

Lyapunov exponents, bifurcation currents and laminations in bifurcation loci

Giovanni Bassanelli and François Berteloot

Abstract

Bifurcation loci in the moduli space of degree d rational maps are shaped by the hypersurfaces defined by the existence of a cycle of period n and multiplier 0 or $e^{i\theta}$. Using potential-theoretic arguments, we establish two equidistribution properties for these hypersurfaces with respect to the bifurcation current. To this purpose we first establish approximation formulas for the Lyapunov function. In degree $d = 2$, this allows us to build holomorphic motions and show that the bifurcation locus has a lamination structure in the regions where an attracting basin of fixed period exists.

Giovanni Bassanelli, Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Parma, Parco Area delle Scienze, Viale Usberti 53/A I-43100 Parma, Italia. *Email: giovanni.bassanelli@unipr.it*

François Berteloot, Université Paul Sabatier MIG. Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse. 31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France. *Email: berteloo@picard.ups-tlse.fr*

Math Subject Class: 37F45; 37F10

1 Introduction

A bifurcation is usually said to occur when the dynamical behaviour of a map changes drastically under perturbation. For rational maps on the Riemann sphere, the study of bifurcations started with the seminal paper of Mañé, Sad and Sullivan [16] and, since, constitutes a large field of research. Let us recall that, in particular, this work characterizes the bifurcation locus as the subset of the parameter space where the Julia set does not move continuously.

In any parameter space M of some holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in M}$ or in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d of degree d rational maps, the subsets $Per_n(w)$ of rational maps possessing a cycle of exact period n and multiplier $w \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{1\}$ turn out to be hypersurfaces. As the Mañé-Sad-Sullivan theory shows, the bifurcation locus is shaped by the hypersurfaces $Per_n(0)$ and $Per_n(e^{i\theta})$; it actually coincides with the closure of the union over n and θ of the hypersurfaces $Per_n(e^{i\theta})$. Moreover, the work of Milnor [19] reveals that the global structure of the bifurcation locus is intimately related to the behaviour of these hypersurfaces in a convenient compactification of \mathcal{M}_d . We aim, in the present paper, to combine the approach of Milnor with the point of view of bifurcation currents. As we shall now explain, these currents allow to both exploit the properties of the Lyapunov function and general measure or potential-theoretic methods.

The bifurcation locus of any holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in M}$, as well as the bifurcation locus of a moduli space \mathcal{M}_d , carries a closed, positive $(1, 1)$ -current. This current, which has been introduced by DeMarco [6], is called the bifurcation current and denoted T_{bif} . Both T_{bif} and its powers T_{bif}^k ($k \leq \dim_{\mathbf{C}} M$) are extremely convenient tools for the study of measurable or complex analytic properties of the bifurcation locus and have been exploited in several recent works ([1],[20],[10],[9]). A crucial fact is that the bifurcation current admits both the Lyapunov function $L(\lambda)$ and the sum of values of the Green function on the critical points as potentials (see [7] or [1]):

$$T_{\text{bif}} = dd^c L(\lambda) = dd^c \sum G_\lambda(c_\lambda).$$

We recall that $L(\lambda)$ denotes the Lyapunov exponent of f_λ with respect to its maximal entropy measure. The above formula actually enlightens the double nature of the bifurcation current: T_{bif} may either detect the instability of repelling cycles or the instability of critical orbits. This reflects the essence of the classical Mañé-Sad-Sullivan theory on bifurcations (see [1], Section 5). Our point of view, initiated in [1], is based on the identity $T_{\text{bif}} = dd^c L(\lambda)$.

Let us now describe the content of the paper. We first investigate how the hypersurfaces $Per_n(0)$ and $Per_n(e^{i\theta})$ accumulate the bifurcation current and establish the following equidistribution formulas in a quite general context (see theorem 3.5 and, for similar results regarding T_{bif}^k , theorem 3.9):

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{bif}} &= \lim_n d^{-n} [Per_n(0)] \\ T_{\text{bif}} &= \lim_n \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [Per_n(e^{i\theta})] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

It is important to stress here that these formulas are deduced from the identity $T_{\text{bif}} = dd^c L(\lambda)$ and the following property of the Lyapunov exponent ($RP(f_\lambda, n)$ is the set of repulsive points of f_λ of exact period n):

$$L(\lambda) = \lim_n d^{-n} \sum_{RP(f_\lambda, n)} \log |f'_\lambda|.$$

A similar fact has been proved for holomorphic endomorphisms of \mathbf{P}^k ([2]). Although the proof is definitely easier when $k = 1$, the above formula seems to be new even in that case.

In the remaining of the paper we work in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_2 of quadratic rational maps. As it has been shown by Milnor [19], we may identify \mathcal{M}_2 with \mathbf{C}^2 . Our goal is to use the above formulas to see how the distribution of the hypersurfaces $Per_n(0)$ determines the shape of the bifurcation locus.

Besides the equidistribution formulas, we introduce two other tools which may be of independent interest. The first one is a parametrization “à la Douady-Hubbard” of certain hyperbolic components of \mathcal{M}_2 (see theorem 5.2). This parametrization yields a holomorphic motion of the hyperbolic components of any curve $Per_{n_0}(0)$. The second is an extension principle for special kind of holomorphic motions in \mathbf{P}^2 . This principle is comparable with the classical λ -lemma for holomorphic motions in the Riemann sphere. It

allows us to obtain the motion of the bifurcation locus as a limit of motions of hyperbolic components (see theorem 4.4).

Our main result describes the bifurcation locus $\mathcal{B}if_{n_0}$ of the open region $U_{n_0} \subset \mathcal{M}_2$ consisting of “parameters” which do have an attracting cycle of period n_0 . We compare $\mathcal{B}if_{n_0}$ with the bifurcation locus $\mathcal{B}if_{n_0}^c$ of the “central curve” $Per_{n_0}(0)$ (see theorem 6.4 for a precise statement). We show that $\mathcal{B}if_{n_0}$ is a lamination whose transverse measure is the bifurcation measure $\mu_{n_0}^c$ on the central curve $Per_{n_0}(0)$. We actually construct, in a very natural way, a holomorphic motion $\sigma : \mathcal{B}if_{n_0}^c \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{B}if_{n_0}$ such that:

$$T_{\text{bif}}|_{U_{n_0}} = \int_{\mathcal{B}if_{n_0}^c} [\sigma(\lambda, \Delta)] \mu_{n_0}^c.$$

When $n_0 = 1$, our result is sharper and also easier to visualize. The open region U_1 is the subset of \mathcal{M}_2 which consists of parameters having an attracting fixed point. The central curve $Per_1(0)$ is a line in $\mathbf{C}^2 = \mathcal{M}_2$. This line is the family of quadratic polynomials on which the bifurcation locus is the boundary of the Mandelbrot set M_2 . The bifurcation measure is the harmonic measure of M_2 (see corollary 3.8). Our result says, in particular, that the bifurcation locus in U_1 is obtained by moving holomorphically the boundary of the Mandelbrot set. Using Slodkowski’s theorem, we extend our holomorphic motion to the full line $Per_1(0)$. This shows that every stable component moves holomorphically. Consequently, we observe that if some non-hyperbolic stable component would exist on the line of quadratic polynomials, such a component would also exist within quadratic rational maps (see theorem 7.1).

We now end this introduction with a few words on related works. In their paper ([10], Theorems 1 and 4.2) Dujardin and Favre have studied the distribution of hypersurfaces of M defined by the pre-periodicity of a critical point. They have shown that the bifurcation current is the limit of suitably normalized currents of integration on these hypersurfaces. The first assertion of our theorem 3.5 corresponds to the case of a periodic critical point. It is interesting to note that the approaches are based on the two different interpretations of the bifurcation current which we have previously described. Dujardin and Favre use $T_{\text{bif}} = dd^c \sum G_\lambda(c_\lambda)$ and investigate the activity of critical points while we work with $T_{\text{bif}} = dd^c L(\lambda)$ and investigate the instability of cycles. Using Branner-Hubbard holomorphic motion and quasi-conformal surgery, Uhre [25] has built a motion similar to our in the region U_1 . The laminarity properties of the bifurcation current in the family of cubic polynomials have been studied by Dujardin [9], his approach differs from our.

The paper is organized as follows. The section 2 describes the general concepts and results used in the paper, we discuss in particular the Milnor compactification of \mathcal{M}_2 . The equidistribution formulas for T_{bif} and T_{bif}^k are proved in section 3 in the context of holomorphic families, these results remain valid for moduli spaces. In section 4, we introduce the notion of guided holomorphic motion in \mathbf{C}^2 and establish, for these motions, an extension principle. The section 5 is devoted to the uniformization of hyperbolic components in \mathcal{M}_2 . Finally, the laminarity properties of the bifurcation current in \mathcal{M}_2 are studied in sections 6 and 7.

2 The framework

2.1 Bifurcation current and Lyapunov function

Every rational map of degree $d \geq 2$ on the Riemann sphere admits a maximal entropy measure μ_f . This measure satisfies $\pi^* \mu_f = dd^c G_F$ where π is the canonical projection from $\mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ onto \mathbf{P}^1 and $G_F := \lim d^{-n} \ln \|F^n\|$ is the Green function of any lift F of f to \mathbf{C}^2 . The Lyapunov exponent of f with respect to the measure μ_f is defined by $L(f) = \int_{\mathbf{P}^1} \ln |f'| \mu_f$ (see the book [22] for a general exposition in any dimension).

When $f : M \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ is a holomorphic family of degree d rational maps, the Lyapunov function L on the parameter space M is defined by:

$$L(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbf{P}^1} \ln |f'_\lambda| \mu_\lambda$$

where μ_λ is the maximal entropy measure of f_λ . It turns out that the function L is *p.s.h* and Hölder continuous on M (see [1] Corollary 3.4). The bifurcation current T_{bif} of the family is a closed, positive $(1, 1)$ -current on M which may be defined by

$$T_{\text{bif}} := dd^c L(\lambda).$$

As it has been shown by DeMarco [7], the support of T_{bif} coincides with the bifurcation locus of the family in the classical sense of Mañé-Sad-Sullivan (see also [1], Theorem 5.2). All these constructions also make sense on the moduli spaces \mathcal{M}_d (see [1], Section 6).

2.2 The moduli spaces \mathcal{M}_d and the hypersurfaces $Per_n(w)$

The space Rat_d of all rational maps of degree d on \mathbf{P}^1 may be viewed as an open subset of \mathbf{P}^{2d+1} on which the group of Möbius transformations, which is isomorphic to $PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$, acts by conjugation. The moduli space \mathcal{M}_d is, by definition, the quotient resulting from this action. We shall denote as follows the canonical projection:

$$\begin{aligned} Rat_d &\longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_d \\ f &\longmapsto \bar{f} \end{aligned}$$

Although the action of $PSL(2, \mathbf{C})$ is not free, it may be proven that \mathcal{M}_d is a normal quasi-projective variety [23]. For simplifying we shall sometimes commit the abuse of language which consists in considering a parameter $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_d$ as a rational map. For instance, " $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_d$ has a n -cycle" means that every $f \in Rat_d$ such that $\bar{f} = \lambda$ possesses such a cycle.

We shall now recall why the subsets of \mathcal{M}_d whose elements are the λ which have a n -cycle of multiplier w are (at least when $w \neq 1$) hypersurfaces of \mathcal{M}_d . Let $n \in \mathbf{N}^*$. We first consider the hypersurfaces Q_n^* of $Rat_d \times \mathbf{P}^1$ defined by

$$Q_n^* := \{(f, z) \in Rat_d \times \mathbf{P}^1 / f^n(z) = z\}$$

and then only retain the components whose generic points (f, z) has the property that z is exactly of period n :

$$Q_n := \overline{Q_n^* \setminus \bigcup_{k < n, k|n} Q_k^*}.$$

We may now define a holomorphic function w_n on Q_n by setting

$$\begin{aligned} w_n : Q_n &\longrightarrow \mathbf{C} \\ (f, z) &\longmapsto (f^n)'(z) \end{aligned}$$

and consider its graph $\Gamma_n \subset Q_n \times \mathbf{C} \subset \text{Rat}_d \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{C}$. We are lead to the following key point:

Definition-Proposition 2.1 *Let Per_n be the image of Γ_n under the canonical projection $\text{Rat}_d \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d \times \mathbf{C}$. Then, Per_n is an algebraic subvariety of $\mathcal{M}_d \times \mathbf{C}$ and the projection $Per_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ is an analytic covering map. By construction, $(\bar{f}, w) \in Per_n$ if and only if f there exists $z \in \mathbf{P}^1$ such that $(f, z) \in Q_n$ and $w_n(f, z) = w$.*

We denote by $\nu_d(n)$ the number of distinct n -periodic points for a generic $f \in \text{Rat}_d$. Then, $N(n) := \frac{\nu_d(n)}{n}$ is the cardinality of the generic fibers of the cover $Per_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_d$ and taking multiplicity into account, the fiber of any $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_d$ may be written

$$w_{n,1}(\lambda), \dots, w_{n,N(n)}(\lambda).$$

We are now ready to give a precise description of the hypersurfaces $Per_n(w)$

Definition-Proposition 2.2 *The hypersurface $Per_n \subset \mathcal{M}_d \times \mathbf{C}$ is defined by the algebraic equation $p_n(\lambda, w) = 0$ where*

$$p_n(\lambda, w) := \prod_{j=1}^{N(n)} (w - w_{n,j}(\lambda)).$$

For any $w \in \mathbf{C}$, the hypersurface $Per_n(w)$ of \mathcal{M}_d is defined by:

$$Per_n(w) := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{M}_d / p_n(\lambda, w) = 0\}.$$

If $w \neq 1$: $\lambda \in Per_n(w) \Leftrightarrow \lambda$ possesses a cycle of multiplier w and exact period n .

The last assertion essentially follows from the fact that (f, z) is a smooth point of Q_n if $\bar{f} \in Per_n(w)$ when $w \neq 1$. This is a consequence of the implicit function theorem since, in that case, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(f^n(z) - z) = w_n(f, z) - 1 \neq 0$. Although much more delicate, a specific description of $Per_n(1)$ is possible (see [19] and [11]). Let us stress that, in the present work, we will not have to use the hypersurfaces $Per_n(1)$.

2.3 Milnor's compactification of \mathcal{M}_2

We recall here some fundamental geometric properties of the moduli space of quadratic rational maps. Our main references for this subsection are the paper of Milnor [19] and the fourth chapter of the book of Silverman [24].

A generic $f \in \text{Rat}_2$ has 3 fixed points with multipliers μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 . The symmetric functions

$$\sigma_1 := \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3, \quad \sigma_2 := \mu_1\mu_2 + \mu_1\mu_3 + \mu_2\mu_3, \quad \sigma_3 := \mu_1\mu_2\mu_3$$

are clearly well defined on \mathcal{M}_2 and it follows from the holomorphic index formula that $\sigma_3 - \sigma_1 + 2 = 0$. Milnor has actually shown that (σ_1, σ_2) induces a good parametrization of \mathcal{M}_2 ([19]).

Theorem 2.3 (Milnor) *The map $\mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ defined by $\bar{f} \mapsto (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is a biholomorphism. Using this identification, $p_n(\lambda, w)$ is a polynomial on $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \mathbf{C}$. Moreover, for every fixed $w \in \mathbf{C}$, the degree of $p_n(\cdot, w)$ is equal to $\frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}$ which is the number of hyperbolic components of period n in the Mandelbrot set.*

This gives a projective compactification

$$\mathcal{M}_2 \ni \bar{f} \longmapsto (\sigma_1 : \sigma_2 : 1) \in \mathbf{P}^2$$

whose corresponding line at infinity will be denoted by \mathcal{L}

$$\mathcal{L} := \{(\sigma_1 : \sigma_2 : 0); (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \in \mathbf{C}^2 \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Any $\text{Per}_n(w)$ may be seen as a curve in \mathbf{P}^2 . It is important to stress that this compactification is actually natural and that the "behaviour near \mathcal{L} " captures a lot of dynamically meaningful informations. We shall use the following facts, also due to Milnor ([19]).

Proposition 2.4 (Milnor)

- 1) *For all $w \in \mathbf{C}$ the curve $\text{Per}_1(w)$ is a line whose equation in \mathbf{C}^2 is $(w^2 + 1)\lambda_1 - w\lambda_2 - (w^3 + 2) = 0$ and whose point at infinity is $(w : w^2 + 1 : 0)$. In particular, $\text{Per}_1(0) = \{\lambda_1 = 2\}$ is the line of quadratic polynomials, its point at infinity is $(0 : 1 : 0)$.*
- 2) *For $n > 1$ and $w \in \mathbf{C}$ the points at infinity of the curves $\text{Per}_n(w)$ are of the form $(u : u^2 + 1 : 0)$ with $u^q = 1$ and $q \leq n$.*

Working with the same compactification, Epstein [11] has proved the boundedness of certain hyperbolic components of \mathcal{M}_2 :

Theorem 2.5 (Epstein) *Let H be a hyperbolic component of \mathcal{M}_2 whose elements are possessing two distinct attracting cycles. If neither attractor is a fixed point then H is relatively compact in \mathcal{M}_2*

3 Approximation formulas

In all the section we consider a fixed holomorphic family $f : M \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ of degree d rational maps whose parameter space M is an m -dimensional complex manifold.

As for the moduli space \mathcal{M}_d (see section 2), one associates to such a family a collection of polynomials and hypersurfaces of M :

Definition-Proposition 3.1 *Let $f : M \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ be a family of degree d rational maps on the Riemann sphere. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists a monic polynomial $p_n \in \mathcal{O}(M)[X]$ such that for any $w \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{1\}$:*

$$p_n(\lambda, w) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_\lambda \text{ has a cycle of multiplier } w \text{ and exact period } n.$$

The hypersurfaces $Per_n(w)$ are defined by $Per_n(w) := \{p_n(\cdot, w) = 0\}$.

We then define the currents $[Per_n(w)]$ by setting:

$$[Per_n(w)] := dd^c \ln |p_n(\cdot, w)|.$$

Up to multiplicity, $[Per_n(w)]$ is the current of integration on the hypersurface $Per_n(w)$. The main goal of this section is to show that the bifurcation current T_{bif} of the family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in M}$ is a limit of laminar currents whose dynamical interpretation is clear:

$$T_{\text{bif}} = \lim_n d^{-n} [Per_n(0)] = \lim_n \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [Per_n(e^{i\theta})] d\theta.$$

Our proof essentially relies on an approximation formula for L in terms of potentials of the form $\ln |p_n(\cdot, w)|$ (see theorem 3.4) and on standard compactness properties for positive currents.

3.1 Approximation formulas for the Lyapunov function

Definition 3.2 *We use the notations introduced in the definition 3.1. For every integer n we define a pair of functions L_n and L_n^0 on the parameter space M by setting:*

$$L_n^0 = d^{-n} \log |p_n(\lambda, 0)|$$

$$L_n = \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

These functions are actually good approximations of the Lyapunov function L . As we shall see, this basically follows from the fact that the set of attracting cycles is finite and the following property of the Lyapunov function L :

Theorem 3.3 *Let f be a rational map of degree d and $RP(f, n)$ be the set of repelling n -periodic points of f . Then:*

$$L(f) = \lim_n d^{-n} \sum_{RP(f, n)} \log |f'| = \lim_n \frac{d^{-n}}{n} \sum_{RP(f, n)} \log |(f^n)'|.$$

A similar property actually holds for holomorphic endomorphisms of \mathbf{P}^k as it has been proved in [2]. The proof is more direct when $k = 1$; basically one simply has to combine Lyubich's construction of repulsive n -periodic points [15] with Koebe theorem to control $(f^n)'$ on them. More precisely, while in the proof given in [2] one uses a specific theorem (the main result of that paper) to get the estimate (a_2) that is to compare $\frac{1}{n} \ln |Jac f^n(z)|$ with $L(f)$, one may simply use Cauchy estimates and the Koebe distortion theorem.

Let us now state the approximation result on which will be based our study.

Theorem 3.4 *We use the notations introduced in the above definition.*

- 1) *The functions L_n^0 are p.s.h on M . The sequence $(L_n^0)_n$ is locally uniformly bounded from above and converges pointwise and in L_{loc}^1 to L .*
- 2) *The functions L_n are positive, continuous and p.s.h on M . The sequence $(L_n)_n$ is locally uniformly bounded and converges pointwise (and therefore in L_{loc}^1) to L .*

Proof. 1) The function L_n^0 is clearly p.s.h on M . Let us denote by $w_{n,j}(\lambda)$ the roots of the polynomial $p_n(\lambda, \cdot)$:

$$p_n(\lambda, w) = \prod_{j=1}^{N(n)} (w - w_{n,j}(\lambda)).$$

Using this decomposition one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^0(\lambda) &= d^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{N(n)} \log |w_{n,j}(\lambda)| \leq d^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{N(n)} \log^+ |w_{n,j}(\lambda)| = \\ &= \frac{d^{-n}}{n} \sum_{RP(f_\lambda, n)} \log |(f_\lambda^n)'| = d^{-n} \sum_{RP(f_\lambda, n)} \log |f_\lambda'|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

As f_λ^n has at most $d^n + 1$ fixed points, this shows that the sequence $(L_n^0)_n$ is locally uniformly bounded from above. Moreover, since f_λ has only a finite number of attracting cycles and $w_{n,j}(\lambda)$ is the multiplier of such a cycle of period n when $|w_{n,j}(\lambda)| < 1$, the inequality in 3.1 is an equality for all but a finite number of values of n . Then, for n big enough we have:

$$L_n^0(\lambda) = d^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{N(n)} \log^+ |w_{n,j}(\lambda)| = \frac{d^{-n}}{n} \sum_{RP(f_\lambda, n)} \log |(f_\lambda^n)'|. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, $(L_n^0)_n$ is a sequence of p.s.h functions which is locally uniformly bounded from above and, according to theorem 3.3, converges pointwise to L . By Slutsky's lemma, L is therefore the only limit value of $(L_n^0)_n$ in L_{loc}^1 . Then, by a classical compacity theorem for families of p.s.h functions (see [22] appendix), $(L_n^0)_n$ converges to L in L_{loc}^1 .

2) Using the same decomposition than above for $p_n(\lambda, w)$ and the classical formula $\log^+ |a| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |e^{i\theta} - a| d\theta$, one gets:

$$L_n(\lambda) = d^{-n} \sum_{j=1}^{N(n)} \log^+ |w_{n,j}(\lambda)|. \quad (3.3)$$

As $p_n(\lambda, w)$ is holomorphic in λ , this shows that the function L_n is positive, continuous and p.s.h on M . The sequence $(L_n)_n$ is, as before, locally uniformly bounded from above. It now suffices to observe that $L_n^0(\lambda) = L_n(\lambda)$ for n big enough, as it follows from 3.3 and 3.2. \square

3.2 The bifurcation current as a limit of uniformly laminar currents

Since the bifurcation current T_{bif} is given by $T_{\text{bif}} = dd^c L$, our approximation formulas for L lead to the following result:

Theorem 3.5 *We use the notations introduced in the definition 3.1. The bifurcation current T_{bif} of a holomorphic family of rational maps coincides with the limit of the following sequences of laminar currents:*

- 1) $T_{\text{bif}} = \lim_n d^{-n} [Per_n(0)]$
- 2) $T_{\text{bif}} = \lim_n \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [Per_n(e^{i\theta})] d\theta.$

The proof follows immediately from theorem 3.4 by taking dd^c . For the second assertion however, one first has to observe that the following identity occurs:

Lemma 3.6 $dd^c L_n = \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} [Per_n(e^{i\theta})] d\theta.$

Proof. Let ϕ be a $(m-1, m-1)$ test form on M where m is the complex dimension of M . One has to check that:

$$\langle dd^c L_n, \phi \rangle = \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle [Per_n(e^{i\theta})], \phi \rangle d\theta. \quad (3.4)$$

Let dV be a volume form on M and φ be a smooth function such that $dd^c \phi = \varphi dV$. Let us temporarily assume that the function $\varphi(\lambda) \log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})|$ is integrable on $M \times [0, 2\pi]$. Then (3.4) easily follows from Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle dd^c L_n, \phi \rangle &= \langle L_n, dd^c \phi \rangle = \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_M \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) dd^c \phi \\ &= \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_M \left(\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\lambda) \log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) dV \\ &= \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\int_M \varphi(\lambda) \log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})| dV \right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{d^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle dd^c \log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})|, \phi \rangle d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to see that $\log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})|$ is integrable on $(\text{Supp } \phi) \times [0, 2\pi]$. Let c_n be an upper bound for $\log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})|$ on $(\text{Supp } \phi) \times [0, 2\pi]$. Then, the negative function $\log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})| - c_n$ is indeed integrable on $(\text{Supp } \phi) \times [0, 2\pi]$. This follows from the positivity of L_n (see theorem 3.4:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{Supp } \phi} \left(\int_0^{2\pi} (\log |p_n(\lambda, e^{i\theta})| - c_n) d\theta \right) dV &= 2\pi d^n \int_{\text{Supp } \phi} L_n dV - 2\pi c_n \int_{\text{Supp } \phi} dV \\ &\geq -2\pi c_n \int_{\text{Supp } \phi} dV. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let us formulate some immediate consequences of the first assertion of theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.7 *A point λ_0 is in the bifurcation locus of the family $f : M \times \mathbf{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ if and only if $\lambda_0 = \lim_n \lambda_n$ where f_{λ_n} has a super-attracting cycle of period k_n for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_n)_n$.*

Proof. If λ_0 belongs to the bifurcation locus then the existence of the sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ follows immediately from theorem 3.5. Conversely, the existence of such a sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ implies that λ_0 is not stable since, otherwise, f_{λ_0} would have an infinite number of attracting cycles. \square

Let us also recall that for the polynomial family $f_\lambda := z^d + \lambda$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$), the bifurcation locus is the boundary of the Mandelbrot set M_d and the bifurcation current is a measure which turns out to be the harmonic measure of M_d . In this setting the first assertion of theorem 3.5 yields the following corollary which was first proved by Levin [14]:

Corollary 3.8 *let M_d be the set of complex numbers λ for which the Julia set of $f_\lambda := z^d + \lambda$ is connected. Then*

$$\lim_n d^{-n} \sum_{f_\lambda^n(0)=0} \delta_\lambda = \mu$$

where μ is the harmonic measure of M_d .

3.3 Approximation of the bifurcation measure

The higher degree bifurcation currents $(T_{\text{bif}})^k$ ($k \leq m$) of holomorphic families have been studied in [1]. As one may expect, they can be obtained as limits of intersections of the laminar currents introduced in the former subsection. For the sake of brevity we shall only consider here the case of the bifurcation measure $\mu_{\text{bif}} = (dd^c L)^m$.

Our aim is to approximate μ_{bif} by measures of the following type:

$$\int_{[0,2\pi]^m} [Per_{n_1}(e^{i\theta_1})] \wedge \cdots \wedge [Per_{n_m}(e^{i\theta_m})] d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_m. \quad (3.5)$$

Let us observe that, for any fixed variety $Per_p(e^{i\theta_p})$, the set of $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ for which $Per_p(e^{i\theta_p})$ shares a non trivial component with $Per_m(e^{i\theta})$ for some $m \in \mathbf{N}^*$ is at most countable. This follows from Fatou's theorem on the finiteness of the set of non-repelling cycles. In particular, the wedge products $[Per_{n_1}(e^{i\theta_1})] \wedge \cdots \wedge [Per_{n_m}(e^{i\theta_m})]$ make sense for almost every $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m) \in [0, 2\pi]^m$ and the measures given in 3.5 are well defined. Our result may be stated as follows:

Theorem 3.9 *We use the notations introduced in the definition 3.1. Let μ_{bif} be the bifurcation measure of a holomorphic family $(f_\lambda)_{\lambda \in M}$ of rational maps. There exists increasing sequences of integers $k_2(n), \dots, k_m(n)$ such that:*

$$\mu_{\text{bif}} = \lim_n \frac{d^{-(n+k_2(n)+\dots+k_m(n))}}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{[0,2\pi]^m} [Per_n(e^{i\theta_1})] \wedge \bigwedge_{j=2}^m [Per_{k_j(n)}(e^{i\theta_j})] d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_m.$$

Proof. The problem is local and we may therefore replace M by \mathbf{C}^m . We shall use the two following lemmas:

Lemma 3.10 *If $S_n \rightarrow (dd^c L)^p$ for some sequence $(S_n)_n$ of closed, positive (p, p) -currents on M then $dd^c L_{k(n)} \wedge S_n \rightarrow (dd^c L)^{p+1}$ for some increasing sequence of integers $k(n)$.*

Lemma 3.11 $dd^c L_{n_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c L_{n_m} = \frac{d^{-(n_1+\cdots+n_m)}}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{[0,2\pi]^m} \bigwedge_{k=1}^m [Per_{n_k}(e^{i\theta_k})] d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_m.$

Using the first lemma inductively we obtain some increasing sequences of integers $k_2(n), \dots, k_{m-1}(n)$ such that $dd^c L_{k_p(n)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dd^c L_{k_2(n)} \wedge dd^c L_n \rightarrow (dd^c L)^{p+1}$ for any $2 \leq p \leq m-1$. Then, lemma 3.11 immediately yields to the desired result.

The proof of lemma 3.11 is similar to that of lemma 3.6 and we shall omit it. We now establish lemma 3.10. Let us denote by s_n the trace measure of S_n , as M has been identified with \mathbf{C}^m this measure is given by $s_n := S_n \wedge (dd^c |z|^2)^{m-p}$. Since S_n is positive, s_n is positive as well. Let us consider the sequence $(u_k)_k$ defined by $u_k := L_k - L$. According to theorem 3.4, $(u_k)_k$ converges pointwise to 0 and is locally uniformly bounded (the function L is continuous).

By Egorov theorem we may find subsets E_n of M such that $(u_k)_k$ is uniformly converging to 0 on E_n^c and $s_n(E_n) \leq \frac{1}{n}$. We then pick an increasing sequence of integers $k(n)$ such that $\|u_{k(n)}\|_{\infty, E_n^c} \leq \frac{1}{n}$.

Writting $dd^c L_k \wedge S_n - dd^c L \wedge (dd^c L)^p = dd^c u_k \wedge S_n + dd^c L \wedge (S_n - (dd^c L)^p)$, we get the following estimate for any $m - (p + 1)$ test form ϕ on M :

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle dd^c L_k \wedge S_n, \phi \rangle - \langle (dd^c L)^{p+1}, \phi \rangle| &\leq |\langle dd^c u_k \wedge S_n, \phi \rangle| + \\ &+ |\langle dd^c L \wedge S_n, \phi \rangle - \langle (dd^c L)^{p+1}, \phi \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the above estimate tends to zero when n tends to infinity and thus it remains to check that $|\langle dd^c u_{k(n)} \wedge S_n, \phi \rangle|$ tends to zero too. To this purpose we shall use the fact that the positive current S_n may be considered as a (p, p) form whose coefficients are measures which are dominated by the trace measure s_n . Let χ be a cutoff function which is identically equal to 1 on the support of ϕ . Using the domination property of s_n and the fact that $(u_{k(n)})_n$ is locally uniformly bounded we get:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle dd^c u_{k(n)} \wedge S_n, \phi \rangle| &= |\langle S_n, u_{k(n)} dd^c \phi \rangle| \leq \text{cst} \|dd^c \phi\|_{\infty} \langle s_n, |u_{k(n)}| \chi \rangle \leq \cdots \\ \cdots &\leq \text{cst} \|dd^c \phi\|_{\infty} (\langle s_n, |u_{k(n)}| \chi 1_{E_n} \rangle + \langle s_n, |u_{k(n)}| \chi 1_{E_n^c} \rangle) \\ &\leq \text{cst} \|dd^c \phi\|_{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \langle s_n, \chi \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion follows since s_n converges to the trace measure of $(dd^c L)^p$. □

The theorem 3.9 has the following interesting consequence.

Corollary 3.12 *Let \mathcal{N}_m be the set of parameters λ such that f_λ has m distinct neutral cycles. Then the support of the bifurcation measure μ_{bif} is contained in the closure of \mathcal{N}_m .*

This corollary implies the existence of degree d rational maps having $2d - 2$ distinct neutral cycles, we refer to [1] (Theorem 5.5 and Propositions 6.3, 6.8) for more details. This result was first proved by Shishikura [21] who also proved that any rational map of degree d cannot have more than $2d - 2$ distinct non-repulsiv cycles, his methods were based on quasi-conformal surgery.

4 Holomorphic motions in \mathbf{C}^2

This section is devoted to the study of a class of holomorphic motions in \mathbf{C}^2 which will appear naturally when we shall investigate the laminar structure of the bifurcation locus in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_2 . We essentially establish an extension principle which plays, for this class of motions, the role played by the λ -lemma for holomorphic motions in \mathbf{P}^1 (see theorem 4.4).

4.1 Guided holomorphic motions

Definition 4.1 *To any polynomial $p(\lambda, w) := \sum_{j=0}^N A_j(\lambda)w^j$ on $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \mathbf{C}$ we associate the subset Ω_p of \mathbf{C}^2 defined by:*

$$\Omega_p := \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^2 / p(\lambda, \cdot) \text{ has exactly one root in } \Delta\}.$$

A p -guided holomorphic disc is a holomorphic disc $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ such that

$$p(\sigma(t), t) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in \Delta.$$

A p -guided holomorphic motion \mathcal{F} is a family of holomorphic discs which are p -guided and mutually disjoint.

Let us emphasize that in the above definition the set Ω_p is not supposed to be open. Note also that $\lambda \notin \overline{\Omega_p}$ when $p(\lambda, \cdot)$ has at least two roots in Δ . This yields to the following simple, but important, observation. We recall that two holomorphic discs $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ are said to be *glued* if there exists non-constant holomorphic functions $u_1, u_2 : \Delta_r \rightarrow \Delta$ such that $\sigma_1 \circ u_1 = \sigma_2 \circ u_2$ on Δ_r .

Proposition 4.2 *Two p -guided holomorphic discs σ_1 and σ_2 which are glued (or, in particular, have the same image) must coincide: $\sigma_1(t) = \sigma_2(t)$ for all $t \in \Delta$. Every p -guided holomorphic disc $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ is one-to-one.*

Proof. if $\sigma_2 \circ u_2(t) = \sigma_1 \circ u_1(t) =: \lambda_t \in \overline{\Omega_p}$ for $t \in \Delta_r$ then $p(\lambda_t, u_1(t)) = p(\lambda_t, u_2(t)) = 0$ and therefore $u_1 \equiv u_2$ on Δ_r . It follows that σ_1 and σ_2 coincide on some open subset of Δ and thus everywhere. \square

It is useful to see the guided holomorphic motions as given by special kinds of mappings. To this purpose, for any family of discs \mathcal{F} and any $t_0 \in \Delta$, we set

$$\mathcal{F}_{t_0} := \{\sigma(t_0) ; \sigma \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

When \mathcal{F} is a p -guided holomorphic motion and $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$, there exists a unique holomorphic disc $\sigma_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\sigma_\lambda(t_0) = \lambda$. Thus, for any fixed $t_0 \in \Delta$, the holomorphic motion \mathcal{F} may be parametrized by a map

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathcal{F}_{t_0} \times \Delta &\longrightarrow \overline{\Omega_p} \\ (\lambda, t) &\longmapsto \sigma_\lambda(t) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following properties:

- 1) $\sigma_\lambda(\cdot)$ is holomorphic on Δ for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$
- 2) $\sigma_\lambda(t_0) = \lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$
- 3) $\sigma_\lambda(\Delta) \cap \sigma_{\lambda'}(\Delta) = \emptyset$ if $\lambda \neq \lambda'$
- 4) $p(\sigma_\lambda(t), t) = 0$ for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}_{t_0}$ and every $t \in \Delta$.

Let us underline that our notations might be confusing if compared with those usually adopted for holomorphic motions on the Riemann sphere: for us λ is not the “holomorphic time” but a point under motion !

We will often identify a p -guided holomorphic motion with one of its parametrizations $\sigma : \mathcal{F}_{t_0} \times \Delta \longrightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$. In particular, this point of view allows to define in a simple way the notion of *continuous p -guided holomorphic motion*. The property of continuity will play an important role in our study.

Definition 4.3 *A p -guided holomorphic motion \mathcal{F} is said to be continuous if for any $t_0 \in \Delta$ the parametrization $\sigma : \mathcal{F}_{t_0} \times \Delta \longrightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ is continuous.*

We may now state the main result of the section.

Theorem 4.4 *Let $p(\lambda, w)$ be a polynomial on $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \mathbf{C}$ such that the degree of $p(\cdot, w)$ does not depend on $w \in \Delta$. Let \mathcal{G} be a p -guided holomorphic motion in \mathbf{C}^2 such that any component of the algebraic curve $\{p(\cdot, t) = 0\}$ contains at least three points of \mathcal{G}_t for every $t \in \Delta$. Then, for any $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ such that \mathcal{F}_{t_0} is relatively compact in \mathbf{C}^2 for some $t_0 \in \Delta$, there exists a continuous p -guided holomorphic motion $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ in \mathbf{C}^2 such that $\mathcal{F} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{t_0} = \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t_0}}$.*

Let us emphasize that some hyperbolic components in the moduli space \mathcal{M}_2 will appear to be moved by holomorphic motions satisfying the assumptions of the above theorem (see subsection 6.1). The remaining of the section will be devoted to the proof of theorem 4.4.

4.2 A compactness property

To start with, we summarize a few basic intersection properties of (guided) holomorphic discs.

Proposition 4.5 1) Let $\sigma_n : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ and $s_n : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ be two sequences of holomorphic discs which converge to $\sigma, s : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$. If σ and s are not glued and $\sigma(0) = s(0)$ then $\sigma_n(\Delta) \cap s_n(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ for n big enough.

2) Let $\sigma_n : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ and $s_n : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ be two sequences of p -guided holomorphic discs such that $\sigma_n(\Delta) \cap s_n(\Delta) = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then the limits of $(\sigma_n)_n$ and $(s_n)_n$ either coincide or are disjoint.

Proof. The first assertion is classical, we include here a proof for the sake of completeness. We may assume that $\sigma(0) = s(0) = (0, 0)$. Let E be the analytic set defined by $E = \{(x, y) \in \Delta \times \Delta / \sigma(x) = s(y)\}$. The point $(0, 0)$ belongs to E and, since the discs are not glued, is isolated in E . In other words, there exists $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$ such that $x = y = 0$ as soon as $\sigma(x) = s(y)$ and $\max(|x|, |y|) < 2\rho$. Let us consider the holomorphic maps $\varphi, \varphi_n : \Delta_\rho \times \Delta_\rho \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^2$ respectively defined by $\varphi(x, y) = \sigma(x + y) - s(x - y)$ and $\varphi_n(x, y) = \sigma_n(x + y) - s_n(x - y)$. As $\varphi^{-1}\{(0, 0)\} = \{(0, 0)\}$ we must have $\varphi_n^{-1}\{(0, 0)\} \neq \emptyset$ and in particular $\sigma_n(\Delta) \cap s_n(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ for n big enough (see for instance [3] lemme 3.4). To deduce the second assertion from the first, we observe that the limits of p -guided holomorphic discs are p -guided and recall that two p -guided holomorphic discs which are glued must coincide (Prop. 4.2). \square

When proving theorem 4.4, we shall need a substitute to the Montel-Picard theorem. The following lemma will play this role, its proof is based on the Zalcman renormalization principle (see [26] or [4]).

Lemma 4.6 Let $p(\lambda, w)$ be a polynomial on $\mathbf{C}^2 \times \mathbf{C}$ and \mathcal{G} be a p -guided holomorphic motion. Assume that both p and \mathcal{G} satisfy the assumptions of theorem 4.4. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$. If there exists a compact subset K of \mathbf{C}^2 and $r \in [0, 1[$ such that $\sigma(\Delta_r) \cap K \neq \emptyset$ for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, then \mathcal{F} is a normal family in $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathbf{C}^2)$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathbf{C}^2)$ and $\sigma(\Delta_r) \cap K$ is never empty when $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}$, it suffices to show that \mathcal{F} is normal in $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathbf{P}^2)$. We proceed by contradiction and assume that the family \mathcal{F} is not normal in $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathbf{P}^2)$. Then, according to Zalcman's renormalization principle, there exists $\{s_n ; n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathcal{F}$, $t_n \in \Delta$ and $\rho_n > 0$ such that $\lim_n \rho_n = 0$, $\lim_n t_n = t_0$ for some $t_0 \in \Delta$ and $s_n(t_n + \rho_n t) \rightarrow h$. Moreover, the convergence of $s_n(t_n + \rho_n t)$ is locally uniform on \mathbf{C} and the limit h is a non constant entire curve.

Let us show that $h(\mathbf{C}) \subset \mathbf{C}^2 \cap \mathcal{P}_{t_0}$ where \mathcal{P}_t denotes the closure in \mathbf{P}^2 of the curve $\{p(\cdot, t) = 0\}$. Since the motion is guided we have $s_n(t_n + \rho_n t) \in \mathcal{P}_{t_n + \rho_n t}$. As the degree of $p(\lambda, t)$ in λ does not depend on $t \in \Delta$, the family $(\mathcal{P}_t)_{t \in \Delta}$ is a continuous family of curves in \mathbf{P}^2 . One sees therefore, since $t_n + \rho_n t \rightarrow t_0$, that $h(t) \in \mathcal{P}_{t_0}$ for every $t \in \mathbf{C}$. In particular, $h(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in the line at infinity and, since $s_n(\Delta) \subset \mathbf{C}^2$, the curve $h(\mathbf{C})$ is actually contained in \mathbf{C}^2 . We have shown that $h(\mathbf{C}) \subset \mathbf{C}^2 \cap \mathcal{P}_{t_0}^o$ for some component $\mathcal{P}_{t_0}^o$ of \mathcal{P}_{t_0} .

It remains to show that such an entire curve does not exist. Picard's first theorem implies that any non-constant entire curve in $\mathcal{P}_{t_0}^o$ cannot avoid more than two points. Thus, since $h(\mathbf{C}) \subset \mathbf{C}^2 \cap \mathcal{P}_{t_0}^o$ and $\text{Card}(\mathcal{G}_{t_0} \cap \mathcal{P}_{t_0}^o \cap \mathbf{C}^2) \geq 3$, the conclusion will follow if we show that $h(\mathbf{C}) \cap \mathcal{G}_{t_0} = \emptyset$. We shall actually see that $h(\mathbf{C})$ does avoid every disc of \mathcal{G} . Let us first observe that for $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ and $R > 0$, the discs $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ and $h : \Delta_R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{t_0}^o \cap \mathbf{C}^2$ are not

glued. If they would be glued then $\sigma(\Delta)$ would be contained in $\mathcal{P}_{t_0} \cap \mathbf{C}^2$ and $p(\sigma(t), \cdot)$ would have both t and t_0 as roots for any $t \in \Delta$ which is impossible for $\sigma(t) \in \overline{\Omega_p}$. Then, as the discs of \mathcal{G} are mutually disjoint, we have $\sigma(\Delta) \cap s_n(t_n + \rho_n R \Delta) = \emptyset$, and the first assertion of proposition 4.5 shows indeed that $\sigma(\Delta) \cap h(\Delta_R) = \emptyset$. \square

4.3 Extension of guided holomorphic motions

We prove the theorem 4.4.

Proof. Step 1: For any $t'_0 \in \Delta$ and any $\lambda_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t'_0}}$ there exists a unique p -guided holomorphic disc $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \overline{\Omega_p}$ such that σ_λ tends to σ when λ tends to λ_0 in $\mathcal{F}_{t'_0}$.

According to lemma 4.6, \mathcal{F} is normal in $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathbf{C}^2)$. It therefore suffices to show that $(\sigma_{\lambda_n})_n$ has only one limit in $\mathcal{O}(\Delta, \mathbf{C}^2)$ when λ_n tends to λ_0 in $\mathcal{F}_{t'_0}$. As every limit of $(\sigma_{\lambda_n})_n$ goes through $\lambda_0 = \lim_n \lambda_n = \lim_n \sigma_{\lambda_n}(t'_0)$, this follows immediately from the second assertion of proposition 4.5.

Step 2: Construction of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

According to the first step (applied with $t'_0 = t_0$), it makes sense to define a p -guided holomorphic disc σ_{λ_0} for every $\lambda_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t_0}}$ by setting:

$$\sigma_{\lambda_0} := \lim_{\mathcal{F}_{t_0} \ni \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \sigma_\lambda.$$

Then, using the second assertion of proposition 4.5, one sees that the family

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} := \{\sigma_\lambda, \lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t_0}}\}$$

consists in mutually disjoint discs and is therefore a p -guided holomorphic motion in \mathbf{C}^2 . By construction, $\mathcal{F} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{t_0} = \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t_0}}$.

Step 3: Continuity of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$.

Let us set $\widehat{\mathcal{G}} := \mathcal{G} \cup \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. Using again the second assertion of proposition 4.5, one sees that the discs of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are mutually disjoint. Thus $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ is a p -guided holomorphic motion in \mathbf{C}^2 . According to the second step $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{t_0} = \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t_0}}$ is compact in \mathbf{C}^2 and then, as $\mathcal{G} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{G}}$, we may apply the first step to the pair $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathcal{G}})$. Thus, there exists a unique disc σ such that, for any $\lambda_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}_{t'_0}}$ and any sequence $(\lambda_n)_n$ which tends to λ_0 in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{t'_0}$, we have $\lim_n \sigma_{\lambda_n} = \sigma$. Considering the stationary sequence $\lambda_n = \lambda_0$ one gets that $\sigma = \sigma_{\lambda_0}$. Thus, $\lim_n \sigma_{\lambda_n}(t_n) = \sigma(t'_0) = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(t'_0)$ when (λ_n, t_n) tends to (λ_0, t'_0) in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{t'_0} \times \Delta$. \square

5 Uniformization of some hyperbolic components in \mathcal{M}_2

We identify \mathcal{M}_2 with \mathbf{C}^2 (see theorem 2.3) and, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we consider the following open region:

$$\begin{aligned} U_n : &= \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^2 / f_\lambda \text{ has an attracting cycle of period } n\} \\ &= \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^2 / p_n(\lambda, \cdot) \text{ has a root in } \Delta\} \end{aligned}$$

we recall that the polynomials p_n and the hypersurfaces Per_n have been introduced in the section 2.

When $n \neq m$, the open set $H_{n,m} := U_n \cap U_m$ consists of hyperbolic parameters λ possessing two distinct attracting cycles. The periods of these cycles are respectively equal to n and m while their multipliers are roots of $p_n(\lambda, \cdot)$ and $p_m(\lambda, \cdot)$. Since a quadratic rational map have at most two attracting cycles, the polynomials $p_n(\lambda, \cdot)$ and $p_m(\lambda, \cdot)$ have both exactly one root in Δ when $\lambda \in H_{n,m}$ and thus, denoting by $w_n(\lambda)$ and $w_m(\lambda)$ these roots, one sees that the map $\lambda \mapsto (w_n(\lambda), w_m(\lambda))$ is well defined and holomorphic on $H_{n,m}$. Let us formalize this.

Definition 5.1 *For $n \neq m$ there exists a holomorphic map $\phi_{n,m} : H_{n,m} \rightarrow \Delta^2$ defined on the open set $H_{n,m} := U_n \cap U_m$ by $\phi_{n,m} = (w_n(\lambda), w_m(\lambda))$ where $p_n(\lambda, w_n(\lambda)) = p_m(\lambda, w_m(\lambda)) = 0$.*

A classical result due to Douady and Hubbard ([8],[5]) asserts that the bounded hyperbolic components of the polynomial quadratic family are uniformized by the multiplier of the attracting cycle. Their proof is based on a quasi-conformal surgery argument. We will adapt it for showing that $\phi_{n,m}$ induces a biholomorphism between any connected component of $H_{n,m}$ and the bidisc Δ^2 .

Theorem 5.2 *Let n and m be distinct positive integers. The map $\phi_{n,m}$ induces a biholomorphism $H_{n,m}^j \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,m}} \Delta^2$ on any connected component $H_{n,m}^j$ of $H_{n,m}$.*

Proof. Since Δ^2 is simply connected it suffices to show that $\phi_{n,m}$ is proper and locally invertible.

Step 1: *Properness.*

The properness of $\phi_{n,m}$ will be deduced from Milnor's result (see Proposition 2.4) when $n = 1$ and from Epstein's boundedness result (see theorem 2.5) in the general case.

Assume that $H_{n,m}^j \xrightarrow{\phi_{n,m}} \Delta^2$ is not proper. Then there exists a sequence $(\lambda_k)_k$ in $H_{n,m}^j$ such that $(w_n(\lambda_k), w_m(\lambda_k)) = \phi_{n,m}(\lambda_k)$ tends to $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Delta^2$ and which tends to the boundary of $H_{n,m}^j$ or to infinity. Let us first consider the case where both n and m differ from 1. Then, according to theorem 2.5, we may assume that $\lambda_k \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in bH_{n,m}^j$. Taking the limit in $p_n(\lambda_k, w_n(\lambda_k)) = p_m(\lambda_k, w_m(\lambda_k)) = 0$ one gets $p_n(\lambda_0, \alpha) = p_m(\lambda_0, \beta) = 0$, which means that $\lambda_0 \in U_n \cap U_m$ and is absurd.

Let us now discuss the case $n = 1 < m$. If the sequence $(\lambda_k)_k$ would not go to infinity we could simply argue as before. We will thus assume that $(\lambda_k)_k$ is converging to some $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ in \mathbf{P}^2 . Since $\lambda_k \in Per_1(w_1(\lambda_k))$, the first assertion of Proposition 2.4 shows that $\lambda_0 = [\alpha : \alpha^2 + 1 : 0]$ (we recall that the curves $Per_1(w)$ are lines in \mathbf{C}^2). On the other hand, as the degree in λ of $p_m(\lambda, w)$ is constant when $w \in \Delta$, the family of curves $\overline{Per}_m(w)_{w \in \Delta}$ is continuous and therefore $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{L} \cap \overline{Per}_m(\beta)$. By the second assertion of Proposition 2.4, this implies that $\lambda_0 = [e^{i\theta} : e^{2i\theta} : 0]$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi[$ which, again, is absurd.

Step 2: *Local invertibility.*

The key point is the following lemma. Its proof will be sketched in the third step.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $\lambda_0 \in H_{n,m}^j$ and $(a, b) := \phi_{n,m}(\lambda_0)$. Then there exists a continuous map $t \mapsto (\tilde{f}_t)$ from Δ_r ($|b| < r$) to $H_{n,m}^j \cap \text{Per}_n(a)$ such that $\tilde{f}_b = \lambda_0$ and $w_m(\tilde{f}_t) = t$.*

It suffices to show that the curves $\{w_n = cst\}$ are smooth in $H_{n,m}^j$ and that dw_m does not vanish on them. Indeed, by symmetry, the same will occur for the curves $\{w_m = cst\}$ and dw_n , which easily implies that dw_m and dw_n are independant on $H_{n,m}^j$.

Let $a \in \Delta$. We first want to see that the curve $\{w_n = a\} = \{p_n(\cdot, a) = 0\}$ is smooth in $H_{n,m}^j$. Assume to the contrary that this would not be the case at some point $\lambda_0 \in H_{n,m}^j$. Then the singularity of $\{p_n(\cdot, a) = 0\}$ at λ_0 is not a point of self-intersection since otherwise, for a' close to a , $\{p_n(\cdot, a') = 0\}$ would intersect $\{p_n(\cdot, a) = 0\}$ at some point having three distinct attracting cycles (two of period n and one of period m). Thus, the curve $\{p_n(\cdot, a) = 0\}$ has a cusp at λ_0 and therefore, the restriction of the holomorphic function w_m to the curve is not one-to-one on any neighbourhood of λ_0 . Composing with a local uniformization we get a holomorphic function \tilde{w}_m on the unit disc which is not one-to-one and, by the lemma 5.3, a continuous map $t \mapsto \tilde{f}_t$ from a neighbourhood of $b \in \Delta_r$ to the unit disc such that $\tilde{w}_m(\tilde{f}_t) = t$: this is impossible.

Now, since $\{p_n(\cdot, a) = 0\}$ is smooth at λ_0 , we may repeat the last argument to see that the restriction of w_m to the curve is one-to-one near λ_0 . This implies that dw_m does not vanish on the tangent plane to the curve at λ_0 .

Step 3: *Proof of the lemma.*

We follow the proof of Douady-Hubbard as it is presented in [5] pages 134-135 and simply mention the points which need to be adapted.

Let us first justify that, for any $\lambda \in H_{n,m}^j$, the immediate basins of both attractors are simply connected. As the map $\phi_{n,m} : H_{n,m}^j \rightarrow \Delta^2$ is proper holomorphic, it is onto and in particular $\phi_{n,m}^j(\lambda_c) = (0, 0)$ for some $\lambda_c \in H_{n,m}^j$. The attracting basins of λ_c are two distinct super-attracting basins, each of them is simply-connected since it contains only one of the two critical points of λ_c . This property is kept on $H_{n,m}^j$ because $H_{n,m}^j$ is a stable component.

We now construct the map $t \mapsto f_t \in \text{Rat}_2$. Let $f \in \text{Rat}_2$ be a representant of λ_0 . After a change of coordinates we may assume that ∞ belongs to the n cycle of f . We perform exactly the same quasi-conformal surgery than Douady-Hubbard. Here however, instead of producing a quadratic polynomial we want to have $w_n(f_t) = a$. In other words, all f_t must have a n -cycle whose multiplier equals a . Actually, the normalization at ∞ made in Douady-Hubbard's proof guarantees that. Indeed, $f_t = \psi_t \circ g_t \circ \psi_t^{-1}$ where g_t coincides with f near ∞ and ψ_t is (holomorphic) tangent to identity at ∞ . Finally, the conditions $w_m(f_t) = t$ and $f_b = f$ as well as the continuity of f_t are the results of the surgery itself. \square

We would like to mention that the local invertibility of the map $\phi_{n,m}$ may also be directly obtained from a recent general transversality result whose proof is based on the quadratic differentials techniques [12]:

Theorem 5.4 (Epstein)

For every $\eta, \eta' \in \Delta$ and every distinct $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}^$ the curves $\text{Per}_n(\eta)$ and $\text{Per}_{n'}(\eta')$ are smooth and intersect transversally at any common point.*

The following proposition shows that the curves $Per_n(w)$ have no multiplicity, it also relates the degree of the polynomials $p_n(\cdot, w)$ with the number of hyperbolic components of period n in the Mandelbrot set.

Proposition 5.5 *Let $N_2(n) := \text{Card}(Per_n(0) \cap Per_1(0))$ be the number of hyperbolic components of period n in the Mandelbrot set. Then $N_2(n) = \frac{\nu_2(n)}{2}$ where $\nu_2(n)$ is defined inductively by $\nu_2(1) = 2$ and $2^n = \sum_{k|n} \nu_2(k)$. Moreover, for any $w \in \Delta$ and any $\eta \in \Delta$ we have $\text{Deg } p_n(\cdot, w) = N_2(n) = \text{Card}(Per_n(w) \cap Per_1(\eta))$.*

Proof. Let us first compute $N_2(n)$. We set $P_n(c) := p_c^n(0)$ where $p_c(z) = z^2 + c$. Then, P_n is a polynomial of degree 2^{n-1} whose roots are simple (see [8] page 108). Since $P_n(c)$ vanishes if and only if the critical point 0 is a periodic point for p_c whose period is dividing n , we have $N_2(n) = 2^{n-1} - \sum_{k|n, k < n} N_2(k)$.

Let $w, \eta \in \Delta$. The existence of the biholomorphisms $\phi_{1,n}^j : H_{1,n}^j \rightarrow \Delta^2$ (see theorem 5.2) implies that $\text{Card}(Per_n(w) \cap Per_1(\eta)) = \text{Card}(Per_n(0) \cap Per_1(0)) = N_2(n)$. According to the proposition 2.4, the line $Per_1(0)$ does not meet $Per_n(w)$ at infinity and thus $\text{Deg } p_n(\cdot, w) \geq \text{Card}(Per_n(w) \cap Per_1(\eta)) = N_2(n)$. The function $\text{Deg } p_n(\cdot, w)$ being *l.s.c* on Δ , it remains to see that the above inequality is actually an equality on a dense subset of Δ . This follows from the fact that p_n is a defining function of Per_n (see the proposition 2.2). \square

6 Laminar structures in the bifurcation locus of \mathcal{M}_2

The main goal of this section is to show that the bifurcation current T_{bif} is uniformly laminar in the following open regions of \mathcal{M}_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} U_n : &= \{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^2 / f_\lambda \text{ has an attracting cycle of period } n \} \\ &= \{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C}^2 / p_n(\lambda, \cdot) \text{ has a root in } \Delta \}. \end{aligned}$$

We shall in particular prove that the bifurcation loci in the regions U_n are obtained by moving holomorphically the bifurcation loci of their “central curves” $Per_n(0)$. As in the former section we set $H_{n,m} := U_n \cap U_m$ and denote by $H_{n,m}^j$ the connected component of $H_{n,m}$.

6.1 Holomorphic motion of centers

We work here in a fix open region U_n . What we have called the *central curve of U_n* is $Per_n(0)$. The centers of the hyperbolic components $H_{n,m}^j$, that is the points of $Per_n(0) \cap Per_m(0)$ for $m \neq n$, will be called the *centers of $Per_n(0)$* . To keep an example in mind, let us recall that $Per_1(0)$ is the line of quadratic polynomials and its centers are exactly those of the hyperbolic components (except the main cardioid) of the polynomial quadratic family. The uniformization discussed in section 5 (theorem 5.2) naturally induces a holomorphic motion of the centers. Let us state this more formally:

Definition-Proposition 6.1 *A point $\lambda \in Per_n(0)$ is called a n -center if the corresponding rational maps have a super-attracting cycle of period $m \neq n$. There exists a p_n -guided holomorphic motion \mathcal{C}_n whose discs are contained in Ω_{p_n} and such that $(\mathcal{C}_n)_0$ is exactly the set of n -centers. Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_n = \cup_{m \neq n} Per_m(0) \cap U_n$.*

We recall that this means that there exists a map

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma : (\mathcal{C}_n)_0 \times \Delta &\longrightarrow \Omega_{p_n} \subset U_n \\ (\lambda, t) &\longmapsto \sigma_\lambda(t)\end{aligned}$$

which is holomorphic in t and satisfies:

- 1) $\sigma_\lambda(0) = \lambda \quad \forall \lambda \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$
- 2) $\sigma_\lambda(\Delta) \cap \sigma_{\lambda'}(\Delta) = \emptyset$ if $\lambda \neq \lambda'$
- 3) $p_n(\sigma_\lambda(t), t) = 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0, \forall t \in \Delta$.

Proof. We simply have to exhibit, for any n -center λ_0 , a p_n -guided holomorphic disc $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Omega_{p_n}$ such that $\sigma(0) = \lambda_0$ (see definition 4.1). By assumption, λ_0 belongs to some component $H_{n,m}^j$. We set $\sigma(t) = (\Phi_{n,m}^j)^{-1}(t, 0)$ where $\phi_{n,m}^j$ is the biholomorphism between $H_{n,m}^j$ and Δ^2 given by theorem 5.2. By construction $\sigma(\Delta) \subset H_{n,m}^j$ and $p_n(\sigma(t), t) = 0$. As a rational map of degree two has at most two attracting cycles, we have $\sigma(\Delta) \subset H_{n,m}^j \subset \Omega_{p_n}$. The discs of \mathcal{C}_n are mutually disjoint since they belong to distinct connected components. \square

The following lemma is essentially based on the first assertion of theorem 3.5, it will be used to transmit the motion from centers to the bifurcation locus. Let us recall that T_{bif} denotes the bifurcation current in \mathcal{M}_2 .

Lemma 6.2 1) *If $\lambda_0 \in U_n \cap \text{Supp}(T_{\text{bif}})$ then there exists $a_m \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$, $\sigma_{a_m} \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $t_m, t_0 \in \Delta$ such that $\lim_m t_m = t_0$ and $\lim_m \sigma_{a_m}(t_m) = \lambda_0$. Moreover, $\sigma_{a_m}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$ for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_m)_m$.*

2) *Let $w \in \Delta$. If λ_0 belongs to the bifurcation locus of $\text{Per}_n(w)$ then there exists $a_m \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$ and $\sigma_{a_m} \in \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $\lim_m \sigma_{a_m}(w) = \lambda_0$. Moreover, $\sigma_{a_m}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$ for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_m)_m$.*

Proof. Let us consider the first assertion. Since $\lambda_0 \in U_n$ there exists $t_0 \in \Delta$ such that $p_n(\lambda_0, t_0) = 0$. As $\lambda_0 \in \text{Supp}(T_{\text{bif}})$, it follows from corollary 3.7 that $\lambda_0 = \lim_m \lambda_{k_m}$ where $\lambda_{k_m} \in U_n \cap \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$ for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_m)_m$. By continuity of p_n there exists a sequence $(t_m)_m$ such that $\lim_m t_m = t_0$ and $p_n(\lambda_{k_m}, t_m) = 0$. Now, since $U_n \cap \text{Per}_{k_m}(0) \subset H_{n,k_m}$, the point λ_{k_m} belongs to some component $H_{n,k_m}^{j_m}$ and we must have $\phi_{n,k_m}^{j_m}(\lambda_{k_m}) = (t_m, 0)$. According to the above definition, this exactly means that $\lambda_{k_m} = \sigma_{a_m}(t_m)$ where $a_m := (\phi_{n,k_m}^{j_m})^{-1}(0, 0)$. By construction $a_m \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$, $\sigma_{a_m} \in \mathcal{C}_n$ and $\sigma_{a_m}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$.

We now consider the second assertion. Since $\lambda_0 \in \text{Per}_n(w)$ we have $p_n(\lambda_0, w) = 0$. As λ_0 is in the bifurcation locus of $\text{Per}_n(w)$ it follows from corollary 3.7 that $\lambda_0 = \lim_n \lambda_{k_m}$ where $\lambda_{k_m} \in \text{Per}_n(w) \cap \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$ for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_m)_m$. Now, since $\text{Per}_n(w) \cap \text{Per}_{k_m}(0) \subset H_{n,k_m}$, the point λ_{k_m} belongs to some component $H_{n,k_m}^{j_m}$ and

$\phi_{n,k_m}^{j_m}(\lambda_{k_m}) = (w, 0)$. This exactly means that $\lambda_{k_m} = \sigma_{a_m}(w)$ where $a_m := (\phi_{n,k_m}^{j_m})^{-1}(0, 0)$. By construction $a_m \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$ and $\sigma_{a_m}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$. \square

We shall need the following fact for applying the extension theorem 4.4 to \mathcal{C}_n . It will be obtained from lemma 6.2 after observing that any curve $\text{Per}_n(w)$ must have a non empty bifurcation locus.

Corollary 6.3 *Let $w \in \Delta$ and $\text{Per}_n^0(w)$ be a component of $\text{Per}_n(w)$. Then the set $\text{Per}_n^0(w) \cap (\mathcal{C}_n)_w$ is infinite.*

Proof.

According to the second assertion of lemma 6.2 it suffices to show that the bifurcation locus of $\text{Per}_n^0(w)$ is not empty. To this purpose we will observe that there exists $\lambda_0 \in \text{Per}_n^0(w)$ which do have an unstable neutral cycle. We use the compactification of $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathbf{C}^2$ discussed in subsection 2.3 and argue as follows. Using Proposition 2.4, one sees that if $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi[$ then the curves $\text{Per}_n^0(w)$ and $\text{Per}_1(e^{i\theta_0})$ do not intersect on the line at infinity: $\text{Per}_n^0(w) \cap \text{Per}_1(e^{i\theta_0}) \cap \mathcal{L} = \emptyset$. These curves must therefore intersect in \mathbf{C}^2 . Let us then pick a point $\lambda_0 \in \text{Per}_n(w) \cap \text{Per}_1(e^{i\theta_0}) \cap \mathbf{C}^2$ and a holomorphic family $(\varphi_u)_{u \in \Delta}$ in $\text{Rat}_2(\mathbf{P}^1)$ such that $\pi \circ \varphi(0) = \lambda_0$ and $\pi \circ \varphi(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_n^0(w)$. Since $\lambda_0 \in \text{Per}_1(e^{i\theta_0})$, the map φ_0 has an unstable neutral cycle and therefore the bifurcation locus of the family $(\varphi_u)_{u \in \Delta}$ is not empty. \square

6.2 Holomorphic motion and lamination in the bifurcation locus

We are now in order to state and prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.4 *Let $U_n \subset \mathcal{M}_2 = \mathbf{C}^2$ be the open subset of parameters which do have an attracting cycle of period n . Let \mathcal{B}_{if_n} be the bifurcation locus in U_n and $T_{bif|U_n}$ be the associated bifurcation current. Let $\mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c$ be the bifurcation locus in the central curve $\text{Per}_n(0)$ and μ_n^c be the associated bifurcation measure. Then, there exists a map*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c \times \Delta &\longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{if_n} \\ (\lambda, t) &\longmapsto \sigma_\lambda(t) \end{aligned}$$

such that:

- 1) $\sigma(\mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c \times \Delta) = \mathcal{B}_{if_n}$
- 2) σ is continuous, $\sigma(\lambda, \cdot)$ is one-to-one and holomorphic for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c$
- 3) $p_n(\sigma_\lambda(t), t) = 0; \forall \lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c, \forall t \in \Delta$
- 4) the discs $(\sigma_\lambda(\Delta))_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c}$ are mutually disjoint.

Moreover the bifurcation current in U_n is given by

$$T_{bif|U_n} = \int_{\mathcal{B}_{if_n}^c} [\sigma_\lambda(\Delta)] \mu_n^c$$

and, in particular, \mathcal{B}_{if_n} is a lamination with μ_n^c as transverse measure.

Let us recall that the map $\sigma : \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c \times \Delta \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n$ is what we called a p_n -guided holomorphic motion (see section 4).

Proof. We start with the p_n -guided holomorphic motion of the centers \mathcal{C}_n which was constructed in the former subsection (see Definition 6.1).

Step 1. *Extension of the holomorphic motion of centers: there exists a continuous p_n -guided holomorphic motion $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ such that $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n)_0 = \overline{(\mathcal{C}_n)_0}$.*

According to the corollary 6.3, every component of the curve $\{p_n(\cdot, t) = 0\}$ contains at least three points of $(\mathcal{C}_n)_t$. Moreover, the degree of the polynomials $p_n(\cdot, t)$ does not depend on $t \in \Delta$ (see theorem 2.3). This will allow us to use theorem 4.4. Let us choose an exhaustion $(K_l)_l$ of \mathbf{C}^2 by compact subsets and consider the following subfamilies of \mathcal{C}_n :

$$\mathcal{F}_l := \{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_n / \sigma(0) \in K_l\}.$$

We may apply theorem 4.4 to any pair $(\mathcal{F}_l, \mathcal{C}_n)$. This gives an increasing sequence of p_n -guided holomorphic motions $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_l)_l$ and it then suffices to set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n := \cup_l \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_l.$$

Step 2. *Restriction of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ to $\mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c$.*

According to the second assertion of lemma 6.2 we have $\mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c \subset \overline{(\mathcal{C}_n)_0}$ and, by the above first step this also means that $\mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c \subset (\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n)_0$. All we want to show here is that the collection of discs of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ which go through $\mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c$ coincides with $\mathcal{B}\text{if}_n$:

$$\mathcal{B}\text{if}_n = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c} \sigma_\lambda(\Delta).$$

Let us pick $\lambda_1 := \sigma_{\lambda_0}(t_0)$ where $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c$ and $t_0 \in \Delta$. We will show that $\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n$. By the second assertion of lemma 6.2, $\lambda_0 = \lim_n a_m$ with $a_m \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$. Then, using the continuity of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n$, one gets $\lambda_1 = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(t_0) = \lim_n \sigma_{a_m}(t_0)$. Since $\sigma_{a_m}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$ for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_m)_m$, this implies that $\lambda_1 \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n$.

Let us pick $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n$. By the first assertion of lemma 6.2 we have $\lambda_0 = \lim_m \sigma_{a_m}(t_m)$ where $a_m \in (\mathcal{C}_n)_0$ and $\lim_n t_m = t_0 \in \Delta$. Let us consider $\mathcal{F} := \{\sigma_{a_m}; m \in \mathbf{N}\}$, this is a subfamily of \mathcal{C}_n and the pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}_n)$ satisfies the assumptions of lemma 4.6. Thus, after taking a subsequence, we may assume that $\sigma_{a_m} \rightarrow \sigma$ for some p_n -guided holomorphic disc σ . In particular, we have $\lim_m a_m = \lim_m \sigma_{a_m}(0) = \sigma(0) =: a_0$ and, since $\sigma_{a_m}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_{k_m}(0)$ for some increasing sequence of integers $(k_m)_m$, this implies that $a_0 \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c$. We may now use the continuity of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_n$ and get: $\sigma_{a_0} = \lim_m \sigma_{a_m}$ so that finally $\lambda_0 = \lim_m \sigma_{a_m}(t_m) = \sigma_{a_0}(t_0) \in \cup_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}\text{if}_n^c} \sigma_\lambda(\Delta)$.

Step 3. *Laminarity of $T_{\text{bif}}|_{U_n}$.*

According to the approximation formula given by theorem 3.5 applied on the central curve $\text{Per}_n(0)$ we have:

$$\mu_n^c = \lim_m 2^{-m} \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Per}_n(0) \cap \text{Per}_m(0)} \delta_{\sigma_\lambda(0)}. \quad (6.1)$$

Let us set $T := \int [\sigma_\lambda(\Delta)] \mu_n^c$. We have to check that $T = T_{\text{bif}}|_{U_n}$. Let ϕ be a $(1, 1)$ -test form in U_n . As the holomorphic motion σ is continuous, the function $\lambda \mapsto \langle [\sigma_\lambda(\Delta)], \phi \rangle$ is continuous as well. Then, using 6.1 one gets

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \lim_m 2^{-m} \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Per}_n(0) \cap \text{Per}_m(0)} \langle [\sigma_\lambda(\Delta)], \phi \rangle = \lim_m 2^{-m} \langle [\text{Per}_m(0)], \phi \rangle \quad (6.2)$$

where the last equality uses the fact that, according to proposition 5.5, the curves $\text{Per}_m(0)$ have no multiplicity in U_n . Now the conclusion follows by using 6.2 and the approximation formula of theorem 3.5 in U_n . \square

7 Motion from the quadratic polynomial family

Two fundamental facts in the Mañé-Sad-Sullivan theory are the density of the set \mathcal{S} of stable maps (the complement of \mathcal{Bif}) and the concept of hyperbolic components: two elements lying in the same connected component of \mathcal{S} are either both hyperbolic or both non-hyperbolic. This reduces Fatou's conjecture on the density of hyperbolic rational maps to the problem of existence of non-hyperbolic components. This question is still open, even for the family of quadratic polynomials. We refer to [17], [18] for more details and further results.

In this section, combining our previous results with Slodkowski's theorem, we obtain sharper statements for the region $U_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}^2 / f_\lambda \text{ has an attracting fixed point}\}$. In particular, we prove that non-hyperbolic components exist in U_1 if and only if such components exist within the quadratic polynomial family $\text{Per}_1(0)$. Our result may be stated as follows (we recall that the bifurcation locus in $\text{Per}_1(0)$ is the boundary of the Mandelbrot set M_2)

Theorem 7.1 *There exists a holomorphic motion $\tilde{\sigma} : \text{Per}_1(0) \times \Delta \rightarrow U_1$ which is p_1 -guided, continuous, onto and such that $\tilde{\sigma}(bM_2 \times \Delta) = \mathcal{Bif}_1$. All stable components in U_1 are of the form $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega \times \Delta)$ for some component ω in $\text{Per}_1(0)$. Moreover, the map $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}(\lambda, t)$ is a quasi-conformal homeomorphism for each t and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is one-to-one on $(\text{Per}_1(0) \setminus \overline{\heartsuit}) \times \Delta$ where \heartsuit is the main cardioid.*

The key point here is that $U_1 = \cup_{t \in \Delta} \text{Per}_1(t)$ where each $\text{Per}_1(t)$ is a straight line in \mathbf{C}^2 (see proposition 2.4). This allows to see the holomorphic motion σ constructed in theorem 6.4 as given by holomorphic motion in \mathbf{P}^1 and use Slodkovsky theorem to extend it to all $\text{Per}_1(0)$. Our reference for holomorphic motions in \mathbf{P}^1 is the book [13].

Proof.

We first show the existence of a p_1 -guided holomorphic motion $\tilde{\sigma} : \text{Per}_1(0) \times \Delta \rightarrow U_1$ which is continuous, onto and satisfies $\tilde{\sigma}(bM_2 \times \Delta) = \mathcal{Bif}_1$. According to theorem 6.4 there exists a p_1 -guided holomorphic motion

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \overline{(\mathcal{C}_1)_0} \times \Delta &\longrightarrow U_1 \\ (\lambda, t) &\longmapsto \sigma_\lambda(t) \end{aligned}$$

which is continuous and satisfies $\tilde{\sigma}(bM_2 \times \Delta) = \mathcal{B}if_1$; we recall that $bM_2 \subset \overline{(\mathcal{C}_1)_0}$. We thus have to exhibit an extension $\tilde{\sigma} : Per_1(0) \times \Delta \rightarrow U_1$ of σ with the property that $\tilde{\sigma}(\cdot, t) : Per_1(0) \rightarrow Per_1(t)$ is onto for all $t \in \Delta$.

Let us recall that, by definition, we have

$$\sigma_\lambda(\Delta) \cap \sigma_{\lambda'}(\Delta) = \emptyset \quad \text{if } \lambda \neq \lambda' \quad (7.1)$$

and that by construction (see proposition 6.1):

$$\forall t \in \Delta, \forall \lambda \in Per_m(0) \cap Per_1(t), \exists \lambda_0 \in Per_m(0) \cap Per_1(0) \text{ such that } \lambda = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(t). \quad (7.2)$$

Let us write $\sigma_\lambda(t) =: (\alpha_\lambda(t), \beta_\lambda(t))$. As σ is p_1 -guided we have $\sigma_\lambda(t) \in Per_1(t)$ and thus, according to proposition 2.4:

$$\alpha_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{1+t^2} (t\beta_\lambda(t) + t^3 + 2), \quad \forall t \in \Delta. \quad (7.3)$$

Setting $\beta_\infty(t) = \infty$ for all $t \in \Delta$, we may consider the map β as a holomorphic motion in \mathbf{P}^1 that is $\beta : \overline{(\mathcal{C}_1)_0} \cup \{\infty\} \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. In particular the injectivity in λ for fixed t follows from 7.1 and 7.3.

By Slodkowski's theorem, β extends to some holomorphic motion of \mathbf{P}^1 , that is, to some map $\tilde{\beta} : \mathbf{P}^1 \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$ which, in particular, is continuous and such that $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\beta}_\lambda(t)$ is a (quasi-conformal) homeomorphism of \mathbf{P}^1 for every $t \in \Delta$. By construction we have $\tilde{\beta}_\lambda(t) \neq \infty$ for $\lambda \neq \infty$ and, considering $\tilde{\beta}_\lambda(t)$ as a complex number for $\lambda \in Per_1(0)$, it suffices to set:

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\lambda, t) = \left(t\tilde{\beta}_\lambda(t) + t^3 + 2, \tilde{\beta}_\lambda(t) \right).$$

We now want to show that all stable components in U_1 are of the form $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega \times \Delta)$ for some stable component ω in $Per_1(0)$. We treat the main cardioid \heartsuit before, because the argument we need is specific. Let us show that

$$\tilde{\sigma}(\overline{\heartsuit} \times \Delta) = \overline{A_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(\overline{A_1}) = \overline{\heartsuit} \times \Delta \quad (7.4)$$

where A_1 is the open subset of U_1 which consists of parameters possessing two distinct attracting fixed points ($\heartsuit = A_1 \cap Per_1(0)$) and $\overline{A_1}$ its closure in U_1 .

Let us first show that $\tilde{\sigma}(\heartsuit \times \Delta) \subset \overline{A_1}$. If this would not be the case, we would find $\lambda_1 \in \heartsuit$ and $t_1 \in \Delta$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \notin \overline{A_1}$. Then, $\lambda_1 = \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(0)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_1)$ do not have the same number of attracting fixed points and therefore, a bifurcation occurs within the holomorphic family $\{\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t); t \in \Delta\}$. Thus, by corollary 3.7, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $t_m \in \Delta$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_m) \in Per_m(0)$. As $\tilde{\sigma}$ extends σ , we then get from 7.2 that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_m) = \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_0}(t_m)$ for some $\lambda_0 \in Per_1(0) \cap Per_m(0)$. As the map $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(t_m)$ is one-to-one, we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0$. This is a contradiction since λ_0 does not belong to \heartsuit .

As $\tilde{\sigma}$ is continuous we also have $\tilde{\sigma}(\overline{\heartsuit} \times \Delta) \subset \overline{A_1}$ and it thus remains to show that $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(\overline{A_1}) \subset \overline{\heartsuit} \times \Delta$. We will essentially argue as before. Assume to the contrary that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_1) \in \overline{A_1}$ for some $\lambda_1 \notin \overline{\heartsuit}$ and $t_1 \in \Delta$. Then $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_1)$ has a non-repulsiv fixed point which differs from ∞ but this is not the case for λ_1 . A bifurcation must therefore occur within the holomorphic family $\{\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t); t \in \Delta\}$. As before, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$

and $t_m \in \Delta$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_m) \in \text{Per}_m(0)$ and, since $\tilde{\sigma}$ extends σ , we get from 7.2 that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_m) = \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_0}(t_m)$ for some $\lambda_0 \in \text{Per}_1(0) \cap \text{Per}_m(0)$. As the map $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(t_m)$ is one-to-one, we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0$. This is impossible. Indeed, as $\lambda_0 \in \text{Per}_m(0)$ we would have $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(\Delta) = \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_0}(\Delta) = \sigma_{\lambda_0}(\Delta) \subset \text{Per}_m(0)$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda_1}(t_1)$ would have three distinct non-repulsive cycles (two fixed points and a m -cycle). This contradicts the Fatou-Shishikura inequality.

To end the proof we will show that $\tilde{\sigma} : (\text{Per}_1(0) \setminus \overline{\heartsuit}) \times \Delta \rightarrow U_1 \setminus \overline{A_1}$ is a homeomorphism. Since $\tilde{\sigma}(bM_2) = \mathcal{B}if_1$, this will imply that $\tilde{\sigma}(\omega \times \Delta)$ is a stable component in U_1 for every stable component $\omega \subset \text{Per}_1(0)$ which differs from \heartsuit .

As the map $\tilde{\sigma}$ is onto, it follows from 7.4 that $\tilde{\sigma} : (\text{Per}_1(0) \setminus \overline{\heartsuit}) \times \Delta \rightarrow U_1 \setminus \overline{A_1}$ is onto as well. Let us check that it is one-to-one and open. If $\tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(t) = \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda'}(t') \in U_1 \setminus \overline{A_1}$ then, as two lines $\text{Per}_1(t)$ and $\text{Per}_1(t')$ cannot intersect in $U_1 \setminus \overline{A_1}$ one gets $t = t'$, and $\lambda = \lambda'$ follows from the injectivity of $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(t)$.

To establish the openness, one may use the fact that $U_1 \setminus \overline{A_1}$ is foliated by the straight lines $\text{Per}_1(t)$ and that $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\sigma}_\lambda(t) \in \text{Per}_1(t)$ is a K -quasi-conformal homeomorphism for $K := \frac{1+|t|}{1-|t|}$. \square

References

- [1] Bassanelli, G., Berteloot, F.: *Bifurcation currents in holomorphic dynamics on \mathbf{P}^k* . J. Reine Angew. Math. 608, 201–235 (2007).
- [2] Berteloot, F., Dupont, C., Molino, L.: *Normalization of random families of holomorphic contractions and applications to dynamics*. arXiv (2007), Ann. Inst. Fourier (to appear).
- [3] Berteloot, F., Loeb, J.-J.: *Une caractérisation géométrique des exemples de Lattès de \mathbf{P}^k* . Bull. Soc. Math. France 129 (2) 175–188 (2001).
- [4] Berteloot, F.: *Méthodes de changement d'échelles en analyse complexe*. Ann. Fac. Sc. Toulouse Vol.XV. n3, 427–483, (2006).
- [5] Carleson, L., Gamelin, T.: *Complex dynamics*. Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New-York, (1993).
- [6] DeMarco, L.: *Dynamics of rational maps: A current on the bifurcation locus*. Math. Res. Lett. 8, No.1-2, 57–66 (2001).
- [7] DeMarco, L.: *Dynamics of rational maps: Lyapunov exponents, bifurcations, and capacity*. Math. Ann. 326, No.1, 43–73 (2003).
- [8] Douady, A., Hubbard, J.H.: *Étude dynamique des polynômes complexes*. Partie II. Publications Mathématiques d'Orsay 85-4. (1985).
- [9] Dujardin, R.: *Cubic polynomials: a measurable view point on the parameter space*. arXiv (2006).
- [10] Dujardin, R., Favre, C.: *Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point*. arXiv (2006), American Math. Journal (to appear).

- [11] Epstein, A.: *Bounded hyperbolic component of quadratic rational maps*. Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. 20, 727-748 (2000).
- [12] Epstein, A.: *Personal communication*.
- [13] Hubbard, J.H.: *Teichmuller theory Volume 1*. Matrix editions (2006).
- [14] Levin, G.M.: *On the theory of iterations of polynomial families in the complex plane*. J. Soviet. Math. 52, n6, 3512-3522 (1990).
- [15] Lyubich, M.: *Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere*. Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys. 3, 351-385 (1983).
- [16] Mañé, R., Sad, P., Sullivan, D.: *On the dynamics of rational maps*. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér., IV. Sér. 16, 193–217 (1983).
- [17] McMullen, C.T.: *Complex dynamics and renormalization*. Annals of Mathematics Studies. 135. Princeton, NJ: Univ. Press., vii, 214 p. (1995).
- [18] McMullen, C.T.: *Frontiers in complex dynamics*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 31, n2, 155-172 (1994).
- [19] Milnor J.W.: *Geometry and dynamics of quadratic rational maps*. With an appendix by the author and Lei Tan. Experiment. Math. 2, no. 1, 37–83 (1993).
- [20] Pham, N-M.: *Lyapunov exponents and bifurcation current for polynomial like maps*. arXiv (2005).
- [21] Shishikura, M.: *On the quasiconformal surgery of rational functions*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 20, no. 1, 1–29, (1987).
- [22] Sibony, N.: *Dynamique des applications rationnelles de \mathbf{P}^k* . Société Mathématique de France. Panor. Synth. 8, 97–185 (1999).
- [23] Silverman, J.H.: *The space of rational maps on \mathbf{P}^1* . Duke Math. J. 94, 1, 41–77 (1998).
- [24] Silverman, J.H.: *The arithmetic of dynamical systems*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 241. Springer, New York, x+511 pp, (2007).
- [25] Uhre, E.: *Construction of holomorphic motion in part of the parameter space for a family of quadratic rational maps*. Tekst NR 438, Roskilde Universitetscenter (2004).
- [26] Zalcman, L.: *Normal families: new perspectives*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 35, 215-230 (1998).