

Abstractions for biomolecular computations.

Okunoye Babatunde O.

Department of Pure and Applied Biology, Ladoké Akintola University of Technology,
P.M.B. 4000 Ogbomosho, Nigeria. babatundeokunoye@yahoo.co.uk

Deoxyribonucleic acid is increasingly being understood to be an informational macromolecule, capable of information processing. It has found application in the determination of non-deterministic algorithms and in the design of molecular computing devices. This is a theoretical analysis of the mathematical properties and relations of the molecules constituting DNA, which explains in part why DNA is a successful computing molecule.

Keyword: Computational complexity.

Introduction.

DNA computing has become an established field within computer science; and several molecular computations and devices have been made^{1,4,8,9}, from operations on DNA molecules. A close study of the coding systems of DNA alerts us to the caveats of purely computational methods⁵, the most obvious of which might be the property of degeneracy².

Our accumulated knowledge about biomolecular computing has not been matched by an understanding, in computer science terms, of the principles, which govern them⁷. By building on what we know about biomolecular systems based on the principles of molecular biology, we can create mathematical abstractions to explain why DNA

molecules are accurate in information processing and computation. An abstraction – a mapping from a real-world domain into a mathematical domain – highlights essential properties while ignoring other, complicating ones⁷. Computer science can provide the much needed abstraction for biomolecular systems⁷. A good scientific abstraction has four properties: it is relevant, capturing the essential property of the phenomenon; computable, bringing to bear computational knowledge about the mathematical representation; understandable, offering a conceptual framework for thinking about the scientific domain; and extensible, allowing the capture of additional real properties in the same mathematical framework⁷.

The bulk of DNA computations and devices show the capacity of DNA molecules to crunch algorithms and perform logical operations: a kind of miniature arithmetic and logical unit processor. Using the principles of molecular biology and mathematics, an abstraction or framework showing detailed algorithmic and logic operations encoded in DNA is proposed.

DNA Algorithms.

The molecular structure of DNA is that of three bases coding for an amino acid². In most cases, the third base in each codon plays a lesser role in specifying an amino acid than the first two, and in most cases, codons which specify the same amino acid differ only at the third base⁶. A definition of a program is given as program = algorithm + data structure¹⁰. By extension, algorithm = program – data structure. We bear in mind that DNA can be viewed as a high-level language or program, based on its properties and use as software in molecular computations. For the DNA molecule, data structures can be

understood to be the amino acids specified for by triplet bases. Separating data structures from algorithms may be complicated in computer systems, but is quite uncomplicated in the molecule DNA. Deleting the third base from each codon thus obliterates data structures, leaving 16 doublet bases. These form the backbone of biomolecular algorithms.

The first DNA computation employed a 20 base-long oligonucleotide¹, as the basic algorithmic molecule. In keeping with DNA proportions, 20 is the closest multiple of ten to 16. There are ten bases per turn of the DNA helix⁶.

The key to developing an algorithmic abstraction is by representing the doublet bases as numbers. The numbers of the base doublets were counted in segments of 20-base long oligonucleotides in 3,500 bases of Bacteriophage T4 Genome obtained from GenBank with accession number AF158101. The related complement is 168900' – 165,400', in the 5' to 3' direction. For each doublet, 175 numbers were obtained. The 'DNA – as – string' abstraction⁷ is employed to create adjacency matrices. For each doublet base, the correlation coefficient of the first five numbers with the next five and so on is calculated. By using five numbers, we keep with DNA proportions: five numbers of base doublets correspond with ten bases, the length of a DNA helical unit. More importantly however, we make use of ten sets of numbers. A threshold correlation coefficient of ± 0.50 is fixed. Correlation coefficients in the range $0 - \pm 0.49$ is entered as zero, and correlation coefficients in the range $\pm 0.50 - \pm 1.00$ is entered as one. The result obtained under each doublet base is 35 0's and 1's, which represent entries into an adjacency matrix.

The correlation coefficient is one of the main tools in estimating the probability of an open reading frame (ORF) encoding a protein⁵. With slight modification above, we employ this tool to create adjacency matrices where '0' denotes 'no path' and '1' denotes 'a path' as in theoretical computer science.

This system creates a system of 16 × 16 adjacency matrices which can encode graphs of one to sixteen vertices. Leonard Adleman used a graph of seven vertices¹ to show the feasibility of DNA computing, so a 7 × 7 adjacency matrix is illustrated, showing one of the encoded Hamiltonian Paths encoded.

DNA Logic.

The key to understanding DNA logic is Kowalski's equation³: $\text{algorithm} = \text{logic} + \text{control}$. Rewriting this gives: $\text{logic} = \text{algorithm} - \text{control}$. Deleting the second base from each of the algorithmic base doublets removes control. The result is the 4 purine and pyrimidine bases. The language of DNA is composed of ten bases per turn of the helix². by applying the 'DNA – as – string' abstraction, the numbers of these bases were recorded in 4,050 bases or 405 turns of the DNA helix. The related complement is 168,900' – 167101' in Bacteriophage T4 Genome, in the 5' to 3' direction. For each base, 405 numbers are obtained. Binary strings are created using the method of correlation coefficients as in algorithmic base doublets. In this case, DNA proportion is maintained by using ten numbers (ten bases).

By converting 0's to 'false' and 1's to 'true' since they are isomorphic, we obtain a truth table. Any of the four purine and pyrimidine bases can appear per ten bases (a turn

of the helix), so we test the validity of the compound statement $A \dot{\vee} T \dot{\vee} G \dot{\vee} C$ (A OR T OR G OR C). The resulting truth tables all show valid arguments (For Table 1: Since $A \dot{\vee} T \dot{\vee} G \dot{\vee} C$ are together true from lines 9–16, the argument is valid. For Table 2: Since $A \dot{\vee} T \dot{\vee} G \dot{\vee} C$ are together true from lines 25–32, the argument is valid. For Table 3: Since $A \dot{\vee} T \dot{\vee} G \dot{\vee} C$ are together true from lines 33–37, 40–44, the argument is valid. For Table 4: Since $A \dot{\vee} T \dot{\vee} G \dot{\vee} C$ are together true from lines 57–64, the argument is valid. For Table 5: Since $A \dot{\vee} T \dot{\vee} G \dot{\vee} C$ are together true from lines 65–72, the argument is valid.

Conclusion.

Biomolecular systems exist independently of our awareness or understanding of them, whereas computer systems exist because we understand, design and build them⁷.

Nevertheless, the abstractions, tools and methods used to specify and study computer systems should illuminate our accumulated knowledge about biomolecular systems⁷.

The surprising accuracy of molecular computations involving combinatorial problems and logic operations are caveats of other mathematical and theoretical relations within the molecules of DNA distinct from the basic relations: Adenine (A) complementary to Thymine (T), Guanine (G) complementary to Cytosine(C); $A + G = T + C$ ⁶. The author is not aware of any other work proposing the much needed abstractions for the emerging field of biomolecular computing


References.

Adleman, L.M., Molecular Computation of Solutions to Combinatorial Problems. Science **266**, 1021 (1994).

1. Brock, D.T., Madigan, T.M. (1991) *Biology of Microorganisms*. (New Jersey: Prentice – Hall).
2. Kowalski, R., *Algorithm = Logic + Control*, *Communications of the Association of Computing Machinery* **7**, 22 (1979).
3. Margolin, A.A., Stojanovic, M.N., Boolean Calculations made easy (for ribozymes). *Nature Biotechnology* **23**, 1374 – 1376 (2005).
4. Miller, E.S., Kutter, E., Mosig, G., Arisaka, F., Kunisawa, T., Ruger, W. Bacteriophage T4 Phage Genome. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, **67**, 86 (2003).
5. Nelson, D.L., Cox, M.M (2000). *Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry* (New York: Worth Publishers)
6. Regev, A., Shapiro, E., Cells as computation. *Nature* **419**, 343 (2002).
7. Stojanovic, M.N., Stefanovic, D. A deoxyribozyme-based molecular automation. *Nature Biotechnology*, **21**, 1069-1074 (2003).
8. Stojanovic, M.N., Mitchell, T.E., Stefanovic, D. Deoxyribozyme-based Logic Gates. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2002, **124**, 3555-3561.
10. Wirth, N. (1975). *Algorithms + Data Structures = Programs*. (Prentice – Hall Inc, Englewood cliffs).

AA	AT	AG	AC	TA	TT	TG
1	0	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0	1	0
1	1	0	1	0	1	1

† Fig.1: Adjacency Matrix



7 - 1 - 4 - 2 - 5 - 6 - 3

‡ Fig.2: Graph Algorithm.

