

Generalized Gravity I : Kinematical Setting.

Johan Noldus*

March 22, 2019

Abstract

The first part of this work deals with the development of a natural differential calculus on non-commutative manifolds. The second part extends the equivalence principle and studies its kinematical consequences. It turns out that the mathematical language developed leads to interesting consequences.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we follow the axiomatic approach towards the derivation of physical laws; that is our basic question is “if the universe were a self computing entity, then what are its rules?”. The idea is to find a set of (almost) evident laws in order to arrive at a unique mathematical structure determining the dynamical equations; the latter being the message conveyed by general covariance and a form of the equivalence principle. It goes without saying that the resulting equations need to be brought into correspondence with the models constructed from direct observation. Given the current status of theoretical physics, we feel it is necessary to assume the axiomatic strategy once again. One of the reasons I say this is because we do not dispose of a quantum theory yet, all we have is a quantum recipe and it is well known that application of the latter to gravity is conceptually and technically daunting. So where do we look for this theory? The only theoretical principles in physics which in my opinion should be taken seriously are the principle of general covariance as well as the equivalence principle, but the latter should not be applied to gravity only. Assuming furthermore the continuum hypothesis, it is clear that the first task to perform is to develop non-commutative calculus. The latter constitutes the first part of this work in which a general theory of non-commutative manifolds, tensor and differential calculus is developed. Evidently, the author is aware of the existence of numerous non-commutative calculi developed in the literature, however it appears that the calculus presented below is entirely new and different from the one developed by Connes. Indeed, the former does not bother a priori about preserving algebra relations and eventually recovers specific algebraic structures

*johan.noldus@gmail.com

in a broader covariant fashion at a later stage. The result is that our geometry has more local degrees of freedom which is evidenced by the construction of free quantum Fermi field theory. Moreover, we encounter a mathematical curiosity which states that, in the abelian limit, space time gets one dimensional as a non commutative manifold and is therefore flat. Given these results, we look for natural mathematical demands in order to select the correct manifold and from thereon develop a gravitational theory. The latter constitutes the second part of this work and it turns out in section 3.1 that merely five natural axioms fix the algebra in the correct way so that (a) the number of generations is derived as well as the number of Dirac spinors per generation. In section 3.2, it is explicitly shown how free Fermi quantum field theory is recovered in the weak field limit. While doing so, we learn that quantum really means “infinitesimal atomization” of the abelian component of space time (with infinitesimal penetrations in the non commutative structure) in close resemblance to the derivation of statistical mechanics from classical field theory. In section 3.3, it is shown that our space time manifold naturally gives rise to a bundle structure and the latter coincides with the unbroken symmetries of the standard model, that is $U(1) \otimes SU(3)$. Moreover, we show that the charges associated to the strong and electromagnetic interactions all drop out correctly. However, I do at this stage not know yet how to include the weak interactions as well as all correct coupling terms with the quarks and Higgs. Evidently, this approach has some further difficulties which need to be resolved such as stability issues related to the possibility for matter fields to penetrate the non commutative components.

Given the size of the above work, I have opted for using this natural breaking point and postpone the issue of dynamics to the second paper. The latter shall have a similar structure to this one: first non commutative integral calculus and co-homology theory shall be worked out, second we formulate the dynamical laws and work out the correspondence to gravity and the standard model on one hand and calculate some explicit solutions on the other.

2 Non abelian geometry.

2.1 Non abelian manifolds.

What follows below is not restricted to finite Von Neumann algebras and where necessary, the relevant technical details can be easily filled in.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite unital real or complex Von Neumann algebra, that is \mathcal{A} as a vector space over R or C has finite dimension and a conjugation and trace functional tr are defined upon it. Henceforth, we shall use K as a shorthand for either R or C . Some mathematical results are

- \mathcal{A} has a Pauli Cartan basis over K , that is a basis e_μ of self adjoint elements such that $tr(e_\mu e_\nu) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$ where $\mu, \nu : 1 \dots N$.

- \mathcal{A} is finitely generated by z^α , $\alpha : 1 \dots M \leq N$ over a subalgebra \mathcal{B} containing K , meaning that any element of \mathcal{A} belongs to the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{B}(1, z^\alpha)$ consisting of monomials in $1, z^\alpha$ with coefficients in \mathcal{B} .

Remark

The number of generators is equal to the number of basis elements minus one if \mathcal{A} is generated by idempotent or nilpotent elements only¹.

First, let us critically examine the definition of an ordinary manifold, say R^8 ; the latter is topologically equivalent to $H \oplus H$, with H the algebra of real quaternions which is generated by two elements as an algebra over R . Therefore, the question which naturally arises is why we speak about the real polynomial algebra in 8 commuting variables instead of the real polynomial algebra in two quaternion variables? Hence, the definition of a manifold should incorporate the algebraic properties of the variables in which one wishes to calculate. It seems furthermore a natural idea that the algebra determines the dimension of the manifold as we shall see now. Denote by $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{A}^M$ the subset of generating M -tuples (in the natural topology) which we assume² to be open, and let x^α be an M -tuple of variables taking values in \mathcal{S} . The x^α are to be regarded as the coordinates of our non commutative manifold \mathcal{S} .

Definition 1 *Given a finite unital Von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} and subalgebra \mathcal{B} , containing K , with associated space of generators \mathcal{S} . An $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -manifold \mathcal{M} is by definition a topological space which is locally homeomorphic to \mathcal{S} and locally carries the function algebra $\mathcal{A}(1, x^\alpha)$ where the M -tuple x^α is \mathcal{S} valued.*

More in detail, let $p \in \mathcal{M}$, then there exists a pair (\mathcal{O}, ψ) where $p \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\psi : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ an injective mapping. Given two such pairs (\mathcal{O}_1, ψ_1) and (\mathcal{O}_2, ψ_2) , then \mathcal{M} is a C^0 manifold if and only if $\psi_2 \circ \psi_1^{-1} : \psi_1(\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2) \rightarrow \psi_2(\mathcal{O}_1 \cap \mathcal{O}_2)$ is a homeomorphism. R^n as a topological space is a (R^n, R) manifold and \mathcal{S} consists of one generator only.

2.2 Tensor calculus.

Presenting a “new” theory is always a delicate choice between giving abstract rules and computing some examples on one hand and trying to build it while making mistakes on the other. As a result, I have opted for subdividing the definition according to different natural questions with a unified treatment following in the end. Our aim is to generalize Taylor’s rule, the difference with the abelian case being that the infinitesimals cannot a priori be shifted to the left or right so that position in a word must be remembered, this is the task of the symbol ω . On the other hand, when we evaluate the differential on an algebra

¹A proof of this statement is easy, suppose a is a generator independent from unity; since the number of generators equals the number of basis elements minus one $a^2 = \alpha a + \beta$. Replacing a by $(a + \epsilon 1)$ where ϵ is a root of $x^2 + \alpha x - \beta = 0$, results in $a^2 = (\alpha + 2\epsilon)a$. If the latter equals zero, then a is a nilpotent generator, otherwise one can scale $a \neq 1$ to an idempotent element.

²It would be nice to have some general structural insight into this issue.

element a , an operation which shifts a to the position of ω and annihilates it is needed. This is the role of the associative product \star . By convention, members of the \mathcal{S} valued M -tuple of variables are denoted by x^α and constant algebra elements by Latin letters $a, b, c \dots$

Definition 2 $V^{(1)}(\omega)$ is the finite dimensional vectorspace over K formed by linear combinations of elements $a\omega b$ where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$. It can be made into a unital, associative algebra $(V^{(1)}(\omega), \star)$ by defining $(a\omega b) \star (c\omega d) = ca\omega bd$. More generally $(x) \star a = a(x) \star$ and $(\omega) \star x = x = (x) \star \omega$ where $x \in V^{(1)}(\omega)$. ω is a unit and by convention $x \star y = (x) \star y$.

By definition, one also has that $[a, (b) \star] = 0$ and $a = (a) \star \omega$. Since traces can be taken of algebra elements and derivatives commute with traces, we should allow for words containing traces of ω . Actually, the latter is part of a general procedure which we may call trace completion.

Definition 3 Let \mathcal{A} be an associative algebra and tr a formal trace functional; then the trace completed algebra \mathcal{A}^{tr} is generated by a and $tr(b)$ where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

An obvious property in $V^{(1)}(\omega)$ is $\dots (ba) \star = \dots (b) \star (\omega a) \star$ and by definition \star commutes with the trace. In the sequel $W^{(1)}(\omega)$ is the trace closure of the unital \star algebra generated by elements of the form $x\omega y$ with $x, y \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$.

Definition 4 Note by $\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)$ the algebra of polynomials in the variables x^α and constants $a, b, c \dots \in \mathcal{A}$. The partial derivatives $\partial_{x^\alpha} : \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr} \rightarrow W^{(1)}(\omega)$ are defined by $\partial_{x^\alpha} x^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta \omega + x^\beta \partial_{x^\alpha}$, $[\partial_{x^\alpha}, a] = 0$ and ∂_{x^α} commutes with the trace.

Obviously, the Leibnitz rule is satisfied and the chain rule has a substitute. Let $x'^\alpha(x^\beta)$ and g be a differentiable function, then

$$\partial_{x^\alpha} (g(x'^\beta(x^\gamma))) = (\partial_{x^\alpha} (x'^\mu(x'^\gamma))) \star \partial_{x'^\mu} (g(x'^\beta(x'^\gamma)))$$

Therefore

$$\delta_\gamma^\alpha \omega = \left(\frac{\partial x'^\beta}{\partial x^\gamma} \right) \star \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x'^\beta}$$

modulo derivatives of the Cayley-Hamilton identities.

The algebra $(V^{(1)}(\omega)^{tr}, \star)$

Let e_μ be a Pauli Cartan basis of \mathcal{A} , then it is easy to see that any element x of $V^{(1)}(\omega)^{tr}$ can be uniquely decomposed as $x = x^\mu tr(\omega e_\mu)$ where $x^\mu \in \mathcal{A}$. We prove that $x \star y = \omega$ if and only if $y \star x = \omega$. Define the matrix $G_\nu^\mu(x) := \left(tr(e^\mu x_\nu) \right)$ where lowering and raising of the indices occurs with $\delta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta^{\mu\nu}$ respectively. Then, $x = e_\mu G_\nu^\mu(x) tr(\omega e^\nu)$ and since $tr(e_\mu e^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$ one arrives at

$$y \star x = e_\mu G_\alpha^\mu(x) G_\nu^\alpha(y) tr(\omega e^\nu)$$

which proves our assertion since $G(x)G(y) = 1$ if and only if $G(y)G(x)$ is. The result for matrix algebra's over this algebra obviously follows since

$$\begin{aligned} e_\mu G_\nu^\mu(x_i^j) \text{tr}(\omega e^\nu) \star e_\kappa G_\lambda^\kappa(y_j^k) \text{tr}(\omega e^\lambda) = \\ e_\mu G_\kappa^\mu(y_j^k) G_\nu^\kappa(x_i^j) \text{tr}(\omega e^\nu) = \omega \delta_i^k \end{aligned}$$

if and only if $G_\kappa^\mu(y_j^k) G_\nu^\kappa(x_i^j) = \delta_\nu^\mu \delta_i^k$. Using the equality

$$\frac{\partial x'^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} = e_\mu \left(\text{tr} \left((e_\nu) \star \frac{\partial x'^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} e^\mu \right) \right) \text{tr}(\omega e^\nu)$$

where the complex matrix

$$C_{\alpha\nu}^{\beta\mu}(x'; x) = \left(\text{tr} \left((e_\nu) \star \frac{\partial x'^\beta}{\partial x^\alpha} e^\mu \right) \right)$$

is invertible, the previous equality is equivalent to

$$C_{\beta\nu}^{\alpha\mu}(x'; x) C_{\gamma\xi}^{\beta\nu}(x; x') = \delta_\gamma^\alpha \delta_\xi^\mu.$$

Using algebra, many expressions of the form $(a) \star x$ can be simplified due to the Cayley Hamilton identities $CH(z) = 0$. It is important to understand that these identities do not play any part in our differential calculus, but that the latter is consistent with them in the following sense:

$$(a) \star \partial_{x^\alpha} CH(z) = 0$$

where $z \in \mathcal{A}(x^\beta)$. Hence, it is important to realize that two expressions $x, y \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$ are equal $x = y$ if and only if $a \star x = a \star y$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

The transformation rules for derivatives are obviously given by

$$\partial_{x^\alpha} = (C_\alpha^\beta(x'; x)) \star \partial_{x'^\beta}.$$

Vector fields are defined as

$$V = V^\alpha \star \partial_{x^\alpha}$$

where $V^\alpha \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$ and $V'^\alpha = V^\beta \star C_\beta^\alpha(x'; x)$. One defines a dual basis dx^α by requiring that

$$\partial_{x^\beta} \star dx^\alpha = \delta_\beta^\alpha \omega$$

Obviously, dx^α transforms as $dx^\alpha = dx'^\beta \star C_\beta^\alpha(x; x')$ since

$$\partial_{x^\beta} \star dx^\alpha = C_\beta^\gamma(x'; x) \star \partial_{x'^\gamma} \star dx'^\kappa \star C_\kappa^\alpha(x; x') = C_\beta^\gamma(x'; x) \star \omega \star C_\gamma^\alpha(x; x') = \delta_\beta^\alpha \omega.$$

Dual fields are defined as $dx^\alpha \star W_\alpha$ where $W'_\alpha = C_\alpha^\beta(x; x') \star W_\beta$ and therefore contractions $V^\alpha \star W_\alpha$ are scalars belonging to $W^{(1)}(\omega)$ since obviously $W_\alpha \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$.

Remark

It is possible to define $V^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr}$ so that $V^\alpha \star W_\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr}$. Notice that in case $V^\alpha \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$, the invariance property for $V^\alpha \star W_\alpha$ has to be understood modulo contractions with $(a)\star$ in order to eliminate derivatives of Cayley-Hamilton identities. In the latter case, where $V^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr}$, these vanish automatically.

Prior to developing higher differential calculus, we should finally say a word about generalized diffeomorphisms.

Note on coordinate transformations

We might have started this text by using the natural fibration induced by the trace part of the generators; indeed we could have spoken about bosonic and fermionic derivatives. It is natural to look for embeddings of (a) the diffeomorphism algebra of the trace variables and (b) the conventional local Yang Mills transformations into our larger algebra of local diffeomorphisms in the generators of \mathcal{A} . Given a polynomial local diffeomorphism ϕ in the trace variables; ϕ^α can always be written as:

$$\phi^\alpha = \psi^\alpha + tr(x^\alpha)\varphi^\alpha$$

where ψ^α is independent of $tr(x^\alpha)$. Hence, the obvious candidate for a local algebra diffeomorphism is

$$x'^\alpha = \frac{1}{tr(1)}\psi^\alpha + x^\alpha\varphi^\alpha.$$

Concerning the Yang Mills transformations, at the classical level, these are obviously given by

$$x'^\alpha = gx^\alpha g^*$$

for $gg^* = g^*g = 1$. Clearly, the inverse and implicit function theorem can be applied to diffeomorphisms of the above kind using the generalized Jacobian $C_{\beta\nu}^{\alpha\mu}(x'; x)$.

Applying an operator of the kind $z_1 \star \partial_{x^\alpha}$ twice on a \mathcal{A} valued function $\Psi \in \mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr}$ results in

$$z_1 \star \partial_{x^\alpha} ((z_2) \star \partial_{x^\beta} \Psi) = (z_1) \star (\partial_{x^\alpha} z_2) \star \partial_{x^\beta} \Psi + (z_1) \star (z_2) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\beta} \Psi$$

where $z_j \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. At least, an expression of this kind with higher derivatives is desirable and one is left with the problem of finding efficient and insightful algebra language realizing this. For this, we introduce an infinity of new elements $\omega^{(k)}$ satisfying the following algebra

- $\omega^{(k)} \star \omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l-1)} (\omega^{(k-1)}) \star$ for $k \geq l > 1$,
- $(a) \star \omega^{(k)} = \omega^{(k-1)} (a)\star$ for all $k > 1$,

- $\omega^{(k)} \star \omega = \omega^{(k)}$,
- $(\omega \omega^{(k)}) \star \omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l)} (\omega \omega^{(k-1)}) \star$ for all $k \geq l > 1$,
- $(\omega a) \star \omega^{(k)} = \omega^{(k)} (\omega a) \star$ for all $k > 1$,
- $(\omega \omega^{(k)}) \star \omega = \omega \omega^{(k)} = \omega \star (\omega \omega^{(k)})$ for all $k > 1$,
- $\omega^{(k)} \star \omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l)} (\omega^{(k)}) \star$ for $1 \leq k < l$,
- $(\omega \omega^{(k)}) \star \omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l+1)} (\omega \omega^{(k)}) \star$ for $1 \leq k < l$.

and (anything) \star commutes with \mathcal{A} valued elements. Let $\mathcal{A}(\{\omega^{(k)}\}_{k>0})$ be the module of words containing at least one of the generators $\omega^{(l)}$ and define partial differential operators by

$$\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)} x^\beta = \delta_\alpha^\beta \omega^{(k)} + x^\beta \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)}$$

where

$$\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)} \omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l)} \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k-1)}$$

for $k > l \geq 1$ and

$$\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)} \star = \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k+1)}$$

for all $k > 0$. It is sufficient to verify

$$a \star \partial_{x^\alpha} (b) \star \partial_{x^\beta} \Psi = (a) \star (b) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\beta} \Psi$$

on a monomial $\Psi(x^\beta) = x^{\alpha_1} a_1 x^{\alpha_2} a_2 \dots a_{n-1} x^{\alpha_n}$ since all derivatives commute with the trace and the Leibnitz rule applies. The left hand side of this expression is a sum over all couples (i, j) , $i \neq j$, where in the corresponding term x^{α_i} is replaced by $\delta_\alpha^{\alpha_i} a$ and x^{α_j} by $\delta_\beta^{\alpha_j} b$ in the expression $x^{\alpha_1} a_1 x^{\alpha_2} a_2 \dots a_{n-1} x^{\alpha_n}$. The right hand side is given by

$$a \star (b) \star \left(\sum_{i < j} x^{\alpha_1} a_1 \dots \delta_\alpha^{\alpha_i} \omega^{(2)} a_i \dots \delta_\beta^{\alpha_j} \omega a_j \dots a_{n-1} x^{\alpha_n} + \sum_{i > j} x^{\alpha_1} a_1 \dots \delta_\alpha^{\alpha_j} \omega a_j \dots \delta_\beta^{\alpha_i} \omega a_i \dots a_{n-1} x^{\alpha_n} \right)$$

and this can be reduced to

$$\sum_{i < j} x^{\alpha_1} a_1 \dots \delta_\alpha^{\alpha_i} b a_i \dots \delta_\beta^{\alpha_j} a a_j \dots a_{n-1} x^{\alpha_n} + \sum_{i > j} x^{\alpha_1} a_1 \dots \delta_\alpha^{\alpha_j} a a_j \dots \delta_\beta^{\alpha_i} b a_i \dots a_{n-1} x^{\alpha_n}$$

which proves our statement. The more general case can be proven by noticing that for any $z \in \mathcal{A}(\{\omega^{(k)}\}_{k \geq l})$ and $l > 1$, $z \star \omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l-1)}(z') \star$ where z' is obtained from z by replacing each $\omega^{(k)}$ by $\omega^{(k-1)}$ for $k \geq l$. Indeed any word of the form $a_1 \omega^{(k_1)} \dots a_n \omega^{(k_n)} a_{n+1} \star$ can be decomposed as

$$(a_1) \star (\omega \omega^{(k_1)}) \star \dots (\omega a_n) \star (\omega \omega^{(k_n)}) \star (\omega a_{n+1}) \star .$$

Prior to proceeding with the reconstruction of Taylor's rule and higher tensor algebra, it is instructive to apply this calculus to the algebra R^n .

Example

R^n can be endowed with the natural product

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n)(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_n b_n)$$

and has n commuting basis vectors and one generator. Considering R^n as a (R^n, R) manifold, one disposes of one variable $x \in \mathcal{S}$ and the relevant function space is $R^n(x)^{tr}$ where $tr(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j$. The latter coincides with the usual function space: x^j may be identified with $(0, \dots, 0, 1_j, 0, \dots, 0)x = (0, \dots, 0, x_j, 0, \dots, 0)$. In order to swap x_j from the j 'th to the k 'th index it suffices to consider combinations such as

$$tr(x^j)(0, \dots, 0, 1_j, 0, \dots, 0, 1_k, 0, \dots, 0) - x^j$$

where in this case $j < k$. As such, all generators $(x_j)_{j=1}^n$ are freely available on each index. The natural differential on $R^n(x)^{tr}$ is given by ∂_x and it is easy to see that $(0, \dots, 0, 1_j, 0, \dots, 0) \star \partial_x = \partial_{x_j}$; in particular its action on monomials x^m is given by

$$(a) \star \partial_x x^m = (a) \star m x^{m-1} \omega = m x^{m-1} a$$

where ω may be shifted through since R^n is abelian. The last expression coincides with

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \partial_{x_j} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x_1^m \\ \vdots \\ x_n^m \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note

Obviously, $\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\beta} \neq \partial_{x^\beta}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\alpha}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ but

$$(a) \star (b) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\beta} = (b) \star (a) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\alpha}.$$

Taylor's rule is given by

$$\Psi(x^\alpha + \theta^\alpha(x^\beta)) - \Psi(x^\alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in M^n} (\theta^{\beta_n}) \star \dots \star (\theta^{\beta_1}) \star \partial_{x^{\beta_n}}^{(n)} \partial_{x^{\beta_{n-1}}}^{(n-1)} \dots \partial_{x^{\beta_1}} \Psi(x^\alpha)$$

and the proof is left as an easy exercise to the reader. Note that $W^{(1)}(\omega)$ is much bigger than $\partial_{x^\alpha}(\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr})$ for any α because of the non commutativity; indeed, $\sum_{\alpha=1}^M \omega tr(x^\alpha)$ is such an element. Therefore, we define the \mathcal{A} module $Z^k(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$ as

$$Z^k(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k}) = \{\partial_{x^{\alpha(k)}}^{(k)} \partial_{x^{\alpha(k-1)}}^{(k-1)} \dots \partial_{x^{\alpha(1)}} \Psi \mid (\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(k)) \in M^k \text{ and } \Psi \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}\}.$$

We shall now further characterize the modules Z^k ; $Z^1(\omega) \subset W^{(1)}(\omega)$ and any element in $Z^2(\omega, \omega^{(2)})$ is a linear combination of elements of the form $z_1\omega z_2\omega z_3$, $z_1\omega^{(2)}z_2\omega z_3$, $tr(z_1\omega)z_2\omega z_3$, $tr(z_1\omega^{(2)})z_2\omega z_3$, $z_1\omega z_2tr(\omega z_3)$, $z_1\omega^{(2)}z_2tr(\omega z_3)$ and traces thereof where $z_i \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. The latter elements are algebraically special since they span the subspace of elements $w \in (\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr})(\omega, \omega^{(2)})^{tr}$ satisfying $(z_1) \star (z_2) \star w \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$ for all $z_i \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. In general, denote by $W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$ the $\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$ submodule of $(\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr})(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})^{tr}$ consisting of those elements w^k such that

$$(z_1) \star \dots \star (z_k) \star w^k \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$$

for all $z_j \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. As indicated previously, the intersection

$$W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k}) \cap (\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr})(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$$

is spanned by elements w^σ of the form $z_1\omega^{(r_1)}z_2 \dots z_k\omega^{(r_k)}z_{k+1}$ where the series (r_1, \dots, r_k) corresponds to a permutation $\sigma \in S_k$. Indeed, given a permutation σ , one constructs a series (r_1, \dots, r_k) such that after evaluation

$$(a_{(k)}) \star (a_{(k-1)}) \star \dots \star (a_{(1)}) \star w^\sigma = z_1 a_{\sigma(1)} z_2 a_{\sigma(2)} \dots z_k a_{\sigma(k)} z_{(k+1)}.$$

The latter is build as follows : (a) $r_{\sigma(1)} = 1$ (b) if $s_{\sigma(p)}$ is the number of $\sigma(q)$ where $q < p$ such that $\sigma(p) < \sigma(q)$ then $r_{\sigma(p)} = s_{\sigma(p)} + 1$. Taking traces of subexpressions of w^σ does not change the above ordering property and the $(\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr})^{tr}$ submodule of elements with the σ property is denoted by $W^{\sigma k}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$. We show that for any $w \in W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$ the following holds

$$\underbrace{(\omega^{(k)}) \star \dots \star (\omega^{(k)})}_{k \text{ times}} \star w = w.$$

Clearly, it is sufficient to verify this on an element of the kind w^σ ; the first $\omega^{(k)}$ from the right shifts $\sigma(1) - 1$ times through elements of the kind $\omega^{(l)}$, $l \geq 2$ resulting in a $\omega^{k - \sigma(1) + 1}$ which is to be expected since $k - \sigma(1)$ elements should still shift through. Given the p 'th element from the right, $p > 1$, then shifting $\omega^{(k)}$ through results in a $\omega^{(k - \sigma(p) + 1)}$ while $k - \sigma(p) - s_{\sigma(p)}$ elements still have to go through. Hence, $\omega^{(s_{\sigma(p)} + 1)} = \omega^{(r_{\sigma(p)})}$ remains which we had to prove. Also, $(\omega^{(k)}) \star w \in W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$ meaning that $\omega^{(k)}$ is a k 'th root of a "left unity" with respect to \star in the submodule $W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$. Direct verification of the definition implies that

$$W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k}) \star W^{(s)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq s}) \subset W^{(k+s-1)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k+s-1}).$$

Moreover, it immediately follows that the product $w^\sigma \star w^\varsigma$ with $\sigma \in S_k$, $\varsigma \in S_s$ and corresponding sequences (r_1, \dots, r_k) , (t_1, \dots, t_s) , corresponds to a permutation $\sigma \star \varsigma$ determined by the sequence

$$(t_1 + k - 1, \dots, t_{\varsigma(1)-1} + k - 1, r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k, t_{\varsigma(1)+1}, \dots, t_{\varsigma(s)}).$$

Remark

It is clear that the algebra $(\oplus_{k=1}^{\infty} W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k}), \star)$ is associative and unital. Moreover, associativity also holds for contractions with elements of the kind $(x) \star$ where $x \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. Elementary calculations suggest that associativity is valid for arbitrary products in $(\mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr})(\{\omega^{(k)}\}_{k \geq 0})^{tr}$ but a general proof seems rather involved³.

The property $\underbrace{(\omega^{(k)}) \star \dots (\omega^{(k)})}_{k \text{ times}} \star w = w$ for any $w \in W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$ suggests

one to extend the covariance rule using the generalized Jacobians $C^{(k)\alpha}_\beta(x'; x)$, however this would not work. First, one should worry about the covariance properties of the differentials

$$\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)} : W^{(k-1)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k-1}) \rightarrow W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$$

or, when studying cohomology,

$$\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)} : Z^{k-1}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k-1}) \rightarrow Z^k(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k}).$$

To motivate our construction, let us write down the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\beta} \Psi &= (D(x'; x)^{(2)\kappa}_\alpha) \star \partial_{x'^\kappa}^{(2)} (D(x'; x)^{(1)\gamma}_\beta) \star \partial_{x'^\gamma} \\ &= \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} (D(x'; x)^{(1)\gamma}_\beta) \star \partial_{x'^\gamma} + (D(x'; x)^{(2)\kappa}_\alpha) \star (D(x'; x)^{(1)\gamma}_\beta) \star \partial_{x'^\kappa}^{(2)} \partial_{x'^\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

where the term $\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)} (D(x'; x)^{(1)\gamma}_\beta)$ is the usual gauge perturbation. In order to have the right group transformation properties, it is required that

$$D(x'; x)^{(2)\kappa}_\alpha \star D(x''; x')^{(2)\beta}_\kappa = D(x''; x)^{(2)\beta}_\alpha$$

and

$$(D(x; x)^{(2)\kappa}_\alpha) \star \partial_{x'^\kappa}^{(2)} = \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}$$

where in the first line equality holds modulo derivatives of Cayley-Hamilton identities. Moreover, the equality

$$\left[(D(x''; x')^{(2)\gamma}_\kappa) \star, (D(x'; x)^{(1)\alpha}_\beta) \star \right] = 0$$

needs to hold on $W^{(2)}(\omega, \omega^{(2)})$.

Clearly, a left inverse $\rho^{(2)}$ of $\omega^{(2)}$ is needed, that is $(\omega \rho^{(2)}) \star \omega^{(2)} = \omega$, $(\omega \rho^{(2)}) \star \omega =$

³The following identity is for sure useful

$$(a_1 \omega^{(s_1)} a_2 \dots a_n \omega^{(s_n)} a_{n+1}) \star \omega^{(k)} = \omega^{(k')} (a_1 \omega^{(s'_1)} a_2 \dots a_n \omega^{(s'_n)} a_{n+1}) \star$$

where $k > 1$, $s'_p = s_p$ if $s_p < k + f^k(p)$ and s_{p-1} otherwise. f^k is defined inductively by $f^k(n) = 0$ and $f^k(p) = |\{p < q \leq n | s_q < k + f^k(q)\}|$ and finally, $k' = k + f^k(1)$ if $s_1 < k + f^k(1)$ and $k + f^k(1) - 1$ otherwise.

$\omega^{(2)}(\omega)\star$ and (anything) \star commutes with any \mathcal{A} valued element. This results in the definition

$$D(x';x)^{(2)\alpha}_{\beta} = \partial_{x^{\beta}}^{(2)}(x'^{\alpha})\rho^{(2)}$$

and from here, it is easy to verify that all required properties hold:

- $(x\omega^{(2)}y\rho^{(2)})\star\omega = \omega(x\omega y)\star$ for any \mathcal{A} valued expressions x, y ,
- The group property obviously holds since the chain rule for the derivative $\partial_{x^{\alpha}}^{(2)}$ on $W^{(1)}(\omega)$ is given by

$$\partial_{x^{\alpha}}^{(2)} = (\partial_{x^{\alpha}}^2(x'^{\beta})\rho^{(2)})\star\partial_{x'^{\beta}}^{(2)}$$

- It is obvious that two elements $(x\omega^{(2)}y\rho^{(2)})\star$ and $(x'\omega y')\star$ commute on $W^{(2)}(\omega, \omega^{(2)})$ since the latter commutes with $\omega^{(2)}$.

Defining dual elements $d_{(2)}x^{\alpha}$ through

$$\partial_{x^{\alpha}}^{(l)}\star d_{(k)}x^{\beta} = \delta_k^l\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}\omega^{(k)}\rho^{(k)}$$

where $k, l = 1, 2$ and $\rho^{(1)} = 1$ clearly is a coordinate invariant statement as long as

$$d_{(k)}x'^{\alpha} = d_{(k)}x^{\beta}\star(\partial_{x^{\beta}}^{(k)}(x'^{\alpha})\rho^{(k)}).$$

Therefore, $(0, 2)$ tensors are defined as $d_{(2)}x^{\alpha}\otimes d_{(1)}x^{\beta}\star W_{\alpha\beta}$ where the coefficients $W_{\alpha\beta} \in W^{(2)}(\omega, \omega^{(2)})$ and $(2, 0)$ tensors are given by $V^{\alpha\beta}\star\partial_{x^{\alpha}}^{(1)}\otimes\partial_{x^{\beta}}^{(2)}$ where $V^{\alpha\beta}$ is a linear combination of expressions of the form $(a)\star(b\rho^{(2)})$ where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(x^{\alpha})^{tr}$. It is easy to verify that $V^{\alpha\beta}\star W_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{A}(x^{\gamma})^{tr}$ and the transformation laws for $((a)\star(b\rho^{(2)}))^{\alpha\beta}$ are given by

$$((a)\star(b\rho^{(2)}))^{\alpha\beta} = ((a)^{\gamma}\star\partial_{x^{\gamma}}(x'^{\alpha}))\star((b\rho^{(2)})^{\kappa}\star(\partial_{x^{\kappa}}^{(2)}(x'^{\beta})\rho^{(2)}))$$

$(1, 1)$ tensors are defined by putting the vector indices to the right; a basis is given by

$$W_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} = (W_{\alpha})\star(\omega a^{\beta})$$

and the star product of two $(1, 1)$ tensors is again or the same type.

Generalization to higher derivatives leads to the introduction of elements $\rho^{(k)}$ satisfying $(\omega\rho^{(k)})\star\omega^{(k)} = \omega$, $(\omega\rho^{(k)})\star\omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l+1)}(\omega\rho^{(k-1)})\star$ for any $k > l$ and $(\omega\rho^{(k)})\star\omega^{(l)} = \omega^{(l)}(\omega\rho^{(k)})\star$ for $k < l$. In general a basis of (r, s) tensors is given by

$$W_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_r}{}^{\beta_1\dots\beta_s} = W_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_r}\star(\omega a_1^{\beta_1})\star(a_2^{\beta_2}\rho^{(2)})\star\dots\star(a_s^{\beta_s}\rho^{(s)})$$

where $a_k^{\beta_k} \in \mathcal{A}(x^{\alpha})^{tr}$ and notice that the order of the $(a_k^{\beta_k}\rho^{(k)})$ is important. It is easy to verify that

$$(a_1)\star(a_2\rho^{(2)})\star\dots\star(a_s\rho^{(s)})\star W_t \in W^{(t-s)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l\leq t-s})$$

for $t \geq s$. One needs to take care of contractions when the number of contravariant indices of the left tensor s is greater than the number of covariant ones t of the right tensor. In that case, one obtains more complicated contravariant structures. These rules completely determine the tensor algebra; the next section deals with derivation structures it.

2.3 Differential calculus.

We generalize the notion of Lie, exterior and covariant derivative using a “non commutative” version of the Levi-Civita connection. Next, we develop integral calculus using invariant volume forms which suffices to define non-commutative gravitational action principles.

Lie derivative

Let $x^\alpha(s)$ be a curve in \mathcal{S} and denote by $\frac{d}{ds}$ a derivation which acts upon $x^\alpha(s)$ as

$$\frac{dx^\alpha(s)}{ds} = \frac{d}{ds} (\text{tr}(x^\alpha(s)e_\mu)) e^\mu$$

where the above expression clearly is independent of the Pauli Cartan basis and by definition, $\frac{dx^\alpha(s)}{ds}$ transforms as a vector. Let Ψ be a local diffeomorphism and note by Ψ^α the components of Ψ in a local chart around $\Psi(x^\beta(s))$. Then, the tangent vector to $\Psi^\alpha(x^\beta(s))$ is given by

$$\left(\Psi_* \frac{dx^\gamma(s)}{ds} \right)^\alpha := \frac{d\Psi^\alpha(x^\beta(s))}{ds} = \frac{dx^\gamma(s)}{ds} \star \partial_{x^\gamma} \Psi^\alpha(x^\beta(s))$$

and it is easy to verify that the above expression is independent of the coordinate system x^α . Let $V^\alpha \star \partial_{x^\alpha}$ be a local vector field, then as usual it is possible to define integral curves of

$$\frac{dx^\alpha(s, x_0^\beta)}{ds} = V^\alpha(x^\beta(s, x_0^\beta))$$

and associated one parameter group of local diffeomorphisms $\Psi_t^\alpha(y^\beta) = x^\alpha(t, y^\beta)$. As usual

$$\mathcal{L}_V(W)(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (W(p) - \Psi_{(t)*} W(\Psi_{-t}(p)))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt} (\Psi_{(t)*} W(\Psi_{-t}(p)))^\alpha &= -\frac{d}{dt} W^\beta(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) \star \partial_{x^\beta(\Psi_{-t}(p))} \Psi_t^\alpha(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) \\ &= V^\kappa(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) \star \partial_{x^\kappa} (W^\beta(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p)))) \star \partial_{x^\beta(\Psi_{-t}(p))} \Psi_t^\alpha(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) - \\ &W^\beta(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) \star \partial_{x^\beta(\Psi_{-t}(p))} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Psi_t^\alpha \right) (x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) - \\ &W^\beta(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))) \star \left(\frac{d}{dt} x^\kappa(\Psi_{-t}(p)) \rho^{(2)} \right) \star \partial_{x^\kappa(\Psi_{-t}(p))}^{(2)} \partial_{x^\beta(\Psi_{-t}(p))} \Psi_t^\alpha(x^\gamma(\Psi_{-t}(p))). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit $t \rightarrow 0$ results in

$$(\mathcal{L}_V(W))^\alpha(p) = V^\beta(x^\gamma(p)) \star \partial_{x^\beta} W^\alpha(x^\gamma(p)) - W^\beta(x^\gamma(p)) \star \partial_{x^\beta} V^\alpha(x^\gamma(p)) = [V, W]^\alpha(p)$$

and it is instructive to directly verify that this is indeed a vector. The Lie derivative of a scalar Ψ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_V(\Psi)(p) = -\frac{d}{dt}(\Phi(x^\alpha(\Psi_{-t}(p))))|_{t=0} = V(\Phi)(p) \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$$

and given that

$$\mathcal{L}_V T = -\frac{d}{dt}(\Psi_{(t)\star} T)|_{t=0}$$

where the push forward is defined as in the commutative case, results in

$$(\Psi_{(t)\star} Z)_\alpha(p) = \partial_{x^\alpha(p)}(x^\beta(\Psi_{(-t)}(p))) \star Z_\beta(\Psi_{(-t)}(p))$$

and therefore

$$(\mathcal{L}_V(Z))_\alpha(p) = \partial_{x^\alpha(p)}(V^\beta(x^\gamma(p))) \star Z_\beta(x^\gamma(p)) + (V^\beta(x^\gamma(p))\rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\beta(p)}^{(2)}(Z_\alpha(x^\gamma(p)))$$

for any one form Z where covariance is understood to hold modulo evaluation with $(a)\star$. Generalizing to higher tensors results in

$$(\Psi_{(t)\star} W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n})(p) = \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_1(p)}}^{(1)} x^{\beta_1}(\Psi_{(-t)}(p)) \right) \star \dots \star \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_n(p)}}^{(n)} x^{\beta_n}(\Psi_{(-t)}(p)) \rho^{(n)} \right) \star W_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n}(\Psi_{(-t)}(p))$$

and therefore

$$(\mathcal{L}_V W)_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(p) = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_j(p)}}^{(j)} V^{\beta_j}(p) \rho^{(j)} \right) \star W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{j-1} \beta_j \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_n}(p) + \left(V^\beta(p) \rho^{(n+1)} \right) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(n+1)} W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(p).$$

Exterior Calculus

The usual external derivative d serves to annihilate generalized gradients of functions and it is in this vein that we define it. Let $\sigma \in S_n$ and $(a_1) \star (a_2 \rho^{(2)}) \star \dots \star (a_n \rho^{(n)})$ be a $(n, 0)$ contravariant tensor, then

$$(a_1) \star (a_2 \rho^{(2)}) \star \dots \star (a_n \rho^{(n)}) \star P_\sigma = (a_{\sigma(1)}) \star \dots \star (a_{\sigma(n)} \rho^{(n)}) \star$$

Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$, then $d\Psi = d_{(1)}x^\alpha \star \partial_{x^\alpha}\Psi$ and

$$d^2\Psi = d_{(1)}x^{\alpha_1} \otimes d_{(2)}x^{\alpha_2} \star \left(\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} \partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}}}^{(1)} \Psi \right) = 0$$

given the symmetry property of derivatives. Therefore, given $W_\alpha \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$,

$$d(dx^\alpha \star W_\alpha) = d_{(1)}x^{\alpha_1} \otimes d_{(2)}x^{\alpha_2} \star \left(\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} W_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \right)$$

and it is a useful exercise to show that the latter expression transforms as a covariant $(0, 2)$ tensor.

Exercise

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} W_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} = \\
& \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} (\partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}}} (x'^{\beta_1})) \star W'_{\beta_1} + (\partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}}} (x'^{\beta_1})) \star \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} (x'^{\beta_2}) \rho^{(2)} \right) \star \partial_{x'^{\beta_2}}^{(2)} W'_{\beta_1} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \left((\partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}}} (x'^{\beta_{\sigma(1)}})) \star \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} (x'^{\beta_{\sigma(2)}}) \rho^{(2)} \right) \star \partial_{x'^{\beta_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} W'_{\beta_{\sigma(1)}} \right) \\
& = (\partial_{x^{\alpha_1}} (x'^{\beta_1})) \star \left(\partial_{x^{\alpha_2}}^{(2)} (x'^{\beta_2}) \rho^{(2)} \right) \star \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \partial_{x'^{\beta_{\sigma(2)}}}^{(2)} W'_{\beta_{\sigma(1)}}
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, one can extend elements of $W^{(k)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k})$, $k > 1$, by adding the permutators P_σ and we shall assume so without further ado. In general,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d(d_{(1)}x^{\alpha_1} \otimes d_{(2)}x^{\alpha_2} \dots \otimes d_{(k)}x^{\alpha_k} \star W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}) = \\
& d_{(1)}x^{\alpha_1} \otimes d_{(2)}x^{\alpha_2} \dots \otimes d_{(k)}x^{\alpha_k} \otimes d_{(k+1)}x^{\alpha_{k+1}} \star \left(\frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+1}} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma \partial_{x^{\alpha_{\sigma(k+1)}}}^{(k+1)} W_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)} \dots \alpha_{\sigma(k)}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and an elementary calculation shows that $d^2 = 0$. A k form field is defined by taking any $W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}$ and anti-symmetrizing

$$\frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \text{sign}(\sigma) P_\sigma W_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)} \dots \alpha_{\sigma(k)}}$$

and our previous exercise teaches that this transforms again as a $(0, k)$ tensor. For any $(0, k)$ tensor $W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}$, $\Psi_\star dW = d\Psi_\star W$ and therefore

$$\mathcal{L}_V d = d\mathcal{L}_V.$$

Covariant derivative

Remark first that the derivative of a tensor is simply defined by deriving its components. We present the covariant derivative in the usual axiomatic way, define the associated connection, torsion and curvature tensors and end with the Levi Civita connection associated to a metric tensor. Note from the outset that an infinity of covariant differentials $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}_k}^{(k)}$ acting respectively on $W^{(k-1)}(\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k-1})$ where $W^0 = \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$ are needed. For notational simplicity we sometimes will use the symbol $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}$ but it is understood that the correct grade “k” is used. It is useful to introduce the \star algebra’s $R^{(k)}$, $k > 0$, spanned by elements of the form $a\omega^{(k)}b\rho^{(k)}$ where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$ and $R^{(0)} = \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. For any point $p \in \mathcal{M}$

and generalized vectorfield $\mathbf{V}_k = V_k^\alpha \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)}$ of grade k defined in a neighborhood of p where $V_k^\alpha \in R^{(k)} \oplus (\mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr} \rho^{(k)})$, we attach a differential operator $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}_k}^{(k)}$ satisfying

- $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}_k}^{(k)} \mathbf{W}$ is a tensor in the arguments, that is for $f_k, g_k \in (\mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr} \rho^{(k)})$ and vector fields $\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{Y}_k$ with coefficients in $R^{(k)}$, one has

$$\nabla_{(f_k \star \mathbf{X}_k + g_k \star \mathbf{Y}_k)}^{(k)} \mathbf{W} = f_k \star \nabla_{\mathbf{X}_k}^{(k)} \mathbf{W} + g_k \star \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_k}^{(k)} \mathbf{W}$$

- $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}_{k+1}}^{(k+1)} (f_k \star \mathbf{X}_1 + g_k \star \mathbf{Y}_1) = \mathbf{V}_{k+1}(f_k) \star \mathbf{X}_1 + \mathbf{V}_{k+1}(g_k) \star \mathbf{Y}_1 + f_k \star \nabla_{\mathbf{V}_2}^{(2)} \mathbf{X}_1 + g_k \star \nabla_{\mathbf{V}_2}^{(2)} \mathbf{Y}_1$ for $f_k, g_k \in R^{(k)}$, $k = 0, 1$ and $\mathbf{V}_2 = (V_1^\alpha \rho^2) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}$ where V_1' is defined from V_1 by replacing ω by $\omega^{(2)}$ if necessary.

As usual, $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}$ is a covariant derivative in the direction of \mathbf{V} at p . Defining the contraction $\iota_{\mathbf{V}}$ of an (r, s) tensor $W_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_r}$ where $s > 0$ and $V^\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x^\beta)^{tr}$ as the $(r, s-1)$ tensor given by

$$(V^\alpha) \star W_{\alpha \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{s-1}}^{\beta_1 \dots \beta_r}$$

allows one to define the $(1, 1)$ tensor

$$\nabla^{(1)} W = dx^\alpha \star W^\beta_{;\alpha} \star \partial_{x^\beta}$$

where $W^\beta \in W^{(0)}$ and therefore $W^\beta_{;\alpha} \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$. Hence,

$$(\iota_{\mathbf{V}} \nabla^{(1)} W)^\alpha = V^\beta \star W^\alpha_{;\beta} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}^{(1)} W)^\alpha.$$

Indeed, the first rule shows it suffices to consider the basis vectors $\omega \star \partial_{x^\alpha}$ and by definition

$$\nabla_{(\omega \star \partial_{x^\alpha})}^{(1)} W = W^\beta_{;\alpha} \star \partial_{x^\beta}$$

or

$$W^\beta_{;\alpha} = \nabla_{(\omega \star \partial_{x^\alpha})}^{(1)} W \star dx^\beta.$$

One defines a connection

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\kappa} = \left(\nabla_{((\omega^{(2)} \rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)})}^{(2)} (\omega \star \partial_{x^\beta}) \right) \star dx^\kappa$$

where the latter belongs to $W^{(2)}(\omega, \omega^{(2)})$. The following contraction rules are in place

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{V}}^{(1)} \mathbf{W} &= \nabla_{V^\alpha \star \partial_{x^\alpha}}^{(1)} (W^\beta \star \partial_{x^\beta}) = \mathbf{V}(W^\beta) \star \partial_{x^\beta} + (W^\beta) \star (V^\alpha \rho^{(2)}) \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(2)} \rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}}^{(2)} (\omega \star \partial_{x^\beta}) \\ &= \mathbf{V}(W^\beta) \star \partial_{x^\beta} + (W^\beta) \star (V^\alpha \rho^{(2)}) \star \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\kappa} \star \partial_{x^\kappa} \\ &= \mathbf{V}(W^\beta) \star \partial_{x^\beta} + (V^\alpha) \star (W^\beta) \star \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\kappa} \star \partial_{x^\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

where the second and third line follow by different order of application of the calculational rules which proves its consistency. Therefore

$$W^\beta{}_{;\alpha} = \partial_{x^\alpha}(W^\beta) + W^\kappa \star \Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^{(1)\beta}$$

and the transformation rules are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)'\kappa} &= \left(\nabla_{((\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)})\star\partial_{x'^\alpha}^{(2)})}^{(2)} (\omega \star \partial_{x'^\beta}) \right) \star dx'^\kappa \\ &= \left(\nabla_{(\partial_{x'^\alpha}^{(2)}(x^\delta)\rho^{(2)})\star(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)})\star\partial_{x^\delta}^{(2)}}^{(2)} (\partial_{x'^\beta}(x^\gamma) \star \omega \star \partial_{x^\gamma}) \right) \star dx^\lambda \star \partial_{x^\lambda}(x'^\kappa) \\ &= \partial_{x'^\alpha}^{(2)} \partial_{x'^\beta}(x^\lambda) \star \partial_{x^\lambda}(x'^\kappa) + (\partial_{x'^\beta}(x^\gamma)) \star (\partial_{x'^\alpha}^{(2)}(x^\delta)\rho^{(2)}) \star \Gamma_{\delta\gamma}^{(1)\lambda} \star \partial_{x^\lambda}(x'^\kappa) \end{aligned}$$

By definition $W^\beta{}_{;\alpha}$ transforms as a $(1, 1)$ tensor; however it instructive to verify this explicitly. As usual, the difference of two connections transforms as a tensor. Prior to extending the covariant derivative to general tensors, we apply our new differential calculus to the algebra R^n .

Preference of flat space time.

It is obvious that the covariant calculus developed above does not coincide with the standard calculus on R^n which is now to be seen as a one dimensional (R^n, R) manifold. As before, $\partial_{x_j} = (0, \dots, 0, 1_j, 0, \dots, 0) \star \partial_x$ and

$$\Gamma_{jk}^l = (0, \dots, 0, 1_k, 0, \dots, 0) \star ((0, \dots, 0, 1_j, 0, \dots, 0) \rho^{(2)}) \star \Gamma_{xx}^{(1)x} \star (0, \dots, 0, 1_l, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is only nonzero in case $j = k = l$. This is so because there is only one direction in R^n while there are n in the standard view. Therefore, only *flat* abelian space-times exist since a coordinate transformation

$$\frac{\partial^2 x'}{\partial x \partial x} = -\Gamma_{xx}^{(1)x}$$

is sufficient to make the connection vanish everywhere. Therefore, small deviations from flatness might be seen as equivalent to small deviations from commutativity which is again equivalent to the existence of matter.

We now generalize the covariant derivative to general tensors of type (r, s) :

- If T is a tensor field of type (r, s) , then ∇T is of type $(r, s + 1)$,
- ∇ is linear and commutes with contractions,
- ∇ shifts through tensor products
- $\nabla f = df$ for any $f \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$.

Specifically, for a one form $dx^\alpha \star (\omega)$, this means that

$$0 = \nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)})\star\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}}^{(2)} \left((\omega) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(1)} \star d_{(1)}x^\kappa \star (\omega) \right) = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\kappa} + (\omega) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(1)} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)})\star\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}}^{(2)} (d_{(1)}x^\kappa \star \omega)$$

and therefore

$$\nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)})\star\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}}^{(2)}(d_{(1)}x^\kappa\star\omega) = -d_{(1)}x^\gamma\star\Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{(1)\kappa}$$

implying

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{V}_2}^{(2)}\mathbf{W} = dx^\gamma\star\left((V_2^\alpha)\star\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}(W_\gamma) - (V_2^\alpha)\star\Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^{(1)\kappa}\star W_\kappa\right).$$

For higher tensors we have to take care of the $\omega^{(k)}$'s and $\rho^{(k)}$'s being in the right place. Indeed, an identical calculation as before reveals that

$$\nabla_{(\omega^{(k)}\rho^{(k)})\star\partial_{x^\alpha}^{(k)}}^{(k)}(dx_{(k-1)}^\beta\star(\omega^{(k-1)}\rho^{(k-1)})) = -d_{(k-1)}x^\kappa\star\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^{(k-1)\beta}$$

where we demand now that $\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^{(k-1)\beta}$ equals $\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}'^{(1)\beta}\rho^{(k-1)}$ where $\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}'^{(1)\beta}$ is constructed from $\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^{(1)\beta}$ by replacing ω with $\omega^{(k-1)}$ and $\omega^{(2)}$ with $\omega^{(k)}$. Therefore, for any $(0, s)$ tensor we have

$$(\nabla_{\mathbf{V}_{s+1}}^{(s+1)}W)_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_s} = \mathbf{V}_{s+1}(W_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_s}) - \sum_{j=1}^s (V_{j+1}^\beta)\star\Gamma_{\beta\alpha_j}^{(j)\gamma}\star W_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_{j-1}\gamma\alpha_{j+1}\dots\alpha_s}$$

where $V_{j+1}^\beta \in \mathcal{A}(x^\alpha)^{tr}$. Covariance is readily verified since elements of different $R^{(k)}$'s $k \leq s$ commute in front of a $W_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_s}$. We shall further generalize (a) the geodesic equation (b) the notions of torsion and curvature.

Geodesics, torsion and curvature

A geodesic is a line in \mathcal{S} such that the tangent vector is parallel transported along itself. That is, given $V^\alpha = \frac{dx^\alpha(s)}{ds}$, one defines

$$V^\alpha\star V^\beta{}_{;\alpha} = V^\alpha\star\partial_\alpha V^\beta + V^\alpha\star V^\kappa\star\Gamma_{\alpha\kappa}^\beta = 0$$

and s is an affine parametrization, determined upon a transformation $as + b$. The construction of the exponential map and normal coordinates proceeds as usual and may be left as an exercise to the reader. Given two vector fields \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} , the torsion tensor is defined as

$$\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{Y} - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{X} - [\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$$

and we explicitly prove it is a tensor:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{T}((a)\star\mathbf{X}_1, (c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1) &= \nabla_{(a)\star\mathbf{X}_1}^{(1)}((c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1) - \nabla_{(c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1}^{(1)}((a)\star\mathbf{X}_1) - [(a)\star\mathbf{X}_1, (c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1] \\ &= (a)\star(c)\star\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_2}^{(2)}\mathbf{Y}_1 + (a)\star\mathbf{X}_1(c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1 - (c)\star(a)\star\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_2}^{(2)}\mathbf{X}_1 - \\ &\quad (c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1(a)\star\mathbf{X}_1 - (a)\star(c)\star\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{Y}_1 + (c)\star(a)\star\mathbf{Y}_2\mathbf{X}_1 \\ &\quad - (a)\star\mathbf{X}_1(c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1 + (c)\star\mathbf{Y}_1(a)\star\mathbf{X}_1 \end{aligned}$$

and the last line equals

$$(a)\star(c)\star\nabla_{\mathbf{X}_2}^{(2)}\mathbf{Y}_1 - (c)\star(a)\star\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_2}^{(2)}\mathbf{X}_1 - (a)\star(c)\star\mathbf{X}_2\mathbf{Y}_1 + (c)\star(a)\star\mathbf{Y}_2\mathbf{X}_1$$

which is what we needed to prove. One notices that due to the order in which the vector fields are applied, the coefficients shift through in different ways. Therefore, in a component notation, it is useful to introduce the following

$$T_{\beta_1\beta_2} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_2} P_\sigma T_{\beta_1\beta_2}^\sigma$$

where the $T_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}^\sigma$ are not tensors themselves. The torsion tensor vanishes if and only if

$$(a) \star (b\rho^{(2)}) \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}^{(2)}}^{(2)} ((\omega) \star \partial_{x^\beta}) - (b) \star (a\rho^{(2)}) \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(2)}}^{(2)} ((\omega) \star \partial_{x^\alpha}) = 0$$

or

$$(b) \star (a) \star \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(1)\kappa} = (a) \star (b) \star \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{(1)\kappa}$$

implying that the geodesic equation captures the entire connection. The usual relationship between torsion free connections and Lie derivatives survives, indeed

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{V}_0}(\mathbf{W}_0) = [\mathbf{V}_0, \mathbf{W}_0] = \nabla_{\mathbf{V}_0}^{(1)} \mathbf{W}_0 - \nabla_{\mathbf{W}_0}^{(1)} \mathbf{V}_0$$

and therefore

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{V}_0}(\mathbf{W}_0))^\alpha = V^\beta \star W^\alpha{}_{;\beta} - W^\beta \star V^\alpha{}_{;\beta}.$$

The usual relationship between exterior calculus and torsionfree covariant derivatives is also valid due to the presence of the permutators P_σ . We proceed now with the definition of the curvature tensor $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z}$. The latter coincides with the usual expression

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z} = \nabla_{\mathbf{X}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{Z}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}}(\nabla_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{Z}) - \nabla_{[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]} \mathbf{Z}$$

and one can verify in the same way that \mathbf{R} is a $(1, 3)$ tensorfield. In the same vein as before

$$\mathbf{R}((a) \star \mathbf{X}_1, (b) \star \mathbf{Y}_1)\mathbf{Z} = (a) \star (b) \star \nabla_{\mathbf{X}_2}^{(2)} \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_1} \mathbf{Z} - (b) \star (a) \star \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_2}^{(2)} \nabla_{\mathbf{X}_1} \mathbf{Z} - \nabla_{(a) \star (b) \star \mathbf{X}_2 \mathbf{Y}_1 - (b) \star (a) \star \mathbf{Y}_2 \mathbf{X}_1} \mathbf{Z}.$$

In a component basis, one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z})^\alpha &= (Z^\kappa) \star (Y^\gamma \rho^{(2)}) \star (X^\beta \rho^{(3)}) \star \left(\nabla_{(\omega^{(3)}\rho^{(3)}) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(3)}}^{(3)} \left(\nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\gamma}^{(2)}}^{(2)} (\omega) \star \partial_{x^\kappa} \right) \right)^\alpha - \\ & (Z^\kappa) \star (X^\beta \rho^{(2)}) \star (Y^\gamma \rho^{(3)}) \star \left(\nabla_{(\omega^{(3)}\rho^{(3)}) \star \partial_{x^\gamma}^{(3)}}^{(3)} \left(\nabla_{(\omega^{(2)}\rho^{(2)}) \star \partial_{x^\beta}^{(2)}}^{(2)} (\omega) \star \partial_{x^\kappa} \right) \right)^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

or, calculated directly

$$(\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z})^\alpha = (Y^\beta) \star (X^\kappa \rho^{(2)}) \star Z^\alpha{}_{;\beta\kappa} - (X^\kappa) \star (Y^\beta \rho^{(2)}) \star Z^\alpha{}_{;\kappa\beta}.$$

Considering the previous formula, one obtains that

$$(\mathbf{R}((\omega) \star \partial_{x^\beta}, (\omega) \star \partial_{x^\gamma})(\omega) \star \partial_{x^\kappa})^\alpha = \partial_{x^\beta}^{(3)} \left(\Gamma_{\gamma\kappa}^{(1)\alpha} \right) - P_{(23)} \partial_{x^\gamma}^{(3)} \left(\Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^{(1)\alpha} \right) +$$

$$\Gamma_{\gamma\kappa}^{(1)\lambda} \star \Gamma_{\beta\lambda}^{(1)\alpha} - P_{(23)}\Gamma_{\beta\kappa}^{(1)\lambda} \star \Gamma_{\gamma\lambda}^{(1)\alpha} = R_{\kappa\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}.$$

Clearly, the curvature tensor is antisymmetric in the arguments \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} :

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z} = -\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{Z}$$

and moreover, the first Bianchi identity holds

$$\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z})\mathbf{X} = 0$$

due to the connection being torsion free and the Jacobi identity and in component form:

$$R_{\kappa\beta\gamma}^{\alpha} + P \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} R_{\gamma\kappa\beta}^{\alpha} + P \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} R_{\gamma\kappa\beta}^{\alpha}$$

The second Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{W}}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})\mathbf{Z} + \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{W}, \mathbf{X})\mathbf{Z} + \nabla_{\mathbf{X}}\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{W})\mathbf{Z}$$

likewise holds and it is left as an easy exercise to write it in component form.

Metrics

Obviously, we are interested only in generalized hyperbolic structures since we believe physics to be causal. The interesting part is that the noncommutative notion of local causality can violate Bell's theorem and indeed, we shall derive quantum mechanical predictions later on. We will go even further by identifying a tetrad field with universal matter. Before proceeding however, it is necessary to tell something about bases in $T^*\mathcal{M}$:

$$E_j^{\alpha} \star \partial_{x^{\alpha}}$$

$j, \alpha : 1 \dots M$ and $E_j^{\alpha} \in W^{(1)}(\omega)$ is a basis if and only if for any vector \mathbf{W}_0 there exist $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\mathbf{W}_0 = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \star \mathbf{E}_j.$$

As before, it is easy to prove that there exists a co-basis \mathbf{E}^j such that

$$\mathbf{E}_j \star \mathbf{E}^k = \delta_j^k \omega$$

$$E_{\alpha}^j \star E_j^{\beta} = \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \omega.$$

Indeed it is sufficient to note that \mathbf{E}_j is a basis if and only if the $(NM) \times (NM)$ vielbein

$$e_{\nu j}^{\mu\alpha} = tr(e_{\nu} \star E_j^{\alpha} e^{\mu})$$

is invertible. Obviously, only NM^2 of the complex invertible $(NM) \times (NM)$ matrices can be written in this way and

$$(\omega) \star \partial_{x^{\alpha}} = e_{\kappa} (e^{-1})_{\gamma\alpha}^{\kappa j} tr(\omega e^{\gamma}) \star \mathbf{E}_j.$$

Taking $(1) \star \mathbf{E}_j \equiv \tilde{\mathbf{E}}_j$ provides us with a vielbein satisfying

$$\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_j \star \mathbf{E}^k = \delta_j^k.$$

Obviously, given $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_j$, there is no unique inverse vielbein; the residual freedom is given by matrices $A_{\mu\alpha}^{\kappa k}$ satisfying

$$A_{\mu\alpha}^{\kappa k} \text{tr}((1) \star \mathbf{E}_j^\alpha e^\mu) = 0$$

for all κ, k, j . For example, put $\mathbf{E}_j = (\omega a) \star \partial_{x^j}$ where a is invertible; consider elements b_k, c_k such that $b_k c_k \neq 0 = b_k a c_k$, then

$$\mathbf{E}^k = dx^k \star (b_k \omega c_k)$$

kills off all $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_j$. Given a set of basis vectors, it is possible to construct a Lorentzian tensor as

$$g_{\tilde{\alpha}\beta} = \eta_{jk} E_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\star(2)j} E_{\beta}^k.$$

Indeed, it is easy to verify that

$$(E_j^\beta) \star (E_{(2)k}^{\star\tilde{\alpha}} \rho^{(2)}) \star g_{\tilde{\alpha}\beta} = \eta_{jk} \omega^{(2)} \omega$$

and

$$\eta^{jk} (E_{(2)j}^{\star\tilde{\alpha}}) \star g_{\tilde{\alpha}\beta} \star E_k^\lambda = \delta_\beta^\lambda \omega^{(2)} \omega.$$

The last line points out there is no canonical way to write the inverse metric as $g^{\alpha\tilde{\beta}}$ and taking the inverse operation shall occur as above. It is important to note that we have formally doubled the number of variables x^α , if necessary, by adding $x^{\star\tilde{\alpha}}$ just like this happens in complex geometry. Obviously, we only allow for analytic diffeomorphisms, that is those which do not mix up the x^α and $x^{\star\tilde{\alpha}}$.

Remark: In general, one would expect a Lorentz metric g to be defined as a non degenerate hermitian $(0, 2)$ tensor with signature $(- + + \dots +)$; that is for any vector fields \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} one has that

$$g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})^\star = g(\mathbf{Y}^\star, \mathbf{X}^\star)$$

and therefore $g(\mathbf{X}^\star, \mathbf{X})$ is a hermitian operator. Non degeneracy of g can be defined as follows: for any basis \mathbf{E}_j one has that $g(\mathbf{E}_j^\star, \cdot)$ is a basis in $T_\star \mathcal{M}$. However, in order to define signature $(- + + \dots +)$, one requires an analog of the Gram Schmidt or spectral theorem. Given that we shall directly work with the vielbein, we postpone this issue for later.

This is a suitable point to stop the development of non commutative calculus since what we have now suffices to derive a bunch of interesting physics results. The follow-up paper will treat Levi-Civita connections, integral calculus and an equivalent of Stokes theorem; that is, all the tools needed for defining an action principle.

3 Non commutative Physics

3.1 Selection of kinematical setting.

The aim of this section is to formulate a few powerful mathematical and physical principles determining uniquely the kinematical setting. Let us start with a few philosophical notes; a material entity is an active operation on the kinematical structure. Usually, one considers tensor fields as dynamical entities, however the latter belong to the passive kinematical setting. Therefore, an action principle should be operator valued, that is it contains successive actions of covariant derivatives $\nabla_{\mathbf{V}}$ or multiplication operators. A key lesson from the previous chapter is that in order to do geometry, (a) one needs to specify the algebra \mathcal{A} (b) a specific sub-algebra \mathcal{B} and associated generators x^α so that $\mathcal{B}(1, x^\alpha) = \mathcal{A}$. Now, we can even go further and introduce real structures r_j associated to \mathcal{A} resulting in fundamental coordinates

$$x^\alpha, x^{\alpha r_j}.$$

The idea is then that the fundamental degrees of freedom correspond to real structures of a *super structure* with as local diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{S})$ where \mathcal{S} is the space of generating M -tuples of \mathcal{A} over \mathcal{B} . A local (over \mathcal{S}) gauge degree of freedom consists in rotating the real structures themselves preserving some desirable algebraic features, this leads to natural bundle structures and as an example we derive $U(1) \otimes SU(3)$. More in particular:

- (I) The fundamental physical entities correspond to nilpotent operators implying that real coordinates must take value in the generating set of a maximal real unital Grassman algebra \mathcal{N} . In order to speak about the bosonic and fermionic part of a coordinate, the latter must be endowed with a reversion r as well as an involution \star . That is, \mathcal{N} can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{N} = E \oplus O$$

where E, O are self adjoint subalgebra's of even and odd r parity respectively. E is the center of \mathcal{N} and elements in O are mutually anti commuting.

- (II) \mathcal{N} is extended over the real tensor product \mathcal{B} of all real divisor algebras.
- (III) The super coordinates x^α generate $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ over \mathcal{B} . We furthermore demand that the traces of generators constitute four independent real coordinates.
- (IV) Real coordinates are constructed from the x^α by applying *any* maximal set of involutions respecting the complex algebraic structure in \mathcal{B} .
- (V) The fundamental fields determine a unique Lorentzian causal structure.

(I) implies that fundamental fields are fermions ; indeed the Pauli principle holds (at a linearized level) : consider N_1 and N_2 , then the sum is (approximately) a Fermi field if and only if

$$\{N_1, N_2\} \approx 0.$$

(I) to (III) imply that \mathcal{B} is the algebra of complex quaternions H and $\mathcal{N} = GRAS_{(4)}(R)$ where the latter is formed by unity, four anticommuting elements a_α and their conjugates a_α^* satisfying

$$\{a_\alpha, a_\beta^*\} = 0.$$

\mathcal{N} is 256 dimensional over R as a vector space. $H \otimes GRAS_{(4)}(R)$ is generated by eight elements $x^\alpha, x^{\alpha\dagger}$ given that the multiplying algebra is considered to be H .

Remark

Note that we have only fixed the local structure; therefore, it might very well be that the non abelian directions of global space-time \mathcal{M} are compactified in order to obtain the desired stability properties, as elucidated in the introduction. We have nothing to say on this matter prior to having developed and studied stability properties of local dynamics.

\mathcal{A} allows for six independent involutions: (i) complex conjugation \bar{x} , (ii) the canonical involution x^* and reversion x^r on $GRAS_{(4)}(R)$ and (iii) the three involutions \bar{x}^k corresponding to $q_k \rightarrow -q_k$. Given the usual quaternion base q_κ , we may write that $x^\alpha = q_\kappa x^{\alpha\kappa}$ where the $x^{\alpha\kappa}$ are \mathcal{N} valued. Axiom (IV) implies that real coordinates are constructed by applying the complex conjugation, reversion and Grassman conjugation \star to the $x^{\alpha\kappa}$. However, we find it more suitable to work with the set

$$x^{\alpha \pm \kappa}, \overline{x^{\alpha \pm \kappa}}, x_\kappa^{\alpha \pm \dagger}, \overline{x_\kappa^{\alpha \pm \dagger}}$$

where the index \pm indicates even or odd parity under r and \dagger is the composition of \star , the ordinary complex and quaternion conjugation. Multiplication ax^α (as well as contractions $(a)\star$) always occurs with elements which preserve the real decomposition determined by the conjugations of H ; that is $a \in \mathcal{N}$. Since the coordinates x^α take value in the generating set of the algebra $H \otimes \mathcal{N}$, there exist only four real central coordinates. For any α , one counts exactly 8 Fermi coordinates (and 8 star conjugates) implying 8 complex Dirac spinors which is exactly the number of right handed particles and anti-particles in one standard model generation. Axiom (V) implies there exist four fundamental fields E^j where $j = 1 \dots 4$ as well as their conjugate fields which cook up a Lorentz metric as follows

$$\eta_{jk} E^{\dagger(2)j} E^k$$

implying there are 3 “space-like generations” and one temporal generation. The E^j , $j = 1 \dots 3$, are conjectured to constitute the generations of the standard

model and E^0 gives an entirely different perspective upon the notion of time. We finish this section by making some comments about the measurement problem in quantum mechanics. Obviously, waves do not interact since they constitute solutions of the linearized equation and therefore only superpose. Hence, measurement of a particle is due to a strong non linear effect requiring the presence of a vortex. Indeed, an electromagnetic wave can only interact with an electron in an atomic orbit if there is a light vortex to bound and resonate with. An electron black hole corresponds with a singularity at the level of fundamental fields, while a photon vortex corresponds to a singularity at the level of gauge fields. The bound state statistics is exactly given by the Fermi property formulated above since particles inherit the quantum numbers of waves.

The reader should appreciate the magic of the number four. The latter equals : (a) the maximal dimension of a real division algebra (b) the minimal dimension which allows for local gravitational degrees of freedom (c) the number of central components of coordinates in nature.

3.2 Free Fermi field theory.

We will now prove all these claims in full detail. Let us start by rewriting old equations in a different language : the Dirac equation knows about causality through the quaternion algebra. Indeed, let $1, q^k$ be the standard quaternion basis $q^k q^l = \epsilon^{klj} q^j$ and $(iq^k)^2 = -1$ which we can denote relativistically as $q^\kappa \equiv (1, iq^k)$. Then

$$D = q^\kappa \partial_{x^\kappa}$$

where $x^\kappa = t, x, y, z$ is the usual Dirac operator, that is $\bar{D}D$ is the Klein Gordon operator, where bar denotes the quaternion conjugate. Plane wave solutions correspond to

$$e^{iS(\bar{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}\mathbf{n}$$

where S denotes the scalar part, $\mathbf{p} = p_\kappa q^\kappa$, $\bar{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{p} = 0$, $\mathbf{x} = t + x_j(iq^j)$ and \mathbf{n} is any vector. Angular momentum is given by $\mathbf{x}D$ and the helicity operator by

$$S(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{iq^k p_k}{p_0}$$

implying that our particles have positive helicity

$$S(\mathbf{p})e^{iS(\bar{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}\mathbf{n} = e^{iS(\bar{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}\mathbf{n}.$$

Particles with negative helicity are in the kernel of \bar{D} .

Remark

Although the above equations are linear, it is rather easy to see that all solutions Ψ of $D\Psi = 0$ also satisfy

$$V^\kappa \partial_{x^\kappa} V^\alpha = 0$$

where

$$V^\kappa = \Psi q^\kappa.$$

The latter is an ordinary geodesic equation for quaternion valued vector fields which brings us in closer correspondence with gravity. The geodesic equation in the theory of gravitation does not know about the signature of the metric however and usually causality is put in by requiring that the vector V^κ is time-like or null

$$Re \left(\overline{V}^\kappa \eta_{\kappa\gamma} V^\gamma \right) \geq 0.$$

In case $V^\kappa = \Psi q^\kappa$, one notices that plane wave solutions give rise to null vectors; superpositions however fluctuate from being timelike to spacelike since

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\left(e^{iS(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_1 \mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{n}_1 + e^{iS(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_2 \mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{n}_2 \right)} \left(e^{iS(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_1 \mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{n}_1 + e^{iS(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_2 \mathbf{x})} \mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{n}_2 \right) = \\ & e^{i(S(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_1 \mathbf{x}) + S(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_2 \mathbf{x}))} (\overline{\mathbf{n}}_1 \overline{\mathbf{p}}_1 \mathbf{p}_2 \mathbf{n}_2 + \overline{\mathbf{n}}_2 \overline{\mathbf{p}}_2 \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{n}_1). \end{aligned}$$

The former is the quaternion analogue of classical free Fermi field theory and I wish to emphasize the huge advantage quaternions pose with respect to ordinary spinor calculus. Now, we construct free quantum field theory and it will become clear very soon *why* standard super space calculus isn't up to that task. The former establishes the importance of the covariance principle.

Let me stress again that what follows should be an approximation to the full dynamical theory and is by no means the fundamental theory itself. Assume the global space-time manifold \mathcal{M} is given by $R^4 \oplus \mathcal{I}$ where for any open \mathcal{O} in R^4 , $\mathcal{O} \oplus \mathcal{I}$ corresponds to an infinitesimal strip in \mathcal{S} . More specifically, the coordinates x^α are given by

$$x^\alpha = a^{\alpha 0} 1 + (i\epsilon^{\alpha 0} 1 + (\delta^{\alpha j} + i\epsilon^{\alpha j}) q_j + (\delta^{\alpha s \kappa} + i\epsilon^{\alpha s \kappa}) q_\kappa n_s)$$

where the n_s span the nilpotent part of \mathcal{N} , and the ϵ, δ is are infinitesimal real numbers. Given an inertial coordinate system $x^{(v)\alpha\kappa}, x_\kappa^{(\tau)\beta\dagger}$, we have the usual foliation of the abelian coordinates given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(tr(x^{\alpha 0}) + tr(x_0^{\alpha\dagger}) \right)$$

where we have dropped one of the four involutions v (\pm and the complex conjugate of \pm). By definition $\frac{1}{2} \left(tr(x^{00}) + tr(x_0^{0\dagger}) \right)$ is the time coordinate and $\frac{1}{2} \left(tr(x^{j0}) + tr(x_0^{j\dagger}) \right)$, $j = 1 \dots 3$, the usual space coordinates; hence, the foliation is given by the real three dimensional hyper surfaces of constant time. Furthermore, let \mathcal{P}_n^T be the horizontal strip around $(R^3 \times [-T, T]) \oplus \mathcal{I}$ of width $\frac{1}{n}$ and denote by \mathcal{R}_n a series of regular rasters satisfying $\mathcal{R}_n \subset \mathcal{R}_m$ for $n < m$ and the limit raster \mathcal{R}_∞ is dense in \mathcal{M} . Each raster point $x_n^i \in \mathcal{R}_n$ is the midpoint of a cell with volume $\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^4$ and the latter partition \mathcal{M} . Attached to

\mathcal{R}_n is the tensor algebra obtained by treating the coordinates of x_n^i as independent variables which can undergo infinitesimal displacements. In other words, functions Ψ look like

$$\Psi \left(x_n^{i(v)\alpha}, x_n^{j(\tau)\beta\dagger} \right)$$

and we proceed now by defining the appropriate creation and annihilation operators. It is worthwhile to note that the formulation below of the Fermi Fock algebra is a *space time* construction and makes no a priori use of any foliation whatsoever.

The additional real structures generate the algebra elements $\omega^{(k)(\pm)}$ and $\omega^{(k)(\pm)\dagger}$, which apart from satisfying the previous formulae, also inherit algebraic properties attached to the specific sub-algebra's. Specifically, $(a) \star \omega^{(\pm)} = a^\pm$ and $(a) \star \omega^{(\pm)\dagger} = a^{\pm\dagger}$ for any $a \in \mathcal{N}$. However, we make it clear from the beginning that contractions only occur with self adjoint elements $a = a^\dagger$ in \mathcal{N} , so the distinction between $\omega^{(\pm)}$ and $\omega^{(\pm)\dagger}$ doesn't really matter. If x is a word containing the combination $\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(-)})$ once, then the \mathcal{O} in front of the $\omega^{(-)}$ indicates the latter has to be shifted to the left (and once to the left the \mathcal{O} disappears). The operators we have in mind now are of the kind

$$a_{n\alpha\kappa}^{i(k)} = \mathcal{O}(\omega^{(k)(-)}) \rho^{(k)(-)} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(k)(-)} \rho^{(k)(-)} \star \partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}^{(k)}} + \omega^{(k)(+)} \dagger x_{n\kappa}^{i(-)\alpha\dagger}$$

and

$$\left(a_{n\alpha}^{i(k)\kappa} \right)^\dagger = \mathcal{O}(\omega^{(k)(-)\dagger}) \rho^{(k)(-)\dagger} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(k)(-)\dagger} \rho^{(k)(-)\dagger} \star \partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\dagger}}^{(k)}} + \omega^{(k)(+)} x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}$$

as well as their complex conjugates

$$\bar{a}_{n\alpha\kappa}^{i(k)} = \mathcal{O}(\omega^{(k)(-)}) \rho^{(k)(-)} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(k)(-)} \rho^{(k)(-)} \star \partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}^{(k)}} + \omega^{(k)(+)} \dagger \overline{x_{n\kappa}^{i(-)\alpha\dagger}}$$

and

$$\left(\bar{a}_{n\alpha}^{i(k)\kappa} \right)^\dagger = \mathcal{O}(\omega^{(k)(-)\dagger}) \rho^{(k)(-)\dagger} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(k)(-)\dagger} \rho^{(k)(-)\dagger} \star \partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\dagger}}^{(k)}} + \omega^{(k)(+)} \overline{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}.$$

Remark

It is left as an exercise to the reader to show that, given the introduction of the obvious algebra, covariance properties can be formulated as before.

As usual, the index k denotes that the operator acts upon $W^{(k-1)} (\{\omega^{(l)}\}_{l \leq k-1})$. We now proceed by calculating the anti commutators acting upon wavefunctions Ψ defined on the raster \mathcal{R}_n ; at a later stage we generalize the following to the raster tensor algebra. Define the anticommutator by requiring that contractions

switch position in the word order when acting upon Ψ ; meaning that we usually have to use the permutator $P_{(12)}$. Hence, it is easy to verify that

$$\{a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i, a_{n\beta\lambda}^j\}\Psi = 0 = \{\bar{a}_{n\alpha\kappa}^i, a_{n\beta\lambda}^j\}\Psi = \{(\bar{a}_{n\alpha}^{i\kappa})^\dagger, a_{n\beta\lambda}^j\}\Psi$$

and

$$\{a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i, (a_{n\beta}^{j\lambda})^\dagger\}\Psi = \delta^{ij}\delta_\kappa^\lambda\delta_{\alpha\beta}\left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger}\right)\Psi.$$

Indeed, it is most easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(2)(-)}\rho^{(2)(-)}\star\partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}^{(2)})\left(\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(-)})\star\partial_{x_n^{j(-)\beta\lambda}}\right)\right)\Psi + \\ & \left(\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(2)(-)}\rho^{(2)(-)}\star\partial_{x_n^{j(-)\beta\lambda}}^{(2)})\left(\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(-)})\star\partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}\right)\right)\Psi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\omega^{(-)}\omega^{(-)} = -\omega^{(2)(-)}\omega^{(-)}$ and likewise for all other derivatives. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{\left(\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(-)})\star\partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}\right), \omega^{(+)}x_n^{j(-)\beta\lambda}\right\}\Psi = \delta_\kappa^\lambda\delta_i^j\delta_\alpha^\beta\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)}\Psi, \\ & \left\{\left(\mathcal{O}(\omega^{(-)})\star\partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}}\right), \omega^{(+)\dagger}x_n^{j(-)\beta\lambda\dagger}\right\}\Psi = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Although the above operators transform covariantly⁴; the expressions themselves are not covariant but attached to our inertial coordinate system just like the ordinary creation and annihilation operators are in quantum field theory. We show now how the usual Fock basis emerges in the distributional limit; define

$$a_{\mathbf{p}n\gamma\kappa}^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2T}} \sum_{j:x_n^j \in \mathcal{P}_n^T} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 e^{ip^\alpha(tr(x_n^{j\beta 0})+tr(x_n^{j\beta \dagger}))\eta_{\alpha\beta}/2} a_{n\gamma\kappa}^j$$

then direct computation gives

$$\{a_{\mathbf{p}n\gamma\kappa}^T, a_{\mathbf{q}n\delta\lambda}^T\} = 0$$

and

$$\{a_{\mathbf{p}n\gamma\kappa}^T, a_{\mathbf{q}n\delta\lambda}^{T\dagger}\} = \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{j:x_n^j \in \mathcal{P}_n^T} \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^4 e^{i(p^\alpha - q^\alpha)(tr(x_n^{j\beta 0})+tr(x_n^{j\beta \dagger}))\eta_{\alpha\beta}/2} \delta_\kappa^\lambda \delta_{\gamma\delta}.$$

The latter reduces to

$$(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{q}) \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger}\right) \delta_\kappa^\lambda \delta_{\gamma\delta}$$

in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ and this independently of T . Obviously, we take the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ since we do not wish to violate space time translation invariance. Prior to including left handed particles, distinguish particles from anti-particles and

⁴Connection terms do not appear when acted upon functions; when acted upon vectors curvature corrections appear.

generalizing the above to actions upon the quantum tensor algebra; it is of interest to go into the interpretation of the above results.

Free Fermi quantum field theory arises in this construction by atomizing non commutative space-time itself; indeed in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, the latter is partitioned by infinitesimal hypercubes which correspond to independent variables each. There is nothing to say yet about measurement or observation since singular localized operators are required for this and the latter belong to the strong non-linear regime; a detailed investigation of this aspect is beyond the scope of this paper.

Including left handed particles requires doubling of the local algebra which is pretty natural considering the former are solutions of different equations of motion. Therefore, consider the mapping

$$a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i \rightarrow a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i \oplus \omega^{(-)}$$

which is an embedding preserving the anti commutator algebra; that is

$$\{a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i \oplus \omega^{(-)}, a_{n\beta\lambda}^j \oplus \omega^{(-)}\} = \{a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i, a_{n\beta\lambda}^j\} \oplus \{\omega^{(-)}, \omega^{(-)}\} = 0 \oplus 0$$

and

$$\{a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i \oplus \omega^{(-)}, a_{n\beta}^{j\lambda\dagger} \oplus \omega^{(-)\dagger}\} = \delta^{ij}\delta_{\kappa}^{\lambda}\delta_{\alpha\beta} (\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger}) \oplus 0.$$

The left handed generation corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^{(-)} \oplus a_{n\alpha\kappa}^i, \\ \omega^{(-)\dagger} \oplus a_{n\alpha}^{i\kappa\dagger} \end{aligned}$$

and obviously, right and left handed operators anti commute. The particle field operator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n\alpha\kappa}^T ((tr(x_n^{j\delta 0}) + tr(x_n^{j\delta\dagger})))/2) = \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_0}} e^{-ip^\delta (tr(x_n^{j\gamma 0}) + tr(x_n^{j\gamma\dagger}))\eta_{\delta\gamma}/2} \\ \left(\sqrt{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}n\alpha\kappa}^T \oplus \omega^{(-)} + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}} \omega^{(-)} \oplus a_{\mathbf{p}n\alpha\kappa}^T \right). \end{aligned}$$

When taking the anti commutator, it is important to use the abelian symmetric quaternion product

$$\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{q} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{p}\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}\mathbf{p}).$$

Elementary computation reveals that

$$\lim_{n,T \rightarrow \infty} \{\Phi_{n\alpha\kappa}^T ((tr(x_n^{j\delta 0}) + tr(x_n^{j\delta\dagger})))/2), \Phi_{n\beta\lambda}^T ((tr(y_n^{k\gamma 0}) + tr(y_n^{k\gamma\dagger})))/2)\} = 0$$

where $x_n^{i\delta} \rightarrow x^\delta$, $y_n^{i\gamma} \rightarrow y^\gamma$ in the limit for n to infinity. Moreover, it is easily calculated that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n, T \rightarrow \infty} \{ \Phi_{n\alpha\kappa}^T ((tr(x_n^{j\delta 0}) + tr(x_n^{j\delta \dagger}))/2), \Phi_{n\beta}^{T\lambda\dagger} ((tr(y_n^{k\gamma 0}) + tr(y_n^{k\gamma \dagger}))/2) \} = \\ & \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_0} e^{-ip^\gamma((tr(x^{\delta 0}) + tr(x_0^{\delta \dagger}))/2 - (tr(y^{\delta 0}) + tr(y_0^{\delta \dagger}))/2)\eta_{\gamma\delta}} \delta_\kappa^\lambda \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger} \right) (\mathbf{p} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{p}}) = \\ & i(\bar{D}_x \oplus D_x) \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_0} e^{-ip^\gamma((tr(x^{\delta 0}) + tr(x_0^{\delta \dagger}))/2 - (tr(y^{\delta 0}) + tr(y_0^{\delta \dagger}))/2)\eta_{\gamma\delta}} \delta_\kappa^\lambda \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and causality shall be respected once anti particles are added.

Local Fermi operators for anti particles are given by

$$b_{n\alpha\kappa}^{i(k)\dagger} = \mathcal{O}(\omega^{(k)(-)}) \rho^{(k)(-)} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(k)(-)}\rho^{(k)(-)}\star\partial_{x_n^{i(-)}\alpha\kappa}^{(k)})} - \omega^{(k)(+)}\dagger x_{n\kappa}^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}$$

and

$$b_{n\alpha}^{i(k)\kappa} = \mathcal{O}(\omega^{(k)(-)\dagger}) \rho^{(k)(-)\dagger} \star \nabla_{(\omega^{(k)(-)\dagger}\rho^{(k)(-)\dagger}\star\partial_{x_n^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}^{(k)}})} - \omega^{(k)(+)} x_{n\kappa}^{i(-)\alpha\kappa}$$

as well as their complex conjugates. It is easily verified that

$$\begin{aligned} \{b_{n\alpha}^{i\kappa}, b_{n\beta}^{j\lambda}\} &= 0, \\ \{b_{n\alpha}^{i\kappa}, b_{n\beta\lambda}^{j\dagger}\} &= -\delta^{ij} \delta_\lambda^\kappa \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger} \right), \\ \{b_{n\alpha\kappa}^{i\dagger}, a_{n\beta\lambda}^j\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\{b_{n\alpha\kappa}^{i\dagger}, a_{n\beta}^{j\lambda\dagger}\} = \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} - \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger} \right) \delta^{ij} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_\kappa^\lambda.$$

Evaluating all expressions on the diagonal $(a)\star(a)\star$ where a is self adjoint $a^\star = a$ gives the desired result. Completion of the above is now fairly obvious given that the classical right handed anti particle solutions correspond to

$$e^{-iS(px)} \bar{\mathbf{p}}\mathbf{n}.$$

Indeed, the anti particle contribution to the field operator is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{n\alpha}^{T\kappa} ((tr(x_n^{i\delta 0}) + tr(x_n^{i\delta \dagger}))/2) &= \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2p_0}} e^{ip^\epsilon (tr(x_n^{i\gamma 0}) + tr(x_n^{i\gamma \dagger}))/2\delta_{\epsilon\gamma}} \\ & \left(\sqrt{\bar{\mathbf{p}}} b_{\mathbf{p}n\alpha}^{T\kappa} \oplus \omega^{(-)} + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}} \omega^{(-)} \oplus b_{\mathbf{p}n\alpha}^{T\kappa} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and one calculates that

$$\lim_{n, T \rightarrow \infty} \{ \Phi_{n\alpha}^{T\kappa} (tr(x_n^{i\gamma 0}) + tr(x_n^{i\gamma \dagger}))/2, \Phi_{n\beta\lambda}^{T\dagger} (tr(y_n^{j\delta 0}) + tr(y_n^{j\delta \dagger}))/2 \} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_0} e^{-ip^\epsilon((tr(x^{\gamma 0})+tr(x_0^{\gamma \dagger}))/2-(tr(y^{\gamma 0})+tr(y_0^{\gamma \dagger}))/2)\eta_{\epsilon\gamma}} \delta_\lambda^\kappa \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\
& \quad \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger} \right) (\mathbf{p} \oplus \bar{\mathbf{p}}) = \\
i (\bar{D}_x \oplus D_x) & \int \frac{d\vec{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2p_0} e^{-ip^\epsilon((tr(x^{\gamma 0})+tr(x_0^{\gamma \dagger}))/2-(tr(y^{\gamma 0})+tr(y_0^{\gamma \dagger}))/2)\eta_{\epsilon\gamma}} \delta_\lambda^\kappa \delta_{\alpha\beta} \\
& \quad \left(\omega^{(+)}\omega^{(-)} + \omega^{(-)\dagger}\omega^{(+)\dagger} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Given that the anti commutator algebra for anti particles has the wrong sign, we have to redefine the latter so that it becomes indefinite; the latter restores Hilbert space positivity as well as causality.

It remains to be seen whether the atomization procedure of quantum field theory is something real or merely a convenient way of approximating solutions to an operator valued non commutative field theory on non atomized non abelian space time. Indeed, since Bell's theorem goes down the drain, this might very well be the case given that the way quantum field theory is derived from a hypothetical non commutative operator valued field theory is exactly the same as thermodynamics follows from classical field theory. We explore this line of thought further in the next paper.

In the next section, we examine the transformation groups of the kinematical structure, assign particles/antiparticles to the Fermi operators and calculate the electromagnetic charges.

3.3 Gauge groups of \mathcal{M} .

Prior to addressing the question of dynamics, we have to determine the group of local coordinate transformations of our non commutative space-time. The latter have to preserve the special structure of the coordinate systems at hand; therefore the maximal transformation group is generated by

- the local diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{S})$ in the coordinates x^α ,
- local, structure preserving transformations changing the quaternion base over C , that is $SU(3)$,
- local, structure preserving transformations changing the complex base over R , that is $U(1)$.

The first one constitutes the local coordinate transformations in the super structure, while the last two correspond to the unbroken symmetries of the standard model and by definition, the super diffeomorphisms commute with the local gauge transformations. It remains to be seen how the local $SU(2)$ gauge group as well as the Higgs mechanism arises from similar arguments as those above. It is expected that the non commutative structure allows one to assign a small

positive or imaginary effective mass -with respect to the abelian part of the coordinate system- to photons and gluons, but these should correspond to quantum or gravitational effects.

Let us first assign particles and anti-particles to particular coordinates, or better the respective creation and annihilation operators. For fixed α and using the language of the first SM generation, $x_0^{\alpha(-)}$ corresponds to an electron anti-neutrino, has electric charge $e = 0$ and transforms as a singlet under $SU(3)$, $x_k^{\alpha(-)}$ corresponds to a color 3 multiplet associated to a down quark d with $e = -1/3$, $\overline{x_0^{\alpha(-)}}$ to an electron $e = -1$, $\overline{x_k^{\alpha(-)}}$ to a color $\overline{3}$ multiplet associated to a up anti-quark \overline{u} with $e = -2/3$. \dagger maps particles to their respective anti-particles, so we have completed one generation of the standard model.

We prove now the above remarks; the complex Euclidean quaternions are generated by elements satisfying

$$q_k q_l = -i \overline{\epsilon_{klm}} q^m \dagger.$$

Now, it is most easily seen that the complex linear mappings of unit determinant preserving this algebra are defined by

$$q_k \rightarrow U_k^{\dagger l} q_l$$

where \mathbf{U} is a $SU(3)$ transformation. The reason why we wrote $q_k q_l = -i \overline{\epsilon_{klm}} q^m \dagger$ is because this expression is invariant under rotations in the complex plane which leave the quaternion basis invariant. Therefore, it is important to distinguish the complex unit from the quaternion unit and only real combinations $\overline{y}x$ can be disregarded. There is a residual freedom which modifies the complex conjugation by a factor of $e^{i\theta}$ and $q_k \rightarrow e^{i\theta/3} q_k$; it is then easily verified that the latter preserves the complex quaternion algebra. The $U(1)$ factor constructed above attributes the following $U(1)$ charges to the right handed standard model particles and anti particles

$$0, 1/3, 1, 2/3, -1/3, -2/3, -1$$

and these turn out to be the correct numbers.

Let us summarize what we have achieved so far:

- We have found five natural mathematical axioms from which we can derive (i) the number of generations of the standard model (ii) the correct number of particles and anti particles per generation (iii) the bundle structure associated to the unbroken symmetry groups of the standard model.
- We have explicitly shown that the statistical mechanics of a field theory with infinitesimal non-abelian dimensions coincides with free Fermi quantum field theory.

- We have shown that abelian space times have no curvature.
- The gauge charges drop out correctly, at least for the electromagnetic and strong interactions.

The least one can say is that these results are promising and the development of a full dynamics as well as the construction of the necessary mathematical framework is postponed to the follow-up paper. It is important to note that our results indicate that the strong and electromagnetic forces are essentially different from gravitation; the notable exception for now being given by the weak interactions.

Finally, let me slightly speculate about the physical picture which emerges from this work : space time turns out to be a non-commutative manifold and physical processes are locally causal in the non abelian sense. The quantum constant \hbar must be a measure for physical operators to penetrate the non abelian part of the algebra and therefore also a measure for apparent causality violating effects, that is when one forgets about the non abelian part of the metric.

References

- [1] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, The large scale structure of space-time, Cambridge monographs on mathematical physics, 1973