

Inhomogeneous Magnetic-Field Response in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y$ and $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ Persisting Far Above the Bulk Superconducting Transition T_c

J.E. Sonier,¹ M. Ilton,¹ V. Pacradouni,¹ C.V. Kaiser,¹ S.A. Sabok-Sayr,¹

Y. Ando,² S. Komiya,³ W.N. Hardy,⁴ D.A. Bonn,⁴ R. Liang,⁴ and W.A. Atkinson⁵

¹*Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia V5A 1S6, Canada*

²*Institute of Scientific and Industrial Research, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047, Japan*

³*Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Komae, Tokyo 201-8511, Japan*

⁴*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia V6T 1Z1, Canada*

⁵*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario K9J 7B8, Canada*

(Dated: July 23, 2019)

We report that in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y$ and $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ there is a spatially inhomogeneous response to magnetic field for temperatures T extending well above the bulk superconducting transition temperature T_c . An inhomogeneous magnetic response is observed above T_c even in ortho-II $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{6.50}$, which has highly ordered doping. The degree of the field inhomogeneity above T_c tracks the hole doping dependences of both T_c and the density of the superconducting carriers below T_c , and therefore seems to be coupled to superconductivity.

PACS numbers: 74.72.-h, 74.25.Ha, 76.75.+i

The T - p - H phase diagram of high- T_c cuprates (*i.e.* temperature T , hole doping p , and magnetic field H) includes different magnetic phases discovered by the technique of muon spin rotation (μSR). As a sensitive local probe of static or quasistatic moments that are not necessarily ordered, μSR experiments have revealed that: (i) static Cu electronic moments remnant of the antiferromagnetic (AF) phase persist across the insulator-superconducting boundary [1, 2], (ii) some form of weak static magnetism occurs in $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_y$ (YBCO) near the pseudogap transition temperature T^* [3], and (iii) an applied magnetic field induces static magnetism in and around the vortex cores of samples on the low-doping side of what has been loosely dubbed a “metal-to-insulator crossover” (MIC) [4]. Recently, (ii) has been independently verified by polar Kerr effect [5] and polarized neutron diffraction [6, 7] measurements that show the onset of some kind of magnetic order in YBCO near T^* . The neutron results indicate that the magnetic order is not AF Cu-spin order, but may be associated with either the circulating-current phase proposed by Varma [8], a ferromagnetic arrangement of Cu spins, or the existence of staggered spins on the oxygen sites of the CuO_2 layers. From magnetic-field training of the polar Kerr effect it is concluded in Ref. [5] that the magnetic order is associated with a time-reversal symmetry (TRS) breaking effect that persists above room temperature.

We have used transverse-field muon-spin rotation (TF- μSR) to measure the local response in YBCO and $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (LSCO) single crystals to external magnetic fields up to $H = 7$ T. In this kind of experiment the initial muon spin polarization $P(t = 0)$ is oriented transverse to the field, which was applied perpendicular to the CuO_2 layers. The intrinsic spin of an implanted muon precesses in the plane perpendicular to the axis of the local magnetic field B with a frequency $f_\mu = \gamma_\mu B$,

where $\gamma_\mu = 851.6$ MHz/T is the muon’s gyromagnetic ratio. Spatial field inhomogeneity in the bulk of the sample causes the transverse polarization $P(t)$ to decay with time due to the dephasing of muon spins precessing in different local magnetic fields. Previously, we determined that none of the samples considered here contain Cu moments remnant of the AF phase that fluctuate slowly enough to be detected on the microsecond time scale of μSR [4]. Furthermore, we showed that an applied magnetic field induces quasi-static magnetism for $T \ll T_c$, but only in samples below $y \approx 6.55$ for YBCO and $x \approx 0.16$ for LSCO.

The TF- μSR spectra are the sum of a sample signal and a time-independent background signal, $aP(t) = a_s P_s(t) + a_{\text{bg}}$, where a_{bg} is less than 4 % of the total signal amplitude a . The sample polarization function can be written as

$$P_s(t) = G(t) \exp(-\Delta^2 t^2) \cos(f_\mu t + \phi), \quad (1)$$

where the Gaussian function $\exp(-\Delta^2 t^2)$ accurately accounts for the random nuclear dipole fields and is temperature independent, and $G(t)$ is a phenomenological function that accounts for additional relaxation of $P_s(t)$ by other sources. The relaxation function $G(t) \exp(-\Delta^2 t^2)$ is the “envelope” of the TF- μSR signal. Figure 1(a) shows TF- μSR envelopes for pure Ag, which does not exhibit superconductivity and does not contain electronic magnetic moments. The TF- μSR signals for Ag are well described by Eq. (1) with a *temperature-independent* exponential relaxation function $G(t) = \exp(-\Lambda_{\text{Ag}} t)$, where Λ_{Ag} is a measure of the field inhomogeneity of the superconducting magnet used to generate the applied field. Alternatively, in YBCO and LSCO, the formation of a vortex lattice below T_c creates a broad *temperature-dependent* internal magnetic field distribution $n(B)$. Below $T \approx 0.5T_c$, the TF- μSR signals in YBCO and LSCO




FIG. 1: (Color online) Representative envelopes of TF- μ SR spectra at $H = 7$ T for (a) pure Ag, (b) YBCO with $y = 6.50$ ($T_c = 59$ K) and (c) LSCO with $x = 0.176$ ($T_c = 37.1$ K). The open and closed symbols in (b) and (c) indicate spectra for $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$, respectively. The solid curves are the envelope function $G(t) \exp(-\Delta^2 t^2)$ of Eq. (1).




FIG. 2: (Color online) Temperature dependence of Λ for (a) YBCO and (b) LSCO samples. The open and closed symbols correspond to data taken for $T < T_c$ and $T > T_c$, respectively. Included in each panel is Λ_{Ag} for pure Ag. The insets are blowups of the main panels.

are well described by Eq. (1) with a stretched-exponential relaxation function $G(t) = \exp[-(\Lambda t)^\beta]$, where $1.19 \leq \beta \leq 1.68$ for YBCO and $1.0 \leq \beta \leq 1.19$ for LSCO. An exception is LSCO $x = 0.145$, which is discussed below. For $T > 0.5T_c$, a single exponential relaxation function $G(t) = \exp(-\Lambda t)$ in Eq. (1) describes the TF- μ SR signals for all samples.

Above T_c an applied magnetic field penetrates a non-magnetic superconductor uniformly. Since Λ is a measure of the width of $n(B)$ originating from sources other than nuclear dipoles, the difference $\Lambda - \Lambda_{\text{Ag}}$ should vanish at T_c . Instead we find that a nonzero value of $\Lambda - \Lambda_{\text{Ag}}$ persists to temperatures well above T_c (see Fig. 2). Note that above $T \approx 200$ K, the observed Λ in YBCO is reduced by thermally activated hopping of the muon [9]. Hence the temperature at which $\Lambda - \Lambda_{\text{Ag}}$ vanishes can only be determined by extrapolation. We now discuss possible origins of the anomalous spatial field inhomogeneity above T_c .

Remnant Copper Spins.—Previously Savici *et al.* [10] detected field-induced inhomogeneity above T_c in underdoped cuprates, including LSCO $x = 0.12$. In contrast to the current study, quasi-static Cu spins remnant of the AF phase were detected for $H = 0$. Field-induced ordering of these spins in a significant volume of the sample adds a second faster-relaxing exponential component to $G(t)$. This was observed in LSCO $x = 0.12$ [10], but also here in LSCO $x = 0.145$ —*i.e.* on the low-doping side of the MIC near $x = 0.16$. However, in both cases, this second component vanishes well below T_c , as does disordered static magnetism induced at lower fields [4]. We note that neutron scattering measurements on LSCO $x = 0.10$ also show field-induced magnetic order only below T_c [11], and measurements on LSCO $x = 0.163$ show no static magnetism up to $H = 14.5$ T and dynamic AF correlations only below T_c [12].

Figure 3 shows the hole-doping dependence of $\Lambda - \Lambda_{\text{Ag}}$. Despite YBCO $y = 6.67$ being close to $1/8$ hole doping where spin/charge stripe correlations [13] and a suppression of superconductivity [14] occur, above T_c the value of $\Lambda - \Lambda_{\text{Ag}}$ is smaller than that for the $y = 6.50, 6.57$ and 6.80 samples. Furthermore, the largest field inhomogeneity detected in YBCO above T_c is in the $y = 6.80$ sample, which is furthest away from the AF phase. In other




FIG. 3: (Color online) Hole doping dependence of T_c in (a) YBCO and (b) LSCO for $H = 7$ T. The values of p for LSCO correspond to the Sr concentration, whereas p for YBCO was determined in Ref. [15]. The relaxation rate $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ for YBCO is plotted versus p for (c) $T < T_c$ and (e) $T > T_c$, and for LSCO for (d) $T < T_c$ and (f) $T > T_c$. The inset of (c) shows the hole doping dependence of $1/\lambda_{ab}^2$ (in μm^{-2}) in YBCO [14].

words, the hole doping dependence of $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ presented in Fig. 3(e) is inverted from what would be observed if the field inhomogeneity were due to remnant static or fluctuating Cu spins.

For $T < T_c$, $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ tracks the inhomogeneous field of the vortex lattice and hence tracks the superfluid density $n_s \propto 1/\lambda_{ab}^2$, where λ_{ab} is the in-plane magnetic penetration depth [see inset of Fig. 3(c)]. Since T_c varies as a function of n_s [16], we see in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) that $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ also tracks T_c . Remarkably this continues to be the case well above T_c [Figs. 3(e) and 3(f)]. It is thus evident that nonzero $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ above T_c is in some way coupled to superconductivity.

Vortex Liquid.—Ong *et al.* [17] have established that the application of a sizeable field creates a Nernst effect and a corresponding field-enhanced diamagnetic signal indicative of a two-dimensional (2D) vortex liquid, which persists above T_c , but is contained within the more ex-




FIG. 4: (Color online) Field dependence of the full width at half maximum ($\text{FWHM} = 2(\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag})/\gamma\mu$) of the Lorentzian magnetic field distribution corresponding to the exponential relaxation for LSCO $x = 0.166$ ($T_c = 37.3$ K).

tensive pseudogap region. In cuprates, vortices fluctuate about their equilibrium positions with a characteristic fluctuation time ($\sim 10^{-11}$ s [18]) that is much smaller than the timescale (10^{-6} s) of μSR , with a fluctuation-amplitude in the liquid phase on the order of the inter-vortex spacing. These factors conspire to produce severe motional narrowing of the field distribution detected by μSR [19], as observed in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta}$ (BSCCO) at $T < T_c$ and $H \leq 0.1$ T [20]. While YBCO and LSCO are less anisotropic than BSCCO, vortex-lattice melting and a loss of vortex line tension also occur before T_c is reached [21]. As the external magnetic field is increased, a signature of a 2D vortex liquid is a reduction of the μSR line width [19, 20]. However, here we observe the exact opposite. As shown in Figs. 2(b) and 4, Λ increases with increasing field for $T > T_c$ and remains nonzero even beyond $T = 2T_c$. Thus the field inhomogeneity detected above T_c cannot be caused by vortices, which is not to say a vortex liquid does not exist.

Inhomogeneous Superconductivity.—It has been proposed that superconductivity first develops in small domains at T^* [22, 23] that proliferate with decreasing temperature, eventually resulting in the formation of the bulk-superconducting phase at T_c via percolation or Josephson coupling. This picture is supported by the recent detection of spatially inhomogeneous pairing gaps in BSCCO above T_c [24], and is compatible with the hysteresis observed in low-field magnetization measurements on LSCO above T_c by Panagopoulos *et al.* [25].

Geshkenbein *et al.* [26] have shown that the presence of small superconducting regions with T_c greatly exceeding the bulk T_c explains an unusual linear diamagnetic response ($M \sim H$) observed above the resistive transition of highly-overdoped $\text{Tl}_2\text{Ba}_2\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$ [27]. In their




FIG. 5: (Color online) Contours of the magnitude of $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ for YBCO at $H = 7$ T. Also shown is the extended spin freezing transition T_f determined by ZF- μ SR [2], and the onset of magnetic order near T^* detected by ZF- μ SR [3] (yellow circles) and polar Kerr effect [5] (red squares) measurements. The ZF- μ SR data of Ref. [3] has been corrected using the appropriate T_c to p conversion for YBCO [15].

model, the magnetization of a superconducting grain is proportional to H . Because Λ/H is proportional to the spread in local magnetic susceptibility at the muon site, then a signature of inhomogeneous superconductivity is a linear dependence of Λ on H . As shown in Fig. 4 this is observed for $T > 0.8 T_c$, and is distinct from the behavior at lower T where the width of $n(B)$ is dominated by the field inhomogeneity of the vortex lattice. This was also observed in Ref. [10]. The onset temperature for $\Lambda \propto H$ behavior is compatible with a thermally induced break-up of the bulk superconducting state into small superconducting domains, beginning at a temperature slightly below T_c . Nevertheless, this interpretation must be regarded as speculative, especially since we cannot say whether the response is diamagnetic. Also, while electronic phase separation in the form of domains is understandable in cuprates doped by cation substitution, such as BSCCO and LSCO, it is not clear why this should happen in YBCO where doping occurs via a change in the oxygen concentration of the CuO-chain layers. Of particular note is ortho-II YBCO $y = 6.50$, which has alternating full and empty CuO chains, and therefore has highly ordered doping. Even so, the degree of field inhomogeneity in YBCO is comparable to LSCO, as indicated by the similar values of $\Lambda - \Lambda_{Ag}$ at $p \approx 0.14$ and $T \geq 90$ K [Figs. 3(e) and 3(f)].

Anomalous Magnetic Order.—We conclude by considering whether the inhomogeneous magnetic response above T_c is related to the anomalous magnetic order in YBCO near T^* . In Ref. [3] this novel magnetic transition

was indicated by the onset of an exponential relaxation of the ZF- μ SR signal. The ZF exponential relaxation rate for $y = 6.95$ was found to be larger than for $y = 6.67$, which is similar to the trend of the data in Fig. 3(e). We also find that the spatially inhomogeneous response to a 7 T field persists above the ZF transition near T^* (Fig. 5), compatible with the detection of TRS breaking beyond room temperature in Ref. [5]. However, it is not known at this time whether the latter also occurs in LSCO. We do note that the appearance of the anomalous magnetic order in ZF seems coupled to structural changes in YBCO [9]. In the absence of similar lattice distortions, it may be stabilized only in non-zero field.

We thank S. A. Kivelson, E. H. Brandt, G. I. Menon, A. J. Millis, G. M. Luke and Y. J. Uemura for helpful discussions, R. Kadono for sharing beam time, and TRIUMF for technical assistance. This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, and in Japan by KAKENHI 19674002.

-
- [1] R.F. Kiefl *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2136 (1989); Ch. Niedermayer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3843 (1998).
 - [2] S. Sanna *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 207001 (2004).
 - [3] J.E. Sonier *et al.*, Science **292**, 1692 (2001).
 - [4] J.E. Sonier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 064522 (2007).
 - [5] J. Xia *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 127002 (2008).
 - [6] B. Fauque *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 197001 (2006).
 - [7] H. Mook *et al.*, arXiv:0802.3620.
 - [8] C. Varma, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 14554 (1997); Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3538 (1999).
 - [9] J.E. Sonier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 134501 (2002).
 - [10] A.T. Savici *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 157001 (2005).
 - [11] B. Lake *et al.*, Nature **415**, 299 (2002).
 - [12] B. Lake *et al.*, Science **291**, 832 (2001).
 - [13] S.A. Kivelson *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 1201 (2003).
 - [14] J.E. Sonier *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 134518 (2007).
 - [15] R. Liang, D.A. Bonn, W.N. Hardy, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 180505(R) (2006).
 - [16] Y.J. Uemura *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2317 (1989).
 - [17] Y. Wang, Lu Li and N.P. Ong, Phys. Rev. B **73**, 024510 (2006).
 - [18] E.H. Brandt, Physica C **162-164**, 1167 (1989).
 - [19] E.H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 3213 (1991).
 - [20] S.L. Lee *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 922 (1995).
 - [21] J. Figueras *et al.*, Nature Physics **2**, 402 (2006).
 - [22] G. Alvarez, M. Mayr, A. Moreo and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 014514 (2001).
 - [23] E.V.L. de Mello and D.H.N. Dias, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **19**, 086218 (2007).
 - [24] K.K. Gomes *et al.*, Nature **447**, 569 (2007).
 - [25] C. Panagopoulos, M. Majoros, T. Nishizaki and H. Iwasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 047002 (2006).
 - [26] V.B. Geshkenbein, L.B. Ioffe and A.J. Millis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5778 (1998).
 - [27] C. Bergemann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 14387 (1998).