# A Quantum Optical Spring

Amit Rai and G. S. Agarwal

Department of Physics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078, USA

(Dated: November 9, 2018)

We study the dynamics of the quantum optical spring, i.e., a spring whose spring constant undergoes discreet jumps depending on the quantum state of another system. We show the existence of revivals and fractional revivals in the quantum dynamics reminiscent of similar dynamical features in cavity QED. We recover in the semi classical limit the results for an oscillator whose frequency undergoes a sudden change. The quantum optical spring is conceivable for example by a micromirror under the influence of radiation pressure by a field which is strictly quantum. Our work suggests that driven systems would in general exhibit a very different dynamics if the drive is replaced by a quantum source.

PACS numbers: 42.50.-p, 42.50.Pq

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The quantum dynamics of an oscillator with time dependent modulation of its frequency has been investigated very extensively [1, 2, 3, 4]. This is because of its many applications in different contexts. One very interesting application was in the context of center of mass motion of an ion in Paul trap. More recently the study of modulated oscillator acquired new importance in connection with BEC's where trap potential can easily be manipulated [5, 6]. Further nanomechanical oscillators provided new impetus for the study of quantum dynamics of frequency modulated system [7]. One of the important outcome of such studies was that mechanical and vibrational degrees of freedom can be prepared in nonclassical states [2, 8]. In particular, one found that sudden changes in the frequency of the oscillator yield significant amount of squeezing.

In all the above studies the oscillator was treated as a quantum system whereas the source of modulation was considered as something prescribed. Clearly a complete quantum dynamical theory should consider the source also to be quantized system. This is important as the mechanical oscillator can have significant back action on the source of modulation. The system is then a quantum optical spring, i.e., a spring whose spring constant depends on the quantum state of another system. The modulation by a quantized source produces new features in the quantum dynamics as the oscillator samples the discrete structure of the quantized source of modulation. In particular we show the appearance of characteristic quantum revivals and fractional revivals [9, 10, 11]. The dynamics we present should be realized by the effects of radiation pressure on micromirror [12, 13].

The organization of the paper is as follows : In section II, we describe the model and derive analytical result for the reduced density matrix of the oscillator and the source of modulation. The quantum dynamics of the oscillator is discussed in section III. In section IV, we analyze the squeezing properties. The back action effect is described in section V.

## II. MODEL FOR THE QUANTUM OPTICAL SPRING

Consider a harmonic oscillator with mass m and frequency  $\omega$ . Its Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_0 = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 x^2}{2} , \qquad (1)$$

where x and p are the position and momentum coordinates obeying the canonical commutation relation  $[x,p] = i\hbar$ . Let us assume that the frequency of the oscillator is modulated by a quantized source such that the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) goes to

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2(1+\mu a^{\dagger}a)x^2}{2} .$$
 (2)

Since the interaction part commutes with the unperturbed Hamiltonian of the quantized source we do not write this part of the energy. Further for brevity we would denote by QSM as the quantized source of modulation. The operators a and  $a^{\dagger}$  for the QSM obey the Boson commutation relations  $[a, a^{\dagger}] = 1$ . The Hamiltonian (2) describes the quantum optical spring. Let the eigenstates and eigenvalues  $E_n^{(0)}$  of  $H_0$  be denoted by

$$\phi_n(x) = N_n H_n(\alpha x) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 x^2\right),$$

$$E_n^{(0)} = \hbar\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$N_n = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi 2^n n!}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\alpha \equiv \left(\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3)

where  $H_n$  is the *n*th Hermite polynomial. The eigenstates of H are given by

$$a^{\dagger}a|p\rangle = p|p\rangle,$$

$$H\psi_{n}^{p}|p\rangle = E_{n}^{(p)}\psi_{n}^{p}|p\rangle,$$

$$E_{n}^{(p)} = \hbar\omega_{p}(n+\frac{1}{2}),$$

$$\omega_{p} \equiv \omega\sqrt{(1+\mu p)}.$$
(4)

and where the wave function  $\psi_n^p$  is given by

$$\psi_n^p = N_n H_n(\alpha_p x) (\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{\alpha}}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_p^2 x^2\right),$$
  
$$\alpha_p \equiv \left(\frac{m\omega_p}{\hbar}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (5)

Note that  $\psi_n^p$  is the eigenstate of harmonic oscillator with frequency  $\omega$  replaced by  $\omega_p$ . For a fix p, these form a complete set.

The time evolution of the initial state can be obtained from the knowledge of the states given by Eq. (4). Let us consider the initial state of the coupled system given by

$$\psi(t=0) = \sum_{p,n} C_{pn} \phi_n(x) |p\rangle , \qquad (6)$$

where  $C_{pn}$  are the expansion coefficients. The state at time t would then be

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(t)\rangle &= \exp(\frac{-iHt}{\hbar})\psi(t=0) \\ &= \sum C_{pn}|p\rangle \exp[\frac{-it}{\hbar}(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_p^2 x^2}{2})]\phi_n(x) \\ &= \sum C_{pn}|p\rangle \exp[\frac{-it}{\hbar}(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega_p^2 x^2}{2})] \\ &\times \sum_l |\psi_l^p\rangle \langle \psi_l^p |\phi_n\rangle \\ &= \sum_{p,n,l} C_{pn} \exp[\frac{-itE_l^p}{\hbar}] \langle \psi_l^p |\phi_n\rangle |p\rangle |\psi_l^p\rangle . \end{aligned}$$
(7)

This is the state of the combined system of oscillator and the QSM. It is a nonfactorized state and thus the spring gets entangled to the quantum system controlling the spring constant. The reduced state of the oscillator and QSM can be obtained by projecting out the degrees of freedom of the other system. It is seen that the density matrix for the oscillator is

$$\rho_{o} = \sum_{n,l,m,j,p} |\psi_{l}^{p}\rangle\langle\psi_{j}^{p}|C_{pn}C_{pm}^{*}$$
$$\times \exp\left[\frac{-it(E_{l}^{p}-E_{j}^{p})}{\hbar}\right]\langle\psi_{l}^{p}|\phi_{n}\rangle\langle\phi_{m}|\psi_{j}^{p}\rangle , \quad (8)$$

and that for QSM is

$$\rho_{QSM} = \sum_{p_1, p_2} d_{p_1 p_2} |p_1\rangle \langle p_2| , \qquad (9)$$

$$d_{p_1p_2} = \sum_{n,l,m,j} C_{p_1n} C^*_{p_2m} \langle \psi_j^{p_2} | \psi_l^{p_1} \rangle \\ \times \langle \psi_l^{p_1} | \phi_n \rangle \langle \phi_m | \psi_j^{p_2} \rangle \exp\left[\frac{-it(E_l^{p_1} - E_j^{p_2})}{\hbar}\right] .$$

$$(10)$$

Note specially the rather involved form of the reduced density matrix for the QSM. This is because the scalar product of the wave functions  $\psi_l^p$  for different p is nonzero-

$$\langle \psi_j^{p_2} | \psi_l^{p_1} \rangle \neq 0 \text{ for } p_1 \neq p_2$$
  
=  $\delta_{lj} \text{ if } p_1 = p_2 .$  (11)

Using Eq. (8) we can study the details of the quantum dynamics of the oscillator coupled to QSM. We would like to mention that the quantum characteristics of a nanomechanical oscillator coupled to a quantized photon source has been studied by several authors [14, 15, 16, 17] who have shown how nonclassical states like CAT states of such a system can be generated. The Hamiltonian used by previous authors is given by  $a^{\dagger}ax$  which is linear in the oscillator variable and is different from  $a^{\dagger}ax^2$  which is produced by modulation. However Arcizet et al. [12] have used precisely this Hamiltonian as they argue that the radiation pressure leads to modulation of the spring constant. Another possibility to realize quantum optical spring would be the center of mass motion in a detuned cavity.

## III. QUANTUM DYNAMICS OF THE QUANTUM OPTICAL SPRING : REVIVALS AND FRACTIONAL REVIVALS

Let us consider first a simple case when the QSM is prepared in a coherent state with mean amplitude  $\alpha$  and the oscillator is prepared in its ground state. Thus the coefficients  $C_{pn}$  are given by

$$C_{pn} = \delta_{n0} \frac{\alpha^p \exp(\frac{-|\alpha|^2}{2})}{\sqrt{(p)!}} . \tag{12}$$

Using Eq. (12) in Eq. (8) and on simplification we get

$$\rho_o = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|^{2p} \exp(-|\alpha|^2) \\ (p)! \\ \times \langle \psi_l^p | \phi_0 \rangle \langle \phi_0 | \psi_j^p \rangle} \exp[-it\omega_p (l-j)] |\psi_l^p \rangle \langle \psi_j^p |$$
(13)

And in particular the probability of finding the oscillator in the initial state is given by

$$P_{0}(t) = \langle \phi_{0} | \rho_{o} | \phi_{0} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{p} \left( \left| \sum_{l} \exp[-it\omega_{p}l] |\langle \psi_{l}^{p} | \phi_{0} \rangle|^{2} \right| \right)^{2} \times \left( \frac{|\alpha|^{2p} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2})}{(p)!} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{p} |A_{p}|^{2} \frac{|\alpha|^{2p} \exp(-|\alpha|^{2})}{(p)!} , \qquad (14)$$

where

4

$$A_p = \sum_{l} \exp[-it\omega_p l] |\langle \psi_l^p | \phi_0 \rangle|^2 .$$
 (15)

This is one of our key results. The quantum state of the source appears through the weight factor in Eq. (14) and through the discrete sum in Eq. (14). Such sums have been encountered before in connection with the cavity QED problems [9, 10] and have been experimentally studied [18, 19]. The sum in Eq. (15) can be evaluated in closed form since

$$\begin{split} \psi_l^p |\phi_0\rangle &= \int \psi_l^p(x)\phi_0(x)dx \\ &= N_l N_0(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_p}{\alpha}}) \\ &\times \int H_l(\alpha_p x) \exp[(-\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_p^2 + \alpha^2)x^2)]dx \\ &= N_l N_0(\frac{\beta_p}{\sqrt{\alpha_p \alpha}}) \\ &\times \int H_l(\beta_p y) \exp[-y^2]dy \\ &= N_{2m} N_0(\frac{\beta_p}{\sqrt{\alpha_p \alpha}}) [\frac{\sqrt{\pi}(2m)!(\beta_p^2 - 1)^m}{(m)!}] \\ &= \frac{\beta_p}{(\eta_p)^{\frac{1}{8}}} [\frac{\sqrt{(2m)!}(\beta_p^2 - 1)^m}{2^m(m)!}] , \end{split}$$
(16)

where

$$\eta_p = (1 + \mu p) ,$$
  

$$\beta_p^2 = \frac{2(\eta_p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + (\eta_p)^{\frac{1}{2}}} .$$
(17)

On using Eq. (16) in Eq. (15) we find closed form expression for  $A_p$ :

$$A_p = \frac{|\beta_p|^2}{\eta_p^{\frac{1}{4}} (1 - (\beta_p^2 - 1)^2 \exp(-2i\omega_p t))^{\frac{1}{2}}} , \quad (18)$$



FIG. 1: Figure shows the variation of  $P_0$  as a function of  $\tau(\omega t = 2\pi\tau)$  with parameter  $\mu = 0.1$ . The average number  $|\alpha|^2$  of excitation in the QSM is 4.



FIG. 2: Figure shows the variation of  $P_0$  as a function of  $\tau(\omega t = 2\pi\tau)$  with parameter  $\mu = 0.3$ . The average number  $|\alpha|^2$  of excitation in the QSM is 25.

and  $P_0(t)$  can be written as

$$P_0(t) = \langle |A_p|^2 \rangle , \qquad (19)$$

and where  $\langle \rangle$  is the average over the distribution of p. For the classical source of modulation the corresponding result would be  $|A_p|^2$  with p replaced by the strength of modulation  $|\alpha|^2$ 

$$P_{cl}(t) = \frac{|\beta_{\alpha}|^{4}}{\sqrt{\eta_{\alpha}(1 - 2(\beta_{\alpha}^{2} - 1)^{2}\cos(2\omega_{\alpha}t) + (\beta_{\alpha}^{2} - 1)^{4})}},$$
  

$$\eta_{\alpha} = (1 + \mu|\alpha|^{2}),$$
  

$$\beta_{\alpha}^{2} = \frac{2(\eta_{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + (\eta_{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$
  

$$\omega_{\alpha} = \omega\sqrt{(1 + \mu|\alpha|^{2})}.$$
(20)

Thus  $P_{cl}$  oscillates at the frequency  $2 \omega_{\alpha}$ . However the probability  $P_0(t)$  for the QSM behaves quite differently as shown in the Fig. 1 and exhibits collapse and revival of the classical periodic motion. In a different parameter regime the fractional revivals in  $P_0(t)$  are seen in Fig. 2. Clearly the dynamics of the quantum optical spring is very sensitive to the quantized source of modulation.

#### IV. SQUEEZING PROPERTIES OF QUANTUM OPTICAL SPRING

We next investigate if the oscillator system acquires important nonclassical character as a result of QSM. As mentioned earlier even a classical change in the frequency can lead to squeezing in oscillator and therefore an interesting question would be how this squeezing character is modified by the QSM. In order to calculate squeezing it is more convenient to work with Heisenberg equations of motion. For the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) we can prove that

$$\frac{d(a^{\dagger}a)}{dt} = 0 , \qquad (21)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{p}{m} , \qquad (22)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -m(\omega)^2 (1 + \mu a^{\dagger} a) x , \qquad (23)$$

Thus  $a^{\dagger}a$  is a constant of motion and we can write the solution as

$$x(t) = x(0)\cos(\hat{\Omega}t) + \frac{p(0)}{m\hat{\Omega}}\sin(\hat{\Omega}t) , \qquad (24)$$

$$p(t) = p(0)\cos(\hat{\Omega}t) - m\hat{\Omega} x(0)\sin(\hat{\Omega}t) , \qquad (25)$$

where  $\hat{\Omega}$  is given by

$$\hat{\Omega} = \omega \sqrt{1 + \mu a^{\dagger} a}$$

and where  $a^{\dagger}a$  is the operator at time t = 0. We define the squeezing variables

$$V_x(t) = \frac{\langle x^2(t) \rangle - \langle x(t) \rangle^2}{\langle x^2(0) \rangle} ,$$
  

$$V_p(t) = \frac{\langle p^2(t) \rangle - \langle p(t) \rangle^2}{\langle p^2(0) \rangle} .$$
(26)

For the ground state of the oscillator

$$\langle x(0) \rangle = \langle p(0) \rangle = 0, \langle xp + px \rangle = 0,$$
  
$$\langle x^2(0) \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}, \langle p^2(0) \rangle = \frac{m\hbar\omega}{2}.$$
 (27)

Using Eqs. (24) and (27) we get



FIG. 3: Figure shows the variation of  $V_x(t)$  as a function of  $\tau(\omega t = 2\pi\tau)$  with parameter  $\mu = 0.1$ . The average number  $|\alpha|^2$  of excitation in the QSM is 4.



FIG. 4: Figure shows the variation of  $V_x(t)$  as a function of  $\tau(\omega t = 2\pi\tau)$  with parameter  $\mu = 0.3$ . The average number  $|\alpha|^2$  of excitation in the QSM is 25.

$$V_x(t) = 1 - \sum_n \frac{|\alpha|^{2n} \exp[-|\alpha|^2] \sin^2[\omega t \sqrt{1+\mu n}]}{n!} (\frac{\mu n}{1+\mu n}) ,$$
(28)

$$V_p(t) = 1 + \sum_n \frac{|\alpha|^{2n} \exp[-|\alpha|^2] \sin^2[\omega t \sqrt{1+\mu n}](\mu n)}{n!} .$$
(29)

Clearly  $V_x(t)$  is always less than one and hence the x quadrature is squeezed. The corresponding result with a classical source of modulation would be

$$V_x(t) = 1 - \sin^2 [\omega t \sqrt{1 + \mu |\alpha|^2}] (\frac{\mu |\alpha|^2}{1 + \mu |\alpha|^2}) .$$
(30)

The minimum value of  $V_x$  in classical case is when  $\sin^2[\omega t \sqrt{1+\mu|\alpha|^2}] = 1$  and hence

$$V_{x,min} = 1 - \left(\frac{\mu |\alpha|^2}{1 + \mu |\alpha|^2}\right) \,. \tag{31}$$

For the QSM the squeezing parameter (28) exhibits typical collapse and revival of the classical periodical oscillation [Figs. 3, 4]. This is again due to the discrete nature of the quantum state of the source of modulation, i.e., control of the spring constant by a quantum source.

# V. BACK REACTION OF THE OSCILLATOR ON QSM

In this section we evaluate the back action of the oscillator on the QSM. The Hamiltonian in Eq. (2) depends only on  $a^{\dagger}a$  and therefore only the off diagonal elements of the density matrix  $\rho_{QSM}$  are affected by the coupling of the oscillator to QSM. The reduced state of the QSM is rather involved. In order to appreciate the back action we examine the mean displacement  $\langle \hat{a} \rangle$  of the QSM which is conditional on the measurement of oscillator in the state  $|\phi_0\rangle$ . The mean value is given by

$$d(t) \equiv \langle \hat{a} \rangle$$
  
=  $\sum_{n,l} \langle l|a|n \rangle \langle n|\rho|l \rangle$   
=  $\sum_{n} \sqrt{n} \rho_{n,n-1}$ , (32)

where QSM's conditional density matrix is found to be

$$\rho_{n,l} = \sum_{n,l} c_n^* c_l X_{n,l} , \qquad (33)$$

with  $X_{n,l}$  given by

$$X_{n,l} = \langle \phi_0 | \exp[ih(n)] \exp[-ih(l)] | \phi_0 \rangle .$$
 (34)

In the above equation we have introduced the Hamiltonian h(n) defined by

$$h(n) \equiv \frac{t}{\hbar} \left(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2(1+\mu n)x^2}{2}\right), \qquad (35)$$

The  $X_{n,l}$ 's can be calculated by using operator disentangling theorems for SU(1,1) group. We give details in the Appendix(A). We find the following result[Eq. (A13)]

$$X_{n,l} = \frac{((\Gamma_{3n})^* \Gamma_{3l})^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{1 - (\Gamma_{+n})^* \Gamma_{+l}}} .$$
(36)

The displacement of the QSM with  $\Gamma$ 's defined by Eqs. (A9) and (A10) can be obtained from Eqs. (32),(33).

In Fig. 5 we display the time evolution of the imaginary part of  $\langle \hat{a} \rangle$ . Note that the imaginary part of  $\langle \hat{a} \rangle$ builds up starting from zero and exhibits aperiodic behavior. The quantized nature of the optical spring is well reflected in the back reaction [20]. Evidently the back reaction occurs over a much larger time scale.

In conclusion we introduced the idea of a quantum optical spring , i.e., a spring whose spring constant is controlled by another quantum system. The dynamics of the oscillator system exhibits the phenomena of collapse and revivals including fractional revivals. Further the spring gets entangled to the quantum system which controls the spring constant.



FIG. 5: Figure shows the variation of Imaginary $\langle \hat{a} \rangle$  as a function of  $\tau(\omega t = 16\pi\tau)$  with parameter  $\mu = 0.3$ . The average number  $|\alpha|^2$  of excitation in the QSM is 25.

#### APPENDIX A: CALCULATION OF THE MATRIX ELEMENT(34)

In the appendix we give the details of our calculation for  $X_{nl}$ . It is convenient to use x and p in terms of annihilation and creation operators  $\hat{b}$  and  $\hat{b}^{\dagger}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\hat{b}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}) ,\\ p &= i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} (\hat{b}^{\dagger} - \hat{b}) , \end{aligned} \tag{A1}$$

$$\exp[-ih(n)]|\phi_0\rangle = \exp[-\frac{it}{\hbar}(\frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2(1+\mu n)x^2}{2})]|\phi_0\rangle ,$$
(A2)

Substituting the values for x and p from Eq. (A1) in the above equation and on simplification we get

$$\exp[-ih(n)]|\phi_{0}\rangle = \exp[\gamma_{+n}\hat{K}_{+} + \gamma_{-n}\hat{K}_{-} + \gamma_{3n}\hat{K}_{3}]|\phi_{0}\rangle ,$$
(A3)

where

$$\gamma_{\pm n} \equiv \frac{-i\mu\omega nt}{2} , \qquad (A4)$$

$$\gamma_{3n} \equiv -i\omega t(\mu n + 2) . \tag{A5}$$

In (A3)  $\hat{K}_{\pm}$ ,  $\hat{K}_3$  are the generators of SU(1,1) algebra given by

$$\hat{K}_3 \equiv \frac{1}{4} (\hat{b}^{\dagger} \hat{b} + \hat{b} \hat{b}^{\dagger}) , \qquad (A6)$$

$$\hat{K}_{+} = (\hat{K}_{-})^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{b}^{\dagger})^{2} .$$
 (A7)

The Eq. (A3) can be simplified by using the disentangling theorem [21] for the SU(1,1) group:

$$\exp(\gamma_{+n}\hat{K}_{+} + \gamma_{-n}\hat{K}_{-} + \gamma_{3n}\hat{K}_{3}) = \exp(\Gamma_{+n}\hat{K}_{+})\exp[(\ln\Gamma_{3n})\hat{K}_{3}]\exp(\Gamma_{-n}\hat{K}_{-}) , \qquad (A8)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{3n} \equiv \frac{1}{(\cosh\beta_n - \frac{\gamma_{3n}}{2\beta_n}\sinh\beta_n)^2}, \qquad (A9)$$

$$\Gamma_{\pm n} \equiv \frac{2\gamma_{\pm n} \sinh \beta_n}{(2\beta_n \cosh \beta_n - \gamma_{3n} \sinh \beta_n)} , \qquad (A10)$$

$$\beta_n^2 \equiv \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{3n}^2 - \gamma_{+n} \gamma_{-n} .$$
 (A11)

We use the disentangling theorem in the form (A8) as then  $\hat{K}_{-}$  acting on  $|\phi_0\rangle$  yields zero and  $\hat{K}_3$  terms can be simplified as  $|\phi_0\rangle$  is an eigenstate of  $\hat{K}_3$ . Thus we reduce Eq. (A3) to

$$\exp(\gamma_{+n}\hat{K_{+}} + \gamma_{-n}\hat{K_{-}} + \gamma_{3n}\hat{K_{3}})|\phi_{0}\rangle \equiv \exp(\Gamma_{+n}\hat{K_{+}})\exp[(\ln\Gamma_{3n})\hat{K_{3}}]\exp(\Gamma_{-n}\hat{K_{-}})|\phi_{0}\rangle$$
$$= \exp[\frac{(\ln\Gamma_{3n})}{4}]\exp[\Gamma_{+n}\hat{K_{+}}]|\phi_{0}\rangle$$
$$= (\Gamma_{3n})^{\frac{1}{4}}\exp(\Gamma_{+n}\hat{K_{+}})|\phi_{0}\rangle$$
(A12)

Hence  $X_{n,l}$  as defined by (34) can be calculated as follows

$$\begin{aligned} X_{n,l} &= \langle \phi_0 | \exp(ih(n)) \exp(-ih(l)) | \phi_0 \rangle \\ &= ((\Gamma_{3n})^* \Gamma_{3l})^{\frac{1}{4}} \langle \phi_0 | \exp((\Gamma_{+n})^* \hat{K_{-}}) \exp(\Gamma_{+l} \hat{K_{+}}) | \phi_0 \rangle \\ &= ((\Gamma_{3n})^* \Gamma_{3l})^{\frac{1}{4}} \sum_p \frac{((\Gamma_{+n})^* \Gamma_{+l})^p (2p)!}{(p!)^2 2^{2p}} \\ &= \frac{((\Gamma_{3n})^* \Gamma_{3l})^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\sqrt{1 - (\Gamma_{+n})^* \Gamma_{+l}}} \,. \end{aligned}$$
(A13)

This is the result we use in Section V to calculate the back-action.

 J. Janszky, and Y.Y. Yushin, Opt. Commun. 59, 151 (1986).
 G. S. Agarwal and S. A. Kumar, Phys. Rev. Lett.67, 3665, (1991)

- [3] R. Graham, J. Mod.Opt.34, 873, (1987).
- [4] R. J. Glauber in Quantum Optics, edited by G.S.Agarwal

and R.Inguva (Plenum, New York, 1991).

- [5] G. Behinaein, V. Ramareddy, P. Ahmadi, and G. S. Summy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 244101, (2006).
- [6] S.Choi and N.P. Biegelow, J. Mod.Opt.52, 1081, (2005).
- [7] M.P.Blencowe and M.N.Wybourne, Physica B  $\mathbf{280},$  555(2000)
- [8] G. A. Garrett, A. G. Rojo, A. K. Sood, J. F. Whitaker and R. Merlin, Science 275,1638 (1997)
- [9] J.H. Eberly, N.B. Narozhny, J.J. Sanchez-Mondragon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1323,(1980);
- [10] W. P. Schleich, in Quantum Optics in Phase Space (Wiley, New York 2001).
- [11] C. Leichtle, I. Sh. Averbukh, and W. P. Schleich, Phys. Rev. A 54, 5299 (1996); D. L. Aronstein and C. R. Stroud, Phys. Rev. A 55, 4526 (1997); Z. D. Gaeta and C. R. Stroud, Jr.,*ibid*. 42, 6308 (1990)
- [12] O. Arcizet, P.F. Cohadon, T. Briant, M. Pinard and A. Heidmann, Nature 444, 71 (2006)
- [13] We do not include damping effects in the analysis which are known to be detrimental for the observation of such

revival effects.

- [14] W. Marshall, C. Simon, R. Penrose, and D. Bouwmeester , Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 130401, (2003).
- [15] S. Bose, K. Jacobs, and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A 59, 3204 (1999).
- [16] A. D. Armour, M. P. Blencowe, and K.C. Schwab, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 148301 (2002).
- [17] C. K. Law and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. A 43, 6337(1991)
- [18] M. Brune, S. Haroche, Rev. Mod. Phys. **73**, 565(2001)
- [19] H. Walther, B.T.H.Varcoe, B.G. Englert and T. Becker, Rep. Prog. Phys.69, 1325 (2006)
- [20] For recent works on back-action in the context of cooling of micromechanical resonators see, for example, A. Naik *et al.*, Nature **443**, 193(2006) ; Dustin Kleckner and Dirk Bouwmeester, Nature **444**, 75(2006) ; S. Gigan *et al.*, Nature **444**, 67(2006).
- [21] S. Barnett and P. Radmore, Methods in Theoretical Quantum Optics (Oxford University Press, 2002) p.238.