N}, \widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma({}^L\mathbf{g})]$, which allows us to provide an effective Lagrange–Finsler like interpretation of the Einstein gravity, or 3) $[{}^L\theta, {}_\theta\widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = \widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma, \mathbf{J}({}^L\mathbf{g})]$, for an almost Kähler model of general relativity. The Einstein equations for the Levi–Civita connection can be written in a more ”simple” form following the approach 1). They are redefined equivalently using corresponding distortions tensors and data 2) and 3) but in a more cumbersome form (a similar ”sophistication” holds if the Barbero variables [40, 41] are introduced instead of the Ashtekar ones in order to get real constraints in the effective phase space for LQG).

Following a program oriented to a Fedosov like quantization of general relativity, it is important to work with models of type 3) and related models of type 2). In such cases, we positively work with almost Kähler spaces for effective Lagrange–Finsler geometry which are more simple than those derived for almost Hermitian geometries; see, for instance, some constructions and discussions related to generalized Lagrange geometries in [44, 30].

3 N–adapted (3+1)–Splitting in GR

In this section, the variables that are used in LQG are re–defined in a form adapted to a nonlinear connection (N–connection) structure. We consider that any spacetime \mathbf{V} enabled with a (pseudo) Riemannian metric \mathbf{g} (1) is provided with two foliations: the first one reflects the semispray structure S (11) defined by an effective regular Lagrangian L , with associated canonical N–connection ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ (4), inducing a nonholonomic 2+2 splitting; the second one is described in terms of space–like tree dimensional surfaces ${}^3\Sigma$ (for simplicity, we assume that such surfaces are with no boundaries), which defines a 3+1 splitting.

3.1 The Palatini action in N–adapted ADM variables

A metric \mathbf{g} (1) is equivalently transformed into a d–metric ${}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6) if we perform a frame (vielbein) transform

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha = \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\underline{\alpha}} \partial_{\underline{\alpha}} \text{ and } \mathbf{e}^\beta = \mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}} du^{\underline{\beta}}, \quad (38)$$

with coefficients

$$\mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\underline{\alpha}}(u) = \begin{bmatrix} e_i^{\underline{i}}(u) & {}^L N_i^b(u) e_b^{\underline{a}}(u) \\ 0 & e_a^{\underline{a}}(u) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

$$\mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}}(u) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\underline{i}}(u) & -{}^L N_k^b(u) e^k_{\underline{i}}(u) \\ 0 & e^{\underline{a}}(u) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (40)$$

being linear on N_i^a . So, with respect to a local coordinate basis $du^\alpha = (dx^i, dy^a)$, any metric can be parametrized in the form

$${}^L\mathbf{g} = {}^L\underline{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\beta}(u) du^\alpha \otimes du^\beta, \quad (41)$$

where

$${}^L\underline{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} {}^L g_{ij} + {}^L N_i^a {}^L N_j^b {}^L g_{ab} & {}^L N_j^e {}^L g_{ae} \\ {}^L N_i^e {}^L g_{be} & {}^L g_{ab} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (42)$$

for

$${}^L\underline{\mathbf{g}}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\alpha'} \mathbf{e}_{\beta'}^{\beta} \eta_{\alpha'\beta'} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\underline{\alpha}} \mathbf{e}_{\underline{\beta}}^{\beta} \underline{\mathbf{g}}_{\underline{\alpha}\underline{\beta}}, \quad (43)$$

with $\eta_{\alpha'\beta'} = \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1, 1]$. These formulas are adapted to a nonholonomic 2+2 splitting.

The tetrad variables $\mathbf{e}^{\underline{\beta}}$ from (43) and a $so(1,3)$ –valued connection $\Gamma_{\beta'\alpha}^{\alpha'}$ (not necessarily torsion free, but metric compatible) subjected to the vierbein transform rule

$$\Gamma_{\gamma'\beta'}^{\alpha'} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\alpha'} \mathbf{e}_{\gamma'}^{\gamma} \mathbf{e}_{\beta'}^{\beta} \Gamma_{\gamma\beta}^{\alpha} + \mathbf{e}_\gamma^{\alpha'} \mathbf{e}_{\beta'}^{\beta} \mathbf{e}_\beta^{\gamma} (\mathbf{e}_{\gamma'}^{\gamma}), \quad (44)$$

where α', β', \dots are considered as internal indices, can be used for a generalized Palatini approach to gravity [20] with the action

$${}^P\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{e}^\beta_{\beta'}, \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha}] = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int_{\mathbf{V}} \delta^4 u \sqrt{|\mathbf{g}|} \mathbf{e}^\alpha_{\alpha'} \mathbf{e}^\beta_{\beta'} \left(\mathcal{R}^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2\beta} \epsilon^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\tau'\nu'} \mathcal{R}^{\tau'\nu'}_{\alpha\beta} \right). \quad (45)$$

In the above formulas, $\epsilon^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\tau'\nu'}$ is the internal Levi–Civita symbol, $\kappa = 8\pi G/c^3 = 8\pi l_p^2/\hbar$ (here G is the Newton gravitational constant, c is the light velocity constant, $l_p \sim 10^{-33} \text{cm}$ is the Planck scale and $\hbar = h/2\pi$ is the Planck constant) β is the Barbero–Immirzi parameter [40, 41, 46] and the $so(1, 3)$ –valued curvature 2–form is computed

$$\mathcal{R}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha\beta} \doteq \mathcal{D}_\alpha \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\beta} - \mathcal{D}_\beta \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\beta} - \mathbf{e}_\beta \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\tau'\alpha} \wedge \Gamma^{\tau'}_{\beta'\beta} \quad (46)$$

for the covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_α , defined by $\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha}$, acting both on spacetime and internal indices.

The vacuum gravitational field equations are obtained by varying the action (45) with respect to $\mathbf{e}^\beta_{\beta'}$ and $\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha}$. If we take $\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha} = \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha}$, we get the vacuum Einstein equations in GR. For $\Gamma^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha} = \widehat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha}$, see (32), we have ${}^P\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{e}^\beta_{\beta'}, \widehat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha}] = {}^{gr}\mathcal{S}[\mathbf{e}, \widehat{\Gamma}]$, see (35), which results in the fields equations (37) and Cartan’s first system of equations

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{[\alpha} \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_{\beta]} = \widehat{\mathbf{T}}^{\alpha'}_{\alpha\beta},$$

with effective d–torsion $\widehat{\mathbf{T}}^{\alpha'}_{\alpha\beta}$ (27) defined canonically by the metric coefficients $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$. The linearity, N–connection splitting (not N–adapted, for the Levi–Civita case) and metric compatibility can be provided if

$$\nabla_\alpha \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_\alpha = 0 \implies \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha} = \mathbf{e}^\beta_{\beta'} \left(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_\tau \mathbf{\Gamma}^\tau_{\alpha\beta} - \mathbf{e}_\alpha(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_\beta) \right) \quad (47)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{D}}_\alpha \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_\alpha = 0 \implies \widehat{\Gamma}^{\alpha'}_{\beta'\alpha} = \mathbf{e}^\beta_{\beta'} \left(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_\tau \widehat{\Gamma}^\tau_{\alpha\beta} - \mathbf{e}_\alpha(\mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}_\beta) \right).$$

It should be noted that with respect to N–adapted bases (13) and (14) both linear connections ∇ and $\widehat{\mathbf{D}}$ are given by the same coefficients (23). This may simplify various coordinate and N–adapted local computations. Nevertheless, it should be noted that even both type of metric compatible connections are defined canonically by the same metric $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$, they are really different with different properties and laws of frame and coordinate transforms (for the normal d–connection, there is an induced nontrivial torsion structure (27) defined by the nonholonomy relations (15)).⁶

⁶A formal equality of the coefficients of different connections can be obtained with respect to some local bases because the linear connections are not tensor geometric objects.

3.2 N-adapted 3+1 splitting and the Hamilton formalism

To carry out a 3+1 fibrated Hamilton analysis of action (45), we suppose for simplicity that the spacetime \mathbf{V} is topologically ${}^3\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$. The fibration is parametrized by a smooth function t and a time-evolution vector field t^α such that $t^\alpha(dt)_\alpha = 1$ (it is a d-vector field if we work with N-adapted bases; in such cases we shall use a boldface symbol, i.e. \mathbf{t}^α). Using the unit normal d-vector \mathbf{n}^α of ${}^3\Sigma$, we decompose

$$\mathbf{t}^\alpha = {}_l\mathbf{N}\mathbf{n}^\alpha + {}_s\mathbf{N}^\alpha, \quad (48)$$

where ${}_l\mathbf{N}$ is the lapse function and ${}_s\mathbf{N}^\alpha$ is the shift d-vector.⁷ It is convenient to work with a partial gauge fixing, when $\eta_{\alpha'\beta'}\mathbf{n}^{\alpha'}\mathbf{n}^{\beta'} = -1$ for $\mathbf{n}_{\alpha'} \equiv \mathbf{n}_\alpha \mathbf{e}^{\alpha'}$. The primed indices α', β', \dots are considered as internal ones which split $\alpha' = (0', I')$, $\beta' = (0', J')$, ... for $I', J', \dots = 1, 2, 3$ used for the internal space on ${}^3\Sigma$.

We consider a triad e_I (a set of three 1-forms defining a 3 dimensional coframe in each point in ${}^3\Sigma$), when $e_\alpha = (e_0, e_I)$ is defined on \mathbf{V} . A three dimensional metric \mathbf{q}_{IJ} on ${}^3\Sigma$ is parametrized

$$\mathbf{q}_{IJ} = \mathbf{e}_I^{I'} \mathbf{e}_J^{J'} \delta_{I'J'},$$

where $\delta_{I'J'}$ is the Kronecker symbol and indices of type I, J, \dots are used to denote the abstract indices of space ${}^3\Sigma$. The orthonormal co-triad is defined by

$$\mathbf{e}_I^{I'} \doteq \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\alpha'} q_{\alpha'}^{I'} q_I^\alpha,$$

for the internal and spacetime projection maps denoted respectively q_I^α and $q_{\alpha'}^{I'}$, which reduces the internal gauge group $SO(1,3)$ to $SO(3)$ living invariant $\mathbf{n}^{\alpha'}$. Such formulas define a 3+1 spacetime fibration which can be adapted to a N-connection structure ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ (4) induced by an effective Lagrangian L if we consider instead of arbitrary e_α and e^β just only N-adapted vielbeins $\mathbf{e}_\alpha = (\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}_I)$ and $\mathbf{e}^\beta = (\mathbf{e}^0, \mathbf{e}^I)$ (respectively related by non-degenerated and signature preserving frame transforms with (13) and (14)). For a "double" 2+2 and 3+1 spacetime splitting, adapted to an effective L , we shall use 'boldface' symbols and left labels of type ${}^L\mathbf{q}_{IJ}$.⁸

⁷we put left low labels to such symbols in order to not confuse them with the symbol \mathbf{N} for a N-connection and to preserve the style of notations from the ADM formalism but, in our case, adapted also to a 2+2 splitting

⁸Some readers may consider the system of notations to be quite sophisticate. Nevertheless, such a system is necessary if we work with "double" fibrations and abstract/ coordinate index constructions adapted to different effective Lagrange-Finsler, almost Kähler

Let us consider a metric compatible affine connection (in general, it may be not a d-connection) $D = \{\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha\}$, when $D\mathbf{g} = 0$ on \mathbf{V} . We have a $so(1, 3)$ -valued connection 1-form

$$\Gamma_{\gamma'}^{\alpha'} = \Gamma_{\gamma'\beta'}^{\alpha'} \mathbf{e}^{\beta'}$$

with coefficients defined by formulas (44). Using the projection maps q_I^α and $q_{\alpha'}^{I'}$ and the anti-symmetric Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\tau'}$, we construct two $so(3)$ -valued 1-forms induced by $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ on ${}^3\Sigma$:

$$\Gamma_I^{I'} \doteq \frac{1}{2} q_I^\alpha q_{\alpha'}^{I'} \epsilon^{\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\tau'} \mathbf{n}_{\beta'} \Gamma_{\gamma'}^{\tau'} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad K_I^{I'} \doteq q_{\alpha'}^{I'} q_I^\alpha \mathbf{n}_{\beta'} \Gamma_{\alpha'}^{\beta'} \mathbf{e}_\alpha, \quad (49)$$

called respectively the spin connection and the extrinsic curvature on shell.

The modern LQG [18, 19, 20, 21] is formulated for a class of objects $({}_I\Gamma_I^{I'}, {}_IK_I^{I'})$ induced following formulas (44) and (49) by the Levi-Civita connection, i.e. when $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma = {}_I\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma(\mathbf{g})$. One considers a classical phase space and Hamiltonian formalism for variables $({}_IA_I^{I'}, \tilde{E}_{I'}^I)$ when the configuration and conjugate momentum are defined respectively

$$\begin{aligned} {}_IA_I^{I'} &\doteq {}_I\Gamma_I^{I'} + \beta {}_IK_I^{I'}, \\ \tilde{E}_{I'}^I &= \beta \tilde{P}_{I'}^I \doteq (2\kappa\beta)^{-1} \tilde{\epsilon}^{IJK} \epsilon_{I'J'K'} \mathbf{e}_{J'}^{J'} \mathbf{e}_K^{K'} = (\kappa\beta)^{-1} \sqrt{q} \mathbf{e}_{I'}^I, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $q \doteq \det |\mathbf{q}_{IJ}| = (\kappa\beta)^3 \det |P_{I'}^I|$ and "tilde" is used for density objects. In terms of such variables, we can define ${}_I\check{\Gamma}_I = {}_I\Gamma_I^{I'} \check{\tau}_{I'}$, where $(\check{\tau}_{I'})_{J'K'} = \epsilon_{J'I'K'}$ are the generators of $so(3)$ (or equivalently, of $su(2)$ in the adjoint representation) if the structure constants are chosen to be $\epsilon_{J'I'K'}$ and write the conditions (47) as

$$G_{I'} = {}_ID_I E_{I'}^I \doteq \mathbf{e}_I E_{I'}^I + \epsilon_{I'J'}^{K'} \Gamma_I^{J'} E_{K'}^I = 0,$$

or, equivalently, as

$${}^\beta D_I {}^\beta E_{I'}^I \doteq 0,$$

for $({}_IK_I^{I'}, E_{I'}^I) \rightarrow ({}^\beta K_I^{I'} \doteq \beta {}_IK_I^{I'}, {}^\beta E_{I'}^I \doteq E_{I'}^I / \beta)$. These equations suggested to consider ${}_IA_I^{I'}$ from (50) as a new linear connection called the

and Riemannian structures. The final geometrical results do not depend on the type of splitting and formalism applied for proofs but we have to use in parallel three "geometric languages" (from loop, almost symplectic and nonholonomic manifolds geometries) in order to present and "translate" the results to some separated communities of researches working with different methods of quantization of gravity and field theories.

Ashtekar connection, or the Ashtekar–Barbero connection.⁹ In such new Ashtekar variables, the action (45), see details in [18, 19], results in the Hamiltonian density

$$H_{tot} = \Lambda^{I'} G_{I'} + {}_s N^I C_I + {}_l N C,$$

where $\Lambda^{I'} \doteq -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{I'J'K'} {}_l \Gamma_{J'K'0}$, ${}_s N^I$ and ${}_l N$ are Lagrange multiples (contrary to (48), we do not use boldface symbols because ${}_l \Gamma_{I'}^{J'}$ is not a d-connection) and the constraints are

$$\begin{aligned} G_{I'} &= {}_l D_I \tilde{P}_{I'}{}^I \doteq \mathbf{e}_I \tilde{P}_{I'}{}^I + \epsilon_{I'J'}{}^{K'} {}_l A_{I'}^{J'} \tilde{P}_{K'}{}^I, \\ C_I &= \tilde{P}_{I'}{}^J {}_l F_{IJ}^{I'} - \beta^{-1}(1 + \beta^2) {}_l K_{I'}^{I'} G_{I'}, \\ C &= (\kappa\beta^2/2\sqrt{q}) \tilde{P}_{I'}{}^I \tilde{P}_{J'}{}^J \left[\epsilon^{I'J'}{}_{K'} {}_l F_{IJ}^{K'} - 2(1 + \beta^2) {}_l K_{[I'}^{I'} {}_l K_{J']}^{J'} \right] \\ &\quad + \kappa(1 + \beta^2) \mathbf{e}_I (\sqrt{q} \tilde{P}_{I'}{}^I) G^{I'}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where the $so(3)$ -valued curvature 2-form of ${}_l A_{I'}^{I'}$ is defined as in Yang–Mills theory,

$${}_l F_{IJ}^{K'} \doteq \mathbf{e}_I ({}_l A_{J'}^{K'}) - \mathbf{e}_J ({}_l A_{I'}^{K'}) + \epsilon_{I'J'}{}^{K'} {}_l A_{I'}^{I'} {}_l A_{J'}^{J'}.$$

Introducing the Hamiltonian

$$H \doteq \int_{\Sigma} d^3 x_{\Sigma} H_{tot},$$

where $d^3 x_{\Sigma}$ is the volume element on the hypersurface ${}^3\Sigma$, we get a symplectic structure on the classical phase space with the Poisson brackets of type

$$\{ {}_l A_{J'}^{J'}({}^1x), \tilde{P}_{I'}{}^I({}^2x) \} = \delta_{I'}^{J'} \delta_J^I \delta({}^1x - {}^2x), \quad (52)$$

for any two points 1x and 2x on ${}^3\Sigma$. The constraints algebra for (51) is closed under such a Poisson structure, i.e. all constraints are of first class following the Dirac approach to quantization of constrained systems and the hamiltonian H is the linear combination of the constraints functions.

The evolution equations

$$\mathcal{L}_t {}_l A_{I'}^{I'} = \{ {}_l A_{I'}^{I'}, H \} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_t \tilde{P}_{K'}{}^I = \{ \tilde{P}_{K'}{}^I, H \}, \quad (53)$$

⁹Following historical reasons, we might call this connection the Sen – Ashtekar – Immirzi – Barbero connection (the Sen connection [47] arises for $\beta = \pm i$, $G_I = 0$, when the last condition is called the Gauss constraint: the Ashtekar connection [38, 39] is for $\beta = \pm i$, the Immirzi connection [46] is for complex β and the Barbero connection [40, 41] is for real β).

where \mathcal{L}_t is the Lie derivative with respect to the time-like direction, together with the constraints equations (51), are completely equivalent to the vacuum Einstein equations.¹⁰ In result of such constructions, GR is cast as a dynamical theory of connections with a compact structure group. Together with the 'Master Constraint Project' [23, 48], this allows to solve the constraints problem and perform a loop quantization of gravity.

4 Fedosov–Ashtekar N–adapted Operators

We provide a nonholonomic generalization of the Ashtekar–Barbero variables [38, 39, 40, 41] and define the corresponding star product and Fedosov–Ashtekar d–connection. The Fedosov’s deformation quantization [6, 7] generalized for almost Kähler geometries [9, 10] will be applied to (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds parametrized in Lagrange–Finsler variables.

4.1 N–adapted Ashtekar–Barbero variables

In section 2.2, we formulated an almost Kähler model of GR in variables [${}^L\theta$, ${}_\theta\widehat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}, \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g})$], where the almost symplectic form ${}^L\theta$ is given by formula (21), the normal connection ${}_\theta\widehat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \widehat{\Gamma}^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ has N–adapted coefficients (23) and the almost complex structure $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g})$ is defined by the tensor (16) with a corresponding Neijenhuis tensor ${}^{\mathbf{J}}\Omega$ (17), all constructions being adapted to the N–connection structure ${}^L\mathbf{N}$ (4).

Let us consider a general frame e_ν and corresponding (dual) coframe e^μ on a spacetime V enabled with metric g , almost complex, $J(e_\beta) = J^\alpha_\beta e_\alpha$, and symplectic, θ , structures in compatible forms in the following sense: $\theta(JX, JY) = \theta(X, Y)$ and $g(X, Y) = \theta(JX, Y)$ for any vector fields $X, Y \in TV$. For $\theta_{\alpha\beta} \doteq \theta(e_\alpha, e_\beta)$ and $g_{\alpha\beta} \doteq g(e_\alpha, e_\beta)$, and introducing the corresponding inverse matrices $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\theta^{\alpha\beta}$, we have $J^\alpha_\beta = g_{\beta\gamma}\theta^{\gamma\alpha} = g^{\alpha\gamma}\theta_{\gamma\beta}$, which allows us to compute the coefficients of the Neijenhuis tensor ${}^{\mathbf{J}}\Omega = {}^{\mathbf{J}}\Omega^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} e_\gamma$ for this complex structure,¹¹

$${}^{\mathbf{J}}\Omega^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = (e_\tau J^\gamma_\alpha) J^\tau_\beta - (e_\tau J^\gamma_\beta) J^\tau_\alpha + (e_\alpha J^\tau_\beta - e_\beta J^\tau_\alpha) J^\gamma_\tau. \quad (54)$$

An affine connection $D = \{\Gamma^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\}$ respects (i.e. it is compatible) the almost–complex structure J , if $D_\tau J^\gamma_\alpha = 0$. One holds true a result due to [49] stating

¹⁰for simplicity, we do not consider here matter field sources, which can be also introduced into ADM and LQG formalisms, see [18, 19]

¹¹we can use the formula (17) but for not "boldfaced" geometric objects

that the torsion $T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ of a compatible with J linear connection D must satisfy the condition

$$4T_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = -\mathbf{J}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma. \quad (55)$$

This formula was applied for generalizing the Fedosov's results to almost Kähler deformation quantization in Ref. [9] and discussed in Refs. [25, 26, 27, 28] for applications in quantum Lagrange–Finsler geometry and deformation quantization of gravity. Here, we note that working with Lagrange–Finsler variables on (pseudo) Riemannian spaces, or in a more general case, on metric–affine and Riemann–Cartan spaces admitting such Lagrange–Finsler modelling, we can restrict our considerations to canonical almost Kähler models when all geometric objects are N –adapted and compatible with the almost complex structure. The restriction (55) becomes not crucial for the related Lagrange–Finsler d –connections and d –tensors, which allows us to perform a deformation quantization in N –adapted form for the corresponding canonical objects.

In order to establish a "bridge" between LQG and DQ of the Einstein gravity, we have to consider possible relations between the connection and frame variables of both theories. In a canonical way, having prescribed an additional almost complex structure J on a spacetime V , we may try to generalize the Ashtekar variables ${}_i A_I^{I'}$ (50) to the coefficients of an affine connection $D = \{\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma\}$ satisfying the conditions (55). In such a case, we are able to quantize a generalized gravity model but the constructions depend on an additional tensor object J and related torsion. Nevertheless, as we emphasized in the previous sections, using Lagrange–Finsler variables, we are able to derive canonical almost Kähler geometric objects in N –adapted form, when all structures, including the almost complex tensor $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{Lg})$ (16) and the corresponding Neijenhuis tensor $\mathbf{J}\Omega(\mathbf{Lg})$ (17) are completely defined by the metric structure $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{Lg}$ (6). In this case, all classical data from GR can be equivalently encoded into a nonholonomic almost Kähler model and inversely.

Our idea is to generalize the Ashtekar connection ${}_i A_I^{I'}$ from LQG to a d –connection ${}^A \mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{A}_I^{I'}\}$,

$${}_i A_I^{I'} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_I^{I'} = {}_i A_I^{I'} + {}_i \mathbf{Z}_I^{I'}, \quad (56)$$

(we call $\mathbf{A}_I^{I'}$ the Ashtekar d –connection, or, equivalently, the nonholonomic deformation of the Ashtekar–Barbero connection ${}_i A_I^{I'}$) where the deformation d –tensor ${}_i \mathbf{Z}_I^{I'}$ defines the torsion of $\mathbf{A}_I^{I'}$ to be canonically induced by \mathbf{Lg} and satisfy the condition (55), i.e.

$$4{}^A \mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'} = -\mathbf{J}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'} \text{ for } {}^A \mathcal{D}_{[\alpha} \mathbf{e}_{\beta]}^{\alpha'} = {}^A \mathbf{T}_{\alpha\beta}^{\alpha'}. \quad (57)$$

This will allow us to apply the formalism of Fedosov quantization in LQG with nonholonomically deformed Ashtekar variables. In Appendix, we sketch the method of computation and provide explicit formulas for the deformation tensor ${}^A\mathbf{Z}'_I$ (A.7) which is also uniquely defined by ${}^L\mathbf{g}$.

It is obvious that the nonholonomic deformation of the Ashtekar connection ${}^A A'_I$ from (50) to the d-connection \mathbf{A}'_I (56) deforms the structure of constraints (51), Poisson brackets (52) and evolution equations (53) which makes more sophisticate the procedure of loop quantization.¹² Nevertheless, in spite of a formal sophistication for LQG, the procedure of quantization become quite simple when there are used DQ methods for the linear connection \mathbf{A}'_I because it contains the necessary standard relation (57) between the Neijenhuis and torsion tensors, which in 3-variables (the proof is similar to that for formulas (A.7) in Appendix) are written

$${}^A\mathbf{T}'_I = -{}^J\mathbf{\Omega}'_I, \quad (58)$$

where ${}^A\mathbf{T}'_I$ is the torsion of \mathbf{A}'_I . Following the Fedosov method generalized for almost Kähler and Lagrange-Finsler geometries in Refs. [9, 25, 26, 27, 28], we preserve the variables ${}^A A'_I$ and their deformations ${}^A\mathbf{Z}'_I$ in the structure of the star product and related quantum geometric operators.

4.2 Star products and Fedosov-Ashtekar d-operators in GR

We introduce the tensor

$${}^L\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\alpha\beta} \doteq {}^L\theta^{\alpha\beta} - i {}^L\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (59)$$

where ${}^L\theta^{\alpha\beta}$ is the form (21) with "up" indices and ${}^L\mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}$ is the inverse to ${}^L\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ (6). The local coordinates on \mathbf{V} are parametrized $u = \{u^\alpha\}$ and the local coordinates on $T_u\mathbf{V}$ are labelled $(u, z) = (u^\alpha, z^\beta)$, where z^β are fiber coordinates. For a more short presentation for physicists, we shall omit details on Fedosov's theorems and their proofs because they are similar to those presented in Refs. [6, 7, 9, 25, 26, 27, 28] but we shall emphasize certain more special constructions related to nonholonomic deformations of Ashtekar variables and related almost Kähler-Lagrange-Finsler geometric objects.

¹²from a formal point of view, we get a more cumbersome formulation of the theory when the Barbero variables are introduced instead of the Ashtekar ones; nevertheless, this makes the procedure of quantization to be more physical; here we note that the Ashtekar approach can be generalized for Riemannian-Cartan spaces [43] which can be also applied in our approach even we work with effective torsions induced nonholonomically by the metric structure, see more details in [44]

The formalism of deformation quantization is developed for $C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[v]]$, which is the space of formal series in variable v with coefficients from $C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$ on a Poisson manifold $(\mathbf{V}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ (in this work, we shall consider an almost Poisson structure generated by the canonical almost symplectic structure in Lagrange–Finsler and generalized Ashtekar variables). We define an associative algebra structure on $C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[v]]$ with a v -linear and v -adically continuous star product

$${}^1f * {}^2f = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} {}_rC({}^1f, {}^2f) v^r, \quad (60)$$

where ${}_rC, r \geq 0$, are bilinear operators on $C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$ with ${}_0C({}^1f, {}^2f) = {}^1f {}^2f$ and ${}_1C({}^1f, {}^2f) - {}_1C({}^2f, {}^1f) = i\{{}^1f, {}^2f\}$; i being the complex unity. It is possible to introduce a formal Wick product (using decompositions of type (60)),

$$a \circ b(z) \doteq \exp\left(i\frac{v}{2} \Lambda^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^\alpha \partial z_{[1]}^\beta}\right) a(z)b(z_{[1]})|_{z=z_{[1]}}, \quad (61)$$

for two elements a and b defined by series of type

$$a(v, z) = \sum_{r \geq 0, |\{\alpha\}| \geq 0} a_{r, \{\alpha\}}(u) z^{\{\alpha\}} v^r, \quad (62)$$

where by $\{\alpha\}$ we label a multi-index. We also consider a formal Wick algebra ${}^L\mathbf{W}_u$ associated with the tangent space $T_u\mathbf{V}$, for any point $u \in \mathbf{V}$. It should be noted that the fibre product (61) can be trivially extended to the space of ${}^L\mathbf{W}$ -valued \mathbb{N} -adapted differential forms ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \Lambda$ by means of the usual exterior product of the scalar forms Λ , where ${}^L\mathcal{W}$ denotes the sheaf of smooth sections of ${}^L\mathbf{W}$. There are a standard grading on Λ denoted \deg_a and gradings \deg_v, \deg_s, \deg_a on ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \Lambda$ defined on homogeneous elements $v, z^\alpha, \mathbf{e}^\alpha$ as follows: $\deg_v(v) = 1, \deg_s(z^\alpha) = 1, \deg_a(\mathbf{e}^\alpha) = 1$, and all other gradings of the elements $v, z^\alpha, \mathbf{e}^\alpha$ are set to zero. In all further constructions \mathbf{e}^α are \mathbb{N} -elongated coframes (14) or their vierbein transforms. In this case, the product \circ from (61) on ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \Lambda$ is bigraded. This is written as w.r.t the grading $Deg = 2\deg_v + \deg_s$ and the grading \deg_a .

For a 3+1 splitting adapted to an effective Lagrangian L , using triads and projectors of type

$${}^L\mathbf{q}_{IJ} = \mathbf{e}_I^{I'} \mathbf{e}_{J'}^{J'} \delta_{I'J'}, \quad \mathbf{e}_I^{I'} \doteq \mathbf{e}_\alpha^{\alpha'} q_{\alpha'}^{I'} q_I^\alpha,$$

we construct $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{IJ} = q_\alpha^I q_\beta^J \mathbf{\Lambda}^{\alpha\beta}$ stating a formal Wick product for ${}^3\Sigma$,

$$a \circ b(z) \doteq \exp\left(i\frac{v}{2} \mathbf{\Lambda}^{IJ} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^I \partial z_{[1]}^J}\right) a(z)b(z_{[1]})|_{z=z_{[1]}}.$$

In this case, we construct a ${}^3\Sigma$ -projection of the product (61), denoted " $\Sigma \circ$ " which is also bigraded on the space ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}$, where $\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is used for $\mathbf{\Lambda}^{IJ}$.

The Ashtekar's d-connection $\mathbf{A}^{I'}$ (56) is extended to the d-operator

$${}^A\mathbf{D}(a \otimes \lambda) \doteq \left(\mathbf{e}_\alpha(a) - u^\beta {}^A\mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma z \mathbf{e}_\gamma(a)\right) \otimes (\mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \lambda) + a \otimes d\lambda, \quad (63)$$

on ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}$, where $z\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ is \mathbf{e}_α redefined in z -variables. This canonical almost symplectic d-connection ${}^A\mathbf{D}$ is a N -adapted deg_a -graded derivation of the distinguished algebra $({}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}, \circ)$, in brief, called d-algebra: this follows from formula (61)). The d-operator (63) projected on ${}^3\Sigma$, denoted as $\Sigma\mathbf{D}$, can be written in an explicit form containing the Ashtekar-Barbero connection and its nonholonomic deformation (see formulas (56) and (A.7)),

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma\mathbf{D}(a \otimes \lambda) &\doteq \left(\mathbf{e}^{I'}(a) - u^J \mathbf{A}^{I'K} z \mathbf{e}_K(a)\right) \otimes (\mathbf{n}_{I'} \wedge \lambda) + a \otimes d\lambda \\ &= \left(\mathbf{e}^I(a) - u^J ({}^A\mathbf{A}^{I'K} + {}^A\mathbf{Z}^{I'K}) z \mathbf{e}_K(a)\right) \otimes (\mathbf{n}_{I'} \wedge \lambda) + a \otimes d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where we took $\mathbf{n}_{I'} = \mathbf{n}_{\alpha'} q_I^{\alpha'}$ and $\mathbf{A}^{I'K} \mathbf{n}_{I'} = \mathbf{A}^{I'}$ and $a \otimes d\lambda$ should be considered on ${}^3\Sigma$.

The Fedosov distinguished operators (d-operators) ${}^L\delta$ and ${}^L\delta^{-1}$ on ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}$ (induced by an effective L , we may call them the Fedosov-Lagrange operators), are defined

$${}^L\delta(a) = \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge z \mathbf{e}_\alpha(a), \text{ and } {}^L\delta^{-1}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{p+q} z^\alpha {}^L\delta_\alpha(a), & \text{if } p+q > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } p=q=0, \end{cases} \quad (64)$$

and their projections with respect to ${}^3\Sigma$,

$$\Sigma\delta(a) = \mathbf{e}^I \wedge z \mathbf{e}_I(a), \text{ and } \Sigma\delta^{-1}(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{p+q} z^I \delta_I(a), & \text{if } p+q > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } p=q=0, \end{cases}, \quad (65)$$

where any $a \in {}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}$ is homogeneous w.r.t. the grading deg_s and deg_a with $\text{deg}_s(a) = p$ and $\text{deg}_a(a) = q$.

The d-operators (64) define the formula $a = ({}^L\delta {}^L\delta^{-1} + {}^L\delta^{-1} {}^L\delta + \sigma)(a)$, where $a \mapsto \sigma(a)$ is the projection on the $(\text{deg}_s, \text{deg}_a)$ -bihomogeneous

part of a of degree zero, $\deg_s(a) = \deg_a(a) = 0$; δ is also a \deg_a -graded derivation of the d-algebra $({}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes \mathbf{\Lambda}, \circ)$. In a similar form, using (65) on $({}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}, {}^\Sigma\circ)$, we get the space projection of this for formula, denoted

$${}^\Sigma a = ({}^\Sigma\delta \quad {}^\Sigma\delta^{-1} + \quad {}^\Sigma\delta^{-1} \quad {}^\Sigma\delta + \quad {}^\Sigma\sigma)(a).$$

For simplicity, hereafter we shall present only space projected formulas for indices of geometric objects labelled by I, J, K, \dots and/or their interior analogs, $\dots I', J', K', \dots$ if the four dimensional constructions will not have certain special important properties.

Following a straightforward component calculus similar to that presented in [9, 26] (respectively, for holonomic and nonholonomic geometric configurations), we get the respective torsion and curvature of the Ashtekar d-operators extended to ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}$,

$${}_z^A\mathcal{T} \doteq 2 \ z^K \quad {}^L\theta_{KI} \quad \mathbf{J}\Omega^I_M(u) \wedge \mathbf{e}^M, \quad (66)$$

for ${}^L\theta^{IJ} = q^I_\alpha q^J_\beta \quad {}^L\theta^{\alpha\beta}$, ${}^A\mathbf{T}^I_M = {}^A\mathbf{T}^I_{\alpha M}(u) \mathbf{n}^\alpha$ and $4 \ {}^A\mathbf{T}^{I'}_I = - \mathbf{J}\Omega^{I'}$, see (58), and

$${}_z^A\mathcal{R} \doteq \frac{z^J z^K}{4} \quad {}^L\theta_{IJ} \quad {}^A\mathbf{R}^I_{KM}(u) \wedge \mathbf{e}^M, \quad (67)$$

where $\mathbf{F}^K_{IJ} \doteq {}^A\mathbf{R}^K_{\alpha IJ}(u) \mathbf{n}^\alpha$, for

$$\mathbf{F}^{K'}_{IJ} \doteq \mathbf{e}_I(\mathbf{A}^{K'}_J) - \mathbf{e}_J(\mathbf{A}^{K'}_I) + \epsilon^{K'}_{I'J'} \quad \mathbf{A}^{I'}_I \quad \mathbf{A}^{J'}_J.$$

By straightforward local computations, we can verify that

$$[{}^\Sigma\mathbf{D}, {}^\Sigma\delta] = \frac{i}{v} ad_{Wick}({}_z^A\mathcal{T}) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^\Sigma\mathbf{D}^2 = -\frac{i}{v} ad_{Wick}({}_z^A\mathcal{R}), \quad (68)$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the \deg_a -graded commutator of endomorphisms of ${}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}$ and ad_{Wick} is defined via the \deg_a -graded commutator in $({}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}, {}^\Sigma\circ)$.

Having constructed the Fedosov-Ashtekar operators, we have defined the main geometric tools necessary for DG of GR in a form preserving an explicit relation to variables in LQG.

5 Deformation Quantization and Ashtekar d-Connections

In our previous works [26, 27, 28], we proved that formulating a (pseudo) Riemannian geometry in Lagrange-Finsler variables, we can quantize the

metric, frame and linear connection structures following standard methods in DQ of almost Kähler manifolds. Introducing the Ashtekar type variables in GR, we can follow standard methods of LQG, or (after corresponding nonholonomic deformations) to apply certain schemes of DQ. The goal of this section is to re-define the main Fedosov's results [6, 7, 9] in generalized Ashtekar variables and show how the Einstein manifolds can be encoded into the topological structure of geometrically quantized nonholonomic spaces.

5.1 Fedosov's approach for Ashtekar d-connections

Let us consider a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold with the data reformulated in almost symplectic Ashtekar type variables ${}^L\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\alpha\beta}$ (59) and \mathbf{A}'_I (56) induced by an effective Lagrange structure L .

5.1.1 Definition of flat Fedosov-Ashtekar d-connection

Any (pseudo) Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ (6), after a 3+1 splitting adapted to a N-connection structure induced canonically by a regular L , defines a flat normal Fedosov-Ashtekar d-connection

$${}^\Sigma\mathcal{D} \doteq - {}^\Sigma\delta + {}^A\mathcal{D} - \frac{i}{v} ad_{Wick}({}^\Sigma r) \quad (69)$$

satisfying the condition ${}^\Sigma\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$, where the unique element ${}^\Sigma r \in {}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}$, $\deg_a({}^\Sigma r) = 1$, ${}^\Sigma\delta^{-1} {}^\Sigma r = 0$, solves the equation

$${}^\Sigma\delta r = \frac{A}{\Sigma}\mathcal{T} + {}^A\mathcal{F} + {}^A\mathcal{D} {}^\Sigma r - \frac{i}{v} {}^\Sigma r \circ {}^\Sigma r, \quad (70)$$

where $\frac{A}{\Sigma}\mathcal{T}$ and ${}^A\mathcal{F}$ on hypersurface ${}^3\Sigma$ are respectively the 2-forms of torsion and curvature of ${}^A\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{A}'_I\}$. The element ${}^\Sigma\delta r$ is computed recursively with respect to the total degree Deg as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\Sigma r^{(0)} &= {}^\Sigma r^{(1)} = 0, \quad {}^\Sigma r^{(2)} = {}^\Sigma\delta^{-1} \frac{A}{\Sigma}\mathcal{T}, \\ {}^\Sigma r^{(3)} &= {}^\Sigma\delta^{-1} \left({}^A\mathcal{F} + {}^\Sigma\mathcal{D} {}^\Sigma r^{(2)} - \frac{i}{v} {}^\Sigma r^{(2)} \circ {}^\Sigma r^{(2)} \right), \\ {}^\Sigma r^{(k+3)} &= {}^\Sigma\delta^{-1} \left({}^\Sigma\mathcal{D} {}^\Sigma r^{(k+2)} - \frac{i}{v} \sum_{l=0}^k {}^\Sigma r^{(l+2)} \circ {}^\Sigma r^{(l+2)} \right), \quad k \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where by $a^{(k)}$ we denoted the Deg -homogeneous component of degree k of an element $a \in {}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{\Lambda}$. The proof of formulas (69) and (70) consists

from straightforward verifications of the property ${}^\Sigma\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$ using for ${}^\Sigma r$ a formal series of type (62).

The above-presented formulas are written in a four dimensional form for the d-connection ${}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g})$ (A.2) and Fedosov–Lagrange operators ${}^L\delta$ and ${}^L\delta^{-1}$ (64). From a formal point of view, we have to omit the label "Σ" and rewrite the formulas for the torsion ${}^A\mathcal{T}$ (57) and curvature ${}^A\mathcal{R}$, when ${}^A\mathcal{R}^\tau_\gamma = {}^A\mathbf{R}^\tau_{\gamma\alpha\beta} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta$; for coefficients, see similar formulas (46), but for this case considered for the d-connection ${}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$.

There is a flat connection

$$\mathcal{D} \doteq -{}^L\delta + {}^A\mathcal{D} - \frac{i}{v} ad_{Wick}(r) \quad (71)$$

satisfying the condition $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$, where the unique element $r \in {}^L\mathcal{W} \otimes {}^\Sigma\mathbf{A}$, $\deg_a(r) = 1$, ${}^L\delta^{-1} r = 0$, solves the equation

$$\delta r = {}^A\mathcal{T} + {}^A\mathcal{R} + {}^A\mathcal{D} r - \frac{i}{v} r \circ r, \quad (72)$$

where the element δr can be computed recursively with respect to the total degree *Deg* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r^{(0)} &= r^{(1)} = 0, \quad r^{(2)} = {}^L\delta^{-1} {}^A\mathcal{T}, \\ r^{(3)} &= {}^L\delta^{-1} \left({}^A\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{D} r^{(2)} - \frac{i}{v} r^{(2)} \circ r^{(2)} \right), \\ r^{(k+3)} &= {}^L\delta^{-1} \left(\mathcal{D} r^{(k+2)} - \frac{i}{v} \sum_{l=0}^k r^{(l+2)} \circ r^{(l+2)} \right), \quad k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

We note that the formulas (71) and (72) are isomorphic to similar ones considered in Theorem 3.1 from Ref. [27], but re-adapted in this work for a 3+1 splitting. Their space three dimensional projections result in (69) and (70) containing a nonholonomic and quantum deformation of the Ashtekar–Barbero variables.

5.1.2 The star-product induced by the Fedosov–Ashtekar d-connection

We present the definition of the star-product for three and four dimensional hypersurfaces with the d-connections canonically related both to the Ashtekar variables and Finsler–Lagrange ones.

Three dimensional hypersurface constructions: The procedure of deformation quantization is strongly related to the definition of a star-product which in our approach is computed canonically because the Ashtekar d-connection \mathbf{A}'_I (56) is a N-adapted affine and/or almost symplectic connection like those considered in [9, 26, 27, 28]. A star-product on the almost Kähler model of a (pseudo) Riemannian space in Lagrange-Finsler variables enabled with a canonically nonholonomically deformed Ashtekar-Barbero connection is defined on $C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[v]]$ by formula

$${}^1f \Sigma_* {}^2f \doteq \Sigma_\sigma(\Sigma_\tau({}^1f)) \circ \Sigma_\sigma(\Sigma_\tau({}^2f)), \quad (73)$$

where the projection $\Sigma_\sigma : {}^L\mathcal{W}_\mathbf{A} \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[v]]$ onto the part of deg_s -degree zero is a bijection and the inverse map $\Sigma_\tau : C^\infty(\mathbf{V})[[v]] \rightarrow {}^L\mathcal{W}_\mathbf{A}$ can be calculated recursively w.r.t the total degree Deg ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_\tau(f)^{(0)} &= f \text{ and, for } k \geq 0, \\ \Sigma_\tau(f)^{(k+1)} &= \Sigma_\delta^{-1} [{}^A\mathbf{D} \Sigma_\tau(f)^{(k)} - \frac{i}{v} \sum_{l=0}^k ad_{Wick}(\Sigma_\tau(f)^{(l+2)})(\Sigma_\tau(f)^{(k-l)})]. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by ${}^f\xi$ the Hamiltonian vector field corresponding to a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$ on space $(\mathbf{V}, {}^L\theta)$ and consider the antisymmetric part

$${}^-C({}^1f, {}^2f) \doteq \frac{1}{2} (C({}^1f, {}^2f) - C({}^2f, {}^1f))$$

of bilinear operator $C({}^1f, {}^2f)$. We say that a star-product (60) is normalized if ${}^-C({}^1f, {}^2f) = \frac{i}{2} \Sigma\{ {}^1f, {}^2f \}$, where $\Sigma\{ \cdot, \cdot \}$ is the Poisson bracket defined with respect to the space hypersurface ${}^3\Sigma$. For the so-called normalized Σ_* , the bilinear operator ${}^-C$ defines a de Rham-Chevalley 2-cocycle, when there is a unique closed 2-form Σ_\varkappa such that

$${}^2C({}^1f, {}^2f) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_\varkappa({}^{f_1}\xi, {}^{f_2}\xi) \quad (74)$$

for all ${}^1f, {}^2f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$. This is used to introduce $c_0(\Sigma_*) \doteq [\Sigma_\varkappa]$ as the equivalence class.

A straightforward computation of 2C from (74) and previous formulas prove that there is a unique 2-form defined by the Ashtekar d-connection \mathbf{A}'_I (56),

$$\Sigma_\varkappa = -\frac{i}{8} \mathbf{J}_{K'}^I \mathbf{F}_{IJ}^{K'} \wedge \mathbf{e}^J - \frac{i}{6} d \left(\mathbf{J}_{I'}^I {}^A\mathbf{T}_{I'}^I \right), \quad (75)$$

where $\mathbf{F}_{IJ}^{K'} \doteq {}^A\mathbf{R}_{\alpha IJ}^{K'}(u) \mathbf{n}^\alpha$ and $\mathbf{J}_{I'}^I = \mathbf{J}_{\alpha'}^\beta q_\alpha^I q_{I'}^{\alpha'}$.

Let us define another canonical class ${}^{\Sigma\varepsilon}$, for ${}^N T^3\Sigma = h^3\Sigma \oplus v^3\Sigma$ induced by ${}^N T\mathbf{V} = h\mathbf{V} \oplus v\mathbf{V}$ (12), where the left label points that the tangent bundle is split nonholonomically by the canonical N-connection structure \mathbf{N} . We perform a distinguished complexification of such second order tangent bundles in the form $T_{\mathbb{C}}({}^N T\mathbf{V}) = T_{\mathbb{C}}(h\mathbf{V}) \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}(v\mathbf{V})$, then consider $T_{\mathbb{C}}({}^N T^3\Sigma) = T_{\mathbb{C}}(h^3\Sigma) \oplus T_{\mathbb{C}}(v^3\Sigma)$. We introduce ${}^{\Sigma\varepsilon}$ as the first Chern class of the distributions $T'_{\mathbb{C}}({}^N T^3\Sigma) = T'_{\mathbb{C}}(h^3\Sigma) \oplus T'_{\mathbb{C}}(v^3\Sigma)$ induced by $T'_C({}^N T\mathbf{V}) = T'_C(h\mathbf{V}) \oplus T'_C(v\mathbf{V})$ of couples of vectors of type $(1,0)$ both for the h- and v-parts. In explicit form, we calculate ${}^{\Sigma\varepsilon}$ using the d-connection ${}^A\mathcal{D}$ (56) and the h- and v-projections $h\Pi = \frac{1}{2}(Id_h - iJ_h)$ and $v\Pi = \frac{1}{2}(Id_v - iJ_v)$, with respective restrictions on ${}^3\Sigma$, $h^{\Sigma\Pi}$ and $v^{\Sigma\Pi}$, where Id_h and Id_v are respective identity operators and J_h and J_v are almost complex operators, which are projection operators onto corresponding $(1,0)$ -subspaces. We consider the the matrix $(h\Pi, v\Pi) {}^A\mathcal{R}(h\Pi, v\Pi)^T$, where $(\dots)^T$ means transposition, as the curvature matrix of the N-adapted restriction of d-connection ${}^A\mathcal{D}$ to $T'_C({}^N T\mathbf{V})$. The restriction of this matrix on ${}^3\Sigma$ gives $(h^{\Sigma\Pi}, v^{\Sigma\Pi}) {}^A\mathcal{F}(h^{\Sigma\Pi}, v^{\Sigma\Pi})^T$. This allows us to compute the closed Chern-Weyl form

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\Sigma}\gamma &= -iTr \left[(h^{\Sigma\Pi}, v^{\Sigma\Pi}) {}^A\mathcal{F}(h^{\Sigma\Pi}, v^{\Sigma\Pi})^T \right] \\ &= -iTr \left[(h^{\Sigma\Pi}, v^{\Sigma\Pi}) {}^A\mathcal{F} \right] = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{J}_{K'}^I \mathbf{F}_{IJ}^{K'} \wedge \mathbf{e}^J \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

and define the canonical class is ${}^{\Sigma\varepsilon} \doteq [{}^{\Sigma}\gamma]$.

We conclude that the zero-degree cohomology coefficient for the almost Kähler model of a (pseudo) Riemannian space with Ashtekar d-connection is $c_0({}^{\Sigma*}) = -(1/2i) {}^{\Sigma\varepsilon}$.

Four dimensional constructions: In this case, we work directly with the d-connection ${}^A\mathbf{D} = \{ {}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \}$ (A.2). For simplicity, we omit the proofs with are similar to the three dimensional case and present only the most important formulas. The formal formula for the star-product is

$${}^1f * {}^2f \doteq \sigma(\tau({}^1f)) \circ \sigma(\tau({}^2f)), \quad (77)$$

where the projection $\sigma : {}^L\mathcal{W}_{A\Gamma} \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathbf{V})[[v]]$ onto the part of deg_s -degree zero is a bijection and the inverse map $\tau : C^{\infty}(\mathbf{V})[[v]] \rightarrow {}^L\mathcal{W}_{A\Gamma}$ can be

calculated recursively w.r.t. the total degree Deg ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(f)^{(0)} &= f \text{ and, for } k \geq 0, \\ \tau(f)^{(k+1)} &= L\delta^{-1} [{}^A\mathbf{D} \tau(f)^{(k)} - \frac{i}{v} \sum_{l=0}^k ad_{Wick}(r^{(l+2)})(\tau(f)^{(k-l)})].\end{aligned}$$

The bilinear operator ${}_2C$ defining the de Rham–Chevalley 2–cocycle for the normalized $*$ is given by a unique closed 2–form \varkappa such that

$${}_2C({}^1f, {}^2f) = \frac{1}{2} \varkappa({}^{f_1}\xi, {}^{f_2}\xi),$$

for all ${}^1f, {}^2f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{V})$, which is used to introduce $c_0(*) \doteq [\varkappa]$ as the equivalence class with

$$\varkappa = -\frac{i}{8} \mathbf{J}_\tau^{\alpha'} {}^A\mathcal{R}_{\alpha'}^\tau - \frac{i}{6} d \left(\mathbf{J}_\tau^{\alpha'} {}^A\mathbf{T}_{\alpha'\beta}^\tau \mathbf{e}^\beta \right). \quad (78)$$

where the coefficients of the curvature and torsion 2–forms of the normal d–connection 1–form are given respectively by formulas (28) and (26).

The closed Chern–Weyl form is computed

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma &= -iTr \left[(h\Pi, v\Pi) {}^A\mathcal{R} (h\Pi, v\Pi)^T \right] \\ &= -iTr \left[(h\Pi, v\Pi) {}^A\mathcal{R} \right] = -\frac{1}{4} \mathbf{J}_\tau^{\alpha'} {}^A\mathcal{R}_{\alpha'}^\tau,\end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

which defines the canonical class is $\varepsilon \doteq [\gamma]$ and the zero–degree cohomology coefficient for the almost Kähler model of a (pseudo) Riemannian space with d–connection ${}^A\mathbf{D}$, computed $c_0(*) = -(1/2i)\varepsilon$.

Formulas (77), (78) and (79) were computed following the Karabegov and Schlichenmaier approach [9] for the canonical d–connection and normal d–connection in Lagrange–Finsler geometry and Einstein spaces enabled with nonholonomic distributions modelled on nonholonomic manifolds or tangent bundles, see respectively [25, 26, 27, 28]. Working with the d–connection ${}^A\mathbf{D}$, all constructions are generated in adapted forms both to the nonholonomic 2+2 and 3+1 splitting which allows us to obtain on corresponding three dimensional hypersurfaces the respective formulas (73), (75) and (76) containing nonholonomic deformations of the Ashtekar–Barbero connection.

5.2 The zero-degree cohomology for Einstein spaces in Ashtekar–Lagrange variables

The 3+1 splitting formalism encode the Einstein equations in an equivalent 'quantum' form as the Wheeler De Witt equations. In new canonical (Ashtekar and modifications) variables, for LQG, one has to consider the so-called "master constraint programme". So, for certain canonical and loop quantization models, the gravitational field equations are considered as the starting point for definition of the quantization formalism.

In DQ, there were elaborated quantization schemes when metric, vielbein and connection fields are not obligatory subjected to satisfy certain field equations and/or derived by a variational procedure. For instance, such geometric and/or BRST quantization approaches were proposed in Ref. [50, 51]. This allows us to apply DQ methods to generalized theories of gravity, matter field interactions and nonlinear mechanical systems.

We proved in the previous sections that under certain well defined conditions the scheme of LQG is equivalent to that from DG in a nonholonomically modified Fedosov approach. Even in such generalized Ashtekar–Lagrange variables (with \mathbf{A}^I_I (56) and ${}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ (A.2)) the Poisson structure (52) and related evolution equations (53) are nonholonomically deformed and we "lose" a direct connection to the Einstein equations, the scheme of DQ gives us the possibility to consider nonholonomic quantum deformations of the gravitational field equations [27, 28]. Here, we analyze in brief the problem of encoding the Einstein equations into the formalism of nonholonomic DQ for the d-connection ${}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ (using formulas (A.6) and (A.7), we can compute all values corresponding to \mathbf{A}^I_I).

Introducing the formulas (37) into formulas (78) and (79), we obtain that the zero-degree cohomology coefficient $c_0(*)$ for the almost Kähler model of an Einstein space defined by a d-tensor $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ (6) as a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations) is $c_0(*) = -(1/2i)\varepsilon$, for $\varepsilon \doteq [\gamma]$, where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{J}_{\tau\alpha} \left(-\lambda\mathbf{e}^\tau \wedge \mathbf{e}^\alpha + \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\tau\alpha} \right). \quad (80)$$

It should be noted that for $\lambda = 0$ the 2-form $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\tau\alpha}$ is defined by the deformation d-tensor from the Levi–Civita connection to the normal d-connection (32), see formulas (A.5). We conclude that $c_0(*)$ encodes the vacuum Einstein configurations, in general, with nontrivial constants and their quantum deformations.

Multiplying $\mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge$ with (80) written in Lagrange–Finsler variables with a further 3+1 decomposition and introducing the almost complex operator

$\mathbf{J}_{\beta\gamma}$, we get the almost symplectic form of the Einstein equations (81). In Ashtekar–Lagrange variables, the quantum field equations corresponding to the Einstein gravitations in general relativity are

$$\mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \gamma = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\tau} 2\pi G \mathbf{J}_{\beta\gamma} \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\tau - \frac{\lambda}{4} \mathbf{J}_{\beta\gamma} \mathbf{e}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{e}^\beta \wedge \mathbf{e}^\gamma. \quad (81)$$

We emphasize that in the vacuum case, when $\lambda = 0$, the 2–form γ (80) from (81) is not zero but defined by $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\tau = {}^Z\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_\tau$. Finally, we note that it is possible an explicit computation of γ for nontrivial matter fields following combined LQG and DQ approaches to models with interacting gravitational and matter fields geometrized in terms of an almost Kähler model defined for spinor and fiber bundles on spacetime [44].

6 Conclusions

There are four conventional communities of researches working in modern quantum gravity, separated by different philosophies and purposes in physics, mathematical ‘language’ and ways of using mathematical formalism. Two communities related to string/ M–theory (SMT) and perturbative quantum gravity (PQG) have a number of common points, for instance, with the background field method but they propose different approaches and claim to have a different status in theoretical particle physics. The first one was built as a theory of unification of interactions and the second one is still considered to be undefined because of non–renormalizability and a number of conceptual and technical problems. Here, one should be emphasized that PQG can be more simply and directly standard theories of physics and experimental data. Researches from SMT and loop quantum gravity (LQG, the involved persons consist the third community) have a common goal, a consistent theory of quantum gravity, but both theories are with very different underlying hypothesis, mathematical tools, a lot of different complementary tasks and yet unresolved theoretical problems and lack of experimental verification. It is still supposed that LQG might become a part of SMT but this will be not the case if, for instance, the supersymmetry is not necessary for a consistent quantization of gravity.

In LQG a lot of serious work has been done and considerable progress was achieved. Some researches still point to possible problems with the semi–classical limit, compatibility with the perturbative approach and spacetime covariance and diffeomorphysm invariance [22]. Nevertheless, it is considered that the constructions in LQG are physically well motivated and (sometimes)

mathematically unique which makes the approach less ambiguous [23] but still less familiar for particle physics researches.

During last three decades, it was also an intensive work on geometric and deformation quantization (DQ, we classify the authors publishing in this direction to belong to the forth community in quantum gravity), see reflections [10] on philosophy of DQ. The Fedosov quantization [6, 7] was generalized for Poisson manifolds [8] and almost Kähler spaces [9]. For a long time, it was considered that DQ might not have direct applications to the general relativity (GR) theory because torsion and almost symplectic connections play a crucial role in DQ, which was considered to be not related to the Levi–Civita connection in (pseudo) Riemannian geometry.

We proved that the classical Einstein theory can be re–formulated equivalently as an almost Kähler geometry if the (pseudo) Riemannian spacetime is enabled with a formal (2+2)–dimensional nonholonomic distribution [25, 26, 27, 28]. For such a distribution inducing an effective nonlinear connection, a (pseudo) Riemannian metric defines not only the preferred Levi–Civita linear connection (which, by definition, is metric compatible and torsionless) but also an infinite number of metric compatible linear connections with nontrivial torsions. There is a canonical connection when the torsion is completely and uniquely induced by the metric coefficients in a form necessary for DQ of almost complex/ symplectic structures. So, we can quantize GR by deforming it in a unique form to a corresponding model of nonholonomic almost Kähler geometry (all data for deformations of geometric objects, their values on the ‘primary’ (pseudo) Riemannian spacetime and ‘target’ almost symplectic nonholonomic manifolds being defined by a ‘primary’ metric structure). Such generalized transforms are described not only by frame and coordinate maps but also by deformations (equivalently, distorsions) of connections and fundamental tensor/spinor objects. They result in effective Riemann–Cartan (or Einstein–Cartan) spaces when the torsion is completely induced by certain generic ‘off–diagonal’ coefficients of a metric related to the 2+2 nonholonomic splitting.

A very important geometric techniques for DQ of GR was taken from Finsler geometry and its generalization as the Lagrange geometry [45] (we note the formalism of nonlinear connections, N–connections, the geometry of nonholonomic manifolds and N–adapted linear connections and geometric objects [52, 53, 54, 55, 31]). In our approach, we emphasized that various types of Lagrange–Finsler variables can be introduced formally also on (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds, which is very useful for parametrizations of certain very general classes of generic off–diagonal solutions of the Einstein equations in GR, string gravity and gauge (non) commutative gravity, see

original results and reviews [30, 31, 32, 33].

It should be emphasized here that some groups of mathematicians and physicists use in their investigations on generalized gravity models and applications of Finsler geometry the so-called Cartan connection [56] which is a torsionless but metric noncompatible generalization of the Levi-Civita connection. Nonmetricity, in such cases, makes more sophisticate and almost impossible (without a metric compatible background) the definition of a number of very important physical geometric objects and concepts (like spinors, twistors and conservation laws) and formulation of noncommutative generalizations of Finsler geometry, which also exists in Lagrange-Finsler DQ. For the purposes considered in this work, elaboration of an unified approach to LQG and DQ of gravity and further applications on standard physics, a nonmetricity field would induce a number of very difficult problems for quantization of models with locally anisotropic spaces (see detailed discussions and reviews of results in [30, 31]). In our works on Lagrange-Finsler and nonholonomic manifolds geometry, we follow a more 'conservative' and 'pragmatic' point of view when locally anisotropic configurations are modelled by nonholonomic distributions on (pseudo) Riemannian and Riemann-Cartan spaces and the condition of metric compatibility of linear connections is positively satisfied. This is the case for the so-called Cartan connection in Finsler geometry [58] and various metric compatible modifications and generalizations of nonlinear and linear connections [45, 57, 30, 31, 32, 33] which can be related to certain classes of nonholonomic almost symplectic/Kähler structures and presents a substantial interest for application of DQ methods.

For various purposes of DQ of GR, to introduce and work with formal (effective) Lagrange-Finsler variables is very important because this allows us to construct in explicit form some canonical symplectic forms and linear connections completely defined by a metric structure (which may be, or not, a solution of the Einstein equations). If such geometric and physical objects are introduced on (co) tangent bundles, we perform DQ models for general nonlinear mechanical models encoded as Lagrange-Finsler (Hamilton-Cartan) geometries; here we note that, for instance, the Finsler geometry consists a particular (homogeneous) case of Lagrange geometry. We can consider an inverse situation when fixing a convenient formal (regular Lagrange) generating function on a (pseudo) Riemannian manifold (in a particular case, we take an Einstein manifold), we model effectively certain gravitational processes as analogous mechanics systems. This allows us also to model quantum GR effects by certain quantized nonlinear mechanics Lagrange/ Hamilton models following well defined methods of nonholonomic

quantum geometry.

The aim of this article was to give a self-contained and comparative analysis of two existing general approaches to quantization of GR, the LQG and DQ, and to construct a "bridge" between these two quantum gravity theories. In spite of their general difference on methods and philosophy, both approaches contain certain common principles and ideas. Both quantizations are based on the idea of spacetime splitting preserving the general covariance and diffeomorphism invariance: a 3+1 splitting is used in LQG and a 2+2 nonholonomic splitting is used in DQ. In both cases, certain new variables are necessary to perform the procedure of quantization: for LQG, one uses the Ashtekar-Barbero variables, which simplifies the structure of constraints, and, for DG, one considers the Lagrange-Finsler variables, which allows us to define certain canonical almost symplectic fundamental geometric objects.

There are also substantial differences between the above-mentioned two approaches. For instance, in LQG, the procedure of quantization is strongly determined by the Wheeler - de Witt equations (which are equivalent to the Einstein equations, define an effective Hamiltonian for gravity with a 3+1 splitting and conclude in a corresponding algebras of constraints, for different classes of new variables). It is also very important the so-called Master Constraint Programme [23] allowing to define a quantum solution for constraints and quantize the theory following the Dirac method. The procedure of DQ can be performed for any almost symplectic / Poisson structure enabled with a necessary type linear connection when the torsion is defined by the Neijenhuis tensor. In this case, the condition to find in explicit form a quantum variant of Einstein equations and to solve certain classes of constraints is not so important, all data being encoded into the nonholonomic configuration of theory and the zero-degree cohomology coefficient (where a nonholonomically deformed version of the Einstein equations is contained). We conclude here that LQG may be more convenient for establishing a self-consistent semi-classical limit when the form of classical Einstein equations is well known. The de-quantization procedure in DQ and (in general) the formalism of DQ are crucial if certain classical models (their fundamental geometric objects, field equations and conservation laws) would be substantially modified under quantization (for instance, the BRST formalism together with DQ consider methods of non-Lagrange theories and other various exotic quantum models [50, 51]).

A formal scheme for LQG and DQ of GR, sketching a mathematical physics "dictionary" between two, in general, different nonlinear quantum theories, is given in Figure 1. We see that the data for one type of quanti-




Figure 1: **LQG and DQ**

zation can be transformed into the data for the second type quantization, and inversely, if we generalize the Ashtekar–Barbero connection to a non-holonomic version of linear connection adapted to a canonical nonlinear connection structure (for the equivalent almost Kähler–Lagrange model). This allows us to provide a Fedosov quantization of GR in terms of effective Lagrange–Finsler variables re–expressed as generalized Ashtekar variables and to define the LQG geometric objects in terms of DQ objects, and inversely.

We note some possible important generalizations and applications of the unified approach to LQG and DQ proposed in this paper. Having elaborated a quantization scheme using nonholonomic versions of Ashtekar–Barbero variables, we can consider it in various (non) commutative gauge gravity theories and nonlinear models of physical interactions [32] and quantum almost Kähler geometries related to string gravity. Inversely, in [44], we show how we can quantize nonlinear mechanics models and related Lagrange–Finsler geometries following methods LQG which gives us the first example of quantum analogous gravity self–consistently formulated in the language of geometric mechanics.

Finally, we note that in this work we only sketched the proofs of the most important results of common interest in LQG and DQ of GR since we wanted to reach a rather general audience and find certain important common points of these two different approaches. All the technical details can be found in comprehensive and self–contained forms in the cited monographs and reviews.

Acknowledgement: S. V. performed his work as a visitor at Fields Institute.

A Almost complex adapted Ashtekar Connections

The coefficients of the Neijenhuis tensor ${}^{\mathbf{J}}\Omega$ (17) for the canonical almost complex structure $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g})$ (16) are

$${}^{\mathbf{J}}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = (\mathbf{e}_{\tau}\mathbf{J}^{\gamma}_{\alpha})\mathbf{J}^{\tau}_{\beta} - (\mathbf{e}_{\tau}\mathbf{J}^{\gamma}_{\beta})\mathbf{J}^{\tau}_{\alpha} + (\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}\mathbf{J}^{\tau}_{\beta} - \mathbf{e}_{\beta}\mathbf{J}^{\tau}_{\alpha})\mathbf{J}^{\gamma}_{\tau}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{e}_{\beta}) = \mathbf{J}^{\alpha}_{\beta}\mathbf{e}_{\alpha}$ is decomposed with respect to N–adapted frames (13) defined by the canonical N–connection structure. Let us consider a d–connection

$$\begin{aligned} {}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) &= {}^c\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) + {}^c\mathbf{Z}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) \\ &= \widehat{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) + \widehat{\mathbf{Z}}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) = {}_i\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) + {}^A\mathbf{Z}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

with deformation formulas similar to ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) = \widehat{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}) + {}_{\mathbf{1}}Z_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{L}\mathbf{g})$ (32), where ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{Z}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ is just the distortion of the Levi–Civita connection ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ defining the connection ${}^A\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ with torsion ${}^A\mathbf{T}_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = -\mathbf{J}\Omega_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}/4$. All linear connections, ${}^A\Gamma$, ${}^c\Gamma$, $\widehat{\Gamma}$ and ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma$, and deformation tensors, ${}^c\mathbf{Z}$, $\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}$ and ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{Z}$, are uniquely defined by the metric tensor $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{g}$ on \mathbf{V} . Having ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathbf{Z}$ determined by $\mathbf{J}\Omega$, we compute the distortion formulas relating ${}^c\Gamma$ and ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma$, see details in [30, 31, 45].

For any metric structure \mathbf{g} on a manifold \mathbf{V} , the Levi–Civita connection is by definition the unique linear connection $\nabla = \{ {}_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} \}$ which is metric compatible, $\nabla\mathbf{g} = 0$, and torsionless, ${}_{\mathbf{1}}\mathcal{T} = 0$. This is not a d–connection because it does not preserve under parallelism the N–connection splitting (12). We parametrize the coefficients:

$$\nabla = \{ {}_{\mathbf{1}}\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} = ({}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{jk}^i, {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{jk}^a, {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{bk}^i, {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{bk}^a, C_{jb}^i, C_{jb}^a, C_{bc}^i, C_{bc}^a) \}, \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_k}(\mathbf{e}_j) &= {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{jk}^i \mathbf{e}_i + {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{jk}^a e_a, \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{e}_k}(e_b) = {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{bk}^i \mathbf{e}_i + {}_{\mathbf{1}}L_{bk}^a e_a, \\ \nabla_{e_b}(\mathbf{e}_j) &= {}_{\mathbf{1}}C_{jb}^i \mathbf{e}_i + {}_{\mathbf{1}}C_{jb}^a e_a, \quad \nabla_{e_c}(e_b) = {}_{\mathbf{1}}C_{bc}^i \mathbf{e}_i + {}_{\mathbf{1}}C_{bc}^a e_a. \end{aligned}$$

The canonical d–connection ${}^c\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = (\widehat{L}_{jk}^i, \widehat{L}_{bk}^a, \widehat{C}_{jc}^i, \widehat{C}_{bc}^a)$ with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{L}_{jk}^i &= \frac{1}{2} L g^{ir} (e_k {}^L g_{jr} + e_j {}^L g_{kr} - e_r {}^L g_{jk}), \\ \widehat{L}_{bk}^a &= e_b ({}^L N_k^a) + \frac{1}{2} L g^{ac} (e_k {}^L g_{bc} - {}^L g_{dc} e_b N_k^d - {}^L g_{db} e_c {}^L N_k^d), \\ \widehat{C}_{jc}^i &= \frac{1}{2} L g^{ik} e_c {}^L g_{kj}, \quad \widehat{C}_{bc}^a = \frac{1}{2} L g^{ad} (e_c {}^L g_{bd} + e_c {}^L g_{cd} - e_d {}^L g_{bc}) \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

is by definition a metric compatible d–connection uniquely defined by $\mathbf{g} = {}^L\mathbf{g}$ (6) to satisfy the properties in ${}^cT_{jk}^i = 0$ and ${}^cT_{bc}^a = 0$ but ${}^cT_{ja}^i$, ${}^cT_{ji}^a$ and ${}^cT_{bi}^a$ are not zero.

A straightforward calculus shows that the coefficients of the Levi–Civita

connection can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_i L_{jk}^i &= \widehat{L}_{jk}^i, \quad {}_i L_{jk}^a = -\widehat{C}_{jb}^i \, {}^L g_{ik} \, {}^L g^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{jk}^a, \\
{}_i L_{bk}^i &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{jk}^c \, {}^L g_{cb} \, {}^L g^{ji} - \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^i \delta_k^h - {}^L g_{jk} \, {}^L g^{ih}) \widehat{C}_{hb}^j, \\
{}_i L_{bk}^a &= \widehat{L}_{bk}^a + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_c^a \delta_d^b + {}^L g_{cd} \, {}^L g^{ab}) \left[\widehat{L}_{bk}^c - e_b ({}^L N_k^c) \right], \\
{}_i C_{kb}^i &= \widehat{C}_{kb}^i + \frac{1}{2} \check{\Omega}_{jk}^a \, {}^L g_{cb} \, {}^L g^{ji} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^i \delta_k^h - {}^L g_{jk} \, {}^L g^{ih}) \widehat{C}_{hb}^j, \\
{}_i C_{jb}^a &= -\frac{1}{2} (\delta_c^a \delta_b^d - {}^L g_{cb} \, {}^L g^{ad}) \left[\widehat{L}_{dj}^c - e_d ({}^L N_j^c) \right], \quad {}_i C_{bc}^a = \widehat{C}_{bc}^a, \\
{}_i C_{ab}^i &= -\frac{{}^L g^{ij}}{2} \left\{ \left[\widehat{L}_{aj}^c - e_a ({}^L N_j^c) \right] {}^L g_{cb} + \left[\widehat{L}_{bj}^c - e_b ({}^L N_j^c) \right] {}^L g_{ca} \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

For spacetimes of even dimension, instead of ${}^c \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$, we can consider the normal d-connection $\widehat{\mathbf{\Gamma}}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = (\widehat{L}_{jk}^i, {}^v \widehat{C}_{bc}^a)$ (22) with coefficients (23).

Let introduce the distortions d-tensor ${}_i Z_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ with N-adapted coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_i Z_{jk}^i &= 0, \quad {}_i Z_{jk}^a = -\widehat{C}_{jb}^i \, {}^L g_{ik} \, {}^L g^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{jk}^a, \\
{}_i Z_{bk}^i &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{jk}^c \, {}^L g_{cb} \, {}^L g^{ji} - \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^i \delta_k^h - {}^L g_{jk} \, {}^L g^{ih}) \widehat{C}_{hb}^j, \\
{}_i Z_{bk}^a &= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_c^a \delta_d^b + {}^L g_{cd} \, {}^L g^{ab}) \left[\widehat{L}_{bk}^c - e_b ({}^L N_k^c) \right], \\
{}_i Z_{kb}^i &= \frac{1}{2} \Omega_{jk}^a \, {}^L g_{ab} \, {}^L g^{ji} + \frac{1}{2} (\delta_j^i \delta_k^h - {}^L g_{jk} \, {}^L g^{ih}) \widehat{C}_{hb}^j, \\
{}_i Z_{jb}^a &= -\frac{1}{2} (\delta_c^a \delta_b^d - {}^L g_{cb} \, {}^L g^{ad}) \left[\widehat{L}_{dj}^c - e_d ({}^L N_j^c) \right], \quad {}_i Z_{bc}^a = 0, \\
{}_i Z_{ab}^i &= -\frac{{}^L g^{ij}}{2} \left\{ \left[\widehat{L}_{aj}^c - e_a ({}^L N_j^c) \right] \check{g}_{cb} + \left[\widehat{L}_{bj}^c - e_b ({}^L N_j^c) \right] {}^L g_{ca} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

In the simplest case, having computed (17), following relations (A.2), (A.3) and (A.4), we express ${}_i \mathbf{Z}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\mathbf{Lg})$ from (A.2) as

$${}_i \mathbf{Z}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = -\frac{1}{8} \mathbf{Lg}^{\alpha\tau} \left(\mathbf{Lg}_{\gamma\epsilon} \mathbf{J} \Omega_{\tau\beta}^\epsilon + \mathbf{Lg}_{\beta\epsilon} \mathbf{J} \Omega_{\tau\gamma}^\epsilon - \mathbf{Lg}_{\tau\epsilon} \mathbf{J} \Omega_{\beta\gamma}^\epsilon \right),$$

which defines completely ${}_i \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha(\mathbf{Lg})$. At the final step, we consider the formulas (49) but for the d-connection ${}_i \mathbf{\Gamma}$,

$${}_i \mathbf{\Gamma}_I^{I'} \doteq \frac{1}{2} q_I^\alpha q_{\alpha'}^{I'} \epsilon_{\gamma'\tau'}^{\alpha'\beta'} \mathbf{n}_{\beta'} \quad {}_i \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\gamma'\tau'}_\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad {}_i \mathbf{K}_I^{I'} \doteq q_{\alpha'}^{I'} q_I^\alpha \mathbf{n}_{\beta'} \quad {}_i \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\alpha'\beta'}_\alpha, \tag{A.6}$$

and define the Ashtekar d-connection $\mathbf{A}^{I'} = \text{}_{,I}A^{I'} + \text{}_{,I}Z^{I'}$ (56), where

$$\text{}_{,I}Z^{I'} \doteq q_I^\alpha q_{\alpha'}^{I'} \mathbf{n}_{\beta'} \left(\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha' \beta'}{}_{\gamma' \tau'} \text{}_{,I}Z^{\gamma' \tau'} + \beta \text{}_{,I}Z^{\alpha' \beta'} \right) \quad (\text{A.7})$$

and $\text{}_{,I}A^{I'}$ are given by formulas (50).

References

- [1] J. H. Schwarz (Ed.) *Strings: the First 15 Years of Superstring Theory: Reprints & Commentary* (World Scientific, Singapore e.a., 1985)
- [2] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Vol. 1 and 2 (Cambridge Un. Press, 1998)
- [3] P. Deligne, P. Etingof P., D. S. Freed et all (eds.) *Quantum Fields and Strings: A Course for Mathematicians*, Vol. 1 and 2, Institute for Advanced Study (American Mathematical Society, 1994)
- [4] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization. I. Deformations of symplectic structures, *Ann. Phys.* **111** (1978) 61–110
- [5] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization. II. Physical applications, *Ann. Phys.* **111** (1978) 111–151
- [6] B. V. Fedosov, A Simple Geometric Construction of Deformation Quantization, *J. Diff. Geom.* **40** (1994) 213–214
- [7] B. V. Fedosov, *Deformation Quantization and Index Theory* (Akad. Verlag. Berlin, 1996)
- [8] M. Kontsevich, Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **66** (2003) 157-216, q-alg/9709040
- [9] A. V. Karabegov and M. Schlichenmaier, Almost Kähler deformation quantization, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **57** (2001) 135–148
- [10] D. Sternheimer, Some reflections of mathematicians' views of quantization, *J. Math. Sciences* **141** (2007) 1494–1505
- [11] S. Twareque Ali and M. Engliš, Quantization methods: a guide for physicists and analysts, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **17** (2005) 391-490

- [12] I. Vaisman, Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson Manifolds (Birkhäuser, 1994)
- [13] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein, Geometric Models for Noncommutative Algebras (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1999)
- [14] S. Majid, A Quantum Group Primer, L. M. S. Lect. Not. Ser. **292** (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [15] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry (Academic Press, 1994)
- [16] J. Madore, An Introduction to Noncommutative Geometry and its Physical Applications, LMS lecture note Series 257, 2d ed. (Cambridge University Press, 1999)
- [17] J. M. Gracia-Bondia, J. C. Varilly and H. Figueroa, Elements of Noncommutative Geometry (Birkhäuser, 2001)
- [18] C. Rovelli, Quantum Gravity (Cambridge Un. Press, Cambridge, 2004)
- [19] T. Thiemann, Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006), gr-qc/0110034
- [20] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, Background independent quantum gravity: a status report. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) R53–R152
- [21] L. Smolin, An invitation to loop quantum gravity, hep-th/0408048
- [22] H. Nicolai and K. Peeters and M. Zamaklar, Loop quantum gravity: an outside view. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005), R193–R247
- [23] T. Thiemann, Loop quantum gravity: an inside view, hep-th/0608210
- [24] F. Etayo, R. Santamaría and S. Vacaru, Lagrange–Fedosov nonholonomic manifolds, *J. Math. Phys.* **46** (2005) 032901
- [25] S. Vacaru, Deformation quantization of almost Kähler models and Lagrange-Finsler spaces, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007) 123509
- [26] S. Vacaru, Generalized Lagrange transforms: Finsler geometry methods and deformation quantization of gravity, *An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza din Iasi (S.N.), Matematica*, vol. **LIII**, 2007, Supliment, 327-342; arXiv: 0707.1526

- [27] S. Vacaru, Deformation quantization of nonholonomic almost Kähler models and Einstein gravity, to be published in Phys. Lett. A (2008), arXiv: 0707.1667
- [28] S. Vacaru, Einstein gravity as a nonholonomic almost Kähler geometry, Lagrange–Finsler variables, and deformation quantization, arXiv: 0709.3609
- [29] M. Anastasiei and S. Vacaru, Fedosov quantization of Lagrange–Finsler and Hamilton–Cartan spaces and Einstein gravity lifts on (co) tangent bundles, arXiv: 0710.3079 [math-ph]
- [30] S. Vacaru, Finsler–Lagrange geometries and standard theories in Physics: New Methods in Einstein and String Gravity, arXiv: 0707.1524 (a short variant is accepted for Int. J. Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys. [IJGMMP])
- [31] S. Vacaru, P. Stavrinou, E. Gaburov and D. Gonța, *Clifford and Riemann–Finsler Structures in Geometric Mechanics and Gravity*, Selected Works, Differential Geometry – Dynamical Systems, Monograph 7 (Geometry Balkan Press, 2006); www.mathem.pub.ro/dgds/mono/va-t.pdf and [gr-qc/0508023](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0508023)
- [32] S. Vacaru, Exact solutions with noncommutative symmetries in Einstein and gauge gravity, J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 042503
- [33] S. Vacaru, Parametric nonholonomic frame transforms and exact solutions in gravity, Int. J. Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys. [IJGMMP] **4** (2007) 1285–1334
- [34] H. Garcia–Compean, J. Plebanski and M. Przanowski, The geometry of deformation quantization and self–dual gravity, arXiv: hep-th/9710154
- [35] F. Antonsen, Deformation quantization of gravity, arXiv: gr-qc/9712012
- [36] H. Quevedo and J. Tafuya, Towards the deformation quantization of linearized gravity, Gen. Rel. Grav. **37** (2005) 2083–2092
- [37] C. Castro, W–geometry from Fedosov’s deformation quantization, J. Geom. Phys. **33** (2000) 173–190

- [38] A. Ashtekar, New variables for classical and quantum gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 2244–2247
- [39] A. Ashtekar, New Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity, *Phys. Rev.* **D36** (1987) 1587–1602
- [40] F. Barbero, Reality conditions and Ashtekar variables: a different perspective, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 5498–5506
- [41] F. Barbero, Real Ashtekar variables for Lorentzian signature space times, *Phys. Rev.* **D51** (1995) 5507–5510
- [42] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, 1973)
- [43] S. Mercuri, From the Einstein–Cartan to the Ashtekar–Barbero canonical constraints, passing through the Nieh–Yan functional, 0708.0037
- [44] S. Vacaru, Ashtekar–Barbero Constraints for Lagrange–Finsler Variables in General Relativity, *Geometric Mechanics and Analogous Quantum Gravity* (under preparation)
- [45] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange Spaces: Theory and Applications*, FTPH no. 59 (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1994)
- [46] G. Immirzi, Quantum gravity and Regge calculus, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **57** (1997) 65–72
- [47] A. Sen, On the existence of neutrino ”zero-modes” in vacuum space-times, *J. Math. Phys.* **22** (1981) 1781–1786
- [48] T. Thiemann, The phoenix project: Master constraint programme for loop quantum gravity, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23** (2006) 2211–2248
- [49] K. Yano, *Differential Geometry of Complex and Almost Complex Spaces* (Pergamon Press, NY, 1965)
- [50] P. O. Kazinski, S. L. Lyakhovich and A. A. Sharapov, Lagrange Structure and Quantization, *JHEP* **0507** (2005) 076
- [51] S. L. Lyakhovich and A. A. Sharapov, BRST Theory without Hamiltonian and Lagrangian, *JHEP* **0503** (2005) 011

- [52] G. Vrănceanu, Sur les espaces non holonomes. C. R. Acad. Paris **103** (1926) 852–854
- [53] G. Vrănceanu, Sur quelques points de la théories des espaces non holonomomes, Bull. Fac. Șt. Cernăuți **5** (1931) 177–205
- [54] G. Vrănceanu, Leçons de Geometrie Differentielle, Vol II (Edition de l'Academie de la Republique Populaire de Roumanie, 1957)
- [55] A. Bejancu and H. R. Farran, Foliations and Geometric Structures (Springer, 2005)
- [56] D. Bao, S. -S. Chern, and Z. Shen, An Introduction to Riemann–Finsler Geometry. Graduate Texts in Math., 200 (Springer–Verlag, 2000)
- [57] A. Bejancu, Finsler Geometry and Applications (Ellis Horwood, Chichester, England, 1990)
- [58] E. Cartan, Les Espaces de Finsler (Paris, Hermann, 1935)