

All-optical switch with two periodically curved nonlinear waveguides

Qiongtao Xie, Xiaobing Luo, and Biao Wu

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

We propose a type of all-optical switch which consists of two periodically curved nonlinear optical waveguides placed in parallel. Compared to the all-optical switch based on the traditional nonlinear directional coupler with straight waveguides, this all-optical switch has much lower switching threshold power and sharper switching width.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear directional coupler (NLDC), a device consisting of two parallel straight nonlinear waveguides, has received much attention for its potential applications as a type of all-optical switching device^{1,2,3}. Its switching operation is based on the intensity-dependent power transfer between its two coupled waveguides⁴. For a continuous-wave (CW) laser beam, the beam can be directed towards different output ports of the NLDC depending on whether the input power exceeds a threshold (or critical) power P_c . At low input power, most of the light emerges from the neighboring waveguide; at high power above P_c , most of the light remains in the launching waveguide. Therefore, the change in the input power can cause light to be switched from one waveguide to the other.

However, the application of the NLDC as an all-optical switch is limited by its high threshold on switching power. There were two approaches to improve its performance or to make it applicable. One approach is to use a pulsed beam to lower the overall demand on the laser power as a CW beam would. Both picosecond and femtosecond lasers have been used to successfully demonstrate the all-optical switching in a NLDC^{5,6,7}. But experimental results also showed some drawbacks. For example, an optical pulse usually breaks up at the output ports and the switching is not as sharp as the case of CW beams. The other is to use materials with relatively larger Kerr nonlinearity but this usually leads to a slower response time^{8,9}.

In this paper, we propose a different type of all-optical switch with much lower threshold on switching power. As illustrated in Fig.1, this device is made of two periodically curved nonlinear waveguides placed in parallel. It can be regarded as a modification of the traditional NLDC which consists of two straight waveguides. Due to the effect of nonlinear coherent destruction of tunneling (NCDT)¹⁰, this modified NLDC can function as an all-optical switch at an appropriate length. This all-optical switch has lower critical power since the periodic bending of the nonlinear waveguides can effectively increase their nonlinearity. In addition, this device has sharper switching width. Such a curved switch permits in principle arbitrarily low switching threshold power; its only foreseeable drawback is longer coupling length. This



FIG. 1: Schematic drawing of a periodically curved all-optical switch. The two curves represent two waveguides. The laser light switches its output waveguide when the laser power is changed from above to below a threshold value.

work is motivated by a recent experiment with two coupled periodically curved linear waveguides¹¹ and the theoretical extension to the nonlinear case¹⁰.

II. STRAIGHT ALL-OPTICAL SWITCH

Before we fully discuss our proposed switch, we give a brief introduction to the traditional NLDC. This device is made of two straight nonlinear waveguides which are placed in parallel adjacent to each other so that they are coupled optically. The operation of the NLDC as an all-optical switching was studied in detail by Jensen¹. He showed that if all the light is initially shined into one waveguide with the input power P_0 , then the amount of the light remaining in the launching waveguide is given by^{1,2}

$$P_1(L) = \frac{P_0}{2} [1 + \text{cn}(\pi L/L_c|m)], \quad (1)$$

where L is the length of the coupler and $\text{cn}(\pi L/L_c|m)$ is the Jacobi elliptic function¹². The parameter L_c is called the coupling length representing the shortest length for the light switching from one waveguide to the other without nonlinearity. The other parameter m is defined as $m = (P_0/P_c)^2$ with P_c being the threshold (or critical) power and given by^{5,6,7}

$$P_c = \lambda \sigma_{\text{eff}} / L_c n_2, \quad (2)$$

Here λ is the free space wavelength of the light, σ_{eff} is the effective cross-section of the waveguide, and n_2 is the

Kerr nonlinear coefficient (or nonlinear refractive index). The analysis of the elliptic function cn shows that the NLDC can function as a switch: most of light stays in the launching waveguide if the input power P_0 is above the critical power P_c and switch to the neighboring waveguide if $P_0 < P_c$.

III. PERIODICALLY CURVED ALL-OPTICAL SWITCH

Our proposed all-optical switch is a modification of the NLDC by replacing the two straight waveguides with two periodically curved nonlinear waveguides as shown in Fig. 1. In the following discussion, without loss of generality we focus on the case where the two waveguides are bent sinusoidally along the propagation direction z . Specifically, the profile of the waveguide is given by $x_0(z) = A \cos(2\pi z/\Lambda)$ with an amplitude A and a period Λ .

Since the light is strongly localized in the y direction¹¹. In this case, the light propagation in this nonlinear directional waveguides is described by an effective two-dimensional wave equation^{10,11,13}

$$i \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = -\frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^2 n_s} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + V[x - x_0(z)]\psi - n_2 |\psi|^2 \psi, \quad (3)$$

where $V(x) \equiv [n_s^2 - n^2(x)]/(2n_s) \simeq n_s - n(x)$, where $n(x)$ and n_s are, respectively, the effective refractive index profile of the waveguides and the substrate refractive index. For the coupled waveguides, $n(x)$ and thus $V(x)$ have a double-well structure. The scalar electric field is related to ψ through $E(x, z, t) = (1/2)(n_s \epsilon_0 c_0/2)^{-1/2} [\psi(x, z) \exp(-ikc_0 t + ikn_s z) + c.c.]$, where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$, c_0 is the speed of light, and ϵ_0 is the dielectric constant in vacuum. The light intensity I (in W/m^2) is given by $I = |\psi|^2 = (n_s \epsilon_0 c_0/2) |E|^2$. With the Kramers-Henneberger transformation¹⁴, $x' = x - x_0(z)$, $z' = z$, and $\phi(x', z') = \psi(x, z) \exp[-i(2n_s \pi/\lambda) x_0(z) x' - i(n_s \pi/\lambda) \int_0^{z'} d\xi x_0^2(\xi)]$ (the dot indicates the derivative with respect to z'), we have

$$i \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z'} = H_0 \phi - n_2 |\phi|^2 \phi + x' F(z') \phi, \quad (4)$$

where $H_0 = -\frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi n_s} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} + V(x')$ and $F(z') = n_s \ddot{x}_0(z') = (4\pi^2 A n_s / \Lambda^2) \cos(2\pi z' / \Lambda)$ can be regarded as a “force” induced by the bending waveguide.

Due to the double-well structure of $V(z')$, we apply the two-mode approximation^{10,11} and write $\phi(x', z') = e^{-\frac{2i\pi}{\lambda} E_0 z'} [c_1(z') u_1(x') + c_2(z') u_2(x')]$, where u_1 and u_2 are localized waves in the two waveguides and the two coefficients are normalized to one, $|c_1|^2 + |c_2|^2 = 1$. E_0 is defined as $E_0 = \int u_{1,2}^* H_0 u_{1,2} dx'$. It is reasonable to assume that the localized wave is a Gaussian, $u_{1,2}(x') = \sqrt{D} \exp[-(x' \pm a/2)^2 / 2b^2]$, where a is the distance between the two waveguides, b is the half-width

of each waveguide, and D is related to the input power of the system P_0 as $D = P_0 / (\sqrt{\pi} b)$. P_0 has the unit of W/m . This two-mode approximation eventually simplifies Eq.(4) to

$$i \dot{c}_1 = \frac{v}{2} c_2 - \frac{S}{2} \cos(\omega z') c_1 - \chi |c_1|^2 c_1, \quad (5)$$

$$i \dot{c}_2 = \frac{v}{2} c_1 + \frac{S}{2} \cos(\omega z') c_2 - \chi |c_2|^2 c_2, \quad (6)$$

where $S = 8\pi^3 a A n_s / \Lambda^2 \lambda$, $v = 4\pi (\int u_1^* H_0 u_2 dx) / \lambda$, $\omega = 2\pi / \Lambda$, and $\chi = \sqrt{2\pi} n_2 P_0 / (\lambda b)$. Since the real waveguide is 3D, we replace b in χ with σ_{eff} to relate our nonlinear parameter χ to real experimental parameters and write $\chi = 2\pi n_2 P_0 / \lambda \sigma_{\text{eff}}^{1,2}$, where P_0 has the unit of W . When $S = 0$, Eqs. (5&6) are reduced to the well-known Jensen equation, where the critical power is defined as $P_c = 2v P_0 / \chi$ and the coupling length L_c is related to v through $L_c = \pi / v^1$. Combination of these relations leads to the critical power P_c in Eq.(2).




FIG. 2: Relative output powers as functions of the input power for three different values of S/ω at $\omega/v = 10$. The solid lines are for the launching waveguide while the dashed lines are for the neighboring waveguide. The input power P_0 is scaled by the threshold switching power P_c .

The presence of the periodical curvature in the two coupled waveguides strongly affect the behavior of the all-optical switch. To investigate this effect, we solve Eqs. (5) and (6) numerically. Figure 2 shows the relative output power (to the total power) as a function of the input power for three different values of the ratio S/ω with $\omega/v = 10$. We observe two trends as the ratio S/ω is increased. First, the threshold switching power P_c' decreases. Second, the width of the switching step becomes smaller. This demonstrates that by increasing the amplitude of the periodic curvature one can improve the performance of the all-optical switch in two aspects: lowering the threshold switching power and sharpening the switching. We have computed numerically how the critical switching power changes with S/ω

for two different values of ratio ω/v . The results are plotted in Fig.3(a), showing a significant lowering of threshold switching power as S/ω increases. To measure the width of the switching steps in Fig.2, we introduce a new quantity ΔP , which is the distance between the two positions of the input power where the relative output powers are 25% and 75%, respectively. Figure 3(b) shows that the switching width ΔP becomes smaller with increasing S/ω , i.e., the switching becomes sharper and sharper as S/ω increases.

To better understand the above results, we consider the high frequency limit, $\omega \gg \max\{v, \chi\}$, which is usually the case for current experiments with optical waveguides. We take advantage of the transformation $c_{1,2} = c'_{1,2} \exp[\pm iS \sin(\omega z')/2\omega]$. After averaging out the high frequency terms, we arrive at a non-driving nonlinear model^{10,15},

$$i c'_1 \dot{c}'_1 = \frac{v}{2} J_0(S/\omega) c'_2 - \chi |c'_1|^2 c'_1, \quad (7)$$

$$i c'_2 \dot{c}'_2 = \frac{v}{2} J_0(S/\omega) c'_1 - \chi |c'_2|^2 c'_2, \quad (8)$$

where $J_0(x)$ is the zeroth-order Bessel function¹². These two equations are exactly the Jensen equations¹ except that the coupling constant v is renormalized by a factor of $J_0(S/\omega)$. This shows that all the effect of the periodic bending is manifested in the renormalized factor $J_0(S/\omega)$. Consequently, the nonlinear parameter χ is effectively increased by a factor of $1/J_0(S/\omega)$ and the threshold power P'_c is lowered by a factor of $J_0(S/\omega)$

$$P'_c = \lambda \sigma_{\text{eff}} J_0(S/\omega) / L_c n_2 = P_c J_0(S/\omega). \quad (9)$$

This implies that arbitrarily low switching powers may be obtained when the ratio S/ω is chosen to be close to the first zero of the Bessel function $J_0(S/\omega)$. This analytical result is compared to our previous numerical results in Figure 3(a) and they match very well. The switching width can also be computed by combining Eq. (9) and Eq.(2). The results agree very well with our previous numerical results as seen in Fig.3(b).

As in the traditional NLDC, an appropriate length has to be chosen for our device to function as an all-optical device. For the traditional NLDC, the length is the coupling length L_c . For our device, the appropriate length, which may be called switch length, is given by

$$L'_c = L_c / J_0(S/\omega). \quad (10)$$

Together with Eq. (9), this indicates that to lower the threshold switching power we have to make a sacrifice by using longer waveguides. In fact, if one wants to lower the critical switching power by a factor, then one has to use waveguides which is longer by the same factor.

As an example, we take the experimental parameters in Ref.⁶ to estimate our theoretical values in Eqs. (9) and (10) for a given ratio of S/ω . In this experiment, the wavelength of the laser light is $\lambda = 0.62\mu\text{m}$, the effective cross-section area of the waveguide is $\sigma_{\text{eff}} = 15\mu\text{m}^2$, the




FIG. 3: (a) Threshold switching power as a function of the ratio S/ω . (b) Switching width as a function of the ratio S/ω . The open circles are for $\omega/v = 10$, the squares are for $\omega/v = 20$, and the solid lines represent the theoretical results at the high frequency limit.

nonlinear index $n_2 = 3.2 \times 10^{-16} \text{cm}^2/\text{W}$, and the coupling length $L = L_c = 5\text{mm}$. The critical power is thus $P_c = 60 \text{kW}$. For the periodically bent coupler, we choose $S/\omega \approx 2.38$, which means $J_0(2.38) \approx 0.01$. As a result, the threshold switching power is lower by a factor of 100 and becomes $P'_c = 600\text{W}$ while the switch length of the coupler is $L' = 0.5\text{m}$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have proposed a modification to the traditional NLDC by bending the nonlinear waveguides periodically. When this device functions as an all-optical switch, it has much lower threshold switching power and sharpening switching.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the ‘‘BaiRen’’ program of Chinese Academy of Sciences, the NSF of China (10504040), and the 973 project of China(2005CB724500,2006CB921400).

¹ S. M. Jensen, IEEE J. Quantum Electron. **QE-18**, 1580 (1982).

² A. A. Maier, Sov. J. Quantum Electron. **12**, 1490 (1982).

- ³ G. P. Agrawal, *Applications of Nonlinear Fiber Optics*, (San Diego, Academic, 2001).
- ⁴ D. D. Gusevskii, E. M. Dianov, A. A. Maier, V. B. Neustruev, E. I. Shklovskii, and I. A. Shcherbakov, *Sov. J. Quantum Electron.* **15**, 1523 (1985).
- ⁵ S. R. Friberg, Y. Silberberg, M. K. Oliver, M. J. Andrejco, M. A. Saifi, and P. W. Smith, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **51**, 1135 (1987).
- ⁶ S. R. Friberg, A. M. Weiner, Y. Silberberg, B. G. Sfez, and P. W. Smith, *Opt. Lett.* **13**, 904 (1988)
- ⁷ A. M. Weiner, Y. Silberberg, H. Fouckhardt, D. E. Leaird, M. A. Saifi, M. J. Andrejco, and P. W. Smith, *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **25**, 2648 (1989).
- ⁸ S. R. Vigil, Z. Zhou, B. K. Canfield, J. Tostenrude, and M. G. Kuzyk, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **15**, 895 (1998).
- ⁹ D. Marchese, M. De Sairo, A. Jha, A. K. Kar, and E. C. Smith, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **15**, 2361 (1998).
- ¹⁰ Xiaobing Luo, Qiongtao Xie, and Biao Wu, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 051802(R)(2007).
- ¹¹ G. Della Valle, M. Ornigotti, E. Cianci, V. Foglietti, P. Laporta, and S. Longhi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 263601 (2007).
- ¹² M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Eds.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, (Dover, New York, 1972).
- ¹³ R. W. Micallef, Y. S. Kivshar, J. D. Love, D. Burak, and R. Binder, *Opt. Quantum Electron.* **30**, 751 (1998).
- ¹⁴ W. C. Henneberger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **21**, 838 (1968).
- ¹⁵ S. Longhi, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 065801 (2005).