

Lorentz Gauge Theory and Spinor Interaction

N. Carlevaro ^{a, b}, O.M. Lecian ^{a, c} and G. Montani ^{a, c, d, e}

^a ICRA – International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics,
c/o Dep. of Physics - “Sapienza” Università di Roma

^b Department of Physics, Polo Scientifico – Università degli Studi di Firenze,
INFN – Section of Florence, Via G. Sansone, 1 (50019), Sesto Fiorentino (FI), Italy

^c Department of Physics - “Sapienza” Università di Roma, Piazza A. Moro, 5 (00185), Rome, Italy

^d ENEA – C.R. Frascati (Department F.P.N.), Via Enrico Fermi, 45 (00044), Frascati (Rome), Italy

^e ICRA Net – C. C. Pescara, Piazzale della Repubblica, 10 (65100), Pescara, Italy

nakia.carlevaro@icra.it lecian@icra.it montani@icra.it

Abstract: A gauge theory of the Lorentz group, based on the different behavior of spinors and vectors under local transformations, is formulated in a flat space-time and the role of the torsion field within the generalization to curved space-time is briefly discussed.

The spinor interaction with the new gauge field is then analyzed assuming the *time gauge* and stationary solutions, in the non-relativistic limit, are treated to generalize the Pauli equation.

PACS: 02.40.-k; 04.20.Fy; 04.50.+h; 11.15.-q

1 Diffeomorphisms and Lorentz Transformations

The gauge freedom of the gravitational interaction arises from the Principle of General Covariance and it is represented by the invariance under diffeomorphisms (*diff.*'s). On the other hand, the equivalence of any local reference system deals with another gauge freedom expressed by local Lorentz transformations (*Ltr.*'s). The main point is that the former gauge freedom reabsorbs the latter implying the nonexistence of independent connections of the local Lorentz group (LG). In fact, spin connections become only a particular combination of the tetrad fields and their derivatives and the local LG loses its status of independent gauge transformation

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x^\mu + \alpha^\mu{}_\nu(x) x^\nu = x^\mu + \tilde{\alpha}^\mu(x) . \quad (1)$$

This scheme is well grounded in the case of scalar or macroscopic matter (spin-less matter) [1]. In fact, from a mathematical point of view, using the tetrad formalism, *diff.*'s act as a pullback on the tetrad, while local *Ltr.*'s as a rotation of the local basis; in the case of isometric coordinate transformations, however, the latter can be restated in terms of the former. Under an isometric *diff.*, spin connections transform like tensors, and cannot be gauge potentials for such *diff.*-induced local rotations.

Conversely, if we deal with spin-1/2 matter fields, local *Ltr.*'s can no way be reabsorbed in the group of *diff.*'s. This way, fermions give back to the LG its status of independent gauge

group since they are described by a spinor representation of the LG, while the *diff.* group does not admit any.

2 Spinors and Lorentz Gauge Theory in Flat Space-time

Using the tetrad formalism (e_μ^a being vierbein vectors), the Lagrangian density of spin-1/2 fields on a $4D$ flat manifold reads

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a e_\mu^a \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{i}{2} e_\mu^a \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi, \quad (2)$$

γ_a being the Dirac matrices. Spinor fields have to recognize the isometric components of the *diff.* as a local *Ltr.* Therefore, let us now introduce a local *Ltr.* $S = S(\Lambda(x)): \psi(x) \rightarrow S\psi(x)$ and assume that the γ matrices transform locally like vectors ($S \gamma^a S^{-1} = (\Lambda^{-1})^a_b \gamma^b$). Taking into account the infinitesimal parameters $\epsilon_b^a(x) \ll 1$, we define the usual relations for the LG

$$S = I - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{ab} \tau_{ab}, \quad \tau_{ab} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_a, \gamma_b], \quad [\tau_{cd}, \tau_{ef}] = i \mathcal{F}_{cdef}^{ab} \tau_{ab}, \quad (3)$$

τ_{ab} being group generators and \mathcal{F}_{cdef}^{ab} the structure constants. In this scheme, the gauge invariance is restored by the covariant derivative

$$D_\mu \psi = (\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4} A_\mu) \psi = (\partial_\mu - \frac{i}{4} A_\mu^{ab} \tau_{ab}) \psi, \quad (4)$$

where the gauge transformation $\gamma^a e_\mu^a D_\mu \psi \rightarrow S \gamma^a e_\mu^a D_\mu \psi$ is assured by the gauge field $A_\mu = A_\mu^{ab} \tau_{ab}$ which transforms like a natural Yang-Mill field associated to the LG.

The considerations developed above can be generalized to curved space-time. In [2], a geometrical interpretation to the new Lorentz gauge field is provided, which can be identified with the tetradic projection of the *contortion field* [3]. The connections of the theory split up into two different terms: spin connections, which restore the Dirac algebra in the physical space-time and gauge connections, which guarantee the invariance under local *Ltr.*'s, respectively. Comparing the results in flat space, gauge connections can be interpreted as real gauge fields of the local LG since they are non-vanishing quantities even in flat space-time, as requested for any gauge fields.

3 Generalized Pauli Equation in Flat Space-time

We now analyze the interaction of the 4 -spinor ψ with the new LG gauge field A_μ . The implementation of the local Lorentz symmetry ($\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu$) in flat spaces, leads to the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^a e_\mu^a \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{i}{2} e_\mu^a \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi + \frac{1}{8} e_c^\mu \bar{\psi} \{\gamma^c, \tau_{ab}\} A_\mu^{ab} \psi, \quad (5)$$

where $\{\gamma^c, \tau_{ab}\} = 2 \epsilon_{abd}^c \gamma_5 \gamma^d$. To study the interaction term, let us now start from

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi} \epsilon_{abd}^c \gamma_5 \gamma^d A_c^{ab} \psi, \quad (6)$$

where $a = \{0, i\}$, $i = \{1, 2, 3\}$. This allows us to split the gauge field into A_0^{0i} , A_0^{ij} , A_γ^{0i} , A_γ^{ij} . We now impose the *time-gauge* condition $A_0^{ij} = 0$ and neglect the term A_0^{0i} since it is summed over $\epsilon_{0id}^0 \equiv 0$. By these considerations, the total Lagrangian density can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^a \partial_a \psi - \partial_a \bar{\psi} \gamma^a \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi + \bar{\psi} C_0 \gamma_5 \gamma^0 \psi + \bar{\psi} C_i \gamma_5 \gamma^i \psi, \quad (7)$$

with the identifications

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ij0}^k A_k^i, \quad C_i = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{0ji}^k A_k^{0j}, \quad (8)$$

where the component C_0 is related to rotations, while C_i with boosts. Varying now the total action built up from the Lagrangian density (7) with respect to ψ^\dagger , we get the following equation

$$(i\gamma^0\gamma^0\partial_0 + C_i\gamma^0\gamma_5\gamma^i + i\gamma^0\gamma^i\partial_i + C_0\gamma^0\gamma_5\gamma^0)\psi = m\gamma^0\psi, \quad (9)$$

which is the eq. of motion for the 4-spinor ψ interacting with the Lorentz-gauge field described here by the fields C_0 and C_i .

Let us now look for stationary solutions of the Dirac equation expressed by

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow \psi(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\mathcal{E}t}. \quad (10)$$

The 4-component spinor $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ can be expressed in terms of two 2-spinors $\chi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x})$ by writing

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi^\dagger = (\chi^\dagger, \phi^\dagger), \quad (11)$$

furthermore, let us assume the standard representation for the Dirac matrices

$$\gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ -\sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using such expressions, the 2-component spinors χ and ϕ are found to satisfy two coupled equations (here we write explicitly the 3-momentum p^i)

$$(\mathcal{E} - \sigma_i \cdot C^i)\chi - (\sigma_i \cdot p^i + C_0)\phi = m\chi, \quad (12a)$$

$$(\mathcal{E} - \sigma_i \cdot C^i)\phi - (\sigma_i \cdot p^i + C_0)\chi = -m\phi. \quad (12b)$$

These equations will be used below to study the non-relativistic limit of the Dirac-coupled equation [4].

The Non-Relativistic Limit In order to investigate the low-energy limit, we write the spinor-field energy in the form

$$\mathcal{E} = E + m. \quad (13)$$

Substituting this expression in the system (12), the coupled equations rewrite now

$$(E - \sigma_i \cdot C^i)\chi = (\sigma_i \cdot p^i + C_0)\phi, \quad (14a)$$

$$(E - \sigma_i \cdot C^i + m)\phi = (\sigma_i \cdot p^i + C_0)\chi - m\phi. \quad (14b)$$

In the non-relativistic limit, both $|E|$ and $|\sigma_i \cdot C^i|$ terms are small in comparison with the mass term m . Then, equation (14b) can then be solved approximately as

$$\phi = \frac{1}{2m} (\sigma_i \cdot p^i + C_0)\chi. \quad (15)$$

It is immediate to see that ϕ is smaller than χ by a factor of order p/m (*i.e.*, v/c where v is the magnitude of the velocity). In this scheme, the 2-component spinors ϕ and χ form the so-called *small* and *large components*, respectively [5].

Substituting the expression of the small component (15) in (14a) and using standard Pauli matrices relations, we get

$$E\chi = \frac{1}{2m} [p^2 + C_0^2 + 2C_0(\sigma_i \cdot p^i) + \sigma_i \cdot C^i]\chi. \quad (16)$$

This equation exhibits a strong analogy with the electro-magnetic case and the so-called Pauli equation and can be used in the analysis of the energy levels as in the Zeeman effect. Let us now neglect the term C_0^2 and introduce a Coulomb potential $V(r)$, through the substitution $E \rightarrow E - V(r)$, obtaining the expressions

$$H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{Ze^2}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)r}, \quad H' = \frac{1}{2m} [2C_0(\sigma_i \cdot p^i) + \sigma \cdot C^i], \quad (17)$$

which characterize the electron dynamics in a hydrogen-like atom in presence of a gauge field of the LG. It is worth noting the presence of a term related to the helicity of the 2-spinor: this coupling is controlled by the rotation-like component associated to C_0 . A Zeeman-like coupling associated to the boost-like component C_i is also present. The analysis of these interactions can be performed in a Stern-Gerlach thought experiment.

References

- [1] N. Carlevaro, O.M. Lecian and G. Montani, *Ann. Fond. L. deBroglie* **32**(2-3) (2007).
- [2] O.M. Lecian and G. Montani, in preparation (2007).
- [3] F.W. Hehl, P. Heyde, G.D. Kerlick and J.M. Nester, *Rev. Mod. Phys* **48**, 393 (1976).
- [4] I.L. Shapiro, *Phys. Rep.* **357**, 113 (2002).
- [5] F. Mandl and G. Shaw, *Quantum field th.* (J. Wiley & Sons, Chichester (UK), 1998).