

Non Markovian Noise mediated through Anomalous Diffusion within Ion Channels

Sisir Roy^{1,2}, Indranil Mitra³, Rodolfo Llinas⁴

¹Physics and Applied Mathematics Unit, Indian Statistical Institute,
203 Barrackpore Trunk Road, Kolkata 700108, India

²College of Science, George Mason University
4400 University Drive Fairfax, Virginia 22030, USA

³Brain & Behaviour Program, Department of Physics, Georgia State University
29 Peachtree Center Avenue, Atlanta, GA 30303 USA

⁴New York University School of Medicine, 530 First Avenue, New York
NY, 10016 USA

Abstract

It is quite clear from a wide range of experiments that gating phenomena of ion channels is inherently stochastic. It has been discussed using BD simulations in a recent paper that memory effects in ion transport is negligible, unless the barrier height is high. In this brief report we like to state using Differential Stochastic Methods (DSM's) that the Markovian property of exponential dwell times do indeed give rise to a high barrier, which in turn indicates that memory effects need not be ignored. We have thus constructed a Generalized Langevin Equation which contains a combination of Non Markovian at different time scales & Markovian processes and develop an algorithm to describe the scheme of events. We see that the oscillatory function behaviour with exponential decay is obtained in the Markovian limit and two distinct time scales corresponding to the processes of diffusion & drift may be obtained from preliminary simulation results. We propose that the results need much more inspection and it will be worthwhile to reproduce using MD simulations. The most important idea which we like to propose in this paper is that the rise of time scales and memory effects may be inherently related to the differential behaviour of shear viscosity in the cytoplasm & extracellular matrix.

1 Introduction

Ion channels are like gates which facilitate exchange of electrolyte between the exterior and interior of a cell. Pores are formed by specific proteins embedded into the phospholipid membrane. Depending on the conformation of the protein, the pore can be open or closed. When open, the protein is very specific to the kind of ions that it allows to pass through the channel. Ion channels are usually very narrow and pass through a region of low dielectric constant, the lines of electric field tend to be confined to the high dielectric interior of the pore. One can easily see this by considering the requirement of the continuity of the normal component of the electric displacement field between the interior of a channel and membrane, $\epsilon_w \mathbf{E}_w^n = \epsilon_p \mathbf{E}_m^n$. Since the lipid membrane has a dielectric constant $\epsilon_p \approx 2$ while the dielectric constant of water is $\epsilon \approx 80$ we immediately see that the normal component of the electric field at the membrane/pore boundary must be very close to zero. There is only a very slight penetration of the electric field into the interior of the phospholipid membrane. The situation here is, therefore, very similar to the expulsion of the magnetic field by a superconductor. For example, for a channel of length $L = 25 \text{ \AA}$ and radius $a = 3 \text{ \AA}$, the barrier is about $6k_B T$, which although still quite large, should allow ionic conductivity. Recently the study of ion channels has expanded to other parts of applied physics. Water filled nanopores are introduced into silicon oxide films, polymer membranes, etc.[1, 2].

Many methods ranging from Molecular (MD) & Brownian dynamics (BD) simulations with implicit water treated as a uniform dielectric continuum, to the mean field Poisson-Nerst Planck Equation (PNP), which treats both the ions & water implicitly have been used to simulate the ion channel phenomena characteristics. While clearly the most accurate, MD simulations are computationally very expensive[3]. Brownian dynamics is significantly faster than MD, but because of the dielectric discontinuities across the various interfaces a new solution of the Poisson equation is required for each new configuration of ions inside the pore. The simplest approach to study the ionic conduction is based on the PNP theory[4, 5]. This combines the continuity equation with the Poisson equation and Ohm's and Fick's laws. PNP is intrinsically mean-field and is, therefore, bound to fail when ionic correlations become important.

For narrow channels, the cylindrical geometry, combined with the field confinement, results in a pseudo one dimensional potential of very long range[6]. Under these conditions the correlational effects dominate, and the mean-field approximation fails[7]. Indeed recent comparison between the BD and the PNP showed that PNP breaks down when the pore radius is smaller than about two Debye lengths[8, 9]. At the moment, therefore, it appears that a semi-continuum (implicit solvent) Brownian dynamics simulation is the best compromise between the cost and accuracy[10, 11, 12] for narrow pores. If the interaction potential between the ions inside the channel would be known, the simulation could proceed orders of magnitude faster.

It is worthwhile to mention here that though BD simulations of ion channels have yielded suitable results, but most importantly it has been confined with the use of Langevin equation with Markovian random forces. The Markovian approximation is justified when the Brownian particles are much heavier than the solvent molecules, a condition which is obviously not satisfied for ions in water. Correlations are important and can be taken into account by Generalized Langevin Equation (GLE)[13]. In a recent paper these issues have been discussed in the context of memory effect and it is found that memory effects are negligible in bulk simulations of electrolytes except that when ions have to cross energy barriers, inclusion of the memory effects via the GLE becomes important. We argue here that interesting physics of ion channels are concentrated in the pore region where the stochasticity of gating phenomena is crucial for the analysis. We argue here by the Einstein result $\sigma = nq^2 D/kT$, that the ionic conductivity exhibits a dependence on Δt which may be employed in the BD simulations at lower field strength, which disappears for higher field. But as we have mentioned before that the phenomena being stochastic the potential energy barrier has also a stochastic contribution with the barrier height being a random variable as $V(z, i) = V_i \exp(-z^2/2d^2)$ where V_i is the random variable associated with the barrier height and d is the width. So in contrary to the recent survey [14] memory effects will be important in a variety of cases depending on the random effects of the potential barrier.

The most important part of the understanding of the dynamic aspects of the memory effects can be understood by an insight driven by some recent development in Atomic Force

Microscopy which suggests the capillary condensation of water, which increases short term memory in the form of shearing elastic frictional forces. It suggests that at the nanoscale there is a crucial change in the elastic response of the forces between water molecules which leads to a variable viscosity in the cytoplasm in comparison to the K^+ channels which is the key process in giving rise to memory effects. What however needs a serious investigation is how the shear response behaviour may be exactly coupled with the Stochastic dynamics. In this context, we would like to mention that diffusion governed by Brownian motion is an efficient transport mechanism on short time and length scales. Even a highly organized system like a living cell relies in many cases on the random Brownian motion of its constituents to fulfill complex functions. A Brownian particle will rapidly explore a heterogeneous environment that in turn strongly alters its trajectory. Thus, detailed information about the environment can be gained by analyzing the particle's trajectory. It is well known that the non-negligible fluid's inertia leads to hydrodynamic memory effects [15] resulting in a characteristic long-time tail of $t^{-3/2}$ in the velocity correlations of the particle's motion. The viscosity of the PE solution is recently calculated [16] as a function of the concentration and characterizes hydrodynamic memory effects present in such solution. We find that memory is lost above the critical concentration C^* , which corresponds to the point where neighboring polymer coils start to overlap and form a transient mesh where fluid dynamics is dominated by viscous terms rather than inertial ones. Above this point the mesh surrounding the Brownian particle increases its effective mass and therefore the characteristic power law of the velocity correlations vanishes. In this paper we construct a GLE including Non Markovian processes using a white noise a linear combination of colored noises, in the spirit of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Our model includes an evolution of memory and the mixed process generates oscillations within the pore. For purely Markovian or purely Non Markovian processes the time dependence is monotonic. So it is clear that the oscillations are the result of the competitive interactions between the Markovian and Non Markovian subprocesses. The non Markovian nature of the noise effects both the time dependent and stationary properties of the driven stochastic processes. The time dependent processes are characterized by distinct time scales. In the next section we give a justification of time scales for a diffusive process and thereafter describe our model and a specific algorithm for the mixture of the non-Markovian and Markovian processes.

2 Structure of Potassium Channels

A lot of experimental effort has been put into re-solving membrane-bound processes, from the structural as well as dynamical point of view. As far as the molecular structure determination of membrane proteins is concerned, obtaining a crystallized functional form of the membrane protein is still a generally non-solved problem, up to now, only few structures have been resolved up to the atomic details. Consequently, modelling and simulations of membrane processes has been long facing the problem of lack in structural data, not to mention the problem of computational limitations for such a complex systems. The advantage of the simulation over experimental techniques has been widely seen in the possibility to explore the dynamical aspects of the structure which cannot be addressed experimentally. At present, the amenable combination of atomistic resolution structures with highly sophisticated computational methods is considered to be a leading way to better understanding of fundamental properties of basic cell membrane physiology. The doubts about physiological relevance of results obtained on bacterial membrane proteins to those in mammalian cells have been lifted at least in the case of KcsA, for which there is evidence supporting evolutionary conservation of the architecture as well as of transporting properties. The membrane resting potential, which is predominantly determined by K^+ concentration difference, can easily be changed by allowing the Na^+ ions flow inside; if the membrane is depolarized, the resting potential is effectively restored by letting the K^+ flow out whereas subtle changes in Ca^{2+} intracellular concentration can lead to a number of processes affecting important cell functions, etc. Ion channels are transmembrane protein structures [17] which ensure the continuous transport pathway for diffusive flow of ions across the membrane. As the direction of the ion flow through the channel is down the electrochemical gradient for the ion, the process is often referred to as a passive transport. Since the net flow of electric charge gives rise to a rapid change in transmembrane potential, ion channels play a key role in generating and propagating action potentials in nervous system. Moreover, it is well established that ion

channels play important role in pathophysiology of various diseases and thereby present the primary targets for pharmacological drug design. In order to assure the precisely controlled transmembrane ionic flow, ion channels have to be highly selective towards specific ion type and have to be well defined gating control mechanism. The protein conformation of the open state, in which the selected ions are allowed to pass, has to be accomplished upon specific stimulus, the known mechanisms include change in transmembrane potential, binding of another molecule and mechanical stress. Although the experimentally determined rate of ion transport through different channels varies, the simple calculation in given circumstances reveals that this rapid transport is almost at the diffusion rate [18]. This fact indicates that an open channel structure is ensuring energetically almost barrierless pathway for selected ion flow. Additionally, the variability among channels is also seen in the geometry of ion transport pathway, but there seem to be two characteristic regions appearing as a general feature of all biological channels, a wider cavity that accommodates hydrated ions and a short and narrow selectivity filter. Moreover, those two regions seem to be evolutionarily conserved, at least in specific channel type. Ion channels are functionally and structurally simplest among the membrane transport proteins, since the transport itself doesn't call for a conformational changes of protein structure. Conformational changes occur in the process of gating. There are three major experimentally determined descriptors of channel function: 1) high selectivity towards one ion species 2) permeation process that ensures rapid transport at given rate, defining the conductance of the channel (I-V curves of the majority of biological channels are linear within the physiological range of membrane potentials), and 3) gating mechanism.

Potassium channels are membrane-spanning proteins that provide an energetically favorable pathway for the selective conduction of K^+ ions across the membrane. One of the most striking properties of potassium channels is their remarkable ability to conduct K^+ ions near the diffusion limit and yet, select for K^+ over Na^+ by more than 1000 to 1. Because small ions such as K^+ are strongly bound to water molecules in bulk solution, the channel provides coordinating groups that help compensate the loss of hydration. Selectivity arises when this energetic compensation is more favorable for one type of ion than for another, relative to the hydration free energy. The most relevant ions in biological systems are Na^+ , K^+ , Cl^- , and Ca^{2+} , but Na^+ and K^+ are the most abundant, with a high intracellular concentration for K^+ and a high extracellular concentration for Na^+ . The molecular mechanism underlying the rapid discrimination between K^+ and Na^+ is, therefore, fascinating because these two monovalent cations are very similar, differing only slightly in their atomic radius. Assuming that ions surrounded by complete shells of water molecules would maintain monotonic and circular profiles, he argued that such a cylindrical channel might select a partially hydrated ion of an optimal size while discriminating over smaller or larger ions: If K^+ approaches a pore that is precisely the same size as this ion with its first solvation shell, it may, as indicated previously, exchange hydration, for water shells to infinity, for a similar attraction with the structure lining the pore. If the pore is somewhat smaller than K^+ penetration cannot occur for steric reasons, while if pore is somewhat too large, penetration likewise cannot occur because the attraction of the ion for water shells and greater is not compensated by solvation of similar magnitude in the pore.

The determination of the three-dimensional structure of K^+ channels at atomic resolution using X-ray crystallography provides the tools to deepen our understanding of these systems. In the narrow selectivity filter, K^+ must be almost completely dehydrated. These observations led to a commonly accepted explanation of ion selectivity, which assumes that structural factors play the dominant role. Potassium channels, structurally, are membrane proteins that have a signature selectivity filter in a "pore region" that is flanked by transmembrane helices on either side [19]. Together, this structural motif constitutes the permeation pathway of the channel and subfamily designations commonly indicate the attachments to this basic design. The pore-forming monomers are usually referred to as alpha-subunits and most often form homotetramers in the membrane in order to function. It is reasonable to suppose that the diverse functional properties of potassium channels necessarily stem from the nature of their particular sequence [19]. Hydropathy plots, which can be used to infer transmembrane (TM) topology, are a measure of potassium channel diversity. Potassium channels with a 8 TM-2 pore topology have also been discovered in yeast [20, 21]. A description of the expansion of K channel subfamilies has been provided in higher animals based on topology and sequence similarity of many sequences. The physiological diversity of potassium channels places a significant bottleneck to formulating a well delineated classification

scheme for this protein family.

The structure of the KcsA channel, is strikingly consistent with the classical views of a very selective, fast-conducting, multi-ion pore. The pore comprises a wide, nonpolar aqueous cavity on the intracellular side, leading up, on the extracellular side, to a narrow pore that is 12Å long and lined exclusively by main chain carbonyl oxygens. Formed by the residues corresponding to the signature sequence TTVGYG, common to all K⁺ channels, this region of the pore acts as a selectivity filter by allowing only the passage of nearly dehydrated K⁺ ions across the cell membrane. The X-ray structure unambiguously demonstrated that the K⁺ ion entering the selectivity filter have to lose nearly all their hydration shell and must be directly coordinated by backbone carbonyl oxygens. The filter is constrained in an optimal geometry so that a dehydrated K⁺ ion fits with proper coordination but the Na⁺ ion is too small, in close correspondence with the snug-fit mechanism of Bezanilla and Armstrong [22]. This simple and appealing structural mechanism was then widely adopted to explain the selectivity of the K⁺ channel, as explicitly stated by several works.

- A rigid K⁺ pore, however, cannot close down around a Na⁺ ion, which does not bind snugly in the pore and thus has a much higher energy than in water.
- Each K⁺ ion in the selectivity filter is surrounded by two groups of four oxygen atoms, just as in water: these oxygen atoms are held in place by the protein, and are in fact the backbone carbonyl oxygens of the selectivity filter loops from the four subunits. Furthermore, they solve the problem of stabilizing potassium in preference to sodium by precisely matching the configuration of oxygen atoms around a solvated potassium ions.
- The filter, for structural reasons, cannot constrict sufficiently to bring more than two of the carbonyls within good bonding distance of the Na⁺. As a result, the energy of the Na⁺ in the pore is very high compared with its energy in water[23].
- Potassium fits optimally at these sites. While they expand to accommodate rubidium and cesium, they don't contract enough to cradle sodium.
- The channel pays the cost of dehydrating K⁺ by providing compensating interactions with the carbonyl oxygen atoms lining the selectivity filter. However, these oxygen atoms are positioned such that they do not interact very favorable with Na⁺ because it is too small. Because of its relative rigidity the channel would not afford favorable interaction with ions of with different than potassium radius.

The main idea is that the narrow pore is perfectly suited (at the subangstrom level) to provide a cavity of the appropriate size to fit K⁺, but unable to adapt to the slightly smaller Na⁺. This implies a significant structural inability to deform and adapt: the energetic cost upon collapsing to cradle a Na⁺ (a structural distortion of about 0.38 angstroms) must give rise to a significant energy penalty (much larger than $k_B T$ assuming the existence of molecular forces opposing a sub-angstrom distortion is tantamount to postulating structural rigidity. Furthermore, the geometry of such a rigid pore must be very precisely suited for K⁺ because it would be unable to adapt small perturbations without paying a significant energy price (much larger than $k_B T$). Therefore, precisions in structural rigidity and geometric precision are two underlying microscopic consequences to these. However there are fundamental problems with the common view. Proteins, like most biological macromolecular assemblies, are soft materials displaying significant structural flexibility[24]. Despite some uncertainties, the B-factors of the KcsA channel indicate that the RMS fluctuations of the atoms lining the selectivity filter are on the order of 0.75 to 1.0Å, in general agreement with numerous independent MD simulations of KcsA. The magnitude of atomic thermal fluctuations is fundamentally related to the intrinsic flexibility of a protein, i.e., how it responds structurally to external perturbations. These considerations suggest that, at room temperature, the flexible/fluctuating channel should distort easily to cradle Na⁺ with little energetic cost, as is seen in MD simulations with Na⁺ in KcsA. The flexibility of the pore is further highlighted by the experimental observation that K⁺ is needed for the overall stability of the channel structure[25, 26]. Therefore, even ion channel proteins appear to be inherently too flexible to satisfy the requirement of the traditional snug-fit mechanism. Furthermore, structural

flexibility is absolutely essential for ion conduction since in some places the diameter of the pore in the X-ray structure of KcsA is too narrow to allow the passage of a water molecule or a K⁺ ion.

In the electric circuit equivalent the inserted membrane proteins thereby play the role of field-effect transistors, with a voltage imposed across the cell membrane 'gating' the transfer of ion bound charges through the membrane. Two different aspects characterize channel function: ion-selective permeation and 'gating', i.e. control of access of ions to the permeation pathway. We will base the subsequent concept on potassium channels, employing the crystal structure of the KcsA and KvAP channels at a resolution ranging from 1.9x 10⁻¹⁰ m to 3.2 x 10⁻¹⁰ m, as revealed by the work of MacKinnon's group. The channel structure is basically conserved among all potassium channels with some differences relating to gating characteristics rather than ionic selectivity. In the open gate configuration the protein selects the permeation of K⁺ ions against other ions in the selectivity filter'and can still allow ion permeation rates near the diffusion limit. In the view of HH-type models of membrane potentials K⁺ permeation stabilizes the membrane potential, resetting it from firing threshold values to resting conditions. The atomic level reconstruction of parts of the channel and accompanying molecular dynamics simulations (MD) at the 10⁻¹² sec resolution have changed the picture behind ion permeation: the channel protein can transiently stabilize three K⁺ states, two within the permeation pathway and one within the 'water-cavity' located towards the intracellular side of the permeation path. The essential feature behind the channel structure relating to the present work is provided by the closed gate, low-K⁺ state of the permeation pore of the protein, to which the original crystallographic image. Whereas in the open gate state, with the cavity exposed to the high intracellular K⁺ concentration, the interior of the protein represents an almost barrierless pathway for selected ion flow, the closed gate state represents a stable ion-protein conformation.

More recently, the development of computational approaches based on sophisticated all atom molecular dynamics simulations[27] has started to offer a virtual route for testing various ideas about the molecular mechanism of ion selectivity. One of our goals with this work, in addition to review the recent results from modern computations, will be to provide a model based on the relation of Non markovian processes linked with a proposal of different viscosity of water inside & outside the channels to get away with the pore problem.

3 Gating Kinetics & the Role of Diffusion

The equation describing diffusive motion in a 1D potential of mean force (pmf) is given by the Smoluchowski equation as

$$\dot{p}(z, t) = -\frac{\delta}{\delta z} \left[-\frac{V'(z, i)}{R(z)} p - \frac{kT}{R(z)} \frac{\delta}{\delta z} p \right] \quad (1)$$

where p is the probability density of the gating particle, $V(z, i)$ is the random pmf and $R(z)$ is the friction coefficient. The equation mainly states the local probability conservation corresponding to the processes of drift and diffusion. Drift motion occurs in the presence of pmf gradient producing a directed displacement of the probability distribution to a region of local energy minimum. Diffusive motion allows activated transitions over energy barriers to take place. In equilibrium drift and diffusion balance each other through Boltzman distribution. Well defined states happen only for local minima in the energy landscape and to satisfy the Markovian property of exponential dwell times, activation barriers must be sufficiently high. So in accordance to the previous analysis we see that in these cases the role of GLE becomes inevitable and we need to understand the dynamics of ion channels through GLE by extending the process to be an admixture of both Markovian and Non Markovian processes. Experimentally drift phenomena may be measured by large bandwidth recordings of the initial transient current after a rapid voltage jump. Evidence for the existence of an early fast component of gating comes with the recent observation obtained in gating currents of giant patch recordings of K channels. Apart from these there is another slow physiological time scale, which is characterized by the dwell time within the states, and can be measured by the detection of fluctuations in the gating current which has the features of shot noise.

Equation 1 is of the form of a Fokker-Planck(FP) Equation as

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} = Fp \quad (2)$$

where $F = -\frac{\delta}{\delta z}A(z) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta z^2}B(z)$ and A, B are the operators constructed from 1. The energy term $U(z)$ is constructed from the spurious drift term and the pmf as

$$U(z) = V(z) + kT \ln R(z) \quad (3)$$

The basic method to get the probability density and the correlation functions is associated by solving 2. The methodology lies in discretizing the equation as

$$\frac{d}{dt}p(i\Delta z) = a_{i-1}p((i-1)\Delta z) + b_{i+1}p((i+1)\Delta z) - a_i p(i\Delta z) + b_i p(i\Delta z) \quad (4)$$

Monte Carlo simulations [28] may be performed by constructing a single barrier model, by placing two harmonic wells side by side separated by a $6kT$ barrier. The analysis showed that two transition rates can be obtained. With the full range of time scales taken into account the single barrier model combine features from both, harmonic well and discrete two state model.

4 Dynamical Simulations

As we have mentioned in the last section, formulating the structure-function relationships in biological ion channels has gained a new impetus with the determination of the KcsA potassium channel structure. Most of the theoretical efforts in modeling the KcsA channel have so far focused on molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of potassium ions in the channel. These studies provide valuable information on the selectivity mechanism and the energetics of ion permeation in the channel, but do not make predictions about the quantity that can be directly measured by experiment, namely the conductance. In a recent 100-ns MD simulation, [29] calculated the conductance of a simplified channel in somewhat extreme conditions (1 M solution with a 1.1-V applied potential). This gives hope that it may be possible to determine conductance of biological channels from MD studies under physiological conditions in the not too distant future. Currently, however, typical MD simulations of biological channels can be run for 10 ns, which is too short to estimate the channel conductance, or even to explore the dynamics of a single conduction event. Of course, this is not a new problem, and permeation models of lower resolution such as Brownian dynamics (BD) and Poisson-Nernst-Planck equations have long been considered in the literature. The latter approach has recently been shown to be invalid in a narrow pore environment because it neglects the self-energy of ions.

The determination of the structure of the KcsA K⁺ channel represents an extraordinary opportunity for understanding biological ion channels at the atomic level. In principle, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations based on detailed atomic models can complement the experimental data and help to characterize the microscopic factors that ultimately determine the permeation of ions through KcsA. A number of MD studies, [30, 31, 32, 33, 34] broadly aimed at analyzing the dynamical motions of water molecules and ions in the KcsA channel, have now been reported. The potential functions that were used to calculate the microscopic interatomic forces and predict the the dynamical trajectory have been generated. In particular, the atomic partial charges and the Lennard-Jones radii, which are at the heart of the potential function, varied widely. Furthermore, some include all atoms (AMBER and CHARMM PARAM22), whereas others are extended-atom models that treat only the polar hydrogens able to form hydrogen bonds explicitly (CHARMM PARAM19 and GRO-MOS). How these differences affect the results of MD calculations is an important concern of investigation.

For meaningful theoretical studies of permeation, it is necessary to have a potential energy function providing a realistic and accurate representation of the microscopic interactions. In practice, this presents a difficult challenge. The permeation process through KcsA involves the partial dehydration of a K⁺ ion, followed by the translocation through the interior of a narrow pore of 12Å. Along, lined by backbone carbonyl oxygens, which acts as a selectivity

filter[35]. Thus, the conductance and selectivity of the KcsA channel results from a delicate balance of very strong microscopic interactions, the large energetic loss of dehydration being roughly compensated by coordination with main chain carbonyl oxygens. Gas phase experiments on model systems provide the most direct information concerning the individual microscopic interactions [36]. High-level quantum-mechanical ab initio calculations can also be used to supplement the (often scarce) information available from experiments [37]. The interaction of ions with a single water molecule, or with a single isolated N-methylacetamide (NMA) molecule, an excellent model of the backbone carbonyl of proteins, is of particular interest. Despite the considerable uncertainty in the experimental data and the ab initio calculations, both clearly indicate that the interaction of cations with a single NMA is substantially larger than with a single water molecule. The binding enthalpy of K+ with a water molecule is 17.9 kcal/mole, whereas it is roughly 25-30 kcal/mole with NMA. The interactions are even larger in the case of Na+. This general trend is generally reproduced by all the potential functions, with the exception of GROMOS [38]. In this case, the interaction of K+ and Na+ with a single NMA is actually smaller than the interaction with a single water molecule. We have in our analysis have thereby relied on BD simulations with some assumptions overlaid.

BD simulations were first proposed as a way to study ion channels by [39]. The early simulations involved one-dimensional (1D) studies of schematic channels, but the extension to three-dimensions is necessary for realistic modeling, has not been achieved until recently. The difficulty lies in the calculation of the forces on ions at each time step, typically found from the solution of Poisson's equation, which is computationally too expensive if done numerically. Thus, the first 3D BD simulations were performed by [40, 41] using a torus-shaped channel, for which analytical solutions of Poisson's equation are available. For a channel with an arbitrary shape, this problem was finally resolved by storing the potential and electric field values in a set of lookup tables, and interpolating the required values during simulations from the table entries [42]. Recently, questions have arisen about the methods of implementing the boundaries in BD simulations of ion channels. Another problem, distinct from the issue of the boundaries, is that of accurate representation of the forces on ions in the channel. In our simulations, we plan to take into consideration of viscosity as a mean field parameter which will play a crucial role in determining the velocity profiles in the diffusion model with BD simulations giving us distinct time scales of the processes. Also, the calculated conductance values could be very sensitive to errors in electric fields and potentials, e.g., conductance has an exponential dependence on energy barriers in channels. A separate issue is that their treatment of forces on the ions is less sophisticated than in our simulations, in that the self-energy of the ion is ignored.

The above discussion makes it clear that some simplifying assumptions are required. In the approach described in this section, it is assumed that the protein structure is held fixed and the water molecules are replaced by a continuum. With these assumptions, the three-dimensional (3-D) movement of ion i can be described by the following simple equation:

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = m_i f_i v_i + F_R(t) + q_i E_i \quad (5)$$

where m_i , v_i , q_i and f_i are the mass, velocity, charge, and frictional coefficient on the i th ion, respectively. F_R is a random thermal force representing the effects of collisions with the water and channel wall. E_i is the total electrical field on the ion, including the partial charges in the protein, all the other ions in the system, and the induced charges from the variation in the dielectric constant at the boundaries between the protein, water, and lipid. Although one could always add other short-range specific force terms, this would, in effect, be adding an empirical term that did not arise directly from the known protein structure. The solution for this approach proceeds as in the above molecular dynamics method. The channel boundaries are defined, all the ions in the channel and attached bulk reservoirs are positioned, and then, for each ion i , it is integrated in discrete time steps (Brownian dynamics). Because the dynamics of the water and protein are no longer included and relatively long time steps can be taken for the ion motion, this approach is many orders of magnitude faster than the exact molecular dynamics approach (see below for a specific example). The ability to accurately account for the interaction between ions in the channel system is one of the most difficult and critical aspects of modeling ion channels. In the absence of such interactions,

the channel conductance will vary linearly with the ion concentration. A major advantage of this Brownian dynamic approach is that it allows a direct simulation of this ion-ion interaction. At each step in the dynamics, the position of all the ions in the channel system are determined and their interaction energy is calculated for the next time step. One difficulty with this approach is that, because of the induced charges at the membrane and channel water interface, the calculation of the electrostatic energy at each step requires an involved, time-consuming calculation. Our approach as we will outline in subsequent sections is to ultimately play with non equivalent role of viscosity in the outer & inner pore of the channels to modulate the conductance & thereby see how the Poisson- Boltzman equation leads to a me field dynamics with distinct time scales.

The next simplifying approximation is to keep 5, but replace the exact expression for E_i by a mean field approximation E_i that represents a sort of average over all the possible positions of the other ions in the system. This E is calculated using Poisson's equation. This combination of random thermal motion of the ion combined with a Poisson solution for E is referred to as the Poisson-Nernst-Planck solution (PNP). The modified 3-D steady state Nernst-Planck equation is given by:

$$0 = \nabla[\nabla c_i(x) + \beta \nabla V_i(x)c_i(x)] \quad (6)$$

$$V_i(x) = U(x) + z_i e \phi(x) + \alpha \int \eta(x) \omega(i) \quad (7)$$

where $U(x)$ is the potential due to non electrostatic forces, ϕ is the electrostatic potential, z_i is the valence of the i th ion, and e is the electron charge and $\eta(x)$ is the viscosity field with a weight factor $\omega(x)$ which scales along the length of the channel.

5 Variable Viscosity & Non Markovian Processes

We construct our model by taking into account a large barrier height as is obvious from our analysis in the preceding section and taking into account the conclusions made in [43] it is now imperative that memory effects cannot be ignored and we use GLE by taking into account an admixture of Non Markovian and Markovian processes. It is noteworthy to mention here in generalization to the PNP model that the Non Markovian process we include here is characterize by two time scales to take into account the features of the diffusion and drift processes in ion channels. So in this case the generalized Langevin equation for $n = N$ interacting ions is given by

$$\dot{v}_i = - \int_{t_0}^t dt' \Theta(q, t - t') v(t') - V'(q) + \int \omega(i) \eta(q, t - t') + f_i + \lambda dW \quad i = 1 \dots N \quad (8)$$

where f is random and systematic force acting on the ions and $\langle W(t) \rangle = 0$ & $\langle W(t_1)W(t_2) \rangle = \min(t_1, t_2)$. The Wiener process W is the 3-dimensional Gaussian process of which first moment is zero vector and second moment is a diagonal matrix whose element is minimum time between two Wiener processes. The Brownian motion causes Wiener process that distinguishes the SDE from the ODE, so the second term is referred as diffusion term. Since the non-linear Eq 8 cannot be solved analytically, we have to integrate it numerically. The simplest numerical method to integrate Eq 8 is the Euler scheme. The role of r is somewhat special, which is a parameter dependent viscous force, where the parameter is a space dependent implying the role of the anomalous viscous behaviour in turn linked with the kernel as incorporated in the model. In 8 the frictional force depends on the previous velocities through the integral over the kernel $\Theta(q, t - t')$, which is quantified by the fluctuation dissipation theorem as $\langle r_{\eta,i}(t') r_{\eta',j}(t) \rangle = kT \Theta(q, t - t') \delta_{ij}$. So in some sense we are trying to construct a classical description of the invariant measures of 2D Navier Stokes equation including the Stochastic effects. Existence of an invariant measure may be used represent the asymptotic behavior of the system. If this invariant measure is unique, there are chances that the law of the process solution will converge to it. Therefore, when this holds true, this unique invariant measure describes the equilibrium to which the system tends. It is already known that a unique invariant measure exists [44] and the convergence takes place

when the 2D Navier-Stokes equations are perturbed by a time–white noise, not degenerate in space, but with no limitations on the way it affects the modes of the phase space. In general, without constraints on the Reynolds number, the deterministic Navier-Stokes equations have many stationary solutions. No information about the long time behavior is directly related to them. But they can be understood as invariant measures for the Navier-Stokes equations without the noise. Hence, our result means that, when a sufficiently distributed random perturbation is added, just one invariant measure exists. The effect of the noise is to mix up the dynamics of the system, allowing a unique asymptotic behavior. At low flow rate, the diffusion term introduces the fluctuation into the ensemble averaged stress tensor, which appears as unwanted "noise". This noise severely limits our ability to calculate low flow rate viscosity, where the signal to noise ratio becomes very small. This undesirable noise can be reduced by variance reduction method. In simple shear flow, the velocity field is time dependent along with the dependence on shear rate and the fluctuating viscosity. At inception of shear flow, the system is initially at equilibrium and the stress tensor vanishes. For time $t \geq 0$, a constant shear rate is applied and the stresses grow until they reach their steady state values. where the elongation rate is indeed time dependent.

It should also be mentioned here that we make no approximations here as regards to the relative strength of the solvent molecules in comparison to the ions, and consequently simplification cannot be made. The crux of the story is however is that we assume that the memory kernel $\Theta(q, t - t')$ can be written as

$$\Theta(q, t - t') = a_0 \delta(t - t') + \frac{a_1}{\tau_1} e^{-\frac{|t-t'|}{\tau_1}} - \frac{a_2}{\tau_2} e^{-\frac{|t-t'|}{\tau_2}} \quad (9)$$

which contains both Markovian & Non Markovian contributions which allows a continuous change from Markovian to Non markovian dynamics and enables identification of terms of both the origin. The non Markovian process has two time scales whose contributions are dominated by the parameters a_1, a_2 respectively. It is also clear from the form of kernel that in the limit of weak non Markovian process ($a_{1,2} \ll a_0$)

$$\langle v_i(t_0)v_j(t) \rangle = kT e^{-a_0 t} \quad (10)$$

with a relaxation time constant a_0^{-1} which can be determined from experimental diffusion coefficients using the Einstein relation. Similarly in the limit of weak Markovian noise the spectral density can be evaluated as

$$S(\omega) = \frac{2kT\omega^2}{(1 + \tau_1^2\omega^2)(1 + \tau_2^2\omega^2)} \quad (11)$$

We get the spectrum limits for $\tau_{1,2} \rightarrow 0$ which give us combination of the color noise. In a similar tone provided we fix the kernel by taking into consideration the velocity profile we will be left with a viscosity term modulated in two time scales,

$$\eta((q), t - t') = \alpha_1(\tau_1') e^{-\frac{|t-t'|}{\tau_1'}} - \alpha_2(\tau_2') e^{-\frac{|t-t'|}{\tau_2'}}$$

So physically the inputs for these simulations has been to assume that water inside the pore is different from that at the mouth. So inside the channel the glue-like properties of water with a shear viscosity has the capabilities to give rise to Nonmarkovian processes with memory and the thereby the whole gating processes need to be investigated.

The most challenging aspect of simulation of ion channels has been the implementation of particle coupling and boundary conditions. In BD simulations it is particularly difficult to maintain the correct particle concentrations and behaviour that occur under extreme conditions that occur in channels simulations. Here we use a simple algorithm in order to solve, the GLE can be written as a set of 3 LE's

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{z} &= -a_0 V'(z) + \beta_1 + \beta_2 \\ \dot{\beta}_{1,2} &= -\frac{\beta_{1,2}}{\tau_{1,2}} - \frac{a_{1,2}}{\tau_{1,2}} \dot{z} + r_i + f_i \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The distribution functions from our simulations are shown below which show a specific correlation.

We can use the second order Runge-Kutta method by discretizing the equation 12 and approximating the potential as $\int_t^{t+\Delta t} V(x(s))ds = V(x(t))\Delta t$. We need n Gaussian random numbers to be picked at each step for the algorithm. Integrations of noise can be simulated by linear combinations of 3 normal Gaussian random numbers and the matrix elements of the coefficients are evaluated by the self and cross correlations of the integrations of noise. It should be mentioned here that some interesting results have been obtained by considering a Gillespie Algorithm in the context of BD simulation, which has been not considered here.

6 Results

As discussed in the previous section we apply the algorithm and get a comparison between the time scales of diffusion and drift performed in C++. As we have shown previously the model has the ability to describe two time scales behaviour along with oscillatory behaviour where the velocity autocorrelations exhibit an exponential decay. The Brownian simulation for GLE has been performed by subdividing the charge of the particle and increasing the number of particles by the same factor. We have obtained the velocity distributions corresponding to different limits of the processes and is explicit that oscillations dominate at the limit of weak Non Markovian process. We have shown here the figures of a Non Markovian process that gives rise to a memory function along with the two time scales in the BD behaviour and also we find out the standard deviations of the results.

The results of the simulations clearly show that BD approach can be used to describe Coulomb interaction and different time scale phenomena. It is also clear that single barrier model with a threshold for the barrier plays an important role for charge transport through ion channels and advocates use of particle based simulation models. But the most striking feature of the model is that we may be able to model both the memory effects of gating and the oscillatory behaviour by a GLE which contains an admixture of Markovian & Non Markovian processes.

The admixture of the time evolution kernel & the viscosity terms makes it clear and the correlation function makes it clear a phase transition of the shear viscosity within the channels may give an important clue as regards the rise of memory effects and thereby the evolution of flip flop motion within Potassium Channels. The exact Stochastic Simulation using Non Linear 3D Navier needs to be shown.

References

- [1] Tanaka M, Takayama A, Ito E, Sunami H, Yamamoto S, Shimomura M. *J Nanosci Nanotechnol.* 2007 Mar;7(3):763
- [2] Masuda A, Ushida K, Koshino H, Yamashita K, Kluge T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001 Nov 21;123(46):11468
- [3] Hamelberg D, Shen T, Andrew McCammon J. *J Chem Phys.* 2005 Jun 22;122(24):241103
- [4] Hwang H, Schatz GC, Ratner MA. *J Phys Chem B.* 2006 Apr 6;110(13):6999
- [5] Noskov SY, Im W, Roux B. *Biophys J.* 2004 Oct;87(4):2299
- [6] Mak CH. *J Chem Phys.* 2005 Jun 1;122(21):214110
- [7] Chung JM, Lee KH, Kim J, Coggeshall RE. *J Neurophysiol.* 1985 Aug;54(2):261
- [8] Kurnikova MG, Coalson RD, Graf P, Nitzan A. *Biophys J.* 1999 Feb;76(2):642-56.
- [9] Chen D, Eisenberg R. *Biophys J.* 1993 May;64(5):1405
- [10] Nonner W, Eisenberg B. *Biophys J.* 1998 Sep;75(3):1287
- [11] Szymczak P, Cieplak M. *J Chem Phys.* 2007 Oct 21;127(15):155106

- [12] Schirmer T, Phale PS. *J Mol Biol.* 1999 Dec 17;294(5):1159-67
- [13] Sitnitsky AE. *J Biomol Struct Dyn.* 2000 Feb;17(4):735
- [14] Prabhu NV, Perkyns JS, Pettitt BM, Hruby VJ. *Biopolymers.* 1999 Sep;50(3):255
- [15] Horing NJ, Tso HC, Lei XL. *Phys Rev B Condens Matter.* 1987 Jan 15;35(2):851
- [16] Taly JF, Marin A, Gibrat JF. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2008 Jan 7;9(1):6
- [17] Clapham DE. *Cell.* 1999 May 28;97(5):547
- [18] Adson A, Raub TJ, Burton PS, Barsuhn CL, Hilgers AR, Audus KL, Ho NF. *J Pharm Sci.* 1994 Nov;83(11):1529
- [19] Uhde I, Toman A, Gross I, Schwanstecher C, Schwanstecher M. *J Biol Chem.* 1999 Oct 1;274(40):28079
- [20] Kubo Y, Yoshimichi M, Heinemann SH. *FEBS Lett.* 1998 Sep 11;435(1):69
- [21] Redelberger T, Klinger HG, Wiedemann W. *Caries Res.* 1991;25(2):88-95
- [22] Bezanilla F, Armstrong CM. *J Gen Physiol.* 1977 Nov;70(5):549
- [23] Armstrong CM, Bezanilla FM, Horowicz P. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1972 Jun 23;267(3):605
- [24] Jain MK, Berg RA, Tandon GP. *Biomaterials.* 1990 Sep;11(7):465
- [25] Haider S, Khalid S, Tucker SJ, Ashcroft FM, Sansom MS. *Biochemistry.* 2007 Mar 27;46(12):3643-52. Epub 2007 Feb 28.
- [26] Winslow RL. *J Neurophysiol.* 1989 Sep;62(3):738
- [27] Fischer W, Brickmann J. *Biophys Chem.* 1983 Nov;18(4):323
- [28] Orlandi S, Berardi R, Steltzer J, Zannoni C. *J Chem Phys.* 2006 Mar 28;124(12):124907
- [29] Bond PJ, Holyoake J, Ivetac A, Khalid S, Sansom MS. *J Struct Biol.* 2007 Mar;157(3):593
- [30] Bucher D, Guidoni L, Rothlisberger U. *Biophys J.* 2007 Oct 1;93(7):2315
- [31] Roux B, Allen T, Bernche S, Im W, Q. *Rev Biophys.* 2004 Feb;37(1):15-103.
- [32] Sansom MS, Shrivastava IH, Bright JN, Tate J, Capener CE, Biggin PC. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2002 Oct 11;1565(2):294
- [33] Luzhkov VB, Nilsson J, Arhem P, Aqvist J. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2003 Nov 3;1652(1):35
- [34] Crouzy S, Bernche S, Roux B. *J Gen Physiol.* 2001 Aug;118(2):207
- [35] Alonso C, Zasadzinski JA. *J Phys Chem B.* 2006 Nov 9;110(44):22185
- [36] Loveday SM, Creamer LK, Singh H, Rao MA. *J Food Sci.* 2007 Sep;72(7):R101
- [37] Hansen JS, Daivis PJ, Travis KP, Todd BD. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys.* 2007 Oct;76:041121
- [38] Baysal C, Meirovitch H. *Biopolymers.* 2000 Apr 15;53(5):423

- [39] Cooper EC, Jan LY. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999 Apr 27;96(9):4759
- [40] Burykin A, Schutz CN, Vill J, Warshel A. *Proteins*. 2002 May 15;47(3):265
- [41] Cui M, Shen J, Briggs JM, Fu W, Wu J, Zhang Y, Luo X, Chi Z, Ji R, Jiang H, Chen K. *J Mol Biol*. 2002 Apr 26;318(2):417
- [42] Hoyles M, Krishnamurthy V, Siksik M, Chung SH. *Biophys J*. 2008 Jan 15;94(2):366-78.
- [43] Kukita F. *J Physiol*. 2000 Feb 1;522 Pt 3:357
- [44] Li Q, Wagner AJ. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys*. 2007 Sep;76(3 Pt 2):036701.




Figure 1: Velocity Plots with no correlation




Figure 2: Velocity Plots at length scales where viscosity is weak




Figure 3: Velocity Plots at length scales where viscosity is dominant




Figure 4: Plots of Velocity profiles from Simulations which indicate the role of Viscosity at different Time Scales




Figure 5: Velocity plots with no correlation upto 10000 iterations




Figure 6: Velocity plots in weak non-markovian limit