

Connectivity of the space of ending laminations.

Christopher J. Leininger* & Saul Schleimer†

November 13, 2018

Abstract

We prove that for any closed surface of genus at least four, and any punctured surface of genus at least two, the space of ending laminations is connected. A theorem of E. Klarreich implies that this space is homeomorphic to the Gromov boundary of the complex of curves. It follows that the boundary of the complex of curves is connected in these cases, answering the conjecture of P. Storm. Other applications include the rigidity of the complex of curves and connectivity of spaces of degenerate Kleinian groups.

1 Introduction

Let $\Sigma = \Sigma_{g,n}$ be an orientable surface with genus g and n marked points. The space of measured foliations on Σ is denoted $\mathcal{MF}(\Sigma)$. A measured foliation is **arational** if there are no leaf cycles; see Section 2.5 (such foliations are necessarily minimal). We denote the space of arational measured foliations on Σ by $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{MF}(\Sigma)$ and give it the subspace topology. The space $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$ is related to the complex of curves and spaces of hyperbolic 3-manifolds as described below.

Theorem 1.1. *If $g \geq 4$ or $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is connected.*

We note that for $\Sigma = \Sigma_{1,1}$ and $\Sigma_{0,4}$, the space $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$, and so is totally disconnected.

To describe some of the applications of this theorem, we recall that the work of Masur and Minsky [32] together with that of Klarreich [29] (see also [9, 22]) implies that Harvey's complex of curves $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is δ -hyperbolic, and the Gromov boundary is homeomorphic to the quotient

$$\partial\mathcal{C}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)/\sim.$$

Here \sim denotes the equivalence relation obtained by forgetting the transverse measures. We thus have the following corollary of Theorem 1.1, affirmatively answering the Storm Conjecture for most surfaces (see [26, Question 10] and [35, page 8]).

*partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0603881

†partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0508971

Corollary 1.2. *If $g \geq 4$ or $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, then $\partial\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is connected.*

Connectivity of $\partial\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is a useful property when trying to understand the quasi-isometric geometry of $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$, as was shown by the second author and Rafi [39]. Recall the measure of complexity $\xi(\Sigma_{g,n}) = 3g - 3 + n$.

Theorem 1.3 (Rafi–Schleimer). *Suppose $\partial\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is connected and $\xi(\Sigma) \geq 4$. If Σ' is any surface for which $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma')$ are quasi-isometric, then $\Sigma \cong \Sigma'$.*

A version of this theorem holds for $\xi(\Sigma) \geq 2$: a quasi-isometry from $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ to $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma')$ is a bounded distance from a simplicial isomorphism. For $\xi(\Sigma) = 2, 3$ there are nonhomeomorphic surfaces with isomorphic curve complexes.

Now view $\Sigma = \Sigma_{g,n}$ as a surface of genus g with n boundary components. The natural homeomorphism between $\mathcal{MF}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{ML}(\Sigma)$, the space measured laminations on Σ , sends $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$ to the subspace of those laminations which are **filling**. The quotient of this space by forgetting the transverse measures is called the space of **ending laminations**, and is denoted $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$. Via the natural homeomorphism we see that the following are all homeomorphic

$$\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \cong \mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)/\sim \cong \partial\mathcal{C}(\Sigma).$$

The space $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$ is precisely the set of ending laminations of geometrically infinite Kleinian surface groups isomorphic to $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ without accidental parabolics.

The following is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.1 and its proof (see Theorems 4.8 and 5.5).

Theorem 1.4. *The space $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is connected and has no cut points when $g \geq 4$ or $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.*

The Ending Lamination Theorem of Brock–Canary–Minsky [34],[12] asserts that the end invariants $\mathcal{E}(M) = (\mathcal{E}^-(M), \mathcal{E}^+(M))$ are complete invariants of the hyperbolic manifold $M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$. Moreover, on the space of doubly degenerate Kleinian surface groups

$$\text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) = \{M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \mid \mathcal{E}(M) \in \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)\},$$

the map \mathcal{E} is a homeomorphism (Theorem 6.5). Although this seems to be well-known, we provide a proof in Section 6 for completeness. Thus one has the following.

Proposition 1.5. *The space $\text{DD}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is connected if $g \geq 4$ or $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.*

Remark 1.6. *On all of $\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$, the map \mathcal{E} fails to be continuous with respect to any of the usual topologies on the target (see [10]). However, discontinuity occurs in the presence of accidental parabolics which is not an issue for the situation being discussed here.*

A similar result holds if one considers the subset of the boundary of a Bers slice $\partial_0 B_Y \subset \partial B_Y$ consisting of those Kleinian groups without accidental parabolics. Then $\partial_0 B_Y \cong \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$ (see Theorem 6.6). So, as a corollary of Theorem 1.4 or 1.1, we have

Corollary 1.7. *The space $\partial_0 B_Y$ is connected if $g \geq 4$ or $g \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$.*

Finally, we remark that Theorem 1.1 has some bearing on problems regarding negatively curved 4-manifolds. More precisely, an open question is whether or not there exists a closed, negatively curved 4-manifold M which fibers over a surface (see Question 12.3(b) of [5]). The fiber is also a surface Σ , and a consequence of the work of Farb–Mosher [18] is that if such a manifold exists, then there is an embedding of a circle to $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$. One approach to prove that there are no such 4-manifolds would thus be to prove that $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$ is totally disconnected, or at least, prove that $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$ contains no circles. Theorem 1.1 thus removes this obstruction—indeed, during the course of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will construct many circles embedded in $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma)$ (see Section 4.5).

1.1 Plan of the paper

The proof of Theorem 1.1 for surfaces with a single marked point is considerably simpler, and follows from a suggestion made to us by Ken Bromberg. Proceed as follows: Fix an arational measured foliation on $\Sigma_{g,0}$. Introducing a marked point yields an arational measured foliation on $\Sigma_{g,1}$ and moving the point produces paths of such foliations. Indeed, the set of foliations so obtained forms a dense, path-connected subset of $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,1})$. Thus $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ is connected. The fact that the closure of a connected set is connected will be exploited several times.

Remark 1.8. *In joint work with Mahan Mj [15] we develop further tools, combining ideas from [27] and [36] to provide a more precise picture for surfaces with one marked point. As a consequence we prove that $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma_{g,1})$ is path connected and locally path-connected. We also note that using different techniques, David Gabai has now proven this for all surfaces $\Sigma_{g,n}$ with $3g + n \geq 5$ [20].*

For surfaces $\Sigma_{g,n}$ with $n \geq 2$ a similar strategy can be employed. However, the position of the marked points is much more delicate. Specifically, starting with an arational measured foliation on $\Sigma_{g,0}$, arbitrary placement of the n marked points does not result in an arational measured foliation on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. Consequently, we must first devise an effective criteria which guarantees that the position of n marked points determines an arational measured foliation on $\Sigma_{g,n}$. With such a criteria at our disposal, we can begin producing paths in $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,n})$.

Second, we must come to terms with the fact that the reduced flexibility in the placement of the marked points means that we have fewer paths to work with. In particular there is no obvious dense path-connected set. We use the dynamics of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes to produce paths which connect to pseudo-Anosov fixed points. Concatenating such paths we are able to produce a dense path-connected set, thus proving that $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,n})$ is connected.

Our criteria for the positions of the marked points requires that we chose orientable foliations in $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,0})$ when constructing paths. Moreover, to construct our dense path-connected subset of $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,n})$, we need some connected space of (not necessarily arational) orientable foliations to get started. The

space of complex valued 1–forms (holomorphic with respect to varying complex structures on $\Sigma_{g,0}$) provides such a space.

To prove that $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,0})$ is connected we apply a branched cover construction to find a family of connected subsets so that (a) the union of the connected sets is dense and (b) for any two subsets in the family, there is a finite chain of such subsets so that consecutive subsets in the chain nontrivially intersect. It follows that the union is a dense connected set, and hence $\mathcal{AF}(\Sigma_{g,0})$ is connected.

We end the paper by explaining the applications to hyperbolic 3-manifolds mentioned above in more detail.

Acknowledgements. We wish to thank Ken Bromberg for suggesting the method of moving marked points, Jeff Brock for convincing us to not give up on the branched cover approach for the closed case and both for many stimulating conversations. We would also like to thank Gilbert Levitt for some enjoyable conversations regarding Theorem 1.1. Finally, we would like to thank Cyril Lecuire and Jeff Brock for explaining to us the precise relation with hyperbolic 3-manifolds as discussed in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we briefly describe the background material we will need, make some notational conventions (most of which are standard), and make some preliminary observations.

We let S denote a closed surface of genus at least 2 and $\mathbf{z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset S$ a set with $n \geq 0$ points. Because we will wish to refer to the marked points by name, we will write (S, \mathbf{z}) instead of $\Sigma_{g,n}$. We view $\mathbf{z} \subset S$ as an ordered set of distinct points. We will sometimes refer to it as a point in the n –fold product $S \times \dots \times S$.

We will frequently make definitions for (S, \mathbf{z}) and consider them valid for S unless they clearly only apply when $|\mathbf{z}| \neq 0$.

2.1 Curves and paths

We will let $\mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$ denote the set of isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves contained in $S - \mathbf{z}$. It is also convenient to define $\mathcal{A}^0(S, \mathbf{z}) \supset \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$ by adding to $\mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$ the set of all isotopy classes of essential arcs meeting \mathbf{z} precisely in the endpoints of the arcs. Isotopies must fix \mathbf{z} . A curve or arc is essential if it cannot be isotoped into an arbitrarily small neighborhood of a point of \mathbf{z} . The geometric intersection number $\mathbf{i}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined for pairs of points in $\mathcal{A}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$ as the minimal number of points of intersection between representatives of the curves/arcs.

We let $\Gamma(S)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of oriented closed curves on S , and $\Gamma(S, z_i, z_j)$ the set of homotopy classes of oriented paths from z_i to z_j . Note that $\Gamma(S, z_i, z_j)$ and $\Gamma(S, z_j, z_i)$ differ simply by reversing the orientations

on all homotopy classes. This latter homotopy is relative to the endpoints, but for example, the path may be homotoped through other marked points.

2.2 Diffeomorphisms and mapping classes

The orientation preserving diffeomorphism group of S is denoted $\text{Diff}^+(S)$. There are several subgroups which we are interested in: $\text{Diff}^+(S, \mathbf{z})$, the subgroup consisting of those diffeomorphisms fixing each $z_i \in \mathbf{z}$, $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ and $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$, the respective components containing the identity, as well as the intersection

$$\text{Diff}_{0, \mathbf{z}}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \text{Diff}_0(S) \cap \text{Diff}^+(S, \mathbf{z}).$$

The **mapping class groups** we are interested in are

$$\text{Mod}(S) = \text{Diff}^+(S)/\text{Diff}_0(S)$$

$$\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \text{Diff}^+(S, \mathbf{z})/\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$$

Given a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(S)$, we will denote its image in $\text{Mod}(S)$ by $\bar{\phi}$. If $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(S, \mathbf{z})$, then we denote its image in $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ by $\hat{\phi}$.

We also have need to consider the (S, \mathbf{z}) -**braid group**

$$\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \text{Diff}_{0, \mathbf{z}}(S, \mathbf{z})/\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z}) < \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z}).$$

See below for the discussion of the Birman Exact Sequence and the connection to the usual definition of the surface braid group.

The mapping class groups act on the sets of curves and paths. More precisely, $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ acts on $\mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$, $\mathcal{A}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$, $\Gamma(S)$, $\Gamma(S, z_i, z_j)$ in the usual way by pushing forward homotopy/isotopy classes. We denote the result of the mapping class $\hat{\phi}$ acting on the homotopy/isotopy class of curve/path α by $\hat{\phi}(\alpha)$.

2.3 Configuration spaces

The configuration space of n -ordered points on S ($n \geq 1$) is the subspace of the n -fold product $S \times \dots \times S$ obtained by removing the locus where two coordinates are equal:

$$\text{Conf}_n(S) = \{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \mid p_i \in S \text{ for all } i \text{ and } p_i \neq p_j \text{ for all } i \neq j\}.$$

Observe that $\text{Conf}_1(S) \cong S$ and for $n \geq 2$, $\text{Conf}_n(S)$ fibers over $\text{Conf}_{n-1}(S)$ with fibers homeomorphic to S with $(n-1)$ points removed. Applying the long exact sequence of a fibration inductively, we see that all higher homotopy groups of $\text{Conf}_n(S)$ vanish. It follows that the universal covering $\widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$ is contractible.

We think of \mathbf{z} as a basepoint for $\text{Conf}_n(S)$. This determines an evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_{\mathbf{z}} : \text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(S)$$

given by $\text{ev}_{\mathbf{z}}(\phi) = \phi(\mathbf{z})$. As in Birman's work [6, 7], the group $\text{Diff}_{0,\mathbf{z}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ acts on the fibers, and makes $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ into a principal $\text{Diff}_{0,\mathbf{z}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ -bundle. We will use local trivializations for this fibration which we discuss in more detail in the next section.

The long exact sequence of homotopy groups of a fibration, together with the contractibility of $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ —due to Earle and Eells [16]—gives isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \pi_0(\text{Diff}_{0,\mathbf{z}}(S, \mathbf{z})) \cong \pi_1(\text{Conf}_n(S)). \quad (1)$$

This justifies our referring to $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z})$ as the braid group since the last group $\pi_1(\text{Conf}_n(S))$ is the usual definition for the (pure) n -strand braid group on S . This isomorphism and the short exact sequence below were obtained by Birman [6, 7] for the homeomorphism group.

It follows that the quotient of $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ by the smaller group $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$ is the universal cover $\widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$. We thus obtain $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ as a principal $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$ -bundle over $\widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$. Contractibility of $\widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$ implies

$$\text{Diff}_0(S) \cong \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z}). \quad (2)$$

The basepoint \mathbf{z} for $\text{Conf}_n(S)$ has a canonical lift $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ to $\widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$, namely the image of the identity in $\text{Diff}_0(S)$.

The inclusion $\text{Diff}^+(S, \mathbf{z}) < \text{Diff}^+(S)$ induces a homomorphism

$$\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S).$$

The discussion above, together with the isomorphism theorems from group theory situates this homomorphism into the **Birman Exact Sequence**

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}(S) \rightarrow 1.$$

We use this to view $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z})$ as a subgroup of $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$.

2.4 Local trivializations

We now describe the local trivializations (that is, local sections) for the principal bundles $\text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$ and $\text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(S)$ which we will use. We describe these only near the point \mathbf{z} as this is our primary case of interest.

Let B_1, \dots, B_n be open disk neighborhoods of z_1, \dots, z_n , respectively, in S with pairwise disjoint disk closures $\overline{B}_1, \dots, \overline{B}_n$. We let U_1, \dots, U_n be pairwise disjoint open disks with $\overline{B}_i \subset U_i$. Write $\mathbf{B} = B_1 \times \dots \times B_n$ and $\mathbf{U} = U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ with points denoted $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$.

Consider a smooth map

$$f : S \times \mathbf{B} \rightarrow S.$$

For $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}$, let $f_{\mathbf{b}} = f(\cdot, \mathbf{b}) : S \rightarrow S$. We suppose f has the following properties.

- $f_{\mathbf{z}} = \text{Id}$,

- $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a diffeomorphism for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}$,
- $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ is the identity outside $\cup_i U_i$,
- $f(z_i, \mathbf{b}) = b_i$ for every $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Note that $\mathbf{B} \subset \text{Conf}_n(S)$ is a neighborhood of \mathbf{z} and the map

$$f_{\mathbf{B}} : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \text{Diff}_0(S)$$

given by $f_{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{b}) = f_{\mathbf{b}}$ is a local trivialization for $\text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \text{Conf}_n(S)$ over this neighborhood. Similarly, this determines a local trivialization for $\text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$ over the neighborhood of $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ obtained by lifting \mathbf{B} to $\widehat{\text{Conf}}_n(S)$. We call either f or $f_{\mathbf{B}}$ a \mathbf{B} -trivialization.

Using local coordinates one can construct a \mathbf{B} -trivialization for any \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{B} as above.

2.5 Measured foliations

We refer the reader to [19] and [37] for a more detailed discussion of measured foliations on surfaces. We remark that the definitions of measured foliations for surfaces with marked points (or punctures) is treated in [19] by replacing the puncture with a boundary component, and making all definitions on compact surfaces with boundary.

A **measured foliation** on (S, \mathbf{z}) is a singular foliation \mathcal{F} on S together with a transverse measure μ of full support. The singularities of \mathcal{F} are all required to be p -prong singularities for $p \geq 1$, or $p \geq 3$ if the singularity does not occur at a marked point. We denote the set of singularities by $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset S$.

Given a measured foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) , and $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$, the geometric intersection number $\mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}, \mu))$ is defined as the infimum

$$\mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}, \mu)) = \inf_{\alpha_0 \in \alpha} \int_{\alpha_0} \mu.$$

This is the infimum of the total variation of α_0 as α_0 ranges over all representatives of α .

Two measured foliations (\mathcal{F}, μ) and (\mathcal{F}', μ') are declared to be equivalent on (S, \mathbf{z}) if

$$\mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}, \mu)) = \mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}', \mu'))$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$. Théorème 1 of Exposé 11 in [19] states (in particular) that this is the same as the equivalence relation on measured foliations generated by Whitehead equivalence and isotopy on (S, \mathbf{z}) . We denote the space of equivalence classes by $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$, topologized as a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})}$ via the inclusion

$$[\mathcal{F}, \mu] \mapsto \{\mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}, \mu))\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})}.$$

It will be convenient at times to denote the equivalence class of (\mathcal{F}, μ) by μ rather than $[\mathcal{F}, \mu]$. Thus, when we write μ we are referring to an equivalence class

of measured foliation (even if we inappropriately call it a measured foliation), where as the notation (\mathcal{F}, μ) means the actual measured foliation, not just the equivalence class it determines. We also write $\mathbf{i}(\alpha, \mu)$ to denote $\mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}, \mu))$ when convenient.

A measured foliation $\mu \in \mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is **orientable** if it has a representative which is transversely orientable. We remark that any transversely orientable foliation is Whitehead equivalent to one which is not transversely orientable. The reason is that a Whitehead move can turn an even prong singularity into a pair of odd prong singularities.

We define a **saddle connection** of a foliation \mathcal{F} to be the image of a path $\epsilon : I \rightarrow S$, defined on a compact interval I , with the following properties:

- ϵ is injective and tangent to \mathcal{F} on the interior of I ,
- ϵ maps the interior disjoint from $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cup \mathbf{z}$, and
- ϵ maps the endpoints of I into $\text{sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cup \mathbf{z}$.

A **leaf cycle** is an embedded loop or an embedded path connecting points of \mathbf{z} which is a concatenation of saddle connections. A leaf cycle which is a loop is called a **closed leaf cycle**.

A measured foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) is **arational** if \mathcal{F} has no leaf cycle. The existence of a leaf cycle is not changed by Whitehead moves, and so we may say μ is arational if any representative is. An equivalent formulation is that a measured foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) is arational if

$$\mathbf{i}(\alpha, (\mathcal{F}, \mu)) > 0 \text{ for every } \alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z}).$$

If a measured foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) on (S, \mathbf{z}) has no 1-prong singularities, then it determines points in both $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\mathcal{MF}(S) = \mathcal{MF}(S, \emptyset)$. We write μ and $\pi(\mu)$ for these respective points.

Lemma 2.1. *If (\mathcal{F}, μ) has no 1-prong singularities and $\pi(\mu)$ is arational, then μ is arational if and only if it has no leaf cycle connecting two distinct points $z_i, z_j \in \mathbf{z}$.*

Proof. To distinguish whether we are viewing the foliation \mathcal{F} on S or (S, \mathbf{z}) we write $(\mathcal{F}, \pi(\mu))$ and (\mathcal{F}, μ) , respectively. The only minor subtlety involved in the proof is that a saddle connection for (\mathcal{F}, μ) which has at least one endpoint in \mathbf{z} is not necessarily a saddle connection for $(\mathcal{F}, \pi(\mu))$.

If μ is arational, then there are no leaf cycles, by definition. In particular, there are no leaf cycles connecting z_i to z_j for any $i \neq j$.

We prove the other implication by proving the contrapositive. Suppose that μ is not arational so that there exists a leaf cycle γ . Because γ is embedded, one can check that one of the following must happen: (1) γ is a closed leaf cycle for $(\mathcal{F}, \pi(\mu))$, (2) γ is a closed leaf of $(\mathcal{F}, \pi(\mu))$, or (3) γ is a non-closed leaf cycle. Case (1) cannot happen since we are assuming that $(\mathcal{F}, \pi(\mu))$ is arational. Likewise, case (2) implies that there is a cylinder, the boundary of which contains a closed leaf cycle, again ruled out by arationality of $(\mathcal{F}, \pi(\mu))$. It follows that case (3) must occur, which is the desired conclusion. \square

The group $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ acts on $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and this can be most easily described via the change in intersection numbers. Specifically, if α is the isotopy class of a closed curve or arc, $\mu \in \mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$, and $\hat{\phi} \in \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ then

$$\mathbf{i}(\alpha, \hat{\phi} \cdot \mu) = \mathbf{i}(\hat{\phi}^{-1}(\alpha), \mu).$$

That is, the action should preserve geometric intersection number.

It is also convenient to have the space $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ of **projective measured foliations**. This is the quotient of $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ by the action of \mathbb{R}_+ by scaling the transverse measure. The action of $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ on $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ descends to an action on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. An element of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is arational if and only if any (equivalently, every) of its preimages is.

If $\hat{\phi} \in \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is pseudo-Anosov we let $\mathbb{P}(\mu_s), \mathbb{P}(\mu_u) \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ denote the **stable and unstable projective measured foliations** of $\hat{\phi}$. These are attracting and repelling fixed points, respectively. That is, on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z}) - \{\mathbb{P}(\mu_u)\}$, iteration of $\hat{\phi}$ converges uniformly on compact sets to the constant map with value $\mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$. Inverting $\hat{\phi}$ we obtain the same dynamics after interchanging $\mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mu_u)$. We also call μ_s and μ_u the stable and unstable measured foliations of $\hat{\phi}$, though they are only well defined up the action of \mathbb{R}_+ .

Lemma 2.2. *If $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is pseudo-Anosov and μ_s is its stable foliation, then any representative of μ_s has a 1-prong singularity.*

Proof. Let $\phi_0 : (S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow (S, \mathbf{z})$ be a representative *pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism* of $\hat{\phi}$ with stable and unstable measured foliations (\mathcal{F}_s, μ_s) and (\mathcal{F}_u, μ_u) ; see [19]. Suppose \mathcal{F}_s has no 1-prong singularity. By transversality \mathcal{F}_u does not have one either. It follows that we can forget \mathbf{z} and $\phi_0 : S \rightarrow S$ is still a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Therefore, the class in $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ determined by ϕ_0 is pseudo-Anosov. However, $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z})$ means that any representative lies in $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ and so is trivial in $\text{Mod}(S)$, and cannot be pseudo-Anosov on S .

Note that if (\mathcal{F}'_s, μ'_s) is any other representative of the class μ_s , then \mathcal{F}_s is obtained from \mathcal{F}'_s by isotopy and *collapsing* Whitehead moves only. This is because \mathcal{F}_s can have no saddle connections. If \mathcal{F}'_s had a 1-prong singularity, then \mathcal{F}_s must have also had a 1-prong singularity. \square

2.6 Teichmüller space and holomorphic 1-forms

To discuss the space of holomorphic 1-forms, which is the primary space of interest for us, we first recall some facts about Teichmüller space. The space of complex structures on S , compatible with the smooth structure and orientation, is denoted $\mathcal{H}(S)$. The group $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}(S)$ *on the right* by pulling back complex structures, and the Teichmüller space of S is the quotient by the action of the subgroup $\text{Diff}_0(S)$

$$\mathcal{T}(S) = \mathcal{H}(S)/\text{Diff}_0(S).$$

The action on the fibers of the map $\mathcal{H}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ is simply transitive giving $\mathcal{H}(S)$ the structure of a principal $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ -bundle [16]. Contractibility of $\mathcal{T}(S)$

implies

$$\mathcal{H}(S) \cong \mathcal{T}(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S).$$

Keeping track of the marked points $\mathbf{z} \subset S$ amounts to taking the quotient by the smaller group $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$. That is, the Teichmüller space of (S, \mathbf{z}) is

$$\mathcal{T}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{H}(S) / \text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z}).$$

Combining this discussion with (2) we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}(S) \cong \mathcal{T}(S) \times \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z}) \cong \mathcal{T}(S, \mathbf{z}) \times \text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z}) \quad (3)$$

and so

$$\mathcal{T}(S, \mathbf{z}) \cong \mathcal{T}(S) \times \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S).$$

Remark 2.3. *In the case that \mathbf{z} is a single marked point, Bers proved that the quotient map $\mathcal{T}(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ is a holomorphic fibration [4]. Bers' Theorem holds in the more general situation that S has finite type. From this and an inductive argument, it follows that the fibration $\mathcal{T}(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S)$ is holomorphic for any finite set \mathbf{z} (not just a single point), though we will not use this fact here.*

For each $X \in \mathcal{H}(S)$, we have the vector space of 1-forms which are holomorphic with respect to X . This determines a g -dimensional complex vector bundle over $\mathcal{H}(S)$ and we denote the bundle obtained from this by removing the zero section by

$$\tilde{\Omega}(S) = \{(X, \omega) \mid X \in \mathcal{H}(S) \text{ and } \omega \text{ a holomorphic 1-form on } (S, X)\}.$$

We will refer to a point of $\tilde{\Omega}(S)$ as (X, ω) , or sometimes simply ω since the complex structure X is determined by the 1-form ω . We let $\text{Zeros}(\omega)$ denote the set of zeros of ω .

We sometimes view $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ as a *translation structure* on S (see e.g. [17]). This is a singular flat metric on S with trivial holonomy and a preferred vertical direction in each tangent space. The singularities are isolated cone-type singularities occurring precisely at the points of $\text{Zeros}(\omega)$, and having cone angles in $2\pi\mathbb{Z}$. The metric (and notion of vertical) are pulled back from \mathbb{C} via *natural coordinates* obtained by integrating ω over a sufficiently small simply connected open neighborhood U of a point p_0 in $S - \text{Zeros}(\omega)$:

$$\zeta(p) = \int_{p_0}^p \omega.$$

We say that the natural coordinate ζ is based at p_0 . In the natural coordinates ω has the simple form $\omega = d\zeta$.

The metric on S associated to ω is locally CAT(0). Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$, there may not be a geodesic representative in $S - \{\mathbf{z}\}$ as this surface is incomplete. However, a sequence of representatives with lengths approaching the infimum

has a limit in S (which may nontrivially intersect \mathbf{z}) by the Arzela–Ascoli Theorem. This is a geodesic, except possibly at points of \mathbf{z} where incoming and outgoing geodesic segments can make an angle less than π . We will refer to such curve as a geodesic representative for α .

The right action of $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ on $\mathcal{H}(S)$ naturally lifts to an action on $\tilde{\Omega}(S)$. This action is equivalently the restriction of the action of $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ on all 1-forms

$$\omega \cdot \phi = \phi^*(\omega)$$

for $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(S)$ and $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$. We consider two quotients

$$\Omega(S) = \tilde{\Omega}(S)/\text{Diff}_0(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(S, \mathbf{z}) = \tilde{\Omega}(S)/\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$$

Equation (3) implies a product structure

$$\Omega(S, \mathbf{z}) \cong \Omega(S) \times \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S). \quad (4)$$

Let

$$\pi : \Omega(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \Omega(S)$$

denote the projection.

Perhaps the most important point of what follows is the distinction between points of $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ and of $\Omega(S)$. Given $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ we will write $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\bar{\omega} = \pi(\hat{\omega}) \in \Omega(S)$ for the associated points in the quotient spaces.

The right action of $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ on $\tilde{\Omega}(S)$ determines a left action in the usual way by defining

$$\phi \cdot \omega = \phi^{-1*}(\omega). \quad (5)$$

This descends to left actions of $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\text{Mod}(S)$ on $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\Omega(S)$, respectively:

$$\hat{\phi} \cdot \hat{\omega} = \widehat{\phi^{-1*}(\omega)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\phi} \cdot \bar{\omega} = \overline{\phi^{-1*}(\omega)}.$$

Let $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ be any point. We denote the fiber of π over $\bar{\omega}$ by $F_{\bar{\omega}} = \pi^{-1}(\bar{\omega})$ and note that with respect to the product structure of (4) we have

$$F_{\bar{\omega}} \cong \{\hat{\omega}\} \times \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S).$$

From here we see the isomorphism (2) clearly; the action of $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z}) < \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{\omega}}$ is by covering transformations.

2.7 A neighborhood in the fiber

We will frequently need to consider families of 1-forms and not just their isotopy classes, and we use the trivializations described in Section 2.4 to construct these. More precisely, consider any \mathbf{B} -trivialization $f : S \times \mathbf{B} \rightarrow S$. Given $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$, f determines a map we denote

$$f^\omega : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}(S)$$

which is defined by

$$f^\omega(\mathbf{b}) = f_{\mathbf{b}}^* \omega.$$

We can compose f^ω with the projections to both $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\Omega(S)$. Since $f_{\mathbf{b}} \in \text{Diff}_0(S)$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}$, the latter map is simply the constant map with value $\bar{\omega}$. We are primarily interested in the composition with the former projection, which we denote

$$\hat{f}^\omega : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \Omega(S, \mathbf{z}).$$

The image of \hat{f}^ω lies in $F_{\bar{\omega}}$. Since this is a local trivialization of the bundle

$$\text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Conf}}_n(S),$$

\hat{f}^ω maps onto a neighborhood of $\hat{\omega} = \hat{f}^\omega(\mathbf{z})$ in $F_{\bar{\omega}}$.

2.8 From 1-forms to foliations

An element $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ determines a harmonic 1-form, $\text{Re}(\omega)$, on S . Let γ_0 denote any representative of a homotopy class γ in $\Gamma(S)$ and $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$. Since $\text{Re}(\omega)$ is harmonic, it is closed and hence the integral

$$\int_{\gamma_0} \text{Re}(\omega)$$

is independent of the choice of representative γ_0 of γ .

By definition of the left action of $\text{Diff}^+(S)$ on $\tilde{\Omega}(S)$, if $\phi \in \text{Diff}^+(S)$ and $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$, then

$$\int_{\gamma_0} \text{Re}(\phi \cdot \omega) = \int_{\gamma_0} \phi^{-1*}(\text{Re}(\omega)) = \int_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma_0)} \text{Re}(\omega). \quad (6)$$

If $\phi \in \text{Diff}_0(S)$, then $\phi^{-1} \in \text{Diff}_0(S)$, and so $\phi^{-1}(\gamma_0)$ also represents γ . Therefore

$$\int_{\gamma_0} \text{Re}(\phi \cdot \omega) = \int_{\phi^{-1}(\gamma_0)} \text{Re}(\omega) = \int_{\gamma_0} \text{Re}(\omega).$$

It follows that we can well-define

$$\int_{\gamma} \text{Re}(\bar{\omega}) = \int_{\gamma_0} \text{Re}(\omega)$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma(S)$ and $\bar{\omega} \in \Omega(S)$.

By the same reasoning, we can well-define

$$\int_{\gamma} \text{Re}(\hat{\omega})$$

for any $\gamma \in \Gamma(S)$ or $\Gamma(S, z_i, z_j)$ and $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$, by picking arbitrarily representatives of the relevant equivalence classes. Furthermore, (6) implies that the actions of $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\text{Mod}(S)$ satisfy

$$\int_{\bar{\phi}(\gamma)} \text{Re}(\bar{\phi} \cdot \bar{\omega}) = \int_{\gamma} \text{Re}(\bar{\omega}) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\hat{\phi}(\gamma)} \text{Re}(\hat{\phi} \cdot \hat{\omega}) = \int_{\gamma} \text{Re}(\hat{\omega}). \quad (7)$$

A 1-form $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ also determines a measured foliation on S . The foliation is denoted $\mathcal{F}(\text{Re}(\omega))$ as it is obtained by integrating the line field $\ker(\text{Re}(\omega))$. The measure $|\text{Re}(\omega)|$ is obtained by integrating the absolute value of $\text{Re}(\omega)$. Passing to the quotient by $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\text{Diff}_0(S)$ we obtain well-defined points $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})| \in \mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $|\text{Re}(\tilde{\omega})| \in \mathcal{MF}(S)$, respectively.

This determines a map

$$|\text{Re}| : \Omega(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$$

defined by

$$|\text{Re}|(\hat{\omega}) = |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|.$$

Lemma 2.4. $|\text{Re}|$ is continuous and $\text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ -equivariant.

Proof. Continuity is well known (cf. [23]). The idea is that given $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$, as $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ varies, the geodesic representatives vary continuously. Since the geodesic representatives realize $\mathbf{i}(\alpha, |\text{Re}(\omega)|)$, it easily follows that this quantity varies continuously, proving continuity of $|\text{Re}|$.

To see the equivariance, we need only compare the various definitions. Fixing $\hat{\phi} \in \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$, we must show

$$\hat{\phi} \cdot |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})| = |\text{Re}(\hat{\phi} \cdot \hat{\omega})|.$$

The action on $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is determined by the action on $\mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$ via intersection numbers according to the equation

$$\mathbf{i}(\alpha, \hat{\phi} \cdot |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|) = \mathbf{i}(\hat{\phi}^{-1}(\alpha), |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|)$$

for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$. Therefore, we fix any $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$ and we must prove

$$\mathbf{i}(\hat{\phi}^{-1}(\alpha), |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|) = \mathbf{i}(\alpha, |\text{Re}(\hat{\phi} \cdot \hat{\omega})|).$$

Arbitrarily orienting α (i.e. coherently orienting all representatives of α), and picking any representative ϕ of $\hat{\phi}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i}(\alpha, |\text{Re}(\hat{\phi} \cdot \hat{\omega})|) &= \mathbf{i}(\alpha, |\text{Re}(\widehat{\phi \cdot \omega})|) = \inf_{\alpha_0 \in \alpha} \int_{\alpha_0} |\text{Re}(\phi \cdot \omega)| \\ &= \inf_{\alpha_0 \in \alpha} \int_{\alpha_0} |\phi^{-1*}(\text{Re}(\omega))| = \inf_{\alpha_0 \in \alpha} \int_{\alpha_0} \phi^{-1*} |\text{Re}(\omega)| \\ &= \inf_{\alpha_0 \in \alpha} \int_{\phi^{-1}(\alpha_0)} |\text{Re}(\omega)| = \inf_{\beta_0 \in \hat{\phi}^{-1}(\alpha)} \int_{\beta_0} |\text{Re}(\omega)| \\ &= \mathbf{i}(\hat{\phi}^{-1}(\alpha), |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|) \end{aligned}$$

This proves equivariance and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3 Periods and arationality

Given $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$, we define the **periods of $\hat{\omega}$** by

$$\text{Per}(\hat{\omega}) = \left\{ \int_{\alpha} \text{Re}(\hat{\omega}) \mid \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(S) \right\}.$$

For each $i \neq j$ between 1 and n , we define the **ij -relative periods of $\hat{\omega}$** by

$$\text{Per}_{ij}(\hat{\omega}) = \left\{ \int_{\alpha} \text{Re}(\hat{\omega}) \mid \forall \alpha \in \Gamma(S, z_i, z_j) \right\}.$$

We note that $\text{Per}(\hat{\omega})$ depends only on $\pi(\hat{\omega}) = \bar{\omega}$, whereas $\text{Per}_{ij}(\hat{\omega})$ actually depends on $\hat{\omega}$.

Our interest in the periods and relative periods comes from the following.

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$, $|\text{Re}(\bar{\omega})| \in \mathcal{AF}(S)$, and for every $i \neq j$ we have*

$$\text{Per}_{ij}(\hat{\omega}) \not\subset \text{Per}(\hat{\omega}).$$

Then $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})| \in \mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. We will apply Lemma 2.1 and therefore need only check that for every $i \neq j$ the points z_i and z_j are not connected by a leaf cycle of $\mathcal{F}(\ker(\text{Re}(\omega)))$.

Suppose on the contrary that there is a leaf cycle δ with endpoints z_i and z_j . If ϵ is any path from z_i to z_j , then we can build a closed curve $\alpha = \delta \cup \epsilon$ by concatenating these two paths. Because δ is a leaf cycle, the integral of $\text{Re}(\omega)$ over δ is zero and so

$$\int_{\alpha} \text{Re}(\omega) = \int_{\delta} \text{Re}(\omega) + \int_{\epsilon} \text{Re}(\omega) = \int_{\epsilon} \text{Re}(\omega).$$

This implies $\text{Per}_{ij}(\omega) \subset \text{Per}(\omega)$ which is a contradiction. \square

The following subspace of Ω is needed for technical reasons (see Section 4). Define the subspace $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z}) \subset \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ to be

$$\tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z}) = \{\omega \mid \text{Zeros}(\omega) \cap \mathbf{z} = \emptyset\}$$

The group $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$ leaves $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ invariant and we let $\Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ denote the image in $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Lemma 3.2. $\Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ is path-connected and dense in $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. The space $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ is the complement of the zero section of a complex vector bundle over the Teichmüller space. Since Teichmüller space is path-connected, so is $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$. The space $\Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ is the complement of a subspace with real-codimension 2: the subspace $\{z_i \in \text{Zeros}(\omega)\}$ is codimension 2 since for any fixed $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$, z_i and $\text{Zeros}(\omega)$ are both zero-dimensional, and the zeros (considered as a function from $\tilde{\Omega}(S)$ to the $(2g - 2)$ -fold product $S \times \dots \times S$, modulo the action by the symmetric group) vary continuously. It follows that $\Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ is dense and path-connected. \square

We now come to a more interesting subspace. Define

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \left\{ \omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z}) \mid \begin{array}{l} |\text{Re}(\bar{\omega})| \text{ is arational, and for every} \\ i \neq j, \text{ Per}_{ij}(\hat{\omega}) \not\subset \text{Per}(\hat{\omega}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

By construction $\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is invariant by $\text{Diff}_0(S, \mathbf{z})$ and we define $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ to be the image in $\Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proposition 3.3. $|\text{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})) \subset \mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. This is immediate from Proposition 3.1 and the definition of $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$. \square

In order for this subspace to be useful, we need the following.

Proposition 3.4. $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is nonempty and dense in $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. First note that the set of $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ for which $|\text{Re}(\bar{\omega})|$ is arational is a dense subset. Indeed, for *any* $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}(S)$, the set of θ for which $|\text{Re}(e^{i\theta}\omega)|$ fails to be arational is countable—there are only countably many directions with a saddle connection; see also [28].

We therefore fix $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ such that $|\text{Re}(\bar{\omega})|$ is arational and will prove that the intersection $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}) \cap F_{\bar{\omega}}$ is dense in $F_{\bar{\omega}}$. The proposition will follow from this and Lemma 3.2.

Density in $F_{\bar{\omega}}$ comes from basic genericity considerations: we will show that the relative periods vary by a translation of \mathbb{R} in a controlled way as one moves around within the fibers, while the periods do not change. Since the sets of periods and relative periods are countable, this will easily imply the result. We now explain this more precisely.

We will consider a \mathbf{B} -trivialization, $f : S \times \mathbf{B} \rightarrow S$. Let $f^\omega : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}(S)$ and $\hat{f}^\omega : \mathbf{B} \rightarrow \Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ be the associated maps as in Section 2.7.

We choose the specific $\mathbf{B} = B_1 \times \dots \times B_n$ and $\mathbf{U} = U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ so that for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, the natural coordinate ζ_j based at z_j is defined on U_j (see Section 2.6). Moreover, we require that ζ_j maps B_j diffeomorphically onto a square in \mathbb{C} . That is, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ so that

$$\zeta_j(B_j) = (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^2 = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid x, y \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)\}.$$

Observe that $U_j \cap \text{Zeros}(\omega) = \emptyset$. Since $\text{Zeros}(f^\omega(\mathbf{b})) = \text{Zeros}(f_{\mathbf{b}}^*(\omega))$, $f_{\mathbf{b}}$ is the identity outside $\cup_i U_i$ and $f_{\mathbf{b}}(z_i) = b_i \in B_i \subset U_i$, it follows that $\hat{f}^\omega(\mathbf{B}) \subset \Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Claim. *There exists a dense subset $\mathbf{E} \subset \mathbf{B}$ so that $\hat{f}^\omega(\mathbf{E}) \subset \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$. Equivalently, $f_{\mathbf{b}}^*(\omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{E}$.*

Since $\hat{f}^\omega(\mathbf{B})$ is a neighborhood of $\hat{\omega}$ in $F_{\bar{\omega}}$, this claim implies that there exists a point of $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ arbitrarily close to $\hat{\omega}$. Since $\hat{\omega}$ was an arbitrary point of a dense subset, this will prove the proposition.

Proof of Claim. Let $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ be any path from z_i to z_j , representing an element of $\Gamma(S, z_i, z_j)$. The following says that the change in γ -period from ω to $f_{\mathbf{b}}^*(\omega)$ is independent of γ , and is given by a simple function defined on \mathbf{B} .

Subclaim.

$$\int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(f_{\mathbf{b}}^* \omega) - \int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_j(b_j)) - \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_i(b_i))$$

Proof of Subclaim. For any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{B}$ fix a path $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ going from \mathbf{z} to \mathbf{b} , writing $\sigma(t) = (\sigma_1(t), \dots, \sigma_n(t))$. This determines a map

$$H : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$$

by $H(t, u) = f_{\sigma(u)}(\gamma(t))$.

The restriction of H to the boundary of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ determines four paths. The bottom path is $H(t, 0) = \gamma(t)$. The top path is $H(t, 1) = f_{\sigma(1)}(\gamma(t)) = f_{\mathbf{b}}(\gamma(t))$. The left side path, oriented up, is $H(0, u) = f_{\sigma(u)}(\gamma(0)) = f_{\sigma(u)}(z_i) = \sigma_i(u)$ and the right side path, also oriented up, is $H(1, u) = f_{\sigma(u)}(\gamma(1)) = f_{\sigma(u)}(z_j) = \sigma_j(u)$. Because $\operatorname{Re}(\omega)$ is closed, the integral over the boundary of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ of $H^*(\operatorname{Re}(\omega))$ is zero and so

$$0 = \int_{H(\partial([0,1] \times [0,1]))} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \int_{f_{\mathbf{b}}(\gamma)} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) + \int_{\sigma_i} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) - \int_{\sigma_j} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) - \int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(\omega).$$

Since σ_i connects z_i to b_i within B_i and σ_j connects z_j to b_j within B_j we have

$$\int_{\sigma_i} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_i(b_i)) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\sigma_j} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_j(b_j)).$$

Combining this with the previous equation and the descriptions of the four paths involved in that equation we obtain

$$\int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(f_{\mathbf{b}}^* \omega) = \int_{f_{\mathbf{b}}(\gamma)} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) + \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_j(b_j)) - \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_i(b_i))$$

and this proves the subclaim. \square

We now see that

$$\operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b})) = \operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\widehat{f_{\mathbf{b}}^* \omega}) = \operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\hat{\omega}) + \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_j(b_j)) - \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_i(b_i)).$$

That is, the subsets of \mathbb{R} , $\operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\hat{\omega})$ and $\operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b}))$ differ exactly by a translation by $\operatorname{Re}(\zeta_j(b_j)) - \operatorname{Re}(\zeta_i(b_i))$. Since the set of periods and relative periods are all countable sets and since $\operatorname{Per}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b})) = \operatorname{Per}(\hat{\omega})$ it follows that for almost all \mathbf{b} we have

$$\operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b})) \cap \operatorname{Per}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b})) = \emptyset$$

In particular, setting

$$\mathbf{E} = \left\{ \mathbf{b} \mid \operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b})) \cap \operatorname{Per}(\hat{f}^{\omega}(\mathbf{b})) = \emptyset \right\}$$

we have found the required set. \square

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Corollary 3.5. $|\operatorname{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$ is dense in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. Since $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is invariant by $\operatorname{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$, Lemma 2.4 implies that $|\operatorname{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$ is also $\operatorname{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ -invariant. Now, $\operatorname{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ acts minimally on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ —see Theorem 6.7 of [19]—and so it follows that the image of $|\operatorname{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$ in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is dense. This implies that the same is true of $|\operatorname{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$ in $\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$, and so also in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. \square

4 Paths in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$

In this section, we prove the key ingredient which produces an abundance of paths in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Theorem 4.1. *There is an open cover of \mathcal{U} of $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ with the property that for any $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and any $\hat{\omega}, \hat{\eta} \in U \cap \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, there is a path in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ connecting $|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ and $|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{\eta})|$.*

4.1 Twisting pairs

Fix a point $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z})$. We say that a pair of simple closed curves α and β on S are a **twisting pair** for ω if

- α and β meet transversely and minimally,
- α and β fill S ,
- $\mathbf{z} \subset \alpha \cap \beta$, and
- α and β are transverse to $\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{Re}(\omega))$, and $\alpha \cap \operatorname{Zeros}(\omega) = \beta \cap \operatorname{Zeros}(\omega) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S, \mathbf{z})$, there is a twisting pair α, β for ω .*

Proof. Pick two distinct points $e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2} \in S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$ neither of which is equal to 1 and let $\mathcal{F}_j = \mathcal{F}(\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta_j}\omega))$ and $\mu_j = |\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\theta_j}\omega)|$ for $j = 1, 2$. We choose $e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\theta_2}$ so that (\mathcal{F}_1, μ_1) and (\mathcal{F}_2, μ_2) are uniquely ergodic and arational. According to [28], this is true for almost every $e^{i\theta} \in S^1$. We also assume, as we may, that the leaves through z_1 do not pass through $\operatorname{Zeros}(\omega) = \operatorname{Zeros}(e^{i\theta_j}\omega)$, for $j = 1, 2$.

For each $j = 1, 2$, we construct a sequence of simple closed curves $\{\gamma_k^j\}_{k=1}^\infty$ which approximate leaves of \mathcal{F}_j . Start with a ray in the leaf of \mathcal{F}_j emanating from z_1 . Take an initial segment of length at least k which comes sufficiently close to z_1 so that it can be smoothly closed up to a simple closed curve γ_k^j transverse to $\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{Re}(\omega))$; see Figure 1. For this, one can work in the natural coordinate ζ_1 based at z_1 for ω (as described in Section 2.6) and appeal to the fact that the ray is dense in S by arationality of \mathcal{F}_j .

Given any $\epsilon > 0$, we can also assume that the curve γ_k^j makes an angle at most ϵ with \mathcal{F}_j at every point, provided k is sufficiently large. In particular, if




Figure 1: Closing up to a simple closed curve through z_1 .

ϵ is sufficiently small, it follows that γ_k^1 and γ_k^2 will intersect transversely and minimally.

Observe that by construction, $i(\gamma_k^j, \mu_j) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and hence by unique ergodicity and arationality, $\gamma_k^j \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mu_j)$ in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S)$ for each $j = 1, 2$. So by taking k even larger if necessary, we may assume that γ_k^1 and γ_k^2 fill S .

Finally, because both rays are dense and transverse to each other, their points of intersection are dense. Hence by taking k larger still, we can guarantee that the set of intersection points of γ_k^1 and γ_k^2 are ϵ -dense. In particular, each z_i is within ϵ of a point of intersection of γ_k^1 and γ_k^2 . For sufficiently small ϵ (again, working in a natural coordinate), we can perturb γ_k^1 and γ_k^2 to simple closed curves α and β which are a twisting pair for ω . See Figure 2. \square




Figure 2: Perturbing γ_k^1 and γ_k^2 to α and β passing through z_i .

4.2 The group of a twisting pair.

Now let α, β be a twisting pair for $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$. Our first goal is to define isotopies, $D_{\alpha,t}$ and $D_{\beta,t}$ supported on annular neighborhoods of α and β , respectively,

which push the set \mathbf{z} once around α and β , respectively, at a constant speed as measured with respect to $\operatorname{Re}(\omega)$. We will use these isotopies to construct paths in $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ which will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.1. We now describe this in more detail.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be such that the ϵ -neighborhood $N_\epsilon(\alpha)$ is an annulus, and the foliation $\mathcal{F}(\operatorname{Re}(\omega))$ restricted to this annulus is the product foliation $N_\epsilon(\alpha) \cong S^1 \times [0, 1]$. More precisely, we have a diffeomorphism

$$f_\alpha : N_\epsilon(\alpha) \rightarrow S^1 \times [0, 1]$$

which we assume takes α to $S^1 \times \{1/2\}$. Moreover, we can choose f_α so that

$$f_\alpha^*(ds) = \frac{1}{c} \operatorname{Re}(\omega)$$

where $c = \mathbf{i}(\alpha, |\operatorname{Re}(\omega)|)$ and ds is the 1-form coming from the factor $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ where it is defined by the standard coordinate s on \mathbb{R} . Let $D_{\alpha,t} : S \rightarrow S$, $t \in [0, 1]$ be an isotopy supported on $N_\epsilon(\alpha)$ defined as follows.

Let $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function identically zero in a neighborhood of 0 and 1, and equal to 1 at $1/2$. Define

$$D_{\alpha,t}^0 : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^1 \times [0, 1]$$

by

$$D_{\alpha,t}^0(s, x) = (s + t\psi(x), x).$$

Then define $D_{\alpha,t}$ to be the identity outside $N_\epsilon(\alpha)$ and equal to

$$f_\alpha^{-1} D_{\alpha,t}^0 f_\alpha$$

on $N_\epsilon(\alpha)$.

Likewise, we can define

$$D_{\beta,t} : S \rightarrow S$$

using β in place of α .

Proposition 4.3. *If $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, then $D_{\alpha,t}^*(\omega), D_{\beta,t}^*(\omega) \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. Observe that $\delta_i(t) = D_{\alpha,t}(z_i)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$ is a parameterization of α starting at z_i with

$$\int_{\delta_i([0,t])} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = t \int_\alpha \operatorname{Re}(\omega). \quad (8)$$

A computation similar to the one done in the proof of Proposition 3.4 tells us that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma(S, z_i, z_j)$, we have

$$\int_\gamma D_{\alpha,t}^*(\operatorname{Re}(\omega)) - \int_\gamma \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = \int_{\delta_j([0,t])} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) - \int_{\delta_i([0,t])} \operatorname{Re}(\omega).$$

According to (8), this becomes

$$\int_{\gamma} D_{\alpha,t}^*(\operatorname{Re}(\omega)) - \int_{\gamma} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = t \int_{\alpha} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) - t \int_{\alpha} \operatorname{Re}(\omega) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\omega) = \operatorname{Per}_{ij}(D_{\alpha,t}^*(\omega)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (9)$$

Similarly, for $D_{\beta,t}$ we obtain

$$\operatorname{Per}_{ij}(\omega) = \operatorname{Per}_{ij}(D_{\beta,t}^*(\omega)), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]. \quad (10)$$

Finally, observe that for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\overline{D_{\alpha,t}^*(\omega)})| = |\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\omega})| = |\operatorname{Re}(\overline{D_{\beta,t}^*(\omega)})|.$$

From this, equations (9) and (10), and the definition of $\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, the proposition follows. \square

The diffeomorphisms $D_{\alpha} = D_{\alpha,1}$ and $D_{\beta} = D_{\beta,1}$ are in $\operatorname{Diff}_{0,\mathbf{z}}(S, \mathbf{z})$. In fact D_{α} can be alternatively described as a Dehn twist in one component of $\partial N_{\epsilon}(\alpha)$ composed with an inverse Dehn twist in the other, and similarly for D_{β} . As usual, we let $\hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z}) < \operatorname{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$ denote the associated mapping classes.

As described in Section 2.6 (5), the left action is described by the equations

$$D_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \omega = D_{\alpha}^*(\omega)$$

and

$$D_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \omega = D_{\beta}^*(\omega).$$

Let $\mathcal{G}(\hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta})$ be the Cayley graph of $\langle \hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta} \rangle < \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z})$ with respect to the generators $\hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose α, β is a twisting pair for ω . If $\hat{\omega} \in \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, then there is a $\langle \hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta} \rangle$ -equivariant continuous map*

$$P_{\hat{\omega}} : \mathcal{G}(\hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$$

sending $\operatorname{Id} \in \langle \hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta} \rangle$ to $\hat{\omega}$.

Proof. Proposition 4.3 implies that $t \mapsto D_{\alpha,t}^*(\omega)$ is a path from ω to $D_{\alpha}^*(\omega)$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$. Projecting down to $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, we obtain a path from $\hat{\omega}$ to $\hat{D}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\omega}$. Likewise, we obtain a path from $\hat{\omega}$ to $\hat{D}_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\omega}$.

We now define

$$P_{\hat{\omega}} : \mathcal{G}(\hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}).$$

We do this first on the edge from Id to \hat{D}_{α}^{-1} by sending it to the path from $\hat{\omega}$ to $\hat{D}_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\omega}$ and sending the edge from Id to \hat{D}_{β}^{-1} to the path from $\hat{\omega}$ to $\hat{D}_{\beta}^{-1} \cdot \hat{\omega}$. There is now a unique way to extend this to a $\langle \hat{D}_{\alpha}, \hat{D}_{\beta} \rangle$ -equivariant continuous map. \square

Lemma 4.5. *If α, β is a twisting pair for ω , then $\langle \hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta \rangle < \mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z})$ contains a pseudo-Anosov mapping class ψ .*

Proof. Since α, β is a twisting pair, they fill S . This implies that $\partial N_\epsilon(\alpha), \partial N_\epsilon(\beta)$ fill (S, \mathbf{z}) and so for any $\delta \in \mathcal{C}^0(S, \mathbf{z})$, $\mathbf{i}(\delta, \partial N_\epsilon(\alpha)) \neq 0$ or $\mathbf{i}(\delta, \partial N_\epsilon(\beta)) \neq 0$. Since \hat{D}_α and \hat{D}_β are multitwists in $N_\epsilon(\alpha)$ and $N_\epsilon(\beta)$, respectively, it follows that $\hat{D}_\alpha^k(\delta) \neq \delta$ or $\hat{D}_\beta^k(\delta) \neq \delta$ for all $k \neq 0$.

In particular, there is no curve δ with a finite $\langle \hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta \rangle$ -orbit. That is, a finite index pure subgroup of $\langle \hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta \rangle$ is an *irreducible* subgroup of $\mathcal{B}(S, \mathbf{z}) < \text{Mod}(S, \mathbf{z})$. According to a theorem of Ivanov—Theorem 5.9 of [25]—there exists a pseudo-Anosov mapping class $\psi \in \langle \hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta \rangle$. \square

Given $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_{\text{best}}(S)$ and a twisting pair α, β for ω , we let $\langle \hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta \rangle$ be the associated group, and ψ a pseudo-Anosov element guaranteed by Lemma 4.5. We let μ_s and μ_u denote stable and unstable foliations for ψ , respectively (unique up to scalar multiple).

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a path connecting $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ to μ_s in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$.*

Proof. It is more convenient to work in the space $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ and we write $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ for the quotient map. Since the fibers of \mathbb{P} are homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}_+ and since there exists a section of \mathbb{P} , a path between projective classes $\mathbb{P}(|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|)$ and $\mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$ easily implies the existence of a path between any representatives $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ and μ_s . The advantage to working in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is that we can appeal to the dynamics as described in Section 2.5.

Consider the path in $\mathcal{G}(\hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta)$ given by $[\text{Id}, \psi] \cup [\psi, \psi^2] \cup [\psi^2, \psi^3] \cup \dots$, where $[\text{Id}, \psi]$ is a geodesic from Id to ψ , and $[\psi^k, \psi^{k+1}]$ is the image of this geodesic under ψ^k . We can parameterize this

$$f : [0, 1) \rightarrow [\text{Id}, \psi] \cup [\psi, \psi^2] \cup \dots$$

sending $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ linearly onto the first segment, $[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$ linearly onto the second segment, and so on.

Claim. $h = \mathbb{P} \circ |\text{Re}| \circ P_{\hat{\omega}} \circ f : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ extends to a continuous map defined on $[0, 1]$ by setting $h(1) = \mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$.

Proof of claim. Let $\{x_k\}$ be any sequence in $[0, 1)$ tending to 1 and we show that $h(x_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$. Lemma 2.2 implies that $\mathbb{P}(\mu_u) \notin h([0, 1))$. Since

$$h([0, 1)) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi^j(h([0, 1/2]))$$

it follows that for each k , there is a $j(k)$ so that $f(x_k) \in [\psi^{j(k)}, \psi^{j(k)+1}]$. Since $x_k \rightarrow 1$, it must be that $j(k) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let V be any neighborhood of $\mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$ in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z}) - \{\mathbb{P}(\mu_u)\}$. Since $h([0, \frac{1}{2}])$ is compact, there exists $J > 0$ so that for all $j \geq J$, $\psi^j(h([0, \frac{1}{2}])) \subset V$.

By the previous paragraph there exists $K > 0$ so that for all $k \geq K$, $j(k) \geq J$, which implies

$$h(x_k) = \mathbb{P} \circ |\text{Re}| \circ P_{\hat{\omega}} \circ f(x_k) \in \mathbb{P} \circ |\text{Re}| \circ P_{\hat{\omega}} \left([\psi^{j(k)}, \psi^{j(k)+1}] \right).$$

Since we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \circ |\text{Re}| \circ P_{\hat{\omega}} \left([\psi^{j(k)}, \psi^{j(k)+1}] \right) &= \mathbb{P} \circ |\text{Re}| \circ P_{\hat{\omega}} \left(\psi^{j(k)}([\text{Id}, \psi]) \right) \\ &= \psi^{j(k)} \left(\mathbb{P} \circ |\text{Re}| \circ P_{\hat{\omega}} \circ f([0, 1/2]) \right) \\ &= \psi^{j(k)} \left(h([0, 1/2]) \right) \subset V. \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $h(x_k) \in V$. This completes the proof of the claim. \square

The image of $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathcal{MF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is contained in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$, and connects $\mathbb{P}(|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|)$ to $\mathbb{P}(\mu_s)$, as required. \square

4.3 The open cover

The definition of a twisting pair for $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$ had only one condition which involved ω . Namely, we required that each of α, β be transverse to $\mathcal{F}(\text{Re}(\omega))$. It is not surprising then that a twisting pair for ω is also a twisting pair for elements of $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$ which are sufficiently close to ω .

Lemma 4.7. *Given $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$ and a twisting pair α, β for ω there is a neighborhood U' of ω so that for all $\eta \in U'$, α, β is a twisting pair for η .*

Proof. By definition, the underlying foliation $\mathcal{F}(\text{Re}(\omega))$ is obtained by integrating $\ker(\text{Re}(\omega))$. Thus, the condition that a curve γ be transverse to $\mathcal{F}(\text{Re}(\omega))$ is equivalent to requiring that $\text{Re}(\omega)$ restricted to γ be nonvanishing. Perturbing the 1-form $\text{Re}(\omega)$ slightly preserves the property that it is nonvanishing on γ since γ is compact. Therefore, there is a neighborhood U' of ω in $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$ so that if $\eta \in U'$, then the restriction of $\text{Re}(\eta)$ to both α and β is nonvanishing. It follows that α, β is a twisting pair for η , as required. \square

We can now give the

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Fix $\nu \in \tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$, and let α, β is a twisting pair for ν . Lemma 4.7 provides a neighborhood U' so that for all $\omega \in U'$, α, β is also a twisting pair for ω . We let $\hat{\psi}$ be a pseudo-Anosov mapping class in $\langle \hat{D}_\alpha, \hat{D}_\beta \rangle$ as in Lemma 4.5 and μ_s its stable foliation.

It follows from the discussions in Sections 2.4 and 2.6 that we can locally find a continuous section of $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S) \rightarrow \Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$. In particular, there is a neighborhood U of $\hat{\nu}$ and a continuous section $\sigma : U \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$ with $\sigma(U) \subset U'$. Now, given $\hat{\omega}, \hat{\eta} \in U \cap \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, since α, β is a twisting pair for both ω and η , Lemma 4.6 guarantees paths from $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ to μ_s and from $|\text{Re}(\hat{\eta})|$ to μ_s in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. Therefore, we can connect $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ and $|\text{Re}(\hat{\eta})|$ by a path in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. Since ν was an arbitrary point of $\tilde{\Omega}_*(S)$, we have constructed the open cover. \square

4.4 The main theorem for $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$

We now put all the ingredients together to prove the main theorem for surfaces of genus at least 2 and nonempty marked point set.

Proof of Theorem 1.1, $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$. Corollary 3.5 implies that $|\text{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$ is dense in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. Therefore, to prove that $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is connected, we show that for any two points $\hat{\omega}, \hat{\eta} \in \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, there is a path connecting $|\text{Re}|(\hat{\omega}) = |\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ to $|\text{Re}|(\hat{\eta}) = |\text{Re}(\hat{\eta})|$ in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. This produces a dense path-connected subset of $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$, and so $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ is connected.

Let $\hat{\omega}, \hat{\eta} \in \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ be any two points. According to Lemma 3.2 there is a path δ in $\Omega_*(S, \mathbf{z})$ connecting $\hat{\omega}$ to $\hat{\eta}$. Because δ is compact, the cover \mathcal{U} restricted to δ has a finite subcover. From this we can produce a finite set $U_0, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\hat{\omega} \in U_0$, $\hat{\eta} \in U_k$, and $U_j \cap U_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$ for all $j = 0, \dots, k-1$. For each $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, appealing to Proposition 3.4 we can therefore find some element in the intersection

$$\hat{\omega}_j \in U_j \cap U_{j+1} \cap \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$$

By Theorem 4.1, for every $j = 0, \dots, k-2$ since $\hat{\omega}_j$ and $\hat{\omega}_{j+1}$ are in $U_{j+1} \cap \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$, there is a path in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ connecting $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega}_j)|$ and $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega}_{j+1})|$. Likewise, there is a path connecting $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ to $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega}_0)|$ and $|\text{Re}(\hat{\eta})|$ to $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega}_{k-1})|$. Concatenating these paths we obtain a path connecting $|\text{Re}(\hat{\omega})|$ to $|\text{Re}(\hat{\eta})|$, as required. \square

4.5 Cut points: $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$

For the applications to Kleinian groups, we need to see that $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$ has no cut points, meaning no points whose removal disconnects. Together with the proof in the previous section, the following proves Theorem 1.4 for the case $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 4.8. *If $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$ then $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$ has no cut points.*

In what follows, we let $[\mu]$ denote the equivalence class in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$ of the measured foliation $\mu \in \mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$.

Proof. The dense path connected subset of $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$ descends to a dense path connected subset W in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$. To prove the theorem, it suffices to verify that given any point of $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$, there is a dense path connected subset W_0 of the complement. Let $[\mu] \in \mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$ be an arbitrary point. If $[\mu] \notin W$, then we may take $W = W_0$, so we assume that $[\mu] \in W$.

Points of W are of two types: (1) the stable foliations of the pseudo-Anosov mapping classes coming from Lemma 4.6 and (2) the points in the image of $|\text{Re}|(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that these two subsets of W are disjoint.

Suppose first that $\mu = |\operatorname{Re}(\hat{\omega}) \in |\operatorname{Re}(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}))$, so we are in case (2). We can define

$$\Omega'_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}) = \{ \hat{\eta} \in \Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}) \mid [|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{\eta})|] \neq [|\operatorname{Re}(\hat{\omega})|] = [\mu] \}$$

The space $\Omega'_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ also has the property that it is dense in $\Omega(S, \mathbf{z})$ and has dense image in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. This set can be used in place of $\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z})$ to build a path connected dense set, with image W_0 in $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})/\sim$. By construction $[\mu] \notin W_0$, and so it provides the required connected dense subset.

Now we assume that $\mu = \mu_s$ is the stable foliation for a pseudo-Anosov ψ as found in Lemma 4.6, and that $[\mu] \in W$. Such a foliation $[\mu_s] \in W$ must arise from the open cover \mathcal{U} constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.1 as follows. For some $U \in \mathcal{U}$, we showed that

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}) \cap U) \cup \{\mu_s\}$$

is path connected. Since the unstable foliation μ_u for ψ is the stable foliation for ψ^{-1} , it follows that the same proof shows that

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\Omega_{\text{best}}(S, \mathbf{z}) \cap U) \cup \{\mu_u\}$$

is path connected. In particular, we see that

$$W_0 = (W - \{[\mu_s]\}) \cup \{[\mu_u]\}$$

is a dense path connected subset disjoint from $[\mu_s]$, as required. \square

Remark 4.9. *By connecting an appropriately chosen pair of points to both the stable and the unstable foliations of the pseudo-Anosov mapping class ψ , we can construct maps of circles into $\mathcal{AF}(S, \mathbf{z})$. From these, one can find embedded circles.*

5 Closed surfaces

The proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case $\mathbf{z} = \emptyset$ uses the case $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$. The argument involves branched covers, so we begin with some elementary observations.

5.1 Branched covers

Let $\mathbf{z}' \subset S'$ be a finite set. We say that a branched cover $f : S \rightarrow S'$ is **properly branched over \mathbf{z}'** if f is a covering map from the complement of $f^{-1}(\mathbf{z}')$ in S to the complement of \mathbf{z}' in S' and if the restriction to any point of $f^{-1}(\mathbf{z}')$ has no neighborhood on which f is injective. Said differently, \mathbf{z}' is precisely the branching locus in S' , and f nontrivially branches at every point of $f^{-1}(\mathbf{z}')$.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose $f : S \rightarrow S'$ is a branched cover, properly branched over $\mathbf{z}' \subset S'$. Then there is an embedding*

$$f^* : \mathcal{MF}(S', \mathbf{z}') \rightarrow \mathcal{MF}(S)$$

Moreover, $f^*(\mathcal{AF}(S', \mathbf{z}')) \subset \mathcal{AF}(S)$.

Proof. Given a measured foliation (\mathcal{F}, μ) on (S', \mathbf{z}') there is a natural way of defining a measured foliation on S , denoted $f^*(\mathcal{F}, \mu)$ as follows. We define the underlying foliation $f^*(\mathcal{F})$ so that the leaves are precisely the preimages of leaves on S' . The transverse measure, denoted $f^*(\mu)$ is defined by declaring the measure of an arc on S to be the measure of its image in S' . The f -image of a leaf cycle for $f^*(\mathcal{F})$ can be used to construct one for \mathcal{F} , and so $f^*(\mathcal{AF}(S', \mathbf{z}')) \subset \mathcal{AF}(S)$.

We must therefore show that f^* is an embedding. One proof of this appeals to the theory of train tracks. We give a different proof using quadratic differentials.

Fix a complex structure on S' and one on S so that $f : S \rightarrow S'$ is holomorphic. According to the work of Hubbard–Masur [23] and Gardiner [21], the space $Q(S', \mathbf{z}')$ of integrable meromorphic quadratic differentials on S' with the only poles at \mathbf{z}' is naturally homeomorphic to $\mathcal{MF}(S', \mathbf{z}')$ (see also Marden–Strebel [31]). The homeomorphism is given by sending a quadratic differential $q \in Q(S', \mathbf{z}')$ to the measure class of its vertical foliation $[\mathcal{F}(q), \mu(q)]$. Likewise, the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials $Q(S)$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\mathcal{MF}(S)$.

The pullback

$$f^* : Q(S', \mathbf{z}') \rightarrow Q(S)$$

is an embedding, and one checks that

$$f^*(\mathcal{F}(q)) = \mathcal{F}(f^*(q)) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(\mu(q)) = \mu(f^*(q)).$$

It follows that

$$f^* : \mathcal{MF}(S', \mathbf{z}') \rightarrow \mathcal{MF}(S)$$

is an embedding, as required. \square

5.2 Graph of involutions

Let σ be an involution of S with nonempty fixed point set. We write

$$f_\sigma : S \rightarrow S_\sigma = S/\langle\sigma\rangle$$

for the quotient. If f is properly branched over $\mathbf{z}_\sigma \subset S_\sigma$ ($\mathbf{z}_\sigma \neq \emptyset$), and S_σ has genus at least 2, then we say that σ is an **allowable involution**.

Fix an allowable involution σ and we define the **graph of σ -involutions** $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ as follows. The vertex set of $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with the $\text{Mod}(S)$ conjugates of σ . If σ_0 and σ_1 are conjugates of σ , then we connect the associated vertices (also denoted σ_0 and σ_1) by an edge if and only if

- $G = \langle\sigma_0, \sigma_1\rangle$ is a finite group and
- the quotient $f_G : S \rightarrow S_G = S/G$ is properly branched over \mathbf{z}_G and (S_G, \mathbf{z}_G) admits a pseudo-Anosov mapping class.

Theorem 5.2. *For each closed surface S of genus at least 4, there exists an allowable involution σ so that $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is connected.*

We postpone the proof of this fact and use it to prove Theorem 1.1 for $\mathbf{z} = \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\mathbf{z} = \emptyset$. We are assuming that S has genus at least 4, and so according to Theorem 5.2 there exists an allowable involution σ so that $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is connected.

Let $f_\sigma : S \rightarrow S_\sigma = S/\langle\sigma\rangle$ be the corresponding quotient properly branched over \mathbf{z}_σ . According to Proposition 5.1, we have an embedding

$$f_\sigma^* : \mathcal{AF}(S_\sigma, \mathbf{z}_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{AF}(S).$$

It follows from the case $\mathbf{z} \neq \emptyset$ of Theorem 1.1 that the subspace

$$X_\sigma = f_\sigma^*(\mathcal{AF}(S_\sigma, \mathbf{z}_\sigma))$$

is connected. Since the involution σ_0 associated to any vertex of $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is a conjugate of σ , we also see that the associated space X_{σ_0} is connected.

Observe that $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ admits an obvious action by $\text{Mod}(S)$. Therefore, the set

$$\mathcal{X}(\sigma) = \bigcup_{\sigma_0 \in \text{Vert}(\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S))} X_{\sigma_0} \subset \mathcal{AF}(S)$$

is $\text{Mod}(S)$ -invariant and hence dense.

Now suppose $\{\sigma_0, \sigma_1\}$ is an edge of $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$. The hypothesis implies that $G = \langle\sigma_0, \sigma_1\rangle$ is a finite group and $S_G = S/G$ admits a pseudo-Anosov mapping class. A power of this can be lifted to a pseudo-Anosov mapping class ψ in $\text{Mod}(S)$. Moreover, because the branched covering f_G factors through the branched coverings

$$S \longrightarrow S_{\sigma_0} \longrightarrow S_G \quad \text{and} \quad S \longrightarrow S_{\sigma_1} \longrightarrow S_G$$

we can assume that ψ is also a lift of pseudo-Anosov mapping classes $\psi_0 \in \text{Mod}(S_{\sigma_0}, \mathbf{z}_{\sigma_0})$ and $\psi_1 \in \text{Mod}(S_{\sigma_1}, \mathbf{z}_{\sigma_1})$. As such, the stable fixed point of ψ lies in $X_{\sigma_0} \cap X_{\sigma_1}$. In particular, since these spaces are both connected and nontrivially intersect, it follows that $X_{\sigma_0} \cup X_{\sigma_1}$ is connected.

Inductively we see that any two vertices σ_0 and σ_1 which are connected by an edge path have their associated sets X_{σ_0} and X_{σ_1} in the same connected component of $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$. Connectivity of $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ means that every two vertices σ_0 and σ_1 are connected by an edge path, and so $\mathcal{X}(\sigma)$ is connected. Therefore, $\overline{\mathcal{X}(\sigma)} = \mathcal{AF}(S)$ is connected. \square

5.3 Proof of Theorem 5.2

The proof of Theorem 5.2 divides into two cases depending on whether the genus is even or odd. Both proofs are essentially the same, except for the descriptions of the involutions. We will first describe the involution and the proof for the case

of even genus (with corresponding figures for the case of genus 6) and explain the proof in detail. For odd genus, we simply describe the involution, with the remainder of the proof left as an easy exercise.

So, suppose that the genus g of S is even. The dihedral group D_g of order g acts on S with quotient $f_{D_g} : S \rightarrow S_{D_g} = S/D_g$ having genus 1 properly branched over \mathbf{z}_{D_g} with $|\mathbf{z}_{D_g}| = 3$. See Figure 3 for the case of genus 6.




Figure 3: Generators σ and σ' for D_6 and the quotient of S .

The group is generated by involutions σ and σ' which are conjugate in D_g and hence also in $\text{Mod}(S)$. The quotient $f_\sigma : S \rightarrow S_\sigma = S/\langle\sigma\rangle$ has genus $g/2$ and is properly branched over \mathbf{z}_σ with $|\mathbf{z}_\sigma| = 2$. See Figure 4 for the case that $g = 6$.




Figure 4: The involution σ and the quotient of S .

We consider the involution graph $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$. According to the previous para-

graph, σ and σ' are both vertices of $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$, and $\{\sigma, \sigma'\}$ is an edge.

Theorem 5.3. *For this choice of σ , $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is connected.*

Proof. Recall the Humphries generating set for the mapping class group—see [24]—consists of $2g + 1$ Dehn twists $T_{\gamma_1}, \dots, T_{\gamma_{2g+1}}$. The curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{2g+1}$ can be chosen as in Figure 5. The relevant features are the following

1. γ_g is invariant by σ ,
2. γ_{g-2} is invariant by σ' ,
3. for every $i \neq g$, there exists an element of $\phi_i \in \text{Mod}(S)$ commuting with σ taking γ_{g-2} to γ_i ,

That we may arrange for the last property is perhaps least obvious, but is an easy exercise given Figure 5.




Figure 5: Twisting curves for the Humphries generators

Claim. *There is an edge path in $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ connecting the vertex σ to the vertex $T_{\gamma_i} \sigma T_{\gamma_i}^{-1}$ for any $i = 1, \dots, 2g + 1$.*

Proof of claim. According to property (1), T_{γ_g} commutes with σ . It follows that $T_{\gamma_g} \sigma T_{\gamma_g}^{-1} = \sigma$, so there is a constant path from σ to $T_{\gamma_g} \sigma T_{\gamma_g}^{-1}$.

According to (3) for every $i \neq g$ there exists an element $\phi_i \in \text{Mod}(S)$ commuting with σ taking γ_{g-2} to γ_i . Then $\phi_i T_{\gamma_{g-2}} \phi_i^{-1} = T_{\gamma_i}$. Since $T_{\gamma_{g-2}}$ commutes with σ' by (2), it follows that T_{γ_i} commutes with $\phi_i \sigma' \phi_i^{-1}$. From this, we see the following edges:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi_i \sigma \phi_i^{-1}, \phi_i \sigma' \phi_i^{-1}\} &= \{\sigma, \phi_i \sigma' \phi_i^{-1}\} \\ \{T_{\gamma_i} \sigma T_{\gamma_i}^{-1}, T_{\gamma_i} (\phi_i \sigma' \phi_i^{-1}) T_{\gamma_i}^{-1}\} &= \{T_{\gamma_i} \sigma T_{\gamma_i}^{-1}, \phi_i \sigma' \phi_i^{-1}\} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that σ and $T_{\gamma_i} \sigma T_{\gamma_i}^{-1}$ are connected by an edge path (of length 2), proving the claim. \square

Let $\mathcal{G}(\text{Mod}(S))$ denote the Cayley graph of $\text{Mod}(S)$ with respect to the generating set $\{T_{\gamma_1}, \dots, T_{\gamma_{2g+1}}\}$. It follows from the claim that there is a continuous equivariant map from $\mathcal{G}(\text{Mod}(S))$ to $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$. Since $\text{Mod}(S)$ acts transitively on the vertices (by construction) and since $\mathcal{G}(\text{Mod}(S))$ is connected, it follows that $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is connected, as required. \square

When the genus g is odd, we again choose an involution σ for which it and a conjugate σ' generate a dihedral group, this time of order $2g$. The involutions σ and σ' are shown in Figure 6 for the case of genus 5.




Figure 6: Generators σ and σ' for D_{10} and the quotient of S .

Theorem 5.4. *With this choice of σ , $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(S)$ is connected.*

The proof, which we omit, follows as in the previous case. One need only verify that the Humphries generators for $\text{Mod}(S)$ can be chosen with similar properties to those used in the proof of Theorem 5.3.

5.4 Cut points: $\mathbf{z} = \emptyset$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 in the case of $\mathbf{z} = \emptyset$, we must prove the counterpart to Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 5.5. *The space $\mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim$ has no cut points.*

Proof. We continue to denote the equivalence class of $\mu \in \mathcal{AF}(S)$ as $[\mu]$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.8, we will show that for every point $[\mu] \in \mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim$, there is a dense connected subset W_0 of $(\mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim) - \{[\mu]\}$.

We first extract the following key ingredients from the proof of the previous subsection. The space $\mathcal{AF}(S)$ contains a countable collection of connected subsets $\{\tilde{W}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ with the property that for any i, j there is a chain $\tilde{W}_j = \tilde{W}_{j_1}, \dots, \tilde{W}_{j_n} = \tilde{W}_i$ so that $\tilde{W}_{j_k} \cap \tilde{W}_{j_{k+1}}$ contains at least two points (the

stable and unstable fixed points of some pseudo-Anosov mapping class) and so that

$$\tilde{W} = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \tilde{W}_j$$

is dense in $\mathcal{AF}(S)$.

Each of the sets \tilde{W}_j is naturally homeomorphic to one of the connected spaces $\mathcal{AF}(S', \mathbf{z}')$. In particular, we see that the image of \tilde{W}_j in $\mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim$ is a connected set W_j with no cut points. Moreover, the collection $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ has the same property for $\mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim$ as $\{\tilde{W}_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ has for $\mathcal{AF}(S)$ as described in the previous paragraph. We set

$$W = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j.$$

To find the required set W_0 , we first note that if $[\mu] \notin W$, then we can take $W_0 = W$. We therefore assume that $[\mu] \in W$.

Since W_j is connected and has no cut points for each $j \geq 1$, we see that $W_j - \{[\mu]\}$ is connected for every $j \geq 1$. Moreover, if $W_i \cap W_j$ contains at least two points, then

$$(W_i - \{[\mu]\}) \cap (W_j - \{[\mu]\})$$

contains at least 1 point. It follows that

$$W_0 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} (W_j - \{[\mu]\}) = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j \right) - \{[\mu]\} = W - \{[\mu]\}$$

is connected. Since W is dense in $\mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim$, it follows that W_0 is dense in $(\mathcal{AF}(S)/\sim) - \{[\mu]\}$, as required. \square

6 Hyperbolic 3-manifolds

For the purposes of this section, we take $\Sigma = \Sigma_{g,n}$ to be a compact orientable surface of genus g with n boundary components. Here we will work exclusively with laminations instead of foliations as these are more natural in this context. We will write λ to denote a measured lamination (or its projective class) and $|\lambda|$ for the supporting (geodesic) lamination.

Given a hyperbolic 3-manifold $M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we let M_ϵ be the ϵ -thick part of M . We fix $\epsilon > 0$ less than the 3-dimensional Margulis constant so that the ϵ -thin part, $M - M_\epsilon$, is a disjoint union of Margulis tubes and parabolic cusps. The Margulis tubes are homeomorphic to open solid tori and each of the parabolic cusps is homeomorphic to either $A \times (0, \infty)$ or $T \times (0, \infty)$, where A and T denote an open annulus and torus, respectively (for example, see [43] or [2]). We further define $M^0 = M_\epsilon^0 = M_\epsilon \cup \{\text{Margulis tubes}\}$. The boundary of M^0 is thus a union of annuli and tori and M^0 is homotopy equivalent to M .

For $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ finitely generated, it follows from [40] that there exists a compact core $N \subset M^0$ for which the inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. Indeed, from [33],[30] one may choose such an N so that

$$P = N \cap \partial M^0$$

is a union of incompressible annuli and tori called the parabolic locus of N . Moreover, N can be chosen so that each component $U \subset M^0 - N$ is a neighborhood of the unique end of M^0 it contains and so that the inclusion $U \cap N \hookrightarrow U$ induces a homotopy equivalence. Observe that $U \cap N$ is a component of $\partial N - P$, and we say that this component faces U . We call such an N a relative compact core.

The space of equivalence classes of orientable hyperbolic 3-manifolds marked by a relative homotopy equivalence to $(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ is denoted

$$\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) = \{f : (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (M_0, \partial M_0) \mid M = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma\} / \sim .$$

Here $(f : (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow (M^0, \partial M^0)) \sim (h : (\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow (L^0, \partial L^0))$ if there exists an isometry $\varphi : M \rightarrow L$ and a relative homotopy $\varphi \circ f \simeq h$. Using the holonomy homomorphism we can identify a point of $\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ with a conjugacy class of homomorphisms to $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Isom}^+(\mathbb{H}^3)$. We thus view $\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ as a subspace of the space of conjugacy classes of homomorphisms to $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ which defines a topology on $\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ (the algebraic topology). We abuse notation and simply denote points of $\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ as M , with the marking understood unless clarification is necessary.

For $M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$, work of Bonahon [8] and Thurston [41] implies that $M^0 \cong \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, and N can be chosen to correspond to $\Sigma \times [-1, 1]$ under this homeomorphism. We choose ϵ sufficiently small so that given any simple closed curve $\gamma \subset \Sigma$, the geodesic representative of γ lies in M^0 (which is possible since the geodesic representative lies on a pleated surface and so cannot penetrate too far into any cusp).

Given $M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ and a simple closed curve $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0(\Sigma)$ one can measure the length of γ in M , which is the length of the geodesic representative, unless none exists (in which case the length is zero). In [10], Brock proved that this naturally extends to a continuous function.

Theorem 6.1 (Brock). *There is a continuous function*

$$\underline{\text{length}} : \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \times \mathcal{ML}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

homogeneous in the second argument which extends the above mentioned length function on $\text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \times \mathcal{C}^0(\Sigma)$.

In what follows, we assume $M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ has no accidental parabolics, meaning that the only parabolics in $\pi_1(M)$ are represented by peripheral loops in Σ . Given $M \in \underline{\text{AH}}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$, we let $C(M)$ denote the convex core of M . Fix a component $U \subset M^0 - N$ providing a neighborhood of an end of M^0 . This

end is called geometrically finite if $U \cap C(M)$ is compact, and simply degenerate otherwise.

The work of Thurston and Bonahon greatly clarifies the simply degenerate ends as we now describe. A sequence of simple closed curves $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is said to exit the end if the geodesic representative γ_i^* of γ_i in M is contained in U for every i and for every compact $K \subset M$ there are at most finitely many i for which $\gamma_i^* \cap K \neq \emptyset$ (that is, the geodesics γ_i^* lie further and further out in U).

The work of Thurston and Bonahon associates a unique lamination $|\lambda| \in \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$ with the following property. First, there exists a sequence of simple closed curves $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ so that in $\mathbb{PM}\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_i = \lambda'$ for some $\lambda' \in \mathbb{PM}\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ with $|\lambda'| = |\lambda|$. Second, for every sequence $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ exiting the end, up to subsequence, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_i = \lambda'$ with $|\lambda'| = |\lambda|$. This lamination $|\lambda|$ is called the ending lamination associated to the simply degenerate end.

Using the orientations on M and on Σ the ends of M^0 can be labeled as either positive or negative. We define $\mathcal{E}^+(M)$ and $\mathcal{E}^-(M)$ to be the ending laminations associated to the positive and negative ends of M^0 , respectively, if the end is simply degenerate. If either or both of the ends are geometrically finite, then we let $\mathcal{E}^\pm(M) \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ be the (finite type) conformal structure at infinity associated to the geometrically finite end.

We say that M is doubly degenerate if both ends are simply degenerate. We denote the space of doubly degenerate manifolds $\text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \subset \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$. Fixing a conformal structure $Y \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$, we denote the associated Bers slice

$$B_Y = \{M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \mid M \text{ is geometrically finite, and } \mathcal{E}^-(M) = Y\}.$$

The singly degenerate points on the boundary of the Bers slice are denoted

$$\partial_0 B_Y = \{M \in \overline{B_Y} \mid \mathcal{E}^-(M) = Y, \mathcal{E}^+(M) \in \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)\}.$$

We thus have maps

$$\mathcal{E} : \text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) - \Delta$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}^+ : \partial_0 B_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma).$$

A result of Thurston [41, 42] states that the length function can be used to characterize the ending laminations (as a set). This is described by the following corollary of Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.2 (Thurston). *For $M \in \text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$, $\text{length}_M(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if*

$$|\lambda| \in \{\mathcal{E}^+(M), \mathcal{E}^-(M)\}.$$

Similarly, for $M \in \partial_0 B_Y$, $\text{length}_M(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $|\lambda| = \mathcal{E}^+(M)$.

Surjectivity of \mathcal{E} onto $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) - \Delta$ (and \mathcal{E}^+ onto $\mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$) follows from the Bers Simultaneous Uniformization Theorem [3], Thurston's Double Limit

Theorem [42] (see also Otal [38]), and Corollary 6.2 (to guarantee the correct ending laminations; see also the proof of Theorem 6.5). A slightly stronger version of the Double Limit Theorem which we will need is given by the following.

Theorem 6.3 (Thurston). *Suppose $\{M_i\} \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ has the property that for some $K > 0$*

$$\underline{\text{length}}_{M_i}(\lambda_i) + \underline{\text{length}}_{M_i}(\mu_i) \leq K$$

for some $\{\lambda_i\}, \{\mu_i\} \subset \mathcal{ML}(\Sigma)$. If $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda$, $\mu_i \rightarrow \mu$ and λ, μ fills S , then up to subsequence, M_i converges to some manifold $M \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$.

This is precisely Theorem 6.3 of [42], but also follows from a diagonal argument, the Density Theorem [12] and the Double Limit Theorem as stated in Theorem 5.0.1 of [38].

Our discussion culminates in the following simplified version of the Ending Lamination Theorem [34],[12] for $\text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ and $\partial_0 B_Y$.

Theorem 6.4 (Brock–Canary–Minsky). *\mathcal{E} is a bijection on $\text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ and \mathcal{E}^+ is a bijection on $\partial_0 B_Y$.*

We can now assemble the pieces from the discussion above to prove that the ending laminations actually serve as a continuous parameterization of $\text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$.

Theorem 6.5. *The map $\mathcal{E} : \text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma) - \Delta$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. As just noted, Theorem 6.4 implies that \mathcal{E} is a bijection. We are therefore left to prove that \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}^{-1} are continuous.

We first show that \mathcal{E} is continuous. Suppose $\{M_i\} \subset \text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ is any sequence which converges to some $M \in \text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$. It suffices to show that there is a subsequence, also called $\{M_i\}$ so that $\mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\pm(M)$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Throughout, when passing to subsequences, we always re-index so that the index set is the set of positive integers.

We start by picking a subsequence of measured laminations $\{\lambda_i^\pm\}$ with $|\lambda_i^\pm| = \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i)$ which converge to some measured laminations λ^\pm . We wish to show that λ^\pm are measures supported on $\mathcal{E}^\pm(M)$.

Theorem 6.1 and Corollary 6.2 implies

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \underline{\text{length}}_{(M_i)}(\lambda_i^\pm) = \underline{\text{length}}_M(\lambda^\pm) = 0.$$

Corollary 6.2 then implies that one of the following happens

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\pm(M) \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\mp(M).$$

Claim. $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\pm(M)$.

Proof. The argument we give here is a very minor modification of an argument given by Brock–Bromberg in the proof of Theorem 8.1 of [11].

We suppose that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\mp(M)$$

and arrive at a contradiction.

Since there are no accidental parabolics, work of Canary [14] implies that the convergence $M_i \rightarrow M$ is strong, and so in particular there are relative compact cores $N_i \subset M_i^0$ and $N \subset M^0$ and K_i -bi-Lipschitz maps

$$F_i : N_i \rightarrow N$$

compatible with the markings with $K_i \rightarrow 1$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\overline{M_i^0 - N_i} = U_i^- \sqcup U_i^+$ denote the corresponding neighborhoods of the negative and positive ends of M_i^0 , respectively. Similarly, we write $\overline{M^0 - N} = U^- \sqcup U^+$. We remark that F_i takes the component of $N_i - P_i$ facing U_i^\pm to the component of $N - P$ facing U^\pm .

Let $\{\gamma_i\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ be a sequence exiting the end of M^0 defined by U^- so that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_i = \mathcal{E}^-(M)$$

in the quotient of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{ML}(\Sigma)$ obtained by forgetting measures. It follows from Klarreich's work [29] that this convergence also takes place in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma)$.

Strong convergence further implies that, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that the geodesic representative of γ_i in M_i lies in U_i^- .

Similarly, for each i , we can construct a sequence of curves $\{\delta_j(i)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ exiting the end of M_i^0 defined by U_i^+ and hence converging to $\mathcal{E}^+(M_i)$. Since $\mathcal{E}^+(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^-(M)$, we can choose a diagonal sequence $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ with $\delta_i = \delta_{j(i)}(i)$ so that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \delta_i = \mathcal{E}^-(M)$$

in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma)$.

Next observe that if $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is any sequence with α_i a vertex of the geodesic $[\gamma_i, \delta_i] \subset \mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$, then we also have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i = \mathcal{E}^-(M).$$

Now, fix any i . Two consecutive vertices of $[\gamma_i, \delta_i]$ represents a pair of disjoint essential simple closed curves, and so can be realized in a single pleated surface in M_i (see [41] and [13]). The geodesic $[\gamma_i, \delta_i]$ thus gives rise to a finite set of pleated surfaces $X_i(1), \dots, X_i(d_i)$ where $d_i = d(\gamma_i, \delta_i)$ with the property that

1. γ_i is realized on $X_i(1)$,
2. δ_i is realized on $X_i(d_i)$, and
3. $X_i(j) \cap X_i(j+1) \neq \emptyset$ for each $j = 1, \dots, d_i - 1$.

Therefore, there is a vertex $\alpha_i \in [\gamma_i, \delta_i]$ realized on one of these pleated surfaces in M_i , call it X_i , which nontrivially intersects N_i .

Once again appealing to strong convergence we see that pleated surfaces Y_i in M realizing α_i must intersect the (compact) R -neighborhood of N for some $R > 0$. Compactness of pleated surfaces implies that Y_i converges to a pleated surface Y in M which realizes the limit $\mathcal{E}^-(M)$ of α_i . This is a contradiction, and it follows that $\mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^\pm(M)$, verifying the claim. \square

All that remains is to show that \mathcal{E}^{-1} is continuous, and this will complete the proof of the theorem. We assume that $\{M_i\} \subset \text{DD}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$ with

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i) = \mathcal{E}(M)$$

and prove that, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M_i = M.$$

This will show that \mathcal{E}^{-1} is continuous.

Let $\{\lambda_i^\pm\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be any measured laminations with $|\lambda_i^\pm| = \mathcal{E}^\pm(M_i)$. After scaling the transverse measures and passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i^\pm = \lambda^\pm$$

where $|\lambda^\pm| = \mathcal{E}^\pm(M)$.

Theorem 6.3 implies that, after passing to further subsequence if necessary, $\{M_i\}$ converges to some $M' \in \text{AH}(\Sigma, \partial\Sigma)$. Since $\underline{\text{length}}_{M_i}(\lambda_i^\pm) = 0$, it follows that $\underline{\text{length}}_{M'}(\lambda^\pm) = 0$. Therefore, $\{E^+(M), \mathcal{E}^-(M)\} = \{E^+(M'), \mathcal{E}^-(M')\}$, so either $\mathcal{E}^\pm(M) = \mathcal{E}^\pm(M')$ and we are done by Theorem 6.4, or else $\mathcal{E}^\pm(M) = \mathcal{E}^\mp(M')$. The argument given above implies the latter situation cannot occur, and so the proof is complete. \square

In a similar fashion, one obtains

Theorem 6.6. *The map $\mathcal{E}^+ : \partial_0 B_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{EL}(\Sigma)$ is a homeomorphism.*

The proof is similar to the previous proof but simpler and we leave it to the reader. We note that strong convergence in this setting follows from Anderson–Canary [1].

References

- [1] James W. Anderson and Richard D. Canary. Cores of hyperbolic 3-manifolds and limits of Kleinian groups. II. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 61(2):489–505, 2000.
- [2] Riccardo Benedetti and Carlo Petronio. *Lectures on hyperbolic geometry*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

- [3] Lipman Bers. Simultaneous uniformization. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 66:94–97, 1960.
- [4] Lipman Bers. Fiber spaces over Teichmüller spaces. *Acta. Math.*, 130:89–126, 1973.
- [5] Mladen Bestvina. Questions in geometric group theory.
<http://www.math.utah.edu/~bestvina>.
- [6] Joan S. Birman. Mapping class groups and their relationship to braid groups. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 22:213–238, 1969.
- [7] Joan S. Birman. *Braids, links, and mapping class groups*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974. Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 82.
- [8] Francis Bonahon. Bouts des variétés hyperboliques de dimension 3. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 124(1):71–158, 1986.
- [9] Brian H. Bowditch. Intersection numbers and the hyperbolicity of the curve complex. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 598:105–129, 2006.
<http://www.warwick.ac.uk/~masgak/preprints.html>.
- [10] J. F. Brock. Continuity of Thurston’s length function. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 10(4):741–797, 2000.
- [11] Jeffrey F. Brock and Kenneth W. Bromberg. On the density of geometrically finite Kleinian groups. *Acta Math.*, 192(1):33–93, 2004.
- [12] R. D. Canary, J. F. Brock, and Y. N. Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups, II: The Ending Lamination Conjecture. Preprint, [arXiv:math.GT/0302208](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0302208).
- [13] R. D. Canary, D. B. A. Epstein, and P. Green. Notes on notes of Thurston. In *Analytical and geometric aspects of hyperbolic space (Coventry/Durham, 1984)*, volume 111 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 3–92. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [14] Richard D. Canary. A covering theorem for hyperbolic 3-manifolds and its applications. *Topology*, 35(3):751–778, 1996.
- [15] Christopher J. Leininger, Mahan Mj, and Saul Schleimer. Cannon–Thurston maps and the curve complex. In preparation.
- [16] Clifford J. Earle and James Eells. A fibre bundle description of Teichmüller theory. *J. Differential Geometry*, 3:19–43, 1969.
- [17] Alex Eskin, Howard Masur, and Anton Zorich. Moduli spaces of abelian differentials: the principal boundary, counting problems, and the Siegel–Veech constants. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (97):61–179, 2003.
[arXiv:math/0202134](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0202134).

- [18] Benson Farb and Lee Mosher. Convex cocompact subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 6:91–152 (electronic), 2002.
- [19] A. Fathi, F. Laudenbach, and V. Poénaru. *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1991. Séminaire Orsay, Reprint of *Travaux de Thurston sur les surfaces*, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979 Astérisque No. 66-67 (1991).
- [20] David Gabai. Almost filling laminations and the connectivity of ending lamination space. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(2):1017–1041, 2009.
- [21] Frederick P. Gardiner. *Teichmüller theory and quadratic differentials*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1987. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [22] Ursula Hamenstädt. Train tracks and the Gromov boundary of the complex of curves. In *Spaces of Kleinian groups*, volume 329 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 187–207. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006. [arXiv:math/0409611](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0409611).
- [23] John Hubbard and Howard Masur. Quadratic differentials and foliations. *Acta Math.*, 142(3-4):221–274, 1979.
- [24] Stephen P. Humphries. Generators for the mapping class group. In *Topology of low-dimensional manifolds (Proc. Second Sussex Conf., Chelwood Gate, 1977)*, volume 722 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 44–47. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [25] Nikolai V. Ivanov. *Subgroups of Teichmüller modular groups*, volume 115 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992. Translated from the Russian by E. J. F. Primrose and revised by the author.
- [26] Richard P. Kent IV and Christopher J. Leininger. Shadows of mapping class groups: capturing convex cocompactness. To appear in *GAF*. [arXiv:math/0505114](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505114).
- [27] Richard P. Kent IV, Christopher J. Leininger, and Saul Schleimer. Trees and mapping class groups. [arXiv:math/06112414](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/06112414).
- [28] Steven Kerckhoff, Howard Masur, and John Smillie. Ergodicity of billiard flows and quadratic differentials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 124(2):293–311, 1986.
- [29] Erica Klarreich. The boundary at infinity of the curve complex and the relative Teichmüller space. Preprint, <http://nasw.org/users/klarreich/publications.htm>.
- [30] Ravi S. Kulkarni and Peter B. Shalen. On Ahlfors’ finiteness theorem. *Adv. Math.*, 76(2):155–169, 1989.

- [31] Albert Marden and Kurt Strebel. The heights theorem for quadratic differentials on Riemann surfaces. *Acta Math.*, 153(3-4):153–211, 1984.
- [32] Howard A. Masur and Yair N. Minsky. Geometry of the complex of curves. I. Hyperbolicity. *Invent. Math.*, 138(1):103–149, 1999, [arXiv:math/9804098](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9804098).
- [33] Darryl McCullough. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds with boundary. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 37(147):299–307, 1986.
- [34] Yair N. Minsky. The classification of Kleinian surface groups, I: Models and bounds. Preprint, [arXiv:math.GT/0302208](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.GT/0302208).
- [35] Yair N. Minsky. Curve complexes, surfaces and 3-manifolds. In *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 1001–1033. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [36] Mahan Mitra. Cannon-Thurston maps for hyperbolic group extensions. *Topology*, 37(3):527–538, 1998.
- [37] Lee Mosher. Train track expansions of measured foliations, Preprint. <http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/~mosher/>.
- [38] Jean-Pierre Otal. *The hyperbolization theorem for fibered 3-manifolds*, volume 7 of *SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. Translated from the 1996 French original by Leslie D. Kay.
- [39] Saul Schleimer and Kasra Rafi. Curve complexes with connected boundary are rigid. Preprint, [arXiv:0710.3794](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3794).
- [40] G. P. Scott. Compact submanifolds of 3-manifolds. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 7:246–250, 1973.
- [41] William P. Thurston. The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds. Princeton Lecture Notes, 1979.
- [42] William P. Thurston. Hyperbolic Structures on 3-manifolds, II: Surface groups and 3-manifolds which fiber over the circle. Preprint, [arXiv:math.GT/9801045](https://arxiv.org/abs/math.GT/9801045).
- [43] William P. Thurston. *Three-dimensional geometry and topology. Vol. 1*, volume 35 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997. Edited by Silvio Levy.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana–Champaign, IL 61801
clein@math.uiuc.edu

Department of Mathematics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL UK
s.schleimer@warwick.ac.uk