Electron-phonon interaction in the lamellar cobaltate $Na_x CoO_2$

A. Donkov¹, M.M. Korshunov^{1,2}, and I. Eremin^{1,3}

¹Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, D-01187 Dresden, Germany

²L.V. Kirensky Institute of Physics, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, 660036 Krasnoyarsk, Russia and

³Institute für Mathematische und Theoretische Physik,

 $TU {\ Braunschweig, \ D-38106 \ Braunschweig, \ Germany}$

P. Lemmens¹, V. Gnezdilov², F.C. Chou³, and C.T. Lin⁴

¹Institute for Physics of Condensed Matter, TU Braunschweig, D-38106 Braunschweig, Germany

²B. I. Verkin Inst. for Low Temperature Physics, NASU, 61164 Kharkov, Ukraine

³ Center for Condensed Matter Sciences, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan and

⁴Max Planck Institute for Solid State Research, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany

(Dated: August 9, 2021)

We study theoretically and experimentally the dependence of the electron-phonon interaction in $Na_x CoO_2$ on the sodium concentration x. For the two oxygen phonon modes found in Raman experiments, A_{1g} and E_{1g} , we calculate the matrix elements of the electron-phonon interaction. Analyzing the feedback effect of the conduction electrons on the phonon frequency we compare the calculated and experimentally observed doping dependence of the A_{1g} mode. Furthermore, due to the momentum dependence of the electron-phonon coupling for the E_{1g} symmetry we find no renormalization of the corresponding phonon frequency which agrees with experiment. Our results shed light on the possible importance of the electron-phonon interaction in this system.

PACS numbers: 74.70.-b, 74.25.Kc, 78.30.-j, 71.38.-k

Introduction. The origin of the unconventional superconductivity in low-dimensional perovskite systems attracts much attention and belongs to the most challenging questions of condensed matter physics. The best known example among these materials are high- T_c cuprate superconductors. There, one of the possible scenarios for the Cooper-pair formation is the so-called spin fluctuation mechanism. At the same time, due to the complexity of the transition metal oxides, other energy scales are present, and their role in the formation of superconductivity remains under debate. This, in particular, concerns the electron-phonon interaction. For example its relevance for superconductivity in layered cuprates and the anomalous normal state has been discussed in, e.g., Ref. 1. Despite some progress, a complete understanding of the physics of electron-phonon coupling in perovskites is still lacking because of the crystallographic complexities of these materials.

The discovery of superconductivity with $T_c=4.6$ K in water intercalated sodium cobaltate, $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$ [2], is of great interest on its own and also because of similarities with layered cuprates. The sodium cobaltate has a quasi-two-dimensional layered structure with CoO_2 layers and rich phase diagram as a function of the Na concentration, which includes superconductivity at $x \approx 0.3$, an insulating phase at $x \sim 0.5$, and unusual magnetism for $x \ge 0.6$ [3]. There is also increasing experimental and theoretical evidence for unexpected strong correlation effects as the cobaltates approach the band insulting limit at x = 1 [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. In $Na_x CoO_2$ the Na ions reside between the CoO_2 layers, with Co ions forming a triangular lattice, and donate x electrons to the partially filled Co- $d(t_{2g})$ orbitals. Due to the presence of a trigonal crystalline electric field, the t_{2g} levels split into the higher lying a_{1g} singlet and the two lower lying e'_g states [12]. Angle-Resolved Photo-Emission Spectroscopy (ARPES) [13, 14] reveals a doping dependent evolution of the Fermi surface, which shows no sign of the e'_g hole pockets for $0.3 \leq x \leq 0.8$. The observed Fermi surface is centered around the Γ point and has mostly a_{1g} character. It has been argued that such an effect may arise due to strong electronic correlations [8, 15], or Na induced disorder [16], however, no consensus in the literature has been reached yet (see, for example, [17, 18, 19]).

Despite of intensive studies of the electronic and magnetic properties little is known about the phonon excitations and their doping evolution in Na_xCoO₂. At the same time, due to the relatively low superconducting transition temperature the possible relevance of phonons for superconductivity cannot be neglected. For example, the role of the electron-phonon coupling in Na_xCoO₂ has been discussed in the context of its relevance to superconductivity and charge ordering on the basis of a t - V model [20]. In addition, due to some similarity with high- T_c cuprates the understanding of the phonon renormalization in the sodium cobaltates is of great importance. Initially, the effect of renormalization of the optical phonons by the conduction electrons in layered cuprates has been considered in Ref. 21.

In this Rapid Communication we investigate the electron-phonon interaction in the Na_xCoO₂ as a function of doping concentration and its superconducting relative by means of Raman spectroscopy. We observe two oxygen phonon modes at small wave vectors with A_{1q} and E_{1g} symmetries. Then we derive the diagonal and off-diagonal electron-phonon matrix elements for these modes. Calculating the renormalization of the phonon frequencies by conduction electrons we compare our results with the doping dependent evolution of the A_{1g} mode and obtain the electron-phonon coupling constant $g_{off}^{A_{1g}} = 3$ meV. Due to the structure of the electronphonon matrix element for the E_{1g} mode we obtain no doping dependence of the corresponding phonon frequency in good agreement with experiment. Our results shed light on the possible role of the electron-phonon interaction in this compound.

Experiment details. Raman scattering experiments have been performed in quasi-backscattering geometry on freshly cleaved single crystal surfaces. The sample have been fully characterized using basic thermodynamic as well as spectroscopic techniques [22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27]. After cleavage the crystals were rapidly cooled down in He exchange gas to prevent degradation. In $Na_x CoO_2$ inplane E_{1q} and out-of-plane A_{1q} oxygen modes have been observed in Raman scattering [23, 28, 29] and the corresponding oxygen displacements are depicted in Fig. 1(a)and (b). The Co site is not Raman-active. Modes of the Na sites have not been identified unambiguously [28]. This is probably related to disorder on the partially filled sites. The two-dimensionality with respect to structure and bonding leads to a decoupling of the Na and the CoO_2 layers. The observed doping-dependence of the A_{1g} and E_{1g} oxygen phonon frequencies are shown in Fig. 2. The cross-over from one to the other crystallographic phases (shaded areas) given by a different occupation of the Na sites leads for the A_{1g} modes to small additional frequency shifts and for the E_{1g} modes to new modes with a larger energy off-set. The latter are omitted for clarity. The two phonon modes display a markedly different doping dependence.

Tight-binding model. To describe the electronic subsystem we use a tight-binding t_{2g} -band model with parameters (in-plane hoppings and the single-electron energies) derived previously from the *ab-initio* LDA (Local Density Approximation) calculations using projection procedure for x = 0.33 [8].

The free-electron Hamiltonian of the t_{2g} -band model in a hole representation is given by

$$H_0 = -\sum_{\mathbf{k},\alpha,\sigma} \left(\epsilon^{\alpha} - \mu\right) n_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma} - \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} t_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha\beta} d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\mathbf{k}\beta\sigma}, \quad (1)$$

where $n_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma} = d^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma} d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma}$, $d_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma} (d^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha\sigma})$ is the annihilation (creation) operator for the t_{2g} -hole with spin σ , orbital index α , and momentum \mathbf{k} , $t^{\alpha\beta}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the hopping matrix element, and ϵ^{α} is the single-electron energy. To obtain the dispersion we diagonalize the Hamiltonian (1) calculating the chemical potential μ self-consistently. Due to the non-zero inter-orbital hopping matrix elements, a_{1g} and e'_{q} bands are hybridized. However, only one of the



FIG. 1: (Color online) Schematic illustration of the A_{1g} (a) and E_{1g} (b) phonon modes. The arrows indicate the oxygen displacement in the CoO₆ octahedra. On the left side of (a) we indicate the crystallographic a, b, and c directions. (c)-(d) The calculated momentum dependence of the electron-phonon structure factors, $[F_{\mathbf{q}}^{\Gamma}]^2$, in the first BZ for the A_{1g} and E_{1g} phonon modes, respectively.

hybridized bands crosses the Fermi level. We refer to the diagonalized bands as $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha'}$ with the new orbital index α' .

Electron-phonon interaction. In analogy to previous considerations for cuprates [30, 31], we derive the electron-phonon matrix elements, $g_{\mathbf{kq}}$, for the A_{1q} and E_{1q} phonon modes depicted in Fig. 1(a) and (b). Namely, to obtain the main contribution to the diagonal (intraband) part of the electron-phonon interaction we expand the Coulomb energy between Co and oxygen, $H_C = \frac{ee^*}{\epsilon} \sum_{i,\alpha',\sigma,\gamma} c^{\dagger}_{i\alpha'\sigma} c_{i\alpha'\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i,\gamma}|} + \frac{1}{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_{i,-\gamma}|} \right), \text{ in }$ the small displacements of the oxygen ions. Here, e is the electron charge, $e^* = -2e$ is the oxygen ion charge, ϵ is the dielectric constant, \mathbf{R}_i are the Co ion positions, $\mathbf{r}_{i,\gamma}$ are the vector positions of the vibrating oxygens, and index γ ($-\gamma$) labels the three oxygen positions within CoO₆ unit cell above (below) the Co layer. Here, $c_{i\alpha'\sigma}^{\dagger}$ refers to the diagonal form of the Hamiltonian (1). After introducing the creation (annihilation) operator $b^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{q}}$ $(b_{\mathbf{q}})$ for the phonon with momentum \mathbf{q} , we arrive to the following form of the electron-phonon interaction

$$H_{el-ph}^{diag} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},\alpha',\sigma} g_{\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha'\alpha'} c_{\mathbf{k}\alpha'\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}\alpha'\sigma} (b_{\mathbf{q}} + b_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}).$$
(2)

For the sake of simplicity we assume the diagonal electron-phonon interaction is independent on the orbital index α' . Thus, for the A_{1g} and E_{1g} optical Ramanactive phonon oxygen modes one finds $g_{\mathbf{q}}^{A_{1g}} = g_{diag}^{A_{1g}} F_{\mathbf{q}}^{A_{1g}}$, $g_{\mathbf{q}}^{E_{1g}} = g_{diag}^{E_{1g}} F_{\mathbf{q}}^{E_{1g}}$, where the structure factors of the

electron-phonon interaction are

$$F_{\mathbf{q}}^{A_{1g}} = \cos\frac{q_1 - q_2}{3} + \cos\frac{q_1 + q_3}{3} + \cos\frac{q_2 + q_3}{3},$$
 (3)

$$F_{\mathbf{q}}^{E_{1g}} = \cos\frac{q_1 - q_2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\cos\frac{q_1 + q_3}{3} + \cos\frac{q_2 + q_3}{3} \right] (4)$$

Here, $q_1 = (\sqrt{3}/2)q_x - \frac{1}{2}q_y$, $q_2 = q_y$, $q_3 = (\sqrt{3}/2)q_x + \frac{1}{2}q_y$, in units of $2\pi/a$ with a being the in-plane lattice constant, $g_{diag}^{\Gamma} = -\frac{ee^*}{\epsilon} \frac{2L_{\Gamma}}{\sqrt{d^2 + l^2}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2M\omega_{\Gamma}}}$, where ω_{Γ} is the corresponding bare phonon frequency $(\Gamma = A_{1g}, E_{1g}),$ $L_{A_{1g}} = d = a/\sqrt{6}$ is the distance between the Co and the oxygen plane, $L_{E_{1q}} = l = a/\sqrt{3}$ is the planar distance between Co and oxygen, and M is the oxygen mass. Assuming that in the band insulator, $Na_{x=1}CoO_2$, the renormalization of the phonons by the conduction electrons is absent, we use $\omega_{A_{1q}} = 589 cm^{-1}$ and $\omega_{E_{1q}} = 470 cm^{-1}$. These values are close to those obtained by the first principles calculations [32]. The resulting momentum dependence of the structure factors for the both modes is shown in Fig. 1(c) and (d). Interestingly, one sees that while the $g_{\mathbf{q}}$ for the A_{1q} mode shows a maximum at the BZ center, the corresponding $g_{\mathbf{q}}$ for the E_{1g} mode vanishes there. Therefore, for $\mathbf{q} = 0$ the electron-phonon coupling for the E_{1q} channel is zero. Taking $\epsilon \sim 20$ we estimate $g_{diag}^{A_{1g}} \approx 0.05 {\rm eV}.$ The off-diagonal (interband) contribution to the

The off-diagonal (interband) contribution to the electron-phonon interaction arises mainly from the modulation of the inter-orbital Co-Co hopping matrix element via oxygen. Assuming the linear terms in the expansion of the nearest neighbors hopping matrix element $t_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(u_{\gamma}) = t_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} + V^{\alpha\beta}u_{\gamma}$ over the oxygen displacements u_{γ} , one obtains:

$$H^{off}_{el-ph} = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q},\alpha'\neq\beta',\sigma} g^{\alpha'\beta'}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{q}} c^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\alpha'\sigma} c_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}\beta'\sigma} (b_{\mathbf{q}} + b^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{q}}).$$
(5)

where $g_{\mathbf{kq}}^{\alpha'\beta'} = g_{off}^{\Gamma} F_{\mathbf{q}}^{\Gamma}(\gamma(\mathbf{k}) + \gamma(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}))$ with $\gamma(\mathbf{k}) = \cos k_2 + \cos k_3 + \cos k_1$ being the Co lattice structure factor. Again one could see that for $\mathbf{q} = 0$ the off-diagonal electron-phonon coupling for the E_{1g} channel is zero due to the momentum dependence of the electron-phonon structure factor, $F_{\mathbf{q}}^{E_{1g}}$. Therefore, in Raman experiments which probes $\mathbf{q} = 0$ response this mode shows no doping dependence due to the coupling to the electronic subsystem. This is also confirmed by the fact that the observed phonon mode energy for all doping levels lies close to the value obtained by *ab-initio* calculations [32]. The only Raman-active optical phonon mode which will couple to the conduction electrons at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ is the A_{1q} mode.

In the following we consider the renormalization of the A_{1q} phonon. The corresponding Dyson equation reads:

$$D^{-1}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = D_0^{-1}(\omega) - \Pi(\mathbf{q},\omega), \qquad (6)$$

where $D_0(\omega) = \frac{2\omega_{\Gamma}}{\omega^2 - \omega_{\Gamma}^2 + i\delta}$ is the momentum-independent bare phonon propagator. The polarization operator is



FIG. 2: (Color online) The experimental Raman data at 10K is shown by (blue) circles. The calculated doping dependence of the A_{1g} (a) and E_{1g} (b) phonon modes is shown by red crosses, the solid curve is a guide to the eye. The slight scattering of the experimental points around x = 0.5, x = 0.76 and x = 0.9 (shown by the dashed areas) are the result of the different crystallographic phases around these points[27]. These structural modifications only weakly affect the electron-phonon coupling as it mainly involves Na ordering. Measurements of A_{1g} mode at 290K (not shown) follow a similar trend as the 10K data.

given by:

$$\Pi(\mathbf{q},\omega) = -2\sum_{\alpha',\beta'}\sum_{\mathbf{k}} \left(g_{\mathbf{kq}}^{\alpha'\beta'}\right)^2 \frac{f(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k+q}}^{\alpha'}) - f(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\beta'})}{\omega - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k+q}}^{\alpha'} + \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\beta'} + i\delta},\tag{7}$$

where $f(\epsilon)$ is the Fermi function. To find the renormalization of the bare phonon frequency and to compare the results to the Raman experiments we set $\mathbf{q} \to 0$ limit and solve Eq. (6) numerically as a function of the doping concentration. The main contribution to the renormalization of the optical phonon modes comes from the interband transitions, *i.e.* terms with $g_{\mathbf{kq}}^{\alpha'\neq\beta'}$ while intraband transitions renormalize the acoustic phonon modes. The results of our numerical calculations are shown in Fig. 2. The doping evolution has been deduced by calculating $\Pi(\mathbf{q} \to 0, \omega)$ for various x values. We obtain the value of the off-diagonal electron-phonon interaction $g_{off}^{A_{1g}} \approx 3$ meV by comparison to the experimental data points. This value is an order of magnitude smaller than the diagonal contribution to the electron-phonon interaction. One sees that the phonon renormalization changes smoothly as a function of doping. The renormalization effects tend to vanish close to the band insulator regime at x = 1 because all of the Fermi functions entering Eq.(7) are equal one and ReII($\mathbf{q}, 0$) = 0. Away from this point ReII will be simply determined by the number of holes in the system. This explains the linear doping dependence of the renormalization effects. We also note that the electronic correlation effects seem to play a minor role for the renormalization of the Raman-active optical phonon modes. The situation may differ, however, for the acoustic phonons where the density of the states at the Fermi level plays the most important role [33].

Of course, our estimated value for the electron-phonon interaction corresponds to the interband scattering which is not directly related to the superconductivity. Therefore, we use our estimated value for the diagonal part of the electron-phonon interaction and obtain using the BCS formula $k_B T_c = 1.14 \omega_{A_{1g}} \exp\left(-1/N(E_F)g^{A_{1g}}\right)$ $T_c \sim 7$ K for the $N(E_F) \approx 4.0$ states/eV [12]. This estimate is in agreement with the observed T_c in water intercalated $Na_x CoO_2$, which points towards potential relevance of the electron-phonon interaction for the superconductivity in this compound. At the same time, the smooth evolution of the phonon frequencies as a function of the doping concentration on the one hand, and the rich phase diagram of the lamellar cobaltates, on the other, requires further understanding the role of the phonons in the formation of superconductivity. One interesting possibility to explore is the possible enhancement of T_c due to resonance levels the may be introduced by the water molecules [34, 35, 36]. Very recently the so called Suhl-Kondo resonance was suggested as an origin of the Cooper paring in the $Na_x CoO_2 \cdot yH_2O$ [37]. In any case a study of the isotope effect on T_c is highly desirable.

We would like to thank P. Fulde, B. Keimer, I. Mazin, K. Morawetz, E. Schneider, A. Yaresko, and G. Zwicknagl for useful discussions. We thank P. Scheib and A. Boothroyd for contributions to our experimental study. M.M.K. acknowledges support from INTAS (YS Grant 05-109-4891) and RFBR (Grants 06-02-16100, 06-02-90537-BNTS). I.E. acknowledges support from Volkswagen Foundation. The experimental studies have been supported by DFG and ESF-HFM.

- A. Lanzara, P.V. Bogdanov, X.J. Zhou, S.A. Kellar, D.L. Feng, E.D. Lu, T. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, A. Fujimori, K. Kishio, J.-I. Shimoyama, T. Noda, S. Uchida, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, Nature 412, 510 (2001).
- [2] K. Takada, H. Sakurai, E. Takayama-Muromachi, F. Izumi, R.A. Dilanian, and T. Sasaki, Nature 422, 53 (2003).
- [3] M.L. Foo, Y. Wang, S. Watauchi, H.W. Zandbergen, T. He, R.J. Cava, and N.P. Ong, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 247001 (2004).

- [4] C. Bernhard, Ch. Niedermayer, A. Drew, G. Khaliullin, S. Bayrakci, J. Strempfer, R.K. Kremer, D.P. Chen, C.T. Lin, and B. Keimer, Europhys. Lett. 80, 27005 (2007).
- [5] M. Lee, L. Viciu, L. Li, Y. Wang, M.L. Foo, S. Watauchi, R.A. Pascal, Jr., R.J. Cava, and N.P. Ong, Nat. Mater. 5, 537 (2006).
- [6] J. Merino, B.J. Powell, and R. H. McKenzie, Phys. Rev. B 73, 235107 (2006).
- [7] J.O. Haerter, M.R. Peterson, and B.S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 226402 (2006).
- [8] M.M. Korshunov, I. Eremin, A. Shorikov, V. I. Anisimov, M. Renner, and W. Brenig, Phys. Rev. B 75, 094511 (2007).
- [9] M. Daghofer, P. Horsch, and G. Khaliullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 216404 (2006).
- [10] C.A. Marianetti and G. Kotliar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 176405 (2007).
- [11] Meng Gao, Sen Zhou, and Ziqiang Wang, Phys. Rev. B 76, 180402(R) (2007).
- [12] D.J. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 61, 13397 (2000).
- [13] M.Z. Hasan, Y.-D. Chuang, D. Qian, Y.W. Li, Y. Kong, A. P. Kuprin, A.V. Fedorov, R. Kimmerling, E. Rotenberg, K. Rossnagel, Z. Hussain, H. Koh, N.S. Rogado, M.L. Foo, and R.J. Cava, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 246402 (2004).
- [14] H.-B. Yang, S.-C. Wang, A.K.P. Sekharan, H. Matsui, S. Souma, T. Sato, T. Takahashi, T. Takeuchi, J.C. Campuzano, R. Jin, B.C. Sales, D. Mandrus, Z. Wang, and H. Ding, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 246403 (2004).
- [15] S. Zhou, M. Gao, H. Ding, P.A. Lee, and Z. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 206401 (2005).
- [16] D.J. Singh and D. Kasinathan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 016404 (2006).
- [17] H. Ishida, M.D. Johannes, and A. Liebsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 196401 (2005).
- [18] L. Balicas, J. G. Analytis, Y. J. Jo, K. Storr, H. Zandbergen, Y. Xin, N. E. Hussey, F. C. Chou, and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 126401 (2006).
- [19] G. J. Shu, Andrea Prodi, S. Y. Chu, Y. S. Lee, H. S. Sheu, and F. C. Chou, Phys. Rev. B 76, 184115 (2007).
- [20] A. Foussats, A. Greco, M. Bejas, and A. Muramatsu, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 020504(R) (2005); M. Bejas, A. Greco, and A. Foussats, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 033101 (2007).
- [21] R. Zeyher and G. Zwicknagl, Z. Phys. B 78, 175 (1990).
- [22] P. Lemmens, V. Gnezdilov, N.N. Kovaleva, K.Y. Choi, H. Sakurai, E. Takayama-Muromachi, K. Takada, T. Sasaki, F.C. Chou, D.P. Chen, C.T. Lin, and B. Keimer, J.Phys.: Condens. Matter 16, S857 (2004).
- [23] P. Lemmens, K.Y. Choi, V. Gnezdilov, E.Ya. Sherman, D.P. Chen, C.T. Lin, F.C. Chou, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 167204 (2006).
- [24] S. P. Bayrakci, C. Bernhard, D. P. Chen, B. Keimer, R.K. Kremer, P. Lemmens, C. T. Lin, C. Niedermayer, and J. Strempfer, Phys. Rev. B 69, 100410(R) (2004).
- [25] D.P. Chen, H.C. Chen, A. Maljuk, A. Kulakov, H. Zhang, P. Lemmens, and C.T. Lin, Phys. Rev. B 70, 024506 (2004).
- [26] C.T. Lin, D.P. Chen, P. Lemmens, X.N. Chang, A. Maljuk, and P.X. Zhang, Journ. of Cryst. Growth 275, 606 (2005).
- [27] C.T. Lin, D.P. Chen, A. Maljuk, and P. Lemmens, Journ. of Cryst. Growth. **292**, 422 (2006).
- [28] M.N. Iliev, A.P. Litvinchuk, R.L. Meng, Y.Y. Sun, J. Cmaidalka, and C.W. Chu, Physica C 402, 239 (2004).

- [29] P. Lemmens, P. Scheib, Y. Krockenberger, L. Alff, F.C. Chou, C.T. Lin, H.-U. Habermeier, and B. Keimer, Phys. Rev. B 75, 106501 (2007).
- [30] A. Nazarenko and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B 53, R2987 (1996).
- [31] S.G. Ovchinnikov, and E.I. Schneider, Zhur. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **128**, 974 (2005) [JETP **101**, 844 (2005)].
- [32] Z. Li, J. Yang, J.G. Hou, and Q. Zhu, Phys. Rev. B 70, 144518 (2004).
- [33] O.V. Dolgov, O.K. Andersen, and I.I. Mazin, arXiv:0710.0661v1 (unpublished).

- [34] W. A. Little, Phys. Rev. **134**, 1416 (1964).
- [35] V. L. Ginzburg, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 47, 2318 (1964);
 [Sov. Phys.-JETP 20, 1549 (1965)].
- [36] V. L. Ginzburg, Contemp. Phys. 9, 355 (1968) [INSPEC];
 Usp. Fiz. Nauk 101, 185 (1970) [CAS]; [Sov. Phys.-Usp. 13, 335 (1970)]; Ann. Rev. Mater. Sci. 2, 663 (1972);
 V. L. Ginzburg and D. A. Kirzhnits, Phys. Rep. 4, 345 (1972).
- [37] K.Yada and H.Kontani, arXiv:0801.3495v1 (unpublished); J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 033705 (2006).