

---

# Infinite Dimensional Multiplicity Free Spaces I: Limits of Compact Commutative Spaces

Joseph A. Wolf

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720–3840, USA  
jawolf@math.berkeley.edu

**Summary.** We study direct limits  $(G, K) = \varinjlim (G_n, K_n)$  of compact Gelfand pairs. First, we develop a criterion for a direct limit representation to be a multiplicity-free discrete direct sum of irreducible representations. Then we look at direct limits  $G/K = \varinjlim G_n/K_n$  of compact riemannian symmetric spaces, where we combine our criterion with the Cartan–Helgason Theorem to show in general that the regular representation of  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  on a certain function space  $\varinjlim L^2(G_n/K_n)$  is multiplicity free. That method is not applicable for direct limits of nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs, so we introduce two other methods. The first, based on “parabolic direct limits” and “defining representations”, extends the method used in the symmetric space case. The second uses some (new) branching rules from finite dimensional representation theory. In both cases we define function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$  to which our multiplicity-free criterion applies.

## 1 Introduction

Gelfand pairs  $(G, K)$ , and the corresponding “commutative” homogeneous spaces  $G/K$ , form a natural extension of the class of riemannian symmetric spaces. We recall some of their basic properties. Let  $G$  be a locally compact topological group,  $K$  a compact subgroup, and  $M = G/K$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent; see [W2007, Theorem 9.8.1].

1.  $(G, K)$  is a Gelfand pair, i.e.  $L^1(K \backslash G/K)$  is commutative under convolution.
2. If  $g, g' \in G$  then  $\mu_{KgK} * \mu_{Kg'K} = \mu_{Kg'K} * \mu_{KgK}$  (convolution of Dirac measures on  $K \backslash G/K$ ).
3.  $C_c(K \backslash G/K)$  is commutative under convolution.
4. The measure algebra  $\mathcal{M}(K \backslash G/K)$  is commutative.
5. The representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(M)$  is multiplicity free.

If  $G$  is a connected Lie group one can also add

6. The algebra of  $G$ -invariant differential operators on  $M$  is commutative.

When we drop the requirement that  $K$  be compact, conditions 1, 2, 3 and 4 lose their meaning because integration on  $M$  or  $K \backslash G / K$  no longer corresponds to integration on  $G$ . Condition 5 still makes sense as long as  $K$  is unimodular in  $G$ . Condition 6 remains meaningful (and useful) whenever  $G$  is a connected Lie group; there one speaks of “generalized Gelfand pairs”.

In this note we look at some cases where  $G$  and  $K$  are not locally compact, in fact are infinite dimensional, and show in those cases that the multiplicity-free condition 5 is satisfied. We first discuss a multiplicity free criterion that can be viewed as a variation on some of the combinatoric considerations of [DPW2002]; it emerged from some discussions with Ivan Penkov in another context. We then apply the criterion in the setting of symmetric spaces, proving that direct limits of compact symmetric spaces are multiplicity free. This applies in particular to infinite dimensional real, complex and quaternionic Grassmann manifolds, and it uses some basic symmetric space structure theory. In particular our argument for direct limits of compact riemannian symmetric spaces makes essential use of the Cartan–Helgason Theorem, and thus does not extend to direct limits of nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs.

In order to extend the the multiplicity-free result to at least some direct limits of nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs, we define the notion of “defining representation” for a direct system  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  where the  $G_n$  are compact Lie groups and the  $K_n$  are closed subgroups. We show how a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  leads to a direct system  $\{\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued polynomial function algebras, a continuous function completion  $\{\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)\}$ , and a Lebesgue space completion  $\{L^2(G_n/K_n)\}$ . The direct limit spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$  are the function spaces on  $G/K = \varinjlim G_n/K_n$  which we study as  $G$ -modules.

Next, we prove the multiplicity free property, for the action of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ , when  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  is any of six families of Gelfand pairs related to spheres and Grassmann manifolds. Then we go on to prove multiplicity free for three other types of direct limits Gelfand pairs.

Finally we summarize the results, extending them slightly by including the possibility of enlarging the  $K_n$  within their  $G_n$ -normalizers without losing the property that  $\{K_n\}$  is a direct system.

Our proofs of the multiplicity-free condition, for some direct limits of nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs, use of a number of branching rules, new and old, for finite dimensional representations. This lends a certain *ad hoc* flavor which I hope can be avoided in the future.

Direct limits  $(G, K) = \varinjlim (G_n, K_n)$  of riemannian symmetric spaces were studied by Ol’shanskii from a very different viewpoint [Ol1990]. He viewed the  $G_n$  inside dual reductive pairs and examined their action on Hilbert spaces of

Hermite polynomials. Ol’shanskii made extensive use of factor representation theory and Gaussian measure, obtaining analytic results on limit–spherical functions. See Faraut [Fa2006] for a discussion of spherical functions in the setting of direct limit pairs. In contrast to the work of Ol’shanskii and Faraut we use the rather simple algebraic method of renormalizing formal degrees of representations to obtain isometric embeddings  $L^2(G_n/K_n) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1})$ . That leads directly to our multiplicity–free results.

I am indebted to Ivan Penkov for discussions of multiplicities in direct limit representations which are formalized in Theorem 2 below. I also wish to acknowledge hospitality from the Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach and support from NSF Grant DMS 04 00420.

## 2 Direct Limit Groups and Representations

We consider direct limit groups  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  and direct limit representations  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  of them. This means that  $\pi_n$  is a representation of  $G_n$  on a vector space  $V_n$ , that the  $V_n$  form a direct system, and that  $\pi$  is the representation of  $G$  on  $V = \varinjlim V_n$  given by  $\pi(g)v = \pi_n(g_n)v_n$  whenever  $n$  is sufficiently large that  $V_n \hookrightarrow V$  and  $G_n \hookrightarrow G$  send  $v_n$  to  $v$  and  $g_n$  to  $g$ . The formal definition amounts to saying that  $\pi$  is well defined.

It is clear that a direct limit of irreducible representations is irreducible, but there are irreducible representations of direct limit groups that cannot be formulated as direct limits of irreducible finite dimensional representations. This is a combinatoric matter and is discussed extensively in [DPW2002]. The following definition is closely related to those combinatorics but applies to a somewhat simpler situation.

**Definition 1** We say that a representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is **limit–aligned** if it has form  $\varinjlim \pi_n$  in such a way that (i) each  $\pi_n$  is a direct sum of primary representations and (ii) the corresponding representation spaces  $V = \varinjlim V_n$  have the property every primary subspace of  $V_n$  is contained in a primary subspace of  $V_{n+1}$ .

**Theorem 2** *A limit–aligned representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  of  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  is a direct sum of primary representations. If the  $\pi_n$  are multiplicity free then  $\pi$  is a multiplicity free direct sum of irreducible representations.*

*Proof.* Let  $V = \varinjlim V_n$  be the representation spaces. Decompose  $V_n = \sum_{\alpha \in I_n} V_{n,\alpha}$  where the  $V_{n,\alpha}$  are the subspaces for the primary summands of  $\pi_n$ . Write  $\pi_{n,\alpha}$  for the representation of  $G_n$  on  $V_{n,\alpha}$ , so  $\pi_n = \sum_{\alpha \in I_n} \pi_{n,\alpha}$ .

Since  $\pi$  is limit–aligned, i.e. since each  $V_{n,\alpha} \subset V_{n+1,\beta}$  for some  $\beta \in I_{n+1}$ , we may assume  $I_n \subset I_{n+1}$  in such a way that each  $V_{n,\alpha} \subset V_{n+1,\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in I_n$ . Now  $V = \sum_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha$ , discrete sum, where  $I = \bigcup I_n$  and  $V_\alpha = \bigcup V_{n,\alpha}$ .

The sum is direct, for if  $u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_r = 0$  where  $u_i \in V_{\alpha_i}$  for distinct indices  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ , then we take  $n$  sufficiently large so that each  $u_i \in V_{n, \alpha_i}$  and conclude that  $u_1 = u_2 = \cdots = u_r = 0$ . Thus  $\pi$  is the discrete direct sum of the representations  $\pi_\alpha = \varinjlim \pi_{n, \alpha}$  of  $G$  on  $V_\alpha$ .

Let  $C_\alpha = \{X : V_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \text{ linear} \mid X\pi_\alpha(g) = \pi_\alpha(g)X \text{ for all } g \in G\}$ , the commuting algebra of  $\pi_\alpha$ . If  $\pi_\alpha$  fails to be primary then  $C_\alpha$  contains nontrivial commuting ideals  $C'_\alpha$  and  $C''_\alpha$ . Then for  $n$  large, the stabilizer  $N_{C_\alpha}(V_{n, \alpha})$  of  $V_{n, \alpha}$  in  $C_\alpha$  contains nontrivial commuting ideals  $N_{C'_\alpha}(V_{n, \alpha})$  and  $N_{C''_\alpha}(V_{n, \alpha})$ . That is impossible because  $\pi_{n, \alpha}$  is primary. We have proved that  $\pi$  is the discrete direct sum of primary representations  $\pi_\alpha$ .

If the  $\pi_n$  are multiplicity free then the  $\pi_{n, \alpha}$  are irreducible and it is immediate that the  $\pi_\alpha = \varinjlim \pi_{n, \alpha}$  are irreducible. That completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

A direct limit of irreducible representations is irreducible, but it is not immediate that every irreducible direct limit representation can be rewritten as a direct limit of irreducible representations. With this and Theorem 2 in mind, we extend Definition 1 as follows.

**Definition 3** A representation  $\pi$  of  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  is **lim-irreducible** if it has form  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  where each  $\pi_n$  is an irreducible representation of  $G_n$ . Similarly,  $\pi$  is **lim-primary** if it has form  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  where each  $\pi_n$  is a primary representation of  $G_n$ .

**Theorem 4** Consider a representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  of  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  with representation space  $V = \varinjlim V_n$ . Suppose that each  $\pi_n$  is a multiplicity free direct sum of irreducible highest weight representations. Suppose for  $n \gg 0$  that the direct system map  $V_{n-1} \hookrightarrow V_n$  sends  $G_{n-1}$ -highest weight vectors to  $G_n$ -highest weight vectors. Then  $\pi$  is a multiplicity free direct sum of lim-irreducible representations of  $G$ .

*Proof.* By hypothesis each  $\pi_n$  is a direct sum of primary representations which, in fact, are irreducible highest weight representations. We recursively choose highest weight vectors so that  $\pi_{n-1} = \sum \pi_{\lambda, n-1}$  where  $\pi_{\lambda, n-1}$  has highest weight vector  $v_{\lambda, n-1} \in V_{n-1}$  that maps to a highest weight vector  $v_{\lambda, n} \in V_n$  of an irreducible constituent  $\pi_{\lambda, n}$  of  $\pi_n$ . This exhibits  $\pi$  as a limit-aligned direct sum because it embeds the summand  $V_{\lambda, n-1}$  of  $V_{n-1}$  into the irreducible summand of  $V_n$  that contains  $v_{\lambda, n}$ . Now Theorem 2 shows that  $\pi$  is a multiplicity free direct sum of lim-irreducible representations of  $G$ .  $\square$

### 3 Limit Theorem for Symmetric Spaces

We now apply Theorems 2 and 4 to direct limits of compact riemannian symmetric spaces. Fix a direct system of compact connected Lie groups  $G_n$

and subgroups  $K_n$  such that each  $(G_n, K_n)$  is an irreducible riemannian symmetric pair. Suppose that the corresponding compact symmetric spaces  $M_n = G_n/K_n$  are connected and simply connected. Up to re-numbering and passage to a common cofinal subsequence the only possibilities are

Compact Irreducible Riemannian Symmetric $M_n = G_n/K_n$				
	$G_n$	$K_n$	$\text{Rank}M_n$	$\text{Dim}M_n$
1	$SU(n) \times SU(n)$	diagonal $SU(n)$	$n - 1$	$n^2 - 1$
2	$Spin(2n + 1) \times Spin(2n + 1)$	diagonal $Spin(2n + 1)$	$n$	$2n^2 + n$
3	$Spin(2n) \times Spin(2n)$	diagonal $Spin(2n)$	$n$	$2n^2 - n$
4	$Sp(n) \times Sp(n)$	diagonal $Sp(n)$	$n$	$2n^2 + n$
5	$SU(p + q), p = p_n, q = q_n$	$S(U(p) \times U(q))$	$\min(p, q)$	$2pq$
6	$SU(n)$	$SO(n)$	$n - 1$	$\frac{(n-1)(n+2)}{2}$
7	$SU(2n)$	$Sp(n)$	$n - 1$	$2n^2 - n - 1$
8	$SO(p + q), p = p_n, q = q_n$	$SO(p) \times SO(q)$	$\min(p, q)$	$pq$
9	$SO(2n)$	$U(n)$	$\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	$n(n - 1)$
10	$Sp(p + q), p = p_n, q = q_n$	$Sp(p) \times Sp(q)$	$\min(p, q)$	$4pq$
11	$Sp(n)$	$U(n)$	$n$	$n(n + 1)$

(5)

Fix one of the direct systems  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  of (5). Then we have involutive automorphisms  $\theta_n$  of  $G_n$  such that the Lie algebras decompose into  $\pm 1$  eigenspaces of the  $\theta_n$ ,

$$\mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{k}_n + \mathfrak{s}_n \text{ in such a way that } \mathfrak{k}_n = \mathfrak{g}_n \cap \mathfrak{k}_{n+1} \text{ and } \mathfrak{s}_n = \mathfrak{g}_n \cap \mathfrak{s}_{n+1}.$$

Then we recursively construct a system of maximal abelian subspaces

$$\mathfrak{a}_n : \text{maximal abelian subspace of } \mathfrak{s}_n \text{ such that } \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{g}_n \cap \mathfrak{a}_{n+1}.$$

The restricted root systems

$$\Sigma_n = \Sigma_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) : \text{the system of } \mathfrak{a}_n\text{-roots on } \mathfrak{g}_n$$

form an inverse system of linear functionals:  $\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  is the system  $\varprojlim \Sigma_n$  of linear functionals on  $\mathfrak{a} = \varinjlim \mathfrak{a}_n$ . In this inverse system, the multiplicities of the restricted roots will increase without bound, but we can make consistent choices of positive subsystems

$$\Sigma_n^+ = \Sigma_n^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) : \text{system of positive } \mathfrak{a}_n\text{-roots on } \mathfrak{g}_n$$

so that  $\Sigma_n^+ \subset \Sigma_m^+|_{\mathfrak{a}_n}$  for  $m \geq n \geq n_0$ . Then the corresponding simple root systems

$$\Psi_n = \Psi_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) = \{\psi_{1,n}, \dots, \psi_{r_n,n}\} : \text{simple } \mathfrak{a}_n\text{-roots on } \mathfrak{g}_n$$

satisfy  $\Psi_n \subset \Psi_m|_{\mathfrak{a}_n}$  for  $m \geq n \geq n_0$  as well. Here  $r_n = \dim \mathfrak{a}_n$ , rank of  $M_n$ .

Recursively define  $\theta_n$ -stable Cartan subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{t}_n + \mathfrak{a}_n$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  with  $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathfrak{g}_n \cap \mathfrak{h}_{n+1}$ . Here  $\mathfrak{t}_n$  is a Cartan subalgebra of the centralizer  $\mathfrak{m}_n$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_n$  in  $\mathfrak{k}_n$ . Now recursively construct positive root systems  $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{m}_n, \mathfrak{t}_n)$  such that if  $\alpha \in \Sigma^+(\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}, \mathfrak{t}_{n+1})$  then either  $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_n} = 0$  or  $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_n} \in \Sigma^+(\mathfrak{m}_n, \mathfrak{t}_n)$ . Then we have positive root systems

$$\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{h}_n) = \{\alpha \in i\mathfrak{h}_n^* \mid \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} = 0 \text{ or } \alpha|_{\mathfrak{a}_n} \in \Sigma_n^+(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n)\},$$

the corresponding simple root systems, and the resulting systems of fundamental highest weights.

The Cartan–Helgason Theorem says that the irreducible representation  $\pi_\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  of highest weight  $\lambda$  gives a summand of the representation of  $G_n$  on  $L^2(M_n)$  if and only if (i)  $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}_n} = 0$ , so we may view  $\lambda$  as an element of  $i\mathfrak{a}_n^*$ , and (ii) if  $\psi \in \Psi_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n)$  then  $\frac{\langle \lambda, \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle}$  is an integer  $\geq 0$ . Condition (i) persists under restriction  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}}$  because  $\mathfrak{t}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{t}_n$ . Given (i), condition (ii) says that  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda$  belongs to the weight lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$ , so its restriction to  $\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}$  exponentiates to a well defined function on the corresponding maximal torus of  $G_{n-1}$  and thus belongs to the weight lattice of  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ . Given condition (i) now condition (ii) persists under restriction  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_{n-1}}$ . Define

$$\Lambda_n = \Lambda(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{k}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) = \left\{ \lambda \in i\mathfrak{a}_n^* \mid \frac{\langle \lambda, \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi, \psi \rangle} \text{ integer } \geq 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in \Psi_n(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) \right\}.$$

This is the set of highest weights for representations of  $G_n$  on  $L^2(M_n)$ , and we have just verified that  $\Lambda_n|_{\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}} \subset \Lambda_{n-1}$ . Now define the fundamental highest weights of  $\Lambda_n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\ell,n} \in i\mathfrak{a}_n^* \text{ defined by } \frac{\langle \xi_{\ell,n}, \psi_{m,n} \rangle}{\langle \psi_{m,n}, \psi_{m,n} \rangle} &= \delta_{\ell,m} \text{ for } 1 \leq \ell, m \leq r_n, \\ \text{and } \Xi_n = \Xi(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{k}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) &= \{\xi_{1,n}, \dots, \xi_{r_n,n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6** *For  $n$  sufficiently large, and passing to a cofinal subsequence, if  $\xi \in \Xi_{n-1}$  there is a unique  $\xi' \in \Xi_n$  such that  $\xi'|_{\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}} = \xi$ .*

*Proof.* In the group manifold cases, lines 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Table 5, express  $G_n = L_n \times L_n$  and note that the complexification  $(L_{n-1})_{\mathbb{C}}$  is the semisimple component of a parabolic subgroup of  $(L_n)_{\mathbb{C}}$ . The restricted root and weight systems of  $(G_n, K_n)$  are the same as the unrestricted root and weight systems of  $L_n$ , and the assertion follows.

In the Grassmann manifold cases, lines 5, 8 and 10 of Table 5, we first consider the case where  $\{p_n\}$  is bounded. Then we may assume  $p_n = p$  constant and  $q_n$  increasing for  $n \gg 0$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{a}_n$ ,  $\Psi_{n-1} = \Psi_n$  (though the multiplicities of the restricted roots will increase) and  $\Xi_{n-1} = \Xi_n$ . The assertion now is immediate.

In the Grassmann manifold cases we may now assume that both  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are unbounded. If  $p_n = q_n$  on a cofinal sequence of indices  $n$  we may assume  $p_n = q_n$  for all  $n$ , so  $\Psi_n$  is always of type  $C_{r_n}$ . Then we interpolate pairs and renumber so that  $p_n = q_n = p_{n-1} + 1 = q_{n-1} + 1$  for all  $n$  and notice that the Dynkin diagram inclusions  $C_{r-1} \subset C_r$  are uniquely determined by the integer  $r$ . If  $p_n = q_n$  for only finitely many  $n$  and  $p_n < q_n$  on a cofinal sequence of indices  $n$  we may assume that  $r_n = p_n < q_n$  for all  $n$ , so  $\Psi_n$  is always of type  $B_{r_n}$ . Then we interpolate  $(p_{n-1}, q_n - 1), (p_{n-1}, q_n), (p_{n-1} + 1, q_n), \dots, (p_n, q_n)$  and renumber so that we always have  $r_n = r_{n-1}$  or  $r_n = r_{n-1} + 1$  and notice that the Dynkin diagram inclusions  $B_{r-1} \subset B_r$  are uniquely determined by the integer  $r$ . If  $p_n = q_n$  for only finitely many  $n$  and also  $p_n = q_n$  for only finitely many  $n$  then  $p_n > q_n$  on a cofinal sequence of indices  $n$ , we may assume  $p_n > q_n = r_n$  for all  $n$ , we interpolate as before exchanging the rôles of  $p_\ell$  and  $q_\ell$ , and we note again that the Dynkin diagram inclusions  $B_{r-1} \subset B_r$  are uniquely determined by the integer  $r$ . Thus in all cases the fundamental highest weights restrict as asserted.

In the lower-rank cases, lines 6 and 7 of Table 5,  $\Psi_n$  is of type  $A_{n-1}$ , so again restriction to  $\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}$  has the required property. In the hermitian symmetric case, line 11 of Table 5,  $\mathfrak{a}_n$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  complexifies to the semisimple part of a parabolic subalgebra of  $(\mathfrak{g}_n)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , so the assertion follows as in the group manifold cases. In the remaining case, line 9 of Table 5,  $\Psi_n$  is of type  $C_{n/2}$  for  $n$  even, type  $B_{(n-1)/2}$  for  $n$  odd. Passing to a cofinal subsequence we may assume  $n$  always even or always odd, and we may interpolate as necessary by pairs so that  $n$  increases in steps of 2. Then, again, there is no choice about the restriction, and the assertion follows.  $\square$

In view of Lemma 6, after passage to a cofinal subsequence and renumbering, we may assume the sets  $\Xi_n$  ordered so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_n = \Xi(\mathfrak{g}_n, \mathfrak{k}_n, \mathfrak{a}_n) = \{\xi_{1,n}, \dots, \xi_{r_n,n}\} \text{ with} \\ \xi_{\ell,n-1} = \xi_{\ell,n}|_{\mathfrak{a}_{n-1}} \text{ for } 1 \leq \ell \leq r_{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Now define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_n : \text{ all } r_n\text{-tuples } I = (i_1, \dots, i_{r_n}) \text{ of non-negative integers,} \\ \mathcal{I} = \varinjlim \mathcal{I}_n \text{ where } \mathcal{I}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{I}_m \text{ by } (i_1, \dots, i_{r_n}) \mapsto (i_1, \dots, i_{r_n}, 0, \dots, 0), \\ \pi_{I,n} : \text{ rep of } G_n \text{ with highest weight } \xi_I = i_1 \xi_1 + \dots + i_p \xi_{r_n}, \\ \pi_I = \varinjlim \pi_{I,n} \text{ for } I \in \mathcal{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

According to the Cartan–Helgason Theorem, the  $\pi_{I,n}$  exhaust the representations of  $G_n$  on  $L^2(M_n)$ . Denote

$$V_{I,n} : \text{ representation space for the abstract representation } \pi_{I,n}. \quad (9)$$

Then  $V_{I,n}$  occurs with multiplicity 1 in the representation of  $G_n$  on  $L^2(M_n)$ . In effect, the representation of  $G_n$  on  $L^2(M_n)$  is multiplicity free, and  $L^2(M_n) \cong$

$\bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_{I,n}$  as a  $G_n$ -module. However, in the following we must distinguish between  $\bigoplus_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_{I,n}$  as a  $G_n$ -module and  $L^2(M_n)$  as a space of functions.

Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$  denote the (complex) universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$ . Let  $v_{n+1}$  be a highest weight unit vector in  $V_{I,n+1}$  for the action of  $G_{n+1}$ . Then we have the  $G_n$ -submodule  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_n)(v_{n+1}) \subset V_{I,n+1} \subset L^2(M_{n+1})$ .

If  $u, v \in V_{I,n}$  we write  $f_{u,v;I,n}$  for  $g \mapsto \langle u, \pi_{I,n}(g)v \rangle$ , the matrix coefficient function on  $G_n$ . These matrix coefficient functions span a space  $E_{I,n}$  that is invariant under left and right translations by elements of  $G_n$ . As a  $(G_n \times G_n)$ -module  $E_{I,n} \cong V_{I,n} \boxtimes V_{I,n}^*$ . If  $u_n^*$  is the (unique up to scalar multiplication)  $K_n$ -fixed unit vector in  $V_{I,n}^*$  then the right  $K_n$ -fixed functions in  $E_{I,n}$  form the left  $G_n$ -module  $E_{I,n}^{K_n} \cong V_{I,n} \otimes u_n^* \mathbb{C} \cong V_{I,n}$ .

In the following, it is crucial to distinguish between the abstract representation space  $V_{I,n}$  and the space  $E_{I,n}^{K_n}$  of functions on  $G_n/K_n$ .

We normalize Haar measure on  $G_n$  (and the resulting measure in  $M_n$ ) to total mass 1. If  $u, v, u', v' \in V_{I,n}$  then we have the Schur Orthogonality relation  $\langle f_{u,v;I,n}, f_{u',v';I,n} \rangle_{L^2(G_n)} = (\deg \pi_{I,n})^{-1} \langle u, u' \rangle \overline{\langle v, v' \rangle}$ .

**Theorem 10** *The space  $E_{I,n}^{K_n}$  of functions on  $G_n/K_n$  is  $G_n$ -module equivalent to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_n)(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*) \subset E_{I,n+1}^{K_{n+1}}$ . We map  $E_{I,n}^{K_n}$  into  $E_{I,n+1}^{K_{n+1}}$  as follows. Let  $\{w_j\}$  be a basis of  $V_{I,n}$  and define*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{n+1,n} & \left( \sum c_j f_{w_j, u_n^*; I, n} \right) \\ & = (\deg \pi_{I,n+1} / \deg \pi_{I,n})^{1/2} \sum c_j f_{w_j, u_{n+1}^*; I, n+1} \in E_{I,n+1}^{K_{n+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then  $\psi'_{n+1,n} : E_{I,n}^{K_n} \rightarrow E_{I,n+1}^{K_{n+1}}$  is  $G_n$ -equivariant and is isometric for  $L^2$  norms on  $G_n/K_n$  and  $G_{n+1}/K_{n+1}$ . In particular, as  $I$  varies with  $n$  fixed,  $\psi'_{n+1,n} : L^2(G_n/K_n) \rightarrow L^2(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1})$  is a  $G_n$ -equivariant isometry.

*Proof.* We have  $a(v_{n+1}) = \xi_I(a)v_{n+1}$  for all  $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ . The inclusion  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  is  $G_n$ -equivariant, so restriction of functions is  $G_n$ -equivariant and thus is  $A$ -equivariant, and  $(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n}$  is a  $\xi_I$ -weight vector in  $L^2(M_n)$ . If  $\alpha$  is a positive restricted root for  $G_{n+1}$  and  $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_{n+1}$  is an  $\alpha$  root vector then  $e_\alpha(v_{n+1}) = 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is already a root for  $G_n$  and if  $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_n$  then we have  $e_\alpha((v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n}) = 0$ . Thus either the restriction  $(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n} = 0$  or  $(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n}$  is a highest weight vector in  $E_{I,n}^{K_n}$ .

Suppose  $(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n} = 0$  as a function on  $M_n = G_n/K_n$ . Denote  $V'_n = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_n)(v_{n+1})$ . It is a cyclic highest weight module for  $G_n$  with highest weight  $\xi_I$ , and  $(V'_n \otimes u_{n+1}^* \mathbb{C})|_{M_n} = 0$ , and it contains a unique (up to scalar multiple)  $K_n$ -invariant unit vector  $u'_n$ . The coefficient function  $\varphi(g) := \langle u'_n, \pi_{I,n+1}(g)u'_n \rangle_{V'_n} = \int_{G_n} (u'_n \otimes u_n^*)(x) \overline{(u'_n \otimes u_n^*)(x^{-1}g)} dx$  is identically zero because the  $u'_n(x)$  factor in the integrand vanishes for  $x \in G_n$ .



But  $\varphi|_{G_n}$  is the positive definite  $(G_n, K_n)$ -spherical function on  $G_n$  for the representation  $\pi_{I,n}$ , and in particular  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . That is a contradiction. We conclude that  $(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n} \neq 0$ , so  $(v_{n+1} \otimes u_{n+1}^*)|_{M_n}$  is a highest weight vector in  $E_{I,n}^{K_n}$ . In particular  $E_{I,n}^{K_n} \cong (V'_n \otimes u_{n+1}^* \mathbb{C})|_{M_n} \subset E_{I,n+1}^{K_{n+1}}|_{M_n}$ . That is the equivariant map assertion. The unitary map assertion follows by Schur Orthogonality.  $\square$

Theorem 10 gives isometric embeddings  $\psi'_{m,n} : L^2(M_n) \rightarrow L^2(M_m)$  for  $n \leq m$ . By construction  $\psi'_{m,n}$  is  $G_n$ -equivariant. Define

$$L^2(G/K) = \varinjlim \{L^2(G_n/K_n), \psi'_{m,n}\} : \text{direct limit in the} \quad (12)$$

category of Hilbert spaces and unitary injections.

We emphasize the renormalizations of Theorem 10. Without those renormalizations we lose the Hilbert space structure of  $L^2(G/K)$ .

**Theorem 13** *The left regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G/K)$  is a multiplicity free discrete direct sum of lim-irreducible representations. Specifically, that left regular representation is  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \pi_I$  where  $\pi_I = \varinjlim \pi_{I,n}$  is the irreducible representation of  $G$  with highest weight  $\xi_I := \sum i_r \xi_r$ . This applies to all the the direct systems of Table 5. In particular we have the thirteen infinite dimensional multiplicity free spaces*

1.  $SU(\infty) \times SU(\infty)/\text{diag } SU(\infty)$ , group manifold  $SU(\infty)$ ,
2.  $Spin(\infty) \times Spin(\infty)/\text{diag } Spin(\infty)$ , group manifold  $Spin(\infty)$ ,
3.  $Sp(\infty) \times Sp(\infty)/\text{diag } Sp(\infty)$ , group manifold  $Sp(\infty)$ ,
4.  $SU(p + \infty)/S(U(p) \times U(\infty))$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^p$  subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ ,
5.  $SU(2\infty)/[S(U(\infty) \times U(\infty))]$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  subspaces of infinite codim in  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ ,
6.  $SU(\infty)/SO(\infty)$ ,
7.  $SU(2\infty)/Sp(\infty)$ ,
8.  $SO(p + \infty)/[SO(p) \times SO(\infty)]$ , oriented  $\mathbb{R}^p$  subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,
9.  $SO(2\infty)/[SO(\infty) \times SO(\infty)]$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  subspaces of infinite codim in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ ,
10.  $SO(2\infty)/U(\infty)$ ,
11.  $Sp(p + \infty)/[Sp(p) \times Sp(\infty)]$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^p$  subspaces of  $\mathbb{H}^\infty$ ,
12.  $Sp(2\infty)/[Sp(\infty) \times Sp(\infty)]$ ,  $\mathbb{H}^\infty$  subspaces of infinite codim in  $\mathbb{H}^\infty$ ,
13.  $Sp(\infty)/U(\infty)$ .

*Proof.*  $\lambda$  is limit-aligned by Theorem 10. Denote  $V_I = \bigcup V_{I,n} = \varinjlim V_{I,n}$ . Then  $G$  acts irreducibly on it by  $\pi_I = \varinjlim \pi_{I,n}$ , and the various  $\pi_I$  are mutually inequivalent because they have different highest weights  $\xi_I := \sum i_r \xi_r$ , and are lim-irreducible by construction. Now let  $V = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} V_I$ . Then  $V = \varinjlim L^2(G_n/K_n) = L^2(G/K)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Gelfand Pairs and Defining Representations

In this section we set the stage for extension of Theorem 13 to a number of direct systems  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  of compact nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs. A glance at [Ya2004] or [W2007] exhibits many such pairs, but here we will only consider those for which the compact groups  $G_n$  are simple. Here is the Krämer classification of Gelfand pairs corresponding to compact simple Lie groups (see [Kr1979] or [Ya2004] or [W2007, Table 12.7.1]).

$M_n = G_n/H_n$ weakly symmetric			$G_n/K_n$ symmetric
$G_n$	$H_n$	conditions	$K_n$ with $H_n \subset K_n \subset G_n$
1 $SU(m+n)$	$SU(m) \times SU(n)$	$n > m \geq 1$	$S[U(m) \times U(n)]$
2 $SO(2n)$	$SU(n)$	$n$ odd, $n \geq 3$	$U(n)$
3 $E_6$	$Spin(10)$		$Spin(10) \cdot Spin(2)$
4 $SU(2n+1)$	$Sp(n)$	$n \geq 1$	$U(2n) = S[U(2n) \times U(1)]$
5 $SU(2n+1)$	$Sp(n) \times U(1)$	$n \geq 1$	$U(2n) = S[U(2n) \times U(1)]$
6 $Spin(7)$	$G_2$		(there is none)
7 $G_2$	$SU(3)$		(there is none)
8 $SO(10)$	$Spin(7) \times SO(2)$		$SO(8) \times SO(2)$
9 $SO(9)$	$Spin(7)$		$SO(8)$
10 $Spin(8)$	$G_2$		$Spin(7)$
11 $SO(2n+1)$	$U(n)$	$n \geq 2$	$SO(2n)$
12 $Sp(n)$	$Sp(n-1) \times U(1)$	$n \geq 1$	$Sp(n-1) \times Sp(1)$

(14)

That gives us the nonsymmetric direct systems  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  where

- (a)  $G_n = SU(p_n + q_n)$  and  $K_n = SU(p_n) \times SU(q_n)$ ,  $p_n < q_n$
- (b)  $G_n = SO(2n)$  and  $K_n = SU(n)$ ,  $n$  odd,  $n \geq 3$
- (c)  $G_n = SU(2n+1)$  and  $K_n = Sp(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$
- (d)  $G_n = SU(2n+1)$  and  $K_n = U(1) \times Sp(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$
- (e)  $G_n = SO(2n+1)$  and  $K_n = U(n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$
- (f)  $G_n = Sp(n)$  and  $K_n = U(1) \times Sp(n-1)$ ,  $n \geq 2$

(15)

**Definition 16** Let  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  be a direct system of Lie groups and closed subgroups. Suppose that  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  is a lim-irreducible representation of  $G = \varinjlim G_n$ , with representation space  $V = \varinjlim V_n$ , such that (i)  $\pi_n(K_n)$  is the  $\pi_n(G_n)$ -stabilizer of a vector  $v_n \in V_n$  and (ii) each  $v_{n+1} = v_n + w_{n+1}$  where  $\pi_n(G_n)$  leaves  $w_{n+1}$  fixed. (Thus the  $v_n$  give a coherent system of embeddings of the  $G_n/K_n$ .) Suppose further that for  $n \gg 0$  the  $\pi_n$  have the same highest weight vector. Then we say that  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  is a **defining representation** for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ .

Now let's consider some important examples of defining representations. We will use those examples later.

**Example 17**  $G_n = SU(p_n + q_n)$  and  $K_n = SU(p_n) \times SU(q_n)$ ,  $p_n < q_n$ , in (15). Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{p_n+q_n}\}$  denote the standard orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{p_n+q_n}$ . Then  $K_n$  is the  $G_n$ -stabilizer of  $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{p_n}$  in the representation  $\pi_n = \Lambda^{p_n}(\tau)$  where  $\tau$  is the standard (vector) representation of  $SU(p_n + q_n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{p_n+q_n}$ . In the usual notation,  $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_{p_n}$  also is the highest weight vector, and the highest weight is  $\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_{p_n}$ . If the  $p_n$  are bounded, so we may assume each  $p_n = p < \infty$ , then  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  is well defined and is a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 18**  $G_n = SU(2n + 1)$  and  $K_n = U(1) \times Sp(n)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , in (15). Again,  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  is the standard orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ . Now  $K_n$  is the  $G_n$ -stabilizer of  $\sum_{\ell=1}^n e_{2\ell} \wedge e_{2\ell+1}$  in the representation  $\pi_n = \Lambda^2(\tau)$  where  $\tau$  is the standard (vector) representation of  $SU(2n + 1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ . Here  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  is the highest weight vector and the highest weight is  $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ . Thus  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  is well defined and is a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 19**  $G_n = SO(2n + 1)$  and  $K_n = U(n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , in (15). Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n+1}\}$  denote the standard orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . Let  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $K_n$  is the  $G_n$ -stabilizer of  $\text{diag}\{0, J, \dots, J\} \in \mathfrak{g}_n$  in the adjoint representation of  $G_n$ , in other words (in this case) is the  $G_n$ -stabilizer of  $\sum_{\ell=1}^n e_{2\ell} \wedge e_{2\ell+1}$  in the representation  $\pi_n = \Lambda^2(\tau)$  where  $\tau$  is the standard (vector) representation of  $SO(2n + 1)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ . As in the previous example,  $e_1 \wedge e_2$  is the highest weight vector and the highest weight is  $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ . Thus  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  is well defined and is a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ .  $\diamond$

**Example 20**  $G_n = Sp(n)$  and  $K_n = U(1) \times Sp(n - 1)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , in (15). In quaternion matrices,  $K_n$  is the  $G_n$ -commutator of  $\text{diag}\{i, 0, 0, \dots, 0\}$ . In  $2n \times 2n$  complex matrices it is the  $G_n$ -commutator of  $\text{diag}\{J, 0, 0, \dots, 0\}$  where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . There,  $G_n$  consists of all elements  $g \in U(2n)$  such that  $g\tilde{J}g^t = \tilde{J}$ , where  $\tilde{J} = \text{diag}\{J, J, \dots, J\}$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  is given by  $x\tilde{J} + \tilde{J}x^t = 0$ , and in particular  $\text{diag}\{J, 0, 0, \dots, 0\} \in \mathfrak{g}_n$ . Now  $K_n$  is the  $G_n$ -stabilizer of  $\text{diag}\{J, 0, 0, \dots, 0\}$  in the adjoint representation  $\pi_n$  of  $G_n$ . That adjoint representation is the symmetric square of the standard (vector) representation of  $G_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , so it has highest weight  $2\varepsilon_1$  and highest weight vector  $e_1^2$ . Thus  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  is well defined and is a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ .  $\diamond$

## 5 Function Algebras

Fix a defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ . We are going to define algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G_n) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(G) &= \bigcup \mathcal{A}(G_n); \\ \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) \text{ and } \mathcal{A}(G/K) &= \bigcup \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) \end{aligned}$$

of complex-valued polynomial functions and look at their relations to square-integrable functions. Let  $d_n = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_n$ . Then we can consider  $G_n$  to be a group of real  $d_n \times d_n$  matrices. Since the  $G_n$  are reductive linear algebraic groups, this lets us define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G_n) &: \text{the algebra of all } \mathbb{C}\text{-valued functions} \\ &\quad f|_{G_n} \text{ where } f : \mathbb{R}^{d_n \times d_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is a polynomial,} \\ r_n : \mathcal{A}(G_n) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n-1}) : \text{restriction of functions,} \\ S_n &: \text{kernel of the algebra homomorphism } r_n, \\ T_n &: G_{n-1}\text{-invariant complement to } S_n \text{ in } \mathcal{A}(G_n). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

The following is immediate.

**Lemma 22** *The restriction  $r_n|_{T_n} : T_n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n-1})$  is a  $G_{n-1}$ -equivariant vector space isomorphism. In other words we have a  $G_{n-1}$ -equivariant injection  $(r_n|_{T_n})^{-1} : \mathcal{A}(G_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n)$  of vector spaces with image complementary to the kernel of the restriction  $r_n : \mathcal{A}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n-1})$  of functions.*

Lemma 22 gives us

$$\mathcal{A}(G) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n) = \bigcup \mathcal{A}(G_n).$$

Taking the right-invariant functions we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) &:= \{h \in \mathcal{A}(G_n) \mid h(xk) = h(x) \text{ for } x \in G_n, k \in K_n\}, \\ \mathcal{A}(G/K) &= \bigcup \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) \\ &= \{h \in \mathcal{A}(G) \mid h(xk) = h(x) \text{ for } x \in G, k \in K\} \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

These are our basic function algebras.

The algebra  $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$  contains the constants, separates points on  $G_n$ , and is stable under complex conjugation. The Stone Weierstrass Theorem is the main component of

**Lemma 24** *The algebra  $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{C}(G_n)$ , the algebra of continuous functions  $G_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the topology of uniform convergence. Let  $S'_n$  and  $T'_n$  denote the uniform closures of  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G_n)$ . Then  $r_n$  extends by continuity to the restriction map  $r'_n : \mathcal{C}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_{n-1})$ , that extension  $r'_n$  restricts to a  $G_{n-1}$ -equivalence  $T'_n \cong \mathcal{C}(G_{n-1})$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n)$  is the vector space direct sum of closed  $G_{n-1}$ -invariant subspaces  $S'_n$  and  $T'_n$ , and this identifies  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1})$  as a  $G_{n-1}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{C}(G_n)$ .*

*Proof.* The density is exactly the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem in this setting. Since  $S_n$  and  $T_n$  involve different sets of variables, so do  $S'_n$  and  $T'_n$ . Now  $r_n$  extends to  $r'_n$  as asserted and  $S'_n$  is the kernel of  $r'_n$ . Similarly,  $S'_n \cap T'_n = 0$ , and the induced algebra homomorphism  $r'_n : \mathcal{C}(G_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_{n-1})$  restricts to a  $G_{n-1}$ -equivariant map  $r'_n : T'_n \cong \mathcal{C}(G_{n-1})$ . Finally,  $S'_n + T'_n$  is closed in  $\mathcal{C}(G_n)$  and contains  $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{C}(G_n) = S'_n \oplus T'_n$  and we can identify  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1})$  with the closed  $G_{n-1}$ -invariant subspace  $T'_n$  of  $\mathcal{C}(G_n)$ .  $\square$

We use the identifications  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1}) \subset \mathcal{C}(G_n)$  of Lemma 24 to form the union  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}(G_n)$ . Note that  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}(G_n)$  is the algebra of continuous functions on  $G$  that depend on only finitely many variables. Now use the sup norm, thus the topology of uniform convergence, and define a Banach algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(G) : \text{functions } f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ in the uniform limit closure of } \bigcup \mathcal{C}(G_n) \\ \text{with sup norm and topology of uniform convergence} \end{aligned}$$

Passing to the right  $K_n$ -invariant functions we have Banach function algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n) &:= \{h \in \mathcal{C}(G_n) \mid h(xk) = h(x) \text{ for } x \in G_n, k \in K_n \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{C}(G/K) &= \bigcup \mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n) \\ &= \{h \in \mathcal{C}(G) \mid h(xk) = h(x) \text{ for } x \in G, k \in K\}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  is the subalgebra consisting of all  $G_n$ -finite functions in  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$ , and consequently  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  is the subalgebra consisting of all  $G$ -finite functions in  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$ .

We pass to  $L^2$  limits more or less in the same way as (23) and (25), except that we must rescale to preserve  $L^2$  norms as in Theorem 10. For this we need some machinery from [W2008]. Let  $\{G_n\}$  be a strict direct system of compact connected Lie groups,  $\{(G_n)_\mathbb{C}\}$  the direct system of their complexifications. Suppose that, for each  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the semisimple part } [(\mathfrak{g}_n)_\mathbb{C}, (\mathfrak{g}_n)_\mathbb{C}] \text{ of the reductive algebra } (\mathfrak{g}_n)_\mathbb{C} \\ \text{is the semisimple component of a parabolic subalgebra of } (\mathfrak{g}_{n+1})_\mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Then we say that the direct systems  $\{G_n\}$  and  $\{(G_n)_\mathbb{C}\}$  are **parabolic** and that  $\varinjlim G_n$  and  $\varinjlim (G_n)_\mathbb{C}$  are **parabolic direct limits**. This is a special case of the definition of parabolic direct limit in [W2005].

Now let  $\{G_n\}$  be a strict direct system of compact connected Lie groups that is parabolic. We recursively construct Cartan subalgebras  $\mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathfrak{g}_n$  with  $\mathfrak{t}_1 \subset \mathfrak{t}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{t}_n \subset \mathfrak{t}_{n+1} \subset \dots$  and simple root systems  $\Psi_n = \Psi((\mathfrak{g}_n)_\mathbb{C}, (\mathfrak{t}_n)_\mathbb{C})$  such that each simple root for  $(\mathfrak{g}_n)_\mathbb{C}$  is the restriction of exactly one simple root for  $(\mathfrak{g}_{n+1})_\mathbb{C}$ . Then we may assume that  $\Psi_n = \{\psi_{n,1}, \dots, \psi_{n,p(n)}\}$  in such a way that each  $\psi_{n,j}$  is the  $(\mathfrak{t}_n)_\mathbb{C}$ -restriction of  $\psi_{n+1,j}$  and of no other element of  $\Psi_{n+1}$ . The corresponding sets  $\Xi_n = \{\xi_{n,1}, \dots, \xi_{n,p(n)}\}$  of fundamental highest weights can be ordered so that they satisfy:  $\xi_{n+1,j}$  is the unique element of  $\Xi_{n+1}$  whose  $(\mathfrak{t}_n)_\mathbb{C}$ -restriction is  $\xi_{n,j}$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq p(n)$ . Exactly as in Theorem 10 this gives us isometric  $G_n$ -equivariant injections  $\psi_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \rightarrow L^2(G_m)$  for  $n \leq m$ . The associated direct limit maps  $\psi_n : L^2(G_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim \{L^2(G_n), \psi_{m,n}\}$  define the direct limit in the category of Hilbert spaces and unitary maps as the Hilbert space completion

$$L^2(G) = \varinjlim_{\text{unitary}} \{L^2(G_n), \psi_{m,n}\} = \left( \bigcup \psi_n(L^2(G_n)) \right)^{\text{completion}}.$$

**Lemma 27** *Let  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  be one of the systems of Examples 17, 18, 19 or 20. Then  $\{G_n\}$  is parabolic and the  $G_n$ -equivariant maps  $\psi_{m,n} : L^2(G_n) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_m)$  send right- $K_n$ -invariants to right- $K_{n+1}$ -invariants, resulting in  $G_n$ -equivariant unitary injections  $\psi'_{m,n} : L^2(G_n/K_n) \rightarrow L^2(G_m/K_m)$ .*

*Proof.* We use the defining relations given in Examples 17, 18, 19 and 20. In each case we look at the subspaces of  $L^2$  given by polynomials of degree  $\leq d$ ; those are finite dimensional invariant subspaces of the  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ . We observed above that  $\mathcal{A}(G_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n+1})$  maps right- $K_n$ -invariants to right- $K_{n+1}$ -invariants. On each irreducible summand, the  $L^2(G_n) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_{n+1})$  differ only by scale from the corresponding summands of  $\mathcal{A}(G_n)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n+1})$ , so they also map right- $K_n$ -invariants to right- $K_{n+1}$ -invariants.  $\square$

Now we have some  $L^2$  analogs of 23 and 25.

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(G_n/K_n) &:= \{h \in L^2(G_n) \mid h(xk) = h(x) \text{ for } x \in G_n, k \in K_n\}, \\ L^2(G/K) &= \left( \bigcup \psi'_n(L^2(G_n/K_n)) \right)^{\text{completion}} \\ &= \{h \in L^2(G) \mid h(xk) = h(x) \text{ for } x \in G, k \in K\}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

We have  $\mathcal{A}(G/K) \subset \mathcal{C}(G/K) \subset L^2(G/K)$  for these spaces, and  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  is the set of polynomial elements in  $L^2(G/K)$ .

**Theorem 29** *Let  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  be one of the direct systems of nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs given by Examples 17, 18, 19 and 20. Then the left regular representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$  are multiplicity free discrete direct sum of limit-irreducible representations. In the notation of (7), (8) and (9), those left regular representations are  $\sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \pi_I$  where  $\pi_I = \varinjlim \pi_{I,n}$  is the irreducible representation of  $G$  with highest weight  $\xi_I := \sum i_r \xi_r$ . Thus we have the infinite dimensional multiplicity free spaces*

- (1)  $SU(p + \infty)/(SU(p) \times SU(\infty))$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,
- (2)  $SU(1 + 2\infty)/(U(1) \times Sp(\infty))$ ,
- (3)  $SO(1 + 2\infty)/U(\infty)$ , and
- (4)  $Sp(1 + \infty)/(U(1) \times Sp(\infty))$

*Proof.* Examples 17, 18, 19 and 20 have defining representations and well defined function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$ . The same holds for  $L^2(G/K)$  by Lemma 27. In these examples  $\{G_n\}$  is parabolic, so the left regular representations are limit-aligned by Theorem 10. Now the proof of Theorem 13 holds for these four examples, resulting in the multiplicity free property for their left regular representations.  $\square$

## 6 Pairs Related to Spheres and Grassmann Manifolds

In dealing with nonsymmetric Gelfand pairs we have to be very specific about the embeddings  $G_{n-1} \hookrightarrow G_n$ , so we review a few of those embeddings.

**ORTHOGONAL GROUPS.** Let  $G_n = SO(n_0 + 2n)$ , the special orthogonal group for the bilinear form  $h(u, v) = \sum_1^{n_0+2n} u_i v_i$ . The embeddings are given by  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  given by  $x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  is the classical direct limit group  $SO(\infty)$ . It doesn't matter what  $n_0$  is here, but sometimes we have to distinguish between the cases of even or odd  $n_0$ , and in any case we want  $\{G_n\}$  to be parabolic, so we jump by two 1's instead of just one. Specifically, this direct system consists either of groups of type B (when the  $n_0 + 2n$  are odd) or of type D (when the  $n_0 + 2n$  are even). In this section  $K_n = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in SO(n_0 + 2n - 1) \} \subset G_n$ . Then  $G_n/K_n$  is the sphere  $S^{n_0+2n-1}$ ,  $G = \varinjlim G_n = SO(\infty)$ , and we express  $K = \varinjlim K_n$  as  $SO(1) \times SO(\infty - 1)$  to indicate the embedding  $K \hookrightarrow G$ .

A defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  is given by the family of standard (vector) representations  $\pi_n$  of  $SO(n_0 + 2n)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n_0+2n}$ . Here  $\{SO(n_0 + 2n)\}$  is a parabolic direct system. In the standard orthonormal basis the  $\pi_n$  all have the same highest weight vector  $e_1$  and highest weight  $\varepsilon_1$ . Following the considerations of Section 5, this defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  defines the function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ . The  $\pi_n$  share a highest weight vector so we have natural equivariant inclusions  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_n/K_n)$ , and thus the limits  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ . Thus we have the regular representation of  $G = SO(\infty)$  on those limit spaces.

**UNITARY GROUPS.** Fix  $p > 0$  and define  $G_n = SU(p + n)$ , the special unitary group for the complex hermitian form  $h(u, v) = \sum_1^{p+n} u_i \bar{v}_i$ . The embedding  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  is given by  $x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  is the classical parabolic direct limit group  $SU(\infty)$ . In this section  $K_n = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in SU(p), y \in SU(n) \}$ . Then  $G_n/K_n$  is a circle bundle over the Grassmann manifold of  $p$ -dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^{p+n}$ ,  $G = \varinjlim G_n = SU(\infty)$ , and we sometimes express  $K = \varinjlim K_n$  as  $SU(p) \times SU(\infty - p)$  to indicate the embedding  $K \hookrightarrow G$ . If  $p = 1$  then  $G_n/K_n$  is the sphere  $S^{2n+1}$ , the complex Grassmann manifold is complex projective space, and the circle bundle projection is the Hopf fibration.

Here the defining representation is essentially that of Example 17. Let  $\pi_{\varepsilon_1}$  denote the usual vector representation of  $G_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{p+n}$ . Write  $\pi_{\varepsilon_p}$  for its  $p^{\text{th}}$  alternating power, representation of  $G_n$  on  $\Lambda^p(\mathbb{C}^{p+n})$ ; it is the first representation of  $G_n$  with a vector fixed under  $K_n$ . That vector is  $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p$  relative to the standard basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $K_n$  is its  $G_n$ -stabilizer. Thus the  $\pi_n = \pi_{\varepsilon_p}$  give a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ . Note that the  $\pi_n$  all have the same highest weight vector  $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_p$  and highest weight  $\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_p$ . Following the considerations of Section 5, this defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  defines the function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ . The  $\pi_n$  share a highest weight vector so we have natural equivariant inclusions  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$

and  $L^2(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_n/K_n)$ , and thus the limits  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ . That gives us the regular representation of  $G = SU(\infty)$  on those limit spaces.

**SYMPLECTIC GROUPS** Here  $Sp(n)$  is the unitary group of the quaternion-hermitian form  $h(u, v) = \sum_1^n u_i \bar{v}_i$  on the quaternionic vector space  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We then have  $G_n = Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$  where the  $Sp(1)$  acts by quaternion scalars on  $\mathbb{H}^n$ . We will also look at its subgroup  $Sp(n) \times U(1)$  where  $U(1)$  is any (they are all conjugate) circle subgroup of  $Sp(1)$ , say  $\{e^{i\theta} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . In both cases the embeddings  $G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$  are specified by the maps  $Sp(n) \hookrightarrow Sp(n+1)$  given by  $x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . (We are using quaternionic matrices.) Then  $G = \varinjlim G_n$  is the classical direct limit group  $Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)$  and  $G' = \varinjlim G'_n$  is  $Sp(\infty) \times U(1)$ . (We need the  $Sp(1)$  or the  $U(1)$  factor because otherwise, as we will see below, the multiplicity free property will fail.)

**Symplectic 1.** First consider the parabolic direct system given by  $G_n = Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$ . Given  $n$  we have two  $Sp(1)$  groups to deal with at the same time, so we avoid confusion by denoting the  $Sp(1)$  factor of  $G_n$  as  $Sp(1)_{ext,n}$  (*ext* for external) and the identity component of the centralizer of  $Sp(n-1)$  in  $Sp(n)$  by  $Sp(1)_{int,n}$  (*int* for internal). In our matrix descriptions of  $G_n$ , the group  $Sp(1)_{diag,n}$  is the diagonal subgroup in  $Sp(1)_{int,n} \times Sp(1)_{ext,n}$ . Then  $G_n = Sp(n) \times Sp(1)_{ext,n}$  and  $G = \varinjlim G_n = Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)$ . Now let  $K_n = \{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in Sp(n-1) \} \times Sp(1)_{diag,n}$  and  $K = \varinjlim K_n$ . Then  $G_n/K_n$  is the sphere  $S^{4n-1}$ , in other words the Hopf fibration 3-sphere bundle over quaternion projective space  $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{H})$ . In order to indicate the embedding  $K \hookrightarrow G$  we express  $K$  as  $\{1\} \times Sp(\infty-1) \times Sp(1)$ .

A defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  is given by the family of standard (vector) representations  $\pi_n$  of  $Sp(n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  tensored with the standard 2-dimensional representation of  $Sp(1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . That representation has an invariant real form  $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ . Consider the standard orthonormal basis  $\{e_i \otimes f_j\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . The representations  $\pi_n$  of  $G_n$  there have the same highest weight vector  $e_1 \otimes f_1$  and highest weight  $(\varepsilon_1)_{Sp(n)} + (\varepsilon_1)_{Sp(1)}$ . They give a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ . Following the considerations of Section 5, this defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  defines the function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ . The  $\pi_n$  have the same highest weight vector so we have natural equivariant inclusions  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_n/K_n)$ , and thus the limits  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ . So we have the regular representation of  $G = Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)$  on those limit spaces.

**Symplectic 2.** Next consider the parabolic direct system given by  $G_n = Sp(n) \times U(1)$ , where the  $Sp(1)$  factor of  $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$  is replaced by the circle subgroup  $\{e^{i\theta} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Given  $n$  we have two  $U(1)$  groups, the  $U(1)_{ext,n}$  that is the  $U(1)$  factor of  $G_n$  and the corresponding circle subgroup  $U(1)_{int,n}$  of  $Sp(1)_{int,n}$ . Then of course we have the diagonal  $U(1)_{diag,n}$ . As above we define  $K_n$  to be the product group  $\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in Sp(n-1) \} \times U(1)_{diag,n}$  and



we set  $K = \varinjlim K_n$ . Then  $G_n/K_n$  again is the sphere  $S^{4n-1}$ . We express  $K$  as  $\{1\} \times Sp(\infty - 1) \times U(1)$ .

A defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  is given by the family of standard (vector) representations  $\pi_n$  of  $Sp(n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  tensored with the standard 1-dimensional representation of  $U(1)$  on  $\mathbb{C}$ . The representations  $\pi_n$  of  $G_n$  there have the same highest weight vector  $e_1 \otimes f_1$ . The corresponding highest weight is  $(\varepsilon_1)_{Sp(n)} + (\varepsilon_1)_{U(1)}$ , and the  $\pi_n$  give a defining representation for  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$ . Following the considerations of Section 5, this defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  defines the function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_n/K_n)$ . The  $\pi_n$  have the same highest weight vector so we have natural equivariant inclusions  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_{n-1}/K_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow L^2(G_n/K_n)$ , and thus the limits  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ . So we have the regular representation of  $G = Sp(\infty) \times U(1)$  on those limit spaces.

**Symplectic 3.** A variation on the case just considered is where  $K_n = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}) \mid z \in \overline{U(1)}, x \in Sp(n-1)\} \times U(1)$  and  $K = \varinjlim K_n$ . Then the  $U(1)$  factor of  $G_n$  is contained in  $K_n$  so it acts trivially on  $G_n/K_n$ . Thus  $G_n/K_n$  is a 2-sphere bundle over  $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{H})$  exactly as in the ‘‘Symplectic 2’’ case. We express  $K$  as  $U(1) \times Sp(\infty - 1) \times U(1)$ . The groups  $K_n$  are larger than the case ‘‘Symplectic 2’’ just considered, so the present function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_n/K_n)$  are subspaces of those of ‘‘Symplectic 2’’, and the same holds for their limits  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ . Now we have the regular representation of  $G = Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)$  on those limit spaces.

**Symplectic 4.** A variation on the ‘‘Symplectic 1’’ case is where  $G_n = Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$  and  $K_n = \{(\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{smallmatrix}) \mid z \in U(1), x \in Sp(n-1)\} \times Sp(1)$  and  $K = \varinjlim K_n$ . Then the  $Sp(1)$  factor of  $G_n$  is contained in  $K_n$ , so it acts trivially on  $G_n/K_n$ . Thus  $G_n/K_n = Sp(n)/[U(1) \times Sp(n-1)]$  is a 2-sphere bundle over  $P^{n-1}(\mathbb{H})$ , exactly as in the ‘‘Symplectic 3’’ case above. We express  $K$  as  $U(1) \times Sp(\infty - 1) \times Sp(1)$  and we note that the function spaces  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G_n/K_n)$  and  $L^2(G_n/K_n)$  are exactly the same as those of ‘‘Symplectic 3’’, so the same holds for their limits  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$ . Thus we have the regular representation of  $G = Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)$  on those limit spaces.

The classifications of Krämer [Kr1979] and Yakimova [Ya2004] (see [W2007]) show that the six direct systems just described, one orthogonal, one unitary, and four symplectic, all consist of Gelfand pairs.

## 7 Limits Related to Spheres and Grassmann Manifolds

In this section we prove a the multiplicity free property for the direct limits of Gelfand pairs described in Section 6.

**Theorem 30** *Let  $(G, K) = \varinjlim \{(G_n, K_n)\}$  where  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  is one of the six systems described in Section 6. Let  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$  be as described there. Then the regular representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$  are multiplicity-free discrete direct sums of lim-irreducible representations.*

*Proof.* We run through the proof of Theorem 30 for the three types of limit groups  $G$ . In each case we do this by examining the representation of  $G_n$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ , verifying the limit-aligned condition, and applying Theorem 4 to the regular representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ . We already know the result for the orthogonal group case, where the  $(G_n, K_n)$  are symmetric pairs, but we need the representation-theoretic information from that case in order to deal with the other cases.


**Orthogonal Group Case.** Here we shift the index so that  $G_n = SO(n)$  and  $K_n = SO(n-1)$ . Then  $G_n/K_n$  is the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The  $G_n$ -finite functions on  $G_n/K_n$  are just the restrictions of polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\psi_{1;n}$  denote the usual representation of  $G_n$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $\xi$  denote its highest weight. Choose orthonormal linear coordinates  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  of that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the monomial  $x_1$  is a highest weight vector. Then the representation of  $G_n$  on the space of polynomials of pure degree  $\ell$  is of the form  $\psi_{\ell;n} \oplus \gamma_{\ell;n}$  where  $\psi_{\ell;n}$  is the irreducible representation of highest weight  $\ell\xi$  and highest weight vector  $x_1^\ell$ . Then  $\gamma_{\ell;n}$  is the sum of the  $\psi_{\ell-2j;n}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$ , and the representation space of that  $\psi_{\ell-2j;n}$  consists of the polynomial functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  divisible by  $\|x\|^{2j}$  but not by  $\|x\|^{2j+2}$ . Write  $E_{\ell;n}$  for the space of functions on  $G_n/K_n$  obtained by restricting those polynomials of degree  $\ell$  contained in the representation space for  $\psi_{\ell;n}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} E_{\ell;n}$ .

We now verify that the inclusions  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1})$  send  $E_{\ell;n}$  into  $E_{\ell;n+1}$ , so that the representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  is limit-aligned and Theorem 4 shows that  $\varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  is the multiplicity free direct sum of lim-irreducible  $G$ -modules  $E_\ell = \varinjlim E_{\ell;n}$ . For that, note that the restriction  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  is obtained by setting  $x_{n+1}$  equal to zero. Thus the inclusions  $E_{\ell;n} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1})$  are given by identifying the function  $x_1^\ell : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the function  $x_1^\ell : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and applying  $G_n$ -equivariance. Now  $\mathcal{A}(G/K) = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  is the direct sum of the  $E_\ell = \varinjlim E_{\ell;n}$ , and the representations of  $G$  on the  $E_\ell$  are the mutually inequivalent lim-irreducible  $\varinjlim \psi_{\ell;n}$ . That gives an elementary proof for the case  $G = SO(\infty)$  and  $K = SO(\infty-1)$ .


**Unitary Group Cases.** Here we shift the index so that  $G_n = SU(n)$  and  $K_n = SU(p) \times SU(n-p)$ ,  $n > p$ . So  $G = SU(\infty)$  and  $K = SU(p) \times SU(\infty-p)$ . Without loss of generality assume  $n > 2p$  so that the  $(G_n, K_n)$  are Gelfand pairs. Recall the defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  where  $\pi_n = \pi_{\xi_p}$ , the  $p^{\text{th}}$  exterior power of the vector representation of  $G_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . So  $K_n$  is the  $G_n$ -stabilizer of  $e_{I_0} := e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_p$ , resulting in the map  $G_n/K_n \hookrightarrow A^p(\mathbb{C}^n)$  by  $gK_n \mapsto g(e_{I_0})$ .

We have  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functions  $z_I$  on  $A^p(\mathbb{C}^n)$  dual to the basis of  $A^p(\mathbb{C}^n)$  consisting of the  $e_I$  with  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$  where  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq n$ . (Here  $I_0 = (1, 2, \dots, p)$ .) Their real and imaginary parts generate the algebra  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ . Relative to the diagonal Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_n$  the  $e_I$  are weight vectors, and  $e_{I_0}$  is the highest weight vector, for  $\pi_{\xi_p}$ . Now the action of  $G_n$  on the polynomials of degree  $\ell$  in the  $z_I$  and the  $\bar{z}_I$  is  $\sum_{r+s=\ell} \pi_{r\xi_p+s\xi_{n-p}}$ , where  $\pi_{r\xi_p+s\xi_{n-p}}$  has highest weight  $r\xi_p + s\xi_{n-p}$  and highest weight vector  $\bar{z}_{I_0}^r z_{I_0}^s$ . Those representations are mutually inequivalent, using  $n > 2p$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{r+s=\ell} E_{r,s;n}$  where  $G_n$  acts on  $E_{r,s;n}$  by  $\pi_{r\xi_p+s\xi_{n-p}}$ . The  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1})$  are given on the level of  $E_{r,s;n} \hookrightarrow E_{r,s;n+1}$  by identifying  $\bar{z}_{I_0}^r z_{I_0}^s : A^p(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\bar{z}_{I_0}^r z_{I_0}^s : A^p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . In view of Theorem 4, it follows that the representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  is a limit aligned discrete direct sum of mutually inequivalent lim-irreducible representations.

We will need the case  $p = 1$  when we look at the symplectic group cases. There  $G_n = SU(n)$  and  $K_n = \{1\} \times SU(n-1)$ , and the  $G_n$ -finite functions on  $G_n/K_n$  are just the restrictions of finite linear combinations of the functions  $z^r \bar{z}^s$ . We saw how to decompose  $\mathcal{A}(S^{2n-1})$  into irreducible modules for  $SO(2n)$ : it is the sum of the spaces  $E_{\ell;2n}$  described above with highest weight  $\ell\xi$  and highest weight vector  $x_1^\ell$ , where, of course,  $x_j = \frac{1}{2}(z_j + \bar{z}_j)$ . In terms of the Dynkin diagram that representation is




and  $\psi_{\ell;2n}|_{U(n)} = \sum_{r+s=\ell} \psi_{r,s;n}$  where  $\psi_{r,s;n}$  has diagram



Both  $\psi_{r,s;n}$  and  $\psi_{r,s;n}|_{SU(n)}$  have highest weight vector  $z_1^r \bar{z}_1^s$ . Let  $E_{r,s;n}$  denote the representation space for  $\psi_{r,s;n}$ . Now  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} \sum_{r+s=\ell} E_{r,s;n}$ .

**Symplectic Group Cases.** First suppose  $G_n = Sp(n) \times U(1)$ . There are two cases: (i) the  $K_n = \{1\} \times Sp(n-1) \times U(1)_{diag,n}$  and (ii) the  $K_n = U(1) \times Sp(n-1) \times U(1)$ . The assertions for case (i) will imply them for case (ii), so we may assume that  $K_n = \{1\} \times Sp(n-1) \times U(1)_{diag,n}$ . Then  $(G, K) = \varinjlim \{(G_n, K_n)\}$  has defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  where  $\pi_n$  is the representation  $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \dots \text{---} \circ \leftarrow \circ \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \times \end{array}$  of  $G_n$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ .

Note that  $\pi_n$  factors through the vector representation of  $U(2n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ . We saw how  $U(2n)$  acts irreducibly on the space  $E_{r,s;2n}$  by the representation  $\psi_{r,s;2n}$ , which has diagram



We now need two facts. First,  $G_n \hookrightarrow U(2n)$  sends the  $U(1)$  factor of  $G_n$  to the center of  $U(n)$ . Second,  $\psi_{r,s;2n}|_{Sp(n)} = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq \min(r,s)} \psi_{r,s,m;n}$  where

$\psi_{r,s,m;n}$  has diagram  $\begin{array}{c} r+s-2m \quad m \\ \circ \text{---} \circ \text{---} \dots \text{---} \circ \leftarrow \circ \end{array}$ . That gives us

$\psi_{r,s;2n}|_{Sp(n)U(1)} = \sum_{0 \leq m \leq \min(r,s)} \varphi_{r,s,m;n}$  where  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n}$  is the representation of  $Sp(n)U(1)$  with diagram  $\overset{r+s-2m}{\circ} \overset{m}{\circ} \cdots \overset{s-r}{\circ} \overset{r}{\circ} \overset{s}{\circ}$ , and  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n}$  has the same representation space (call it  $E_{r,s,m;n}$ ) as  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n}$ . The  $E_{r,s,m;n}$  are irreducible and inequivalent under  $Sp(n)U(1)$ ; in other words the irreducible representations  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n}$  all are mutually inequivalent. Note, however, that  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n} \simeq \varphi_{r+t,s-t,m;n}$  for all  $t$  such that  $r+t, s-t \geq 0$ ; this reflects the fact that  $(Sp(n), Sp(n-1))$  is not a Gelfand pair.

To trace the inclusions let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_{2n}\}$  be the coordinates of  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ , all weight vectors, where  $z_1$  is the highest weight vector,  $z_2 = e_{-\alpha_1} z_1$  is the next highest, and so on, and the antisymmetric bilinear invariant of  $Sp(n)$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$  is  $v_n(z, w) = \sum_1^n (z_{2i-1} w_{2i} - z_{2i} w_{2i-1})$ . Then  $z_1^\ell$  is the highest weight vector of  $\overset{\ell}{\circ} \cdots \overset{r}{\circ} \overset{s}{\circ}$  and  $\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  is the sum  $\Lambda_0^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n} \oplus v_n \mathbb{C}$  of its irreducible component and its trivial component under the action of  $Sp(n)$ . Here  $v_n$  has matrix  $\text{diag} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$  and we work with the maximal toral subalgebra that consists of all matrices  $\text{diag} \{a_1, -a_1; \dots; a_n, -a_n\}$ ; thus the highest weight vector on  $\Lambda_0^2 \mathbb{C}^{2n}$  is  $s_n(z, w) = z_1 w_3 - z_3 w_1$ . Now  $s_n^m$  is the highest weight vector of  $\overset{m}{\circ} \cdots \overset{r}{\circ} \overset{s}{\circ}$ . The corresponding highest weight vector of  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n}$  is  $z_1^{r-m} \bar{z}_1^{s-m} s_n^m$ . Now the restriction  $\mathcal{A}(G_{n+1}/K_{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  maps the highest weight vector  $z_1^{r-m} \bar{z}_1^{s-m} s_{n+1}^m$  of  $\varphi_{r,s,m;n+1}$  to the highest weight vector  $z_1^{r-m} \bar{z}_1^{s-m} s_n^m$ . This proves that the representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  is limit-aligned. Theorem 4 shows that  $\varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  is the multiplicity free direct sum of limit-irreducible  $G$ -modules  $E_{r,s,m} := \varinjlim E_{r,s,m;n}$ .

Finally, we suppose  $G_n = Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$ . Again there are two cases: (i) the  $K_n = \{1\} \times Sp(n-1) \times Sp(1)_{\text{diag},n}$  and (ii) the  $K_n = U(1) \times Sp(n-1) \times Sp(1)$ . The function algebras and group actions in case (ii) are exactly the same as those of the setting  $(G_n, K_n) = (Sp(n) \times U(1), U(1) \times Sp(n-1) \times U(1))$  above, where the assertions are proved. Thus we need only consider case (i),  $K_n = \{1\} \times Sp(n-1) \times Sp(1)_{\text{diag},n}$ . Then  $(G, K) = \varinjlim \{(G_n, K_n)\}$  has defining representation  $\pi = \varinjlim \pi_n$  described in ‘‘Symplectic 1’’ above. Those  $\pi_n$  satisfy the condition of Theorem 4 because  $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$  simply puts together representation spaces  $E_{r-m,s-m,m;n}$  of  $Sp(n) \times U(1)$  on  $\mathcal{A}(Sp(n)U(1)/Sp(n-1)U(1))$ . This assembly maintains total degree  $\ell = (r-m) + (s-m) + 2m$ , views the  $U(1)$  factor of  $Sp(n) \times U(1)$  as a maximal torus of the  $Sp(1)$  factor of  $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$ , and sums the spaces for the  $\overset{s}{\times} \overset{r}{\circ}$  to form the space for the irreducible representation (call it  $\beta_\ell$ ) of  $Sp(1)$  of degree  $\ell + 1$ . It has diagram  $\overset{\ell}{\circ}$ . Now the irreducible spaces for  $Sp(n) \times Sp(1)$  are the  $F_{\ell,m;n} := \sum_{r+s=\ell} E_{r-m,s-m,m;n}$  and the corresponding representations are the  $\varphi_{\ell,m,n} := \sum_{r+s=\ell} \varphi_{r-m,s-m,m;n}$ . This proves that the representation of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$  is limit-aligned. Theorem 4 shows that  $\varinjlim \mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$  is the multiplicity free direct sum of limit-irreducible  $G$ -modules  $F_{\ell,m} := \varinjlim F_{\ell,m;n}$ .

We have proved Theorem 30. □

**Remark 31** Alternatively the systems (d), (e) and (f) from the list (15), and also (a) when the  $\{p_n\}$  are bounded, can be treated by the method of Sections 6 and 7. That gives an alternative proof of the multiplicity free property for the pairs

- (1)  $SU(p + \infty)/(SU(p) \times SU(\infty))$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,
- (2)  $SU(1 + 2\infty)/(U(1) \times Sp(\infty))$ ,
- (3)  $SO(1 + 2\infty)/U(\infty)$ , and
- (4)  $Sp(1 + \infty)/(U(1) \times Sp(\infty))$

of Theorem 29. ◇

### 8 Summary

We have proved that the regular representations of  $G$  on  $\mathcal{A}(G/K)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(G/K)$  and  $L^2(G/K)$  are multiplicity free discrete direct sums of  $\lim$ -irreducible representations in the following cases. In addition, in those cases it is always permissible to enlarge the groups  $K_n$ , say to  $F \cdot K_n$  where  $F$  is a closed subgroup of the normalizer  $N_{G_n}(K_n)$ , because  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/[F \cdot K_n])$  is a  $G_n$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{A}(G_n/K_n)$ .

Limits of riemannian symmetric spaces. We have the multiplicity free property for the thirteen cases described in Theorem 13, as well as some obvious variations. The latter include

$$SO(\infty) \times SO(\infty)/\text{diag } SO(\infty) = \varinjlim SO(n) \times SO(n)/\text{diag } SO(n) \quad \text{and}$$

$$SO(p + \infty)/[S(O(p) \times O(\infty))] = \varinjlim SO(n)/[S(O(p) \times O(n - p))] .$$

Limits of a few systems of Gelfand pairs. We have the multiplicity free property for the four cases described in Theorem 29,

- (1)  $SU(p + \infty)/(SU(p) \times SU(\infty))$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,
- (2)  $SU(1 + 2\infty)/(U(1) \times Sp(\infty))$ ,
- (3)  $SO(1 + 2\infty)/U(\infty)$ , and
- (4)  $Sp(1 + \infty)/(U(1) \times Sp(\infty))$ .

We also have the multiplicity free property for spaces that interpolate between

$$(SU(p + \infty), SU(p) \times SU(\infty))$$

and the limit Grassmannian

$$(U(p + \infty), \varinjlim U(p) \times U(n)).$$

Fix a closed subgroup  $F$  of  $U(1)$ . Then we have the multiplicity free property for the pairs  $(G, K) = \varinjlim \{(G_n, K_n)\}$  where  $G_n = SU(p + n)$  and

$$K_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k'_n & 0 \\ 0 & k''_n \end{pmatrix} \mid k'_n \in U(p), k''_n \in SU(n), \det k'_n \in F \right\}.$$

Limits of Gelfand pairs related to spheres and Grassmann manifolds. We have the multiplicity free property for the six cases described in Theorem 30, four of which are nonsymmetric, as well as some obvious variations. Fix a closed subgroup  $F$  of  $U(1)$ ; it can be any finite cyclic group or the entire circle group  $U(1)$ . As a result we have the multiplicity free property for the nonsymmetric pairs

$$\begin{aligned} & SU(\infty)/[SU(p) \times SU(\infty - p)] \\ &= \varinjlim SU(n)/[SU(p) \times SU(n - p)] , \\ [Sp(\infty) \times U(1)]/[F \times Sp(\infty - 1) \times U(1)_{diag}] \\ &= \varinjlim [Sp(n) \times U(1)]/[F \times Sp(n - 1) \times U(1)_{diag}] , \\ [Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)]/[\{1\} \times Sp(\infty - 1) \times Sp(1)_{diag}] \\ &= \varinjlim [Sp(n) \times Sp(1)]/[\{1\} \times Sp(n - 1) \times Sp(1)_{diag}] , \text{ and} \\ [Sp(\infty) \times Sp(1)]/[\{\pm 1\} \times Sp(\infty - 1) \times Sp(1)_{diag}] \\ &= \varinjlim [Sp(n) \times Sp(1)]/[\{\pm 1\} \times Sp(n - 1) \times Sp(1)_{diag}] . \end{aligned}$$

What we don't have. There is a huge number of direct systems  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  of Gelfand pairs where the  $G_n$  are compact connected Lie groups. We have only verified the multiplicity free condition for a few of them. We have not, for example, checked it for the interesting cases

$$G_n = SU(2n + 1) \text{ and } K_n = F \times Sp(n), \quad F \subset U(1) \text{ finite cyclic,}$$

and

$$G_n = SO(2n) \text{ and } K_n = F \times SU(n), \quad n \text{ odd, } n \geq 3.$$

Also, we have not checked it for the very interesting case

$$G_n = Sp(a_n) \times Sp(b_n) \text{ and } K_n = Sp(a_n - 1) \times Sp(1) \times Sp(b_n - 1),$$

which is a prototype for nonsymmetric irreducible direct systems  $\{(G_n, K_n)\}$  with the  $G_n$  semisimple but not simple. In that case  $K_n \hookrightarrow G_n$  is given by  $(k_1, a, k_2) \mapsto \left( \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & k_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ , so  $G_n/K_n$  fibers over  $P^{a_n-1}(\mathbb{H}) \times P^{b_n-1}(\mathbb{H})$  with fiber  $(Sp(1) \times Sp(1))/(diagonal) = S^3$ .

## References

- DPW2002. I. Dimitrov, I. Penkov & J. A. Wolf, A Bott–Borel–Weil theory for direct limits of algebraic groups, *Amer. J of Math.* **124** (2002), 955–998.
- Fa2006. J. Faraut, Infinite dimensional harmonic analysis and probability, in “Probability Measures on Groups: Recent Directions and Trends,” ed. S. G. Dani & P. Graczyk, Narosa, New Delhi, 2006.
- Kr1979. M. Krämer, Sphärische Untergruppen in kompakten zusammenhängenden Liegruppen, *Compositio Math.* **38** (1979), 129–153.
- OI1990. G. I. Ol’shanskii, Unitary representations of infinite dimensional pairs  $(G, K)$  and the formalism of R. Howe, in “Representations of Lie Groups and Related Topics, ed. A. M. Vershik & D. P. Zhelobenko,” *Advanced Studies Contemp. Math.* **7**, Gordon & Breach, 1990.
- W2005. J. A. Wolf, Direct limits of principal series representations, *Compositio Mathematica*, **141** (2005), 1504–1530.
- W2007. J. A. Wolf, *Harmonic Analysis on Commutative Spaces*, *Math. Surveys & Monographs* vol. 142, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
- W2008. J. A. Wolf, Infinite dimensional multiplicity free spaces II: Limits of commutative nilmanifolds, to appear.
- Ya2004. O. S. Yakimova, Weakly symmetric riemannian manifolds with reductive isometry group, *Math. USSR Sbornik* **195** (2004), 599–614.