

Shear viscosity of boost invariant plasma at finite coupling

Alex Buchel

Department of Applied Mathematics

University of Western Ontario

London, Ontario N6A 5B7, Canada

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics

Waterloo, Ontario N2J 2W9, Canada

Abstract

We discuss string theory α' corrections in the dual description of the expanding boost invariant $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma at strong coupling. We compute finite 't Hooft coupling corrections to the shear viscosity and find that it disagrees with the equilibrium correlation function computations. We comment on the possible source of the discrepancy.

January 2008

1 Introduction

Recent work by Janik and collaborators [1, 2, 3] (see also [4]) opened a possibility to study non-stationary/non-equilibrium processes in gauge theories using their dual string theory formulation [5, 6]. In fact, one might try to use gauge theory/string theory correspondence to *define* non-equilibrium Quantum Field Theory dynamics¹. For example: in principle, one can use AdS/CFT correspondence to extend Muller-Israel-Stewart theory of dissipative relativistic hydrodynamics [8, 9] to arbitrary order in deviation from the equilibrium.

Even though the framework proposed in [1] can not be consistently implemented within a supergravity approximation of the string theory dual to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills (SYM) plasma in the Bjorken flow [10] (see [11]), it correctly reproduces the equilibrium and near-equilibrium properties of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma obtained from the equilibrium correlation functions, *i.e.*, the equilibrium equation of state [12], its shear viscosity at infinite 't Hooft coupling [13], and its relaxation time² [17]. Furthermore, this agreement appears to be unaffected by the subtleties associated with the breakdown of the supergravity approximation. Given substantial potential of the Janik's framework³, we believe it is important to further explore its regime of validity.

As we already mentioned, the shear viscosity of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma at infinite coupling is a robust prediction within Janik's framework [2]. In this paper we compute finite 't Hooft coupling corrections (corresponding to string theory α' corrections in the dual formulation) to the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma. Since physically equivalent results extracted from the equilibrium correlation functions are already available [18, 19], our analysis provides a test of the framework [1] beyond the supergravity approximation. We find that the finite coupling corrections to the shear viscosity of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma extracted from the string theory dual to its Bjorken flow disagrees with the equilibrium correlation function computations.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we review the results of [18, 19], the dual string theory model within which such computation were performed, and emphasize the self-consistency of the obtained results⁴. We further summarize our new results for shear viscosity at finite 't Hooft coupling. In section 3 we describe our

¹For an approach alternative to [1] see [7].

²Related work appeared in [14, 15, 16].

³One can formulate and study questions such as dynamical hadronization in this approach.

⁴For a recent review of the Janik's framework and its clarification see [11].

string theory computational framework introduced in [20]. The analysis are incredibly complicated and thus we focus on explaining the consistency checks on our analysis (fortunately, there are lots of such consistency checks). In section 4 we summarize equations of motion describing α' correction to the supergravity background dual to late-time Bjorken flow of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma. We also present analytic solutions to these equations. In section 5 we map the results of the string theory computation to the near-equilibrium Muller-Israel-Stewart (MIS) theory and extract the leading correction to the SYM plasma shear viscosity. We conclude in section 6. Appendix contains some technical details; further computation details are available from the author upon request.

2 Shear viscosity of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma at finite 't Hooft coupling

Consider $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(n_c)$ SYM plasma in the ('t Hooft) large- n_c limit: we take the Yang-Mills coupling $g_{YM}^2 \rightarrow 0$ and $n_c \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that the 't Hooft coupling λ ,

$$\lambda = g_{YM}^2 n_c, \quad (2.1)$$

remains finite. We are interested in the transport properties of the plasma at strong coupling, *i.e.*, $\lambda \gg 1$. In this limit the useful description of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma is in terms of holographically dual background of near-extremal black 3-brane geometry in the supergravity approximation of the type IIB string theory. The supergravity approximation is exact at $\lambda = \infty$, in which case one finds [13, 21, 22] the speed of sound c_s , the shear viscosity η , and the bulk viscosity ξ correspondingly

$$c_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad \eta = \frac{\pi}{8} n_c^2 T^3, \quad \xi = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In the supergravity approximation the entropy density s is

$$s = \frac{\pi^2}{2} n_c^2 T^3, \quad (2.3)$$

leading to the viscosity-to-entropy ratio

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}. \quad (2.4)$$

In a hydrodynamic approximation to near-equilibrium dynamics of hot gauge theory plasma there are several distinct ways to extract the transport coefficients (2.2). First

[13], the shear viscosity can be computed from the two-point correlation function of the stress-energy tensor at zero spatial momentum via the Kubo formula

$$\eta = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\omega} \int dt d\bar{x} e^{i\omega t} \langle [T_{xy}(x), T_{xy}(0)] \rangle. \quad (2.5)$$

Second [21], diffusive channel two-point retarded correlation function of the stress energy tensor, for example,

$$G_{tx,tx}(\omega, q) = -i \int dt d\bar{x} e^{-i\omega t + iqz} \theta(t) \langle [T_{tx}(x), T_{tx}(0)] \rangle \propto \frac{1}{i\omega - \mathcal{D}q^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

have a pole at

$$\omega = -i\mathcal{D}q^2, \quad (2.7)$$

where the shear diffusion constant \mathcal{D} is

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\eta}{sT}. \quad (2.8)$$

Finally, all the transport coefficients (2.2) can be read off from the dispersion relation of a pole in the sound wave channel two-point retarded correlation function of the stress energy tensor, for example,

$$G_{tt,tt}(\omega, q) = -i \int dt d\bar{x} e^{-i\omega t + iqz} \theta(t) \langle [T_{tt}(x), T_{tt}(0)] \rangle, \quad (2.9)$$

as

$$\omega(q) = c_s q - i \frac{2q^2}{3T} \frac{\eta}{s} \left(1 + \frac{3\zeta}{4\eta} \right). \quad (2.10)$$

In [18], using the Kubo formula, the finite 't Hooft coupling correction to the ratio (2.4) was computed. Such corrections were further extracted from the two-point retarded correlation functions (2.6) and (2.9) in [19]. Much like as for the infinite 't Hooft coupling, all three approaches led to the same result:

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(1 + \frac{135}{8} \zeta(3) \lambda^{-3/2} + \dots \right). \quad (2.11)$$

The computation leading to (2.11) were performed in the supergravity approximation to type IIb string theory including the leading α' correction

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{10}} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \left[R - \frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{4 \cdot 5!} (F_5)^2 + \dots + \gamma e^{-\frac{3}{2}\phi} W + \dots \right], \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{8} \zeta(3) (\alpha')^3,$$

corresponding to

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{8}\zeta(3) \lambda^{-3/2} \quad (2.13)$$

in terms of the SYM 't Hooft coupling λ , and

$$W = C^{hmnk} C_{pmnq} C_h^{rsp} C_{rsk}^q + \frac{1}{2} C^{hkmn} C_{pqmn} C_h^{rsp} C_{rsk}^q . \quad (2.14)$$

A somewhat uncontrollable approximation⁵ used in [18, 19] (and earlier in [23]) was an assumption that in a chosen scheme (2.12), the self-dual F_5 form does not receive order $(\alpha')^3$ corrections. Though the agreement of the shear viscosity extracted from different boundary correlation functions at order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ computationally appears to be rather dramatic⁶, a recent work [24] suggests that such an agreement is automatically encoded in any gravitational model, perhaps similar to the way the thermodynamic relation between the energy (\mathcal{E}), free energy (\mathcal{F}) and the entropy densities $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} - Ts$ is encoded in the horizon geometries [25].

In [2] the ratio of the shear viscosity to the entropy density at infinite 't Hooft coupling was computed for the Bjorken flow of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma, and the result was found to agree with (2.4). In this paper we compute leading order finite 't Hooft coupling correction to η/s for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma in the Bjorken flow. We use string theory effective action (2.12), which is expected to reproduce (2.11) obtained from the equilibrium correlation function computations. Unfortunately, we report here a disagreement with (2.11):

$$\left. \frac{\eta}{s} \right|_{Bjorken \ flow} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(1 + \frac{120}{8} \zeta(3) \lambda^{-3/2} + \dots \right) . \quad (2.15)$$

In principle, analysis are very straightforward:

- we compute α' corrections to the gravitational background dual to boost invariant Bjorken expansion on the boundary;
- as in [2], we fix some of the parameters⁷ of the α' corrected geometry by requiring nonsingularity of the string theory background to order $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-4/3})$ in the late proper time expansion;

⁵This is related with difficulties of deriving α' corrected string theory effective action in the presence of nontrivial RR fluxes.

⁶For example, using (2.9), (2.10) one has to take into account α' corrections to the black three brane temperature [23].

⁷Amusingly, not all parameters can be fixed from the nonsingularity condition [1]. Despite this, our answer for the viscosity ratio (2.15) is unambiguous.

- we use α' corrected holographic renormalization (see [20]) to evaluate the energy density of the expanding $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma;
- as in [11] we interpret the string theory computation in the framework of the Muller-Israel-Stewart theory of dissipative relativistic hydrodynamics⁸.

Given the computational complexity of the analysis and the disagreement we claim to exist between equilibrium correlation function computation and the Bjorken flow computation of the plasma shear viscosity at finite 't Hooft coupling, the remainder of the paper focuses on explaining the consistency checks we performed.

3 Computational framework

The most difficult step in the analysis is to obtain α' -corrected supergravity equations governing the SYM Bjorken flow at finite 't Hooft coupling. As in [20], we first derive effective action for the warp factors of the gravitation background, and then determine α' -corrected equations of motion. As explained in [11], choosing a Bjorken frame, fixes reparametrization of a radial and a proper time coordinates, which leads to two first order differential constraints (at each order in the late proper time expansion) on the warp factors. Not only these constraints are important consistency checks on the obtained system of equations, but they are also crucial to pick up correct solutions⁹. If one naively obtains effective action for the warp factors, the constraints are lost. We explain how to properly derive effective action, so that the reparametrization constraints are kept. As in the supergravity approximation, the α' corrected constraints will provide vital consistency checks.

3.1 Supergravity approximation: effective action, constraints and the solution

The supergravity background holographically dual to a Bjorken flow of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma takes form [1, 11]

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{s}_{10}^2 &= \tilde{g}_{MN}d\xi^M d\xi^N = \\ &= e^{-2\alpha(\tau,z)} g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu + e^{6/5\alpha(\tau,z)} (dS^5)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

⁸In [17] a correction to Bjorken expansion within MIS theory was pointed out. Such a correction, while modify the interpretation of the plasma relaxation time, does not affect the determination of its shear viscosity compare to the analysis of [11].

⁹These constraints fix some of the integration constants.

where $(dS^5)^2$ is the line element for a 5-dimensional sphere with unit radius, and

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \\ &= \frac{1}{z^2} \left[-e^{2a(\tau,z)} d\tau^2 + e^{2b(\tau,z)} \tau^2 dy^2 + e^{2c(\tau,z)} dx_\perp^2 \right] + \frac{dz^2}{z^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $dx_\perp^2 \equiv dx_1^2 + dx_2^2$. The 5-form F_5 takes form¹⁰

$$F_5 = \mathcal{F}_5 + \star \mathcal{F}_5, \quad \mathcal{F}_5 = -4 \omega_{S^5}, \quad (3.3)$$

where ω_{S^5} is the 5-sphere volume form. Moreover, the dilaton is $\phi = \phi(\tau, z)$.

Notice that choosing the five-dimensional metric as in (3.2) fixes the (τ, z) reparametrization invariance so that

$$g_{zz} = \frac{1}{z^2}, \quad g_{\tau z} = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Substituting the ansatz (3.1)-(3.3) into type IIB supergravity action¹¹ (the $\gamma = 0$ approximation of (2.12)) one obtains naive effective action for the scalars $\{a, b, c, \alpha, \phi\}$:

$$S_{eff,naive} \left[a(\tau, z), b(\tau, z), c(\tau, z), \alpha(\tau, z), \phi(\tau, z) \right] = I \Big|_{\gamma=0}. \quad (3.5)$$

Clearly, from (3.5) one obtains only five second order PDE's, instead of seven, as in [11]. The reason that is happening is because the reparametrization constraints (3.4) are imposed directly on the action, instead on the equations of motion.

In order to keep constraints within the effective action approach we deform the five dimensional metric (3.2) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \\ &= \frac{1}{z^2} \left[-e^{2a(\tau,z)} d\tau^2 + e^{2b(\tau,z)} \tau^2 dy^2 + e^{2c(\tau,z)} dx_\perp^2 \right] + h(\tau, z) d\tau dz + (1 + g(\tau, z)) \frac{dz^2}{z^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Constraints (3.4) now correspond to

$$h(\tau, z) \equiv 0, \quad g(\tau, z) \equiv 0. \quad (3.7)$$

¹⁰We normalize the five-form flux so that the asymptotic AdS radius is one.

¹¹As usual, care should be taken with the self-dual five-form. The correct contribution to the effective action from the five-form is $-\frac{1}{4!5!} F_5^2 = -8e^{-6\alpha(\tau,z)}$, see [11].

Next, we evaluate the supergravity action on (3.6), (3.3)

$$S_{eff} \left[a, b, c, \alpha, \phi ; h, g \right] = I \Big|_{\gamma=0}. \quad (3.8)$$

While it is important to maintain full nonlinear contributions for the ‘‘physical’’ scalars $\{a, b, c, \alpha, \phi\}$ in S_{eff} , it is sufficient to evaluate (3.8) to linear order in $\{h, g\}$. The equations of motion derived from S_{eff} , followed by fixing the reparametrization invariance as in (3.7)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta a(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, & 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta b(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, & 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta c(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, \\ 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta \alpha(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, & 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta \phi(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, \\ 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta h(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, & 0 &= \frac{\delta S_{eff}}{\delta g(\tau, z)} \Big|_{h(\tau, z)=g(\tau, z)=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

are precisely equivalent to the full set of equations derived in [11] (see eq. (3.12)-(3.18)).

Equations (3.9) are solved as a series expansion in the late proper time $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, but exactly in the scaling variable [1]

$$v \equiv \frac{z}{\tau^{1/3}}. \quad (3.10)$$

We find it useful (especially when we generalize our effective action approach to the full action (2.12)) to rewrite S_{eff} as a functional of

$$\{a(\tau, v), b(\tau, v), c(\tau, v), \alpha(\tau, v), \phi(\tau, v), h(\tau, v), g(\tau, v)\},$$

i.e., fields depending on the scaling variable (3.10). When we do this, it is important to note the modification of the effective action measure

$$dtdz \dots \rightarrow t^{1/3} dt dv \dots.$$

Solving (3.9) in the scaling variable v and with the boundary conditions

$$\left\{ a(\tau, v), b(\tau, v), c(\tau, v), \alpha(\tau, v), \phi(\tau, v) \right\} \Big|_{v \rightarrow 0} = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

we find [1, 2]

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\tau, v) &= a_0(v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} a_1(v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} a_2(v) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \\
b(\tau, v) &= b_0(v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} b_1(v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} b_2(v) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \\
c(\tau, v) &= c_0(v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} c_1(v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} c_2(v) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \\
\alpha(\tau, v) &= \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}), \quad \phi(\tau, v) = \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

with

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(1 - v^4/3)^2}{1 + v^4/3}, \quad b_0 = c_0 = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + v^4/3), \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= \hat{\eta} \frac{(9 - v^4)v^4}{9 - v^8}, \quad c_1 = -\hat{\eta} \frac{v^4}{3 + v^4} - \frac{\hat{\eta}}{2} \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4}, \\
b_1 &= -3\hat{\eta} \frac{v^4}{3 + v^4} - 2c_1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_2 &= \frac{(9 + 5v^4)v^2}{12(9 - v^8)} - C \frac{(9 + v^4)v^4}{72(9 - v^8)} + \hat{\eta}^2 \frac{(-1053 - 171v^4 + 9v^8 + 7v^{12})v^4}{6(9 - v^8)^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{3} - v^2}{\sqrt{3} + v^2} - \frac{3}{4} \hat{\eta}^2 \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4}, \\
c_2 &= -\frac{\pi^2}{288\sqrt{3}} + \frac{v^2(9 + v^4)}{12(9 - v^8)} + C \frac{v^4}{72(3 + v^4)} - \hat{\eta}^2 \frac{(-9 + 54v^4 + 7v^8)v^4}{6(3 + v^4)(9 - v^8)} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{3} - v^2}{\sqrt{3} + v^2} + \frac{1}{72} (C + 66\hat{\eta}^2) \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{24\sqrt{3}} \left(\ln \frac{\sqrt{3} - v^2}{\sqrt{3} + v^2} \ln \frac{(\sqrt{3} - v^2)(\sqrt{3} + v^2)^3}{4(3 + v^4)^2} - \text{li}_2 \left(-\frac{(\sqrt{3} - v^2)^2}{(\sqrt{3} + v^2)^2} \right) \right), \\
b_2 &= -2c_2 + \frac{v^2}{4(3 + v^4)} + C \frac{v^4}{24(3 + v^4)} + \hat{\eta}^2 \frac{(39 + 7v^4)v^4}{2(3 + v^4)^2} + \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{3} - v^2}{\sqrt{3} + v^2} \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{4} \hat{\eta}^2 \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

for arbitrary parameters $\{\hat{\eta}, C\}$.

3.2 Beyond the supergravity approximation

To go beyond the supergravity approximation we deform proper late time expansion for the physical fields (3.12) as

$$\begin{aligned}
a(\tau, v) &= \left(a_0(v) + \gamma \hat{a}_0(\tau, v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \left(a_1(v) + \gamma \hat{a}_1(\tau, v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \left(a_2(v) + \gamma \hat{a}_2(\tau, v) \right), \\
b(\tau, v) &= \left(b_0(v) + \gamma \hat{b}_0(\tau, v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \left(b_1(v) + \gamma \hat{b}_1(\tau, v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \left(b_2(v) + \gamma \hat{b}_2(\tau, v) \right), \\
c(\tau, v) &= \left(c_0(v) + \gamma \hat{c}_0(\tau, v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \left(c_1(v) + \gamma \hat{c}_1(\tau, v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \left(c_2(v) + \gamma \hat{c}_2(\tau, v) \right), \\
\alpha(\tau, v) &= \gamma \hat{\alpha}_0(\tau, v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \gamma \hat{\alpha}_1(\tau, v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \gamma \hat{\alpha}_2(\tau, v), \\
\phi(\tau, v) &= \gamma \hat{\phi}_0(\tau, v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \gamma \hat{\phi}_1(\tau, v) + \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \gamma \hat{\phi}_2(\tau, v),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where we assumed that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_i(\lambda\tau, v) &\rightarrow \hat{a}_i(\tau, v), \quad \hat{b}_i(\lambda\tau, v) \rightarrow \hat{b}_i(\tau, v), \quad \hat{c}_i(\lambda\tau, v) \rightarrow \hat{c}_i(\tau, v), \\
\hat{\alpha}_i(\lambda\tau, v) &\rightarrow \hat{\alpha}_i(\tau, v), \quad \hat{\phi}_i(\lambda\tau, v) \rightarrow \hat{\phi}_i(\tau, v), \quad i = 0, 1, 2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Substituting (3.16) into (2.12) we can obtain effective action for

$$\{ \hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\phi}_i \}. \tag{3.18}$$

We need to expand the supergravity part of (2.12) to quadratic order in the fields (3.18), while it is sufficient to evaluate the $\gamma e^{-3/2\phi} W$ term in (2.12) to linear order in (3.18). As explained in the previous section, in order to obtain reparametrization constraints we further need to evaluate the whole action (2.12) to linear order in $\{h, g\}$. Resulting effective action can be organized as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{eff}^\gamma \left[\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\phi}_i; h, g; \hat{\eta}, C \right] &= \int d\tau \int dv \mathcal{L}_{eff}^\gamma \left[\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\phi}_i; h, g; \hat{\eta}, C \right], \\
\mathcal{L}_{eff}^\gamma &= \left\{ \gamma^2 \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{-1/3} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{[1]}^{-1/3} \right\} + \left\{ \gamma^2 \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{-3/3} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{[1]}^{-3/3} \right\} + \left\{ \gamma^2 \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{-5/3} + \gamma \mathcal{L}_{[1]}^{-5/3} \right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where, given (3.17), the superscript in \mathcal{L} indicates the leading scaling behaviour as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{L}^q = \mathcal{O}(\tau^q). \tag{3.20}$$

Notice that we suppressed explicit dependence on $\{\hat{\eta}, C\}$ in the decomposition of \mathcal{L}_{eff}^γ .

In the rest of this subsection we present further decomposition of \mathcal{L}^q , and explain the structure of the consistency checks on the example of the equation of motion for \hat{a}_2 .

In the following expressions all \mathcal{L} 's do not scale as $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ — the explicit scaling dependence is factored out. A superscript indicates whether a term comes from the supergravity part of (2.12), or from the $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ -correction in (2.12); the subscripts indicate order of fields on which a lagrangian term depends. For example, the subscript in $\mathcal{L}_{(2,1)}$ implies that $\mathcal{L}_{(2,1)}$ is strictly bilinear in order order-2 and order-1 fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(2,1)} = \mathcal{L}_{(2,1)} \left[\left(\hat{a}_2, \hat{b}_2, \hat{c}_2, \hat{\alpha}_2, \hat{\phi}_2 \right), \left(\hat{a}_1, \hat{b}_1, \hat{c}_1, \hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\phi}_1 \right) \right],$$

while the subscript in $\mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}$ implies that such a term is strictly quadratic in order-1 fields

$$\mathcal{L}_{(1,1)} = \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)} \left[\left(\hat{a}_1, \hat{b}_1, \hat{c}_1, \hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\phi}_1 \right), \left(\hat{a}_1, \hat{b}_1, \hat{c}_1, \hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\phi}_1 \right) \right].$$

A single subscript indicates the order of fields on which the corresponding lagrangian term depends linearly. Finally, no subscript indicates that the lagrangian terms are independent of (3.18), but are linear in either h or g . Notice that lagrangian terms with a single subscript coming from the supergravity part of (2.12) are necessarily linear¹² in either h or g . The latter implies that reparametrization constraints at leading order in γ appear as $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$, and thus in the lagrangian terms which are either bilinear or quadratic in the fields (3.18) we can set $h = g = 0$. For the same reason we can set $h = g = 0$ in the lagrangian terms of order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma^2)$ coming from the $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ -correction in (2.12).

3.2.1 Order-0

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{-1/3} &= \frac{1}{\tau^{1/3}} \left({}^{[-1/3]} \mathcal{L}_{(0,0)}^{SUGRA} + {}^{[-1/3]} \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^W \right), \\ \mathcal{L}_{[1]}^{-1/3} &= \frac{1}{\tau^{1/3}} \left({}^{[-1/3]} \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{SUGRA} + {}^{[-1/3]} \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^W \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

¹²This is simply a check (which we verified to be true) that the supergravity background (3.13)-(3.14) solves supergravity equations of motion.

3.2.2 Order-1

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{-3/3} &= \frac{1}{\tau} \left([-3/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0,1)}^{SUGRA} + [-3/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1)}^W \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau^{5/3}} \left([-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0,1)}^{SUGRA} + [-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1,1)}^{SUGRA} + [-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1)}^W \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{[1]}^{-3/3} = \frac{1}{\tau} \left([-3/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{SUGRA} + [-3/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{SUGRA} + [-3/3] \mathcal{L}^W \right). \quad (3.23)$$

3.2.3 Order-2

We find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{[2]}^{-5/3} &= \frac{1}{\tau^{5/3}} \left([-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0,2)}^{SUGRA} + [-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^W \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau^{7/3}} \left([-7/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0,2)}^{SUGRA} + [-7/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1,2)}^{SUGRA} + [-7/3] \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^W \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau^{9/3}} \left([-9/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0,2)}^{SUGRA} + [-9/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1,2)}^{SUGRA} + [-9/3] \mathcal{L}_{(2,2)}^{SUGRA} + [-9/3] \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^W \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{[1]}^{-5/3} = \frac{1}{\tau^{5/3}} \left([-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(0)}^{SUGRA} + [-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(1)}^{SUGRA} + [-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{SUGRA} + [-5/3] \mathcal{L}^W \right). \quad (3.25)$$

3.2.4 Equations of motion for \hat{a}_2 and consistency check on lower order solution

Consider a variation

$$EOM[\hat{a}_2] \equiv \left\{ \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{a}_2(\tau, v)} \int dt \int dv \mathcal{L}_{eff}^\gamma \right\} \Big|_{h=g=0}. \quad (3.26)$$

Given (3.19), (3.24), we have¹³

$$\begin{aligned} EOM[\hat{a}_2] &= \frac{1}{\tau^{5/3}} \left([-5/3] \mathcal{I}_{(0)} + [-5/3] \mathcal{I} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau^{7/3}} \left([-7/3] \mathcal{I}_{(0)} + [-7/3] \mathcal{I}_{(1)} + [-7/3] \mathcal{I} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tau^{9/3}} \left([-9/3] \mathcal{I}_{(0)} + [-9/3] \mathcal{I}_{(1)} + [-9/3] \mathcal{I}_{(2)} + [-9/3] \mathcal{I} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

¹³The term $[-5/3] \mathcal{L}_{(2)}^{SUGRA}$ does not contribute as it can only be bilinear in both \hat{a}_2 and either h or g .

with obvious notations. For example:

$$\frac{1}{\tau^{7/3}} \mathcal{I}_{(0)}^{[-7/3]} = \frac{\delta}{\delta \hat{a}_2(\tau, v)} \int dt \int dv \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau^{7/3}} \mathcal{L}_{(0,2)}^{SUGRA} \right\}^{[-7/3]}. \quad (3.28)$$

Thus, a single variation (3.26) not only will produce the equation of motion for \hat{a}_2 , namely

$$0 = \mathcal{I}_{(0)}^{[-9/3]} + \mathcal{I}_{(1)}^{[-9/3]} + \mathcal{I}_{(2)}^{[-9/3]} + \mathcal{I}^{[-9/3]}, \quad (3.29)$$

but also would produce a consistency tests on the order-0 and order-1 solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{I}_{(0)}^{[-5/3]} + \mathcal{I}^{[-5/3]}, \\ 0 &= \mathcal{I}_{(0)}^{[-7/3]} + \mathcal{I}_{(1)}^{[-7/3]} + \mathcal{I}^{[-7/3]}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

correspondingly. It is easy to understand the origin of consistency constraints (3.30): a field deformation at order-2 can be considered as a variation of a field deformation at order-0 or order-1; thus, once equations of motion at lower orders are solved, such variations must vanish.

Altogether, there are 10 consistency constraints when deriving equations for order-2 field deformations, and 5 consistency constraints when deriving equations for order-1 field deformations. We explicitly verified that all such constraints are satisfied.

4 Equations of motion and solutions for (3.18)

We obtain equations of motion (including the constraints) at each order $i = 0, 1, 2$ for (3.18) as explained in the previous section. It is important that in deriving these equations of motion a field is assumed to depend both on $\{\tau, v\}$. Once the equations of motion are computed, we can assume

$$\{\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\phi}_i\}(\tau, v) \equiv \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{\alpha}_i, \hat{\phi}_i\}(v).$$

All the equations must be solved with the boundary conditions

$$\left\{ \hat{a}_i(v), \hat{b}_i(v), \hat{c}_i(v), \hat{\alpha}_i(v), \hat{\phi}_i(v) \right\} \Big|_{v \rightarrow 0} = 0. \quad (4.1)$$

4.1 Order-0

To leading order we find the following system of equations

$$0 = \tilde{c}_0'' + \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}_0'' + \frac{5v^4 - 9}{(3 + v^4)v} \tilde{c}_0' + \frac{5v^4 - 9}{2(3 + v^4)v} \hat{b}_0' + \frac{933120(4v^8 - 33v^4 + 36)v^{10}}{(3 + v^4)^8}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_0'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{a}_0'' + \frac{5v^4 + 9}{2(v^4 - 3)v}\hat{a}_0' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{c}_0' - \frac{311040v^{10}(4v^8 - 45v^4 + 36)}{(3 + v^4)^8}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_0'' + \hat{a}_0'' + \hat{b}_0'' + \frac{5v^4 + 9}{(v^4 - 3)v}\hat{a}_0' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{b}_0' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{c}_0' - \frac{622080v^{10}(4v^8 - 45v^4 + 36)}{(3 + v^4)^8}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_0'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{b}_0'' + \frac{3(v^8 - 10v^4 - 3)}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{c}_0' - \frac{3(5v^4 - 3)}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{b}_0' - \frac{3(v^4 - 3)}{2(3 + v^4)v}\hat{a}_0' + \frac{3732480v^{10}(v^4 - 3)^2}{(3 + v^4)^8}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_0' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{b}_0' + \frac{(v^4 - 3)^2}{2(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)}\hat{a}_0' - \frac{2799360(v^4 - 3)v^{15}}{(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(3 + v^4)^7}, \quad (4.6)$$

$$0 = \hat{\alpha}_0'' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{a}_0' - \frac{32}{v^2}\hat{a}_0 + \frac{2332800v^{14}}{(3 + v^4)^8}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$0 = \hat{\phi}_0'' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}\hat{\phi}_0' - \frac{5598720v^{14}}{(3 + v^4)^8}. \quad (4.8)$$

Although the system (4.2)-(4.8) is overdetermined (we have 7 ODE's for the 5 functions), it is straightforward to verify that it is consistent.

Most general solution to (4.2)-(4.8), subject to the boundary conditions (4.1), is parametrized by three arbitrary integration constants¹⁴ $\{\delta_1, \beta_1, \beta_2\}$:

$$\hat{a}_0 = \frac{72576}{(3 + v^4)^3} - \frac{585144}{(3 + v^4)^4} - \frac{216}{3 + v^4} + \frac{1714608}{(3 + v^4)^5} - \frac{864}{(3 + v^4)^2} - \frac{1714608}{(3 + v^4)^6} + \left(\frac{1}{12 + 4v^4} + \frac{1}{2v^4 - 6} \right) (288 + \delta_1) + 24 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_1, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\hat{b}_0 = \frac{223560}{(3 + v^4)^4} - \frac{25920}{(3 + v^4)^3} + \frac{664848}{(3 + v^4)^6} - \frac{664848}{(3 + v^4)^5} - \frac{\delta_1}{4(3 + v^4)} + 24 + \frac{1}{12}\delta_1, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\hat{c}_0 = \hat{b}_0, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\hat{\alpha}_0 = \beta_1 \left(\left(\frac{v^4}{864} + \frac{1}{96v^4} \right) \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4} + \frac{1}{144} \right) + \frac{25}{16} \left(v^4 + \frac{9}{v^4} \right) \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4} + \frac{75}{8} + \frac{225}{2(3 + v^4)^2} + \frac{675}{(3 + v^4)^3} - \frac{22275}{2(3 + v^4)^4} + \frac{36450}{(3 + v^4)^5} - \frac{36450}{(3 + v^4)^6}, \quad (4.12)$$

¹⁴Altogether we expect 10 integration constants; setting nonnormalizable components of the fields to zero fixes 5 integration constants; the two constraints fix another 2 integration constants.

$$\hat{\phi}_0 = \left(\frac{\beta_2}{24} - \frac{45}{4} \right) \ln \frac{3-v^4}{3+v^4} - \frac{135}{2(3+v^4)} - \frac{405}{2(3+v^4)^2} - \frac{810}{(3+v^4)^3} + \frac{25515}{(3+v^4)^4} - \frac{87480}{(3+v^4)^5} + \frac{87480}{(3+v^4)^6}. \quad (4.13)$$

For a generic choice of β_1 the curvature invariants of the ten-dimensional geometry (3.1) will be singular as $v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}$. For example,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = -\frac{14}{3}\gamma (1350 + \beta_1) \ln(3-v^4) + \text{finite}. \quad (4.14)$$

However, once we chose

$$\beta_1 = -1350, \quad (4.15)$$

the curvature invariant (4.14) is finite. We verified that for (4.15) all other curvature invariants (including those discussed in [11]) are finite.

Notice that unlike studies of the string theory dual of the Bjorken flow in the supergravity approximation [1, 2, 3, 11], here the background geometry is not completely fixed from the nonsingularity condition — two arbitrary integration constants $\{\delta_1, \beta_2\}$ remain. Though β_2 does not affect the holographic stress energy tensor of the background geometry, the latter does depend on δ_1 . Additional arbitrariness will appear at higher orders, however, the string theory computation when properly matched to the near-equilibrium SYM dynamics provides unambiguous predictions for plasma transport properties. We further comment on the physical origin of the arbitrariness in section 5.

4.2 Order-1

Using results of the previous subsection, including (4.15), we find the following set of equations for the next-to-leading order in the late proper time expansion at order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$

$$0 = \hat{c}_1'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{b}_1'' + \frac{5v^4-9}{(3+v^4)v}\hat{c}_1' + \frac{5v^4-9}{2(3+v^4)v}\hat{b}_1' + \mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_1'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{a}_1'' + \frac{5v^4+9}{2(v^4-3)v}\hat{a}_1' + \frac{5v^8+27}{v(v^8-9)}\hat{c}_1' + \mathcal{S}_{(1,2)}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_1'' + \hat{a}_1'' + \hat{b}_1'' + \frac{5v^4+9}{(v^4-3)v}\hat{a}_1' + \frac{5v^8+27}{v(v^8-9)}\hat{b}_1' + \frac{5v^8+27}{v(v^8-9)}\hat{c}_1' + \mathcal{S}_{(1,3)}, \quad (4.18)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_1'' + \frac{1}{2}\hat{b}_1'' + \frac{(v^4-15)v^3}{v^8-9}\hat{b}_1' + \frac{5v^8-30v^4-27}{v(v^8-9)}\hat{c}_1' - \frac{3(v^4-3)}{2(3+v^4)v}\hat{a}_1' - \frac{24v^2}{v^8-9}\hat{b}_1 - \frac{48v^2}{v^8-9}\hat{c}_1 + \mathcal{S}_{(1,4)}, \quad (4.19)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}'_1 + \frac{(v^4 - 3)^2}{2(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)} \hat{a}'_1 + \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}'_1 + \mathcal{S}_{(1,5)}, \quad (4.20)$$

$$0 = \hat{\alpha}''_1 + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{\alpha}'_1 - \frac{32}{v^2} \hat{\alpha}_1 + \mathcal{S}_{(1,6)}, \quad (4.21)$$

$$0 = \hat{\phi}''_1 + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{\phi}'_1 + \mathcal{S}_{(1,7)}, \quad (4.22)$$

where the source terms $\{\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)}, \dots, \mathcal{S}_{(1,7)}\}$ are given in Appendix A. As before, while the system (4.16)-(4.22) is overdetermined, we explicitly verified that it is consistent.

Most general solution to (4.16)-(4.22), subject to the boundary conditions (4.1), is parametrized by three new arbitrary constants $\{\delta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_1 = & -\frac{72013536\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^6} + \frac{61725888\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^7} + \frac{31189536\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^5} + \frac{3\hat{\eta}(288+\delta_1)}{(v^4-3)^2} - \frac{5987520\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^4} \\ & - \frac{3\hat{\eta}(1728+\delta_1)}{2(3+v^4)^2} + \frac{576\hat{\eta} + \frac{2}{3}\delta_2}{2(v^4-3)} + \frac{\frac{1}{3}\delta_2 - 576\hat{\eta}}{2(3+v^4)} + \frac{445824\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^3} - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\eta}\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{18}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b}_1 = & -\left(120\hat{\eta} - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\eta}\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{18}\right) \ln \frac{3-v^4}{3+v^4} - \frac{864\hat{\eta} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\eta}\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{6}}{3+v^4} + \frac{144\hat{\eta} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\eta}\delta_1}{v^4-3} - \frac{3024\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^2} \\ & + \frac{3\hat{\eta}\delta_1}{2(3+v^4)^2} - \frac{11687328\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^5} - \frac{107136\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^3} - \frac{23934528\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^7} + \frac{2091744\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^4} + \frac{27597024\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^6} \\ & - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\eta}\delta_1 + \frac{\delta_2}{18}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_1 = & \left(60\hat{\eta} + \frac{\delta_2}{36} - \frac{1}{12}\hat{\eta}\delta_1\right) \ln \frac{3-v^4}{3+v^4} - \frac{72\hat{\eta} + \frac{1}{4}\hat{\eta}\delta_1}{(v^4-3)} + \frac{432\hat{\eta} - \frac{\delta_2}{6} - \frac{1}{4}\hat{\eta}\delta_1}{(v^4+3)} - \frac{1}{6}\hat{\eta}\delta_1 \\ & - \frac{179712\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^3} - \frac{23934528\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^7} + \frac{2336688\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^4} + \frac{28086912\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^6} + \frac{(1512 + \frac{3}{2}\delta_1)\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^2} \\ & - \frac{12177216\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^5} + \frac{\delta_2}{18}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}_1 = & -\beta_3 \left(\left(\frac{v^4}{864} + \frac{1}{96v^4} \right) \ln \frac{3-v^4}{3+v^4} + \frac{1}{144} \right) + \frac{25\hat{\eta}}{4} \left(v^4 + \frac{9}{v^4} \right) \ln \frac{3-v^4}{3+v^4} + \frac{75\hat{\eta}}{2} \\ & + \frac{450\hat{\eta}v^8(v^4-3)(v^8+24v^4+9)}{(3+v^4)^7}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_1 = & \left(\frac{\beta_4}{24} - \frac{\beta_2\hat{\eta}}{12} \right) \ln \frac{3-v^4}{3+v^4} - \frac{\hat{\eta}\beta_2}{4(3+v^4)} - \frac{\hat{\eta}\beta_2}{4(v^4-3)} - \frac{1487160\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^5} - \frac{3149280\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^7} \\ & - \frac{405\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^2} - \frac{135\hat{\eta}}{2(3+v^4)} + \frac{135\hat{\eta}}{2(v^4-3)} - \frac{2430\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^3} + \frac{218700\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^4} + \frac{3674160\hat{\eta}}{(3+v^4)^6}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

For a generic choice of β_3 the curvature invariants of the ten-dimensional geometry (3.1) will be singular as $v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}$. For example,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \frac{14}{3} \gamma (\beta_3 - 5400\hat{\eta}) \ln(3 - v^4) + \text{finite}. \quad (4.28)$$

However, once we chose

$$\beta_3 = 5400\hat{\eta}. \quad (4.29)$$

the curvature invariant (4.28) is finite. We verified that for (4.29) all other curvature invariants (including those discussed in [11]) are finite.

At this stage we have four arbitrary integration constants: $\{\delta_1, \beta_2, \delta_3, \beta_4\}$.

4.3 Order-2

Using results of the previous two subsections, including (4.15) and (4.29), we find the following set of equations for the next-to-next-to-leading order in the late proper time expansion at order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$

$$0 = \hat{c}_2'' + \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}_2'' + \frac{5v^4 - 9}{(3 + v^4)v} \hat{c}_2' + \frac{5v^4 - 9}{2(3 + v^4)v} \hat{b}_2' + \mathcal{S}_{(2,1)}, \quad (4.30)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_2'' + \frac{1}{2} \hat{a}_2'' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{c}_2' + \frac{9 + 5v^4}{2v(v^4 - 3)} \hat{a}_2' + \mathcal{S}_{(2,2)}, \quad (4.31)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_2'' + \hat{a}_2'' + \hat{b}_2'' + \frac{9 + 5v^4}{v(v^4 - 3)} \hat{a}_2' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{b}_2' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{c}_2' + \mathcal{S}_{(2,3)}, \quad (4.32)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_2'' + \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}_2'' - \frac{3(v^4 - 3)}{2(3 + v^4)v} \hat{a}_2' + \frac{2v^8 - 15v^4 - 9}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{b}_2' + \frac{7v^8 - 30v^4 - 45}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{c}_2' - \frac{48v^2}{v^8 - 9} \hat{b}_2 - \frac{96v^2}{v^8 - 9} \hat{c}_2 + \mathcal{S}_{(2,4)}, \quad (4.33)$$

$$0 = \hat{c}_2' + \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}_2' + \frac{(v^4 - 3)^2}{2(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)} \hat{a}_2' + \mathcal{S}_{(2,5)}, \quad (4.34)$$

$$0 = \hat{a}_2'' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{a}_2' - \frac{32}{v^2} \hat{a}_2 + \mathcal{S}_{(2,6)}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$0 = \hat{\phi}_2'' + \frac{5v^8 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)} \hat{\phi}_2' + \mathcal{S}_{(2,7)}, \quad (4.36)$$

where the source terms $\{\mathcal{S}_{(2,1)} \cdots, \mathcal{S}_{(2,7)}\}$ are given in Appendix B. As in previous subsections, while the system (4.30)-(4.36) is overdetermined, we explicitly verified that it is consistent.

Solving (4.30)-(4.36) is quite complicated. Fortunately, we do not need a complete solution. Our ultimate goal is to determine $\hat{\eta}$ from the nonsingularity of the ten dimensional metric curvature invariants to order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ and to order $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-4/3})$ in the late proper time expansion. Thus we evaluate metric invariants first, find what field combinations affect the singularity as $v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}$, and then solve just for those combinations of field.

Up to now, all our equations and solutions are exact in $\hat{\eta}$. We assume now that

$$\hat{\eta} = \hat{\eta}_0 + \gamma \hat{\eta}_1 + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2), \quad (4.37)$$

and evaluate background curvature invariants to order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ near

$$x \equiv 3^{1/4} - v. \quad (4.38)$$

We use explicit solutions (3.15), (4.9)-(4.13) and (4.23)-(4.27) to evaluate curvature invariants. At this stage we use equations of motion (4.30)-(4.36) to eliminate the derivatives (if possible) of $\{\hat{a}_2, \hat{b}_2, \hat{c}_2, \hat{\alpha}_2\}$ from the curvature invariants.

4.3.1 \mathcal{R}

For Ricci scalar we find (there is no dependence on order-2 fields here)

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{3}{2} \gamma \frac{18\hat{\eta}_0^2 - \sqrt{3}}{\tau^{4/3}} \left(\frac{1}{x^4} - \frac{2}{3^{1/4}} \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{13\sqrt{3}}{6} \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{3^{1/4}}{2} \frac{5}{x} \right) + \text{finite}, \quad x \rightarrow 0_+. \quad (4.39)$$

From (4.39) we find that Ricci scalar of the string theory geometry is nonsingular as $x \rightarrow 0_+$ when

$$\hat{\eta}_0 = \frac{1}{2^{1/2} 3^{3/4}}, \quad (4.40)$$

which is precisely the condition found from the nonsingularity of Riemann tensor squared [2], as well as higher curvature invariants [11], in the supergravity approximation to the string theory dual of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM Bjorken flow. The difference here (compare to [2, 11]) is that $\hat{\eta}_0$ is already fixed by requiring the nonsingularity of the Ricci scalar¹⁵.

¹⁵Notice that Ricci scalar vanishes in the supergravity approximation [11].

4.3.2 $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}$

A bit more work is necessary to determine the nonsingularity condition of Riemann tensor squared at order $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$. Generalizing the notation of [11]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}^{[2]} &\equiv \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{I}_0^{[2]SUGRA}(v) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_0^{[2]W}(v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \left(\mathcal{I}_1^{[2]SUGRA}(v) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_1^{[2]W}(v) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \left(\mathcal{I}_2^{[2]SUGRA}(v) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_2^{[2]W}(v) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2).\end{aligned}\quad (4.41)$$

With (4.40) and the lower order solutions, all terms in the decomposition of $\mathcal{I}^{[2]}$ in (4.41) except for $\mathcal{I}_2^{[2]W}(v)$ are finite as $v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_2^{[2]W} &= -\frac{2304v^5(v^4-3)}{(3+v^4)^3}f_2'(v) - \frac{192(5v^{16}+60v^{12}+54v^8+540v^4+405)}{(3+v^4)^4}\hat{a}_2(v) \\ &+ \delta\mathcal{I}_2(v),\end{aligned}\quad (4.42)$$

where

$$f_2(v) = \hat{c}_2(v) + \frac{1}{2} \hat{b}_2(v), \quad (4.43)$$

and $\delta\mathcal{I}_2(v)$ does not depend on order-2 fields, and can be evaluated explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{I}_2 &= \left(-399\sqrt{3} + \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{6}\delta_1 - \frac{2^{3/2}}{3^{3/4}}\delta_2 + 2^{5/2}3^{5/4}\hat{\eta}_1 \right) \times \left(\frac{1}{x^4} - \frac{2}{3^{1/4}}\frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}\frac{1}{x^2} \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{3^{1/4}}{2} \frac{(72\sqrt{2}3^{3/4}\hat{\eta}_1 - 4\sqrt{2}3^{3/4}\delta_2 + 39\delta_1 - 270)}{(-7182 + 15\delta_1 - 4\sqrt{2}3^{3/4}\delta_2 + 72\sqrt{2}3^{3/4}\hat{\eta}_1)} \frac{1}{x} \right) + \text{finite},\end{aligned}\quad (4.44)$$

as $x \rightarrow 0_+$.

From (4.30) one can obtain a decoupled equation for f_2 as defined in (4.43). The

resulting equation is possible to explicitly solve for f'_2 :

$$\begin{aligned}
f'_2(v) = & -\frac{24126004224\hat{\eta}^2v^3}{(v^4+3)^9} + \frac{13961808v^3}{(v^4+3)^8}(2v^2+1932\hat{\eta}^2-C) - \frac{34992v^3}{(v^4+3)^7}(665v^2 \\
& + 320520\hat{\eta}^2 - 399C) + \frac{243v^3}{(v^4+3)^6}(28221v^2 + 8578560\hat{\eta}^2 - 20600C) \\
& - \frac{81v^3}{2(v^4+3)^5}(20823v^2 + 3918720\hat{\eta}^2 - 18560C) + \frac{27v^3}{8(v^4+3)^4}(7561v^2 + 48\delta_1\hat{\eta}^2 \\
& + 921600\hat{\eta}^2 - 11520C) - \frac{v^3}{16(v^4+3)^3}(-26307v^2 + 8v^2\delta_1 - 4\delta_1C + 407808\hat{\eta}^2 \\
& + 192\hat{\eta}\delta_2 - 48\delta_1\hat{\eta}^2) + \frac{v^3}{(v^4+3)^2}\left(\frac{35831808\hat{\eta}^2 - 1990656v^2}{(v^4-3)^5} \right. \\
& + \frac{-1327104v^2 + 29859840\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4-3)^4} + \frac{(8709120\hat{\eta}^2 - 290304v^2)}{(v^4-3)^3} \\
& + \frac{1}{(v^4-3)^2}\left(-23472v^2 + 1029024\hat{\eta}^2 - \frac{3}{2}v^2\delta_1 - 27\delta_1\hat{\eta}^2\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{v^4-3}\left(9\delta_1\hat{\eta}^2 - \frac{1}{2}v^2\delta_1 + 25488\hat{\eta}^2 - 6\hat{\eta}\delta_2 + \frac{333}{2}v^2\right) \\
& \left. + \frac{10425\sqrt{3}}{16} \arctan \frac{v^2}{\sqrt{3}} + \delta_3\right), \tag{4.45}
\end{aligned}$$

where δ_3 is a new arbitrary integration constant. The solution (4.45) is exact in $\hat{\eta}$.

Unfortunately, we can not present an explicit solution for $\hat{\alpha}_2(v)$. We can prove though that $\hat{\alpha}_2(v)$ can be chosen to be finite as $v \rightarrow 3^{1/4}$, while having a vanishing nonnormalizable mode as $v \rightarrow 0_+$. Indeed, the most general inhomogeneous solution of (4.36) as $x \rightarrow 0_+$ takes form

$$\hat{\alpha}_2(x) = \mathcal{C}_0 + \mathcal{C}_1 \ln + x \left(\frac{3^{3/4}}{6} \mathcal{C}_1 + \frac{125}{1728} 3^{3/4} C + \frac{875}{864} 3^{1/4} \right) + \mathcal{O}(x^2 \ln x), \tag{4.46}$$

where \mathcal{C}_0 and \mathcal{C}_1 are the two arbitrary integration constants. On the other hand, the homogeneous solution to (4.36) is

$$\hat{\alpha}_2^{hom}(v) = \mathcal{C}_0^{hom} \frac{v^8 + 9}{v^4} + \mathcal{C}_1^{hom} \left(\left(\frac{v^4}{864} + \frac{1}{96v^4} \right) \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4} + \frac{1}{144} \right), \tag{4.47}$$

leading to asymptotic expansion as $v \rightarrow 0_+$

$$\hat{\alpha}_2^{hom}(v) = 9 \mathcal{C}_0^{hom} \frac{1}{v^4} + \mathcal{C}_0^{hom} v^4 - \frac{\mathcal{C}_1^{hom}}{972} v^8 + \mathcal{O}(v^{16}), \tag{4.48}$$

and

$$\hat{a}_2^{hom}(x) = 6\mathcal{C}_0^{hom} + \frac{1}{144}\mathcal{C}_1^{hom} \ln 2 - \frac{1}{576}\mathcal{C}_1^{hom} \ln 3 + \frac{1}{144}\mathcal{C}_1^{hom} + \frac{1}{144}\mathcal{C}_1^{hom} \ln x + \mathcal{O}(x), \quad (4.49)$$

as $x \rightarrow 0_+$. Thus, given (4.46), we can take

$$\mathcal{C}_1^{hom} = -144\mathcal{C}_1, \quad (4.50)$$

and tune¹⁶ \mathcal{C}_0^{hom} so that

$$\hat{a}_2^v + \hat{a}_2^{hom}(v)$$

both have only a normalizable mode as $v \rightarrow 0_+$, and be finite (along with its derivatives) as $x \rightarrow 0_+$

We now have all the necessary ingredients to determine $\hat{\eta}_1$ from the nonsingularity of $\mathcal{I}_2^{[2]W}(v)$. We find that $\mathcal{I}_2^{[2]W}(v)$ is finite as $v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}$, provided

$$\hat{\eta}_1 = \frac{3^{1/4}\sqrt{2}}{432} (7182 - 15 \delta_1 + 2^{5/2} 3^{3/4} \delta_2). \quad (4.51)$$

Notice that while $\hat{\eta}_0$ (4.40) is determined unambiguously from the nonsingularity condition of the background geometry, the absence of singularities is not a powerful enough constraint to fix $\hat{\eta}_1$. As we already mentioned, this fact will not preclude us from computing a definite ratio of shear viscosity to the entropy density.

4.3.3 $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}$

Analysis of the square of the Ricci tensor can be performed in the same way as for the Riemann tensor square. We find

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} = \text{finite} - \gamma \frac{1920 \hat{\alpha}_2(v)}{\tau^{4/3}}, \quad v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}, \quad (4.52)$$

where we explicitly indicated dependence on order-2 fields. We argued above that $\hat{\alpha}_2(v)$ can be chosen to be finite as $v \rightarrow 3_-^{1/4}$; this would guarantee the nonsingularity of $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}$ to orders $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-4/3})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\gamma)$.

4.3.4 Higher order curvature invariants

As in [11] we denote

$$\mathcal{R}^{[2^n]}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \equiv \mathcal{R}^{[2^{n-1}]}_{\mu_1\nu_1\mu\nu} \cdot \mathcal{R}^{[2^{n-1}]}_{\mu_1\nu_1\rho\lambda}, \quad (4.53)$$

¹⁶This is always possible since $\mathcal{S}_{(2,6)} = \mathcal{O}(v^8)$, see (B.9).

where

$$\mathcal{R}^{[0]}{}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \equiv \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda}. \quad (4.54)$$

We further define higher curvature invariants $\mathcal{I}^{[2^n]}$, generalizing (4.41):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{[2^n]} &\equiv \mathcal{R}^{[2^{n-1}]}{}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{[2^{n-1}]}{}_{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} \\ &= \left(\mathcal{I}_0^{[2^n]SUGRA}(v) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_0^{[2^n]W}(v) \right) + \frac{1}{\tau^{2/3}} \left(\mathcal{I}_1^{[2^n]SUGRA}(v) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_1^{[2^n]W}(v) \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} \left(\mathcal{I}_2^{[2^n]SUGRA}(v) + \gamma \mathcal{I}_2^{[2^n]W}(v) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2}) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

Given complexity of analysis, we checked at order $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-4/3})$ only¹⁷ nonsingularity of $\mathcal{I}^{[4]}$. Keeping explicit only fields at order-2, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2^{[4]W}(v) &= - \frac{55296v^5(v^4 - 3)}{(3 + v^4)^7} \left(v^{16} - 4v^{12} + 198v^8 - 36v^4 + 81 \right) f_2'(v) \\ &+ \frac{663552v^9(v^4 - 3)^3}{(3 + v^4)^7} \hat{\alpha}_2'(v) - \frac{1536}{(3 + v^4)^8} \left(5v^{32} + 120v^{28} + 6876v^{24} \right. \\ &+ 33480v^{20} - 72738v^{16} + 301320v^{12} + 556956v^8 + 87480v^4 \\ &\left. + 32805 \right) \hat{\alpha}_2(v) + \delta\mathcal{I}_4(v), \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

No new analysis of order-2 fields are necessary here to verified that (4.56) is nonsingular precisely for (4.51).

5 Shear viscosity for the Bjorken flow of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma

In previous section we analytically evaluated α' -corrected supergravity background dual to a Bjorken flow of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma at finite coupling to order $\mathcal{O}(\tau^{-2/3})$ in the late proper time expansion. We can now extract the boundary energy density $\epsilon(\tau)$ from the one-point correlation function of the boundary stress energy tensor using the α' -corrected holographic renormalization developed in [20]. We confirmed that the final expression for the energy density can be evaluated as in the supergravity approximation [1, 2, 11]:

$$\epsilon(\tau) = - \frac{N^2}{2\pi^2} \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{2a(v, \tau)}{v^4 \tau^{4/3}}. \quad (5.1)$$

¹⁷We studied more general higher curvature invariants at lower orders in the late proper time expansion.

Using (3.16), (4.9), (4.23), (4.37), (4.40) and (4.51) we find

$$\epsilon(\tau) = \frac{N^2(6 + 576\gamma + \gamma\delta_1)}{12\pi^2} \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} - \frac{N^2 2^{1/2} 3^{1/4} (1566\gamma + 8 + \gamma\delta_1)}{48\pi^2} \frac{1}{\tau^2} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-8/3}). \quad (5.2)$$

Notice that even though η_1 depends on an arbitrary integration constant δ_2 , see (4.51), such a dependence disappears in (5.2).

The string theory result (5.2) should now be interpreted within Muller-Israel-Stewart theory [8, 9]. For the Bjorken flow of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma we expect [11]

$$\epsilon^{gauge}(\tau) = \frac{3}{8} \pi^2 N^2 \Lambda^4 (1 + 15\gamma) \frac{1}{\tau^{4/3}} - \pi^2 N^2 \Lambda^3 A (1 + 15\gamma) \frac{1}{\tau^2} + \mathcal{O}(\tau^{-8/3}), \quad (5.3)$$

where Λ is an arbitrary scale, related to the initial energy density of the expanding plasma, and

$$A = \frac{\eta}{s}, \quad (5.4)$$

is the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density. Notice that in (5.3) we have to use $\mathcal{N} = 4$ equation of state at finite 't Hooft coupling¹⁸, see [23]. Matching the leading terms in the late time expansion in (5.2) and (5.3) we find

$$\Lambda^4 = \frac{4}{3\pi^4} \left(1 + 81\gamma + \frac{1}{6} \gamma \delta_1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2), \quad (5.5)$$

which implies that

$$A = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left(1 + 120\gamma \right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma^2), \quad (5.6)$$

leading to the result quoted in (2.15). Notice that the remaining arbitrary integration constant δ_1 got “absorbed” into a definition of an arbitrary scale Λ . The physical observable, *i.e.*, the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density (5.6) is evaluated unambiguously.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we evaluated finite 't Hooft coupling correction to strongly coupled $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM plasma undergoing 1+1-dimensional Bjorken expansion. Such finite coupling correction corresponds to string theory α' corrections in the supergravity dual to the boost invariant plasma expansion proposed by Janik and collaborators [1, 2, 3]. We

¹⁸We would like to thank Romuald Janik for pointing this out.

extracted the ratio of shear viscosity to entropy density at large, but finite, 't Hooft coupling. Our result is in conflict with analysis of shear viscosity of strongly coupled SYM plasma extracted from the equilibrium two-point correlation functions of the boundary stress energy tensor [18, 19].

Though we do not currently understand the source of the discrepancy, there are two potential outcomes.

- First, the nonsingularity approach of [1, 2, 3] is simply inconsistent — it was shown in [11] that it is definitely inconsistent within the supergravity approximation at high orders in the late proper time expansion (though it appears to be well-defined in the first two orders necessary to compute shear viscosity of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ plasma in the supergravity approximation).
- The discrepancy might be due to using incorrect effective string theory action (2.12), as a dual to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ dynamics at large, but finite, 't Hooft coupling. Specifically, the full set of α' corrections to RR fluxes is unknown. It is conceivable that proper inclusion of α' corrections to the RR fluxes would reconcile two results (as it must be). A starting point for such analysis could be the α' effective action proposed in [26].

We believe resolution of the puzzle presented here deserves further study.

Acknowledgments

My research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through NSERC and by the Province of Ontario through MRI. I gratefully acknowledges further support by an NSERC Discovery grant and support through the Early Researcher Award program by the Province of Ontario.

A Appendix: Source terms for eqs. (4.16)-(4.22)

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,1)} = -\frac{72\hat{\eta}v^6\delta_1}{(3+v^4)^4} + \frac{1119744(20v^{16} - 340v^{12} + 1010v^8 - 555v^4 - 9)\hat{\eta}v^6}{(3+v^4)^9} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{(1,2)} = & -\frac{72(v^{16} + 54v^8 + 72v^4 + 81)\hat{\eta}v^6\delta_1}{(v^8 - 9)^4} - \frac{373248\hat{\eta}v^6}{(3+v^4)^9(v^4 - 3)^4} \times \left(113319v^{16} \right. \\ & - 55647v^4 + 159732v^8 - 180090v^{12} - 37251v^{20} + 2187 - 532v^{28} + 12v^{32} \\ & \left. + 6750v^{24} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,3)} = -\frac{144(v^{16} + 6v^{12} + 54v^8 + 18v^4 + 81)\hat{\eta}v^6\delta_1}{(v^8 - 9)^4} - \frac{746496\hat{\eta}v^6}{(3 + v^4)^9(v^4 - 3)^4} \times \left(24v^{32} \right. \\ \left. - 604v^{28} + 6840v^{24} - 37017v^{20} + 113751v^{16} - 179118v^{12} + 139806v^8 \right. \\ \left. - 30861v^4 + 2187 \right) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,4)} = -\frac{12v^6\hat{\eta}(v^4 + 15)(v^8 + 9)\delta_1}{(3 + v^4)^4(v^4 - 3)^2} + \frac{186624\hat{\eta}v^6}{(v^4 - 3)^2(3 + v^4)^9} \times \left(84v^{24} - 2312v^{20} \right. \\ \left. + 15663v^{16} - 50778v^{12} + 74304v^8 - 37098v^4 - 1215 \right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,5)} = \frac{24v^7\hat{\eta}\delta_1}{(v^8 - 9)(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)} \\ - \frac{373248v^7\hat{\eta}}{(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 - 3)(3 + v^4)^8} \times \left(40v^{20} - 520v^{16} \right. \\ \left. + 1455v^{12} - 1269v^8 - 189v^4 - 81 \right) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,6)} = \frac{259200(71v^8 + 639 - 456v^4)v^{14}\hat{\eta}}{(3 + v^4)^9(v^4 - 3)} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{(1,7)} = \frac{288\hat{\eta}v^{10}\beta_2}{(v^8 - 9)^3} - \frac{44789760v^{14}\hat{\eta}(v^8 - 9v^4 + 27)(v^8 - 3v^4 + 3)}{(v^4 - 3)^3(3 + v^4)^9} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

B Appendix: Source terms for eqs. (4.30)-(4.36)

We find it convenient to express the source terms at this order implicitly in the background warp factors $\{a_2, b_2, c_2\}$, while using equations of motion for the supergravity background to eliminate higher than second derivatives¹⁹. For convenience, we present these equations here [2, 11]

$$a_2'' = -\frac{9 + 5v^4}{v(v^4 - 3)}a_2' - \frac{16v^3}{v^8 - 9}b_2' - \frac{32v^3}{v^8 - 9}c_2' + \frac{4(2v^4 + 9)v^4}{3(v^4 - 3)(v^8 - 9)} \\ - \frac{432v^6\hat{\eta}^2(v^{16} + 24v^{12} + 30v^8 + 216v^4 + 81)}{(v^8 - 9)^4}, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$b_2'' = -\frac{5v^8 - 8v^4 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}b_2' + \frac{16v^3}{v^8 - 9}c_2' - \frac{432v^6\hat{\eta}^2(v^{12} + 11v^8 + 63v^4 - 27)}{(v^8 - 9)^3(3 + v^4)} \\ - \frac{4(2v^8 - 9v^4 - 63)v^4}{3(v^4 - 3)^2(v^8 - 9)}, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

¹⁹The highest derivative is the fourth.

$$c_2'' = \frac{8v^3}{v^8 - 9}b_2' - \frac{5v^8 - 16v^4 + 27}{v(v^8 - 9)}c_2' - \frac{432v^6\hat{\eta}^2(v^{12} - 13v^8 - 9v^4 - 27)}{(3 + v^4)(v^8 - 9)^3} + \frac{4(v^8 - 9v^4 - 18)v^4}{3(v^4 - 3)^2(v^8 - 9)}. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Of course, (3.15) solves (B.1)-(B.3).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{(2,1)} = & \frac{4320v^7(119v^{16} - 837v^{12} + 4776v^8 - 7533v^4 + 9639)}{(v^4 - 3)(3 + v^4)^7}a_2' + \left(\frac{2v^3\delta_1}{(3 + v^4)^2} \right. \\ & - \frac{864v^3}{(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)^3}(125v^{28} - 15725v^{24} + 145095v^{20} - 669570v^{16} \\ & \left. + 1303911v^{12} - 1275669v^8 + 96957v^4 + 8748) \right)(b_2' + 2c_2') \\ & + \left(-\frac{(2v^8 + 3v^4 + 9)v^4}{(v^4 - 3)^3(3 + v^4)^2} + \frac{432v^6(2v^{12} - 21v^8 + 36v^4 - 81)\hat{\eta}^2}{(3 + v^4)^5(v^4 - 3)^3} \right)\delta_1 \\ & - \frac{72(v^8 - 2v^4 + 9)v^6\hat{\eta}\delta_2}{(v^4 - 3)^2(3 + v^4)^4} + \frac{373248v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4 - 3)^6(3 + v^4)^{10}} \times \left(-87058152v^{16} \right. \\ & - 22973922v^{24} + 61231383v^{20} - 39438171v^8 + 92195901v^{12} + 7794468v^4 \\ & \left. + 177147 + 6804783v^{28} - 1074783v^{32} + 126421v^{36} + 132v^{44} - 6007v^{40} \right) \\ & - \frac{288v^4}{(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)^6} \times \left(v^{40} + 2386v^{36} + 5073v^{32} + 50304v^{28} + 2354787v^{24} \right. \\ & - 3096360v^{20} + 26563545v^{16} - 11763144v^{12} + 26305236v^8 - 118098v^4 \\ & \left. - 118098 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{(2,2)} = & - \left(\frac{2v^3\delta_1}{(v^4-3)^2} + \frac{288v^3}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^2} (535v^{24} - 5190v^{20} + 34755v^{16} - 92925v^{12} \right. \\
& + 160542v^8 - 109593v^4 + 8748) a'_2 + \frac{288v^7}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^3} \left(473v^{24} - 18797v^{20} \right. \\
& + 177291v^{16} - 787422v^{12} + 1595619v^8 - 1522557v^4 + 344817) b'_2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{24v^7\delta_1}{(v^8-9)^2} + \frac{576v^7}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^3} (41v^{24} - 14909v^{20} + 162387v^{16} \right. \\
& - 751134v^{12} + 1471203v^8 - 1184301v^4 + 47385) c'_2 \\
& + \left(\frac{v^4(4v^{16} - 6v^{12} - 171v^8 - 648v^4 - 567)}{9(v^4-3)^4(3+v^4)^2} + \frac{144v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^8-9)^5} (2v^{20} - 99v^{16} - 36v^{12} \right. \\
& - 1458v^8 - 2430v^4 - 2187) \delta_1 - \frac{24\hat{\eta}v^6(3v^{16} - 8v^{12} + 90v^8 + 216v^4 + 243)\delta_2}{(v^8-9)^4} \\
& - \frac{124416v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4-3)^6(3+v^4)^{10}} \times \left(-86541048v^{16} - 23414778v^{24} + 65133639v^{20} \right. \\
& - 43348527v^8 + 96948981v^{12} + 13463172v^4 - 531441 + 7098399v^{28} \\
& - 958419v^{32} + 104165v^{36} + 60v^{44} - 2587v^{40} \Big) + \frac{32v^4}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^6} \times \left(4v^{44} \right. \\
& + 21v^{40} + 11406v^{36} - 3843v^{32} + 51372v^{28} + 14188743v^{24} - 27797580v^{20} \\
& + 159619653v^{16} - 94425912v^{12} + 135077868v^8 - 5314410v^4 - 2480058 \Big) \\
& \tag{B.5}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{(2,3)} = & - \left(\frac{4v^3\delta_1}{(v^4-3)^2} + \frac{576v^3}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^2} (535v^{24} - 5190v^{20} + 34755v^{16} - 92925v^{12} \right. \\
& + 160542v^8 - 109593v^4 + 8748) a'_2 + \left(-\frac{24v^7\delta_1}{(v^8-9)^2} + \frac{576v^7}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^3} \right. \\
& \times (41v^{24} - 14909v^{20} + 162387v^{16} - 751134v^{12} + 1471203v^8 - 1184301v^4 \\
& + 47385) b'_2 + \left(-\frac{24v^7\delta_1}{(v^4-3)^2(3+v^4)^2} + \frac{1152v^7}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^3} (257v^{24} - 16853v^{20} \right. \\
& + 169839v^{16} - 769278v^{12} + 1533411v^8 - 1353429v^4 + 196101) c'_2 \\
& + \left(\frac{2v^4(v^{16} + 12v^{12} + 99v^8 + 162v^4 + 162)}{9(v^4-3)^4(3+v^4)^2} - \frac{288v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^8-9)^5} (4v^{20} + 99v^{16} + 252v^{12} \right. \\
& + 1458v^8 + 2187) \delta_1 - \frac{48v^6\hat{\eta}(3v^{16} + 16v^{12} + 90v^8 + 243)\delta_2}{(v^8-9)^4} \\
& - \frac{248832v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4-3)^6(3+v^4)^{10}} \times \left(-87964056v^{16} - 24187842v^{24} + 60165747v^{20} \right. \\
& - 30830139v^8 + 80345277v^{12} + 3542940v^4 - 531441 + 6773211v^{28} \\
& - 1132371v^{32} + 126641v^{36} + 168v^{44} - 6439v^{40}) + \frac{64v^4}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^6} \times \left(v^{44} \right. \\
& + 12v^{40} + 3873v^{36} + 57582v^{32} - 870975v^{28} + 10771434v^{24} - 39529377v^{20} \\
& + 117880758v^{16} - 124357194v^{12} + 97483338v^8 + 1417176v^4 + 708588) \\
& \left. \right) \tag{B.6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{(2,4)} = & - \left(\frac{v^3(v^4 - 3)\delta_1}{4(3 + v^4)^2} + \frac{9v^3}{2(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)}(16v^{28} + 192v^{24} - 57909v^{20} + 492612v^{16} \right. \\
& - 3870990v^{12} + 5165316v^8 - 13920741v^4 + 69984) \Big) a'_2 \\
& + \left(-\frac{v^3(v^{12} - 33v^8 + 27v^4 - 675)\delta_1}{12(v^8 - 9)^2} - \frac{3v^3}{2(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)^3}(16v^{36} + 288v^{32} \right. \\
& + 54939v^{28} - 6400314v^{24} + 62833977v^{20} - 333665244v^{16} + 710087229v^{12} \\
& - 937477962v^8 + 81104895v^4 + 15746400) \Big) b'_2 \\
& + \left(\frac{v^3(v^{12} + 3v^8 - 27v^4 + 459)\delta_1}{3(v^8 - 9)^2} + \frac{6v^3}{(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)^3}(16v^{36} + 144v^{32} \right. \\
& - 11157v^{28} + 3087459v^{24} - 31309542v^{20} + 166984254v^{16} - 355695705v^{12} \\
& + 476607807v^8 - 55436076v^4 - 10707552) \Big) c'_2 + \left(\frac{72(v^4 + 1)v^2\delta_1}{(v^8 - 9)^2} \right. \\
& - \frac{144v^2}{(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)^2}(16v^{28} + 240v^{24} + 1371v^{20} - 48555v^{16} + 48465v^{12} \\
& - 196425v^8 - 186624v^4 - 69984) \Big) (b_2 + 2c_2) + \left(\frac{6\hat{\eta}^2v^2(2v^8 - 9v^4 - 27)\delta_1}{(v^8 - 9)^2} \right. \\
& - \frac{324\hat{\eta}^2v^2}{(3 + v^4)^7(v^4 - 3)^2}(8v^{28} + 120v^{24} + 623v^{20} + 17985v^{16} - 21555v^{12} + 36315v^8 \\
& + 13608v^4 - 5832) - \frac{4\hat{\eta}v^2\delta_2}{v^8 - 9} \Big) \ln \frac{3 - v^4}{3 + v^4} + \left(-\frac{(v^4 - 9)v^8}{(v^4 - 3)^3(3 + v^4)^2} \right. \\
& + \frac{12v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(3 + v^4)^5(v^4 - 3)^3}(-1917v^8 + 12v^{16} - 81v^{12} - 2511v^4 - 8019 + 4v^{20}) \Big) \delta_1 \\
& - \frac{16v^6\hat{\eta}(v^{12} + 12v^8 + 27v^4 + 108)\delta_2}{(v^4 - 3)^2(3 + v^4)^4} + \frac{216v^6\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4 - 3)^6(3 + v^4)^{10}} \\
& \times \left(100639406448v^{20} + 177616911942v^{12} + 19659065472v^4 + 931084632 \right. \\
& - 84883350843v^8 - 148217241528v^{16} + 165520368v^{36} - 1463056992v^{32} \\
& + 10018391364v^{28} - 34345317222v^{24} + 98934v^{44} - 6831063v^{40} + 312v^{48} \\
& + 32v^{52} \Big) + \frac{2v^2}{(v^4 - 3)^6(3 + v^4)^7} \times \left(-17006112v^6 - 289315557v^{26} \right. \\
& - 3796250733v^{18} + 74994660v^{22} - 4935413835v^{10} + 931858830v^{14} \\
& \left. - 13966290v^{30} - 476019v^{34} - 207648v^{38} - 144v^{42} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

(B.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{(2,5)} = & \left(\frac{(v^4 - 3)v^4\delta_1}{6(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)} + \frac{48v^4}{(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(3 + v^4)^6} (v^{28} \right. \\
& + 12v^{24} - 9v^{20} - 6948v^{16} + 15435v^{12} - 65934v^8 - 10935v^4 - 4374) a'_2 \\
& + \left(\frac{v^4(v^4 + 1)\delta_1}{6(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)} \right. \\
& + \frac{48v^4}{(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(3 + v^4)^6(v^4 - 3)^2} (v^{36} + 14v^{32} + 24v^{28} \\
& + 1782v^{24} - 136782v^{20} + 553644v^{16} - 1220832v^{12} + 161838v^8 - 2187v^4 \\
& + 13122) (b'_2 + 2c'_2) - \left(\frac{(v^4 - 6)v^9}{9(v^4 - 3)^2(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)} \right. \\
& + \left. \frac{72v^7(v^8 - 18)\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^8 - 9)^2} \right) \delta_1 \\
& + \frac{24\hat{\eta}v^7\delta_2}{(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^8 - 9)} \\
& - \frac{20736v^7\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4 - 3)^5(3 + v^4)^9(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)} \times \left(1062882 + 18680058v^{24} \right. \\
& + 59248017v^{16} + 7853517v^8 + 1417176v^4 - 33907248v^{12} - 36367380v^{20} \\
& + 1161v^{40} - 46404v^{36} + 732348v^{32} - 4038876v^{28} + v^{48} + 12v^{44} \Big) \\
& - \frac{32v^9}{(v^4 - 2v^2 + 3)(v^4 + 2v^2 + 3)(3 + v^4)^6(v^4 - 3)^5} \times \left(v^{40} + 6v^{36} - 117v^{32} \right. \\
& - 12276v^{28} - 112041v^{24} + 345492v^{20} - 7735095v^{16} + 6890508v^{12} - 21065184v^8 \\
& \left. + 3346110v^4 + 236196 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{(2,6)} = & \frac{180v^7(163v^{16} - 42v^{12} - 2106v^8 - 378v^4 + 13203)}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)} a'_2 \\
& + \frac{180v^7}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^3} (163v^{24} - 2556v^{20} + 34557v^{16} - 165528v^{12} + 311013v^8 \\
& - 207036v^4 + 118827)(b'_2 + 2c'_2) + \frac{207360v^{14}\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4-3)^4(3+v^4)^{10}} \times \left(-166212v^{12} \right. \\
& \left. - 393660v^4 + 166941 + 451413v^8 + 229v^{24} - 4860v^{20} + 50157v^{16} \right) \\
& - \frac{240v^8}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^4} \times \left(173v^{24} + 183v^{20} \right. \\
& \left. + 18162v^{16} - 2160v^{12} + 195615v^8 + 18225v^4 + 7290 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{(2,7)} = & \left(\frac{v^3\beta_2}{v^8-9} - \frac{466560v^{11}(2v^8 - 11v^4 + 18)}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)} \right) a'_2 + \left(\frac{v^3\beta_2}{v^8-9} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{155520v^{11}(2v^8 - 63v^4 + 18)}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)} \right) (b'_2 + 2c'_2) + \left(\frac{12v^4(v^4+1)}{(v^4-3)^4(3+v^4)} \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{10368v^{10}\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^8-9)^4} \right) \beta_2 + \frac{288\hat{\eta}v^{10}\beta_4}{(v^8-9)^3} - \frac{13436928v^{14}\hat{\eta}^2}{(v^4-3)^4(3+v^4)^{10}} \times \left(11v^{24} - 192v^{20} \right. \\
& \left. + 1853v^{16} - 5832v^{12} + 16677v^8 - 15552v^4 + 8019 \right) + \frac{124416v^{16}}{(3+v^4)^7(v^4-3)^4} \\
& \times \left(10v^{12} + 33v^8 + 63v^4 + 79 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{B.10}$$

References

- [1] R. A. Janik and R. Peschanski, “Asymptotic perfect fluid dynamics as a consequence of AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 045013 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0512162].
- [2] R. A. Janik, “Viscous plasma evolution from gravity using AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 022302 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610144].
- [3] M. P. Heller and R. A. Janik, “Viscous hydrodynamics relaxation time from AdS/CFT,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007) 025027 [arXiv:hep-th/0703243].
- [4] S. Nakamura and S. J. Sin, “A holographic dual of hydrodynamics,” *JHEP* **0609**, 020 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0607123].

- [5] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998) [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38**, 1113 (1999)] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].
- [6] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, “Large N field theories, string theory and gravity,” *Phys. Rept.* **323**, 183 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905111].
- [7] S. Bhattacharyya, V. E. Hubeny, S. Minwalla and M. Rangamani, “Nonlinear Fluid Dynamics from Gravity,” arXiv:0712.2456 [hep-th].
- [8] I. Muller, *Z. Phys.* **198** (1967) 329.
- [9] W. Israel and J. M. Stewart, “Transient relativistic thermodynamics and kinetic theory,” *Annals Phys.* **118** (1979) 341.
- [10] J. D. Bjorken, “Highly Relativistic Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: The Central Rapidity Region,” *Phys. Rev. D* **27**, 140 (1983).
- [11] P. Benincasa, A. Buchel, M. P. Heller and R. A. Janik, “On the supergravity description of boost invariant conformal plasma at strong coupling,” arXiv:0712.2025 [hep-th].
- [12] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. W. Peet, “Entropy and Temperature of Black 3-Branes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 3915 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602135].
- [13] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “The shear viscosity of strongly coupled N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 081601 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104066].
- [14] M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, “Causal hydrodynamics of gauge theory plasmas from AdS/CFT duality,” arXiv:0712.2916 [hep-th].
- [15] M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, “Comment on ‘Viscous hydrodynamics relaxation time from AdS/CFT correspondence’,” arXiv:0712.2917 [hep-th].
- [16] M. Natsuume and T. Okamura, “A note on causal hydrodynamics for M-theory branes,” arXiv:0801.1797 [hep-th].

- [17] R. Baier, P. Romatschke, D. T. Son, A. O. Starinets and M. A. Stephanov, “Relativistic viscous hydrodynamics, conformal invariance, and holography,” arXiv:0712.2451 [hep-th].
- [18] A. Buchel, J. T. Liu and A. O. Starinets, “Coupling constant dependence of the shear viscosity in N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **707**, 56 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0406264].
- [19] P. Benincasa and A. Buchel, “Transport properties of N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory at finite coupling,” JHEP **0601**, 103 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0510041].
- [20] A. Buchel, “Higher derivative corrections to near-extremal black holes in type IIB supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **750**, 45 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604167].
- [21] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics,” JHEP **0209**, 043 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205052].
- [22] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “From AdS/CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics. II: Sound waves,” JHEP **0212**, 054 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0210220].
- [23] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. A. Tseytlin, “Coupling constant dependence in the thermodynamics of N = 4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **534**, 202 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9805156].
- [24] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, “Viscosity Bound Violation in Higher Derivative Gravity,” arXiv:0712.0805 [hep-th].
- [25] O. Aharony, A. Buchel and P. Kerner, “The black hole in the throat - thermodynamics of strongly coupled cascading gauge theories,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 086005 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1768 [hep-th]].
- [26] S. de Haro, A. Sinkovics and K. Skenderis, “On alpha’ corrections to D-brane solutions,” Phys. Rev. D **68**, 066001 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0302136].