

A Characteristic Map for Symplectic Manifolds

Jerry M. Lodder

*Mathematical Sciences, Dept. 3MB
Box 30001
New Mexico State University
Las Cruces NM, 88003, U.S.A.
e-mail: jlodder@nmsu.edu*

Abstract. We construct a local characteristic map to a symplectic manifold M via certain cohomology groups of Hamiltonian vector fields. For each $p \in M$, the Leibniz cohomology of the Hamiltonian vector fields on \mathbf{R}^{2n} maps to the Leibniz cohomology of all Hamiltonian vector fields on M . For a particular extension \mathfrak{g}_n of the symplectic Lie algebra, the Leibniz cohomology of \mathfrak{g}_n is shown to be an exterior algebra on the canonical symplectic two-form. The Leibniz homology of \mathfrak{g}_n then maps to the Leibniz homology of Hamiltonian vector fields on \mathbf{R}^{2n} .

Mathematics Subject Classifications (2000): 17B56, 53D05, 17A32.

Key Words: Symplectic topology, Leibniz homology, symplectic invariants.

1 Introduction

We construct a local characteristic map to a symplectic manifold M via certain cohomology groups of Hamiltonian vector fields. Recall that the group of affine symplectomorphisms, i.e., the affine symplectic group ASp_n , is given by all transformations $\psi : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ of the form

$$\psi(z) = Az + z_0,$$

where A is a $2n \times 2n$ symplectic matrix and z_0 a fixed element of \mathbf{R}^{2n} [5, p. 55]. Let \mathfrak{g}_n denote the Lie algebra of ASp_n , referred to as the affine symplectic

Lie algebra. Then \mathfrak{g}_n is the largest finite dimensional Lie subalgebra of the Hamiltonian vector fields on \mathbf{R}^{2n} , and serves as our point of departure for calculations. Particular attention is devoted to the Leibniz homology of \mathfrak{g}_n , i.e., $HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R})$, and proven is that

$$HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n),$$

where $\omega_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ and Λ^* denotes the exterior algebra. Dually, for cohomology,

$$HL^*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n^*),$$

where $\omega_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^i \wedge dy^i$.

For $p \in M$, the local characteristic map acquires the form

$$HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n}); \mathbf{R})(p) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); C^\infty(M)),$$

where \mathcal{X}_H denotes the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields, and $C^\infty(M)$ is the ring of C^∞ real-valued functions on M . Using previous work of the author [4], there is a natural map

$$H_{dR}^*(M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}(M); C^\infty(M)),$$

where H_{dR}^* denotes deRham cohomology. Composing with

$$HL^*(\mathcal{X}(M); C^\infty(M)) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); C^\infty(M)),$$

we have

$$H_{dR}^*(M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); C^\infty(M)).$$

The inclusion of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ induces a linear map

$$HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow HL_*(\mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n}); \mathbf{R})$$

and $HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n}); \mathbf{R})$ contains a copy of $HL^*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R})$ as a direct summand.

The calculational tools for $HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ include the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for Lie-algebra (co)homology, the Pirashvili spectral sequence for Leibniz homology, and the identification of certain symplectic invariants of \mathfrak{g}_n which appear in the appendix.

2 The Affine Symplectic Lie Algebra

As a point of departure, consider a C^∞ Hamiltonian function $H : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ with the associated Hamiltonian vector field

$$X_H = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

where \mathbf{R}^{2n} is given coordinates

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\},$$

and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$ are the unit vector fields parallel to the x_i and y_i axes respectively. The vector field X_H is then tangent to the level curves (or hyper-surfaces) of H . Restricting H to a quadratic function in

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\},$$

yields a family of vector fields isomorphic to the real symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{sp}_n . For $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, an \mathbf{R} -vector space basis, \mathcal{B}_1 , for \mathfrak{sp}_n is given by the families:

- (1) $x_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$
- (2) $y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$
- (3) $x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} + x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, i \neq j$
- (4) $y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, i \neq j$
- (5) $y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}, (i = j \text{ possible}).$

It follows that $\dim_{\mathbf{R}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) = 2n^2 + n$.

Let I_n denote the Abelian Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields arising from the linear (affine) functions $H : \mathbf{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. Then I_n has an \mathbf{R} -vector space basis given by

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \right\}.$$

The affine symplectic Lie algebra, \mathfrak{g}_n , has an \mathbf{R} -vector space basis $\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$. There is a short exact sequence of Lie algebras

$$0 \longrightarrow I_n \xrightarrow{i} \mathfrak{g}_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{sp}_n \longrightarrow 0,$$

where i is the inclusion map and π is the projection

$$\mathfrak{g}_n \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}_n/I_n) \simeq \mathfrak{sp}_n.$$

In fact, I_n is an Abelian ideal of \mathfrak{g}_n with I_n acting on \mathfrak{g}_n via the bracket of vector fields.

3 The Lie Algebra Homology of \mathfrak{g}_n

For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a ring k , the Lie algebra homology of \mathfrak{g} , written $H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; k)$, is the homology of the chain complex $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$, namely

$$k \xleftarrow{0} \mathfrak{g} \xleftarrow{[\cdot, \cdot]} \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge 2} \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n-1)} \xleftarrow{d} \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge n} \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d(g_1 \wedge g_2 \wedge \dots \wedge g_n) = \\ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^j (g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i-1} \wedge [g_i, g_j] \wedge g_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{g}_j \wedge \dots \wedge g_n). \end{aligned}$$

For actual calculations in this paper, $k = \mathbf{R}$. Additionally, Lie algebra homology with coefficients in the adjoint representation, written $H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g})$, is the homology of the chain complex $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{g} \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge 2} \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n-1)} \xleftarrow{d} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge n} \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d(g_1 \otimes g_2 \wedge g_3 \wedge \dots \wedge g_{n+1}) = \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^i ([g_1, g_i] \otimes g_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{g}_i \wedge \dots \wedge g_{n+1}) \\ + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^j (g_1 \otimes g_2 \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i-1} \wedge [g_i, g_j] \wedge g_{i+1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{g}_j \wedge \dots \wedge g_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The canonical projection $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{*+1}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+1)}$ is a map of chain complexes and induces a k -linear map on homology

$$H_n^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; k).$$

Given a (right) \mathfrak{g} -module M , the module of invariants $M^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is defined as

$$M^{\mathfrak{g}} = \{m \in M \mid [m, g] = 0 \quad \forall g \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

Note that \mathfrak{sp}_n acts on I_n and on the affine symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_n via the bracket of vector fields. The action is extended to $I_n^{\wedge k}$ by

$$[\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k, X] = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge [\alpha_i, X] \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_k$$

for $\alpha_i \in I_n$, $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_n$, and similarly for the \mathfrak{sp}_n action on $\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k}$. The main result of this section of the following.

Lemma 3.1. *There are natural vector space isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) &\simeq H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes [\Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}, \\ H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathfrak{g}_n) &\simeq H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes [\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The lemma follows essentially from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence [2], the application of which we briefly outline to aid in the identification of representative homology cycles, and to reconcile the lemma with its cohomological version in [2]. Consider the filtration \mathcal{F}_m , $m \geq -1$, of the complex $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{-1} &= \{0\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_0 &= \Lambda^*(I_n), \quad \mathcal{F}_0^k = I_n^{\wedge k}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \mathcal{F}_m^k &= \{g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge g_{k+m} \in \mathfrak{g}_n^{\wedge(k+m)} \mid \text{at most } m\text{-many } g_i\text{'s } \notin I_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then each \mathcal{F}_m is a chain complex, and \mathcal{F}_m is a subcomplex of \mathcal{F}_{m+1} . For $m \geq 0$, we have

$$E_{m,k}^0 = \mathcal{F}_m^k / \mathcal{F}_{m-1}^k \simeq I_n^{\wedge k} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_n / I_n)^{\wedge m}.$$

Since I_n is Abelian and the action of I_n on \mathfrak{g}_n / I_n is trivial, it follows that

$$E_{m,k}^1 \simeq I_n^{\wedge k} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}_n / I_n)^{\wedge m}.$$

Using the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_n/I_n \simeq \mathfrak{sp}_n$, we have

$$E_{m,k}^2 \simeq H_m(\mathfrak{sp}_n; I_n^{\wedge k}).$$

Now, \mathfrak{sp}_n is a simple Lie algebra and as an \mathfrak{sp}_n -module

$$I_n^{\wedge k} \simeq (I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \oplus M,$$

where $M \simeq M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \dots \oplus M_t$ is a direct sum of simple modules on which \mathfrak{sp}_n acts non-trivially. Hence

$$H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; I_n^{\wedge k}) \simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; (I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}) \oplus H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; M).$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; (I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}) &\simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes (I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \\ H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; M) &\simeq \sum_{i=1}^t H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; M_i) \simeq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the latter isomorphism holds since each M_i is simple with non-trivial \mathfrak{sp}_n action. See [1, Prop. VII.5.6] for more details.

Let θ be a cycle in $\Lambda^m(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ representing an element of $H_m(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R})$, and let $z \in (I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}$. Then $z \wedge \theta \in \mathfrak{g}_n^{\wedge(m+k)}$ represents an absolute cycle in $\Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$, since, if θ is a sum of elements of the form $s_1 \wedge s_2 \wedge \dots \wedge s_m$, then $[z, s_i] = 0$ for each $s_i \in \mathfrak{sp}_n$. Thus, $E_{m,k}^2 \simeq E_{m,k}^\infty$, and

$$H_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes [\Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}.$$

By a similar filtration and spectral sequence argument for $\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$, we have

$$H_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathfrak{g}_n) \simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes [\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}.$$

□

Let $\omega_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \in I_n^{\wedge 2}$. One checks that $\omega_n \in (I_n^{\wedge 2})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}$ against the basis for \mathfrak{sp}_n given in §2. It follows that

$$\omega_n^{\wedge k} \in [I_n^{\wedge 2k}]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}.$$

Letting $\Lambda^*(\omega_n)$ denote the exterior algebra generated by ω_n , we prove in the appendix that

Lemma 3.2. *There are isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} [\Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} &\simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k(\omega_n), \\ [\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} &\simeq \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_n) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \Lambda^k(\omega_n) \end{aligned}$$

where the first is an isomorphism of algebras, and the second is an isomorphism of vector spaces.

Combining this with Lemma (3.1), we have

Lemma 3.3. *There are vector space isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) &\simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes \Lambda^*(\omega_n), \\ H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathfrak{g}_n) &\simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_n). \end{aligned}$$

It is known that for cohomology,

$$H_{\text{Lie}}^*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \Lambda^*(u_3, u_7, u_{11}, \dots, u_{4n-1}),$$

where u_i is a class in dimension i . Also,

$$H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq H_{\text{Lie}}^k(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}).$$

See the reference [8, p. 343] for the homology of the symplectic Lie group.

4 The Leibniz Homology of \mathfrak{g}_n

Recall that for a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over a ring k , and more generally for a Leibniz algebra \mathfrak{g} [3], the Leibniz homology of \mathfrak{g} , written $HL_*(\mathfrak{g}; k)$, is the homology of the chain complex $T(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$k \xleftarrow{0} \mathfrak{g} \xleftarrow{[\cdot, \cdot]} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2} \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots \xleftarrow{\quad} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes (n-1)} \xleftarrow{d} \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \xleftarrow{\quad} \dots,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) &= \\ &\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (-1)^j (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{i-1}, [g_i, g_j], g_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{g}_j, \dots, g_n), \end{aligned}$$

and (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n) denotes the element $g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}$.

The canonical projection $\pi_1 : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge n}$, $n \geq 0$, is a map of chain complexes, $T(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g})$, and induces a k -linear map on homology

$$HL_*(\mathfrak{g}; k) \rightarrow H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; k).$$

Letting

$$(\ker \pi_1)_n[2] = \ker [\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(n+2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+2)}], \quad n \geq 0,$$

Pirashvili [7] defines the relative theory $H^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g})$ as the homology of the complex

$$C_n^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) = (\ker \pi_1)_n[2],$$

and studies the resulting long exact sequence relating Lie and Leibniz homology:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{n-2}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & HL_n(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_0^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & HL_2(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_2^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & 0 & \longrightarrow & HL_1(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & 0 & \longrightarrow & HL_0(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_0^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

An additional exact sequence is required for calculations of HL_* . Consider the projection

$$\pi_2 : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+1)}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and the resulting chain map

$$\pi_2 : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda^*(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{*+1}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let $HR_n(\mathfrak{g})$ denote the homology of the complex

$$CR_n(\mathfrak{g}) = (\ker \pi_2)_n[1] = \ker [\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+2)}], \quad n \geq 0.$$

There is a resulting long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & HR_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & HR_0(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_2^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \\ & & 0 & \longrightarrow & H_0^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_1^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The projection $\pi_1 : \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(n+1)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+1)}$ can be written as the composition of projections

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(n+1)} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge n} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+1)},$$

which leads to a natural map between exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{n-1}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & HL_{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{n-2}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \mathbf{1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ HR_{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_n^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}; \mathfrak{g}) & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & HR_{n-2}(\mathfrak{g}) \end{array}$$

and an articulation of their respective boundary maps ∂ .

Lemma 4.1. *For the affine symplectic Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_n , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_k(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} HR_{k-3}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}), \quad k \geq 3,$$

that factors as the composition

$$H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow[\partial]{\simeq} HR_{k-3}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} HR_{k-3}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}),$$

and the latter isomorphism is induced by the inclusion $\mathfrak{sp}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{sp}_n is a simple Lie algebra, from [1, Prop. VII.5.6] we have

$$H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathfrak{sp}_n) = 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

From the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow HR_{k-1}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathfrak{sp}_n) \longrightarrow H_{k+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \dots,$$

it follows that $\partial : H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow HR_{k-3}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R})$ is an isomorphism for $k \geq 3$. The inclusion of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sp}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n$ induces a map of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & HR_{k-1}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathfrak{sp}_n) & \longrightarrow & H_{k+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ \longrightarrow & HR_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) & \longrightarrow & H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathfrak{g}_n) & \longrightarrow & H_{k+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

From Lemma (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) &\simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes \Lambda^*(\omega_n) \\ H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathfrak{g}_n) &\simeq H_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) \otimes \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_n). \end{aligned}$$

The map $H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathfrak{g}_n) \rightarrow H_{*+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R})$ is an inclusion on homology with cokernel $H_{*+1}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R})$. The result now follows from the map between exact sequences and a knowledge of the generators of $H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R})$ gleaned from Lemma (3.1). \square

Theorem 4.2. *There is an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n)$$

and an algebra isomorphism

$$HL^*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n^*), \quad \omega_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^i \wedge dy^i,$$

where HL^* is afforded the shuffle algebra.

Proof. Consider the Pirashvili filtration [7] of the complex

$$C_n^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \ker(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(n+2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(n+2)}), \quad n \geq 0,$$

given by

$$\mathcal{F}_m^k(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes k} \otimes \ker(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes(m+2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\wedge(m+2)}), \quad m \geq 0, k \geq 0.$$

Then \mathcal{F}_m^* is a subcomplex of \mathcal{F}_{m+1}^* and the resulting spectral sequence converges to $H_*^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g})$. From [7] we have

$$E_{m,k}^2 \simeq HL_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes HR_m(\mathfrak{g}), \quad m \geq 0, k \geq 0.$$

From the proof of Lemma (4.1), there is an isomorphism

$$\partial : H_3^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} HR_0(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \mathbf{R}.$$

From the long exact sequence relating Lie and Leibniz homology, it follows that $HL_2(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H_2^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R})$ is an isomorphism. Since

$$\tilde{\omega}_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)$$

is a cycle in the Leibniz complex that maps to ω_n in the Lie algebra complex, it follows that $\tilde{\omega}_n$ generates $HL_2(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R})$.

We claim that all elements in $HL_0(\mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ are absolute cycles. The inclusion $\mathfrak{sp}_n \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_n$ induces a map between exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} HL_k(\mathfrak{sp}_n) & \longrightarrow & H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{k-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) & \longrightarrow & HL_{k-1}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ HL_k(\mathfrak{g}_n) & \longrightarrow & H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{k-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) & \longrightarrow & HL_{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}_n) \end{array}$$

Since \mathfrak{sp}_n is a simple Lie algebra, $HL_k(\mathfrak{sp}_n; \mathbf{R}) = 0$, $k \geq 1$ [6]. Thus, $\partial : H_k^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \rightarrow H_{k-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ is an isomorphism for $k \geq 3$. The inclusion $\mathcal{F}_m^*(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_m^*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ induces a map of spectral sequences, and hence a map

$$HL_0(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \longrightarrow HL_0(\mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n).$$

Since $HR_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \simeq H_*^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$, all classes in $HL_0(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ are absolute cycles. Now, $HR_*(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ maps isomorphically to $HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$, and by naturality, all classes in $HL_0(\mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ are absolute cycles. Moreover,

$$\partial : H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) \rightarrow H_{*-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$$

maps the classes in $\bar{H}_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ injectively to $H_{*-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{*-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{*-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusions.

We claim that all elements in $HL_2(\mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ are absolute cycles as well. Let $[\theta] \in HR_m(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ be represented by the sum

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{1,j} \otimes X_{2,j} \wedge X_{3,j} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{m+1,j},$$

where each $X_{i,j} \in \mathfrak{sp}_n$ and $d\theta = 0$. By invariance,

$$[\tilde{\omega}_n, X_{i,j}] = 0 \text{ for each } X_{i,j}.$$

It follows that $d(\tilde{\omega}_n \otimes \theta) = d(\tilde{\omega}_n) \otimes \theta + \tilde{\omega}_n \otimes d\theta = 0$, and $\tilde{\omega}_n \otimes \theta$ represents an absolute cycle in $H_*^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$. To compute

$$\partial : H_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) \rightarrow H_{*-3}^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$$

on classes of the form $[\omega_n] \otimes \bar{H}_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$, let $[\theta'] \in \bar{H}_*^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n)$ with $\partial(\theta') = \theta$. By lifting $\omega_n \wedge \theta'$ to $\tilde{\omega}_n \otimes \theta'$ in $T(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ and using invariance, we have

$$\partial(\omega_n \wedge \theta') = \tilde{\omega}_n \otimes \partial(\theta') = \tilde{\omega}_n \otimes \theta.$$

At this point $H_k^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ is completely determined for $k \leq 2$. By an examination of $H_1^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$,

$$\omega_n^{\wedge 2} \in \ker \partial, \quad \partial : H_4^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) \rightarrow H_1^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n).$$

Thus, $(\tilde{\omega}_n)^{\wedge 2}$ generates a non-zero class in $HL_4(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ mapping to the class $\omega_n^{\wedge 2} \in H_4^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$. As before, all classes in $HL_4(\mathfrak{g}_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ are absolute cycles and in $\text{Im } \partial$. Thus, $H_k^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$ is completely determined for $k \leq 4$. By induction on k , $(\tilde{\omega}_n)^{\wedge k}$ is a non-zero class in $HL_{2k}(\mathfrak{g}_n)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H_*^{\text{rel}}(\mathfrak{g}_n) &\simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n) \otimes HR_*(\mathfrak{g}_n) \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n) \otimes H_{*+3}^{\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{sp}_n) \\ HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n) &\simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n). \end{aligned}$$

For the cohomology isomorphism

$$HL^*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n^*), \quad \omega_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n dx^i \wedge dy^i,$$

where dx^i is the dual of ∂x^i and dy^i the dual of ∂y^i with respect to the basis of \mathfrak{g}_n given by $\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ given in §2. Since

$$HL^*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \simeq \text{Hom}(HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}), \mathbf{R}),$$

the result follows by using the full shuffle product on cochains. \square

5 A Characteristic Map

Let M be a symplectic manifold, $\mathcal{X}(M)$ the Lie algebra of C^∞ vector fields on M , and $\mathcal{X}_H(M)$ the Lie algebra of Hamiltonian vector fields [5, p. 85]. From [4], there is a natural map

$$H_{dR}^*(M; \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}(M); C^\infty(M)),$$

where $H_{dR}^*(M)$ denotes deRham cohomology. The inclusion of Lie algebras $\mathcal{X}_H(M) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$ induces a (contravariant) map

$$HL^*(\mathcal{X}(M); C^\infty(M)) \longrightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); C^\infty(M))$$

on cohomology, while the inclusion of coefficients $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ induces a (covariant) map

$$HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); C^\infty(M)).$$

Let $p \in M$ and let U be an open neighborhood of p homeomorphic to R^{2n} in the atlas of charts for M . There is a natural morphism of Lie algebras $\mathcal{X}_H(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_H(U)$ given by the restriction of vector fields from M to U , and resulting linear maps

$$HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(U); \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); C^\infty(M)).$$

Now, $\mathcal{X}_H(U) \simeq \mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ as Lie algebras, and thus there are local maps

$$HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n}); \mathbf{R})(p) \rightarrow HL^*(\mathcal{X}_H(M); \mathbf{R})$$

for each $p \in M$. Finally, the inclusion $\mathfrak{g}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$ is a morphism of Lie algebras inducing a map on Leibniz homology

$$HL_*(\mathfrak{g}_n; \mathbf{R}) \longrightarrow HL_*(\mathcal{X}_H(\mathbf{R}^{2n}); \mathbf{R}).$$

6 Appendix

The goal of the appendix is to establish Lemma (3.2), namely the vector space isomorphisms

$$[\Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n) := \sum_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^k(\omega_n) \tag{6.1}$$

$$[\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \simeq \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_n) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \Lambda^k(\omega_n), \tag{6.2}$$

where the former is also an algebra isomorphism. First, note that as an \mathfrak{sp}_n -module, $\mathfrak{g}_n \simeq I_n \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_n$, and

$$[\mathfrak{g}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \simeq [I_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \oplus [\mathfrak{sp}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}.$$

Thus, line (6.2) would follow from the vector space isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} [I_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} &\simeq \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_n) \\ [\mathfrak{sp}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} &= \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We first demonstrate isomorphism (6.1) in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1.

$$[\Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} \simeq \Lambda^*(\omega_n).$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \right\rangle \\ \mathfrak{sp}_1 &= \left\langle x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculation, $(I_1)^{\mathfrak{sp}_1} = \{0\}$, and $(I_1^{\wedge 2})^{\mathfrak{sp}_1} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \rangle$.

By the inductive hypothesis, suppose

$$[\Lambda^*(I_{n-1})]^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \Lambda^*(\omega_{n-1}).$$

Consider then two cases for $I_n^{\wedge k}$, k odd, and k even. For k odd, let $z \in I_n^{\wedge k}$ and consider

$$z = z_1 + z_2 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + z_3 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} + z_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n},$$

where $z_1 \in I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}$, $z_2, z_3 \in I_{n-1}^{\wedge(k-1)}$, and $z_4 \in I_{n-1}^{\wedge(k-2)}$. Note that

$$[z, y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}] = -z_2 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + z_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}.$$

For $z \in (I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}$, $[z, y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}] = 0$, and

$$z = z_1 + z_4 \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}.$$

For any $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}_n$, we have

$$0 = [z, X] = [z_1, X] + [z_4, X] \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}.$$

If non-zero, the terms $[z_1, X]$ and $[z_4, X] \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}$ are linearly independent and would not sum to zero. Thus,

$$z_1 \in (I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})^{\text{sp}_{n-1}} = \{0\}, \quad z_4 \in (I_{n-1}^{\wedge(k-2)})^{\text{sp}_{n-1}} = \{0\}.$$

It follows that $(I_n^{\wedge k})^{\text{sp}_n} = \{0\}$ for k odd.

For k even, let $k = 2q$, $z \in (I_n^{\wedge 2q})^{\text{sp}_n}$, and repeat the above argument to the point

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 &\in (I_{n-1}^{\wedge 2q})^{\text{sp}_{n-1}} = \langle \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} \rangle \\ z_4 &\in (I_{n-1}^{\wedge 2(q-1)})^{\text{sp}_{n-1}} = \langle \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $z = c_1 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} + c_2 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}$, $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbf{R}$. Bracketing with $X = x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} + x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}$ yields

$$0 = [z, X] = (c_2 - qc_1) \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}.$$

Hence, z is a real multiple of

$$\omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} + q \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} = \left(\omega_{n-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \right)^{\wedge q} = \omega_n^{\wedge q}.$$

□

Lemma 6.2.

$$[I_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\text{sp}_n} \simeq \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_n).$$

Proof. The proof proceeds by induction on n . For $n = 1$, a direct verification yields

$$(I_1)^{\text{sp}_1} = \{0\}, \quad (I_1 \otimes I_1)^{\text{sp}_1} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \right\rangle,$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}$. Also, $(I_1 \otimes I_1^{\wedge 2})^{\text{sp}_1} = \{0\}$ by direct calculation. The inductive hypothesis states

$$[I_{n-1} \otimes \Lambda^*(I_{n-1})]^{\text{sp}_{n-1}} \simeq \bar{\Lambda}^*(\omega_{n-1}).$$

Let $v \in I_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k}$, $v = u_1 + u_2$, where

$$u_1 \in I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}, \quad u_2 \in (I_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k}) / (I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}).$$

A vector space basis of $(I_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k}) / (I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})$ is given by the families of elements:

- (1) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-2}}$
- (2) $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-2}}$
- (3) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$
- (4) $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$
- (5) $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$
- (6) $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$
- (7) $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$
- (8) $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^3} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$
- (9) $\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^3} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$,

where, for each family, the z^i 's are elements of

$$\{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{n-1}\}.$$

Let $v \in (I_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}$ and $X = y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$. Then

$$0 = [v, X] = [u_1 + u_2, X] = [u_2, X].$$

To compute the \mathfrak{sp}_n -invariants, consider $u_2 \in \ker(\text{ad}_X)$, where $\text{ad}_X(w) = [w, X]$. The families (4), (5) and (7) above fall into $\ker(\text{ad}_X)$. Now consider $X = x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}$. Family (7) along with

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$$

are elements of $\ker(\text{ad}_X)$, $X = x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}$. Then $v = u_1 + s_1 + s_2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
s_1 &= \sum_{z^1, \dots, z^{k-1}} c_{1,*} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}} \right) \\
s_2 &= \sum_{z^1, \dots, z^{k-1}} c_{2,*} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}$,

$$0 = [v, X] = [u_1, X] + [s_1, X] + [s_2, X].$$

Note that

$$[u_1, X] \in I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}, \quad [s_1, X] \notin I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}, \quad [s_2, X] \notin I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}.$$

If non-zero, the summands of $[s_1, X]$ and $[s_2, X]$ would be linearly independent. Thus, $[s_1, X] = 0$, $[s_2, X] = 0$, and $u_1 \in (I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}}$. For k even, $(I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \{0\}$, $u_1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} [s_2, X] &= \sum_{z^1, \dots, z^{k-1}} c_{2,*} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}, X \right] \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}, \\ &\sum_{z^1, \dots, z^{k-1}} c_{2,*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}} \in (I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge(k-2)})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $v = s_1$. From

$$0 = [s_1, x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}], \quad 0 = [s_1, y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}],$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, it follows that $s_1 = 0$.

For k odd, let $k = 2q - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &\in (I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \langle \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} \rangle \\ \theta &:= \sum_{z^1, \dots, z^{k-1}} c_{2,*} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}} \in (I_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge(k-2)})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \langle \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \rangle \\ u_1 &= \lambda_1 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q}, \quad \theta = \lambda_2 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)}, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &[\lambda_1 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} + \lambda_2 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}, y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}] \\ &= (q\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$0 = [\lambda_1 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} + \lambda_2 \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge(q-1)} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} + s_1, X]$$

for $X = x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}$, $X = y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, it follows that $s_1 = 0$, and $(q\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) = 0$. Letting $\lambda_1 = 1$, we have $\lambda_2 = q$, and

$$v = \omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} + q\omega_{n-1}^{\wedge q} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} = \omega_n^{\wedge q}.$$

□

Lemma 6.3.

$$[\mathfrak{sp}_n \otimes \Lambda^*(I_n)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} = \{0\}.$$

Proof. We apply induction on n . For $n = 1$, write a general element of $\mathfrak{sp}_1 \otimes \Lambda^*(I_1)$ as a linear combination of the basis elements given in \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 of §2 ($n = 1$). Then apply ad_X for $X = (y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y^1} - x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1})$. The result $[\mathfrak{sp}_1 \otimes \Lambda^*(I_1)]^{\mathfrak{sp}_1} = \{0\}$ follows from linear algebra.

Suppose that $[\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} \otimes \Lambda^*(I_{n-1})]^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \{0\}$. Since \mathfrak{sp}_n is a simple Lie algebra, we have $(\mathfrak{sp}_n)^{\mathfrak{sp}_n} = \{0\}$. Let \mathcal{B}_1 be the vector space basis for \mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} given in §2, and let

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^n\} \\ S' &= \{x^1, x^2, \dots, x^{n-1}, y^1, y^2, \dots, y^{n-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

A vector space basis of $(\mathfrak{sp}_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k}) / (\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})$ is given by the families of elements:

- (1) $e \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-2}}$, $e \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $z^i \in S'$
- (2) $e \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$, $e \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $z^i \in S'$
- (3) $e \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{k-1}}$, $e \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $z^i \in S'$
- (4) $(x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $z^i \in S$
- (5) $(x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $i < n$, $z^j \in S$
- (6) $(y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $z^i \in S$
- (7) $(y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} + y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $i < n$, $z^j \in S$
- (8) $(y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $z^i \in S$
- (9) $(y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}$, $i < n$, $z^j \in S$

$$(10) \quad (y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^2} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z^k}, \quad i < n, z^j \in S$$

Given $w \in (\mathfrak{sp}_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_n}$, let $w = u + v$, where

$$u \in (\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}), \quad v \in (\mathfrak{sp}_n \otimes I_n^{\wedge k}) / (\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k}).$$

For all $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_n$, $0 = \text{ad}_X(w) = \text{ad}_X(u) + \text{ad}_X(v)$. Restricting to $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}$, notice that if non-zero, the elements $\text{ad}_X(u)$ and $\text{ad}_X(v)$ are linearly independent. Thus, $\text{ad}_X(u) = 0$, and

$$u \in (\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1} \otimes I_{n-1}^{\wedge k})^{\mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}} = \{0\}.$$

Now, v can be written as a linear combination of the elements in families (1)–(10). We prove that $v = 0$ by applying the condition $\text{ad}_X(v) = 0$ for successive choices of $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_n$. First apply $X = (y_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} - x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n})$, then $X \in \mathfrak{sp}_{n-1}$ together with the inductive hypothesis. Third, apply $X = x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n}$, fourth $X = (y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})$, fifth $X = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, and finally $X = (x_n \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} + x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n})$, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. \square

References

- [1] Hilton, P.J., Stammach, U., *A Course in Homological Algebra*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1971.
- [2] Hochschild, G., Serre, J-P., “Cohomology of Lie Algebras,” *Annals of Math.*, **57**, 3 (1953), 591–603.
- [3] Loday, J-L., Pirashvili, T., “Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)-homology,” *Math. Annalen*, **296**, 1 (1993), 139–158.
- [4] Lodder, J., “Leibniz cohomology for differentiable manifolds,” *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, Grenoble, **48**, 1 (1998), 73–95.
- [5] McDuff, D., Salamon, D., *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, second ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [6] Ntolo, P., “Homologie de Leibniz d’algèbres de Lie semi-simple,” *Comptes rendus de l’Académie des sciences Paris, Série I*, **318** (1994), 707–710.

- [7] Pirashvili, T., “On Leibniz Homology,” *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, Grenoble **44**, 2 (1994), 401–411.
- [8] Whitehead, G.W., *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Springer Verlag, New York, 1978.