de Sitter Thermodynamics: A glimpse into non equilibrium

Rodrigo Aros

Departamento de Ciencias F´ısicas, Universidad Andr´es Bello, Av. Republica 252, Santiago,Chile

(Dated: May 28, 2019)

In this article is shown that the thermodynamical evolution of a Schwarzschild de Sitter space is the evaporation of its black hole. The result is extended in higher dimensions to Lovelock theories of gravity with a single positive cosmological constant.

PACS numbers: 04.70.Dy

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the thermodynamics of black holes has been so far the only windows at hand into the realms of quantum gravity. Among the different results it was shown that the boundary conditions define the ensemble [\[1\]](#page-5-0) in which the black hole is described. Unfortunately, there are not generical boundary conditions that one can identify with any particular ensemble, and this must be done case by case. Furthermore, there can be more than a single set of boundary conditions that yield any ensemble. For instance in [\[2](#page-5-1)] was shown that the boundary conditions which define the canoninal ensemble with null and negative cosmological constants are not related at all.

In [\[2](#page-5-1)] the case of positive cosmological constant was excluded and the present article aims to amend that in part. The simplest case with positive cosmological constant is the de Sitter space which is the maximally symmetric manifold with positive curvature. It has horizon, which is an observer depending feature. This is associated with the fact its Euclidean version is a sphere. Moreover its finite volume has led to conjecture that it could have a finite number of quantum states [\[3](#page-5-2)].

However, since maximally symmetric spaces should be stable, if not plainly ground states, the presence of a horizon in de Sitter space may be considered in conflict with the idea that a horizon emits Hawking radiation in an underlaying decaying process. The same discussion for negative cosmological constants is solved by the presence, or not, of Killing spinors because of their connection with the definition of a BPS state [\[4\]](#page-5-3). For a positive cosmological constant such a connection can not be established, although Killing spinors indeed exist, because the de Sitter group does not have a supersymmetric extension (see for instance [\[5\]](#page-5-4)). Therefore, in order to understand the role of the de Sitter as a ground state can be useful to study the thermodynamics of black holes with positive cosmological constant. Even studying the simplest case, the Schwarzschild-dS solution, gives a lot of useful information.

From the start the thermodynamics black holes with positive cosmological constant presents some novelties. One usually deals with a single horizon where somehow to fix a single temperature. For a positive cosmological constant, in even for Schwarzschild-dS solution, the space

where the observers inhabit is bounded by two horizons, and at both a temperature can be defined [\[6](#page-5-5)].

In principle the presence of those two horizons with their own temperature defines non-equilibrium system, which should evolve. In this paper that evolution is discussed on some general grounds. The final result of this article is that the quasi statical thermodynamical evolution determines the complete evaporation of the black hole of the Schwarzschild-dS solution, leaving behind, in principle, a de Sitter space.

In this article the positive cosmological constant will be considered fixed, though it is known that even the cosmological constant can evolve [\[7\]](#page-5-6).

Thermodynamics reviewed

Before to proceed to the next sections is worth to recall some notions of black hole thermodynamics. Thermodynamics has two fundamental laws which are satisfied by every known physical system, therefore one should expect that they be satisfied by black holes. Above all stands the conservation of energy, known as the first law of thermodynamics,

$$
dE = dQ + \sum_{i} \mu_i dJ^i,\tag{1}
$$

where dQ stands for the differential of heat, J^i are some extensive charges, as angular momenta, and μ_i their associated extensive potentials (For gravity see for instance [\[8\]](#page-6-0)). The other fundamental law is the so called second law of thermodynamics

$$
\sum_{a} dS_a \ge 0,\tag{2}
$$

which states that in the evolution of a composed system the total change of entropy is always positive or null.

The suitability of the other two laws of thermodynamics in black hole physics is not so clear. The zero law, which states that two systems in contact must reach thermal equilibrium, needs at least that the heat capacities be positive. This can fail in gravity (it even fails in Newtonian gravity). Finally, the third law of thermodynamics also represents an open question, since to step from a non vanishing into vanishing temperature black hole is not a smooth geometrical process and represents a change of topology (for a discussion see [\[9\]](#page-6-1)).

II. A BOUNDED SPACE

For simplicity the discussion will be centered on the Schwarzschild-dS solution, and the generalization to other spaces will be discussed in the text. Its line element in d dimensions reads

$$
ds^{2} = -f(r)^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{1}{f(r)^{2}}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega_{d-2}^{2}
$$
 (3)

where

$$
f(r)^{2} = 1 - \frac{r^{2}}{l^{2}} - \frac{2MG_{1}}{r^{d-3}} \tag{4}
$$

and $d\Omega_{d-2}^2$ the line element of S^{d-2} .

For $d > 3$ one can notice that $f(r)^2$ may have none, one, two positive roots depending on the value of M. To avoid naked singularities $M > 0$. This article deals with the case with two positive roots and the evolution of space defined between those two radii. In that case the largest root, called r_{++} , defines the radius of a cosmological horizon. The smallest root, called r_{+} , defines the radius of a black hole horizon. Since in Eq.[\(4\)](#page-1-0) M is the only free parameter, r_{+} and r_{++} can not be mutually independent. For instance in four dimensions they satisfy

$$
(r_{+} + r_{++})^{2} - r_{+}r_{++} = l^{2}.
$$

In higher dimension there are analogous relations (see Eq.[\(A1\)](#page-5-7)). The ranges of those radii are given by $0 \leq$ $r_{+} < r_0$ and $r_0 < r_{++} \leq l$ where

$$
r_0 = l \sqrt{\frac{d-3}{d-1}}.
$$

Finally M is restricted by $0 \leq M \leq M_{max}$ with

$$
G_1 M_{max} = \frac{l^{d-3}}{d-3} \left(\frac{d-3}{d-1}\right)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}
$$

.

One can notice that, although the Schwarzschild de Sitter solution shares some of the basic structures of de Sitter space, its cosmological horizon is not observer dependent, but a real horizon. This is due to the presence of the black hole, which breaks the global symmetries involving the radial direction. In fact the geometry is given by $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ where Σ is a $d-1$ -dimensional spacelike hypersurface and $\mathbb R$ stands for the time direction. The boundary is therefore given by $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R} \times \partial \Sigma$, where $\partial \Sigma = \partial \Sigma_+ \oplus \partial \Sigma_{++}$. $\partial \Sigma_+$ and $\partial \Sigma_{++}$ stands for the black hole and cosmological horizons respectively. These definitions can be extended to Kerr-dS in a natural way. See appendix [B.](#page-5-8)

In three dimensions the structure is different. In this case the solution is locally a de Sitter space and there is a single cosmological horizon. For any value of $M \neq 0$ the space presents a conical singulary at $r = 0$ which increases with M. For $G_1M \geq 1/2$ the solution [\(4\)](#page-1-0) becomes ill defined and $M < 0$ is forbidden since it introduces conical singularities with an excess of angle. Therefore $0 \le G_1 M < 1/2$.

III. A FIRST ORDER GRAVITY AND THERMODYNAMICS

The first order formalism of gravity can be very useful to analyze thermodynamics. This formalism is reviewed in [\[10](#page-6-2)]. In first order gravity the fields are a basis for the cotangent space, called the vielbein e^a , and a connection, ω^{ab} , for the local Lorentz group of the tangent space. Either e^a and ω^{ab} are understood as differential forms. The curvature of the Lorentz connection reads,

$$
R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^a{}_c \omega^{cb} = \frac{1}{2} R^{ab}{}_{cd} e^c \wedge e^d,
$$

where R^{ab}_{cd} is the Riemann tensor. From now on the ∧ product will be understood implicitly.

A. Einstein Hilbert action with $\Lambda > 0$

The Einstein Hilbert action in first order formalism reads

$$
\mathbf{I}_{EH} = \kappa_1 \int_{\mathcal{M}} (R^{ab} - l^{-2} e^a e^b) e^{c_3} \dots e^{c_d} \varepsilon_{abc_3 \dots c_d}, \quad (5)
$$

where the cosmological constant has been written in terms of the dS radius as $\Lambda = (d-1)/((d-2)l^2)$. κ_1 is given by [\[11\]](#page-6-3)

$$
\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{2(d-2)!G_1\Omega_{d-2}}.
$$

The variation of Eq.[\(5\)](#page-1-1) yields the equations of motion,

$$
\left(R^{ab} - \frac{d}{(d-2)l^2}e^a e^b\right) e^{c_4} \dots e^{c_d} \varepsilon_{cabc_4\dots c_d} = 0, \quad (6)
$$

and the equations $T^a = de^a + \omega^a_{\ b}e^b = 0$ which define a torsion free connection. When the torsion free condition is replaced in Eqs.[\(6\)](#page-1-2) they becomes the standard Einstein equations with a positive cosmological constant.

As usually the variation of the action also yields a boundary term. This term is given by

$$
\Theta(e^a, \delta \omega^{ab}) = (\delta \omega^{ab} e^{c_3} \dots e^{c_d}) \varepsilon_{abc_3 \dots c_d},\tag{7}
$$

and represents the first step to fix the boundary conditions.

For a null or negative cosmological constant Θ usually diverges, however in this case, since the space is bounded by the cosmological horizon, is finite. Furthermore, the action itself Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-1) is also finite. In principle this makes unnecessary to introduce any kind of re-normalization process, thus choosing

$$
\delta\omega^{ab}|_{\partial\partial\Sigma}=0
$$

is a sound boundary condition in this case.

Returning to the discussion, it is direct to prove that fixing the spin connection at the horizons determines the temperature of those horizons [\[12](#page-6-4)]. First one must recall that for stationary black hole the horizon is the surface where the so called horizon generator, the timelike Killing vector $\xi = \xi^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$, becomes a light like vector. In Schwarzschild-dS $\xi = \partial_t$. The key relation to obtain the temperature is that at any horizon ξ satisfies [\[12\]](#page-6-4)

$$
(I_{\xi}\omega_{b}^{a})\xi^{b}|_{\mathbb{R}\times\partial\Sigma_{H}} = \kappa\xi^{b},\tag{8}
$$

where κ is the surface gravity at that horizon [\[19](#page-6-5)]. The temperature is given by $T = \kappa/4\pi$ [\[13\]](#page-6-6).

For the solution above, $Eq.(3)$ $Eq.(3)$, the temperature, as defined by Eq. [\(8\)](#page-2-0), adopts the form

$$
T(r_H) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left. \frac{df(r)^2}{dr} \right|_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(\frac{d-3}{r_H} - \frac{(d-1)}{l^2} r_H \right),\tag{9}
$$

where r_H stands for either r_+ or r_{++} in this case.

IV. CHARGES AND CONSERVATION

Following [\[12](#page-6-4), [14\]](#page-6-7) in this section the thermodynamics is obtained in terms of the variation of the Noether charge of the solution. Given a Lagrangian $\mathbf{L}(\phi, d\phi)$ a symmetry is defined as a change in the field configuration, $\hat{\delta}\phi$, which off-shell produces

$$
\hat{\delta} \mathbf{L} = \text{E.M} \,\hat{\delta} \phi + d\Theta(\phi, \hat{\delta} \phi) = d\alpha,
$$

where E.M. stands for the equations of motion and Θ for the corresponding boundary term. Using this one could deduce the Noether method and prove that, evaluated on the solution, the current

$$
* \mathbf{J}_{\chi} = \Theta(\hat{\delta}\phi, \phi) + I_{\chi}\mathbf{L} - \alpha,
$$
 (10)

satisfies $d(*J_x) = 0$.

Since the Lagrangian is invariant under diffeomorphisms, $\delta \mathbf{L} = -\mathcal{L}_{\chi} \mathbf{L}$, the conserved current is obtained by substituting in Eq.[\(10\)](#page-2-1) $\hat{\delta}\phi = -\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\phi$ and $\alpha = 0$. The Noether current for diffeomorphisms reads

$$
\ast \mathbf{J}_{\chi} = \Theta(-\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\phi, \phi) + I_{\chi}\mathbf{L}.
$$
 (11)

For Einstein-Hilbert action this current is given by,

$$
*{\bf J}_{\chi} = \kappa_1 d \left(I_{\chi}(\omega^{ab})e^{c_3} \dots e^{c_d} \varepsilon_{abc_3\dots c_d} \right).
$$

This current can be used to construct as many charges as Killing vectors the space has [\[12\]](#page-6-4). To analyze the evolution of these charges one can use the approach developed in [\[15\]](#page-6-8) in terms of the so called presymplectic form

$$
\Xi(\phi, \delta_1 \phi, \delta_2 \phi) = \int_{\Sigma} \delta_1 \Theta(\phi, \delta_2 \phi) - \delta_2 \Theta(\phi, \delta_1 \phi), \quad (12)
$$

where δ_1 and δ_2 correspond to functional variations of the fields. The presymplectic form defines the structure of the space of configurations, F . One must stress that if either δ_1 or δ_2 are symmetries then Ξ vanishes [\[15\]](#page-6-8).

Obviously the space of classical solutions, denoted \mathcal{F} , is a subspace of $\mathcal F$. To study that evolution of the Noether charges in $\mathcal F$ is introduced a variation along the parameter of the solutions denoted δ . This yields

$$
\tilde{\delta} * \mathbf{J}_{\chi} = \tilde{\delta} \Theta(-\mathcal{L}_{\chi} \phi, \phi) + I_{\chi} d\Theta(\tilde{\delta} \phi, \phi).
$$

This expression can be rewritten, using the relation $I_{\chi}d = \mathcal{L}_{\chi} - dI_{\chi}$, as

$$
\left(\tilde{\delta}\Theta(-\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\phi,\phi) + \mathcal{L}_{\chi}\Theta(\tilde{\delta}\phi,\phi)\right) = \tilde{\delta} * J_{\chi} + dI_{\chi}\Theta(\tilde{\delta}\phi,\phi).
$$
\n(13)

One notice that the left hand side, upon integration, is the presymplectic form $\Xi(\phi, \delta\phi, -\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\phi)$, therefore

$$
\Xi(\phi, \tilde{\delta}\phi, -\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\phi) = \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{\delta} * \mathbf{J}_{\chi} + dI_{\chi}\Theta(\tilde{\delta}\phi, \phi), \qquad (14)
$$

which must vanish since δ_{χ} is a symmetry.

The thermodynamical relations arise from this expres-sion evaluated on ξ. The right side of Eq.[\(14\)](#page-2-2) for ξ is given by,

$$
\Xi(\phi, \tilde{\delta}\phi, -\mathcal{L}_{\chi}\phi) = 0 = \kappa_1 \tilde{\delta} \int_{\partial \Sigma} I_{\xi}(\omega^{ab}) e^{c_3} \dots e^{c_d} \varepsilon_{abc_3...c_d},
$$

where the surface is $\partial \Sigma = \partial \Sigma_+ \oplus \partial \Sigma_{++}$. Therefore, the expression turns out to be

$$
\kappa_1 \tilde{\delta} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{++}} I_{\xi}(\omega^{ab}) e^{c_3} \dots e^{c_d} \varepsilon_{abc_3\dots c_d} = \kappa_1 \tilde{\delta} \int_{\partial \Sigma_{+}} I_{\xi}(\omega^{ab}) e^{c_3} \dots e^{c_d} \varepsilon_{abc_3\dots c_d},
$$

Г

which, since ω^{ab} is fixed by the boundary conditions, yields the relation between the fluxes of heat at both horizons,

$$
T_{++}\delta S_{++} = T_{+}\delta S_{+}.
$$
 (15)

Here it has been identified $\delta S = 4\pi \kappa_1 \delta(A)$, where A stands for the area of any of the horizons. The usual $S = A/4$ is obtained using the standard units (see the discussion in [\[11](#page-6-3)]).

One can notice, by using Eq.[\(9\)](#page-2-3), that $T_{++} < 0$. In this framework this only due to the orientation. The equation [\(15\)](#page-2-4) depends on the normal vectors along the radial direction, which were defined parallel for both horizon and not inward. Therefore, in Eq.[\(15\)](#page-2-4) is accounted with a positive sign the emissions from the black horizon but accounted with a negative sign the emissions of the cosmological horizon. Equivalently, one recall the Euclidean language and notice that the time, which here is an angle, has been taken globally anticlockwise, but it should be taken clockwise at the cosmological horizon to preserve an inward orientation [\[6\]](#page-5-5). Therefore, the correct temperature of the cosmological horizon is given by $T_{++}^{c} = -T_{++}.$

Although Eq.[\(15\)](#page-2-4) shows the fluxes of heat, this does not give information about the evolution yet. To address that one must compute the heat capacities of each horizon. Generically the heat capacity is given by

$$
C=\frac{\partial E}{\partial T}=\left(\frac{\partial r_H}{\partial M}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial r_H}\right)^{-1}
$$

.

However, it is direct to notice that

$$
\frac{\partial T}{\partial r_H} = -\frac{d-3}{r_H^2} - \frac{d-1}{l^2} < 0,
$$

and therefore the sign of C actually depends only on $\partial r_H/\partial M$. In [\[6\]](#page-5-5) was shown that

$$
\frac{\partial r_{++}}{\partial M} < 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial r_{++}}{\partial M} > 0,
$$

which proves that the cosmological horizon has positive heat capacity.

One can confirm this result by rewriting the heat capacity in terms of the radii. This is given by

$$
C(r_H) = 2\pi r_H^{d-2} \left(\frac{r_H^2 - r_0^2}{r_H^2 + r_0^2} \right),
$$

where r_H stands for either r_+ or r_{++} .

Fortunately, the fact that the heat capacity of the cosmological horizon be positive permits to foresee the evolution of the space in absence of any external source. Taking the correct signs for the temperatures one can notice that, for a given value of M , the black hole horizon is hotter than the cosmological one, *i.e.*, $T_+ > T_{++}^c$. Therefore, during their interaction due to its positive heat capacity the cosmological horizon would increase its temperature, and so its radius. Conversely, the black hole horizon would become even hotter because of its negative heat capacity and shrink. In this way it has been prove that there should be a net flux of energy from the black hole horizon into cosmological horizon. In principle this process does not stop until the complete evaporation of the black hole.

Although the temperature of the black hole diverges at the final stages of the process probably indicating that black hole itself exploits, still one can argue that the final outcome of this process is the de Sitter space.

V. DECAYING PROCESS

The second law of thermodynamics gives an even clearer scenario of the evolution of the Schwarzschild-dS solution. In this case the second law of thermodynamics [\(3\)](#page-1-3) implies the relation between the areas of the horizons

$$
\delta A_+ + \delta A_{++} \ge 0,\tag{16}
$$

which in terms of the radii can be rewritten as

$$
r_{+}^{d-3}\delta r_{+} + r_{++}^{d-3}\delta r_{++} \ge 0.
$$
 (17)

Now, it is straightforward to prove the the variation satify

$$
\delta r_{+} = -F(r_{+}, r_{++})\delta r_{++},\tag{18}
$$

with $F(r_+, r_{++}) > 0$. The exact expression of $F(r_+, r_{++})$ can be obtained from differentiating the relation between r_{+} and r_{++} in the corresponding dimension (See Eq.[\(A1\)](#page-5-7) in the appendices). For $d = 4$ the relation reads

$$
\delta r_+ = -\left(\frac{2r_+ + r_{++}}{2r_{++} + r_+}\right)\delta r_{++},
$$

which allows to rewrite $Eq.(17)$ $Eq.(17)$ as

$$
\frac{(r_{++}^2 - r_{+}^2)}{(2r_{+} + r_{++})} \delta r_{++} \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{(r_{+}^2 - r_{++}^2)}{(2r_{+} + r_{++})} \delta r_{+} \ge 0. \tag{19}
$$

This result determines that the radius of the cosmological horizon must expand, or equivalently the radius of the black hole must decrease, in order to the second law of thermodynamics be satisfied. Analogously, for $d = 5$ the eq.[\(18\)](#page-3-1) reads

$$
\delta r_+ = -\left(\frac{r_{++}}{r_+}\right)\delta r_{++}
$$

and thus Eq.[\(17\)](#page-3-0) in this case reads $(r_{++}^2 - r_{+}^2)\delta r_{++} \ge 0$, which also implies that the radius of cosmological horizon increases.

After a straightforward, but cumbersome, computation one can prove that in higher dimensions, using relation [\(A1\)](#page-5-7), the same result stands, and the radius of the cosmological horizon must expand due to the second law of thermodynamics.

This result is extremely powerful and general since is based only on the laws of thermodynamics.

VI. OTHER THEORIES OF GRAVITY

In principle one could extend the definitions above to other theories of gravity [\[10](#page-6-2)]. Since there are several different theories to consider one can narrow the possibilities by requesting to have a single positive cosmological constant, and so avoiding to deal with several different ground states. Within the so called Lovelock gravities is possible to define a family of theories satisfying that. The Lovelock Lagrangian is given by [\[16\]](#page-6-9)

$$
\mathbf{L} = \kappa \sum_{p=0}^{[(d-1)/2]} \alpha_p(R)^p(e)^{d-2p}\varepsilon \tag{20}
$$

where $(R)^p = R^{a_1 a_2} \dots R^{a_{2p-1} a_{2p}}, (e)^{d-2p} =$ $e^{a_{2p+1}} \dots e^{a_d}$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{a_1 \dots a_d}$ [(d - 1)/2] stands for the integer part of $(d-1)/2$ and

$$
\kappa_k = \frac{1}{2(d-2)! G_k \Omega_{d-2}}.
$$

By a direct translation of [\[11\]](#page-6-3) one can determine the relation between the coefficients that yields a single cosmological constant. Provided

$$
\alpha_p = \kappa \frac{(-l^2)^{p-k}}{d-2p} \left(\begin{array}{c} k \\ p \end{array}\right)
$$

for $p \leq k$ and $\alpha_p = 0$ for $p > k$ the action [\(20\)](#page-4-0) yields $T^a = 0$ and the equations of motion

$$
\left(R - \frac{e^2}{l^2}\right)^k (e)^{d-2k-1} \varepsilon = 0.
$$

This confirms the presence of a single positive cosmological constant. These theories of gravity are usually called k-gravities.

The theories above have a solution of the form of Eq.[\(3\)](#page-1-3) with

$$
f(r)^{2} = 1 - \frac{r^{2}}{l^{2}} - \left(\frac{2MG_{k}}{r^{d-2k-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.
$$
 (21)

As previously, to avoid naked singularities and to ensure reality $M > 0$. Also one can notice that $d-2k-1=0$ unavoidable yields a naked singularity and thus it will be not considered. For $d - 2k - 1 > 0$ the function $f(r)^2$ may have none, one or two positive solution. As previously only the case with two horizons, called respectively r_{+} and r_{++} , will be considered. In this case the ranges of those radii are given by $0 \leq r_+ \leq r_0$ and $r_0 \leq r_{++} \leq l$ with

$$
r_0 = l\sqrt{\frac{d-2k-1}{d-1}}.
$$

In addition $0 < M \leq M_{max}$ where

$$
G_k M_{max} = \frac{1}{2} r_0^{d-2k-1} \left[1 - \frac{r_0^2}{l^2} \right]^k
$$

The definition of the temperature, since is purely geometrical, can be obtained from Eq.[\(8\)](#page-2-0), which in this case reads,

$$
T = \frac{1}{4\pi l^2 k r_H} (r_0^2 - r_H^2),
$$

where r_H stands for either r_+ or r_{++} .

The heat capacity can also be computed in this case and it is given, in terms of the radii, by

$$
C_k(r_H) = 2\pi kr_H^{d-2k} \left[1 - \frac{r_H^2}{l^2}\right]^{k-1} \frac{r_H^2 - r_0^2}{r_H^2 + r_0^2}.
$$
 (22)

It is direct from this expression [\(22\)](#page-4-1) to notice that $C_k(r_{++}) > 0$ and $C_k(r_{+}) < 0$. Using the same argument as for the Einstein theory in this case, one can argue that the evolution of these black holes is their complete evaporation. Since in this case the temperature also diverges, probably the final stage of this evaporation be an explosion as well.

The analysis using the presymplectic form is also valid for these theories. In this case this also yields the relation between the differential of heat at both horizons,

$$
T_{++}\delta S_{++} = T_{+}\delta S_{+},
$$

where the entropy is given by [\[12\]](#page-6-4),

$$
S = \beta \int_{\partial \Sigma_H} I_{\chi} w^{ab} \tau_{ab}
$$

= $\kappa l^{d-2k} \sum_{p=1}^k \frac{p(-1)^{p-k}}{d-2p} \binom{k}{p} \left(\frac{r_H}{l}\right)^{d-2p}.$

Even though there are some negative signs in this expression one can check that this entropy is an increasing function of the radius.

Unfortunately, in this case the $1/k$ power in $f(r)^2$ rules out the existence of an analytic relation between the variations of r_{+} and r_{++} . One can obtained it, however, by numerical methods (up to eleven dimensions and $k = 2 \dots 5$). Moreover, after some long numerical computations one can prove that the second law of thermodynamics also in this case determines that the respective radii of black hole horizons must decrease.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND PROSPECTS

In this article was argued that the quasi statical evolution of the Schwarzschild de Sitter solution is the complete evaporation of its black hole. The result was obtained from the analysis of the heat capacities of the horizons, and independently confirmed by using the second law of thermodynamics. Although the analysis was not made the extension to the Kerr-dS solution seems natural, and thus one can conjecture that the evolution of any Kerr-dS solutions is also the evaporation of their black holes. Remarkably the same result stands in any other theory of gravity with a single positive cosmological constant as well.

However, there are some fundamental question to be addressed in the future. In the picture described in this article the mass of the black hole is radiated beyond the cosmological horizon. Unfortunately this picture becomes unclear at the transition between SchwarzschilddS and dS space. The open question here is what happens with that energy radiated once the black hole disappears completely. In the de Sitter space beyond the cosmological horizon there is nothing but the de Sitter space itself, and thus, roughly speaking the energy can not be hidden there.

APPENDIX A: D DIMENSIONAL RELATION

The relation between r_{+} and r_{++} for the Schwarzschild-dS black hole is given in d-dimensions by,

$$
(r_{+} + r_{++})((r_{+} + r_{++}) + a_2) - r_{+}r_{++} = l^2
$$
 (A1)

where a_2 can be obtained recursively from the relation

$$
a_{d-i} + (r_{+} + r_{++})a_{d-i-1} - r_{+}r_{++}a_{d-i-2} = 0
$$

with $(r_{+} + r_{++})a_d = r_{+}r_{++}a_{d-1}$ and $a_1 = (r_{+} + r_{++}).$

APPENDIX B: KERR-DS

So far the discussion has been centered on Schwarzschild-dS solution. This can be considered not general enough to be good a probe but it indeed has the structures necessary to address the general problem presented in this article. For instance, the most general four dimensional solution in vacuum with positive cosmological constant is the Kerr-de Sitter geometry. This, written Boyer-Lindquist-type coordinates, is given by the vielbein [\[17\]](#page-6-10)

$$
e^{3} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{\theta}}}{\Xi \rho} \sin \theta (adt - (r^{2} + a^{2})d\varphi), \quad e^{2} = \rho \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\Delta_{\theta}}},
$$

$$
e^{0} = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_{r}}}{\Xi \rho} (dt - a \sin^{2} \theta d\varphi), \quad e^{1} = \rho \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\Delta_{r}}} (B1)
$$

- [1] J. D. Brown and J. W. York, *The microcanonical functional integral. 1. the gravitational field*, *Phys. Rev.* D47 (1993) 1420–1431, [[http://arXiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9209014](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/http://arXiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9209014)].
- [2] R. Aros, *Boundary conditions in first order gravity: Hamiltonian and ensemble*, *Phys. Rev.* D73 (2006) 024004, [[gr-qc/0507091](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/0507091)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Quantum gravity in de sitter space*, *hep-th/0106109* (2001).
- [4] R. Aros, C. Martinez, R. Troncoso, and J. Zanelli,

with
$$
\Delta_r = (r^2 + a^2) \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \right) - 2Mr
$$
, $\Delta_\theta = 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2} \cos^2 \theta$,
\n $\Xi = 1 + \frac{a^2}{l^2}$ and $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta$.

The horizons in this case are given by the roots of $\Delta_r = 0$. Moreover, as for the Schwarzschild-dS solution, the region of interest is defined between the two largest positive roots, r_{++} and r_{+} , which define the cosmological and black hole horizons respectively. There is another internal horizon in this case [\[18\]](#page-6-11), though. It is direct to prove that those radii are also bounded as $r_{+} < r_{0}$ and $r_0 < r_{++} < l$ with

$$
r_0 = \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{6 \left(l^2 - a^2 + \sqrt{a^4 - 14a^2 l^2 + l^4} \right)}.
$$

In higher dimensions the Kerr-dS solution has the same generic form of Eq.[\(B1\)](#page-5-9) [\[18](#page-6-11)] with

$$
\Delta_r = (r^2 + \sum_l a_l^2) \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{l^2} \right) - 2Mr^{5-d},
$$

where a_l are the coefficients related with the angular momenta in higher dimensions. This function also defines two horizons.

These analogies with the Schwarzschild-dS solution confirm that this solution is enough general to address the general problem properly. Of course the transmission of heat in Eq.[\(15\)](#page-2-4) should be modified by the presence of angular momenta or electric charge, nonetheless the second law of thermodynamics, which depends only on the radii, should be reducible to the form Eq.[\(18\)](#page-3-1).

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics (ICTP). This work was partially funded by grants FONDECYT 1040202 and DI 06- 04. (UNAB)

Supersymmetry of gravitational ground states, *JHEP* 05 (2002) 020, [[http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0204029](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0204029)].

- [5] P. G. O. Freund, *INTRODUCTION TO SUPERSYMMETRY*. CAMBRIDGE MONOGRAPHS ON MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS. Cambridge Univ. Pr., Cambridge U.K., 1986. 152 P.
- [6] A. Gomberoff and C. Teitelboim, *de sitter black holes with either of the two horizons as a boundary*, *Phys. Rev.* D67 (2003) 104024, [[hep-th/0302204](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0302204)].
- [7] A. Gomberoff, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, and

F. Wilczek, *Thermal decay of the cosmological constant into black holes*, *Phys. Rev.* D69 (2004) 083520, [[hep-th/0311011](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0311011)].

- [8] S. W. Hawking, C. J. Hunter, and M. M. Taylor-Robinson, *Rotation and the ads/cft correspondence*, *Phys. Rev.* D59 (1999) 064005, [[hep-th/9811056](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9811056)].
- [9] F. Belgiorno and M. Martellini, *Black holes and the third law of thermodynamics*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* D13 (2004) 739–770, [[gr-qc/0210026](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/0210026)].
- [10] J. Zanelli, *(super)-gravities beyond 4 dimensions*, [http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0206169](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/http://arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/0206169).
- [11] J. Crisostomo, R. Troncoso, and J. Zanelli, *Black hole scan*, *Phys. Rev.* D62 (2000) 084013, [[hep-th/0003271](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0003271)].
- [12] R. Aros, *Analyzing charges in even dimensions*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* 18 (2001) 5359–5369, [[gr-qc/0011009](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/0011009)].
- [13] G. E. S.W. Hawking, *The Large Scale Structure of Spacetime*. Cambridge U.P., 1973.
- [14] R. M. Wald, *Black hole entropy in noether charge*, *Phys. Rev.* D48 (1993) 3427–3431, [[gr-qc/9307038](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/gr-qc/9307038)].
- [15] J. Lee and R. M. Wald, *Local symmetries and constraints*, *J. Math. Phys.* 31 (1990) 725.
- [16] D. Lovelock, *The einstein tensor and its generalizations*, *J. Math. Phys.* 12 (1971) 498–501.
- [17] B. Carter, *The commutation property of a stationary, axisymmetric system*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 17 (1970) 233–238.
- [18] M. H. Dehghani, *Kerr-de sitter spacetimes in various dimension and ds/cft correspondence*, *Phys. Rev.* D65 (2002) 104003, [[hep-th/0112002](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/0112002)].
- [19] The relation [\(8\)](#page-2-0) is the first order version of the relation

$$
\xi^{\mu}\nabla_{\mu}(\xi^{\nu})|_{\mathbb{R}\times\partial\Sigma_{H}}=\kappa\xi^{\nu}
$$

obtained in [\[14\]](#page-6-7).