

## SOME RESULTS FOR THE PERELMAN LYH-TYPE INEQUALITY

SHU-YU HSU

Department of Mathematics  
National Chung Cheng University  
168 University Road, Min-Hsiung  
Chia-Yi 621, Taiwan, R.O.C.  
e-mail:syhsu@math.ccu.edu.tw

May 12, 2008

ABSTRACT. Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , be a compact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $n \geq 2$ , with metric  $g(t)$  evolving by the Ricci flow such that the second fundamental form of  $\partial M$  with respect to the unit outward normal of  $\partial M$  is uniformly bounded below on  $\partial M \times [0, T]$ . We will prove a global Li-Yau gradient estimate for the solution of the generalized conjugate heat equation on  $M \times [0, T]$ . We will give another proof of Perelman's Li-Yau-Hamilton type inequality for the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation on closed manifolds without using the properties of the reduced distance. We will also prove various gradient estimates for the Dirichlet fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation.

In [P] Perelman stated a differential Li-Yau-Hamilton type inequality for the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation on closed manifolds evolving by the Ricci flow. More precisely let  $M$  be a closed manifold with metric  $g(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , evolving by the Ricci flow,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = -2R_{ij} \quad (0.1)$$

in  $M \times (0, T)$ . Let  $p \in M$  and

$$u = \frac{e^{-f}}{(4\pi\tau)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \quad (0.2)$$

be the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation

$$u_t + \Delta u - Ru = 0 \quad (0.3)$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 58J35, 58C99, Secondary 35K05.

*Key words and phrases*. Li-Yau gradient estimate, Ricci flow, conjugate heat equation, Dirichlet fundamental solutions, Perelman's Li-Yau-Hamilton type inequality.

in  $M \times (0, T)$  where  $\tau = T - t$  and  $R = R(\cdot, t)$  is the scalar curvature of  $M$  with respect to the metric  $g(t)$  with

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} u = \delta_p \quad (0.4)$$

in the distribution sense where  $\delta_p$  is the delta mass at  $p$ . Let

$$v = [\tau(2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R) + f - n]u \quad (0.5)$$

where  $\tau = T - t$ . Then

$$v(x, t) \leq 0 \quad \text{in } M \times (0, T). \quad (0.6)$$

This result was used by Perelman to give a proof of the pseudolocality theorem in section 10 of [P] which more or less said that almost Euclidean regions of large curvature in closed manifold with metric evolving by Ricci flow remain localized. Perelman gave a sketch of the proof of (0.6) in [P] and a detailed proof of it using properties of reduced distance was later given by L. Nei [N3]. This result was generalized by L. Nei [N1], [N2], to the case of the linear heat equation and by A. Chau, L.F. Tam, and C. Yu [CTY] to complete manifold with uniformly bounded curvatures.

Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,  $\partial M \neq \emptyset$ , be a compact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $n \geq 2$ , with metric  $g(t)$  evolving by the Ricci flow such that the second fundamental form  $\text{II}$  of  $\partial M$  with respect to the unit outward normal  $\partial/\partial\nu$  of  $\partial M$  is uniformly bounded below on  $\partial M \times [0, T]$ . In this paper we will use a variation of the method of P. Li, S.T. Yau, [LY] and J. Wang [W] to prove a global Li-Yau gradient estimate for the solution of the generalized conjugate heat equation on such manifold with Neumann boundary condition.

We obtain a similar type of global gradient estimate for the solution of the generalized conjugate heat equation on closed manifold with metric evolving by the Ricci flow. As a consequence we obtain another proof of Perelman's Li-Yau-Hamilton type inequality for the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation on closed manifolds without using the properties of the reduced distance.

We will also prove various gradient estimates for the Dirichlet fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation. Note that localized Li-Yau estimate for the conjugate heat equation on compact manifolds with metric evolving by the Ricci flow was also proved by S. Kuang and Q.S. Zhang in [KZ]. We refer the readers to the paper [H] by R.S. Hamilton for the recent results on Ricci flow and the book [CLN] by B. Chow, P. Lu and L. Ni for the basics of Ricci flow.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 1 we will prove a global Li-Yau gradient estimate for the solution of the generalized conjugate heat equation on compact manifolds with boundary and on closed manifolds. In section 2 we will give another proof of Perelman's Li-Yau-Hamilton type inequality on closed manifolds without using the properties of reduced distance. In section 3 we will generalize a result of Q.S. Zhang [Z] to local gradient estimates for the solutions of generalized conjugate heat equation. In section 4 we will prove the gradient estimates for the Dirichlet fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation.

We start with some definitions. Let  $\nabla^t$  and  $\Delta^t$  be the covariant derivative and Laplacian with respect to the metric  $g(t)$ . When there is no ambiguity, we will drop the superscript

and write  $\nabla, \Delta$ , for  $\nabla^t, \Delta^t$ , respectively. For any  $r > 0, x_0 \in M, 0 < t_1 \leq t_0 \leq T$ , let  $B_r(x_0)$  be the geodesic ball with center  $x_0$  and radius  $r$  with respect to the metric  $g(0)$  and  $Q_{r,t_1}(x_0, t_0) = B_r(x_0) \times [t_0 - t_1, t_0]$ . Let  $dV_t$  be the volume element with respect to the metric  $g(t)$  and let  $V_x^t(r) = \text{Vol}_{g(t)}(B_r(x))$ ,  $V_x(r) = V_x^0(r)$ . For any  $x_1, x_2 \in M$ , let  $r(x_1, x_2)$  be the distance between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with respect to the metric  $g(0)$ .

We also recall a definition of R. Chen [C]. For any  $x \in M$  let  $r(x)$  be the distance of  $x$  from  $\partial M$  with respect to  $g(0)$ . We say that  $\partial M$  satisfies the interior rolling  $R$ -ball condition if for any  $p \in \partial M$ , there exists a geodesic ball  $B_{R/2}(q) \subset M$  with center at  $q \in M$  and radius  $R/2$  respect to the metric  $g(0)$  such that  $\{p\} = \overline{B_{R/2}(q)} \cap \partial M$ .

## Section 1

In this section unless stated otherwise, we will let  $(M, g(t)), 0 \leq t \leq T, \partial M \neq \emptyset$ , be a compact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $n \geq 2$ , with metric  $g(t)$  satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{ij} = 2h_{ij} \quad \text{on } M \times [0, T] \quad (1.1)$$

where  $h_{ij}(x, t)$  is a smooth family of symmetric tensors on  $M$ . We will assume that the second fundamental form  $\text{II}$  of  $\partial M$  with respect to the unit outward normal  $\partial/\partial\nu$  of  $\partial M$  and metric  $g(t)$  is uniformly bounded below by  $-H$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and

$$|Rm| \leq k_0 \quad \text{on } M \times [0, T] \quad (1.2)$$

for some constants  $H > 0$  and  $k_0 > 0$ . Let  $u$  be a positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \Delta^t u - qu & \text{in } M \times [0, T] \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial M \times (0, T) \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $q(x, t)$  is a smooth function of  $M \times [0, T]$ .

In this section we will prove a global Li-Yau gradient estimate for the solution of (1.3) on  $M \times (0, T)$ . We start with an algebraic lemma.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}, A \geq 0$ , be constants satisfying  $B \leq A/\alpha$  for some constant  $\alpha > 1$ . For any  $0 < \rho < 1$ , let  $I(\rho) = (A - B)^2 - \rho A^2$ . Then there exists a constant  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$I(\rho) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^2} (A - \alpha B)^2. \quad (1.4)$$

*Proof.* We divide the proof into two cases.

**Case 1:**  $B > 0$ .

Then  $B^2 \leq A^2/\alpha^2$ . By direct computation for any  $0 < \sigma < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\rho) &= (A - \alpha B)^2 + 2(\alpha - 1)B(A - \alpha B) + (\alpha - 1)^2 B^2 - \rho A^2 \\ &= (1 - \sigma)(A - \alpha B)^2 + J \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J = (\sigma - \rho)A^2 + 2(-\sigma\alpha + \alpha - 1)AB + (\alpha^2\sigma + 1 - \alpha^2)B^2.$$

Set  $\sigma = (\alpha - 1)/\alpha$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} J &= (\sigma - \rho)A^2 - (\alpha - 1)B^2 \\ &\geq (\sigma - \rho)A^2 - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha^2}A^2 \\ &= \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} - \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha^2} - \rho \right)A^2 \\ &= \left( \left( \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha} \right)^2 - \rho \right)A^2 \\ &\geq 0 \quad \forall 0 < \rho < (\alpha - 1)^2/\alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$I((\alpha - 1)^2/2\alpha^2) \geq (1 - \sigma)(A - \alpha B)^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha}(A - \alpha B)^2.$$

**Case 2:**  $B \leq 0$ .

Let  $\rho = (\alpha - 1)^2/\alpha^2$ . Then

$$I(\rho) = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}[(A - \alpha B) + (\alpha - 1)A]^2 - \rho A^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(A - \alpha B)^2 + 2\frac{(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha^2}A(A - \alpha B) \geq \frac{1}{\alpha^2}(A - \alpha B)^2.$$

By case 1 and case 2 the lemma follows.

**Theorem 1.2.** *There exists a constant  $R_0 > 0$  such that if  $\partial M$  satisfies the interior rolling  $R$ -ball condition for some  $0 < R \leq R_0$ , then for any  $\alpha > 1 + H$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$ ,  $H$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$  and the space-time uniform bound of  $|h_{ij}|$ ,  $|\nabla^t h_{ij}|$ ,  $|q|$ ,  $|\nabla^t q|$ ,  $|\Delta^t q|$ , such that*

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{u_t}{u} \leq C_1 + \frac{\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2} \cdot \frac{n}{2t} \quad \text{in } M \times (0, T]. \quad (1.5)$$

*Proof.* We will use a modification of the argument of [CTY], [LY], and [W] to prove the theorem. Suppose  $\partial M$  satisfies the interior rolling  $R$ -ball condition for some  $0 < R \leq R_0$  where  $R_0 > 0$  is some constant to be determined later. By [C] there exists a  $C^2$ -function  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, H]$  such that  $\psi(0) = 0$ ,  $\psi(r) = H$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , which satisfy  $0 \leq \psi'(r) \leq 2H$  for all  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\psi'(0) = H$ , and  $\psi''(r) \geq -H$  for all  $r \geq 0$ . Let

$$\phi(x) = 1 + \psi\left(\frac{r(x)}{R}\right)$$

and  $f = \log u$ . By [LY] and [CTY],  $f$  satisfies

$$\Delta f - f_t = q - |\nabla f|^2 \quad \text{in } M \times (0, T) \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta f)_t = \Delta f_t - 2h_{ij}f_{ij} - 2h_{ik;i}f_k + \nabla(g^{ij}h_{ij}) \cdot \nabla f \\ (|\nabla f|^2)_t = 2\nabla f_t \cdot \nabla f - 2h(\nabla f, \nabla f) \end{cases}$$

where  $h(\nabla f, \nabla f) = h_{ij}f_i f_j$ . Let

$$F(x, t) = t[\phi(x)(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \alpha f_t - \alpha q].$$

Then in normal coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-1}\Delta F &= \phi \cdot \Delta(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) + 2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla|\nabla f|^2 + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \alpha\Delta f_t - \alpha\Delta q \\ &= 2\phi\left(\sum_{i,j} f_{ij}^2 + f_i f_{ijj}\right) + 2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla|\nabla f|^2 + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \alpha(\Delta f)_t - 2\alpha h_{ij}f_i f_j \\ &\quad - 2\alpha h_{ik;i}f_k + \alpha\nabla(g^{ij}h_{ij}) \cdot \nabla f - \alpha\Delta q \\ &= 2\left[\phi \sum_{i,j} f_{ij}^2 - \alpha h_{ij}f_i f_j\right] + 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla(\Delta f) + 2\phi R_{ij}f_i f_j + 2\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla|\nabla f|^2 \\ &\quad + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \alpha(\Delta f)_t - 2\alpha h_{ik;i}f_k + \alpha\nabla(g^{ij}h_{ij}) \cdot \nabla f - \alpha\Delta q. \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

By (1.6),

$$\begin{aligned} & -\alpha(\Delta f)_t + 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla(\Delta f) \\ &= -\alpha(q + f_t - |\nabla f|^2)_t + 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla(q + f_t - |\nabla f|^2) \\ &= \alpha(-(\phi/\alpha)(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) + (F/(\alpha t)) + |\nabla f|^2)_t + 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla(q + f_t - |\nabla f|^2) \\ &= \frac{F_t}{t} - \frac{F}{t^2} + 2\alpha\nabla f \cdot \nabla f_t + 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla(q - |\nabla f|^2) + 2(\phi - \alpha)h_{ij}f_i f_j \\ &= \frac{F_t}{t} - \frac{F}{t^2} + 2\alpha\nabla f \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{\phi}{\alpha}(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \frac{F}{\alpha t} - q\right) + 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla(q - |\nabla f|^2) + 2(\phi - \alpha)h_{ij}f_i f_j \\ &= \frac{F_t}{t} - \frac{F}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\nabla f \cdot \nabla F + 2(\phi - \alpha)\nabla f \cdot \nabla q - 2\phi\nabla f \cdot \nabla|\nabla f|^2 + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla(\phi(|\nabla f|^2 + 1)) \\ &\quad + 2(\phi - \alpha)h_{ij}f_i f_j \\ &= \frac{F_t}{t} - \frac{F}{t^2} - \frac{2}{t}\nabla f \cdot \nabla F + 2(\phi - \alpha)\nabla f \cdot \nabla q + 2(|\nabla f|^2 + 1)\nabla f \cdot \nabla\phi + 2(\phi - \alpha)h_{ij}f_i f_j. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

Hence by (1.7) and (1.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & t^{-1}(\Delta F - F_t + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla F) \\ &= 2\left[\phi \sum_{i,j} f_{ij}^2 - \alpha h_{ij}f_i f_j + 2\phi_i f_i f_{ij}\right] + 2(\phi - \alpha)\nabla f \cdot \nabla q + 2\phi R_{ij}f_i f_j + 2(\phi - \alpha)h_{ij}f_i f_j - \frac{F}{t^2} \\ &\quad + 2(|\nabla f|^2 + 1)\nabla f \cdot \nabla\phi + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - 2\alpha h_{ik;i}f_k + \alpha\nabla(g^{ij}h_{ij}) \cdot \nabla f - \alpha\Delta q. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

By (1.9) and Young's inequality, for any  $0 < \delta < 1$  there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $C_3 > 0$ ,  $C_4 > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& t^{-1}(\Delta F - F_t + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla F) \\
& \geq 2(\phi - \delta) \sum_{i,j} f_{ij}^2 - C_1(|\nabla f| + |\nabla f|^2 + |\nabla f|^3) - C_2 + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \frac{F}{t^2} \\
& \geq 2(\phi - \delta) \sum_{i,j} f_{ij}^2 - C_3|\nabla f|^3 - C_4 + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \frac{F}{t^2} \\
& \geq \frac{2(\phi - \delta)}{n}(\Delta f)^2 - C_3|\nabla f|^3 - C_4 + \Delta\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \frac{F}{t^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

Let  $R_1 > 0$  be the maximum number satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{k_0} \tan(R_1 \sqrt{k_0}) \leq \frac{H}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{H}{\sqrt{k_0}} \tan(R_1 \sqrt{k_0}) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

and let  $R_0 \leq R_1$ . Then by the index comparison theorem (P.347 of [Wa]) and an argument similar to that of [C] there exists a constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$\nabla_i^0 \nabla_j^0 r(x) \geq -c_1 g_{ij}(x, 0) \quad \forall x \in M, r(x) \leq R_1. \tag{1.11}$$

By (1.1) there exist constants  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $c_3 > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} c_2 g_{ij}(x, 0) \leq g_{ij}(x, t) \leq c_3 g_{ij}(x, 0) & \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t \leq T \\ c_2 g^{ij}(x, 0) \leq g^{ij}(x, t) \leq c_3 g^{ij}(x, 0) & \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

By (1.11), (1.12), and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 1.3 of [Hs1] there exists a constant  $c_4 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta^t r(x) \geq -c_4 \quad \forall x \in M, r(x) \leq R_1, 0 \leq t \leq T \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \Delta^t \phi = \psi'' \frac{|\nabla^t r(x)|^2}{R^2} + \psi' \frac{\Delta^t r(x)}{R} \geq -c_3 \frac{H}{R^2} - c_4 \frac{H}{R} \quad \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t \leq T, r(x) \leq R_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Since  $\Delta^t \phi = 0$  for any  $r(x) \geq R_1$  and  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , by (1.13) there exists a constant  $c_5 > 0$  such that

$$\Delta^t \phi \geq -c_5 \quad \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t \leq T. \tag{1.14}$$

By (1.10) and (1.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{-1}(\Delta F - F_t + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla F) & \geq \frac{2(\phi - \delta)}{n}(\Delta f)^2 - C_3|\nabla f|^3 - C_4 - C_5|\nabla f|^2 - \frac{F}{t^2} \\
& \geq \frac{2(\phi - \delta)}{n}(\Delta f)^2 - C_6|\nabla f|^3 - C_7 - \frac{F}{t^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

for some constants  $C_5 \geq 0$ ,  $C_6 > 0$ ,  $C_7 > 0$ .

Since  $M \times [0, T]$  is compact, there exists  $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times [0, T]$  such that  $F(x_0, t_0) = \max_{M \times [0, T]} F$ . If  $t_0 = 0$ ,  $F \leq 0$  on  $M \times [0, T]$ . Then (1.5) holds and we are done. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that  $t_0 > 0$ . Suppose first  $x_0 \in \partial M$ . Since  $f_\nu = u_\nu/u = 0$  on  $\partial M \times (0, T)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \frac{1}{t_0} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \nu}(x_0, t_0) = (|\nabla f|^2 + 1)\phi_\nu + 2\phi f_i f_{i\nu} - \alpha f_{\nu t} - \alpha q_\nu \\
&= (|\nabla f|^2 + 1)\phi_\nu - 2II(\nabla f, \nabla f) - \alpha q_\nu \\
&\leq -\frac{H}{R}(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) + 2H|\nabla f|^2 + \alpha a_0 \\
&\leq H|\nabla f|^2(2 - (1/R_0)) + \alpha a_0 - (H/R_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.16}$$

where  $a_0 = \max_{M \times [0, T]} |\nabla^t q|$ . Let

$$R_0 = \min(1/2, R_1, H/(1 + \alpha a_0)).$$

Then the right hand side of (1.16) is strictly less than 0. Hence contradiction arises. Thus  $x_0 \in M \setminus \partial M$ . Then  $\nabla F(x_0, t_0) = 0$ ,  $\Delta F(x_0, t_0) \leq 0$  and  $F_t(x_0, t_0) \geq 0$ . Hence at  $(x_0, t_0)$ ,

$$\Delta F - F_t + 2\nabla f \cdot \nabla F \leq 0. \tag{1.17}$$

By (1.6), (1.15), and (1.17), at  $(x_0, t_0)$ ,

$$\frac{2(\phi - \delta)}{n} (|\nabla f|^2 - f_t - q)^2 - C_6 |\nabla f|^3 - C_7 - \frac{F}{t_0^2} \leq 0. \tag{1.18}$$

By an argument similar to that of P.382 of [W],

$$(|\nabla f|^2 - f_t - q)^2 \geq (1 - \delta)(|\nabla f|^2 + 1 - f_t - q)^2 - \frac{2}{\delta}. \tag{1.19}$$

If  $F(x_0, t_0) < 0$ , then  $\max_{M \times [0, T]} F < 0$ . Then (1.5) holds and we are done. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that  $F(x_0, t_0) \geq 0$ . Then

$$f_t + q \leq \frac{\phi}{\alpha} (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) \tag{1.20}$$

at  $(x_0, t_0)$ . Let

$$\delta_1 = \frac{\alpha - (1 + H)}{(\alpha - 1)(1 + H)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1 + \delta_1(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 + H}. \tag{1.21}$$

Hence by (1.20) and (1.21),

$$\begin{aligned}
& (|\nabla f|^2 + 1 - f_t - q)^2 - \delta_1^2(\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - f_t - q)^2 \\
&= (|\nabla f|^2 + 1 - f_t - q + \delta_1(\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - f_t - q)) \\
&\quad \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1 - f_t - q - \delta_1(\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - f_t - q)) \\
&= ((1 + \delta_1\phi)(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - (1 + \delta_1)(f_t + q))((1 - \delta_1\phi)(|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - (1 - \delta_1)(f_t + q)) \\
&\geq ((1 + \delta_1\phi) - (1 + \delta_1)(\phi/\alpha))((1 - \delta_1\phi) - (1 - \delta_1)(\phi/\alpha))(|\nabla f|^2 + 1)^2 \\
&= \left(1 + \delta_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}\right) \phi - \frac{\phi}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1 + \delta_1(\alpha - 1)}{\alpha} \phi\right) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{\alpha}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{1 + H}\right) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{\phi}{1 + H}\right)^2 \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

By (1.18), (1.19) and (1.22), for any  $0 < \rho < 1$  there exists a constant  $C_8 = C_8(\rho) > 0$  such that

$$0 \geq \frac{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2}{n(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2} \{(\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - f_t - q)^2 - \rho(\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1))^2\} - C_8 - \frac{F}{t_0^2}. \tag{1.23}$$

Let  $A = \phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1)$  and  $B = f_t + q$ . By Lemma 1.1 there exists a constant  $0 < \rho < 1$  such that (1.4) holds. By (1.4) and (1.23),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2}{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2} (\phi \cdot (|\nabla f|^2 + 1) - \alpha(f_t + q))^2 - C_8 - \frac{F}{t_0^2} \leq 0 \\
\Rightarrow & \frac{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2}{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2} F^2 - F - C_8 t_0^2 \leq 0 \\
\Rightarrow & \left(F - \frac{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{4(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2}\right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{4(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2}\right)^2 + C_9 t_0^2 \\
\Rightarrow & F(x_0, t_0) \leq \frac{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2} + C_{10} t_0 \leq \frac{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2} + C_{10} T \\
\Rightarrow & F(x, T) \leq \frac{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2} + C_{10} T \quad \forall x \in M.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

By replacing  $T$  by  $t$  in (1.24) for any  $t \in (0, T]$  the theorem follows.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Suppose  $\partial M$  is convex with respect to  $g(t)$  for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Then for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  depending on  $k_0, \varepsilon$ , and the space-time uniform bound of  $|h_{ij}|, |\nabla^t h_{ij}|, |q|, |\nabla^t q|, |\Delta^t q|$ , such that*

$$\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^2} - (1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2) \frac{u_t}{u} \leq C_1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)^2(1 + \varepsilon)^2(1 + \varepsilon^2)^2}{8(1 - \varepsilon^2)} \cdot \frac{n}{2t} \quad \text{in } M \times (0, T]. \tag{1.25}$$



*Proof.* (1.25) follows from (1.5) by setting  $\alpha = 1 + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^2$  and  $\delta = H = \varepsilon^2$ .

By an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 4.2 of [CTY] but with Theorem 1.2 replacing Lemma 4.1 of [CTY] in the proof there we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $R_0 > 0$  be given by Theorem 1.2. Suppose  $\partial M$  satisfies the interior rolling  $R$ -ball condition for some  $0 < R \leq R_0$ . Then for any  $\alpha > 1 + H$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , there exist constants  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $C_3 > 0$ , depending on  $k_0$ ,  $H$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$  and the space-time uniform bound of  $|h_{ij}|$ ,  $|\nabla^t h_{ij}|$ ,  $|q|$ ,  $|\nabla^t q|$ ,  $|\Delta^t q|$ , such that*

$$u(x_1, t_1) \leq u(x_2, t_2) \left( \frac{t_2}{t_1} \right)^{a_1} \exp \left( C_2 \alpha \frac{r(x_1, x_2)}{t_2 - t_1} + C_3(t_2 - t_1) \right) \quad (1.26)$$

for any  $x_1, x_2 \in M$ ,  $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq T$ , where

$$a_1 = \frac{n\alpha^2(\alpha - 1)^2(1 + H)^2}{2(1 - \delta)^2(\alpha - (1 + H))^2}.$$

By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Suppose  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , is a closed manifold with metric  $g(t)$  satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) for some smooth symmetric tensors  $h_{ij}(x, t)$  on  $M \times [0, T]$  and constant  $k_0 > 0$ . Let  $u$  be the solution of*

$$u_t = \Delta^t u - qu \quad \text{in } M \times [0, T]$$

for some smooth function  $q(x, t)$  on  $M \times [0, T]$ . Then for any  $\alpha > 1$  there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $C_3 > 0$ , depending on  $k_0$ ,  $\alpha$ , and the space-time uniform bound of  $|h_{ij}|$ ,  $|\nabla^t h_{ij}|$ ,  $|q|$ ,  $|\nabla^t q|$ ,  $|\Delta^t q|$ , such that (1.5) and (1.26) holds with  $\delta = H = 0$ .

## Section 2

In this section we will give another proof of Perelman's Li-Yau-Hamilton type inequality for the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation on closed manifolds without using the properties of the reduced distance. Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a closed manifold with metric  $g(t)$  evolving by the Ricci flow (0.1). Let  $\mathcal{Z}(x, t; y, s)$ ,  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ , be the heat kernel of  $M$ . Then  $\forall y \in M$ ,  $0 \leq s < T$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(\cdot, \cdot; y, s)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}_t = \Delta_x^t \mathcal{Z} & \text{in } M \times (s, T) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow s} \int_M \mathcal{Z}(z, t, y, s) \eta(z) dV_t(z) = \eta(y) & \forall \eta \in C^\infty(M) \end{cases}$$

and for any  $x \in M$ ,  $0 < t \leq T$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}(x, t; \cdot, \cdot)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\mathcal{Z}_s - \Delta_y \mathcal{Z} + R\mathcal{Z} = 0 & \text{in } M \times (0, t) \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \int_M \mathcal{Z}(x, t, y, s) \eta(y) dV_t(y) = \eta(x) & \forall \eta \in C^\infty(M). \end{cases}$$

By an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 5.2 of [CTY] we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $D > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}(x, t; y, s) \leq \frac{C}{V_x(\sqrt{t-s})} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{D(t-s)}\right) & \forall x, y \in M, 0 \leq s < t \leq T \\ \mathcal{Z}(x, t; y, s) \leq \frac{C}{V_y(\sqrt{t-s})} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{D(t-s)}\right) & \forall x, y \in M, 0 \leq s < t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Let  $p \in M$  and  $u(x, t) = \mathcal{Z}(p, T, x, t)$ . Then  $u$  satisfies (0.3) and (0.4) in  $M \times (0, T)$ . As in [P] we let  $f, v$ , be given by (0.2) and (0.5) with  $\tau = T - t$ . Let  $0 \leq h_0 \in C^\infty(M)$ ,  $0 < t_0 < T$ , and let  $h \geq 0$  be the solution of the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} h_t = \Delta h & \text{in } M \times (t_0, T] \\ h(x, t_0) = h_0(x) & \text{in } M. \end{cases}$$

We next recall a result of Perelman [P].

**Lemma 2.2.** ([P])

$$\int_M v h dV_{t_1} \leq \int_M v h dV_{t_2} \quad \forall t_0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 < T.$$

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M \tau h u (2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R) dV_t \leq \frac{n}{2} h(p, T) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\tau = T - t$ .

*Proof.* We will use a modification of the technique of [CTY] to prove the lemma. By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M \tau h u (2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R) dV_t \\ &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M \tau h \left( -2\Delta u + \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} + R u \right) dV_t \\ &= -2 \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \tau \int_M u \Delta h dV_t + \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \tau \int_M h \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} dV_t + \lim_{t \rightarrow T} \tau \int_M R h u dV_t. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\left| \tau \int_M R h u dV_t \right| \leq \tau \|R\|_\infty \|h\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T.$$

Since by the Schauder estimates [LSU],

$$\sup_{\frac{T+t_0}{2} \leq s \leq T} \|\Delta h(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(M)} < \infty,$$

$$\left| \tau \int_M u \Delta h dV_t \right| \leq \tau \sup_{\frac{T+t_0}{2} \leq s \leq T} \|\Delta h(\cdot, s)\|_{L^\infty(M)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T.$$

Hence

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M \tau h u (2\Delta f - |\nabla f|^2 + R) dV_t = \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M \tau h \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} dV_t. \quad (2.2)$$

By Theorem 1.5 for any  $\alpha > 1$  there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla^t u|^2}{u^2} - \alpha \frac{u_\tau}{u} \leq C_1 + \frac{n\alpha^2}{2\tau} \quad \text{in } M \times (0, T]$$

where  $\tau = T - t$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau \int_M h \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} dV_t &\leq \tau \int_M h \left( \alpha u_\tau + C_1 u + \frac{n\alpha^2}{2\tau} u \right) dV_t \\ &= \tau \int_M [\alpha h(\Delta u - Ru) + C_1 h u] dV_t + \frac{n\alpha^2}{2} \int_M h u dV_t \\ &= \tau \int_M [\alpha(u\Delta h - Ru) + C_1 h u] dV_t + \frac{n\alpha^2}{2} \int_M h u dV_t. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Since

$$\left| \tau \int_M [\alpha(u\Delta h - Ru) + C_1 h u] dV_t \right| \leq C\tau \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M h u dV_t = h(p, T), \quad (2.4)$$

letting  $t \rightarrow T$  in (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \tau \int_M h \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} dV_t &\leq \frac{n\alpha^2}{2} h(p, T) \quad \forall \alpha > 1 \\ \Rightarrow \limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \tau \int_M h \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u} dV_t &\leq \frac{n}{2} h(p, T) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.2) and (2.5) we get (2.1) and the lemma follows.

By the same argument as the proof of Lemma 7.6 of [CTY] but with Lemma 2.1 replacing Corollary 5.2 of [CTY] in the proof there we get

**Lemma 2.4.**

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M f h u dV_t \leq \frac{n}{2} h(p, T).$$

By (2.4), Lemma 2.3, and Lemma 2.4 we get

**Lemma 2.5.**

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} \int_M v h dV_t \leq 0.$$

**Theorem 2.6.** (Corollary 9.3 of [P]) (0.6) holds in  $M \times (0, T)$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.5,

$$\int_M v(x, t_0) h_0(x) dV_{t_0}(x) \leq 0 \quad \forall 0 \leq h_0 \in C^\infty(M), 0 < t_0 < T$$

and the theorem follows.

### Section 3

In this section we will generalize a result of Q.S. Zhang [Z] to local gradient estimates for the solution of the generalized conjugate heat equation on compact manifolds.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a  $n$ -dimensional compact manifold,  $n \geq 2$ , with metric  $g(t)$  satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) for some smooth family of symmetric tensors  $h_{ij}(x, t)$  on  $M$  and constant  $k_0 > 0$ . Let  $u$  be a positive solution of

$$u_t = \Delta^t u - qu \quad \text{in } M \times [0, T]$$

where  $q(x, t)$  is a smooth function on  $M \times [0, T]$ . Let  $x_0 \in M$  and  $t_0 \in (0, T]$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  and the space-time uniform bound of  $|h_{ij}|$ ,  $|q|$  and  $|\nabla^t q|$  in  $Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0)$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla u|}{u} \leq C_1 \left( \frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_1}} + 1 \right) \left( 1 + \log \left( \frac{A}{u} \right) \right)$$

holds in  $Q_{R/2, T_1/2}(x_0, t_0)$  for any  $Q_{R, T_1} = Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0) \subset M \setminus \partial M$ ,  $0 < R \leq 1$ ,  $0 < T_1 \leq t_0$ , whenever  $u \leq A$  in  $Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0)$  for some constant  $A > 0$ .

*Proof.* We will use a modification of the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [Z] and Theorem 1.1 of [SZ] to prove the theorem. Suppose  $Q_{R, T_1} \subset M \setminus \partial M$ ,  $0 < R \leq 1$ , and  $0 < T_1 \leq t_0$ . Since (3.1) is invariant by rescaling  $u$  to  $u/A$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $0 < u \leq 1$  in  $Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0)$ . As in [Z] let  $f = \log u$  and

$$w = \frac{|\nabla f|^2}{(1-f)^2}.$$

Since  $f$  satisfies (1.6), by a direct computation we have in normal coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} w_t &= 2 \frac{\nabla f \cdot \nabla f_t}{(1-f)^2} + 2 \frac{|\nabla f|^2 f_t}{(1-f)^3} - 2 \frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} \\ &= 2 \frac{\nabla f \cdot \nabla (\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - q)}{(1-f)^2} + 2 \frac{|\nabla f|^2 (\Delta f + |\nabla f|^2 - q)}{(1-f)^3} - 2 \frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

$$\nabla_j w = 2 \frac{f_i f_{ij}}{(1-f)^2} + 2 \frac{|\nabla f|^2 f_j}{(1-f)^3} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\Delta w = \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 2 \frac{f_i f_{ijj}}{(1-f)^2} + 8 \frac{f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^3} + 2 \frac{|\nabla f|^2 \Delta f}{(1-f)^3} + 6 \frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4}. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta w - w_t \\
&= \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 8\frac{f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{f_i(f_{ijj} - f_{jji})}{(1-f)^2} - 2\frac{\nabla f \cdot \nabla(|\nabla f|^2 - q)}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2(|\nabla f|^2 - q)}{(1-f)^3} + 6\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} \\
&= \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 8\frac{f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{R_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} - \frac{2\nabla f \cdot \nabla(|\nabla f|^2 - q)}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2(|\nabla f|^2 - q)}{(1-f)^3} + 6\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} \\
&= \frac{2f_{ij}^2}{(1-f)^2} + 8\frac{f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^3} + 6\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} - \frac{4f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^2} - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} \\
&\quad + 2\frac{R_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2 q}{(1-f)^3} + \frac{2\nabla f \cdot \nabla q}{(1-f)^2} \\
&= \frac{2}{(1-f)^2} \left( f_{ij} + \frac{f_i f_j}{1-f} \right)^2 + 4\frac{f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^3} + 4\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} - \frac{4f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^2} - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} \\
&\quad + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{R_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2 q}{(1-f)^3} + \frac{2\nabla f \cdot \nabla q}{(1-f)^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta w - w_t \\
&\geq 4\frac{f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^3} + 4\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} - \frac{4f_i f_j f_{ij}}{(1-f)^2} - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{R_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} \\
&\quad + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2 q}{(1-f)^3} + \frac{2\nabla f \cdot \nabla q}{(1-f)^2} \\
&= \frac{2}{(1-f)} \left( \nabla f \cdot \nabla w - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} \right) + 4\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^4} + 2 \left( 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} - \nabla f \cdot \nabla w \right) \\
&\quad - 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{R_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2 q}{(1-f)^3} + \frac{2\nabla f \cdot \nabla q}{(1-f)^2} \\
&= 2\frac{|\nabla f|^4}{(1-f)^3} + \frac{2f}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla w + 2\frac{h_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{R_{ij} f_i f_j}{(1-f)^2} + 2\frac{|\nabla f|^2 q}{(1-f)^3} + \frac{2\nabla f \cdot \nabla q}{(1-f)^2}. \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \leq 0$ , by (3.4) there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  and the space-time uniform bound of  $|h_{ij}|$ ,  $|q|$  and  $|\nabla^t q|$  in  $Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0)$  such that

$$\Delta w - w_t \geq \frac{2f}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla w + 2(1-f)w^2 - C_1 w - C_2 \quad \text{in } Q_{R_1, T_1}. \tag{3.5}$$

We choose a smooth nonnegative function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ , such that  $\phi(r) = 1$  for all  $r \leq 1/2$ ,  $\phi(r) = 0$  for all  $r \geq 1$ , and  $\phi'(r) \leq 0$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $x \in M$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , let  $\phi_R(x) = (\phi(r(x, x_0)/R))^5$ ,  $\eta_{T_1}(t) = (\phi((t_0 - t)/T_1))^5$ , and

$$\psi(x, t) = \phi_R(x)\eta_{T_1}(t).$$

When there is no ambiguity, we will write  $r$  for  $r(x, x_0)$ . Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 by (1.1) there exist constants  $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_3 > 0$  such that (1.12) holds in  $Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0)$ . Then by (1.12),

$$|\nabla^t r|^2 \leq c_3 |\nabla^0 r|^2 \leq c_3 \quad \text{in } Q_{R, T_1}(x_0, t_0).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^t \phi_R(x) = 5\phi^4 \phi' \cdot \frac{\nabla^t r}{R} &\Rightarrow \frac{|\nabla^t \phi_R|}{\phi_R^{3/4}} = 5 \frac{\phi^{1/4} |\phi'| |\nabla^t r|}{R} \leq \frac{C_3}{R} \\ &\Rightarrow \frac{|\nabla^t \phi_R|}{\phi_R^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C_3}{R} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

for some constant  $C_3 > 0$  and

$$\Delta^t \phi_R(x) = (20\phi^3 \phi'^2 + 5\phi^4 \phi'') \frac{|\nabla^t r|^2}{R^2} + 5\phi^4 \phi' \cdot \frac{\Delta^t r}{R} \geq -\frac{C'_3}{R^2} + 5\phi^4 \phi' \cdot \frac{\Delta^t r}{R} \quad (3.7)$$

for some constant  $C'_3 > 0$ . Similarly

$$\frac{|\partial_t \eta_{T_1}|}{\eta_{T_1}^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C_4}{T_1} \quad (3.8)$$

for some constant  $C_4 > 0$ . By (1.2) and the Hessian comparison theorem [SY],

$$\nabla_i^0 \nabla_j^0 r \leq \frac{n-1}{r} (1 + \sqrt{k_0 r}) g_{ij}(x, 0). \quad (3.9)$$

By (1.1), (3.9), and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 1.3 of [Hs1] there exists a constant  $C'_4 > 0$  such that

$$\Delta^t r \leq C'_4 \left(1 + \frac{1}{r}\right) \quad \forall 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (3.10)$$

By (3.7) and (3.10),

$$\frac{\Delta^t \phi_R}{\phi_R^{1/2}} \geq -\frac{C'_3}{R^2} - 5C'_4 \phi^{3/2} |\phi'| (1 + (R/2)^{-1}) \geq -\frac{C_5}{R^2} \quad (3.11)$$

for some constant  $C_5 > 0$ . By (3.6), (3.8) and (3.11), there exists a constant  $C_6 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla \psi|}{\psi^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C_6}{R}, \quad \frac{|\nabla \psi|}{\psi^{3/4}} \leq \frac{C_6}{R}, \quad \frac{|\partial_t \psi|}{\psi^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C_6}{T_1}, \quad \frac{\Delta \psi}{\psi^{1/2}} \geq -\frac{C_6}{R^2}. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\psi w) - (\psi w)_t \\
&= \psi(\Delta w - w_t) + 2\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla w + w\Delta\psi - \psi_t w \\
&\geq \frac{2f\psi}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla w + 2(1-f)\psi w^2 - C_1\psi w - C_2\psi + 2\nabla\psi \cdot \nabla w + w\Delta\psi - \psi_t w \\
&\geq \frac{2f}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot (\nabla(\psi w) - w\nabla\psi) + 2(1-f)\psi w^2 - C_1\psi w - C_2\psi + 2\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi} \cdot (\nabla(\psi w) - w\nabla\psi) \\
&\quad + w\Delta\psi - \psi_t w \\
&\geq \frac{2f}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla(\psi w) + 2\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi} \cdot \nabla(\psi w) - \frac{2fw}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla\psi + 2(1-f)\psi w^2 - C_1\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}w - C_2 \\
&\quad - 2w\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi} + w\Delta\psi - \psi_t w. \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.12),

$$\begin{cases}
w\frac{|\nabla\psi|^2}{\psi} = (\psi^{1/2}w) \left( \frac{|\nabla\psi|}{\psi^{3/4}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{C_6^2}{R^2} \psi^{1/2}w \leq \frac{1}{16} \psi w^2 + \frac{4C_6^4}{R^4} \\
w\Delta\psi = (\psi^{1/2}w) \frac{\Delta\psi}{\psi^{1/2}} \geq -C_6 \frac{\psi^{1/2}w}{R^2} \geq -\frac{1}{8} \psi w^2 - \frac{2C_6^2}{R^4} \\
|w\partial_t\psi| = (\psi^{1/2}w) \frac{|\partial_t\psi|}{\psi^{1/2}} \leq C_6 \frac{\psi^{1/2}w}{T_1} \leq \frac{1}{8} \psi w^2 + \frac{2C_6^2}{T_1^2}.
\end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Similarly,

$$C_1\psi^{1/2}w \leq \frac{1}{8}\psi w^2 + 2C_1^2. \tag{3.15}$$

By (3.12) and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1 of [Z],

$$\left| \frac{2fw}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla\psi \right| \leq (1-f)\psi w^2 + C_7 \frac{f^4}{R^4(1-f)^3} \tag{3.16}$$

for some constant  $C_7 > 0$ . Since

$$\frac{|f|}{(1-f)} \leq 1,$$

by (3.13), (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(\psi w) - (\psi w)_t - \frac{2f}{1-f} \nabla f \cdot \nabla(\psi w) - 2\frac{\nabla\psi}{\psi} \cdot \nabla(\psi w) \\
&\geq (1-f)\psi w^2 - \frac{1}{2}\psi w^2 - C_8 \left( \frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{1}{T_1^2} + 1 \right) - C_8 \frac{f^4}{R^4(1-f)^3} \\
&\geq (1-f)\psi w^2 - \frac{1}{2}\psi w^2 - C_8 \left( \frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{1}{T_1^2} + 1 \right) - C_8 \frac{(1-f)}{R^4} \tag{3.17}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $C_8 > 0$ . Suppose the function  $\psi w$  attains its maximum on the set  $Q_{R,T_1}$  at the point  $(x_1, t_1) \in \overline{Q_{R,T_1}}$ . Similar to [LY] and [Z] we may assume without loss of generality that  $x_1$  is not a cut point of  $x_0$  with respect to the metric  $g(0)$ . Then at  $(x_1, t_1)$ ,  $\nabla(\psi w) = 0$ ,  $\partial_t(\psi w) \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta(\psi w) \leq 0$ . Hence the left hand side of (3.17) is  $\leq 0$  at  $(x_1, t_1)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (1-f)\psi w^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\psi w^2 + C_8\left(\frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{1}{T_1^2} + 1\right) + C_8\frac{(1-f)}{R^4} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(1-f)\psi w^2 &\leq C_8\left(\frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{1}{T_1^2} + 1\right) + C_8\frac{(1-f)}{R^4} \\ \Rightarrow \sup_{\overline{Q_{R,T_1}}} \psi w^2 &\leq \psi(x_1, t_1)w^2(x_1, t_1) \leq 2C_8\left(\frac{2}{R^4} + \frac{1}{T_1^2} + 1\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w^2(x, t) &\leq 4C_8\left(\frac{1}{R^4} + \frac{1}{T_1^2} + 1\right) && \text{in } Q_{R/2, T_1/2} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{|\nabla f(x, t)|^2}{(1-f(x, t))^2} = w(x, t) &\leq 2\sqrt{C_8}\left(\frac{1}{R^2} + \frac{1}{T_1} + 1\right) && \text{in } Q_{R/2, T_1/2} \\ \Rightarrow \frac{|\nabla f(x, t)|}{(1-f(x, t))} &\leq 2C_8^{1/4}\left(\frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_1}} + 1\right) && \text{in } Q_{R/2, T_1/2} \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows.

## Section 4

In this section we will prove various gradient estimates for the Dirichlet fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation.

Let  $(M, g(t))$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , be a complete noncompact  $n$ -dimensional manifold,  $n \geq 2$ , with metric  $g(t)$  evolving by the Ricci flow (0.1) which satisfies

$$|\nabla^i Rm| \leq k_0 \quad \text{on } M \times [0, T] \quad \forall i = 0, 1, 2 \quad (4.1)$$

for some constant  $k_0 \geq 1$ . Similar to section 2 we let  $\mathcal{Z}(x, t; y, s)$ ,  $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ , be the fundamental solution of the heat equation in  $M \times (0, T)$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset M$  be a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Then there exists a constant  $H > 0$  such that the second fundamental form  $\Pi$  of  $\partial\Omega$  with respect to the unit outward normal  $\partial/\partial\nu$  of  $\partial\Omega$  and metric  $g(0)$  is uniformly bounded below by  $-H$ . For any  $x \in \Omega$  let  $\rho^t(x)$  be the distance of  $x$  from  $\partial\Omega$  with respect to the metric  $g(t)$  and  $\rho(x) = \rho^0(x)$ . Note that by (0.1) and (4.1) there exist constants  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} c_1 g_{ij}(x, t_1) \leq g_{ij}(x, t_2) \leq c_2 g_{ij}(x, t_1) & \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T \\ c_1 g^{ij}(x, t_1) \leq g^{ij}(x, t_2) \leq c_2 g^{ij}(x, t_1) & \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T \\ c_1 \rho^{t_1}(x) \leq \rho^{t_2}(x) \leq c_2 \rho^{t_1}(x) & \forall x \in \Omega, 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T \\ c_1 dV_{t_1} \leq dV_{t_2} \leq c_2 dV_{t_1} & \text{in } M \quad \forall 0 \leq t_1, t_2 \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$



For any  $\delta > 0$ , let  $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega : \rho(x) \geq \delta\}$ . Let  $p \in \Omega$  and  $\bar{u}(x, t)$  be the Dirichlet fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation (0.3) in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  which satisfies (0.4) with

$$\bar{u} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \quad (4.3)$$

Let  $\bar{f}, \bar{v}$ , be given by

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}(x, t) = \frac{e^{-\bar{f}(x, t)}}{(4\pi\tau)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \\ \bar{v} = [\tau(2\Delta^t \bar{f} - |\nabla^t \bar{f}|^2 + R) + \bar{f} - n]\bar{u} \end{cases}$$

and let  $\tilde{u}(x, \tau) = \bar{u}(x, T - t)$ ,  $d\tilde{V}_\tau = dV_t$ , where  $\tau = T - t$ . We choose  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $p \in \Omega_{3\delta}$  (cf. [C]),

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{k_0} \tan(3\delta\sqrt{k_0}) \leq \frac{H}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{H}{\sqrt{k_0}} \tan(3\delta\sqrt{k_0}) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

By the maximum principle,

$$\bar{u}(x, t) \leq \mathcal{Z}(p, T, x, t) \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (4.5)$$

By compactness and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 4.1 of [CTY] and Theorem 1.2 we have

**Theorem 4.1.** *For any  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $0 < \delta_2 \leq \delta$ , there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta_2$  such that*

$$\frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}|^2}{\tilde{u}^2} - \alpha \frac{\tilde{u}_\tau}{\tilde{u}} \leq C_1 + \frac{n(1 + \varepsilon)}{2\tau} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega}_{\delta_2} \times (0, T] \quad (4.6)$$

where  $t = T - \tau$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *There exist a constant  $0 < \tau_0 < \delta^2$  and constants  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $C_3 > 0$ , and  $D > 1$  independent of  $\tau_0$  such that for any  $0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau_0$ ,*

- (i)  $0 < \tilde{u}(x, \tau) \leq \frac{C_2}{\tau_1^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau_1}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}, 0 < \tau \leq \tau_1$
- (ii)  $0 < \tilde{u}(x, \tau) \leq C_3 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}, 0 < \tau \leq T$
- (iii)  $|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)| + |\nabla^t \nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)| \leq C_3 \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}, 0 < \tau \leq T$

where  $t = T - \tau$ .

*Proof.* The left hand side of (i) and (ii) follows by the strong maximum principle. By Corollary 5.2 of [CTY] there exist constants  $C > 0$  and  $D > 1$  such that

$$\mathcal{Z}(p, T; x, t) \leq \frac{C}{V_p(\sqrt{\tau})} e^{-\frac{r^2(p, x)}{D(T-t)}}. \quad \forall x \in M, 0 \leq t < T, \tau = T - t. \quad (4.7)$$

By the same argument as the proof of Lemma 7.6 of [CTY] there exist constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$C_1 \tau^{\frac{n}{2}} \leq V_p^t(\sqrt{\tau}) \leq C_2 \tau^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \forall 0 < \tau \leq T, t = T - \tau. \quad (4.8)$$

Hence by (4.2), (4.5), (4.7) and (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x, \tau) &\leq \frac{C}{V_p(\sqrt{\tau})} e^{-\frac{r^2(p,x)}{D\tau}} \leq \frac{C'}{V_p^t(\sqrt{\tau})} e^{-\frac{r^2(p,x)}{D\tau}} \leq \frac{C_2}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{r^2(p,x)}{D\tau}} \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, 0 < \tau \leq T \\ \Rightarrow \tilde{u}(x, \tau) &\leq \frac{C_2}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}} \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}, 0 < \tau \leq T \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

for some constant  $C_2 > 0$ . Let  $H(\tau) = \tau^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}}$ ,  $\tau_0 = \delta^2/(nD)$  and  $0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau_0$ . Then  $H'(\tau) \geq 0$  for all  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ . Hence by (4.9) (i) follows. By (4.9) and (i) we get (ii).

We now extend  $\tilde{u}$  to a function on  $(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}) \times (-\infty, T]$  by setting  $\tilde{u} = 0$  on  $(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}) \times (-\infty, 0)$ . We also extend  $g_{ij}$  to a metric on  $(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}) \times [0, \infty)$  by setting  $g_{ij}(x, t) = g_{ij}(x, T)$  for all  $t \geq T$ . Then  $\tilde{u}$  is a non-negative solution of

$$\tilde{u}_\tau = \Delta^t \tilde{u} - R(x, t) \tilde{u} \quad \text{in } \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta} \times (-\infty, T], t = T - \tau. \quad (4.10)$$

Hence by the parabolic regularity theory [LSU]  $\tilde{u} \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta} \times (-\infty, T])$ . Thus

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)| \leq \max_{\substack{y \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta} \\ 0 < \tau \leq T}} |\nabla^t \tilde{u}(y, \tau)| < \infty \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}, 0 < \tau \leq T \\ |\nabla^t \nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)| \leq \max_{\substack{y \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta} \\ 0 < \tau \leq T}} |\nabla^t \nabla^t \tilde{u}(y, \tau)| < \infty \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega} \setminus \Omega_{2\delta}, 0 < \tau \leq T \end{cases}$$

and (iii) follows.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $0 < \tau_0 < \delta^2$  and  $C_2 > 0$  be as given in Lemma 4.2. Then there exists a constant  $C_4 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} &\leq \frac{C_4}{\rho(x)} \left( 1 + \log \left( C_2 \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/2} \tilde{u}} \right) \right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau} \\ (ii) \quad \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} &\leq \frac{C_4}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left( 1 + \log \left( C_2 \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/2} \tilde{u}} \right) \right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$  where  $t = T - \tau$  and  $D > 1$  is as given in Lemma 4.2.

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ . We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1:  $\rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau}$

By applying Theorem 3.1 to the domain  $Q_1 = Q_{\rho(x), \frac{\rho(x)^2}{4}}(x, \tau)$  there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq C_1 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\rho(x)} \right) \left( 1 + \log \left( \frac{A_1}{\tilde{u}} \right) \right) \quad (4.11)$$

holds where  $A_1 = \sup_{Q_1} \tilde{u}$ .

Case 2:  $\sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta$

By applying Theorem 3.1 to the domain  $Q_2 = Q_{\sqrt{\frac{\tau}{2}}, \frac{\tau}{2}}(x, \tau)$  there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq C_1 \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}}\right) \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{A_2}{\tilde{u}}\right)\right) \quad (4.12)$$

holds where  $A_2 = \sup_{Q_2} \tilde{u}$ . By Lemma 4.2 there exist constants  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $D > 1$ , such that

$$A_1, A_2 \leq \frac{C_2}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}} \quad (4.13)$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ . Hence by (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13), the lemma follows.

By a similar argument we have

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $C_3 > 0$  be as given in Lemma 4.2. Then there exists a constant  $C_4 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{C_4}{\rho(x)} \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{C_3}{\tilde{u}}\right)\right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau} \\ (ii) \quad & \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{C_4}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left(1 + \log\left(\frac{C_3}{\tilde{u}}\right)\right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq T$  where  $t = T - \tau$ .

By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $0 < \tau_0 < \delta^2$  and  $D > 1$  be as given in Lemma 4.2. Then for any  $a > 0$  there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  and  $a$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)} \left(1 + \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{u}}\right)^a\right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau} \\ (ii) \quad & \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{D\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{u}}\right)^a\right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $0 < \tau_0 < \delta^2$  and  $D > 1$  be as given in Lemma 4.2. Then there exists a constant  $C_5 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \quad & \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq C_5 \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{4D\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{3n}{8}} \rho(x)^{\frac{7}{4}}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau} \\ (ii) \quad & \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq C_5 \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2}+1}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.5 there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C}{\rho(x)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\tau^{n/2} \tilde{u})^{1/4}}\right) |\nabla \tilde{u}| & \forall \rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau} \\ \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\tau^{n/2} \tilde{u})^{1/4}}\right) |\nabla \tilde{u}| & \forall \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$  where  $t = T - \tau$ . We will now let  $C > 0$  be a generic constant that may change from line to line. By Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}|}{\tilde{u}^{1/4}} &\leq C \frac{\tilde{u}^{3/4}}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\tau^{n/2} \tilde{u})^{1/4}}\right) = C \left( \frac{\tilde{u}^{3/4}}{\sqrt{\tau}} + \frac{\tilde{u}^{1/2}}{\tau^{\frac{n}{8} + \frac{1}{2}}} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/2}} \right)^{3/4} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{n}{8} + \frac{1}{2}}} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/2}} \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\tau^{\frac{3n}{8} + \frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega_{\sqrt{\tau}} \setminus \Omega_\delta, 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}|}{\tilde{u}^{1/4}} &\leq C \frac{\tilde{u}^{3/4}}{\rho(x)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\tau^{n/2} \tilde{u})^{1/4}}\right) = \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{3/4}} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}}{\rho(x)} \right)^{1/4} \left( \tilde{u}^{1/2} + \frac{\tilde{u}^{1/4}}{\tau^{\frac{n}{8}}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{3/4}} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}}{\rho(x)} \right)^{1/4} \left( \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/2}} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{n}{8}}} \left( \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/2}} \right)^{1/4} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{3/4}} \left( \frac{\tilde{u}}{\rho(x)} \right)^{1/4} \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{4D\tau}}}{\tau^{n/4}} \quad \forall x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{\sqrt{\tau}}, 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

By (4.14), (4.15), and Lemma 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3n}{8} + \frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}} \tilde{u}^{1/4} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}} \right) \quad \forall \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta, 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left( \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3n}{8} + \frac{1}{2}}} + \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}}} \right) e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}} \quad \forall \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta, 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0 \\ &\leq C \frac{e^{-\frac{\delta^2}{2D\tau}}}{\tau^{\frac{n}{2} + 1}} \quad \forall \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta, 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = T - \tau$  and (ii) follows.

By (4.4) (cf. [Wa], [C], and [Ch]) for any  $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta$ , there exists a unique normalized minimizing geodesic  $\gamma_x : [0, \rho(x)] \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$  with respect to the metric  $g(0)$  such that  $\gamma_x(0) \in$

$\partial\Omega$ ,  $\gamma_x(\rho(x)) = x$ , and  $\gamma'_x(0)$  is perpendicular to the tangent plane  $T_{\gamma_x(0)}(\partial\Omega)$  at  $\gamma_x(0)$ . By (4.2) and Lemma 4.2 for any  $x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_\delta$ ,  $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ ,

$$\frac{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)}{\rho(x)} = \frac{\int_0^{\rho(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \tilde{u}(\gamma_x(s), \tau) ds}{\rho(x)} \leq C \sup_{\substack{y \in \Omega \\ 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0}} |\nabla^0 \tilde{u}(y, \tau)| \leq C \sup_{\substack{y \in \Omega \\ 0 < \tau \leq \tau_0}} |\nabla^t \tilde{u}(y, \tau)| \leq C < \infty. \quad (4.17)$$

By (4.14), (4.16), (4.17) and Lemma 4.2 we get (i) and the lemma follows.

By Lemma 4.2, Theorem 4.4, and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 4.6 we have

**Theorem 4.7.** *Then there exists a constant  $C_6 > 0$  depending on  $k_0$  such that*

$$(i) \quad \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{C_6}{\rho(x)} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\rho(x)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \rho(x) < \sqrt{\tau}$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{|\nabla^t \tilde{u}(x, \tau)|^2}{\tilde{u}(x, \tau)} \leq \frac{C_6}{\sqrt{\tau}} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau}} \right) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \sqrt{\tau} \leq \rho(x) \leq \delta$$

holds for any  $0 < \tau \leq T$ .

## REFERENCES

- [CTY] A. Chau, L.F. Tam and C. Yu, *Pseudolocality for the Ricci flow and applications*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0701153>.
- [Ch] I. Chavel, *Riemannian geometry: A modern introduction*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1995.
- [C] R. Chen, *Neumann eigenvalue estimate on a compact Riemannian manifold*, Proc. AMS **108** (1990), 961–970.
- [CLN] B. Chow and P. Lu and Lei Ni, *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 77, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., U.S.A., 2006.
- [H] R.S. Hamilton, *The formation of singularities in the Ricci flow*, Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. II (Cambridge, MA, 1993), 7–136, International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1995.
- [Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Uniqueness of solutions of Ricci flow on complete noncompact manifolds*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.3468>.
- [Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Maximum principle and convergence of fundamental solutions for the Ricci flow*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0711.1236>.
- [KZ] S. Kuang and Q.S. Zhang, *A gradient estimate for all positive solutions of the conjugate heat equation under Ricci flow*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611298>.
- [LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, and N.N. Uraltceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Transl. Math. Mono. Vol 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [LY] P. Li and S.T. Yau, *On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator*, Acta Math. **156** (1986), 153–201.
- [N1] L. Ni, *The entropy formula for linear heat equation*, J. Geometric Analysis **14**(1) (2004), 87–100.
- [N2] L. Ni, *Addenda to “The entropy formula for linear heat equation”*, J. Geometric Analysis **14**(2) (2004), 369–374.
- [N3] L. Ni, *A note on Perelman's LYH-type inequality*, Comm. Anal. and Geom. **14**(5) (2006), 883–905.
- [P] G. Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/0211159>.

- [SY]R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, *Lectures on differential geometry, in 'Conference proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology', 1*, International Press, 1994.
- [SZ]P. Souplet and Q.S. Zhang, *Sharp gradient estimate and Yau's Liouville theorem for the heat equation on noncompact manifolds*, Bull. London math. Soc. **38** (2006), 1045–1053.
- [W]J. Wang, *Global heat kernel estimates*, Pacific J. Math. **178(2)** (1997), 377–398.
- [Wa]F.W. Warner, *Extension of the Rauch comparison theorem to submanifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 341–356.
- [Z] Q.S. Zhang, *Some gradient estimates for the heat equation on domains and for an equation by Perelman*, Int. Math. Res. Notice (2006), Art. ID 92314, 39 pp.

E-MAIL ADDRESS:SYHSU@MATH.CCU.EDU.TW