

## Variational Functionals for Excited States

Naoum C. Bacalis

Theoretical and Physical Chemistry Institute, National Hellenic Research Foundation,  
Vasileos Constantinou 48, GR-11635 Athens, Greece

Functionals  $\Omega_n$  that have local minima at the excited states of a non degenerate Hamiltonian are presented. Then, improved mutually orthogonal approximants of the ground and the first excited state are reported.

PACS: 31.15.xt, 31.15.-p, 31.10.+z, 02.70.?c

In the following the Hamiltonian expectation value of a trial wave function,  $\phi$ , is denoted by  $E\phi$  and is called energy of  $\phi$ . The Hamiltonian eigenfunctions (assumed non-degenerate) are denoted by using the symbol  $\psi$ . All functions are assumed real and normalized.

According to the Hylleraas, Undheim, and McDonald [HUM] theorem<sup>1</sup> the higher roots of the secular equation tend to the excited state energies from *above*. But it should be observed that among all functions  $\phi_1$ , which are orthogonal to an available ground state approximant  $\phi_0$ , the Gram – Schmidt orthonormal to  $\phi_0$

$$\phi_1^+ \equiv \frac{\psi_1 - \phi_0 \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle}{\sqrt{1 - \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2}}$$

which is the *closest*<sup>2</sup> to the exact  $\psi_1$  (i.e. with the largest projection  $\langle \psi_1 | \phi_1^+ \rangle^2$  - not decreased by the presence of any other components) lies energetically *below the exact*  $E\psi_1$ , only if  $E\phi_0 < E\psi_1$ :

$$E\phi_1^+ = E\psi_1 - \frac{(E\psi_1 - E\phi_0) \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2}{1 - \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2} < E\psi_1,$$

Therefore, the 2<sup>nd</sup> HUM root,  $\phi_1^{HUM}$ , lying higher than  $\psi_1$ ,  $E\phi_1^{HUM} > E\psi_1$ , is necessarily *not* the closest to  $\psi_1$  (while orthogonal to  $\phi_0$ ).

On the other hand, minimizing the energy orthogonally to the available  $\phi_0$ , does not lead to the *closest* either: Passing through  $E\phi_1^+$ , it leads to an *even lower* energy: Because for any  $\phi_1^{++}$ , chosen simultaneously orthogonal to both  $\phi_0$  and  $\phi_1^+$ , the Hamiltonian opens the energy gap between  $E\phi_1^{++}$  and  $E\phi_1^+$ , so that, the lowest of the Hamiltonian eigenfunctions  $\Psi^-, \Psi^+$ , (both orthogonal to  $\phi_0$ ) on the subspace of  $\{\phi_1^{++}, \phi_1^+\}$ , lies lower than  $E\phi_1^+$ , i.e.  $E\Psi^- < E\phi_1^+ < E\psi_1$ , so that the lowest,  $\phi_1^{MIN}$ , of all such  $\Psi^-$ s, obtained by minimizing the energy orthogonally to  $\phi_0$ , lies even lower than  $E\phi_1^+$ . Therefore,  $\phi_1^{MIN}$  is *not* the closest to  $\psi_1$  either (while orthogonal to  $\phi_0$ ). (In fact, an appropriate sum  $\Psi = \Psi^- \sqrt{\frac{E\Psi^+ - E\psi_1}{E\Psi^+ - E\Psi^-}} \pm \Psi^+ \sqrt{\frac{E\psi_1 - E\Psi^-}{E\Psi^+ - E\Psi^-}}$ , orthogonal to  $\phi_0$ , has energy  $E\Psi = E\psi_1$ , with  $\langle \psi_1 | \Psi \rangle^2$  not necessarily large.)

Thus, seeking  $\phi_1$ , approximant to  $\psi_1$ , orthogonal to an approximant  $\phi_0$ , either by the HUM theorem or by orthogonal optimization, does neither lead to  $\phi_1^+$ , the *closest* to  $\psi_1$ , nor does it raise the energy going from  $\phi_1^+$  to  $\psi_1$  (which is orthogonal to  $\psi_0$ , not to  $\phi_0$ ). As Shull and Löwdin<sup>3</sup> have shown, the excited states can be calculated without knowledge of  $\psi_0$ . Therefore, a variational functional for  $\phi_1$  would be desirable, that leads to  $\psi_1$  not necessarily orthogonally to the available  $\phi_0$ , allowing subsequent improvement of  $\phi_0$  orthogonally to  $\phi_1$ :

*Construction:* For a non-degenerate Hamiltonian of (unknown) bound eigenstates of a specific type of symmetry,  $\psi_0, \psi_1$ , and eigenenergies  $E\psi_0 < E\psi_1 < \dots$ , a normalized approximant of  $\psi_n$  can be expanded as

$$\phi_n = \sum_{i < n} \psi_i \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle + \psi_n \sqrt{1 - \sum_{i < n} \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle^2 - \sum_{i > n} \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle^2} + \sum_{i > n} \psi_i \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle \quad (1.1)$$

where the overlap coefficients are small. The energy is

$$E\phi_n = E\psi_n - \sum_{i < n} (E\psi_n - E\psi_i) \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle^2 + \sum_{i > n} (E\psi_i - E\psi_n) \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle^2 \equiv E\psi_n - P_L + P_H, \quad (1.2)$$

an  $n$ -order saddle point, where the lower and higher than- $n$  parts,  $P_L$  and  $P_H$ , are positive (so that  $E\psi_n - P_L \leq E\phi_n \leq E\psi_n + P_H$ ).

The minimum of the following paraboloid, defined by

$$E\psi_n + P_L + P_H = E\phi_n + 2P_L \quad (1.3)$$

determines  $\phi_n \rightarrow \psi_n$ , in terms of the lower than- $n$  information ( $P_L$ ). An expression for the behaviour of  $P_L$  can be found by first considering, to leading order in coefficients, the overlap and the Hamiltonian matrix elements in terms of the (similarly predetermined as described here) approximants  $\phi_i, i < n$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_i | \phi_n \rangle &= \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle + \langle \psi_n | \phi_i \rangle + \dots \\ \langle \phi_i | H | \phi_n \rangle &= E\psi_i \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle + E\psi_n \langle \psi_n | \phi_i \rangle + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

Substituting  $\langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle$  from Eqs. (1.4) to each term of  $P_L$  in Eq. (1.2) gives, to leading order,

$$(E\psi_n \langle \phi_i | \phi_n \rangle - \langle \phi_i | H | \phi_n \rangle)^2 / (E\psi_n - E\psi_i), \text{ which suggests an examination, in terms of known quantities, of the expression } \sum_{i < n} [(E\phi_n \langle \phi_i | \phi_n \rangle - \langle \phi_i | H | \phi_n \rangle)^2 / (E\phi_n - E\phi_i)] .$$

This, as directly verified, when both  $\phi_i = \psi_i$  and [in Eq.(1.2)]  $P_H \rightarrow 0$ , reduces to  $P_L \left(1 - \sum_{i < n} \langle \phi_i | \phi_n \rangle^2\right)$ . Therefore, for  $P_H \neq 0$  the behaviour of the paraboloid of Eq. (1.3) close to  $\psi_n$  is reasonably

described by the functional  $\Omega_n$  :

$$E\psi_n + P_L + P_H = E\phi_n + 2P_L \rightarrow \Omega_n \equiv E\phi_n + 2 \frac{\sum_{i < n} (E\phi_n \langle \phi_i | \phi_n \rangle - \langle \phi_i | H | \phi_n \rangle)^2}{E\phi_n - E\phi_i} \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i < n} \langle \phi_i | \phi_n \rangle^2} \quad (1.5)$$

with a local minimum at  $\phi_n = \psi_n$ , which is paraboloidal, by construction, when  $\phi_i = \psi_i$ .

*Proof:*  $\Omega_n$  has a true local minimum at  $\phi_n = \psi_n$  when  $\phi_i$  are *approximants* of  $\psi_i$  ( $\phi_i \approx \psi_i$ ), while  $E\phi_n$  has a saddle point there: By collecting the contribution of the higher than- $n$  subspace for each  $\phi_i$  wave function,  $i \leq n$ , to the contribution of a normalized function  $\phi_i^{\perp\{n\}}$ ,  $i \leq n$ , orthogonal to all lower than- $n$   $\psi_i$  eigenfunctions, i.e.

$$\phi_i^{\perp\{n\}} = \sum_{j > n} \psi_j \langle \psi_j | \phi_i \rangle / \sqrt{\sum_{j > n} \langle \psi_j | \phi_i \rangle^2}, \quad i \leq n, \quad (1.6)$$

where the overlap and Hamiltonian matrix elements are generally non-zero,  $\langle \phi_i^{\perp\{n\}} | \phi_j^{\perp\{n\}} \rangle \neq 0$ ,  $\langle \phi_i^{\perp\{n\}} | H | \phi_j^{\perp\{n\}} \rangle \neq 0$ ,  $i, j \leq n$ , and

whose energies, obviously, are  $E\phi_i^{\perp\{n\}} > E\psi_n$ ,  $i \leq n$ , it is directly verified that all the principal minors  $A_n^i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , of the Hessian determinant  $A_n^n$  of  $\Omega_n$ , along the main diagonal, i.e. those which are required by the second derivatives theorems of calculus (Sylvester's theorem), are, at the desired place  $\phi_n = \psi_n$ ,  $\phi_i \neq \psi_i$ ,  $i < n$ , positive, if  $\phi_i$  are close to  $\psi_i$ : Each principal minor determinant (denoted by the main diagonal)

$$A_n^{k < n} \equiv \text{Det} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_n}{\partial \langle \psi_0 | \phi_n \rangle \partial \langle \psi_0 | \phi_n \rangle} \dots \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_n}{\partial \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle \partial \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle} \dots \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_n}{\partial \langle \psi_k | \phi_n \rangle \partial \langle \psi_k | \phi_n \rangle} \right]_{\phi_n = \psi_n, \phi_i \neq \psi_i, i < k}$$

equals

$$A_n^{k < n} = 2^k \prod_{i=0}^k (E\psi_n - E\psi_i) > 0 (+O[\langle \psi_q | \phi_r \rangle \langle \psi_s | \phi_t \rangle]) \quad (1.7)$$

where there are no coefficients (which depend on the quality of  $\phi_i$ ) of 1<sup>st</sup> power, while the Hessian itself

$$A_n^n \equiv \text{Det} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_n}{\partial \langle \psi_0 | \phi_n \rangle \partial \langle \psi_0 | \phi_n \rangle} \dots \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_n}{\partial \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle \partial \langle \psi_i | \phi_n \rangle} \dots \frac{\partial^2 \Omega_n}{\partial \langle \phi_n^{\perp(n)} | \phi_n \rangle \partial \langle \phi_n^{\perp(n)} | \phi_n \rangle} \right]_{\phi_n = \psi_n, \phi_i \neq \psi_i, i < n}$$

equals

$$A_n^n = 2^n \left( E\phi_n^{\perp(n)} - E\psi_n \right) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( E\psi_n - E\psi_i \right) > 0 \left( +O \left[ \langle \psi_q | \phi_r \rangle \langle \psi_s | \phi_t \rangle \right] \right). \quad (1.8)$$

If  $\phi_i$  are close to  $\psi_i$ , all these determinants of Eqs. (1.7 - 1.8) are positive, hence the Hessian matrix is positive definite, therefore, the functional  $\Omega_n$  has a local minimum at  $\phi_n = \psi_n$ , which determines  $\psi_n$  if all  $\phi_i$  approximants of  $\psi_i$ ,  $i \leq n$ , are known.

Obviously,  $\Omega_0$  reduces to the Eckart<sup>4</sup> theorem for  $\psi_0$ .

The functional  $\Omega_n$  passes from all  $\psi_i$ . A way to identify the desired  $\psi_n$  for atoms and for diatomic molecules, is to expand (for atoms) in a basis of Slater type exponentials whose prefactors are *not monomials*, but rather they are variationally optimized *polynomials*: initially starting from the *identifiable* associated Laguerre polynomials, because these are *not severely modified* during optimization; Also identifiable (for diatomic molecules) are the (separable into radial and angular parts) variationally optimized *one-electron-diatom-molecule-type* orbitals. Both significantly reduce the size of a configuration interaction expansion.<sup>5</sup>

*Improving  $\phi_0$  orthogonally to  $\psi_1$* : If  $\psi_1$  were known it would be possible to improve  $\phi_0$  orthogonally to  $\psi_1$ :<sup>2</sup> On the subspace of  $\{ \phi_0, \psi_1 \}$  the highest Hamiltonian eigenvector,  $\Psi^+$ , is

$$\Psi^+ = \psi_1.$$

The lowest,  $\Psi^-$ , is orthogonal to  $\psi_1$ ,

$$\Psi^- = \phi_0^+ \equiv \frac{\phi_0 - \psi_1 \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle}{\sqrt{1 - \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2}}$$

with energy

$$E\phi_0^+ = E\phi_0 - \frac{(E\psi_1 - E\phi_0) \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2}{1 - \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2} \leq E\phi_0 \quad (1.9)$$

(same or better than  $\phi_0$ ). Further, rotating  $\phi_0^+$  around  $\psi_1$  improves  $\phi_0^+$  as follows: After introducing (e.g. by one more configuration) a function  $\phi_0^{(2+)}$  orthogonal to both  $\{ \phi_0^+, \psi_1 \}$ , then, in the subspace of  $\{ \phi_0^+, \phi_0^{(2+)} \}$  (both orthogonal to  $\psi_1$ ), the lowest Hamiltonian eigenvector  $\Psi^- \equiv \phi_0^-$  has energy  $E\phi_0^- \leq E\phi_0^+$ , closer to  $E\psi_0$ , because the Hamiltonian opens the energy gap between  $\{ E\phi_0^+, E\phi_0^{(2+)} \}$  (in a 3-dimensional function space  $\{ \psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_k \}$  this would be exactly  $E\psi_0$  as it can be directly verified).  $E\phi_0^-$  can be further improved by further rotating around  $\psi_1$  similarly, i.e. after introducing another function  $\phi_0^{(3+)}$  orthogonal to both  $\{ \phi_0^-, \psi_1 \}$  by calculating in the subspace of  $\{ \phi_0^-, \phi_0^{(3+)} \}$  (both orthogonal to  $\psi_1$ ) the lowest eigenvector  $\Psi^- \equiv \phi_0^{(2-)}$  which has energy  $E\phi_0^{(2-)} \leq E\phi_0^-$  (even closer to  $E\psi_0$ ); and so on.

*Improving  $\phi_0$  orthogonally to  $\phi_1$* : Since  $\psi_1$  is never exactly known, then, it may still be possible to improve  $\phi_0$  orthogonally to  $\phi_1$ , the best available approximant of  $\psi_1$ , by first computing  $\phi_0^+$  orthogonal to  $\phi_1$ ,

$$\phi_0^+ \equiv \frac{\phi_0 - \phi_1 \langle \phi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle}{\sqrt{1 - \langle \phi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2}} \quad (1.10)$$

if the condition

$$E\phi_0^+ = \frac{E\phi_0 + E\phi_1 \langle \phi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2 - 2 \langle \phi_0 | H | \phi_1 \rangle \langle \phi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle}{1 - \langle \phi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2} \leq E\phi_0 \quad (1.11)$$

is attainable. Indeed, by expanding about  $\psi_1$ , as directly verified, this condition, to leading order, reads

$$(E\psi_1 - E\psi_0) \left( 1 - \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2 \right) \geq (E\phi_0^{\perp(1)} - E\psi_0) \langle \phi_0^{\perp(1)} | \phi_0 \rangle^2, \text{ which is not impossible. Here [c.f. Eq. (1.6)] } \phi_0^{\perp(1)} \text{ is the normalized}$$

function, orthogonal to both  $\{\psi_0, \psi_1\}$ , collecting all higher than-1 terms of  $\phi_0$ . For  $\phi_0, \phi_1$  very close to  $\psi_0, \psi_1$ , as directly verified by expanding about  $\psi_0, \psi_1$  the condition is satisfied when  $\langle \psi_0 | \phi_1 \rangle^2 \leq \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2$  (indicative of the relative quality of the approximants). Incidentally, all other (small) components (out of the plane of  $\psi_0, \psi_1$ ) are less relevant, so that the opposite procedure of optimizing  $\phi_1$  orthogonally to  $\phi_0$  can lead to  $\phi_1^{MIN}$  unpredictably far from  $\psi_1$  with still  $E\phi_1^{MIN} \lesssim E\psi_1$ , as shown in the following example.

*Example:* Even in the subspace  $\{\psi_0, \psi_1, \psi_2\}$ , the orthonormal trial functions  $\phi_0 = a\psi_0 + b\psi_2$ ,  $\phi_1 = b\psi_0 - a\psi_2$  with  $a = \sqrt{[(E\psi_1 - \varepsilon) - E\psi_0]/(E\psi_2 - E\psi_0)}$ ,  $b = \sqrt{[E\psi_2 - (E\psi_1 - \varepsilon)]/(E\psi_2 - E\psi_0)}$ , (small  $\varepsilon$ ), have energies  $E\phi_0 = E\psi_0 + E\psi_2 - (E\psi_1 - \varepsilon) \cong E\psi_0 + \varepsilon$  (if  $E\psi_2 - E\psi_1$  is small),  $E\phi_1 = E\psi_1 - \varepsilon$ , while  $\phi_0$  reasonably, but not particularly accurately, approximates  $\psi_0$  (for instance, for He <sup>1</sup>S, in a.u.,  $E\psi_0 = -2.903$ ,  $E\psi_1 = -2.146$ ,  $E\psi_2 = -2.06$ ,  $\phi_0 = 0.9476\psi_0 + 0.3194\psi_2$  has  $E\phi_0 = -2.817$  and  $\phi_1 = 0.3194\psi_0 - 0.9476\psi_2$  has  $E\phi_1 = -2.146 = E\psi_1$ , while  $\phi_1$  is orthogonal to both  $\phi_0$  and  $\psi_1$ ), so that, any function orthogonal to the same  $\phi_0$  could be a minimization “result”,  $\phi_1^{MIN}$ , with arbitrary  $\langle \psi_1 | \phi_1^{MIN} \rangle$  and with  $E\psi_1 - \varepsilon \leq E\phi_1^{MIN} \leq E\psi_1$ .

*Demonstration of  $\Omega_1$ :* Minimization of  $\Omega_1$ , for the same  $\phi_0$  of He, as above, by varying  $\phi_1 = c\psi_0 + d\psi_2 + \psi_1\sqrt{1-c^2-d^2}$ , yields  $c < tol = 10^{-8}$ ,  $d < tol$ , with  $E\phi_1 = -2.146$  [so that  $\phi_1 = \psi_1$  and, from Eq. (1.10),  $\phi_0^+ = \phi_0$ ].

*Further improvement of  $\phi_0$ :* If  $E\phi_0^+ \leq E\phi_0$  [Eq. (1.11)], then, by rotating around  $\phi_1$ , as described above [after Eq. (1.9)], since the Hamiltonian always opens the energy gap between mutually orthogonal functions (all orthogonal to  $\phi_1$ ),  $\phi_0^+$  can be further improved (until  $\langle \psi_0 | \phi_1 \rangle^2 > \langle \psi_1 | \phi_0 \rangle^2$ ), by always taking the lowest current eigenfunction  $\phi_0^{(m-)} = \Psi^-$ . At any step,  $\phi_0^{(m-)}$  can be used as a new  $\phi_0$  to improve  $\phi_1$  via  $\Omega_1$  of Eq. (1.5). In the above example of He, rotating  $\phi_0$  around  $\phi_1$ , gives  $\phi_0^{(1-)} = \Psi^- = \psi_0$  (and  $\Psi^+ = \psi_2$ ).

*Technicalities:* If the higher eigenvalues approach each other, then the second derivatives diminish and the paraboloid  $\Omega_n$  flattens within the tolerance criterion  $\varepsilon_n$ , used in the  $\Omega_n$  minimization. Then it might be desirable to steepen it near the minimum. The simplest way would be to multiply  $\Omega_n$  by a large number N, so as to distinguish the differences within the same  $\varepsilon_n$ . Also, it might be possible, by introducing one more variable,  $E_f$ , to minimize the functional  $F[\Omega_n, E_f] \equiv \Omega_n + \left| \frac{\Omega_n - E_f}{E_f T} \right|$ : if T is chosen in the order of  $\Omega_n$ 's curvature radius at  $\psi_n$ , ~ (inverse of second derivatives, estimated by the Hessian minors, or by trial), then, as directly verified by expanding about  $\psi_n$ , F is a paraboloid with minimum at  $\phi_n = \psi_n$  with  $F[E\psi_n, E\psi_n] = E\psi_n$ .

*Summary:*  $\Omega_n$  [Eq. (1.5)] has a local minimum at the excited state  $\psi_n$ , where  $\Omega_n = E\psi_n$  and  $\phi_n = \psi_n$ . If  $\phi_1$  is a better approximant to  $\psi_1$  than  $\phi_0$  is to  $\psi_0$  [i.e. if, from Eq. (1.11),  $E\phi_0^+ \leq E\phi_0$ ], then  $\phi_0$  can be improved orthogonally to  $\phi_1$ .

<sup>1</sup> E. Hylleraas and B. Undheim, Z. Phys. **65**, 759 (1930); J. K. L. McDonald, Phys. Rev. **43**, 830 (1933).

<sup>2</sup> N. C. Bacalis, International Conference of Computational Methods in Sciences and Engineering 2007 (ICCMSE 2007), Agios Ioannis Peristeron, Corfu, Greece, 25-30 September 2007, Lecture Series, edited by T. Simos and G. Maroulis (in print).

<sup>3</sup> H. Shull and P. -O. Löwdin, Phys. Rev. **110**, 1466 (1958).

<sup>4</sup> C. Eckart, Phys. Rev. **36**, 878 (1930).

<sup>5</sup> Z. Xiong and N. C. Bacalis, Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **53**, 283 (2005); Z. Xiong, M. Velgakis, and N. C. Bacalis, Int. J. Q. Chem. **104**, 418 (2005); N. C. Bacalis, J. Phys. B **29**, 1587 (1996).