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#### Abstract

We demonstrate theoretically that the collective abstraction reaction $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB}+\mathrm{B}$ can be realized efficiently with degenerate bosonic or fermionic matter waves. We show that this is dominated by quantum fluctuations, which are critical in triggering its initial stages with the appearance of macroscopic non-classical correlations of the atomic and molecular fields as a result. This study opens up a promising new regime of quantum degenerate matter-wave chemistry.


PACS numbers: 42.50.-p, 03.75.Pp, 03.70. +k

The making and probing of ultracold molecular gases has attracted much attention in recent years [1]. Starting from an atomic Bose-Einstein condensate, magnetic Feshbach resonances and/or optical photoassociation [2] can be exploited to create not only diatomic molecules but also more complex molecules, such as evidenced by the recent observations of transient short-lifetime trimers $\mathrm{Cs}_{3}$ [3] or tetramers $\mathrm{Cs}_{4}$ (actually the resonances in inelastic processes) [4]. In another development of relevance for the present study, atom-dimer dark states were produced through coherent photoassociation [5], a process sometimes called superchemistry or quantum degenerate chemistry [6].

So far, matter-wave superchemistry has concentrated largely on the coherent combination or decomposition reactions [7] between atoms and homonuclear or heteronuclear [8] molecules. In this Letter we extend these ideas to another type of elementary chemical reaction, the coherent abstraction reaction (or bimolecular reactive scattering) $A+B_{2} \rightarrow A B+B$. This reaction is an important benchmark system extensively studied for many years in chemical physics, a particularly noteworthy contribution being the study by Shapiro and Brumer of the coherent control of single-molecular photoassociation or bimolecular collisions via interference of reactive pathways [9]. One main result of this Letter is to demonstrate that the coherent abstraction reaction can be realized and controlled efficiently in degenerate matter waves by exploiting an atom-molecule dark state. An important characteristic of this process is that it is triggered by quantum noise, leading to large shot-to-shot fluctuations that dominate the initial stages of the reaction. From a theoretical point of view, this implies that the mean-field Gross-Pitaevskii equation is not appropriate to describe the early stages of the coherent bimolecular reaction, and can be used only once the product reactant populations become macroscopic. This is in contrast with single-molecular combination reactions such as the atom-dimer [2, 6] or atom-trimer conversion [10], and is reminiscent of quantum or atom optics situations such as
the laser, superradiance and matter-wave superradiance, see for instance Refs. [11, 12, 13].

The basic idea in realizing the collective reaction $\mathrm{A}+$ $\mathrm{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB}+\mathrm{B}$ in quantum-degenerate gases is to first create highly excited trimers $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ via an entrance-channel atom-dimer Feshbach resonance, and to then photodissociate them into a closed-channel bound dimer and an atom. The use of a dynamical two-photon resonance scheme involving an intermediate trimer state permits one to exploit the existence of a coherent population trapping state (CPT) that prevents the trimer population from becoming significant throughout the conversion process. Such a generalized atom-molecule dark state does not exist in other schemes that involve e.g. an intermediate two-species atomic state. Note also that this scheme is different from a purely collision-induced reaction 14] and from the nondegenerate single-pair dynamics of reactive scattering [9]. To our knowledge this is the first proposal for the quantum control of matter-wave abstraction reactions, and as such it represents a promising new step in developing the field of degenerate chemistry [4, 6].


FIG. 1: (Color online) Schematic illustration of the coherent abstraction reaction $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB}+\mathrm{B}$ with degenerate matter waves. Here the atoms A and B can be bosonic or fermionic.

Our model system is illustrated by Fig. 1. Denoting
the strength of the $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB}_{2}$ coupling with detuning $\delta$ by $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$, the Rabi frequency of the dissociating laser by $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}$ and its detuning by $\Delta$, the dynamics of the system is described at the simplest level by the model Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H} & =-\int d r\left\{\sum_{i, j} \chi_{i, j}^{\prime} \hat{\psi}_{i}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{j}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{j}(r) \hat{\psi}_{i}(r)+\delta \hat{\psi}_{t}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{t}(r)\right. \\
& +\lambda^{\prime}\left[\hat{\psi}_{t}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{a}(r) \hat{\psi}_{b_{2}}(r)+\text { h.c. }\right]+(\Delta+\delta) \hat{\psi}_{a b}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{a b}(r) \\
& \left.-\Omega^{\prime}\left[\hat{\psi}_{a b}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{b}^{\dagger}(r) \hat{\psi}_{t}(r)+\text { h.c. }\right]\right\} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider first the case of a bosonic system, in which case the annihilation operators $\hat{\psi}_{i}$, where the indices $i, j=a, b, b_{2}, a b, t$ stand for atoms ( A and B ), dimers ( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ and AB ) and trimers, satisfy standard bosonic commutation relations. The terms proportional to $\chi_{i, j}^{\prime}$ describe $s$-wave collisions between these species. We remark that trimer formation via an atom-dimer resonance is actively studied in ongoing experiments, and that the Feshbach-resonance-aided photoassociation considered here could also be reformulated as a laser frequency modulation scheme [9, 15]. Finally, the Bose-enhanced selectivity of dissociation channels in coherent photodissociation of the heteronuclear trimers ABC was also studied by Moore and Vardi [7].

In the framework of a standard mean-field approach where $\hat{\psi}_{i} \rightarrow \sqrt{n} \psi_{i}$ we find readily

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{\psi}_{a}= & 2 i \sum_{j} \chi_{a, j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2} \psi_{a}+i \lambda \psi_{b_{2}}^{*} \psi_{t} \\
\dot{\psi}_{b}= & 2 i \sum_{j} \chi_{b, j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2} \psi_{b}-i \Omega \psi_{a b}^{*} \psi_{t} \\
\dot{\psi}_{b_{2}}= & 2 i \sum_{j} \chi_{b_{2}, j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2} \psi_{b_{2}}+i \lambda \psi_{a}^{*} \psi_{t} \\
\dot{\psi}_{a b}= & 2 i \sum_{j} \chi_{a b, j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2} \psi_{a b}-i \Omega \psi_{b}^{*} \psi_{t}+i(\Delta+\delta) \psi_{a b} \\
\dot{\psi}_{t}= & 2 i \sum_{j} \chi_{t, j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2} \psi_{t}+(i \delta-\gamma) \psi_{t}+i \lambda \psi_{a} \psi_{b_{2}} \\
& -i \Omega \psi_{b} \psi_{a b} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\chi_{i, j}=n \chi_{i, j}^{\prime}, \quad \lambda=\lambda^{\prime} \sqrt{n}$ and $\Omega=\Omega^{\prime} \sqrt{n}$, and the phenomenological decay rate $\gamma$ accounts for the loss of intermediate trimers. The maintenance of dark or unpopulated trimer state is important for an efficient conversion even with a very short molecular lifetime [15]. For $\psi_{b}(0)=\psi_{a b}(0)=0$, Eqs. (2) imply that there is no growth in the populations of the atoms B and of the dimers AB. This indicates that the mean-field GrossPitaevskii equations, which are adequate to describe the short-time behavior of the familiar coherent atom-dimer or atom-trimer conversion [6, 10], break down completely in studying the onset of this bimolecular reaction.

A similar situation has been previously encountered in a broad range of systems in quantum optics, but also in
coupled degenerate atomic and molecular systems such as the matter-wave superradiance in Bose-condensed atoms [11, 12, 13]. Following a strategy developed in the study of these systems, we decompose the problem into an initial stage dominated by quantum noise followed by a classical stage that arises once the product components have acquired a macroscopic population. The initial quantum stage is treated in a linearized approach whose main purpose is to establish the statistical properties of the initial fields required for the classical stage [13].

To simplify the description of the initial stages we note that in the collisionless limit an effective secondquantized Hamiltonian can be obtained by adiabatically eliminating the intermediate excited state,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{eff}}=-\left(G \hat{c}_{a b}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{b}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{a} \hat{c}_{b_{2}}+\text { h.c. }\right)+\hat{c}_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{c}_{0}=\omega_{1} \hat{c}_{a}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{a} \hat{c}_{b_{2}}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{b_{2}}+\omega_{2} \hat{c}_{a b}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{a b} \hat{c}_{b}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{b}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & =\left(\lambda^{\prime} \Omega^{\prime} / \delta\right) \int d r \phi_{a b}^{*}(r) \phi_{b}^{*}(r) \phi_{a}(r) \phi_{b_{2}}(r) \\
\omega_{1} & =\left(\lambda^{\prime 2} / \delta\right) \int d r \phi_{a}^{*}(r) \phi_{a}(r) \phi_{b_{2}}^{*}(r) \phi_{b_{2}}(r) \\
\omega_{2} & =\left(\Omega^{\prime 2} / \delta\right) \int d r \phi_{a b}^{*}(r) \phi_{a b}(r) \phi_{b}^{*}(r) \phi_{b}(r),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\hat{\psi}_{i}(r, t)=\phi_{i}(r) \hat{c}_{i}(t)$. Equation (3) is reminiscent of the Hamiltonian describing spin-exchange scattering in a two-species two-pseudospin-state Bose condensate 16].

For short enough interaction times, the populations of the products remain small compared to the total particle numbers. In this regime, we can treat the fields $\hat{\psi}_{a}$ and $\hat{\psi}_{b_{2}}$ classically, $\hat{c}_{a, b_{2}} \rightarrow \sqrt{N_{a, b_{2}}}$, and then neglect the term in Eq. (3) describing only the interactions of the modes $\hat{c}_{a, b_{2}}$. This amounts to linearizing the dynamics of the fields $\hat{c}_{a b}$ and $\hat{c}_{b}$, with the noise source $\hat{f}_{j}^{\dagger}(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\hat{c}}_{a b, b}(t)=\hat{f}_{b, a b}^{\dagger}(t)=i \mathcal{G} \hat{c}_{b, a b}^{\dagger}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is familiar from quantum treatments of the optical parametric oscillator 11 and of the molecular dissociation (pair production) [17]. The noise operators satisfy

$$
\left\langle\hat{f}_{i}^{\dagger}(t) \hat{f}_{j}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle\hat{f}_{i}(t) \hat{f}_{j}^{\dagger}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\mathcal{G}^{2} \delta_{i j} \delta\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $\mathcal{G}=G \sqrt{N_{a} N_{b_{2}}}$ and $i, j=a b$ or $b$ here and in the following. It is these noise operators that trigger the evolution of the system from initial vacuum fluctuations.

The quantum noise-induced populations of the $\hat{c}_{\mathrm{g}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}}$ mode and their fluctuations correlation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{j} \equiv\left\langle\hat{c}_{j}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j}\right\rangle=\sinh ^{2}(\mathcal{G} t) \approx N_{a} N_{b_{2}} G^{2} t^{2} \\
& \mathcal{C}_{a b}=\mathcal{C}_{b} \equiv \frac{\left\langle\Delta \hat{N}_{a b} \Delta \hat{N}_{b}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{N_{a b} N_{b}}}=1+\sinh ^{2}(\mathcal{G} t)>1 \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta \hat{N}_{j} \equiv \hat{N}_{j}-\left\langle\hat{N}_{j}\right\rangle$. Equation (5) can also be derived by solving Eq. (3) to second order in time. The
second factorial moment of the modes $\hat{c}_{j}$ is typical of chaotic fields, $g_{j}^{(2)}=2$, but they are entangled, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g_{a b, b}^{(2)}\right]^{2}-g_{a b}^{(2)} g_{b}^{(2)}=\sinh ^{-2}(\mathcal{G} t)+4 \sinh ^{-1}(\mathcal{G} t)>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

indicative of a violation of the classical Cauchy-Schwartz inequality.

For comparison we comment briefly on the case where atoms A are bosonic and atoms B fermionic. We obtain similar equations of motion in that case, except that the noise operators ( $-\hat{f}_{b}^{\dagger}, \hat{f}_{a b}^{\dagger}$ ) are now different. These equations can be solved via a Bogoliubov transformation, and we find that as a result of the Fermi statistics the vacuum-noise-triggered population is now $N_{j}=\sin ^{2}(\mathcal{G} t)<1$ (for the zero-momentum mode [17]), with the dimer-atom pairs correlation becoming $\mathcal{C}_{a b}=\mathcal{C}_{b}=1-\sin ^{2}(\mathcal{G} t)<1$. The Mandel $Q$ parameters [11] are

$$
Q_{j}=\frac{\left\langle\hat{N}_{j}^{2}\right\rangle-N_{j}^{2}}{N_{j}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(a) \cosh ^{2}(\mathcal{G} t)>1  \tag{7}\\
(b) \cos ^{2}(\mathcal{G} t)<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where (a) is for creating bosonic and (b) fermionic matter-wave fields, which exhibit therefore super-Poisson or sub-Poisson statistics 11], respectively.

We conclude the discussion of the short-time dynamics by mentioning that the long-time quantum statistics of the AB and B populations, which are significantly influenced by the initial vacuum fluctuations, can be calculated by a positive- $P$ representation technique 18] and other methods [16]. Rather than adopting such a full quantum treatment, we proceed in the following by combining the mean-field description of Eqs. (2) with stochastic classical seeds with statistics consistent with the results of the short-time linearized quantum theory [13].

We now turn to the long-time reaction dynamics. We proceed by numerically computing a large number of trajectories (typically about 300) from initial classical seeds that satisfy the short-time statistics of Eq. (5). For each trajectory $n$, we use Eqs. (2) to calculate the particles populations $N_{i, n}(t)$ where $i=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}_{2}, \mathrm{AB}$, and B .

Figure 2 shows the standard deviation $\Delta N_{i}(t)$ of the particle populations $i=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{AB}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ for $\delta= \pm 3$, and the insert shows a range $\pm \Delta N_{i}$ about their mean, $\bar{N}_{i}(t) \pm \Delta N_{i}(t)$ for $\delta=3$ and in the case of bosonic atoms. As expected, the small product seeds triggered by the initial quantum fluctuations are significantly amplified before reaching a stationary value for $\delta=3$.

An important feature of the coherent abstraction reaction is that it can be controlled and optimized by exploiting the existence of a CPT dark state [5, 10]. Under a dynamical two-photon resonance condition, Eqs. (2) admit a steady-state CPT solution such that a trimer state remains unpopulated at all times 19]

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a b, b}^{s}=\frac{2 \mathcal{R}}{(1+\mathcal{R})\left[1+2 \mathcal{R}+\sqrt{(1-2 \mathcal{R})^{2}+8 \mathcal{R} \Omega^{2} / \lambda^{2}}\right]} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 2: (Color online) Standard deviations of the atom and dimer populations $\left(\Delta N_{j}(t)=\left\langle\Delta \hat{N}_{j}^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2}\right)$ for $\delta=3$ or $\delta=-3$. Time is in units of $\lambda^{-1}$, and $\gamma=1$. The other parameters are given in the text. The trimer number remains zero at all times due to the CPT condition. Inset: fluctuating range of the populations $\bar{N}_{i}(t) \pm \Delta N_{i}(t)$ for $\delta=3$.
where we have applied the steady-state ansatz [10] $\psi_{i}^{s}=$ $\left|\psi_{i}^{s}\right| e^{i \theta_{i}} e^{i \mu_{i} t},(\theta, \mu)_{b_{2}, a b}=2(\theta, \mu)_{b},(\theta, \mu)_{a}=(\theta, \mu)_{b}$ and $\mathcal{R} \equiv N_{a}(0) / 2 N_{b_{2}}(0)$ is introduced to define the initial ratio of the particles numbers. Using $\partial N_{a b}^{s} / \partial \mathcal{R}=0$, we can find the maximum value $\left.N_{a b}^{s}\right|_{\max }=1 / 3$ for $\mathcal{R}=1 / 2$.

Figure 3(a) shows the mean particle populations obtained by averaging over $n_{T}=300$ trajectories. In this specific example atom A is ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ and atom B is ${ }^{41} \mathrm{~K}, \lambda=$ $4.718 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\Omega(t)=\Omega_{0} \operatorname{sech}(t / \tau)$ with $\Omega_{0} / \lambda=20$, $\lambda \tau=20$ 20]. The collision parameters, in units of $\lambda / n$, are $\chi_{a a}=0.5303, \chi_{b b}=0.3214, \chi_{a b}=0.8731$, and the others are taken as 0.0938 20]. We note that the scattering lengths of the various collisions, especially those involving molecular trimers, are not known at this time. We have therefore carried out numerical simulations by for a large set of plausible collision parameters for the $\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{Na}$ or other atomic condensate [19]. We found that the stable bimolecular conversion is always possible for appropriate values of the external field detuning $\delta$. The departure of the product populations from the ideal CPT value is due to the fact that only an approximate adiabatic condition exists for the CPT state [15, [19]: $\gamma_{\mathrm{nl}}(t) \approx \frac{|\dot{\eta}|}{1+\eta} \frac{1}{4 \lambda} \ll 1$, with $\eta=\lambda / \Omega$, which becomes increasingly difficult to satisfy in the last stages $(\eta \gg 1)$.

Our proposal relies crucially on the capability to avoid rapid collisional quenching or the formation of an unstable atom-dimer sample. When energetically allowed, collision-induced reactions always occur at some rate, and we need to guarantee that the time scale over which quantum fluctuations dominate the dynamics is short enough, so that the dynamics of the system is not collision-dominated. From the condition $|\mathcal{G} t|<1$ we es-


FIG. 3: (Color online) Populations of dimers and atoms for $\delta=3$ or $\delta=-3$ (in units of $\lambda / n$ ) by averaging 300 trajectories. Time is in units of $\lambda^{-1}$, and $\gamma=1$. The trimer number remains zero at all times. The line labelled "CPT" shows the ideal population of products (dimers AB and atoms B ).
timate the maximum permissible collision-induced rate to be of the order of $10^{5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for $|\delta|=3$ and $\Omega_{0}=20 \lambda$. A typical low-temperature inelastic collisions rate coefficient is $10^{-17} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ 18, 21], corresponding to a rate of about $10^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for a sample density of $10^{14} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. In that case the fluctuations-induced dynamics will dominate the short-time behavior of the system.

Finally we remark that by using ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ for the bosonic atoms A and ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ for the fermionic atoms B we can realize the conversion of bosonic pairs to fermionic pairs, which is likewise described by Eqs. (2) with the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{j, j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{2} \rightarrow A_{j}\left|\psi_{j}\right|^{4 / 3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{j}=\left(6 \pi^{2}\right)^{2 / 3} / 4 M_{j}, j=a b, b$, and $M_{j}$ denotes the particle mass, provided that we ignore the $s$-wave collisions of fermionic particles and only consider their kinetic energy [22]. In contrast to the purely bosonic case, molecule formation is now found to be stable for both positive and negative detunings, see Fig. 3(b).

In conclusion, we have shown that in the collective abstraction reaction $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{AB}+\mathrm{B}$, the initial quantum fluctuations lead to the strongly correlated creation of dimer-atom pairs. This novel feature indicates that in the quantum degenerate regime, elementary bimolecular reactive scattering are significantly different from the familiar single-molecular reaction, and may lead to fascinating new opportunities in degenerate chemistry, such as the possible collective reaction $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~A}_{3}+\mathrm{A}$.

Future work will also study the unique "superchemistry" effects of ultra-selectivity or confinement-induced stability in our system 7]. In addition, a complete analysis of collisional effects [14, 23] will need to be considered. While experiments along the lines of this analysis promise to be challenging, recent progress in quantum degenerate chemistry $[5,7,15]$ and in the control of atom-molecule systems [3, 4, 24, 25] indicates that achieving this goal should become possible in the not too distant future.

This research is supported by the US Office of Naval Research, by the US National Science Foundation, by the US Army Research Office, and by the NSFC (10774047).
[1] K. Bongs and K. Sengstock, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 907 (2004); R. Krems, Int. Rev. Phys. Chem. 24, 99 (2005).
[2] T. Köhler, K. Góral, and P. S. Julienne, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 1311 (2006); K. M. Jones, et al., ibid. 78, 483 (2006).
[3] T. Kraemer, et al., Nature (London) 440, 315 (2006).
[4] C. Chin, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 123201 (2005).
[5] K. Winkler, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 063202 (2005).
[6] D. J. Heinzen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5029 (2000); J. J. Hope and M. K. Olsen, ibid. 86, 3220 (2001).
[7] M. G. Moore and A. Vardi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 160402 (2002); ibid. 89, 090403 (2002); I. Tikhonenkov and A. Vardi, ibid. 98, 080403 (2007).
[8] C. Ospelkaus, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 120402 (2006).
[9] M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, Principles of the Quantum Control of Molecular Processes (Wiley, New York, 2003); Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2574 (1996); C. A. Arango, M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, ibid. 97, 193202 (2006).
[10] H. Jing, J. Cheng and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 133002 (2007).
[11] P. Meystre and M. Sargent III, Elements of Quantum Optics (4th Edition, Springer-Verlag, 2007).
[12] M. G. Moore and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5202 (1999); H. Pu and P. Meystre, ibid. 85, 3987 (2000).
[13] C. P. Search and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 140405 (2004).
[14] B. Borca, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 070404 (2003).
[15] H.-Y. Ling, H. Pu, and B. Seaman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 250403 (2004); H. Pu, et al., ibid. 98, 050406 (2007).
[16] Y. Shi and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 140401 (2006).
[17] K. V. Kheruntsyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 110401 (2006); W. Zhang, et al., ibid. 90, 140401 (2003).
[18] J. J. Hope, Phys. Rev. A 64, 053608 (2001); H. Jing and J. Cheng, ibid. 74, 063607 (2006).
[19] Details of the derivations will be published elsewhere.
[20] G. Modugno, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 190404 (2002).
[21] M. T. Cvitas̆, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 200402 (2005); ibid. 94, 033201 (2005).
[22] L.-H. Lu and Y.-Q. Li, Phys. Rev. A 76, 053608 (2007).
[23] J. P. D'Incao and B. D. Esry, Phys. Rev. Lett.94, 213201 (2005); E. Braaten and H. W. Hammer, Phys. Rep. 428, 259 (2006).
[24] M. Taglieber, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 010401 (2008).
[25] X. Li et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 043003 (2008)).

