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#### Abstract

The loop-Virasoro algebra is the Lie algebra of the tensor product of the Virasoro algebra and the Laurent polynomial algebra. This paper classifies irreducible HarishChandra modules over the loop-Virasoro algebra, which turn out to be highest weight modules, lowest weight modules and evaluation modules of the intermediate series (all wight spaces are 1-dimensional). As a by-product, we obtain a classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over truncated Virasoro algebras.

We also determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for highest weigh irreducible modules over the loop-Virasoro algebra to have all finite dimensional weight spaces, as well as the necessary and sufficient conditions for highest weigh Verma modules to be irreducible.


## §1. Introduction

Representations of many infinite dimensional Lie algebras have important applications in mathematics and physics. The relation to physics is well established in the book on conformal field theory [FMS]. Recently, developing representation theory for various infinite dimensional Lie algebras has attracted extensive attention of many mathematicians and physicists. Many of these Lie algebras are related to the Virasoro algebra.

The Virasoro algebra theory has been widely used in many physics areas and other mathematical branches, for example, conformal field theory [IKUX, IUK], combinatorics [M], Kac-Moody algebras $[\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{MoP}$ ], vertex algebras [DL], and so on. Let us first recall the definition of this Lie algebra.

In this paper we denote by $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $\mathbb{N}$ the set of complex numbers, integers, nonnegative integers and positive integers respectively.

The Virasoro algebra Vir $:=\operatorname{Vir}[\mathbb{Z}]($ over $\mathbb{C})$ is the Lie algebra with the basis $\left\{c, d_{i} \mid\right.$ $i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and the Lie brackets defined by

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[d_{m}, d_{n}\right]=(n-m) d_{m+n}+\delta_{m,-n} \frac{m^{3}-m}{12} c, \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z},} \\
& {\left[d_{m}, c\right]=0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The structure theory of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro algebra has been developed fairly well. For details, we refer readers to [Ma], [MP], [MZ], the book [KR] and references therein. In particular, the Kac conjecture, i.e., the classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro algebra was obtained in [Ma].

It is also well-known that simply-laced affine Kac-Moody algebras are loop algebras of finite dimensional simple Lie algebras, and their representation theory is very different from that of finite-dimensional Lie algebras, much richer and having more applications to other fields $[\mathrm{K}]$. This stimulates us to investigate the loop algebra of the Virasoro algebra, which we simply call the loop-Virasoro algebra. Other reasons for us to study the loop-Virasoro algebra are the paper $[\mathrm{Wi}]$ on truncated Virasoro algebras $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] / t^{n} \mathbb{C}[t]\right)$ and the paper [ZD] on a special truncated Virasoro algebra $W(2,2)$. We hope this theory will also have applications to physics and other areas in mathematics. Let us define the loop-Virasor algebra precisely.

The loop-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lie algebra that is the tensor product of the Virasoro Lie algebra Vir and the Laurent polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{Vir} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ with a basis $\left\{c \otimes t^{j}, d_{i} \otimes t^{j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ subject to the commutator relations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[d_{i} \otimes t^{j}, d_{k} \otimes t^{l}\right]=(k-i)\left(d_{i+k} \otimes t^{j+l}\right)+\delta_{i+k, 0} \frac{i^{3}-i}{12}\left(c \otimes t^{j+l}\right),} \\
{\left[d_{i} \otimes t^{j}, c \otimes t^{l}\right]=0 .}
\end{gathered}
$$

We see that $\mathcal{L}$ has a copy of Vir which is $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes 1$. For simplicity, we shall write $d_{i}=d_{i} \otimes 1$, $c=c \otimes 1, d_{i}(j)=d_{i} \otimes t^{j}$ and $c(j)=c \otimes t^{j}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{i}=\left(\mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \delta_{i, 0} \mathbb{C} c\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ has the natural $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation $\mathcal{L}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_{i}$. If we denote $\mathcal{L}_{+}=\bigoplus_{i>0} \mathcal{L}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{-}=\bigoplus_{i<0} \mathcal{L}_{i}$, then $\mathcal{L}$ has the triangular decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}_{-} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{0} \oplus \mathcal{L}_{+} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}_{0}$ is an infinite dimensional abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$, and that $c \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is the center of $\mathcal{L}$. The loop-Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is not a pre-exp-polynomial algebra defined in Definition 1.5 [BGLZ] since Condition (P1) cannot be satisfied. It still has similar properties to pre-exp-polynomial algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some related concepts, results for later use, and define the highest weight Verma $\mathcal{L}$-module $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ and the corresponding highest weight irreducible $\mathcal{L}$-module $V(\varphi)$ for any linear map $\varphi: \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In Section 3, using Matieu's Theorem we give a rough classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{L}$, which turn out to be highest weight modules, lowest weight modules, and uniformly bounded modules (Theorem 3.1). In Section 4, using Jacobson radical theory on finite dimensional associative algebras we prove that uniformly bounded modules over the loop-Virasoro algebra are of the intermediate series, i.e., all weight spaces are 1-dimensional. Consequently, irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over the loop-Virasoro algebra are highest weight modules, lowest weight modules and modules of the intermediate series. As a byproduct, we also obtain a classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over truncated Virasoro algebras studied in [Wi], as well as a classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over the algebra $W(2,2)$ studied in [ZD]. In Section 5, we describe weight modules over $\mathcal{L}$ with all weight spaces 1-dimensional, which we prove to be evaluation modules of the intermediate series (Theorem 5.1). In Section 6, we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions for $V(\varphi)$ to have all finite dimensional weight spaces (Theorems 6.1, 6.6), as well as the necessary and sufficient conditions for $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ to be irreducible (Theorems 6.5, 6.6). Theorem 6.4 reduces the study of highest weight irreducible modules $V(\varphi)$ with all finite dimensional weight spaces to the study of such modules over truncated Virasoro algebras $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] / t^{n} \mathbb{C}[t]\right)$ which were investigated in [Wi].

## §2. Modules over Vir

In this section, by a module it means a module over Vir, $\mathcal{L}$ or $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] / t^{n} \mathbb{C}[t]\right)$.
A module $V$ is called trivial the action of the Lie algebra is zero. For any module $V$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the subspace $V_{\lambda}:=\left\{v \in V \mid d_{0} v=\lambda v\right\}$ is called the weight space of $V$ corresponding to the weight $\lambda$. A module $V$ is called a weight module if $V$ is the sum of its weight spaces, and a weight module is called a Harish-Chandra module if all its weight spaces are finite dimensional.

For a weight module $V$, we define $\operatorname{supp} V:=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid V_{\lambda} \neq 0\right\}$, which is generally called the weight set (or the support) of $V$. A weight module $V$ is said to be uniformly bounded, if there exists $N \in I N$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V_{x}<N$ for all $x \in \operatorname{supp} V$.

Let $U:=U($ Vir $)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the Virasoro algebra Vir. For any $\dot{c}, h \in F$, let $I(\dot{c}, h)$ be the left ideal of $U$ generated by the set

$$
\left\{d_{i} \mid i>0\right\} \bigcup\left\{d_{0}-h \cdot 1, c-\dot{c} \cdot 1\right\} .
$$

The Verma module with highest weight $(\dot{c}, h)$ for Vir is defined as $\bar{M}(\dot{c}, h):=U / I(\dot{c}, h)$. It is a highest weight module of Vir and has a basis consisting of all vectors of the form

$$
d_{-i_{1}} d_{-i_{2}} \cdots d_{-i_{k}} v_{h} ; \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, i_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, i_{k} \geq \cdots \geq i_{2} \geq i_{1}>0
$$

Then we have the irreducible quotient $M(\dot{c}, h)=\bar{M}(\dot{c}, h) / J$ where $J$ is the maximal proper submodule of $\bar{M}(\dot{c}, h)$. It is well known that, if $(\dot{c}, h) \neq(0,0)$, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} M(\dot{c}, h)_{h-k}>N$. (See, for example, Claim 4 on Page 647 in [LZ2]).

It is well known that [SZ] a Vir-module of the intermediate series must be one of $V(\alpha, \beta), A_{a}, B_{b}$ for some $\alpha, \beta, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ or one of their quotient submodules, where $V(\alpha, \beta)$ (resp. $A_{a}, B_{b}$ ) has basis $\left\{v_{\alpha+i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{v_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ ) with trivial central actions and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\alpha, \beta): \quad d_{i} v_{\alpha+k}=(\alpha+k+i \beta) v_{\alpha+k+i} \\
& A_{a}: \quad d_{i} v_{k}=(k+i) v_{k+i}, \forall k \neq 0 ; \quad d_{i} v_{0}=i(i+a) v_{i} \\
& B_{b}: \quad d_{i} v_{k}=k v_{k+i}, \forall k+i \neq 0 ; \quad d_{i} v_{-i}=-i(i+b) v_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bases given above are called the standard bases of the corresponding modules. It is known that $V(\alpha, \beta) \cong V(\alpha+1, \beta), \forall \alpha, \beta$ and that $V(\alpha, 0) \cong V(\alpha, 1)$ if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$. It is also clear that $V(0,0)$ and $B_{b}$ both have $\mathbb{C} v_{0}$ as a submodule, and their corresponding quotients are isomorphic, which we denote by $V^{\prime}(0,0)$. Dually, $V(0,1)$ and $A_{a}$ both have $\mathbb{C} v_{0}$ as a quotient module, and their corresponding submodules are isomorphic to $V^{\prime}(0,0)$. For convenience we simply write $V^{\prime}(a, b)=V(a, b)$ when $V(a, b)$ is irreducible.

Let us consider the graded dual modules of the above modules. Using the standard basis for $V=A_{a}$, we define $v_{i}^{*} \in V^{*}$ such that $v_{i}^{*}\left(v_{-j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$. As a Vir-module, it is easy to verify that the dual module $V^{*}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} v_{i}^{*}$ is isomorphic to $B_{a}$ with standard basis $\left\{v_{i}^{*} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$, i.e., $A_{a}^{*} \simeq B_{a}$. Similarly, $V(a, b)^{*} \simeq V(a, 1-b)$.

Modules of the intermediate series are indecomposable weight modules with all weight spaces 1-dimensional.

For any Vir-module of intermediate series $V$, we can define the evaluation module $V(e)$ for any nonzero $e \in \mathbb{C}$ over $\mathcal{L}$ as follows: $V(e)=V$ as vector spaces and the actions of $\mathcal{L}$ on $V(e)$ is given by $\left(d_{i} \otimes t^{m}\right) u=e^{m} d_{i} u, \quad \forall u \in V$.

The evaluation module $M(\dot{c}, h)(a)$ over $\mathcal{L}$ were studied in [W]. We will see in Section 7 that these irreducible modules are only a small portion of highest weight irreducible modules over $\mathcal{L}$.

Similar to the definition in [RZ] or in [BGLZ], now we define highest weight modules
over $\mathcal{L}$. For any linear map $\varphi: \mathcal{L}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi\left(d_{0}\right)=\lambda$, we define the 1 -dimensional $\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}+\mathcal{L}_{+}\right)$-module $\mathbb{C} v_{0}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{i} v_{0}=0, \text { if } i>0 ; x v_{0}=\varphi(x) v_{0}, \quad \forall x \in L_{0} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the induced $\mathcal{L}$-module

$$
\bar{V}(\varphi)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{L}_{+}+\mathcal{L}_{0}}^{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{C} v_{0}=U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U\left(\mathcal{L}_{+}+\mathcal{L}_{0}\right)} \mathbb{C} v_{0}
$$

where $U(\mathcal{L})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathcal{L}$. It is clear that, as vector spaces, $\bar{V}(\varphi) \simeq U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right)$. The module $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ has a unique maximal proper submodule $J$. Then we obtain the irreducible module

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\varphi)=\frac{\bar{V}(\varphi)}{J} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This module is called a highest weight module over $\mathcal{L}$. We see that $V(\varphi)$ is uniquely determined by the linear function $\varphi$, and that $V(\varphi)=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} V_{\lambda-i}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\lambda-i}=\left\{v \in V(\varphi) \mid d_{0} v=(\lambda-i) v\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we can define highest weigh modules over truncated Virasoro algebras Vir $\otimes$ $\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle\right)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

## §3. Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{L}$

In this section, we shall give a rough classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., we shall prove the following

Theorem 3.1. Let $V$ be an irreducible Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{L}$-module. Then $V$ is either $a$ highest weight module, a lowest weight module or a uniformly bounded module.

Proof. Assume that $V$ is not uniformly bounded. As a Vir module, suppose that $W$ is a minimal Vir submodule of $V$ such that $V / W$ is trivial and that $T$ is the largest trivial Vir submodule of $W$.

The result in [MP] ensures that the Vir-submodule decomposition $\bar{W}:=W /(W \cap T) \cong$ $\bar{W}^{+} \oplus \bar{W}^{-} \oplus \bar{W}^{0}$ where $\operatorname{supp}\left(\bar{W}^{+}\right)$is upper bounded, $\operatorname{supp}\left(\bar{W}^{-}\right)$is lower bounded and $\bar{W}^{0}$ is uniformly bounded. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\bar{W}^{+}$is nontrivial. For any $w \in W$, we denote by $\bar{w}$ the image of $w$ in $\bar{W}$.

Since the action of $c$ on $V$ is a scalar, we consider two cases according to the action of $c$.
Case 1. The action of $c$ is zero.
Let $\mu$ be the highest weight of $\bar{W}^{+}$. Take any $v_{1} \in W_{\mu}^{+}$such that $\bar{v}_{1} \neq 0$.

In case that $\mu \neq 0$, we set $\lambda=\mu$ and that $v_{0}=v_{1}$.
In case that $\mu=0$, we consider the Vir module $U($ Vir $) v_{1}$. Because of the choice of $W, U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1} /\left(U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1} \cap T\right)$ is a highest weight Vir submodule of $\bar{W}^{+}$and $U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1}=$ $U\left(\operatorname{Vir}_{-}\right) v_{1}+\left(U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1} \cap T\right)$ as vector spaces. It is easy to see that there exists a weight vector $v_{0} \in U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1}$ with weight -1 (i.e., $d_{0} v_{0}=-v_{0}$ ) such that $\overline{v_{0}}$ is a highest weight vector in $U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1} /\left(U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{1} \cap T\right)$, i.e., $d_{i} v_{0}=0$ for all $i \in I N$. Let $\lambda=-1$ be the weight of $v_{0}$.

Now in both cases $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $M=U(\operatorname{Vir}) v_{0}$ and $T^{\prime}=T \cap M$. Similarly we have that $M / T^{\prime} \subset \bar{W}^{+}$is a nontrivial highest weight Vir module and that $M=U\left(\operatorname{Vir}_{-}\right) v_{0}+T^{\prime}$ as vector spaces. Let $M^{\prime}$ be the largest Vir submodule of $M$ with $M_{\lambda}^{\prime}=0$. Then $T^{\prime} \subset M^{\prime}$, and $M / M^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to the nontrivial irreducible Vir module $M(0, \lambda)$.

There is some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} M(0, \lambda)_{\lambda-k}>\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}$. For any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the linear map:

$$
d_{k} \otimes t^{i}: M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow V_{\lambda}
$$

where $M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime \prime}$ is a complement of $M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime}$ in $M_{\lambda-k}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime \prime} \geq \operatorname{dim} M(0, \lambda)_{\lambda-k}>\operatorname{dim} V_{\lambda}$ there is some $w_{i} \in M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime \prime} \backslash\{0\} \subset M_{\lambda-k} \backslash M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime}$ such that $\left(d_{k} \otimes t^{i}\right) w_{i}=0$.

Choose $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda+j \neq 0$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $j>k_{0}$. For any $j>k_{0}$, noticing that $\left(M^{\prime} / T^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda+j}=0,\left(M / M^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda+j}=0$, and $d_{k+j} w_{i} \in M_{\lambda+j}$, then $M_{\lambda+j}=0$ and $d_{k+j} w_{i}=0$ for any $j>k_{0}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus we have that

$$
0=d_{k+j}\left(d_{k} \otimes t^{i}\right) w_{i}=-j\left(d_{2 k+j} \otimes t^{i}\right) w_{i}, \forall j>k_{0}
$$

Since $w_{i} \in M_{\lambda-k} \backslash M_{\lambda-k}^{\prime}$, then there are some $d_{i_{1}}, \ldots, d_{i_{r}} \in \operatorname{Vir}$ with $i_{1}, \ldots i_{r}>0, i_{1}+\ldots+i_{r}=$ $k$, such that $0 \neq d_{i_{1}} \ldots d_{i_{r}} \bar{w}_{i} \in\left(M / M^{\prime}\right)_{\lambda}=M_{\lambda} / M_{\lambda}^{\prime}$. since $M_{\lambda}^{\prime}=0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, we have that $0 \neq d_{i_{1}} \ldots d_{i_{r}} w_{i} \in M_{\lambda}=\mathbb{C} v_{0}$. Thus there is some $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $a d_{i_{1}} \ldots d_{i_{r}} w_{i}=v_{0}$.

Using the fact that $\left(d_{2 k+j} \otimes t^{i}\right) w_{i}=0$ for all $j>k_{0}$, we deduce that $\left(d_{2 k+j} \otimes t^{i}\right) v_{0}=$ $\left(d_{2 k+j} \otimes t^{i}\right)\left(a d_{i_{1}} \ldots d_{i_{r}}\right) w_{i}=0$, for any $j>k_{0}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $V^{+}=\left\{v \in V \mid\right.$ there is some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left(d_{j} \otimes t^{i}\right) v=0$, for any $\left.j>n, i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$. Clearly, $V^{+} \neq 0$, and it is straightforward to check that $V^{+}$is an $\mathcal{L}$-submodule of $V$. Thus $V=V^{+}$.

If $\bar{W}^{-} \neq 0$ or $\bar{W}^{0} \neq 0$, by Lemma 1.6 in [Ma] or the structure of $\bar{W}^{0}$ there exists an element $v$ in $W$ which cannot be annihilated by $d_{k}$ for all sufficiently large $k$. So $v \notin V^{+}$, a contradiction. We obtain that $\bar{W}^{-}=0$ and $\bar{W}^{0}=0$. Then $\operatorname{supp}(V)$ itself is upper bounded. Therefore $V$ is a highest weight $\mathcal{L}$-module.

Case 2. The action of $c$ is nonzero.
This case is much simpler. Since $c$ acts as a nonzero scalar, $V$ does not possess any trivial sub-quotient Vir modules. Then in the argument of Case $1, V=W=\bar{W}$ and $\bar{W}^{0}=0$. The argument of the proof for Case 1 with minor modifications goes more smoothly.

Applying Theorem 3.1 to the the truncated Virasoro algebra $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] / t^{n} \mathbb{C}[t]\right) \simeq \operatorname{Vir} \otimes$ $\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] /(t+1)^{n} \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$ we can classify irreducible Harish-Chandra module over the truncated Virasoro algebra. (See [Wi] for the definitions).

Corollary 3.2. Let $V$ be an irreducible Harish-Chandra module over the truncated Virasoro algebra $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $V$ is either a highest weight module, a lowest weight module or a uniformly bounded module.

## §4. Complete classification of Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{L}$

In this section we shall give a complete classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules over $\mathcal{L}$. We first prove the result for the truncated Virasoro algebra $G=\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{2}\right\rangle\right)$. In $G$, we shall write $d_{i}=d_{i} \otimes 1, c=c \otimes 1, d_{i}^{\prime}=d_{i} \otimes t$ and $c^{\prime}=c \otimes t$. Let $H$ be the abelian subalgebra of $G$ spanned by $d_{i}^{\prime}, c^{\prime}(i \in \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $V$ be a uniformly bounded irreducible $G$-module. Then $H V=0$. Consequently, $V$ is an irreducible weight module over Vir $=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$.

Proof. We first introduce some notations. Denote by $U(G)$ and $U(H)$ the universal enveloping algebras of $G$ and $H$ respectively. Note that $U(H)$ is a commutative associative polynomial algebra in the variables: $d_{i}^{\prime}, c(i \in \mathbb{Z})$. Let $U(G)_{i}=\left\{x \in U(G) \mid\left[d_{0}, x\right]=i x\right\}$ and $U(H)_{i}=\left\{x \in U(H) \mid\left[d_{0}, x\right]=i x\right\}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $U(G)_{0}$ and $U(H)_{0}$ are associative algebras and $U(H)_{0} \subset U(G)_{0}$. Then $\mathcal{H}=U(G)_{0} \cap(U(G) H U(G))$ is an ideal of $U(G)_{0}$.

Consider the weight space decomposition $V=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{\alpha+i}$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We may assume that $\operatorname{dim} V_{\alpha+i} \leq n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We know that $\mathcal{L}_{i} V_{\alpha+j} \subset V_{\alpha+i+j}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there exists a nonzero homogeneous vector $v \in V_{\alpha+i_{0}}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d_{0}^{\prime} v=a v$, i.e., $\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right) v=0$. For any fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist monomials $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n} \in U(G)_{i-i_{0}}$ of the form $x_{k}=d_{j_{1}} d_{j_{2}} \cdots d_{j_{s}} x_{k}^{\prime}$ where $j_{1} \leq j_{2} \leq \cdots \leq j_{s}$ ( $s$ depends on $k$ ) and $x_{k}^{\prime} \in U(H)$, such that $V_{\alpha+i}=\operatorname{span}\left\{x_{k} v: 1 \leq k \leq n\right\}$. It is easy to check that $\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)^{s+1} x_{k} v=0$. Thus $\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)$ is nilpotent on each $V_{\alpha+i}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} V_{\alpha+i} \leq n$ we know that $\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)^{n}=0$ on each $V_{\alpha+i}$. Consequently $\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)^{n} V=0$.

Computing $d_{j}^{r}\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)^{n} V=0$ we deduce that $\left(d_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{r}\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)^{n-r} V=0$ for any $j \neq 0$.

Claim 1. $a=0$.
To the contrary, suppose $a \neq 0$. Since $c^{\prime}$ acts as a scalar on $V$. we still denote this scalar as $c^{\prime}$. Choose $i_{0} \in I N$ such that $2 i_{0} a+\frac{i_{0}^{3}-i_{0}}{12} c^{\prime} \neq 0$. Suppose $m$ is minimal such that $\left(d_{i_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{m} V=0$. Since $V \neq 0, m>0$. Computing $d_{-i_{0}}\left(d_{i_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{m} V=0$ we deduce that $\left(d_{i_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{m-1}\left(2 i_{0} d_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{i_{0}^{3}-i_{0}}{12} c^{\prime}\right) V=0$. Combining with $\left(d_{i_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{m-1}\left(d_{0}^{\prime}-a\right)^{n-m+1} V=0$ we obtain $\left(d_{i_{0}}^{\prime}\right)^{m-1} V=0$ which contradicts the choice of $m$. Thus Claim 1 follows.

From the proof of Claim 1 we also see that $c^{\prime} V=0$.
Claim 2. For any $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}, d_{i_{1}}^{\prime} d_{i_{2}}^{\prime} \cdots d_{i_{n}}^{\prime} V=0$.
We first show by induction on $r: 0 \leq r \leq n$ that $d_{i_{1}}^{\prime} d_{i_{2}}^{\prime} \cdots d_{i_{r}}^{\prime}\left(d_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{n-r} V=0$ For any $i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The statement for $r=0$ is trivial. Suppose it is true for $r(<n)$. Applying $d_{i_{r+1}}$ to $d_{i_{1}}^{\prime} d_{i_{2}}^{\prime} \cdots d_{i_{r}}^{\prime}\left(d_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{n-r} V=0$ and using the inductive hypothesis we deduce that $d_{i_{1}}^{\prime} d_{i_{2}}^{\prime} \cdots d_{i_{r+1}}^{\prime}\left(d_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{n-r-1} V=0$. Claim 2 follows.

From Claim 2 we see that $H^{n} V=0$. Then $U(G) H^{n} U(G) V=0$. It is not hard to verify that $(U(G) H U(G))^{n}=U(G) H^{n} U(G)$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{n} V=0$.

Let $f$ be the representation of $U(G)_{0}$ on $V_{\alpha+i}$ for an arbitrary fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $V_{\alpha+i}$ is a finite-dimensional simple faithful module over the associative algebra $U(G)_{0} / \operatorname{ker}(f)$, $U(G)_{0} / \operatorname{ker}(f)$ is a finite-dimensional simple associative algebra and its Jacobson radical $J=0$. Since $((\mathcal{H}+\operatorname{ker}(f)) / \operatorname{ker}(f))^{n} V_{\alpha+i}=0$, we have $((\mathcal{H}+\operatorname{ker}(f)) / \operatorname{ker}(f))^{n}=0$. Hence $(\mathcal{H}+\operatorname{ker}(f)) / \operatorname{ker}(f) \subset J=0$ (see [Lemma 9.7.2, A]). Therefore $(\mathcal{H}+\operatorname{ker}(f)) / \operatorname{ker}(f)=0$, i.e., $\mathcal{H} \in \operatorname{ker}(f)$. In particular, $d_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{H}$, that is, $d_{0} V=0$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $k d_{k}^{\prime} V=\left[d_{0}^{\prime} d_{k}\right] V=0$, we know $H V=0$.
Theorem 4.2. Let $\mathcal{L}(n)=\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle\right)$ where $n \geq 2$. Suppose that $V$ is a nontrivial uniformly bounded irreducible $\mathcal{L}(n)$ module. Then $(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t \mathbb{C}[t]) V=0$ and $V$ is an irreducible weight module over Vir $=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$.

Proof. We will show this theorem by induction on $n$. The result for $n=2$ is Theorem 4.1. Now we suppose that the theorem is true for $n(\geq 2)$ and that $V$ is a uniformly bounded irreducible $\mathcal{L}(n+1)$ module. Let $L^{\prime}=\operatorname{Vir} \oplus\left(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t^{n}\right)$. Then $V$ can be viewed as an $L^{\prime}$ module, which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(2) \simeq G$. From Theorem 4.1 we know that $V$ has an irreducible $L^{\prime}$ submodule $V^{\prime}$ on which Vir $\otimes t^{n}$ act trivially. Hence we have that $V=U(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes 1) U\left(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t^{1}\right) \cdot U\left(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t^{n-1}\right) V^{\prime}$. It is easy to verify that $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t^{n}$ acts trivially on $V$. Thus $V$ is a module over $\mathcal{L}(n) \simeq \mathcal{L}(n+1) /\left(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t^{n}\right)$ (as a natural quotient algebra of $\mathcal{L}(n+1))$. By the induction hypothesis, we know that $(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t \mathbb{C}[t]) V=0$ and $V$ is an
irreducible weight module over Vir $=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$.
Theorem 4.3. Let $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ have a nonzero constant term and be of degree $\geq 1$, $L(f)=\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] /\langle f\rangle\right)$. If $V$ is a nontrivial uniformly bounded irreducible $L(f)$ module, Then $V$ is an irreducible weight module over Vir $=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$. Consequently, all weight spaces of $V$ are 1-dimensional.

Proof. If $f(t)=p(t) q(t)$ with nonconstant $p(t), q(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ being relatively prime, then we have a natural isomorphism $\varphi: L(f) \rightarrow L(p) \oplus L(q)$ with $\varphi\left(d_{0}\right)=\left(d_{0}, d_{0}\right)$. (Note the different meanings of each $d_{0}$.) We consider $L(p) \oplus L(q)$ in stead of $L(f)$.

Claim 1. $\left(d_{0}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0, d_{0}\right)$ are diagonalizable on $V$.
Suppose that $V=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}$, where $V_{i}=\left\{v \in V \mid d_{0} v=(\alpha+i) v\right\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\left[\left(d_{0}, d_{0}\right),\left(0, d_{0}\right)\right]=0$ and $\left[\left(d_{0}, d_{0}\right),\left(d_{0}, 0\right)\right]=0$, there exist a nonzero $v \in V_{i}$ such that $\left(d_{0}, 0\right) v=\lambda v$ and $\left(0, d_{0}\right) v=\mu v$. Since $\left(0, d_{0}\right)$ and $\left(0, d_{0}\right)$ are diagonalizable on $L(f)$ and $V$ is irreducible over $L(f)$, then $\left(d_{0}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0, d_{0}\right)$ are diagonalizable on $V=U(L(f)) v$. Claim 1 follows.

Now we suppose eigenvalues of $\left(d_{0}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0, d_{0}\right)$ are in $\lambda+\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu+\mathbb{Z}$ respectively with $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)<1$ and $0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(\mu)<1$.

Claim 2. Either $L(p)$ or $L(q)$ acts trivially on $V$.
Suppose on the contrary that both $L(p)$ and $L(q)$ act nontrivially on $V$. Considered as an $L(p)$ module, $V$ has a weight space decomposition: $V \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(k, *)}$ where $V_{(k, *)}=\{v \in$ $\left.V \mid\left(d_{0}, 0\right) v=(\lambda+k) v\right\}$, and $V_{(k, *)} \neq 0, \forall k \neq 0$. Note that each $V_{(k, *)}$ is an $L(q)$ module since $[L(p), L(q)]=0$.

If $L(q) V_{(k, *)}=0$ for any fixed $k \neq 0$, then $L(q)$ acts trivially on $V=U(L(p)) V_{(k, *)}$. So $L(q) V_{(k, *)} \neq 0$. We then have that $V_{(k, *)} \cong \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(k, j)}$, where $V_{(k, j)}=\left\{v \in V_{(k, *)} \mid\left(0, d_{0}\right) v=\right.$ $(\mu+j) v\}$, and $V_{(k, j)} \neq 0, \forall j \neq 0$.

Clearly, all $V_{(k, j)}$ intersects trivially with each other for different $(k, j)$, and $V_{\lambda+\mu}=\{v \in$ $\left.V \mid\left(d_{0}, d_{0}\right) v=(\lambda+\mu) v\right\}$ contains $\oplus_{k+j=0} V_{(k, j)}$ which is infinite dimensional. Contradiction! Thus $L(p)$ or $L(q)$ acts trivially on $V$. Claim 2 follows.

Now we can write $f(t)=f_{1}(t) f_{2}(t) \cdots f_{m}(t)$ where $f_{k}(t)=\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{n_{k}}$ and $a_{k} \neq 0$ are pairwise distinct complex numbers. Applying Claim 2 and using induction on $m$, we can easily prove that $V$ can be viewed as a uniformly bounded irreducible weight module over $L\left(f_{k}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left(x^{n_{k}} \mathbb{C}[x]\right)\right)$ for some $k$. Then by Theorem 4.2, $V$ is an irreducible weight
module over Vir $=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$. Consequently, all weight spaces of $V$ are 1-dimensional and $($ Vir $\otimes x \mathbb{C}[x]) V=0$.

Now we are ready to prove
Theorem 4.4. If $V$ is a uniformly bounded nontrivial irreducible module over $\mathcal{L}$, then all weight spaces of $V$ are 1-dimensional.

Proof. From Theorem 4.3 we need only to show that there exists $R(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $\left(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes R(t) \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right) V=0$.

Suppose that $V=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}$, where $V_{i}=\left\{v \in V \mid d_{0} v=(\alpha+i) v\right\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. We may assume that $V_{i} \neq 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\alpha+i \neq 0$. Take a basis $\left\{v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, \cdots, v^{(p)}\right\}$ of $V_{i}$ for a fixed $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $j \neq 0$, we define a linear map:

$$
\varphi_{j}: \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] \rightarrow V_{i+j}=V_{i+j} \oplus V_{i+j} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{i+j}
$$

by $\varphi_{j}(P(t))=\left(\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right) v^{(1)},\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right) v^{(2)}, \cdots,\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right) v^{(p)}\right)$. Clearly $\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right) V_{i}=0$ for any $P(t) \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{j}$.

For any $v \in V_{i}, P(t) \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi_{j}$ and $Q(t) \in \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$, we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
j\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t) Q(t)\right) v=\left[d_{0} \otimes Q(t), d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right] v \\
=\left(d_{0} \otimes Q(t)\right)\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right) v-\left(d_{j} \otimes P(t)\right)\left(d_{0} \otimes Q(t)\right) v=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then ker $\varphi_{j}$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. Since $\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is a principal ideal domain, there exists $P_{j}(t) \in$ $\mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term and $\operatorname{deg} P_{j}(t) \leq \operatorname{dim} V_{i} \operatorname{dim} V_{i+j}$ such that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi_{j}=\left\langle P_{j}(t)\right\rangle$. Since $V$ is uniformly bounded, we may suppose that $\operatorname{deg} P_{j}(t) \leq q$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $\left[d_{1} \otimes P_{1}(t), d_{2} \otimes P_{2}(t)\right] v=\left(d_{3} \otimes P_{1}(t) P_{2}(t)\right) v=0, \forall v \in V_{i}$, then $P_{3}(t) \mid P_{1}(t) P_{2}(t)$. Inductively, we can easily get that $P_{j}(t) \mid P_{1}^{j-2}(t) P_{2}(t), \forall j \geq 3$. Similarly, we also get that $P_{-j}(t) \mid P_{-1}^{j-2}(t) P_{-2}(t), \forall j \geq 3$.

Now let $R(t)=P_{1}^{q}(t) P_{2}(t) P_{-1}^{q}(t) P_{-2}(t)$. Since $\operatorname{deg} P_{j}(t) \leq q$ we can easily see that $P_{j}(t) \mid R(t)$ for any nonzero $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $Q(t) \in\langle R(t)\rangle$, we can write $Q(t)=Q_{1}(t) Q_{-1}(t)=$ $Q_{2}(t) Q_{-2}(t)$ where $Q_{j}(t) \in\left\langle P_{j}(t)\right\rangle, j \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 2\}$. Then consider $\left[d_{-1} \otimes Q_{-1}(t), d_{1} \otimes Q_{1}(t)\right]=$ $2 d_{0} \otimes Q(t)$ and $\left[d_{-2} \otimes Q_{-2}(t), d_{2} \otimes Q_{2}(t)\right]=4 d_{0} \otimes Q(t)-(c \otimes Q(t)) / 2$, acting on $V_{i}$, we get that $\left(d_{0} \otimes Q(t)\right) V_{i}=0$ and $(c \otimes Q(t)) V_{i}=0$. That is, $(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\langle R(t)\rangle) V_{i}=0$.

Since $V=U(\mathcal{L}) V_{i}$, using the PBW basis it is not hard to deduce that $(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\langle R(t)\rangle) V=0$. This completes the proof.

Combining Theorems 3.1 and 4.4 we obtain
Theorem 4.5. Let $V$ be an irreducible Harish-Chandra $\mathcal{L}$-module. Then $V$ is either a highest weight module, a lowest weight module or a module of the intermediate series.

From Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 4.2 we have
Theorem 4.6. Let $V$ be an irreducible Harish-Chandra module over the truncated Virasoro algebra $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $V$ is either a highest weight module, a lowest weight module or module $V^{\prime}(a, b)$ over the Virasoro algebra Vir $=\operatorname{span}\left\{d_{i}, c \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ with $(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t \mathbb{C}[t]) V=0$.

This corollary with $n=2$ classifies all irreducible Harish-Chandra module over the algebra $W(2,2)$ studied in [ZD]. The classification problem for $W(2,2)$ was also discussed in [LiZ].

The method in this section (mainly Theorems 4.1 and 4.2) does not apply to the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, that is, computations in Sect. 4 in [LZ3] cannot be simplified.

## §5. Evaluation modules of the intermediate series

In this section, we shall determine all indecomposable weight $\mathcal{L}$-modules with all weight spaces 1-dimensional which we have called modules of the intermediate series.

We shall denote the 1-dimensional trivial module over Vir or $\mathcal{L}$ by $T$.
Theorem 5.1. Modules of the intermediate series over $\mathcal{L}$ are all evaluation modules of the intermediate series: $V(a, b)(e), A_{a}(e), B_{a}(e), V^{\prime}(0,0)(e)$ for some $a, b, e \in \mathbb{C}$ with $e \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $V$ be an $\mathcal{L}$-module of the intermediate series. Considered as a Vir-module, $V$ must be one of $V(\alpha, \beta), A_{a}, B_{b}, V^{\prime}(0,0) \oplus T$ or $V^{\prime}(0,0)$. If $V \simeq A_{a}$ we set $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$; if $V \simeq B_{b}$, or $V^{\prime}(0,0) \oplus T$ or $V^{\prime}(0,0)$, we set $\alpha=\beta=0$.

We have the weight space decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}$, where $V_{i}=\left\{u \in V \mid d_{0} u=\right.$ $(\alpha+i) u\}$. Assume that $\left\{v_{i} \in V_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ is the standard basis of $V$ defined as before, except for the case $V \cong V^{\prime}(0,0)$ where we assign $v_{0}=0$.

Now suppose that $\left(d_{i} \otimes t^{m}\right) v_{k}=f(i, k, m) v_{i+k}$. Applying $\left[d_{i} \otimes t^{m}, d_{j} \otimes t^{n}\right]=\left((j-i) d_{i+j}+\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{12} \delta_{i+j, 0}\left(i^{3}-i\right) c\right) \otimes t^{m+n}$ to $v_{k}$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(i, k+j, m) f(j, k, n)-f(i, k, m) f(j, k+i, n)=(j-i) f(i+j, k, m+n) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i, j, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i+j \neq 0($ and $(\alpha+k)(\alpha+k+i)(\alpha+k+j)(\alpha+k+i+j) \neq 0$ if $\left.V=V^{\prime}(0,0)\right)$.

Case 1. $V \cong V(\alpha, \beta)$ as Vir-module.

Since $d_{i} v_{k}=(\alpha+k+i \beta) v_{i+k}$, we see that $f(i, k, 0)=\alpha+k+i \beta$, for all $i, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Taking $j=i$ and $m=0$ in (5.1), we obtain that $f(i, k+i, 0) f(i, k, n)-f(i, k, 0) f(i, k+$ $i, n)=0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(i, k, n):(\alpha+k+i \beta)=f(i, k+i, n):(\alpha+k+i+i \beta) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $k_{i}=-\alpha-i \beta$.
From (5.2) with $i=1$, we obtain that, for the case $\alpha+\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{f\left(1, k_{1}-i, n\right)}{\alpha+\beta+k_{1}-i}=F_{1}^{-}(n), \text { and } \frac{f\left(1, k_{1}+i, n\right)}{\alpha+\beta+k_{1}+i}=F_{1}^{+}(n), \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} ;  \tag{5.3}\\
f\left(1, k_{1}, n\right)=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F_{1}^{+}(n)$ and $F_{1}^{-}(n)$ are scalars depending on $n$. If $\alpha+\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we have simpler formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{-}(n)=F_{1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(1, k, n)}{\alpha+\beta+k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $F_{1}^{ \pm}(0)=1$.
From (5.2) with $i=-1$, we obtain that, for the case $\alpha-\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{-1}^{-}(n)=\frac{f\left(-1, k_{-1}-i, n\right)}{\alpha-\beta+k_{-1}-i}, \text { and } F_{-1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f\left(-1, k_{-1}+i, n\right)}{\alpha-\beta+k_{-1}+i}, \quad \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.6}\\
f\left(-1, k_{-1}, n\right)=0 ; \tag{5.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F_{-1}^{ \pm}(n)$ are scalars depending on $n$. If $\alpha-\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we have simpler formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{-1}^{-}(n)=F_{-1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(-1, k, n)}{\alpha-\beta+k}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $F_{-1}^{ \pm}(0)=1$.
From (5.2) with $i=2$, we obtain that, for the case $\alpha+2 \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{2}^{-}(n)=\frac{f\left(2, k_{2}-2 i, n\right)}{\alpha+2 \beta+k_{2}-2 i}, \text { and } F_{2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f\left(2, k_{2}+2 i, n\right)}{\alpha+2 \beta+k_{2}+2 i}, \quad \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.9}\\
f\left(2, k_{2}, n\right)=0 ;  \tag{5.10}\\
G_{2}(n)=\frac{f\left(2, k_{2}+2 i+1, n\right)}{\alpha+2 \beta+k_{2}+2 i+1}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} ; \tag{5.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F_{2}^{ \pm}(n)$ and $G_{2}(n)$ are scalars depending on $n$. In the case that $\alpha+2 \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we fix $k_{2}=0$ for the sake of consistence although it is an abuse of $k_{2}$. Thus we have simpler formulas

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{2}^{-}(n)=F_{2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f\left(2, k_{2}+2 i, n\right)}{\alpha+2 \beta+k_{2}+2 i}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} ;  \tag{5.12}\\
G_{2}(n)=\frac{f\left(2, k_{2}+2 i+1, n\right)}{\alpha+2 \beta+k_{2}+2 i+1}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} ; \tag{5.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that $F_{2}^{ \pm}(0)=G_{2}(0)=1$.
From (5.2) with $i=-2$, we obtain that, for the case $\alpha-2 \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{-2}^{-}(n)=\frac{f\left(-2, k_{-2}-2 i, n\right)}{\alpha-2 \beta+k_{-2}-2 i}, \text { and } F_{-2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f\left(-2, k_{-2}+2 i, n\right)}{\alpha-2 \beta+k_{-2}+2 i}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} ;  \tag{5.14}\\
\quad f\left(-2, k_{-2}, n\right)=0 ;  \tag{5.15}\\
G_{-2}(n)=\frac{f\left(-2, k_{-2}+2 i+1, n\right)}{\alpha-2 \beta+k_{-2}+2 i+1}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F_{-2}^{ \pm}(n)$ and $G_{-2}(n)$ are scalars depending on $n$. In the case of $\alpha-2 \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we fix $k_{2}=0$ for the sake of consistence although it is an abuse of $k_{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{-2}^{-}(n)=F_{-2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f\left(-2, k_{-2}-2 i, n\right)}{\alpha-2 \beta+k_{-2}-2 i}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} ;  \tag{5.17}\\
G_{-2}(n)=\frac{f\left(-2, k_{-2}+2 i+1, n\right)}{\alpha-2 \beta+k_{-2}+2 i+1}, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} ; \tag{5.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that $F_{-2}^{ \pm}(0)=G_{-2}(0)=1$.
Taking $i=0$ in (5.1), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(j, k, n)(f(0, k+j, m)-f(0, k, m))=j f(j, k, m+n) . \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k \gg 0$, using (5.3) and (5.5) to (5.19) with $j=1$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{+}(m+n)=F_{1}^{+}(n)(f(0, k+1, m)-f(0, k, m)), \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $m=-n$, we see that $1=F_{1}^{+}(n)(f(0, k+1,-n)-f(0, k,-n))$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{+}(n) \neq 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.20) we also deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{+}(n)=(f(0, k+1,1)-f(0, k, 1))^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \gg 0 . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (5.20-22), we can deduce that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{ \pm 1}^{ \pm}(n) \neq 0, F_{ \pm 2}^{ \pm}(n) \neq 0, G_{ \pm 2}(n) \neq 0 ;  \tag{5.23}\\
F_{1}^{-}(n)=(f(0, k+1,1)-f(0, k, 1))^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ll 0 ;  \tag{5.24}\\
F_{-1}^{+}(n)=(f(0, k, 1)-f(0, k-1,1))^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \gg 0 ;  \tag{5.25}\\
F_{-1}^{-}(n)=(f(0, k, 1)-f(0, k-1,1))^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ll 0 ;  \tag{5.26}\\
F_{2}^{+}(n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(0, k+2,1)-f(0, k, 1))\right)^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \gg 0, k \equiv k_{2}(\bmod 2) ;  \tag{5.27}\\
F_{2}^{-}(n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(0, k+2,1)-f(0, k, 1))\right)^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ll 0, k \equiv k_{2}(\bmod 2) ;  \tag{5.28}\\
F_{-2}^{+}(n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(0, k, 1)-f(0, k-2,1))\right)^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \gg 0 ; k \equiv k_{-2}(\bmod 2) ;  \tag{5.29}\\
F_{-2}^{-}(n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(0, k, 1)-f(0, k-2,1))\right)^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \ll 0, k \equiv k_{-2}(\bmod 2) ;  \tag{5.30}\\
G_{2}(n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(0, k+2,1)-f(0, k, 1))\right)^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \equiv k_{2}+1(\bmod 2) ;  \tag{5.31}\\
G_{-2}(n)=\left(\frac{1}{2}(f(0, k, 1)-f(0, k-2,1))\right)^{n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \equiv k_{2}+1(\bmod 2) . \tag{5.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (5.24) and (5.25) we see that $f(0, k, 1)-f(0, k-1,1)=f(0, k+j, 1)-f(0, k-1+j, 1)$ and $f(0,-k-j, 1)-f(0,-k-j-1,1)=f(0,-k, 1)-f(0,-k-1,1)$ for $k \gg 0$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Using these formulas and (5.22)-(5.32) we deduce that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{1}^{+}(n)=F_{-1}^{+}(n)=F_{2}^{+}(n)=F_{-2}^{+}(n)=G_{2}(n) \\
=G_{-2}(n)=F_{1}^{-}(n)=F_{-1}^{-}(n)=F_{2}^{-}(n)=F_{-2}^{-}(n)=e^{n}, \quad \forall n \in Z \tag{5.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

for some nonzero $e \in \mathbb{C}$.
Now we have obtained that

$$
\left(d_{i} \otimes t^{m}\right) v_{k}=(\alpha+i \beta+k) e^{m} v_{i+k}, \quad \forall k, m \in \mathbb{Z}, i= \pm 1, \pm 2
$$

Since the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is generated by $d_{i} \otimes t^{m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}, i= \pm 1, \pm 2, V$ has to be the evaluation module $V(\alpha, \beta)(e)$.

Case 2. $V \cong B_{b}$ as a Vir-module.

In this case, we have $\alpha=\beta=0$, and $f(i, k, 0)=(\alpha+i \beta+k)$ except that $f(i,-i, 0)=$ $-i(i+b)$. Thus the formula (5.1) still holds. We replace (5.2) with

$$
f(i, k, n): f(i, k, 0)=f(i, k+i, n): f(i, k+i, 0)
$$

Similar to (5.3)-(5.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(1,-i-1, n)}{-i-1}=\frac{f(1,-1, n)}{-(1+b)}, \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.34}\\
& f(1,0, n)=0 ;  \tag{5.35}\\
& F_{1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(1, i, n)}{i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} ;  \tag{5.36}\\
& F_{-1}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(-1,-i, n)}{-i}, \quad \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.37}\\
& f(-1,0, n)=0 ;  \tag{5.38}\\
& F_{-1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(-1,1, n)}{b-1}=\frac{f(-1, i+1, n)}{i+1}, \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.39}\\
& F_{2}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(2,-2 i-2, n)}{-2 i-2}=\frac{f(2,-2, n)}{-2(b+2)}, \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.40}\\
& f(2,0, n)=0 ;  \tag{5.41}\\
& F_{2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(2,2 i, n)}{2 i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} ;  \tag{5.42}\\
& G_{2}(n)=\frac{f(2,2 i+1, n)}{2 i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} ;  \tag{5.43}\\
& F_{-2}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(-2,-2 i, n)}{-2 i}, \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.44}\\
& f(-2,0, n)=0 ;  \tag{5.45}\\
& F_{-2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(-2,2, n)}{2(b-2)}=\frac{f(-2,2 i+2, n)}{2 i+2}, \forall i \in I N ;  \tag{5.46}\\
& G_{-2}(n)=\frac{f(-2,2 i+1, n)}{2 i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{5.47}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that if any denominator involving $b$ in the above formulas is 0 , then we shall next deduce that the corresponding numerator must be 0 .

With the same argument after (5.18) in Case 1, we can deduce that $V \cong B_{b}(e)$ for some nonzero $e \in \mathbb{C}$.

Case 3. $V \cong V^{\prime}(0,0)$ as a Vir-module.
In this case, the coefficients $f(i, k, n)$ are defined only for $k(k+i) \neq 0$, and we have $f(i, k, 0)=k$. The formula (5.1) holds for $k(k+i)(k+j)(k+i+j) \neq 0$, and (5.2) holds for $k(k+i)(k+2 i) \neq 0$.

In this case, similar to (5.34)-(5.47), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(1,-i-1, n)}{-i-1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \\
F_{1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(1, i, n)}{i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} ; \\
F_{-1}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(-1,-i, n)}{-i}, \forall i \in I N \\
F_{-1}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(-1, i+1, n)}{i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \\
F_{2}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(2,-2 i-2, n)}{-2 i-2}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \\
F_{2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(2,2 i, n)}{2 i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} ; \\
G_{2}(n)=\frac{f(2,2 i+1, n)}{2 i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \\
F_{-2}^{-}(n)=\frac{f(-2,-2 i, n)}{-2 i}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \\
F_{-2}^{+}(n)=\frac{f(-2,2 i+2, n)}{2 i+2}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \\
G_{-2}(n)=\frac{f(-2,2 i+1, n)}{2 i+1}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{gathered}
$$

With the same argument after (5.18) in Case 1 , we can deduce that $V \cong V^{\prime}(0,0)(e)$ for some nonzero $e \in \mathbb{C}$.

Case 4. $V \cong V^{\prime}(0,0) \oplus T$ as a Vir-module.
This case is almost the same as Case 2 with some modifications. The modifications are the following. First note that $f(i,-i, 0)=f(i, 0,0)=0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then in
(5.34), (5.39), (5.40), (5.46), delete the fractions involving $b$, and add the identities that all the corresponding numerators equal 0 . Follow the proof in Case 2, we deduce that $V \cong\left(V^{\prime}(0,0) \oplus T\right)(e)$ for some nonzero $e \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $V \cong V^{\prime}(0,0)(e) \oplus T$.

Case 5. $V \cong A_{a}$ as a Vir-module.
Consider the graded dual module $V^{*}$ of $V$. As a Vir-module, $V^{*}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} v_{i}^{*}$ is isomorphic to $B_{a}$. From Case 2, we know that $V^{*} \simeq B_{a}(e)$ for some nonzero $e \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $V \cong A_{a}(e)$.

Now we have proved that $V$ is one of evaluation modules of the intermediate series.
As a direct consequence, using the isomorphism $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] / t^{n} \mathbb{C}[t]\right) \simeq \operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] /(t+\right.$ 1) $\left.{ }^{n} \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$ we obtain

Theorem 5.2. If $V$ is an irreducible weight module over the truncated Virasoro algebra $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle\right)$ with all weight spaces 1-dimensional, where $n \in I N$, then $[\operatorname{Vir} \otimes$ $\left.t\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle\right)\right] \cdot V=0$. Consequently, $V$ is an irreducible module over $\operatorname{Vir}=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$.

We summarize the established results into the following
Theorem 5.3. (a) If $V$ is an irreducible weight module over $\mathcal{L}$, then $V$ is a highest weight module, a lowest weight module, or $V^{\prime}(a, b)(e)$ for some $a, b, e \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c \neq 0$.
(b) If $V$ is an irreducible weight module over the truncated Virasoro algebra Vir $\otimes$ $\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle\right)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $V$ is a highest weight module, a lowest weight module or an irreducible module over Vir $=\oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} d_{i} \oplus \mathbb{C} c$ with all weight spaces 1-dimensional and $\left[\operatorname{Vir} \otimes t\left(\mathbb{C}[t] /\left\langle t^{n}\right\rangle\right)\right] \cdot V=0$.

## §6. Highest weight modules over $\mathcal{L}$

Let us study the highest weight modules $V(\varphi)$ define in (2.2). Generally, not all weight spaces $V_{\lambda-i}$ of $V(\varphi)$ are finite-dimensional. The following theorem answers this question.

Theorem 6.1. The weight module $V(\varphi)$ is a Harish-Chandra module if and only if there is a polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that

$$
\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0, \quad \varphi\left(c \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

in which case, $\left(d_{i} \otimes\langle P(t)\rangle\right) V(\varphi)=0, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\langle P(t)\rangle$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$generated by $P(t)$. Consequently, $V(\varphi)$ can be viewed as a module over $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle\right)$ if it is a Harish-Chandra module.

Proof. First suppose that $V(\varphi)$ is a Harish-Chandra module. Then there is some $P(t) \in$
$\mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $\left(d_{-2} \otimes t^{s} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Apply $d_{2} \otimes t^{k}$ and $\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(d_{1} \otimes 1\right)$ to it for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\left(d_{2} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(d_{-2} \otimes t^{s} P(t)\right) v_{0}=\left(\left(-4 d_{0}+\frac{1}{2} c\right) \otimes t^{k+s} P(t)\right) v, \\
& 0=\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(d_{1} \otimes 1\right)\left(d_{-2} \otimes t^{s} P(t)\right) v_{0}=\left(6 d_{0} \otimes t^{k+s} P(t)\right) v_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ and $\left(c \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$, i.e., $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$ and $\varphi\left(c \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Conversely, suppose that there is a polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$ and $\varphi\left(c \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$, i.e., $\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ and $(c \otimes$ $\left.t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We shall prove that $\left(d_{n} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ for any $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by induction on $n$. This is clear for $n \geq 0$. Now suppose it true for $n \geq-(i-1)$ where $i \geq 1$. Consider $\left(d_{-i} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}, \forall k \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$. For any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{s}\right)\left(d_{-i} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=\left((-i-1) d_{-i+1} \otimes t^{k+s} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0, \\
\left(d_{2} \otimes t^{s}\right)\left(d_{-i} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=\left(\left((-i-2) d_{-i+2}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{i, 2} c\right) \otimes t^{k+s} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $\left(d_{-i} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0} \neq 0$, it would be a highest weight vector with lower weight than that of $v_{0}$, contrary to the irreducibility of $V(\varphi)$. Thus $\left(d_{n} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ for any $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Using PBW theorem and by induction on $i \geq 0$ we can easily deduce that $\left(d_{n} \otimes\right.$ $\left.t^{k} P(t)\right) V(\varphi)_{\lambda-i}=0$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $\left(d_{n} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) V(\varphi)=0$ for all $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now $V(\varphi)$ can be viewed as an irreducible module over $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle\right)$. Since the weight spaces of $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle\right)$ are all finite dimensional, so do those of $V(\varphi)$ by PBW theorem.

It is easy to see that the evaluation module $M(\dot{c}, h ; a)$ is a module $V(\varphi)$ in Theorem 6.1 with $P(t)=t-a, \varphi\left(d_{0}\right)=h$ and $\varphi(c)=\dot{c}$. Thus we shall call irreducible Harish-Chandra modules $V(\varphi)$ in Theorem 6.1 with $P(t)=(t-a)^{n}$ generalized evaluation highest weight modules over $\mathcal{L}$.

For convenience, for any $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ let us define

$$
\operatorname{hom}_{P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)=\left\{\varphi \in \operatorname{hom}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \mid \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=\varphi\left(c \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0 \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Since $\operatorname{hom}_{P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{hom}\left(\operatorname{Vir}_{0} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle, \mathbb{C}\right)$, we shall identify them. If $P=P_{1} P_{2} . . P_{r}$ with $\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)=1$ for all $i \neq j$. Then we have the algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle \cong$ $\oplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\left\langle P_{i}\right\rangle\right)$. Thus, we have the corresponding Lie algebra isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vir} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\left\langle P_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall identify these two algebras by the natural map, that is, we can write any element $x \otimes f(t)$, where $x \in \operatorname{Vir}$ and $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$, as

$$
x \otimes f(t)=(x \otimes f(t), x \otimes f(t), \cdots, x \otimes f(t))
$$

Actually the precise expression should be

$$
x \otimes f(t)\left(\bmod (P(t))=\left(x \otimes f(t)\left(\bmod P_{1}\right), x \otimes f(t)\left(\bmod P_{2}\right), \cdots, x \otimes f(t)\left(\bmod P_{r}\right)\right)\right.
$$

Note that, in this notation, we have

$$
\left[\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{r}\right)\right]=\left(\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right], \cdots,\left[x_{r}, y_{r}\right]\right)
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}, y_{1} \ldots y_{r} \in \mathcal{L}$. For simplicity, we shall write $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle\right)$ and $\operatorname{Vir}_{0} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right] /\langle P(t)\rangle\right)$ as $\mathcal{L} /\langle P(t)\rangle$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0} /\langle P(t)\rangle$ respectively.

Then we have the following natural identifications:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} /\langle P(t)\rangle & =\oplus_{i=1}^{r}\left(\mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{i}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{6.2}\\
\operatorname{hom}_{P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) & =\oplus_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{hom}_{P_{i}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus for any $\varphi \in \operatorname{hom}_{P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ there exist $\varphi_{i} \in \operatorname{hom}_{P_{i}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ such that $\varphi=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \varphi_{i}$. Then we have the tensor product $\mathcal{L}$-module $V\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes V\left(\varphi_{r}\right)$. If we use the identification (6.2), then $x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$ with $x_{i} \in \mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{i}\right\rangle$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\left(v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r}\right)=x_{1} v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r} \\
& \quad+v_{1} \otimes x_{2} v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{r}+\cdots+v_{1} \otimes v_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{r} v_{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 6.2. Suppose $P=P_{1} P_{2} \in \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ with $\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)=1$, and $\psi=\psi_{1}+\psi_{2} \in$ $\operatorname{hom}_{P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ with $\psi_{i} \in \operatorname{hom}_{P_{i}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. Then $V(\psi) \simeq V\left(\psi_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\psi_{2}\right)$.

Proof. Since $V\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ and $V\left(\psi_{2}\right)$ are weight modules, so is $V\left(\psi_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\psi_{2}\right)$. We denote the highest weight vector of $V\left(\psi_{i}\right)$ by $v^{(i)}$. We need only prove that any nonzero homogeneous element in $V\left(\psi_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\psi_{2}\right)$ can generate $v^{(1)} \otimes v^{(2)}$.

For any fixed nonzero homogeneous element $u \in V\left(\psi_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\psi_{2}\right)$, let

$$
u=\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{j}^{(1)} \otimes u_{j}^{(2)}, u_{j}^{(i)} \in V_{\lambda_{j}^{(i)}}^{(i)}
$$

with $\lambda_{j}^{(1)}+\lambda_{j}^{(2)}=\lambda_{j^{\prime}}^{(1)}+\lambda_{j^{\prime}}^{(2)}$. We may suppose that $n$ is minimal in such expressions. Thus we may also assume that $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}=\cdots=\lambda_{s}^{(1)}<\lambda_{s+1}^{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{n}^{(1)}$. Then $u_{1}^{(1)}, u_{2}^{(1)}, \cdots, u_{s}^{(1)}$ are linearly independent and $u_{1}^{(2)}, u_{2}^{(2)}, \cdots, u_{s}^{(2)}$ are linearly independent.

Because of the identification (6.2), instead of using $\mathcal{L}($ or $\mathcal{L} /\langle P\rangle)$ we shall use $\mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle \oplus$ $\mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{2}\right\rangle$. Since $V\left(\psi_{1}\right)$ is an irreducible weight module over $\mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle$, then there is a homogeneous element $x \in U\left(\mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{1}\right\rangle\right)$, the universal enveloping algebra, such that $x u_{1}^{(1)}=v^{(1)}$. Thus $x\left(u_{1}^{(1)} \otimes u_{1}^{(2)}\right)=\left(x u_{1}^{(1)}\right) \otimes u_{1}^{(2)}=v^{(1)} \otimes u_{1}^{(2)}$ since $x\left(u_{j}^{(2)}\right)=0$. It is clear that $x\left(u_{j}^{(1)} \otimes u_{j}^{(2)}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{C} v^{(1)}\right) \otimes u_{j}^{(2)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$ and that $x\left(u_{j}^{(1)} \otimes u_{j}^{(2)}\right)=0$ for $s+1 \leq j \leq n$. Noting that $u_{1}^{(2)}, u_{2}^{(2)}, \cdots, u_{s}^{(2)}$ are linearly independent, we know that $0 \neq x u=v^{(1)} \otimes w \in$ $\left(\mathbb{C} v^{(1)}\right) \otimes V^{(2)}$. By similar discussions, we can find $x^{\prime} \in U\left(\mathcal{L} /\left\langle P_{2}\right\rangle\right)$ such that $x^{\prime} w=v^{(2)}$, i.e., $x^{\prime} x u=v^{(1)} \otimes x^{\prime} w=v^{(1)} \otimes v^{(2)}$. This completes the proof.

Directly from Proposition 6.2 we have
Corollary 6.3. Let $\varphi \in \operatorname{hom}_{P}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ where $P(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(t-a_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \in \mathbb{N}, a_{i} \neq$ $a_{j} \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}, \forall i \neq j$. Denote $P_{i}=\left(t-a_{i}\right)^{n_{i}}$. Then there exist $\varphi_{i} \in \operatorname{hom}_{P_{i}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ such that $\varphi=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}+\cdots+\varphi_{r}$ and $V(\varphi) \simeq V\left(\varphi_{1}\right) \otimes V\left(\varphi_{2}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes V\left(\varphi_{r}\right)$.

Now applying Corollary 6.3 we can deduce the following:
Theorem 6.4. Any irreducible highest weight module with finite dimensional weight spaces over $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is a tensor product of some generalized evaluation modules.

Remark that the irreducibility of the Verma modules over the truncated algebras $\mathcal{L} /\left\langle(t-a)^{n}\right\rangle$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is determined in [Wi].

In the rest of this section, we shall study the necessary and sufficient conditions for the Verma module $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ over $\mathcal{L}$ to be irreducible. The answer is the following:

Theorem 6.5. The Verma module $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ is not irreducible if and only if there exists a polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that

$$
\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

We see from Theorems 6.1 and 6.5 that we cannot always get Harish-Chandra modules $V(\varphi)$ from not irreducible Verma modules $V(\bar{\varphi})$.

Before proving Theorem 6.5, we need some preparations on the universal enveloping algebra $U=U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right)$of $\mathcal{L}_{-}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the set of finite sequences of integers $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right)$. We first define a partial ordering $\succ$ on the set $\mathcal{S}:\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}\right) \succ\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{s}\right)$ if and only if $i_{1}=j_{1}, i_{2},=$ $j_{2}, \cdots, i_{k-1}=j_{k-1}$ and $i_{k}>j_{k}$.

We have the obvious meaning for $\succeq, \preceq$ and $\prec$.
We fix a PBW basis $\mathcal{B}$ for $U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right)$consisting of the following elements:

$$
\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}} \otimes t^{j_{r}}\right) ; \quad\left(i_{s}, j_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}
$$

where $\left(i_{s}, j_{s}\right) \succeq\left(i_{s+1}, j_{s+1}\right)$ for all $s=1,2, \ldots, r-1$. We call $r$ the height of the element $\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}} \otimes t^{j_{r}}\right)$, which is denoted by ht $\left(\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}} \otimes t^{j_{r}}\right)\right)$. We now define an ordering on $\mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$
\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}} \otimes t^{j_{r}}\right) \succ\left(d_{-i_{1}^{\prime}} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{\prime}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}^{\prime}} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{s}^{\prime}} \otimes t^{j_{s}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

if $\left(r, i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r}\right) \succ\left(s, i_{1}^{\prime}, i_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, i_{s}^{\prime}, j_{1}^{\prime}, j_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, j_{s}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\succ$ is a total ordering on $\mathcal{B}$.

Any nonzero $X \in U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right)$can be uniquely written as a linear combination of elements in $\mathcal{B}: X=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} X_{i}$ where $0 \neq a_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, X_{i} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $X_{1} \succ X_{2} \succ \cdots \succ X_{m}$. We define the height of $X$ as $\operatorname{ht}(X)=\operatorname{ht}\left(X_{1}\right)$, and the highest term of $X$ as $\operatorname{hm}(X)=a_{1} X_{1}$. For convenience, we define $\mathrm{ht}(0)=-1$ and $\mathrm{hm}(0)=0$.

It is clear that $\mathcal{B} v_{0}:=\left\{X v_{0} \mid X \in \mathcal{B}\right\}$ is a basis for the Verma module $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ where $v_{0}$ is again the highest weight vector of $\bar{V}(\varphi)$. We define

$$
\operatorname{ht}\left(X v_{0}\right):=\operatorname{ht}(X), \quad \operatorname{hm}\left(X v_{0}\right):=\operatorname{hm}(X) v_{0}, \quad \forall X \in U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right)
$$

We need to define the last notation

$$
U_{s}^{r}=\left\{X \in U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right) \mid\left[d_{0}, X\right]=s X, \operatorname{ht}(X) \leq r\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that $U_{-s}^{r} U_{-s^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \subset U_{-s-s^{\prime}}^{r+r^{\prime}}$ for all $r, r^{\prime}, s, s^{\prime} \in I N$.
Also we have $\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right) U_{-s}^{r} v_{0} \subset U_{-s+1}^{r} v_{0}$ for all $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (where we have regarded $U_{k}^{r}=0$ for $\left.k>0\right)$ and $\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}} \otimes t^{j_{r}}\right) \in U_{-i_{1}-i_{2}-\ldots-i_{r}}^{r}$ for any $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{r} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots, j_{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now we are ready to give
Proof of Theorem 6.5. Suppose there exists a polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We can easily deduce that, in $V(\varphi),\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ is not irreducible.

Now suppose that there does not exist a polynomial $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ with nonzero constant term such that $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We want to prove that $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ is irreducible. In fact, we need only to show that $\bar{V}(\varphi)=V(\varphi)$, i.e., $\bar{V}(\varphi)_{-n}=V(\varphi)_{-n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall do this by induction on $n$. The statement for $n=0$ is trivial.

Now consider $n=1$. If $\bar{V}(\varphi)_{-1} \neq V(\varphi)_{-1}$, then there is some $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$such that $\left(d_{-1} \otimes P(t)\right) v_{0}=0$ in $V(\varphi)$. Then, in $V(\varphi), 0=\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes P(t)\right) v_{0}=\left[d_{1} \otimes t^{k}, d_{-1} \otimes\right.$ $P(t)] v_{0}=-2\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=-2 \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}$, i.e., $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Thus $\bar{V}(\varphi)_{-1}=V(\varphi)_{-1}$.

Now we consider the case $n>1$ and suppose that $\bar{V}_{-k}=V_{-k}$ for all $0 \leq k<n$.
It suffices to prove that $X v_{0} \neq 0$ in $V(\varphi)$ for any nonzero $X \in U\left(\mathcal{L}_{-}\right)_{-n}$. We write $X=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i} X_{i}$ where $0 \neq a_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, X_{i} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $X_{1} \succ X_{2} \succ \cdots \succ X_{m}$. We are going to show that $X v_{0} \neq 0$. We break up the proof into two different cases.

Case 1. $\operatorname{ht}(X)<n$.
Suppose $X_{1}=\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}} \otimes t^{j_{r}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{r+1}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{r+2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{r+s}}\right) \in \mathcal{B}$ with $r>0$, and $i_{r} \geq 2$. Since $\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X v_{0}\right) \in V_{-(n-1)}=\bar{V}_{-(n-1)}$, by the inductive hypothesis we know that, for sufficiently large $k \in I N$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{hm}\left(\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X v_{0}\right)\right)=\mathrm{hm}\left(\left[d_{1} \otimes t^{k}, a_{1} X_{1}\right] v_{0}\right) \\
=-p a_{1}\left(i_{r}+1\right)\left(d_{-i_{1}} \otimes t^{j_{1}}\right)\left(d_{-i_{2}} \otimes t^{j_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-i_{r}+1} \otimes t^{j_{r}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{r+1}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{r+2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{r+s}}\right) v_{0} \\
\neq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $p$ is the number of $q$ such that $\left(i_{q}, j_{q}\right)=\left(i_{r}, j_{r}\right)$. Then $\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X v_{0}\right) \neq 0$ in $V(\varphi)$, yielding $X v_{0} \neq 0$ in $V(\varphi)$.

Case 2. $\operatorname{ht}(X)=n$.
To the contrary in this case, we assume that $X v_{0}=0$ in $V(\varphi)$. There exist $r, s$ such that $\operatorname{ht}\left(X_{i}\right)=n, 1 \leq i \leq r, \operatorname{ht}\left(X_{i}\right)=n-1, r+1 \leq i \leq s$ and $\operatorname{ht}\left(X_{i}\right) \leq n-2, s+1 \leq i \leq m$.

For $1 \leq i \leq r$, each $X_{i}$ is of the form $X_{i}=\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right)$. For any
$k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we compute

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X_{i} v_{0}\right)=\left[d_{1} \otimes t^{k},\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right)\right] v_{0} \\
=-2 \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{p-1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{p+1}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) v_{0} \\
=-2 \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\otimes} t^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+k}\right) v_{0} \\
+2 \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=p+1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\otimes} t_{p}^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{q-1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+j_{q}^{(i)}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{q+1}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) v_{0} \\
\equiv-2 \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\otimes} t^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+k}\right) v_{0} \\
+2 \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=p+1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+j_{q}^{(i)}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\otimes} t_{p}^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\otimes} t^{j_{q}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) v_{0} \\
\bmod \left(U_{-n+1}^{(n-2)} v_{0}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the ${ }^{\wedge}$ means the factor is omitted.
For $r+1 \leq i \leq s$, each $X_{i}$ is of the form $X_{i}=\left(d_{-2} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right)$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X_{i} v_{0}\right)=\left[d_{1} \otimes t^{k},\left(d_{-2} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right)\right] v_{0} \\
& \equiv-3\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t_{2}^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right) v_{0} \bmod \left(U_{-n+1}^{(n-2)} v_{0}\right) . \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (6.4), (6.5) and the fact that $\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X_{i} v_{0}\right) \in U_{-n+1}^{(n-2)}$ for all $s+1 \leq i \leq m$, we obtain that, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
0=\left(d_{1} \otimes t^{k}\right)\left(X v_{0}\right)=\left[d_{1} \otimes t^{k}, X\right] v_{0} \\
\equiv-2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\left.t_{p}^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+k}\right) v_{0}}\right. \\
+2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=p+1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+j_{q}^{(i)}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t_{p}^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{q}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) v_{0} \\
-3 \sum_{i=r+1}^{s} a_{i}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n-1}^{(i)}}\right) v_{0} \bmod \left(U_{-n+1}^{(n-2)} v_{0}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\equiv-2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\left.\otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+k}\right) v_{0}}\right. \\
+\sum_{\underline{l}} g_{\underline{l}}(k)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{1}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{n-1}}\right) v_{0} \bmod \left(U_{-n+1}^{(n-2)} v_{0}\right), \tag{6.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the last summation is a finite one over $\underline{l}=\left(l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{n-1}\right)$ with $l_{2} \geq l_{3} \ldots \geq l_{n-1}$, and $g_{\underline{l}}(k)$ are polynomials in $k$. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
x_{1}=-2 \sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \sum_{p=1}^{n}\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{1}^{(i)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \widehat{\otimes} t^{j_{p}^{(i)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right) \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{p}^{(i)}+k}\right) v_{0} \\
x_{2}=\sum_{\underline{l}} g_{\underline{l}}(k)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{1}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{n-1}}\right) v_{0} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now for any sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let
which is the set of all possible basis elements appearing in the expression of $x_{1} \in V_{-(n-1)}=$ $\bar{V}_{-(n-1)}$, and let

$$
T=\left\{\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{1}+k}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{l_{n-1}}\right) v_{0}\right\}
$$

which is the set of all possible basis elements appearing in the expression of $x_{2} \in V_{-(n-1)}=$ $\bar{V}_{-(n-1)}$. By inductive hypothesis we know that $R$ and $T$ are linearly independent in the vector space $\left(U_{-n+1}^{(n-1)} v_{0}\right) /\left(U_{-n+1}^{(n-2)} v_{0}\right)$ for sufficiently large $k$. Thus $x_{1}=0=x_{2}$ for sufficiently large $k$. Consequently $g_{\underline{l}}(k)=0$ for all $k$, i.e., $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In terms of linear combination of $\mathcal{B} v_{0}$, the coefficient of $\left(d_{-1} \otimes t_{1}^{j_{1}^{(1)}}\right)\left(d_{-1} \otimes t^{j_{2}^{(1)}}\right) \ldots\left(d_{-1} \otimes\right.$ $\left.t^{j_{n-1}^{(1)}}\right) v_{0}$ in the expression of $x_{1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ should be 0 . On the other hand, it is

$$
-2 \sum_{i \in I} p_{i} a_{i} \varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{j_{n}^{(i)}+k}\right)=\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k}\left(-2 \sum_{i \in I}\left(p_{i} a_{i} t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right)\right)\right)
$$

where $I=\left\{1 \leq i \leq r \mid\left(j_{1}^{(i)}, j_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots, j_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)=\left(j_{1}^{(1)}, j_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, j_{n-1}^{(1)}\right)\right\}, p_{1}$ is the number of $q$ such that $j_{n}^{(1)}=j_{q}^{(1)}$ and other $p_{i}=1$. If we denote $P\left(t_{2}\right)=-2 \sum_{i \in I}\left(p_{i} a_{i} t^{j_{n}^{(i)}}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{h} h_{j} t^{j}$, then $P\left(t_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Hence $\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right) v_{0}=0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k} P(t)\right)=0, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction. Therefore $X v_{0} \neq 0$ in this case.

This completes the proof of the Theorem 6.5.

Let us recall exp-polynomial functions defined in [BZ]. The algebra of exp-polynomial functions in the integer variable $i$ is the algebra generated as an algebra by functions $f(i): \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f(i)=i$ and $f(i)=a^{i}$ for various constants $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\star}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. Use a well-known combinatoric formula (see [RZ]), we can restate Theorems 6.1 and 6.4 as follows.

Theorem 6.6. (a) The weight module $V(\varphi)$ is a Harish-Chandra module if and only if there are exp-polynomial functions $f(k), g(k)$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k}\right)=f(k), \quad \varphi\left(c \otimes t^{k}\right)=g(k) \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

(b) The Verma module $\bar{V}(\varphi)$ is reducible if and only if there is an exp-polynomial function $f(k)$ such that $\varphi\left(d_{0} \otimes t^{k}\right)=f(k) \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We conclude this section by giving an example of generalized evaluation module over $\mathcal{L}$.
Example. Let us take $\varphi\left(d_{0}(k)\right)=(-1)^{k} k$ and $\varphi(c(k))=0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $V(\varphi)$ is a module over $\operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] /(t+1)^{2} \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$. Clearly, $V(\varphi)$ is not an evaluation module. It is a generalized evaluation module with $P(t)=(t+1)^{2}$. Although $\varphi\left(d_{0}\right)=\varphi(c)=0$, you can easily see that $d_{-1}(0) v_{0}$ and $d_{-1}(1) v_{0}$ are linearly independent in $V(\varphi)$. Actually, from Corollary 7.11 in [Wi], this module is an irreducible Verma module over the truncated algebra Vir $\otimes\left(\mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right] /(t+1)^{2} \mathbb{C}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]\right) \simeq \operatorname{Vir} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C}[t] / t^{2} \mathbb{C}[t]\right)$.
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