## LIE ALGEBRAS WITH $S_3$ OR $S_4$ -ACTION, AND GENERALIZED MALCEV ALGEBRAS

#### ALBERTO ELDUQUE\* AND SUSUMU OKUBO\*

ABSTRACT. Lie algebras endowed with an action by automorphisms of any of the symmetric groups  $S_3$  or  $S_4$  are considered, and their decomposition into a direct sum of irreducible modules for the given action is studied.

In case of  $S_3$ -symmetry, the Lie algebras are coordinatized by some nonassociative systems, which are termed *generalized Malcev algebras*, as they extend the classical Malcev algebras. These systems are endowed with a binary and a ternary products, and include both the Malcev algebras and the Jordan triple systems.

### INTRODUCTION

The Tetrahedron algebra is an infinite dimensional Lie algebra which is endowed with a natural action by automorphisms of  $S_4$ , the symmetric group of degree 4 (see [Eld07]). Lie algebras with such an action have been investigated by the authors in [EO07], where it is shown that these Lie algebras are coordinatized by a class of nonassociative algebras that include, as a very important case, the structurable algebras introduced by Allison [All78]. These algebras are very useful in providing models of simple Lie algebras, models which reflect a  $S_4$ -symmetry (see [EO07] and the references there in).

In [IT07, Problem 20.10] the authors posed the question of how the Tetrahedron algebra decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible modules for  $S_4$ . The first aim of this paper is to study the decomposition into direct sums of irreducible  $S_4$ -modules of any Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_4$ by automorphisms. This will be done in Section 1. The answer for the Tetrahedron algebra is particularly simple, as only the two irreducible three dimensional modules for  $S_4$  live inside the Lie algebra. This will be checked in Section 2.

On the other hand, given any Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_4$  by automorphisms, Klein's 4-group induces a grading of the Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The  $(\bar{0}, \bar{0})$ -component of this grading, that is, the subspace of elements fixed by any element in Klein's 4-group, is naturally endowed with an action of the symmetric group  $S_3$  by automorphisms. Lie algebras with such an action are studied in Section 3, where the generalized Malcev algebras are introduced.

Date: January 15, 2008.

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia and FEDER (MTM 2007-67884-C04-02) and by the Diputación General de Aragón (Grupo de Investigación de Álgebra).

<sup>\*</sup> Supported in part by U.S. Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG02-91ER40685.

As already noticed by Mikheev [Mik92] and Grishkov [Gri03], Malcev algebras appear as coordinate algebras of Lie algebras with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms satisfying an extra condition, which in our terms translates into the fact that, as a module for  $S_3$ , there is no submodule isomorphic to the alternating one dimensional module for  $S_3$ . This will be the subject of Section 4, where the examples of Malcev algebras and Jordan triple systems are discussed. Different examples of Lie algebras with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms and of their coordinate algebras will be given in the last Section 5.

Throughout the paper, all the vector spaces considered will be defined over a ground field k of characteristic  $\neq 2, 3$ . Unadorned tensor products will be defined over k.

Recall that the symmetric group  $S_4$  is the semidirect product of Klein's 4-group  $V_4 = \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle$  and the symmetric group  $S_3 = \langle \varphi, \tau \rangle$ . Here

$$\tau_{1} = (12)(34), \tau_{2} = (23)(14), \varphi = (123) : 1 \mapsto 2 \mapsto 3 \mapsto 1 \tau = (12).$$

The symmetric group  $S_3$  appears both as a subgroup and as a quotient  $S_4/V_4$  of the symmetric group  $S_4$ .

There are exactly five non-isomorphic irreducible modules for the symmetric group  $S_4$  (see, for instance, [FH91]):

- U = ku, the trivial module:  $\sigma(u) = u$  for any  $\sigma \in S_4$ .
- U' = ku', the alternating module:  $\sigma(u') = (-1)^{\sigma}u'$  for any  $\sigma \in S_4$ , where  $(-1)^{\sigma}$  denotes the signature of the permutation  $\sigma$ .
- $W = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in k^3 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0\}$ , the two dimensional irreducible module. This is a natural module for  $S_3$  ( $\sigma((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)) = (\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(3)})$  and hence a module for  $S_4$  in which the elements of  $V_4$  act trivially.
- $V = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) \in k^4 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = 0\}$ , the standard module.
- $V' = U' \otimes V.$

The modules U, U' and W form a family of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the irreducible modules for  $S_3$ .

#### 1. Lie Algebras with $S_4$ -Action

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over our ground field k endowed with a group homomorphism

$$S_4 \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

As in [EO07], the action of Kleins's 4-group  $V_4$  gives a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading on  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \tag{1.1}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t} &= \{ x \in \mathbf{g} : \tau_1(x) = x, \, \tau_2(x) = x \} \; (= \mathbf{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})}), \\
\mathbf{g}_0 &= \{ x \in \mathbf{g} : \tau_1(x) = x, \, \tau_2(x) = -x \} \; (= \mathbf{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})}), \\
\mathbf{g}_1 &= \{ x \in \mathbf{g} : \tau_1(x) = -x, \, \tau_2(x) = x \} \; (= \mathbf{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})}), \\
\mathbf{g}_2 &= \{ x \in \mathbf{g} : \tau_1(x) = -x, \, \tau_2(x) = -x \} \; (= \mathbf{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}).
\end{aligned}$$
(1.2)

(Here, the subindices 0, 1, 2 must be considered modulo 3.)

Assume that the action of  $V_4$  is not trivial, as otherwise the  $S_4$ -action is just an action of  $S_3 \simeq S_4/V_4$ , and let then A denote the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ . For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_0$ , define

$$\iota_0(x) = x \in \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad \iota_1(x) = \varphi(\iota_0(x)) \in \mathfrak{g}_1, \quad \iota_2(x) = \varphi^2(\iota_0(x)) \in \mathfrak{g}_2.$$
(1.3)

Thus

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \left( \oplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(A) \right).$$

As shown in [EO07], A becomes an algebra with involution  $(A, \cdot, -)$  where:

• The involution (involutive antiautomorphism) is given by

$$\iota_0(\bar{x}) = -\tau(\iota_0(x))$$

for any  $x \in A$ . Note that  $\tau(\mathfrak{g}_0) = \mathfrak{g}_0$  and that this gives immediately, since  $\varphi \tau = \tau \varphi^2$ , the following actions:

$$\tau(\iota_0(x)) = -\iota_0(\bar{x}), \quad \tau(\iota_1(x)) = -\iota_2(\bar{x}), \quad \tau(\iota_2(x)) = -\iota_1(\bar{x}).$$
(1.4)

• The multiplication is given by

$$\iota_0(\overline{x \cdot y}) = [\iota_1(x), \iota_2(y)], \tag{1.5}$$

for any  $x, y \in A$ .

Moreover, consider the space of *Lie related triples* (see [AF93]):

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{lrt}(A,\cdot,-) &= \{ (d_0,d_1,d_2) \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)^3 : \\ & \bar{d}_i(x\cdot y) = d_{i+1}(x) \cdot y + x \cdot d_{i+2}(y), \; \forall x,y \in A, \; \forall i=0,1,2 \}, \end{split}$$

where

$$\bar{d}(x) = \overline{d(\bar{x})}.$$

This space  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  is a Lie algebra under componentwise bracket, and it comes endowed with the action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms given by:

$$\varphi((d_0, d_1, d_2)) = (d_2, d_0, d_1), 
\tau((d_0, d_1, d_2)) = (\bar{d}_0, \bar{d}_2, \bar{d}_1),$$
(1.6)

which is compatible with the action of  $S_3$  on  $\mathfrak{t}$ , that is,

$$\rho(\sigma(d)) = \sigma(\rho(d)),$$

for any  $\sigma \in S_3$  and  $d \in \mathfrak{t}$  (see [EO07, eqs. (1.8) and (2.3)]), where  $\rho$  is the linear map:

$$\rho: \mathfrak{t} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(A)^{3}$$
$$d \mapsto \left(\rho_{0}(d), \rho_{1}(d), \rho_{2}(d)\right),$$

with

$$\iota_i(\rho_i(d)(x)) = [d, \iota_i(x)]$$

for any  $d \in \mathfrak{t}$  and  $x \in A$ . It turns out that  $\rho$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism and that  $\rho(\mathfrak{t})$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$ . Moreover, there appears a skewsymmetric bilinear map

$$\begin{split} \delta : A \times A &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) \\ (x, y) &\mapsto \rho \big( [\iota_0(x), \iota_0(y)] \big) = \big( \delta_0(x, y), \delta_1(x, y), \delta_2(x, y) \big). \end{split}$$

**Theorem 1.7.** ([EO07, Theorem 2.4]) For any  $a, b, x, y, z \in A$  and i, j = 0, 1, 2:

- (i)  $\left[\delta_i(a,b),\delta_j(x,y)\right] = \delta_j\left(\delta_{i-j}(a,b)(x),y\right) + \delta_j(x,\delta_{i-j}(a,b)(y)),$
- (ii)  $\delta_0(\bar{x}, y \cdot z) + \delta_1(\bar{y}, z \cdot x) + \delta_2(\bar{z}, x \cdot y) = 0,$
- (iii)  $\delta_0(x,y)(z) + \delta_0(y,z)(x) + \delta_0(z,x)(y) = 0$ ,
- (iv)  $\delta_1(x,y) = L_{\bar{y}}L_x L_{\bar{x}}L_y$ ,
- (v)  $\delta_2(x,y) = R_{\bar{y}}R_x R_{\bar{x}}R_y$ ,
- (vi)  $\overline{\delta_i(x,y)} = \delta_{-i}(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \text{ (or } \tau(\delta(x,y)) = \delta(\bar{x},\bar{y})),$

where  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  denote, respectively, the left and right multiplication by x in the algebra  $(A, \cdot)$ .

The 4-tuple  $(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  is then called a *normal Lie related triple algebra* (see [Oku05]), or normal LRTA for short.

Conversely, given a normal LRTA  $(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$ , consider three copies of A:  $\iota_i(A), i = 0, 1, 2$ , and the Lie subalgebra of *inner Lie related triples*:

$$\operatorname{inlrt}(A,\cdot,-,\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \varphi^{i} (\delta(A,A)).$$

Then the vector space

$$\mathfrak{g}(A,\cdot,-,\delta) = \mathfrak{inlrt}(A,\cdot,-,\delta) \oplus \left(\oplus_{i=0}^{2} \iota_{i}(A)\right)$$

is a Lie algebra [EO07, Theorem 2.6] with bracket determined by:

- $\mathfrak{inlrt}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  is a Lie subalgebra,
- $[(d_0, d_1, d_2), \iota_i(x)] = \iota_i(d_i(x)), \ \forall (d_0, d_1, d_2) \in inlt(A, \cdot, -, \delta), \ \forall x \in A,$
- $[\iota_i(x), \iota_{i+1}(y)] = \iota_{i+2}(\overline{x \cdot y}) \quad \forall x, y \in A, \forall i = 0, 1, 2,$
- $[\iota_i(x), \iota_i(y)] = \varphi^i (\delta(x, y)), \forall x, y \in A, \forall i = 0, 1, 2.$

(1.8)

Moreover, the symmetric group  $S_4$  acts naturally by automorphisms on  $\mathfrak{g}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  by means of:

- $V_4$  acts trivially on  $\mathfrak{inlrt}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  and  $\varphi$  and  $\tau$  act by (1.6),
- $\varphi(\iota_i(x)) = \iota_{i+1}(x), \ \forall i = 0, 1, 2,$

• 
$$\tau(\iota_0(x)) = -\iota_0(\bar{x}), \ \tau(\iota_1(x)) = -\iota_2(\bar{x}), \ \tau(\iota_2(x)) = -\iota_1(\bar{x}),$$
 (1.9)

•  $\tau_1(\iota_0(x)) = \iota_0(x), \ \tau_1(\iota_i(x)) = -\iota_i(x) \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$ 

• 
$$\tau_2(\iota_1(x)) = \iota_1(x), \ \tau_2(\iota_i(x)) = -\iota_i(x) \text{ for } i = 0, 2,$$

for any  $x \in A$ .

One can also consider the larger Lie algebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A,\cdot,-,\delta) = \mathfrak{lrt}(A,\cdot,-,\delta) \oplus \left(\oplus_{i=0}^{2}\iota_{i}(A)\right)$$
(1.10)

with the same bracket given in (1.8), which again is endowed with an action of  $S_4$  by automorphisms given by (1.9). Besides, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra with an action of  $S_4$  and  $(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  is the associated normal LRTA, then the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{t} \to \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  extends to a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho}:\mathfrak{g}\longrightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A,\cdot,-,\delta)$$

compatible with the action of  $S_4$ , and such that  $\tilde{\rho}(\iota_i(x)) = \iota_i(x)$  for any  $x \in A$  and i = 0, 1, 2.

**Proposition 1.11.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra with  $S_4$ -action and let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  be the associated  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading as in (1.1). Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \neq 0$  and that  $\mathfrak{g}$  has no proper ideals invariant under the action of  $S_4$  (this happens, in particular, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple). Then the homomorphism  $\tilde{\rho}$  is one-to-one and its image is  $\mathfrak{g}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{\rho}$  is a homomorphism of Lie algebras with  $S_4$ -action, its kernel ker  $\tilde{\rho}$  is an ideal invariant under the action of  $S_4$ , so it is trivial. Hence  $\tilde{\rho}$  is one-to-one. On the other hand, the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  is an ideal invariant under  $S_4$ , so it is the whole  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and hence  $\tilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the subalgebra generated by  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(A)$ , which is precisely  $\mathfrak{g}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$ .  $\Box$ 

Now we are ready to decompose the subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  in (1.1) as a direct sum of irreducible modules for the action of  $S_4$ .

**Theorem 1.12.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_4$  by automorphisms, and let  $0 \neq x \in A = \mathfrak{g}_0$ . Then:

- (i) If  $\bar{x} = -x$ , then  $\sum_{i=0}^{2} k \iota_i(x)$  is a S<sub>4</sub>-module isomorphic to the standard module V.
- (ii) If  $\bar{x} = x$ , then  $\sum_{i=0}^{2} k \iota_i(x)$  is a  $S_4$ -module isomorphic to  $V' = U' \otimes V$ .

*Proof.* Equations (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) show that in both cases the subspace  $\sum_{i=0}^{2} k \iota_i(x)$  is invariant under the action of  $S_4$ . In the first case the assignment

$$\iota_0(x) \mapsto (1, 1, -1, -1), \ \iota_1(x) \mapsto (-1, 1, 1, -1), \ \iota_2(x) \mapsto (1, -1, 1, -1),$$

provides the required isomorphism, while in the second case, the assignment  $\iota_0(x) \mapsto u' \otimes (1, 1, -1, -1), \ldots$ , gives the result.

**Corollary 1.13.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_4$  by automorphisms. Then  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(A) = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  is a direct sum of copies of the two irreducible three-dimensional modules for  $S_4$ .

Let us turn now our attention to the action of  $S_4$  (actually of  $S_3 \simeq S_4/V_4$ ) on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$ .

On the two one-dimensional irreducible modules (the trivial one U and the alternating one U' for  $S_4$  (or  $S_3$ )), the cycle  $\varphi$  acts trivially, while  $\varphi$  acts with minimal polynomial  $X^2 + X + 1$  on the two-dimensional irreducible module. But for  $(A, \cdot, -)$  an algebra with involution:

$$\begin{aligned} \{ (d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) : \varphi \big( (d_0, d_1, d_2) \big) &= (d_0, d_1, d_2) \} \\ &= \{ (d, d, d) \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)^3 : \overline{d}(x \cdot y) = d(x) \cdot y + x \cdot d(y) \; \forall x, y \in A \} \\ &= \{ (d, d, d) \in \mathfrak{gl}(A)^3 : d(x * y) = d(x) * y + x * d(y) \; \forall x, y \in A \} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x * y = \overline{x \cdot y}$  for any  $x, y \in A$ . Hence, the subspace of fixed elements by  $\varphi$  in  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  is naturally isomorphic to the Lie algebra of derivations of the algebra (A, \*):

$$\operatorname{Fix}_{\mathfrak{lrt}(A,\cdot,-)}(\varphi) \simeq \mathfrak{der}(A,*).$$

Given an algebra with involution  $(A, \cdot, -)$ ,  $\mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot, -)$  will denote the Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{der}(A,\cdot,-) = \{ d \in \mathfrak{der}(A,\cdot) : d = d \}.$$

**Proposition 1.14.** Let  $(A, \cdot, -)$  be an algebra with involution. Then:

- (i) The direct sum of the trivial  $S_4$ -submodules of  $\operatorname{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  is the subspace  $\{(d, d, d) : d \in \operatorname{der}(A, \cdot, -)\}.$
- (ii) The direct sum of the  $S_4$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  isomorphic to the alternating module is  $\{(d, d, d) : d \in \mathfrak{sder}(A, \cdot, -)\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{sder}(A, \cdot, -)$  is the subspace of skew-derivations of  $(A, \cdot, -)$ :

 $\mathfrak{sder}(A,\cdot,-) = \{ d \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : d(x \cdot y) = -d(x) \cdot y - x \cdot d(y) \text{ and } \bar{d} = -d \}.$ 

(iii) The direct sum of the irreducible  $S_4$ -submodules of  $let(A, \cdot, -)$  isomorphic to the two-dimensional irreducible module W is

$$\{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) : d_0 + d_1 + d_2 = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* The direct sum of the trivial submodules is

$$\{ (d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) : \varphi((d_0, d_1, d_2)) = (d_0, d_1, d_2) = \tau((d_0, d_1, d_2)) \}$$
  
=  $\{ (d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) : d_0 = d_1 = d_2 = \bar{d}_0 \}$ 

because of (1.6), and this gives (i).

Also, the direct sum of the alternating submodules is

$$\begin{aligned} \{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) : \varphi((d_0, d_1, d_2)) &= (d_0, d_1, d_2) = -\tau((d_0, d_1, d_2))\} \\ &= \{(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) : d_0 = d_1 = d_2 = -\bar{d}_0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and this proves (ii).

Finally, the direct sum of the  $S_4$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  which are isomorphic to the two-dimensional irreducible module W is the kernel of  $1 + \varphi + \varphi^2$ , and this gives the result in (iii).

Let us pause to give an example of a normal LRTA  $(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  where  $\mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot, -)$  is strictly contained in the Lie algebra of derivations  $\mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot)$ .

**Example 1.15.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie algebra:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})},$$

with  $\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \neq 0 \neq \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}$ , and let  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  the order two automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$  given by:

$$\begin{split} \nu &= \begin{cases} id & \text{on } \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})}, \\ -id & \text{on } \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}, \end{cases} \\ \mu &= \begin{cases} id & \text{on } \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})}, \\ -id & \text{on } \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The symmetric group  $S_4$  acts by automorphisms on  $\mathfrak{g}^3$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1((x, y, z)) &= (x, \nu(y), \nu(z)), \\ \tau_2((x, y, z)) &= (\nu(x), y, \nu(z)), \\ \varphi((x, y, z)) &= (z, x, y), \\ \tau((x, y, z)) &= (\mu(x), \mu(z), \mu(y)). \end{aligned}$$

(Compare to [EO07, Example 3.5].) Then the decomposition in (1.1) is given by:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{t} &= \left(\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{0})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{0})}\right)^3, \\ \mathfrak{g}_0 &= \{(x,0,0) : x \in \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_1 &= \{(0,x,0) : x \in \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}\}, \\ \mathfrak{g}_2 &= \{(0,0,x) : x \in \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}\}, \end{split}$$

so that  $A = \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}$  is a normal LRTA with

$$x \cdot y = 0, \qquad ar{x} = -\mu(x),$$

for any  $x \in A$ .

The Lie algebra of derivations of  $(A, \cdot)$  is then the whole general linear algebra:

$$\mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot) = \mathfrak{gl}(A),$$

while

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{der}(A,\cdot,-) &= \{ f \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : f(\bar{x}) = f(x) \; \forall x \} \\ &= \{ f \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : f\mu = \mu f \} \\ &= \{ f \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : f\left(\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})}, \; f\left(\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}\right) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})} \}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{sder}(A,\cdot,-) &= \{ f \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : f\mu = -\mu f \} \\ &= \{ f \in \mathfrak{gl}(A) : f\big(\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})}\big) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}, \ f\big(\mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{1},\bar{1})}\big) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{(\bar{0},\bar{1})} \}. \end{aligned}$$

In [EO07, Theorem 2.4] it is proved that the unital normal LRTA's are precisely the structurable algebras. In this case, the previous Proposition becomes simpler.

**Corollary 1.16.** Let  $(A, \cdot, -)$  be a unital algebra with involution, then  $\mathfrak{sder}(A, \cdot, -) = 0$ .

In particular, the alternating module does not appear in the decomposition of  $lrt(A, \cdot, -)$  as a direct sum of irreducible  $S_3$ -modules.

*Proof.* Any  $d \in \mathfrak{sder}(A, \cdot, -)$  satisfies  $\overline{d} = -d$  and

$$d(x \cdot y) = -d(x) \cdot y - x \cdot d(y)$$

for any  $x, y \in A$ . With x = y = 1 it follows that d(1) = 0, and then with y = 1 that d(x) = -d(x) for any x, so d = 0.

**Remark 1.17.** Given an algebra with involution  $(A, \cdot, -)$ , the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  decomposes as

$$\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{l}_+\oplus\mathfrak{l}_-\oplus\mathfrak{l}_2,$$

where  $\mathfrak{l}_+$  is the subspace of fixed elements by the action of any  $\sigma \in S_3$  (that is, the sum of the trivial  $S_3$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{l}$ ),  $\mathfrak{l}_-$  is the direct sum of the alternating  $S_3$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{l}$  and  $\mathfrak{l}_2$  is the sum of the  $S_3$ -submodules of  $\mathfrak{l}$ which are isomorphic to the unique two-dimensional irreducible module for  $S_3$ . Then any  $(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{l}$  decomposes as

$$(d_0, d_1, d_2) = (d, d, d) + (f, f, f) + (f_0, f_1, f_2),$$

where  $(d, d, d) \in \mathfrak{l}_+$ ,  $(f, f, f) \in \mathfrak{l}_-$  and  $(f_0, f_1, f_2) \in \mathfrak{l}_2$  are given by:

$$d = \frac{1}{6} \left( (d_0 + d_1 + d_2) + (\bar{d}_0 + \bar{d}_1 + \bar{d}_2) \right),$$
  

$$f = \frac{1}{6} \left( (d_0 + d_1 + d_2) - (\bar{d}_0 + \bar{d}_1 + \bar{d}_2) \right),$$
  

$$f_i = \frac{1}{3} (2d_i - d_{i+1} - d_{i+2}) \quad \text{(indices modulo 3)}$$

Note that indeed  $(1+\varphi+\varphi^2)(f_0, f_1, f_2) = 0$ , so  $(f_0, f_1, f_2) \in \mathfrak{l}_2$  and, similarly,  $(d, d, d) \in \mathfrak{l}_+$  and  $(f, f, f) \in \mathfrak{l}_-$ .  $\Box$ 

### 2. The Tetrahedron Algebra

The results in the previous section, together with [Eld07], give an immediate answer to [IT07, Problem 2.10], which asks for the decomposition of the Tetrahedron algebra into a direct sum of irreducible  $S_4$ -modules.

Recall that the Tetrahedron algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\boxtimes}$  has been defined in [HT07] in connection with the so called Onsanger algebra introduced in [Ons44], in which the free energy of the two dimensional Ising model was computed. The Tetrahedron algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\boxtimes}$  is the Lie algebra over k with generators

$$\{X_{ij}: i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j\}$$

and relations

$$X_{ij} + X_{ji} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j,$$
  

$$[X_{ij}, X_{jk}] = 2(X_{ij} + X_{jk}) \text{ for mutually distinct } i, j, k,$$
  

$$[X_{hi}, [X_{hi}, [X_{hi}, X_{jk}]]] = 4[X_{hi}, X_{jk}] \text{ for mutually distinct } h, i, j, k.$$

Consider the basis  $\{x = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, y = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\}$  of the three dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ . Then [HT07, Proposition 6.5 and Theorem 11.5] the Tetrahedron algebra is isomorphic to the three-point loop algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A} = k[t, t^{-1}, (t-1)^{-1}]$ , by means of the isomorphism

$$\Psi: \mathfrak{g}_{\boxtimes} \to \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}, \tag{2.1}$$

determined by:

$$\begin{split} \Psi(X_{12}) =& x \otimes 1, \quad \Psi(X_{23}) = y \otimes 1, \quad \Psi(X_{31}) = z \otimes 1, \\ \Psi(X_{03}) =& y \otimes t + z \otimes (t-1), \\ \Psi(X_{01}) =& z \otimes t' + x \otimes (t'-1), \\ \Psi(X_{02}) =& x \otimes t'' + y \otimes (t''-1), \end{split}$$

where

$$t' = 1 - t^{-1}$$
 and  $t'' = (1 - t)^{-1}$ . (2.2)

The symmetric group  $S_4$  embeds naturally in the group of automorphisms  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g}_{\boxtimes})$  by means of

$$\sigma(X_{ij}) = X_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}$$

for any  $\sigma \in S_4$  and  $0 \leq i < j \leq 3$ . Here we identify 0 and 4. On the other hand,  $S_4$  embeds as a group of automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$  as follows ([Eld07, Theorem 1.4]):

(i) 
$$\varphi = \varphi_{\mathfrak{s}} \otimes \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$$
, where  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is the order 3 automorphism of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  given by  
 $\varphi_{\mathfrak{s}}(x) = y, \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{s}}(y) = z, \quad \varphi_{\mathfrak{s}}(z) = x,$ 

and  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the order 3 automorphism of the *k*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  determined by

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = 1 - t^{-1} = t'.$$

(ii)  $\tau = \tau_{\mathfrak{s}} \otimes \tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\tau_{\mathfrak{s}}$  is the order 2 automorphism of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  given by

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{s}}(x) = -x, \quad \tau_{\mathfrak{s}}(y) = -z, \quad \tau_{\mathfrak{s}}(z) = -y,$$

and  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the order 2 automorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  determined by  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = 1-t$ .

(iii)  $\tau_1$  is the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as a Lie algebra over  $\mathcal{A}$ , given by

$$\tau_1(x \otimes 1) = -x \otimes 1,$$
  

$$\tau_1(y \otimes 1) = -(z \otimes t' + x \otimes (t' - 1)),$$
  

$$\tau_1(z \otimes 1) = x \otimes t'' + y \otimes (t'' - 1).$$
  
(2.3)

(iv)  $\tau_2$  is the automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as a Lie algebra over  $\mathcal{A}$ , given by

$$\tau_2(x \otimes 1) = y \otimes t + z \otimes (t-1),$$
  

$$\tau_2(y \otimes 1) = -y \otimes 1,$$
  

$$\tau_2(z \otimes 1) = -(x \otimes t'' + y \otimes (t''-1)).$$
  
(2.4)

With this action of  $S_4$ , the isomorphism  $\Psi$  in (2.1) becomes an isomorphism of Lie algebras with  $S_4$ -action.

A particular basis  $\{u_0, u_1, u_2\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$ , as a module for  $\mathcal{A}$ , plays a key role in [Eld07]. It is defined by:

$$u_{0} = \frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{02} + X_{31}),$$
  

$$u_{1} = \frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{03} + X_{12}),$$
  

$$u_{2} = \frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{01} + X_{23}),$$
  
(2.5)

and satisfies [Eld07, Theorem 1.9]:

$$[u_0, u_1] = -u_2 t, \quad [u_1, u_2] = -u_0 t', \quad [u_2, u_0] = -u_1 t''.$$
(2.6)

Moreover, these elements also generate  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a Lie algebra over k. The action of  $S_4$  on this  $\mathcal{A}$ -basis is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1(u_0) &= u_0 = -\tau_2(u_0), \\ \tau_1(u_1) &= -u_1 = -\tau_2(u_1), \\ \tau_1(u_2) &= -u_2 = \tau_2(u_2), \quad (\text{see [Eld07, Theorem 2.2]}) \\ \varphi(u_i) &= u_{i+1}, \text{ (indices modulo 3, see [Eld07, (1.8)])} \\ \tau(u_1) &= \frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{03} - X_{12}) = -[u_0, u_1] = u_2 t, \text{ (see [Eld07, Theorem 1.9])} \\ \tau(u_2) &= \tau\varphi(u_1) = \varphi^2 \tau(u_1) = u_1 t'', \\ \tau(u_0) &= \tau\varphi^2(u_1) = \varphi \tau(u_1) = u_0 t'. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, the subspaces  $\mathfrak{t}$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_0$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  in (1.2) become:

$$\mathfrak{t} = 0, \quad \mathfrak{g}_0 = u_0 \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_1 = u_1 \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 = u_2 \mathcal{A},$$

and the associated normal LRTA can be identified to  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot, -, \delta)$  with (see [Eld07, Proposition 3.1]):

$$a \cdot b = \left(\tau_{\mathcal{A}}\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\right)\left(\tau_{\mathcal{A}}\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{2}(b)\right),$$
  
$$\bar{a} = -t'\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a).$$

(Here, the usual multiplication of  $\mathcal{A} = k[t, t^{-1}, (1-t)^{-1}]$  is denoted by juxtaposition.)

Then Corollary 1.13, together with  $\mathfrak{t} = 0$ , gives the answer to [IT07, Problem 2.10]:

**Proposition 2.7.** The Tetrahedron algebra is a direct sum of copies of the two irreducible three-dimensional modules for  $S_4$ .

But a more precise statement can be given, by providing a concrete decomposition into a direct sum of irreducible  $S_4$ -modules. To do so, and because of Theorem 1.12, let us first compute the elements  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\bar{a} = \pm a$ . Note that for  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\bar{a} = a \iff a = -t'\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow ta = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(ta), \text{ as } tt't'' = -1 \text{ and } \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = (t'')^{-1}.$$

But, as  $\mathcal{A} = k[t, t^{-1}, (1-t)^{-1}] \subseteq k(t)$ , any  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  is written uniquely as  $b = \frac{p(t)}{(t(1-t))^s}$ , with p(t) a polynomial in k[t] with either  $p(0) \neq 0$  or  $p(1) \neq 0$ . Since  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = 1 - t$ ,  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(b) = b$  if and only if  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(p(t)) = p(t)$  or, equivalently, p(t) = p(1-t). This is equivalent to the condition  $p(t) \in k[t(1-t)] = k[(t-\frac{1}{2})^2]$ . Note that the set  $\{(t(1-t))^r : r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  is a k-basis of the polynomial ring k[t(1-t)].

In a similar vein,  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(b) = -b$  if and only if  $p(t) \in (2t-1)k[t(1-t)]$ . Hence, for any  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$\bar{a} = a \iff a \in t^{-1}k[t(1-t), (t(1-t))^{-1}],$$
  
$$\bar{a} = -a \iff a \in t^{-1}(2t-1)k[t(1-t), (t(1-t))^{-1}].$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.12 gives:

**Theorem 2.8.** For any integer s,

- (i)  $V'_s = \text{span} \left\{ u_0 t^{-1} (t(1-t))^s, u_1(t')^{-1} (t'(1-t'))^s, u_2(t'')^{-1} (t''(1-t''))^s \right\}$ is isomorphic, as a module for  $S_4$ , to  $V' = U' \otimes V$ .
- (ii)  $V_s = span \{ u_0 t^{-1} (2t-1)(t(1-t))^s, u_1(t')^{-1} (2t'-1)(t'(1-t'))^s, u_2(t'')^{-1} (2t''-1)(t''(1-t''))^s \}$  is isomorphic, as a module for  $S_4$ , to the standard module V.
- (iii) The decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left( \oplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} V'_s \right) \oplus \left( \oplus_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} V_s \right)$$

is a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$  into a direct sum of irreducible  $S_4$ -modules.

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are direct consequences of Theorem 1.12. Also, as  $u_0$ ,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  form a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ , and

 $\mathcal{A} = k[t, t^{-1}, (1-t)^{-1}] = k[t', (t')^{-1}, (1-t')^{-1}] = k[t'', (t'')^{-1}, (1-t'')^{-1}],$ the result in (iii) follows.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.9.** The symmetric group  $S_3$  acts on  $\mathcal{A}$  with the action of  $\varphi$  and  $\tau$  given by  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$  above. As noticed in [HT07, Lemma 6.3],  $\{1\} \cup \{t^n, (t')^n, (t'')^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a k-basis of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Now, recall that  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$  permutes cyclically t, t' and t'', while  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(t) = 1 - t$ , so  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(2t - 1) = -(2t - 1)$  and  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(2t' - 1) = \tau_{\mathcal{A}}\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(2t - 1) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^2\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(2t - 1) = -(2t'' - 1)$ . Thus, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- $W_n = \{\alpha(2t-1)^n + \alpha'(2t'-1)^n + \alpha''(2t''-1)^n : \alpha, \alpha', \alpha'' \in k, \alpha + \alpha' + \alpha'' = 0\}$  is a two dimensional irreducible module for  $S_3$ ,
- $U_n = k \Big( (2t-1)^{2(n-1)} + (2t'-1)^{2(n-1)} + (2t''-1)^{2(n-1)} \Big)$  is a trivial module for  $S_3$ ,
- $U'_n = k \Big( (2t-1)^{2n-1} + (2t'-1)^{2n-1} + (2t''-1)^{2n-1} \Big)$  is an irreducible module for  $S_3$  isomorphic to the alternating module,

and there appears the following decomposition into a direct sum of irreducible modules:

$$\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (U_n \oplus U'_n \oplus W_n). \qquad \Box$$

The symmetric group  $S_4$  acts on the set of generators  $\{X_{ij} : i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j\}$  of the Tetrahedron algebra. The subspace spanned by these generators is easily shown to split into the direct sum of two non-isomorphic irreducible  $S_4$ -modules (recall that the index 0 is identified to 4):

$$span \{ X_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} + X_{\sigma(2)\sigma(3)} + X_{\sigma(3)\sigma(4)} + X_{\sigma(4)\sigma(1)} : \sigma \in S_4 \}$$
  
=  $k(X_{01} + X_{12} + X_{23} + X_{30}) + k(X_{02} + X_{23} + X_{31} + X_{10})$   
+  $k(X_{03} + X_{31} + X_{12} + X_{20}),$ 

and

$$span \left\{ X_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} - X_{\sigma(2)\sigma(3)} + X_{\sigma(3)\sigma(4)} - X_{\sigma(4)\sigma(1)} : \sigma \in S_4 \right\}$$
$$= k(X_{01} - X_{12} + X_{23} - X_{30}) + k(X_{02} - X_{23} + X_{31} - X_{10})$$
$$+ k(X_{03} - X_{31} + X_{12} - X_{20}).$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{01} + X_{12} + X_{23} + X_{30}) = \frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{01} + X_{23}) + \frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{12} - X_{03})$$
$$= u_2 - u_2t = u_2(1 - t) = u_2(t'')^{-1}.$$

(See the proof of [Eld07, Theorem 1.9].) By applying  $\varphi$  and the fact that  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism that commutes with the actions of  $S_4$ , we obtain:

$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{01} + X_{12} + X_{23} + X_{30}) = u_2(t'')^{-1} =: v_2,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{02} + X_{23} + X_{31} + X_{10}) = u_0t^{-1} =: v_0,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{03} + X_{31} + X_{12} + X_{20}) = u_1(t')^{-1} =: v_1,$$

and, similarly,

$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{01} - X_{12} + X_{23} - X_{30}) = u_2(1+t) =: w_2,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{02} - X_{23} + X_{31} - X_{10}) = u_0(1+t') =: w_0,$$
  
$$\frac{1}{4}\Psi(X_{03} - X_{31} + X_{12} - X_{20}) = u_1(1+t'') =: w_1.$$

Either by looking at Theorem 2.8 or by direct computation, it follows that  $kv_0 + kv_1 + kv_2$  is isomorphic to the  $S_4$ -module V', and that  $kw_0 + kw_1 + kw_2$  is isomorphic to the standard module V. Since 1 + t, 1 + t' and 1 + t'' are not invertible in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\{w_0, w_1, w_2\}$  is not a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ . On the other hand,  $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$  is indeed a basis of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2 \otimes \mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathcal{A}$ . However,  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  fail to generate the whole Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as the following two results show.

**Proposition 2.10.** The k-subalgebra generated by  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is  $v_0 S \oplus v_1 S \oplus v_2 S$ , where S = k[t(1-t), t'(1-t'), t''(1-t'')].

*Proof.* Since equation (2.6) shows that:

$$[v_1, v_2] = [u_1(t')^{-1}, u_2(t'')^{-1}] = -u_0 t'(t')^{-1}(t'')^{-1}$$
  
=  $-u_0 t^{-1} t(t'')^{-1} = -v_0 t(1-t) \in v_0 \mathcal{S},$  (2.11)

and by applying  $\varphi$  one obtains too:

$$[v_2, v_0] = -v_1 t'(1 - t') \in v_1 \mathcal{S}, \qquad (2.12)$$

$$[v_0, v_1] = -v_2 t''(1 - t'') \in v_2 \mathcal{S}, \qquad (2.13)$$

it follows that  $v_0 \mathcal{S} \oplus v_1 \mathcal{S} \oplus v_2 \mathcal{S}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and therefore, the subalgebra generated by  $v_0$ ,  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  is contained in  $v_0 \mathcal{S} \oplus v_1 \mathcal{S} \oplus v_2 \mathcal{S}$ .

Conversely, as

$$t(1-t) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}} t'(1-t') \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}} t''(1-t''),$$
  
$$t(1-t) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{A}}} t(1-t),$$

it follows that S is invariant under the action of  $S_3$ . Also,  $kv_0 + kv_1 + kv_2$ is invariant under the action of  $S_4$ , so the algebra generated by the  $v_i$ 's:  $alg\langle v_0, v_1, v_2 \rangle$ , is invariant too under the action of  $S_4$ . In particular, the action of Klein's 4-group shows that  $alg\langle v_0, v_1, v_2 \rangle = v_0 S_0 \oplus v_1 S_1 \oplus v_2 S_2$ ,

where  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are subspaces of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing the unity 1. Also, the invariance under the action of  $\varphi$  shows that (since  $\varphi(v_i) = v_{i+1}$ )  $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(S_i) \subseteq S_{i+1}$  for i = 0, 1, 2.

Equations (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) give

$$t(1-t)\mathcal{S}_1\mathcal{S}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_0, \quad t'(1-t')\mathcal{S}_2\mathcal{S}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_1, \quad t''(1-t'')\mathcal{S}_0\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2.$$
(2.14)

But

$$[v_1, [v_1, v_2]] = [v_0, v_1]t(1-t) = -v_2t(1-t)t''(1-t'') = -v_2t(1-t'')$$
$$= -v_2t(t')^{-1} = -v_2\frac{1}{t'(1-t')},$$

as  $t'' = (1-t)^{-1}$  and cyclically. Therefore  $\frac{1}{t'(1-t')}S_1^2S_2$  is contained in  $S_2$ . In particular, since 1 is in contained in the  $S_i$ 's, one has:

$$\frac{1}{t'(1-t')}\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2, \quad \frac{1}{t'(1-t')}\mathcal{S}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2.$$
(2.15)

Equations (2.14) and (2.15) give  $t'(1-t')S_2 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq t'(1-t')S_2$ , so  $S_1 = t'(1-t')S_2$  and

$$S_2 = t'(1-t')\frac{1}{t'(1-t')}S_2 \subseteq t'(1-t')S_2 = S_1.$$

Thus,  $S_2 \subseteq S_1$  and, by applying  $\varphi_A$  one obtains  $S_2 \subseteq S_1 \subseteq S_0 \subseteq S_2$ . Therefore,  $S_0 = S_1 = S_2$  and also  $t'(1 - t')S_2 = S_2$ . Now (2.14) shows that  $S_2$  is a subalgebra of A, and  $S_2$  contains the element t'(1 - t'), so it contains too, by invariance under the action of  $S_3$ , the elements t(1-t) and t''(1-t''), which shows that  $S_0 = S_1 = S_2 \supseteq S$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

## **Proposition 2.16.** S is a maximal subalgebra of A.

*Proof.* Recall that S = k[t(1-t), t'(1-t'), t''(1-t'')]. Since

$$t(1-t)t'(1-t')t''(1-t'') = t(t'')^{-1}t't^{-1}t''(t')^{-1} = 1,$$

it follows that  $(t(1-t))^{-1}$ ,  $(t'(1-t'))^{-1}$  and  $(t''(1-t''))^{-1}$  are all contained in  $\mathcal{S}$  and that the subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$  fixed by  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ , which is  $\mathcal{B} = k[t(1-t), (t(1-t))^{-1}]$  is contained in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{B} \oplus \{ s \in \mathcal{S} : \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(s) = -s \}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is an integral domain, the eigenspace of eigenvalue -1 for  $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the free  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\mathcal{B}(2t-1)$ , so that  $\{s \in \mathcal{S} : \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(s) = -s\}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{B}(2t-1)$ . Let us check that  $\{s \in \mathcal{S} : \tau_{\mathcal{A}}(s) = -s\}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{B}(2t-1)(1-t(1-t))$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}(2t-1) = \mathcal{B}(2t-1)(1-t(1-t)) \oplus k(2t-1)$ , this will show that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a codimension one subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and hence maximal.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (t-1)^3 &= (1-2t+t^2)(t-1) = (t-1) + (2-t)t(1-t) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big( (2t-1) - 1 + (3-(2t-1))t(1-t) \Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big( (2t-1)(1-t(1-t)) - 1 + 3t(1-t) \Big), \\ t^3 &= (t-1)^3 + 3t^2 - 3t + 1 = (t-1)^3 - 3t(1-t) + 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big( (2t-1)(1-t(1-t)) + 1 - 3t(1-t) \Big), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$t'(1-t') = t't^{-1} = (1-t^{-1})t^{-1} = \frac{t-1}{t^2} = \frac{(t-1)^3}{(t(1-t))^2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2(t(1-t))^2} \Big( (2t-1)(1-t(1-t)) - 1 + 3t(1-t) \Big),$$

$$t''(1-t'') = t''(t')^{-1} = -\frac{t}{(1-t)^2} = -\frac{t^3}{(t(1-t))^2}$$
$$= \frac{-1}{2(t(1-t))^2} \Big( (2t-1)(1-t(1-t)) + 1 - 3t(1-t) \Big),$$

so  $S = k[t(1-t), t'(1-t'), t''(1-t'')] \subseteq \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}(2t-1)(1-t(1-t))$  holds. Reciprocally,  $\mathcal{B}$  is contained in S, and  $(2t-1)(1-t(1-t)) = 2t'(1-t')(t(1-t))^2 + 1 - 3t(1-t)$  belongs to S. Hence we have  $S = \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}(2t-1)(1-t(1-t))$ , as required.

### 3. Lie Algebras with $S_3$ -Action

Given any normal LRTA, the Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(A, \cdot, -, \delta)$  in (1.10) is endowed with an action of the symmetric group  $S_4$  with its Lie algebra of related triples being fixed by Klein's 4-group. Thus  $\mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  is endowed naturally with an action of  $S_3$ , considered in Proposition 1.14.

This section is devoted to general Lie algebras endowed with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms.

First of all, consider the irreducible  $S_3$ -modules:

- U = ku, the trivial module:  $\sigma(u) = u$  for any  $\sigma \in S_3$ ,
- U' = ku', the alternating module:  $\sigma(u') = (-1)^{\sigma}u'$  for any  $\sigma \in S_3$ ,
- $W = \{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in k^3 : \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0\}$ , the two dimensional irreducible module. Here  $W = kw_+ + kw_-$ , with  $w_+ = (-1, -1, 2)$  and  $w_- = (3, -3, 0)$ .

Note that there are the following obvious isomorphisms of  $S_3$ -modules

$$U \otimes M \simeq M : \ u \otimes m \leftrightarrow m$$

for any  $S_3$ -module M.

Also, as  $S_3$ -modules, U can be identified to  $k(1,1,1) \subseteq k^3$ , so  $U \oplus W = k^3$ (with the natural action  $\sigma((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)) = (\alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \alpha_{\sigma^{-1}(3)})$  for any

 $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in k$ ). The componentwise multiplication in  $k^3$  gives the following homomorphisms of  $S_3$ -modules (with u = (1, 1, 1)):

$$\begin{split} W \otimes W \to W & W \otimes W \to U = ku \\ x \otimes y \mapsto x \bullet y = \pi_W(xy), & x \otimes y \mapsto (x \mid y)u = \pi_U(xy), \end{split}$$
(3.1)

where  $\pi_W$  and  $\pi_U$  denote the projections of  $k^3$  onto W and U respectively. Thus,  $\bullet$  is a commutative product with

$$w_{+} \bullet w_{+} = w_{+}, \qquad w_{+} \bullet w_{-} = -w_{-}, \qquad w_{-} \bullet w_{-} = -3w_{+}.$$
 (3.2)

Note that  $(W, \bullet)$  is the para-Hurwitz algebra attached to the two dimensional composition algebra k[z] = k1 + kz with  $z^2 = -3$ . That is,  $x \bullet y = \overline{xy}$  for any  $x, y \in k[z]$ , where  $\overline{x}$  denotes the natural involution of k[z], given by  $\overline{z} = -z$ . This will be exploited in Section 5.

Also, (. | .) is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form with:

$$(w_+ | w_+) = 2,$$
  $(w_- | w_-) = 6,$   $(w_+ | w_-) = 0.$  (3.3)

Moreover, the  $S_3$ -modules  $\wedge^2 W$  and U' are isomorphic, so with U' = ku', there is the homomorphism of  $S_3$ -modules

with  $\langle . | . \rangle$  the alternating bilinear form with  $\langle w_- | w_+ \rangle = 1$ . Since  $W \otimes W$  is isomorphic, as a  $S_3$ -module, to  $U \oplus U' \oplus W$ , the homomorphisms in (3.1) and (3.4) are, up to scalars, the only possible such homomorphisms. Besides, there are unique, up to scalars, Clebsch-Gordan homomorphisms of  $S_3$ -modules  $\diamond : U' \otimes U' \to U$  and  $\diamond : U' \otimes W \to W$  given by:

$$u' \otimes u' \mapsto u' \diamond u' = -12u,$$
  

$$u' \otimes w_{+} \mapsto u' \diamond w_{+} = 2w_{-},$$
  

$$u' \otimes w_{-} \mapsto u' \diamond w_{-} = -6w_{+}.$$
  
(3.5)

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms. Then by complete reducibility,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a direct sum of copies of U, U' and W. By joining together isomorphic summands, we may write:

$$\mathfrak{g} = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-) \oplus (W \otimes M), \tag{3.6}$$

for vector spaces  $\mathfrak{d}^+$ ,  $\mathfrak{d}^-$  and M.

Note that  $(U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-)$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  consisting of the elements fixed by the action of  $\varphi = (123)$ , and it is graded over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  by means of the action of  $\tau = (12)$ , which is the identity on  $U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+$  and minus the indentity on  $U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-$ . The remaining brackets in  $\mathfrak{g}$  are given, by  $S_3$ -invariance,

by:

16

$$\begin{split} &[u \otimes d_{1}^{+}, u \otimes d_{2}^{+}] = u \otimes [d_{1}^{+}, d_{2}^{+}], \\ &[u \otimes d^{+}, u' \otimes d^{-}] = u' \otimes [d^{+}, d^{-}], \\ &[u' \otimes d_{1}^{-}, u' \otimes d_{2}^{-}] = (u' \diamond u') \otimes [d_{1}^{-}, d_{2}^{-}] = -12u \otimes [d_{1}^{-}, d_{2}^{-}], \\ &[u \otimes d^{+}, w \otimes x] = w \otimes d^{+}(x), \\ &[u' \otimes d^{-}, w \otimes x] = (u' \diamond w) \otimes d^{-}(x), \\ &[w_{1} \otimes x, w_{2} \otimes y] = (w_{1} \mid w_{2})u \otimes d_{x,y}^{+} + \langle w_{1} \mid w_{2} \rangle u' \otimes d_{x,y}^{-} + w_{1} \bullet w_{2} \otimes xy, \\ &(3.7) \end{split}$$

for any  $d^{\pm}, d_1^{\pm}, d_2^{\pm} \in \mathfrak{d}^{\pm}, x, y \in M$  and  $w, w_1, w_2 \in W$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{d}^{\pm} \times \mathfrak{d}^{\pm} &\to \mathfrak{d}^{+}, \quad (d_{1}^{\pm}, d_{2}^{\pm}) \mapsto [d_{1}^{\pm}, d_{2}^{\pm}], \\ \mathfrak{d}^{+} \times \mathfrak{d}^{-} &\to \mathfrak{d}^{-}, \quad (d^{+}, d^{-}) \mapsto [d^{+}, d^{-}], \\ \mathfrak{d}^{\pm} \times M \to M, \quad (d^{\pm}, x) \mapsto d^{\pm}(x), \\ M \times M \to \mathfrak{d}^{\pm}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto d^{\pm}_{x, y}, \\ M \times M \to M, \quad (x, y) \mapsto xy, \end{aligned}$$

are bilinear maps. The skew-symmetry of the bracket in  $\mathfrak{g}$  forces [.,.] to be skew-symmetric on its arguments,  $d_{x,y}^+$  and xy to be skew-symmetric too, and  $d_{x,y}^-$  to be symmetric on x, y.

In this situation consider the trilinear map:

$$\{.,.,.\}: M \times M \times M \to M$$
  
(x, y, z)  $\mapsto \{x, y, z\} = d^+_{x,y}(z) + d^-_{x,y}(z).$  (3.8)

The next result shows that, essentially, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is determined by the triple  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms, so that  $\varphi$  acts nontrivially. With the notations above, for any  $a, b, x, y, z, t \in M$ :

$$(xy)z = \{x, z, y\} - \{y, z, x\}.$$
 (3.10a)

$$\{a, b, xy\} = -\{b, a, x\}y - x\{b, a, y\},$$
(3.10b)

 $\{a, b, \{x, y, z\}\} - \{x, y, \{a, b, z\}\} = \{\{a, b, x\}, y, z\} - \{x, \{b, a, y\}, z\}, (3.10c)$ (that is,  $(M, \{., ., .\})$  is a generalized Jordan triple system [Kan73])

$$\{xy, z, t\} + \{yz, x, t\} + \{zx, y, t\} = 0 = \{x, yz, t\} + \{y, zx, t\} + \{z, xy, t\},$$
(3.10d)

Conversely, let  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  be a nonzero vector space endowed with an anticommutative bilinear product xy and a trilinear product  $\{x, y, z\}$  satisfying the equations (3.10). Consider the vector space

$$\mathfrak{g}(M) = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-) \oplus (W \otimes M), \qquad (3.11)$$

with

$$\mathfrak{d}^+ = \operatorname{span} \{ \{x, y, .\} - \{y, x, .\} : x, y \in M \}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{d}^- = \operatorname{span} \{ \{x, y, .\} + \{y, x, .\} : x, y \in M \}$$

(thus,  $\mathfrak{d}^+$  and  $\mathfrak{d}^-$  are subspaces of the general Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(M)$ ). Then the subspace  $\mathfrak{d}^+ + \mathfrak{d}^- = \operatorname{span} \{\{x, y, .\} : x, y \in M\}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(M)$ , and the vector space  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ , with the bracket defined by (3.7), where  $d_{x,y}^+ = \frac{1}{2}(\{x, y, .\} - \{y, x, .\})$  and  $d_{x,y}^- = \frac{1}{2}(\{x, y, .\} + \{y, x, .\})$ , is a Lie algebra with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms defined by the action of  $S_3$  on U, U'and W.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we may extend scalars if necessary and assume that  $\omega \in k$ , where  $\omega^3 = 1 \neq \omega$ . Then W contains the basis  $\{w_\omega = (\omega^2, \omega, 1), w_{\omega^2} = (\omega, \omega^2, 1)\}$ , whose elements satisfy

$$\varphi(w_{\omega}) = \omega w_{\omega}, \quad \varphi(w_{\omega^2}) = \omega^2 w_{\omega^2}, \quad \tau(w_{\omega}) = w_{\omega^2}$$

Also,

$$w_{\omega} + w_{\omega^2} = w_+$$
 and  $w_{\omega} - w_{\omega^2} = \frac{\omega^2 - \omega}{3} w_-$ 

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$w_{\omega} = \frac{1}{2} \left( w_{+} + \frac{\omega^{2} - \omega}{3} w_{-} \right), \quad w_{\omega^{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( w_{+} - \frac{\omega^{2} - \omega}{3} w_{-} \right),$$

and by equations (3.2) and (3.3):

$$\begin{split} w_{\omega} \bullet w_{\omega} &= w_{\omega^2}, \quad w_{\omega^2} \bullet w_{\omega^2} = w_{\omega}, \quad w_{\omega} \bullet w_{\omega^2} = 0, \\ (w_{\omega} \mid w_{\omega}) &= 0 = (w_{\omega^2} \mid w_{\omega^2}), \quad (w_{\omega} \mid w_{\omega^2}) = 1, \end{split}$$

and

$$\langle w_{\omega} | w_{\omega^2} \rangle = \frac{1}{4} \langle w_+ + \frac{\omega^2 - \omega}{3} w_- | w_+ - \frac{\omega^2 - \omega}{3} w_- \rangle = \frac{\omega^2 - \omega}{6}.$$

Also,

$$u' \diamond w_{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}u' \diamond \left(w_{+} + \frac{\omega^{2} - \omega}{3}w_{-}\right)$$
  
=  $w_{-} + (\omega - \omega^{2})w_{+} = 2(\omega - \omega^{2})w_{\omega}$   
 $u' \diamond w_{\omega^{2}} = \frac{1}{2}u' \diamond \left(w_{+} - \frac{\omega^{2} - \omega}{3}w_{-}\right)$   
=  $w_{-} + (\omega^{2} - \omega)w_{+} = -2(\omega - \omega^{2})w_{\omega^{2}}.$  (3.12)

Take  $\hat{u}' = \frac{1}{2(\omega - \omega^2)}u' = \frac{\omega^2 - \omega}{6}u'$ . Then

$$\hat{u}' \diamond w_{\omega} = w_{\omega}, \quad \hat{u}' \diamond w_{\omega^2} = -w_{\omega^2},$$
$$\hat{u}' \diamond \hat{u}' = -12 \frac{1}{(2(\omega - \omega^2))^2} u = u.$$
(3.13)

Consider also the new alternating bilinear form  $\langle . | . \rangle : W \times W \to k$  given by  $\langle w_{\omega} | w_{\omega^2} \rangle = 1$ , that is,  $\langle . | . \rangle = \frac{6}{\omega^2 - \omega} \langle . | . \rangle$ .

Then the brackets in (3.7) become simpler:

$$\begin{split} &[u \otimes d_{1}^{+}, u \otimes d_{2}^{+}] = u \otimes [d_{1}^{+}, d_{2}^{+}], \\ &[u \otimes d^{+}, \hat{u}' \otimes d^{-}] = \hat{u}' \otimes [d^{+}, d^{-}], \\ &[\hat{u}' \otimes d_{1}^{-}, \hat{u}' \otimes d_{2}^{-}] = u \otimes [d_{1}^{-}, d_{2}^{-}], \\ &[u \otimes d^{+}, w \otimes x] = w \otimes d^{+}(x), \\ &[\hat{u}', w \otimes x] = (\hat{u}' \otimes w) \otimes d^{-}(x), \\ &[\hat{u}_{1} \otimes x, w_{2} \otimes y] = (w_{1} | w_{2})u \otimes d_{x,y}^{+} + \langle w_{1} | w_{2} \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d_{x,y}^{-} + w_{1} \bullet w_{2} \otimes xy, \\ &(3.14) \end{split}$$

for any  $d^{\pm}, d_1^{\pm}, d_2^{\pm} \in \mathfrak{d}^{\pm}, x, y \in M$  and  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . This shows in particular that the vector space  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{d}^-$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded Lie algebra (with even part  $\mathfrak{d}^+$  and odd part  $\mathfrak{d}^-$ ) with the bracket given by the brackets  $[d_1^{\pm}, d_2^{\pm}]$ .

So assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms, decomposition as in (3.6) and Lie bracket as in (3.14). Then for any  $x, y, z \in M$ ,

$$\begin{split} [[w_{\omega^2} \otimes x, w_{\omega^2} \otimes y], w_{\omega^2} \otimes z] &= [w_{\omega} \otimes xy, w_{\omega^2} \otimes z] \\ &= u \otimes d^+_{xy,z} + \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{xy,z}. \end{split}$$

The Jacobi identity then shows that

$$d_{xy,z}^{\pm} + d_{yz,x}^{\pm} + d_{zx,y}^{\pm} = 0,$$

and this proves (3.10d). Now,

$$\begin{split} [[w_{\omega^2} \otimes x, w_{\omega^2} \otimes y], w_{\omega} \otimes z] &= [w_{\omega} \otimes xy, w_{\omega} \otimes z] = w_{\omega^2} \otimes (xy)z, \\ [[w_{\omega^2} \otimes y, w_{\omega} \otimes z], w_{\omega^2} \otimes x] &= [u \otimes d^+_{y,z} - \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{y,z}, w_{\omega^2} \otimes x] \\ &= w_{\omega^2} \otimes d^+_{y,z}(x) - (\hat{u}' \otimes w_{\omega^2}) \otimes d^-_{y,z}(x) \\ &= w_{\omega^2} \otimes (d^+_{y,z}(x) + d^-_{y,z}(x)) \\ &= w_{\omega^2} \otimes \{y, z, x\}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} [[w_{\omega} \otimes z, w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes x], w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes y] &= [u \otimes d_{z,x}^{+} + \hat{u}' \otimes d_{z,x}^{-}, w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes y] \\ &= w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes d_{z,x}^{+}(y) + (\hat{u}' \diamond w_{\omega^{2}}) \otimes d_{z,x}^{-}(y) \\ &= w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes (d_{z,x}^{+}(y) - d_{z,x}^{-}(y)) \\ &= -w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes (d_{x,z}^{+}(y) + d_{x,z}^{-}(y)) \\ &= -w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes \{x, z, y\}, \end{split}$$

since  $d_{x,y}^+$  is skew-symmetric on x, y and  $d_{x,y}^-$  is symmetric. Hence the Jacobi identity shows that  $(xy)z + \{y, z, x\} - \{x, z, y\} = 0$ , which gives (3.10a). Now, for any  $d_1^+, d_2^+ \in \mathfrak{d}^+$  and  $z \in M$ :

$$\begin{split} [[u \otimes d_1^+, u \otimes d_2^+], w_\omega \otimes z] &= [u \otimes [d_1^+, d_2^+], w_\omega \otimes z] \\ &= w_\omega \otimes [d_1^+, d_2^+](z), \\ [u \otimes d_1^+, [u \otimes d_2^+, w_\omega \otimes z]] &= w_\omega \otimes d_1^+(d_2^+(z)), \\ [u \otimes d_2^+, [u \otimes d_1^+, w_\omega \otimes z]] &= w_\omega \otimes d_2^+(d_1^+(z)). \end{split}$$

Hence, the bilinear map  $\mathfrak{d}^+ \times M \to M$ ,  $(d^+, x) \mapsto d^+(x)$  is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}^+$ . In the same vein, one proves:

$$\begin{split} [d^+,d^-](z) &= d^+(d^-(z)) - d^-(d^+(z)), \\ [d^-_1,d^-_2](z) &= d^-_1(d^-_2(z)) - d^-_2(d^-_1(z)), \end{split}$$

for any  $d^{\pm}, d_1^{\pm}, d_2^{\pm} \in \mathfrak{d}^{\pm}$ , so the linear map:

$$\begin{split} \rho: \mathfrak{d}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{d}^- &\to \mathfrak{gl}(M) \\ d^+ + d^- &\mapsto \rho(d^+ + d^-) : z \mapsto d^+(z) + d^-(z), \end{split}$$

is a representation of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{d}^+\oplus\mathfrak{d}^-.$ 

Finally, for any  $d^+ \in \mathfrak{d}^+$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  and  $x, y \in M$ :

$$\begin{split} & [u \otimes d^+, [w_1 \otimes x, w_2 \otimes y]] \\ &= [u \otimes d^+, (w_1 \mid w_2) u \otimes d^+_{x,y} + \langle w_1 \mid w_2 \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{x,y} + (w_1 \bullet w_2) \otimes xy] \\ &= (w_1 \mid w_2) u \otimes [d^+, d^+_{x,y}] + \langle w_1 \mid w_2 \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes [d^+, d^-_{x,y}] + (w_1 \bullet w_2) \otimes d^+(xy), \\ & [[u \otimes d^+, w_1 \otimes x], w_2 \otimes y] \\ &= [w_1 \otimes d^+(x), w_2 \otimes y] \\ &= (w_1 \mid w_2) u \otimes d^+_{d^+(x),y} + \langle w_1 \mid w_2 \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{d^+(x),y} + (w_1 \bullet w_2) \otimes d^+(x)y \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & [w_1 \otimes x, [u \otimes d^+, w_2 \otimes y]] \\ &= [w_1 \otimes x, w_2 \otimes d^+(y)] \\ &= (w_1 \mid w_2) u \otimes d^+_{x, d^+(y)} + \langle w_1 \mid w_2 \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{x, d^+(y)} + (w_1 \bullet w_2) \otimes x d^+(y). \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$d^{+}(xy) = d^{+}(x)y + xd^{+}(y)$$
 and  $[d^{+}, d^{\pm}_{x,y}] = d^{\pm}_{d^{+}(x),y} + d^{\pm}_{x,d^{+}(y)},$  (3.15)

for any  $x, y \in M$  and  $d^+ \in \mathfrak{d}^+$ . In a similar vein, for any  $d^- \in \mathfrak{d}^-$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in W$  and  $x, y \in M$ :

$$\begin{split} & [\hat{u}' \otimes d^{-}, [w_{1} \otimes x, w_{2} \otimes y]] \\ &= [\hat{u}' \otimes d^{-}, (w_{1} \mid w_{2})u \otimes d^{+}_{x,y} + \langle w_{1} \mid w_{2} \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d^{-}_{x,y} + (w_{1} \bullet w_{2}) \otimes xy] \\ &= (w_{1} \mid w_{2})\hat{u}' \otimes [d^{-}, d^{+}_{x,y}] + \langle w_{1} \mid w_{2} \rangle \hat{u} \otimes [d^{-}, d^{-}_{x,y}] \\ &+ (\hat{u}' \diamond (w_{1} \bullet w_{2})) \otimes d^{-}(xy), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & [[\hat{u}' \otimes d^-, w_1 \otimes x], w_2 \otimes y] \\ &= [(\hat{u}' \diamond w_1) \otimes d^-(x), w_2 \otimes y] \\ &= (\hat{u}' \diamond w_1 \mid w_2) u \otimes d^+_{d^-(x), y} + \langle \hat{u}' \diamond w_1 \mid w_2 \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{d^-(x), y} \\ &+ ((\hat{u}' \diamond w_1) \bullet w_2) \otimes d^-(x) y \end{split}$$

$$[w_1 \otimes x, [\hat{u}' \otimes d^-, w_2 \otimes y]]$$
  
=  $[w_1 \otimes x, (\hat{u}' \diamond w_2) \otimes d^-(y)]$   
=  $(w_1 \mid \hat{u}' \diamond w_2) u \otimes d^+_{x,d^-(y)} + \langle w_1 \mid \hat{u}' \diamond w_2 \rangle \hat{u}' \otimes d^-_{x,d^-(y)}$   
+  $(w_1 \bullet (\hat{u}' \diamond w_2)) \otimes xd^-(y).$ 

But notice that  $\langle w_1 | w_2 \rangle = (\hat{u}' \diamond w_1 | w_2) = -(w_1 | \hat{u}' \diamond w_2)$  and  $(w_1 | w_2) = \langle \hat{u}' \diamond w_1 | w_2 \rangle = -\langle w_1 | \hat{u}' \diamond w_2 \rangle$ . Also  $\hat{u}' \diamond (w_1 \bullet w_2) = -(\hat{u}' \diamond w_1) \bullet w_2 = -w_1 \bullet (\hat{u}' \diamond w_2)$ , so we conclude:

$$d^{-}(xy) = -d^{-}(x)y - xd^{-}(y) \quad \text{and} \quad [d^{-}, d^{\pm}_{x,y}] = d^{\mp}_{d^{-}(x),y} - d^{\mp}_{x,d^{-}(y)}, \quad (3.16)$$

for any  $x, y \in M$  and  $d^- \in \mathfrak{d}^+$ .

Since  $d_{x,y}^{\pm} = \mp d_{y,x}^{\pm}$  for any  $x, y \in M$ , equations (3.15) and (3.16) show that, for any  $a, b, x, y \in M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \{a, b, xy\} &= d^+_{a,b}(xy) + d^-_{a,b}(xy) \\ &= d^+_{a,b}(x)y + xd^+_{a,b}(y) - d^-_{a,b}(x)y - xd^-_{a,b}(y) \\ &= -(d^+_{b,a}(x) + d^-_{b,a}(x))y - x(d^+_{b,a}(y) + d^-_{b,a}(y)) \\ &= -\{b, a, x\}y - x\{b, a, y\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus obtaining (3.10b), and

$$\begin{split} [d^+_{a,b} + d^-_{a,b}, d^+_{x,y} + d^-_{x,y}] &= d^+_{d^+_{a,b}(x),y} + d^+_{x,d^+_{a,b}(y)} + d^-_{d^+_{a,b}(x),y} + d^-_{x,d^+_{a,b}(y)} \\ &\quad + d^-_{d^-_{a,b}(x),y} - d^-_{x,d^-_{a,b}(y)} + d^+_{d^-_{a,b}(x),y} - d^+_{x,d^-_{a,b}(y)} \\ &= d^+_{\{a,b,x\},y} + d^-_{\{a,b,x\},y} - d^+_{x,\{b,a,y\}} - d^-_{x,\{b,a,y\}}, \end{split}$$

and this gives (3.10c).

The converse follows by straightforward computations of the Jacobi identity.  $\hfill \Box$ 

The reason behind the next definition will become clear in Theorem 4.1.

**Definition 3.17.** A generalized Malcev algebra is a triple  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$ , where M is a vector space,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$  a bilinear anticommutative product, and  $(x, y, z) \mapsto \{x, y, z\}$  a trilinear product on M, satisfying the conditions in (3.10). The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  in (3.11), with the action of  $S_3$  in Theorem 3.9, is called the *associated Lie algebra*.

Therefore, Theorem 3.9 asserts that there is a generalized Malcev algebra attached to any Lie algebra with  $S_3$ -action, assuming that the cycle  $\varphi$  acts nontrivially.

**Remark 3.18.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms, so that  $\varphi$  acts nontrivially, as in Theorem 3.9, and assume that  $\omega \in k$ , where  $\omega^3 = 1 \neq \omega$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  decomposes as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\omega \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\omega^2}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mu} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \varphi(x) = \mu x\}$  for  $\mu = 1, \omega, \omega^2$ . Then in the decomposition in (3.6) we have:

$$\mathfrak{g}_1 = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-), \quad \mathfrak{g}_\omega \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\omega^2} = W \otimes M.$$

Besides,  $\varphi(w_{\omega}) = \omega w_{\omega}$  and  $\varphi(w_{\omega^2}) = \omega^2 w_{\omega^2}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} = w_{\omega} \otimes M$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega^2} = w_{\omega^2} \otimes M$ . Also, for any  $x, y, z \in M$ :

$$\begin{aligned} [\tau(w_{\omega}\otimes x), \tau(w_{\omega}\otimes y)] &= [w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes x, w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes y] = w_{\omega}\otimes xy, \\ [[w_{\omega}\otimes x, \tau(w_{\omega}\otimes y)], w_{\omega}\otimes z] &= [[w_{\omega}\otimes x, w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes y], w_{\omega}\otimes z] \\ &= [u\otimes d_{x,y}^{+} + \hat{u}'\otimes d_{x,y}^{-}, w_{\omega}\otimes z] \\ &= w_{\omega}\otimes \{x, y, z\}, \end{aligned}$$

because of (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14). Therefore M can be identified to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega}$  $(x \leftrightarrow w_{\omega} \otimes x)$  with:

$$\begin{cases} xy = [\tau(x), \tau(y)], \\ \{x, y, z\} = [[x, \tau(y)], z]. \end{cases}$$

for any  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\omega}$ .

Conversely, given a generalized Malcev algebra  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$ , the associated Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  can be identified to

$$\mathfrak{d}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{d}^- \oplus \nu_0(M) \oplus \nu_1(M),$$

where  $\nu_i(M)$  denotes a copy of M (think of  $\nu_0(x)$  as  $w_\omega \otimes x$  and  $\nu_1(x)$  as  $w_{\omega^2} \otimes x$ , and identify  $u \otimes d^+$  to  $d^+$  and  $\hat{u}' \otimes d^-$  to  $d^-$ ) with Lie bracket given by:

- $[d_1^{\pm}, d_2^{\pm}]$  is given by the usual Lie bracket in  $\mathfrak{gl}(M)$ ,
- $[d^+, \nu_i(x)] = \nu_i(d^+(x)),$
- $[d^-, \nu_i(x)] = (-1)^i \nu_i(d^-(x)),$
- $[\nu_i(x), \nu_i(y)] = \nu_{1-i}(xy),$   $[\nu_0(x), \nu_1(y)] = d^+_{x,y} + d^-_{x,y},$

for any  $d_1^{\pm}, d_2^{\pm}, d^{\pm} \in \mathfrak{d}^{\pm}, x \in M$ , and i = 0, 1.

It can be shown that some of the conditions (3.10d) in Definition 3.17 are redundant:

**Proposition 3.19.** Let  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  be a triple where M is a vector space,  $(x,y) \mapsto xy$  a bilinear anticommutative product, and  $(x,y,z) \mapsto$  $\{x, y, z\}$  a trilinear product on M, satisfying the conditions (3.10a), (3.10b) and (3.10c). Then:

(i) For any  $x, y, z, t \in M$ , the equation

$$\{xy, z, t\} + \{yz, x, t\} + \{zx, y, t\} = 0$$

holds. (This is the first part of (3.10d).)

(ii) If either  $M = M^2$  or  $\{x \in M : xM^2 = 0\} = 0$  hold, then for any  $x, y, z, t \in M$ ,

$$\{x, yz, t\} + \{y, zx, t\} + \{z, xy, t\} = 0$$

holds too. (This is the second part of (3.10d).)

*Proof.* For any  $x, y, z, t \in M$ , equations (3.10a) and (3.10b), together with the anticommutativity of the bilinear product give:

$$\begin{aligned} &((xy)t)z = \{xy, z, t\} - \{t, z, xy\} \\ &= \{xy, z, t\} + \{z, t, x\}y - \{z, t, y\}x. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} ((xy)t)z + ((yz)t)x + ((zx)t)y \\ &= \{xy, z, t\} + \{yz, x, t\} + \{zx, y, t\} \\ &+ \{z, t, x\}y - \{z, t, y\}x + \{x, t, y\}z \\ &- \{x, t, z\}y + \{y, t, z\}x - \{y, t, x\}z \\ &= \{xy, z, t\} + \{yz, x, t\} + \{zx, y, t\} \\ &+ (\{y, t, z\} - \{z, t, y\})x + (\{z, t, x\} - \{x, t, z\})y \\ &+ (\{x, t, y\} - \{y, t, x\})z \\ &= \{xy, z, t\} + \{yz, x, t\} + \{zx, y, t\} \\ &+ ((xy)t)z + ((yz)t)x + ((zx)t)y, \end{aligned}$$

by (3.10a), and this proves item (i).

Now, from equation (3.10c) we have

$$\{xy, z, \{a, t, b\}\} - \{a, t, \{xy, z, b\}\} = \{\{xy, z, a\}, t, b\} - \{a, \{z, xy, t\}, b\},\$$

which, together with (i) gives

$$\{a, \{x, yz, t\} + \{y, zx, t\} + \{z, xy, t\}, b\} = 0,$$

for any  $a, b, x, y, z, t \in M$ , which by (3.10a) yields

$$M^{2}(\{x, yz, t\} + \{y, zx, t\} + \{z, xy, t\}) = 0.$$

Also, equation (3.10b), together with (i), gives

$$\{x, yz, u\} + \{y, zx, u\} + \{z, xy, u\} = 0$$

for any  $x, y, z, t \in M$  and  $u \in M^2$ . Hence item (ii) follows.

**Proposition 3.20.** Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra endowed with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms and assume that  $\varphi$  acts nontrivially. Let  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  be the corresponding generalized Malcev algebra, and let  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  be the associated Lie algebra. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  does not contain proper ideals invariant under the action of  $S_3$  (in particular, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple), then  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  are isomorphic as Lie algebras with  $S_3$ -action.

*Proof.* Write 
$$\mathfrak{g} = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-) \oplus (W \otimes M)$$
 as in (3.6). Then

$$(U \otimes \{d \in \mathfrak{d}^+ : d(M) = 0\}) \oplus (U' \otimes \{d \in \mathfrak{d}^- : d(M) = 0\})$$

is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  invariant under the action of  $S_3$ , and hence  $\{d \in \mathfrak{d}^{\pm} : d(M) = 0\} = 0$ , so it can be assumed that  $\mathfrak{d}^+, \mathfrak{d}^-$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{gl}(M)$ . Besides,

$$(U\otimes d^+_{M,M})\oplus (U'\otimes d^-_{M,M})\oplus (W\otimes M)$$

is the ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by M, which is necessarily the whole  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{d}^{\pm} = d^{\pm}_{M,M}$  and the result follows.

### Example 3.21. (Simple Lie algebra of type $G_2$ )

Assume  $\omega \in k$ , and let E be a three dimensional vector space over k endowed with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form b with trivial determinant (that is det $(b(e_i, e_j))$ ) is a square for any basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  of E). Fix then an orthogonal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  of E with det $(b(e_i, e_j)) = 1$  and consider the trilinear alternating map  $T : E \times E \times E \to k$  determined by  $T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 1$ .

22

Then for any  $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in E$ ,  $T(u_1, u_2, u_3)^2 = \det(b(u_i, u_j))$ . There appears the attached cross product  $v \times w$  determined by  $b(u, v \times w) = T(u, v, w)$  for any  $u, v, w \in E$ , which satisfies the equation

$$(u \times v) \times w = b(u, w)v - b(v, w)v$$

for any  $u, v, w \in E$  or, equivalently,

$$b(x \times y, z \times t) = \begin{vmatrix} b(x, z) & b(x, t) \\ b(y, z) & b(y, t) \end{vmatrix}$$

for any  $x, y, z, t \in E$ .

The split Lie algebra of type  $G_2$  can be described (see [FH91, page 348]) as

$$\mathfrak{g} = E^* \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(E) \oplus E$$

( $E^*$  denotes the dual vector space), with bracket given by the usual bracket in the Lie subalgebra of zero trace endomorphisms  $\mathfrak{sl}(E)$  and by:

$$\begin{cases} [A, e] = A(e), & [A, f] = -fA \text{ (composition of maps)}, \\ [e_1, e_2] = -2e_1 \wedge e_2 \in E^*, \text{ where } e_1 \wedge e_2 : e \mapsto T(e_1, e_2, e), \\ [f_1, f_2] = 2f_1 \wedge f_2 \in E, \\ & \text{ such that } T(f_1 \wedge f_2, e_1, e_2) = f_1(e_1)f_2(e_2) - f_1(e_2)f_2(e_1), \\ [e, f] = 3f(.)e - f(e)I, I \text{ denotes the identity map on } E, \end{cases}$$

for any  $e, e_1, e_2 \in E$ ,  $f, f_1, f_2 \in E^*$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(E)$ .

The symmetric group  $S_3$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by automorphisms as follows:

$$\varphi(e) = \omega e, \ \varphi(f) = \omega^2 f, \ \varphi(A) = A,$$
  
$$\tau(e) = -b(e, .), \ \tau(A) = -A^t,$$

for any  $e \in E$ ,  $f \in F$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(E)$ , where  $A^t$  denotes the adjoint relative to b, that is,  $b(Ae, e') = b(e, A^t e')$  for any  $e, e' \in E$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} = E$  is a generalized Malcev algebra (see Remark 3.18) with

 $xy = [\tau(x), \tau(y)] = 2b(x, .) \land b(y, .) = 2x \times y,$ 

$$\{x, y, z\} = [[x, \tau(y)], z] = -[3b(y, .)x - b(x, y)I, z] = b(x, y)z - 3b(y, z)x,$$
for any  $x, y, z \in E$ .

By Proposition 3.20,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the associated Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(E)$ . Thus, the central simple split Lie algebra of type  $G_2$  is completely determined by the three dimensional generalized Malcev algebra  $(E, xy, \{x, y, z\})$ .

### 4. Lie Algebras with $S_3$ -Action and Malcev Algebras

Some noteworthy examples of generalized Malcev algebras are provided by Malcev algebras (see [Sag61]).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  be a generalized Malcev algebra such that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is skew-symmetric on x and y. Then (M, xy) is a Malcev algebra and

$$2\{x, y, z\} = (xy)z + x(yz) - y(xz)$$
(4.2)

for any  $x, y, z \in M$ .

Conversely, if (M, xy) is a Malcev algebra and a triple product  $\{.,.,.\}$  is defined on M by the formula in (4.2), then  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  is a generalized Malcev algebra.

*Proof.* This result appears essentially, with a very different formulation, in [Mik92, Theorem 1] and in [Gri03]. We include a proof for completeness.

Assume first that  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  is a generalized Malcev algebra such that  $\{x, y, z\}$  is skew-symmetric on x and y. Then (3.10a) gives

$$\begin{aligned} (xy)z + x(yz) &- y(xz) \\ &= (xy)z - (yz)x + (xz)y \\ &= \{x, z, y\} - \{y, z, x\} - \{y, x, z\} + \{z, x, y\} + \{x, y, z\} - \{z, y, x\} \\ &= 2\{x, y, z\}, \end{aligned}$$

so (4.2) holds.

Now, with J(x, y, z) = (xy)z + (yz)x + (zx)y, this is equivalent to

$$2(xy)z - J(x, y, z) = 2\{x, y, z\},$$
(4.3)

for any  $x, y, z \in M$ , and then

$$J(x, y, xy) = 2(xy)(xy) - 2\{x, y, xy\} = -2\{x, y, xy\} \text{ (as } z^2 = 0 \text{ for any } z)$$
  
= 2{y, x, x}y + 2x{y, x, y} (by (3.10b))  
= 2((yx)x)y + 2x((yx)y) (by (4.2))  
= -2J(x, y, xy).

Hence J(x, y, xy) = 0 for any  $x, y \in M$ , so

$$J(x, y, xz) + J(x, z, xy) = 0$$
(4.4)

for any  $x, y, z \in M$ . Now equation (3.10d) gives

$$\{xy, z, x\} + \{yz, x, x\} + \{zx, y, x\} = 0,$$

which, by (4.3) becomes

$$0 = J(x, y, z)x - \frac{1}{2} (J(xy, z, x) + J(yz, x, x) + J(zx, y, x))$$
  
=  $J(x, y, z)x - J(x, y, xz),$ 

because of (4.4). Hence J(x, y, xz) = J(x, y, z)x for any  $x, y, z \in M$ , and this is equivalent to M being a Malcev algebra (see [Sag61]).

Conversely, if (M, xy) is a Malcev algebra and the triple product is defined by (4.2), then for any x, y:

$$\{x, y, .\} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{ad}_{xy} + \left[ \operatorname{ad}_{x}, \operatorname{ad}_{y} \right] \right) = D(x, y),$$

 $(ad_x : y \mapsto xy)$ , which is known to be a derivation of (M, xy) satisfying D(xy, z) + D(yz, x) + D(zx, y) = 0 (see [Sag61]). Hence the conditions (3.10b), (3.10c) and (3.10d) are satisfied. Also,  $2(\{x, z, y\} - \{y, z, x\}) = (xz)y + x(zy) - z(xy) - (yz)x - y(zx) + z(yx) = 2(xy)z$  for any  $x, y, z \in M$  because of the anticommutativity of the product, so condition (3.10a) holds too.

Note that in Example 3.21 there appears a three-dimensional generalized Malcev algebra  $(E, xy, \{x, y, z\})$ , where (E, xy) is a Lie (and hence Malcev) algebra, but where the triple product  $\{x, y, z\}$  is not given by formula (4.2), as it is not even skew symmetric in x and y.

**Remark 4.5.** Let (M, xy) be a Malcev algebra, and define the triple product  $\{., ., .\}$  by (4.2). Then  $\{x, y, .\} + \{y, x, .\}$  is identically 0, so the associated Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  is just

$$\mathfrak{g}(M) = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (W \otimes M),$$

which is a *Lie algebra with triality* in the notation of [Gri03]. This is a Lie algebra with an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms such that the alternating module does not appear in the decomposition into a direct sum of irreducible  $S_3$ -modules.

Besides, if (M, xy) is a Lie algebra, then  $\{x, y, .\} = \frac{1}{2} (\operatorname{ad}_{xy} + [\operatorname{ad}_x, \operatorname{ad}_y]) = \operatorname{ad}_{xy}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}(M) = (U \otimes \operatorname{ad}_M) \oplus (W \otimes M)$ . If the center of M is trivial, then  $\operatorname{ad}_M$  can be identified with M itself, and hence  $\mathfrak{g}(M) \simeq (U \oplus W) \otimes M = k^3 \otimes M \simeq M^3$ . In this way,  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  is seen to be isomorphic to the direct sum of three copies of M, with componentwise multiplication.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 4.6.** With the same conventions as in Remark 4.5, the transposition  $\tau$  provides a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  whose even part is  $(u \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (w_+ \otimes M)$ . Since  $w_+ \bullet w_+ = w_+$ ,  $(w_+ | w_+) = 2$  and  $d_{x,y}^+ = \{x, y, .\} = \frac{1}{2}D(x, y)$ , it follows that this even part is isomorphic to

$$D(M, M) \oplus M$$
,

with product given by the Lie bracket of derivations in D(M, M), and by [d, x] = d(x) and [x, y] = D(x, y) + xy for any  $d \in D(M, M)$  and  $x, y \in M$ . If the center of M is trivial, M can be identified to  $\mathrm{ad}_M$ . Then the Lie multiplication algebra of M is  $L(M) = D(M, M) \oplus \mathrm{ad}_M$  (see [Sag61]), and the map  $D(M, M) \oplus M \to L(M)$ ,  $D(x, y) \mapsto D(x, y)$ ,  $x \mapsto -\mathrm{ad}_x$  is an isomorphism. That is, the fixed subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  by the action of  $\tau$  is isomorphic to the Lie multiplication algebra of M.

**Remark 4.7.** Given a Malcev algebra M, in [PIS04] a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is defined by generators  $\{\lambda_a, \rho_a : a \in M\}$  and relations (see [PIS04, Eq. (1)]):

$$\lambda_{\alpha a+\beta b} = \alpha \lambda_a + \beta \lambda_b, \quad \rho_{\alpha a+\beta b} = \alpha \rho_a + \beta \rho_b, [\lambda_a, \lambda_b] = \lambda_{ab} - 2[\lambda_a, \rho_b], \quad [\rho_a, \rho_b] = -\rho_{ab} - 2[\lambda_a, \rho_b], [\lambda_a, \rho_b] = [\rho_a, \lambda_b],$$

for any  $a, b \in M$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in k$ . Then  $S_3$  acts on this Lie algebra by automorphisms as follows:

$$\tau(\lambda_a) = -\rho_a$$
  
$$\varphi(\lambda_a) = -\lambda_a - \rho_a, \ \varphi(\rho_a) = \lambda_a.$$

It is straightforward to check that the relations are preserved. Actually,  $\tau$  coincides with the automorphism  $\zeta \eta \zeta$  while  $\varphi$  coincides with the automorphism  $\zeta \eta$  in [PIS04, p. 386]. The arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.2

in [PIS04] can be used to prove that the envelope  $\mathcal{L}(M)$  is isomorphic to our  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$ , by means of

$$\rho_a - \lambda_a \mapsto w_+ \otimes a,$$
  
$$\rho_a + \lambda_a \mapsto \frac{1}{3} w_- \otimes a. \quad \Box$$

Recall that given any nonassociative algebra  $(A, \cdot)$ , the generalized alternative nucleus is the subspace

$$N_{alt}(A, \cdot) = \{ a \in A : (a, x, y) = -(x, a, y) = (x, y, a) \ \forall x, y \in A \},\$$

where  $(x, y, z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z - x \cdot (y \cdot z)$  is the associator of the elements x, y, z. The generalized alternative nucleus is a Malcev algebra under the commutator  $[x, y] = x \cdot y - y \cdot x$  (see [PIS04]).

Given a unital algebra with involution  $(A, \cdot, -)$ , it has been shown in Corollary 1.16 that  $\operatorname{lrt}(A, \cdot, -)$  is a Lie algebra with  $S_3$ -action  $(S_3 \simeq S_4/V_4)$ , with no irreducible component isomorphic to the alternating module.

**Proposition 4.8.** Let  $(A, \cdot, -)$  be a unital algebra with involution. Then the generalized Malcev algebra associated to the Lie algebra  $\operatorname{Irt}(A, \cdot, -)$  is isomorphic to  $(M, [x, y], \{x, y, z\})$ , where

$$M = \{ a \in N_{alt}(A, \cdot) : \bar{a} = -a \},$$

and where  $2\{x, y, z\} = [[x, y], z] + [x, [y, z]] - [y, [x, z]]$  for any  $x, y, z \in M$ .

*Proof.* The result in [AF93, Corollary 3.5] shows that

 $\mathfrak{lrt}(A,\cdot,-)$ 

$$= \{ (d, d, d) : d \in \mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot, -) \} \\ \oplus \{ (L_{s_2} - R_{s_3}, L_{s_3} - R_{s_1}, L_{s_1} - R_{s_2}) : s_1, s_2, s_3 \in M, \ s_1 + s_2 + s_3 = 0 \}$$

with M as above. Here L and R denote the left and right multiplications in  $(A, \cdot)$ . This shows that, as vector spaces, there is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{split} \Psi : (U \otimes \mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot, -)) \oplus (W \otimes M) &\to \mathfrak{lrt}(A, \cdot, -) \\ u \otimes d & \mapsto (d, d, d) \\ (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \otimes s & \mapsto (L_{\alpha_2 s} - R_{\alpha_3 s}, L_{\alpha_3 s} - R_{\alpha_1 s}, L_{\alpha_1 s} - R_{\alpha_2 s}) \\ (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0) \end{split}$$

for  $d \in \mathfrak{der}(A, \cdot, -)$ ,  $\alpha_i \in k$ , i = 1, 2, 3, and  $s \in M$ .

Now, given  $w_1 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ ,  $w_2 = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) \in W$  (so  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 0 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3$ ), and  $s, t \in M$ , the arguments in the proof of [AF93, Corollary 3.5] give:

$$\left[ \left( L_{\alpha_{2}s} - R_{\alpha_{3}s}, L_{\alpha_{3}s} - R_{\alpha_{1}s}, L_{\alpha_{1}s} - R_{\alpha_{2}s} \right), \left( L_{\beta_{2}t} - R_{\beta_{3}t}, L_{\beta_{3}t} - R_{\beta_{1}t}, L_{\beta_{1}t} - R_{\beta_{2}t} \right) \right]$$
$$= \frac{1}{3} (D, D, D) + \left( L_{a_{2}} - R_{a_{3}}, L_{a_{3}} - R_{a_{1}}, L_{a_{1}} - R_{a_{2}} \right)$$

where:

$$D = [L_{\alpha_{2}s} - R_{\alpha_{3}s}, L_{\beta_{2}s} - R_{\beta_{3}t}] + [L_{\alpha_{3}s} - R_{\alpha_{1}s}, L_{\beta_{3}s} - R_{\beta_{1}t}] + [L_{\alpha_{1}s} - R_{\alpha_{2}s}, L_{\beta_{1}s} - R_{\beta_{2}t}] = (\alpha_{1}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{3})([L_{s}, L_{t}] + [R_{s}, R_{t}]) - (\alpha_{2}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{3}\beta_{1} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{2})[L_{s}, R_{t}] - (\alpha_{3}\beta_{2} + \alpha_{1}\beta_{3} + \alpha_{2}\beta_{1})[R_{s}, L_{t}].$$

But

$$[L_s, R_t](x) = -(s, x, t) = (t, x, s) = -[L_t, R_s](x),$$

so  $[L_s, R_t] = [R_s, L_t]$ . Besides,

$$\sum_{1 \le i \ne j \le 3} \alpha_i \beta_j = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3)(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3) - \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i \beta_i.$$

Hence:

.

$$D = (\alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 + \alpha_3 \beta_3) ([L_s, L_t] + [R_s, R_t] + [L_s, R_t])$$
  
=  $3(w_1 | w_2) ([L_s, L_t] + [R_s, R_t] + [L_s, R_t]).$ 

Also,  $a_1 = \frac{1}{3}(b_3 - b_2)$  (and cyclically), with  $b_1 = [L_{\alpha_{2s}} - R_{\alpha_{3s}}, L_{\beta_{2t}} - R_{\beta_{3t}}](1)$  (and cyclically). Hence

$$b_1 = (\beta_2 - \beta_3)(\alpha_2 s \cdot t - \alpha_3 t \cdot s) - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\beta_2 t \cdot s - \beta_3 s \cdot t)$$
  
=  $(\alpha_2 \beta_2 - \alpha_3 \beta_3)[s, t],$ 

and

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{3}(2\alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \alpha_3\beta_3)[s, t].$$

Since

$$w_1 \bullet w_2 = \frac{1}{3} \left( 2\alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \alpha_3\beta_3, 2\alpha_2\beta_2 - \alpha_3\beta_3 - \alpha_1\beta_1, 2\alpha_3\beta_3 - \alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 \right),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\Psi(w_1 \bullet s), \Psi(w_2 \bullet t)\right] \\ &= \Psi\left((w_1 \mid w_2)u \otimes \left([L_s, L_t] + [R_s, R_t] + [L_s, R_t]\right)\right) + \Psi\left((w_1 \bullet w_2) \otimes [s, t]\right) \end{aligned}$$

and this shows that the attached generalized Malcev algebra is the triple  $(M, [x, y], \{x, y, z\})$ , where  $\{x, y, z\} = ([L_x, L_y] + [R_x, R_y] + [L_x, R_y])(z)$ . Since (M, [., .]) is a Malcev algebra (see [PIS04]), Theorem 4.1 finishes the proof.

A distinguished example is given by any octonion algebra  $(\mathbb{O}, \cdot, -)$  over k with its standard involution. Since  $\mathbb{O}$  is alternative,  $N_{alt}(\mathbb{O}, \cdot) = \mathbb{O}$ , so  $M = \mathbb{O}_0 = \{x \in \mathbb{O} : t(x) = x + \bar{x} = 0\}$ . Then, it is well-known by the Principle of Triality (see [KMRT98, Chapter VIII] and references therein) that  $\mathfrak{lrt}(\mathbb{O}, \cdot, -)$  is isomorphic to the orthogonal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{O}, n)$ , where n denotes the norm of  $\mathbb{O}$   $(n(x) = x \cdot \bar{x})$ . The action of  $S_3$  decomposes this Lie algebra as

$$\mathfrak{so}(\mathbb{O},n) = (U \otimes \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O},\cdot)) \oplus (W \otimes \mathbb{O}_0).$$

The associated Malcev algebra is the central simple non-Lie Malcev algebra  $\mathbb{O}_0$ . Recall that any central simple non-Lie Malcev algebra appears in this way (see [Fil76] and [Kuz68]).

#### 5. Examples

Other noteworthy examples of generalized Malcev algebras consist of those whose bilinear multiplication is trivial. Equations (3.10) show that these are just the Jordan triple systems (see [Mey72]).

Given a Jordan triple system T with triple product denoted by  $\{x, y, z\}$ , consider the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(T) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(T)$  defined by:

$$\{(d_1, d_2) : d_i(\{x, y, z\}) = \{d_i(x), y, z\} + \{x, d_{3-i}(y), z\} + \{x, y, d_i(z)\} \\ \forall x, y, z \in T, \forall i = 1, 2\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{s}(T) = \operatorname{span}\left\{\left(\{x, y, .\}, -\{y, x, .\}\right) : x, y \in T\right\}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(T) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(T)$  and the Tits-Kantor-Koecher Lie algebra of T is the Lie algebra

$$\mathcal{K}(T) = TKK(T) = T \oplus \mathfrak{s}(T) \oplus \tilde{T},$$

where  $\hat{T}$  is just a copy of T (and given an element  $x \in T$ , its copy in  $\hat{T}$  will be denoted by  $\hat{x}$ ), with bracket given by:

$$[T,T] = [\hat{T},\hat{T}] = 0, \ \mathfrak{s}(T) \text{ is a subalgebra of } \mathcal{K}(T),$$
$$[x,\hat{y}] = (\{x,y,.\}, -\{y,x,.\}),$$
$$[(d_1,d_2),x] = d_1(x), \ [(d_1,d_2),\hat{y}] = \widehat{d_2(y)},$$

for any  $(d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{s}(T)$  and  $x, y \in T$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** Assume  $\omega \in k$  ( $\omega^3 = 1 \neq \omega$ ), and let  $(T, \{x, y, z\})$  be a Jordan triple system. Then  $(T, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  is a generalized Malcev algebra with xy = 0 for any  $x, y \in T$ , and the linear map

$$\mathfrak{g}(T) \to \mathcal{K}(T)$$

$$w_{\omega} \otimes x \mapsto x$$

$$w_{\omega^2} \otimes x \mapsto \hat{x}$$

$$u \otimes d^+ \mapsto (d^+, d^+)$$

$$\hat{u}' \otimes d^- \mapsto (d^-, -d^-)$$

for  $x \in T$ ,  $d^+ \in \text{span} \{ d^+_{x,y} = \frac{1}{2} (\{x, y, .\} - \{y, x, .\}) : x, y \in T \}$  and  $d^- \in \text{span} \{ d^-_{x,y} = \frac{1}{2} (\{x, y, .\} + \{y, x, .\}) : x, y \in T \}$ , is an isomorphism of Lie algebras.

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward from the different definitions involved.  $\Box$ 

### Example 5.2. (Orthogonal Lie algebras)

Let us assume, for simplicity, that the ground field k is algebraically closed, and let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space of dimension  $\geq 3$ , endowed with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form b. Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  be a basis with  $b(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ . The symmetric group  $S_3$  acts naturally by isometries by permuting the first three vectors in this basis and leaving the remaining ones fixed. Then  $\mathcal{V}$ decomposes, as a module for  $S_3$ , into the orthogonal sum of a submodule isomorphic to the two dimensional irreducible module W and a direct sum of n-2 trivial modules. Thus, we may think of  $\mathcal{V}$  as the orthogonal sum  $W \perp E$  with the restriction of b to W given by the bilinear form (.|.) in (3.1) (by invariance under the action of  $S_3$ ), and where  $S_3$  acts trivially on E.

The orthogonal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V}, b)$  is spanned by the maps:

$$\sigma_{u,v}: w \mapsto b(u,w)v - b(v,w)u$$

for any  $u, v, w \in \mathcal{V}$ . Note that these maps satisfy

$$[\sigma_{u,v}, \sigma_{x,y}] = \sigma_{\sigma_{u,v}(x),y} + \sigma_{x,\sigma_{u,v}(y)}$$

for any u, v, x, y.

The action of the symmetric group  $S_3$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  induces an action on  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V}, b)$ , where for any  $\gamma \in S_3$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V}, b)$ ,  $\gamma \cdot f = \gamma f \gamma^{-1}$ . In this way,  $\gamma \cdot \sigma_{u,v} = \sigma_{\gamma(u),\gamma(v)}$  for any  $u, v \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then there is the natural decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(E) \oplus \sigma_{W,E} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(E) \oplus W \otimes E$ , where  $\mathfrak{so}(W)$  acts trivially on E and  $\mathfrak{so}(E)$  acts trivially on W. Besides, the one dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(W)$  is spanned by  $\sigma_{w_{\omega},w_{\omega^2}}$ .

The action of the cycle  $\varphi$  decomposes  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the direct sum of its eigenspaces, where  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{so}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(E)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} = \sigma_{w_{\omega},E}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega^2} = \sigma_{w_{\omega^2},E}$ . (Recall that  $\varphi(w_{\omega}) = \omega w_{\omega}$  and  $\varphi(w_{\omega^2}) = \omega^2 w_{\omega^2}$ ) The attached generalized Malcev algebra is defined then on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega}$  by Remark 3.18. Note that for any  $x, y, z \in E$ :

$$\begin{split} [\tau(\sigma_{w_{\omega},x}),\tau(\sigma_{w_{\omega},y})] &= [\sigma_{w_{\omega^2},x},\sigma_{w_{\omega^2},y}] \\ &= \sigma_{\sigma_{w_{\omega^2},x}(w_{\omega^2}),y} + \sigma_{w_{\omega^2},\sigma_{w_{\omega^2},x}(y)} = 0 \\ [[\sigma_{w_{\omega},x},\tau(\sigma_{w_{\omega},y})],\sigma_{w_{\omega},z}] &= [[\sigma_{w_{\omega},x},\sigma_{w_{\omega^2},y}],\sigma_{w_{\omega},z}] \\ &= [\sigma_{x,y} + b(x,y)\sigma_{w_{\omega},w_{\omega^2}},\sigma_{w_{\omega},z}] \\ &= \sigma_{w_{\omega},\sigma_{x,y}(z)-b(x,y)z} \\ &= \sigma_{w_{\omega},b(x,z)y-b(y,z)x-b(x,y)z}, \end{split}$$

which shows that the attached generalized Malcev algebra can be identified with  $(E, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  with xy = 0 and  $\{x, y, z\} = b(x, z)y - b(y, z)x - b(x, y)z$ for any  $x, y, z \in E$ . This is the Jordan triple system associated to the nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form  $-b|_E$  (see [Mey72]).

Another easy example, which is neither a Malcev algebra nor a Jordan triple system is obtained with similar arguments, but with  $\mathfrak{so}(\mathcal{V})$  substituted by  $\mathfrak{sl}(\mathcal{V})$ :

#### Example 5.3. (Special Lie algebras)

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a vector space of dimension  $\geq 3$  over an algebraically closed field k, and take a basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ . Then  $S_3$  acts by permuting the first three elements of this basis. As in Example 5.2, this decomposes  $\mathcal{V}$  into a direct sum  $\mathcal{V} = W \oplus E$ , where W is the two dimensional irreducible module for  $S_3$  and E is a vector space on which  $S_3$  acts trivially. Identify the general linear Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$  with  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}^*$  ( $v \otimes f \in \mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}^* \leftrightarrow f(.)v \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$ , where f(.)v is the linear map  $w \mapsto f(w)v$  for any  $v, w \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{V}^*$ ). Recall that W is endowed with a unique (up to scalars) nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (.|.) invariant under the action of  $S_3$  (see equation (3.1)) that allows us to identify W and  $W^*$ . Thus:

$$\mathfrak{gl}(W \oplus E) \simeq (W \otimes W^*) \oplus (E \otimes E^*) \oplus (W \otimes E^*) \oplus (E \otimes W^*)$$
$$\simeq \mathfrak{gl}(W) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(E) \oplus (W \otimes E^*) \oplus (E \otimes W^*).$$

The action of  $S_3$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  induces an action of  $S_3$  by conjugation on  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{V})$ , which leaves fixed  $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ . Under this action,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(W \oplus E)$  decomposes as the direct sum of the eigenspaces for  $\varphi$ , and the  $\omega$  eigenspace is, with the identifications above,

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\omega} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ w_{\omega^2} \otimes (w_{\omega^2} \mid .) \right\} \oplus (w_{\omega} \otimes E^*) \oplus (E \otimes (w_{\omega} \mid .)).$$

Besides:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\tau\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\mid.\right)\right),\tau\left(w_{\omega}\otimes f\right)\right] &= \left[w_{\omega}\otimes\left(w_{\omega}\mid.\right),w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes f\right] \\ &= w_{\omega}\otimes f, \\ \left[\tau\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\mid.\right)\right),\tau\left(e\otimes\left(w_{\omega}\mid.\right)\right)\right] &= \left[w_{\omega}\otimes\left(w_{\omega}\mid.\right),e\otimes\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\mid.\right)\right] \\ &= -e\otimes\left(w_{\omega}\mid.\right) \\ \left[\tau\left(\left(e\otimes\left(w_{\omega}\mid.\right)\right),\tau\left(w_{\omega}\otimes f\right)\right] &= \left[e\otimes\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\mid.\right),w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes f\right] \\ &= -f(e)w_{\omega^{2}}\otimes\left(w_{\omega^{2}}\mid.\right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that the attached generalized Malcev algebra can be identified, as a vector space, to  $ka \oplus E \oplus E^*$ , by means of  $a \leftrightarrow w_{\omega^2} \otimes (w_{\omega^2} | .)$ ,  $e \leftrightarrow e \otimes (w_{\omega} | .)$  and  $f \leftrightarrow w_{\omega} \otimes f$ , for any  $e \in E$  and  $f \in E^*$ . Then the anticommutative bilinear product becomes:

$$ae = -e, \quad af = f, \quad fe = f(e)a$$

for any  $e \in E$  and  $f \in E^*$ . If dim  $E \ge 2$ , this is not a Malcev algebra. Also,

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_{\omega^2} \otimes (w_{\omega^2} \mid .), \tau(w_{\omega^2} \otimes (w_{\omega^2} \mid .)] = \begin{bmatrix} w_{\omega^2} \otimes (w_{\omega^2} \mid .), w_{\omega} \otimes (w_{\omega} \mid .) \end{bmatrix} \\ = w_{\omega^2} \otimes (w_{\omega} \mid .) - w_{\omega} \otimes (w_{\omega^2} \mid .),$$

$$[w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes (w_{\omega^{2}} | .), \tau(w_{\omega} \otimes f)] = 0,$$
  

$$[w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes (w_{\omega^{2}} | .), \tau(e \otimes (w_{\omega} | .))] = 0,$$
  

$$[w_{\omega} \otimes f_{1}, w_{\omega} \otimes f_{2}] = 0,$$
  

$$[e_{1} \otimes (w_{\omega} | .), \tau(e_{2} \otimes (w_{\omega} | .))] = 0,$$
  

$$[w_{\omega} \otimes f, \tau(e \otimes (w_{\omega} | .))] = [w_{\omega} \otimes f, e \otimes (w_{\omega^{2}} | .)]$$
  

$$= f(e)w_{\omega} \otimes (w_{\omega^{2}} | .) - e \otimes f,$$
  

$$[e \otimes (w_{\omega} | .), \tau(w_{\omega} \otimes f)] = [e \otimes (w_{\omega} | .), w_{\omega^{2}} | .)]$$
  

$$= e \otimes f - f(e)w_{\omega^{2}} \otimes (w_{\omega} | .),$$

for any  $e, e_1, e_1 \in E$  and  $f, f_1, f_2 \in E^*$ . With our identification of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega}$  with  $ka \oplus E \oplus E^*$ , it follows easily that the nonzero triple products here are given by:

$$\{a, a, a\} = 2a, \ \{a, a, e\} = -e, \ \{a, a, f\} = -f, \\ \{e, f, a\} = -f(e)a = \{f, e, a\}, \\ \{e, f, e'\} = f(e')e + f(e)e', \ \{f, e, e'\} = -f(e')e, \\ \{e, f, f'\} = -f'(e)f, \ \{f, e, f'\} = f'(e)f + f(e)f', \end{cases}$$

for any  $e, e' \in E$  and  $f, f' \in E^*$ .

Before proceeding with more examples, let us show a final connection of the generalized Malcev algebras to other kind of algebraic structures.

**Remark 5.4.** Let  $(M, xy, \{x, y, z\})$  be a generalized Malcev algebra and let  $\mathfrak{g}(M) = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (U' \otimes \mathfrak{d}^-) \oplus (W \otimes M)$ 

be the associated Lie algebra with  $S_3$ -symmetry. Then  $\tau$  becomes an order two automorphism which grades  $\mathfrak{g}(M)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . The fixed subalgebra by  $\tau$ is

$$\mathfrak{g}_0(M) = (U \otimes \mathfrak{d}^+) \oplus (w_+ \otimes M),$$

whose Lie bracket works as follows:

$$\begin{split} & [u \otimes d_1, u \otimes d_2] = u \otimes [d_1, d_2], \\ & [u \otimes d_1, w_+ \otimes x] = w_+ \otimes d(x), \\ & [w_+ \otimes x, w_+ \otimes y] = u \otimes [x, y, .] + w_+ \otimes xy, \end{split}$$

for any  $d, d_1, d_2 \in \mathfrak{d}^+$  and  $x, y \in M$ , where  $[x, y, .] = \{x, y, .\} - \{y, x, .\}$ . This algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0(M) = [M, M, .] \oplus M$$

with  $[M, M, .] = \text{span} \{ [x, y, .] : x, y \in M \} \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(M)$ , and bracket given by imposing that [M, M, .] is a subalgebra, M its natural module, and [x, y] = [x, y, .] + xy for any  $x, y \in M$ . Thus (M, xy, [x, y, z]) is a Lie-Yamaguti algebra (or general Lie triple system in Yamaguti's notation [Yam58]) and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0(M)$  is its standard enveloping Lie algebra (see [KW01]).  $\Box$ 

Another family of examples of generalized Malcev algebras comes from the second line of Freudenthal's Magic Square.

Let K = ke + kz be the quadratic commutative associative algebra with ex = x for any x and  $z^2 = -3e$ . If  $\sqrt{-3} \notin k$ , then K is the field extension  $k[\sqrt{-3}]$ , otherwise K is isomorphic to  $k \times k$ . This is a composition algebra relative to the norm q, that is q(xy) = q(x)q(y) for any  $x, y \in K$ , where q(e) = 1, q(z) = 3 and q(e, z)(= q(e + z) - q(e) - q(z)) = 0. Let  $(K, \bullet, q)$  be the associated para-Hurwitz algebra, where  $x \bullet y = \overline{xy}$  ( $\overline{e} = e, \overline{z} = -z$ ), that is,

 $e \bullet e = e, \quad e \bullet z = -z = z \bullet e, \quad z \bullet z = -3e.$ 

The set of nonzero idempotents of  $(K, \bullet)$  is  $\{e, -\frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}z, -\frac{1}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}z\}$ , and its group of automorphisms  $\operatorname{Aut}(K, \bullet)$  permutes these idempotents. From here it follows that  $\operatorname{Aut}(K, \bullet) \simeq S_3$ , where the action of  $\varphi$  and  $\tau$  are given by:

$$\begin{cases} \tau(e) = e, \ \tau(z) = -z, \\ \varphi(e) = -\frac{1}{2}e + \frac{1}{2}z, \ \varphi(z) = -\frac{3}{2}e - \frac{1}{2}z. \end{cases}$$

Actually, as a module for  $S_3$ , K is isomorphic to the two dimensional module  $W: e \leftrightarrow w_+, z \leftrightarrow w_-$ . Under this linear isomorphism, the multiplication in (3.2) corresponds to the multiplication  $\bullet$  on K, while the symmetric bilinear form (.|.) in (3.3) corresponds to the polar form q(.,.) of the norm q of K.

The triality Lie algebra of  $(K, \bullet, q)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{tri}(K,\bullet,q) \\ &= \{ (d_0,d_1,d_2) \in \mathfrak{so}(K,q) : d_0(x \bullet y) = d_1(x) \bullet y + x \bullet d_2(y) \ \forall x,y \in K \}. \end{aligned}$$

But the orthogonal Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(K,q)$  has dimension 1, being spanned by  $\sigma = \sigma_{e,z} = q(e,.)z - q(z,.)e$ . That is,

$$\sigma: \begin{cases} e \mapsto q(e,e)z - q(e,z)e = 2z, \\ z \mapsto q(e,z)z - q(z,z)e = -6e \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{tri}(K, \bullet, q) = \{ (\alpha_0 \sigma, \alpha_1 \sigma, \alpha_2 \sigma) : \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in k, \ \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0 \}.$$

Under the identification above of W and K, the action of  $\sigma$  on K corresponds exactly to the action of u' on W ( $u' \diamond w_+ = 2w_+, u' \diamond w_- = -6w_-$  because of (3.5)).

The action of  $S_3$  on K induces an action on  $\mathfrak{tri}(K, \bullet, q)$  by

$$\gamma((d_0, d_1, d_2)) = (\gamma d_0 \gamma^{-1}, \gamma d_1 \gamma^{-1}, \gamma d_2 \gamma^{-1}),$$

for any  $\gamma \in S_3 = \operatorname{Aut}(K, \bullet)$  and  $(d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \operatorname{tri}(K, \bullet, q)$ . But  $\tau \sigma \tau = -\sigma$ and  $\varphi \sigma \varphi^2 = \sigma$ , so  $\operatorname{tri}(K, \bullet, q)$  decomposes into the direct sum of two copies of the alternating module. Thus, as  $S_3$ -modules, consider the identification:

$$\operatorname{tri}(K, \bullet, q) \simeq U' \otimes (k^3)_0$$
  
(\alpha\_0 \sigma, \alpha\_1 \sigma, \alpha\_2 \sigma) \over u' \over (\alpha\_0, \alpha\_1, \alpha\_2), (5.5)

where  $(k^3)_0 = \{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in k^3 : \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0\}.$ 

Given any other symmetric composition algebra  $(S, *, \hat{q})$  (see [KMRT98, Chapter VIII] and the references there in), a Lie algebra is constructed in [Eld04] on the vector space

$$\mathfrak{g}(K,S) = \mathfrak{tri}(K,\bullet,q) \oplus \mathfrak{tri}(S,*,\hat{q}) \oplus \left( \oplus_{i=0}^{2} \iota_{i}(K \otimes S) \right),$$

where  $\iota_i(K \otimes S)$  denotes a copy of  $K \otimes S$ , i = 0, 1, 2. The Lie bracket is defined by:

- $\mathfrak{tri}(K, \bullet, , q)$  and  $\mathfrak{tri}(S, *, \hat{q})$  are two commuting Lie subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}(K, S)$  (so  $[\mathfrak{tri}(K, \bullet, , q), \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, \hat{q})] = 0$ ),
- $[(d_0, d_1, d_2), \iota_i(a \otimes x)] = \iota_i(d_i(a) \otimes x), [(\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2), \iota_i(a \otimes x)] = \iota_i(a \otimes \hat{d}_i(x)), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, a \in K, x \in S, (d_0, d_1, d_2) \in \mathfrak{tri}(K, \bullet, q) \text{ and } (\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2) \in \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, \hat{q}),$
- $[\iota_i(a \otimes x), \iota_{i+1}(b \otimes y)] = \iota_{i+2}((a \bullet b) \otimes (x * y))$ , for i = 0, 1, 2 (indices modulo 3),  $a, b \in K$  and  $x, y \in S$ ,
- $[\iota_i(a \otimes x), \iota_i(b \otimes y)] = \hat{q}(x, y)\theta^i(t_{a,b}) + q(a, b)\theta^i(t_{x,y})$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, a, b \in K$  and  $x, y \in S$ , where  $\theta : (d_0, d_1, d_2) \mapsto (d_2, d_0, d_1), t_{a,b} = (\sigma_{a,b}, \frac{1}{2}q(a, b)I R_a L_b, \frac{1}{2}q(a, b)I L_a R_b)$  and similarly for  $t_{x,y}$ . As usual R and L denote right and left multiplications, I is the identity mapping, and  $\sigma_{a,b} = q(a, .)b q(b, .)a)$ .

Depending on the dimension of S being 1, 2, 4 or 8, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(K,S)$  is a form respectively of  $\mathfrak{sl}_3(k)$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}_3(k) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_3(k)$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}_6(k)$  or the simple Lie algebra of type  $E_6$ . That is, we get the second line of Freudenthal's Magic Square [Eld04].

Now, the action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms of K extends to an action of  $S_3$  by automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}(K, S)$ , with the action of any  $\gamma \in S_3$  is given by:

•  $\gamma(\iota_i(a \otimes x)) = \iota_i(\gamma(a) \otimes x)$ , for any  $a \in K, x \in S, i = 0, 1, 2,$ 

- $\gamma((d_0, d_1, d_2)) = (\gamma d_0 \gamma^{-1}, \gamma d_1 \gamma^{-1}, \gamma d_2 \gamma^{-1})$  for any  $(d_0, d_1, d_2)$  in  $\operatorname{tri}(K, \bullet, q),$
- $\gamma((\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2)) = (\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2)$  for any  $(\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2) \in \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, \hat{q}).$

Thus,  $\operatorname{tri}(S, *, q)$  is a trivial module for  $S_3$ ,  $\operatorname{tri}(K, \bullet, q)$  is already known to be isomorphic to two copies of the alternating module by (5.5):  $\operatorname{tri}(K, \bullet, q) \simeq U' \otimes (k^3)_0$ , while  $\bigoplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(K \otimes S)$  is a sum of  $3 \times \dim S$  copies of the two dimensional irreducible module  $W \simeq K$ .

Consider then the natural identification:

$$\mathfrak{g}(K,S) \simeq \left(U \otimes \mathfrak{tri}(S,*,q)\right) \oplus \left(U' \otimes (k^3)_0\right) \oplus \left(W \otimes \left(\oplus_{i=0}^2 \iota_i(S)\right)\right),$$
$$(\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2) \leftrightarrow u \otimes (\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2),$$
$$(\alpha_0 \sigma, \alpha_1 \sigma, \alpha_2 \sigma) \leftrightarrow u' \otimes (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

 $\iota_i(a\otimes x)\leftrightarrow a\otimes\iota_i(x),$ 

for  $(\hat{d}_0, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2) \in \mathfrak{tri}(S, *, \hat{q}), (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (k^3)_0, \sigma = \sigma_{e,z}$  as above,  $i = 0, 1, 2, a \in K \simeq W$ , and  $x \in S$ .

The Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}(K, S)$  translates into a bracket on the right which proves the validity of the following example:

### Example 5.6. (Second line of Freudenthal's Magic Square)

Let  $(S, *, \hat{q})$  be a symmetric composition algebra and let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2} \iota_i(S)$ , be the direct sum of three copies of S. Then  $(M, XY, \{X, Y, Z\})$  is a generalized Malcev algebra, where for  $i, j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $x, y, z \in S$ :

• 
$$\iota_i(x)\iota_{i+1}(y) = \iota_{i+2}(x*y),$$

- $\iota_i(x)\iota_i(y) = 0$ ,
- { $\iota_i(x), \iota_j(y), \iota_k(z)$ } = 0, if  $i \neq j$ ,
- { $\iota_i(x), \iota_i(y), \iota_{i+1}(z)$ } =  $-\iota_{i+1}((y * z) * x),$
- { $\iota_i(x), \iota_i(y), \iota_{i+2}(z)$ } =  $-\iota_{i+2}(x * (z * y)),$

• {
$$\iota_i(x), \iota_i(y), \iota_i(z)$$
} =  $\iota_i(\hat{q}(x, z)y - \hat{q}(y, z)x + \hat{q}(x, y)z)$ .

Notice that, for example, for  $x, y \in S$ ,

$$[\iota_i(e\otimes x),\iota_i(e\otimes y)] = q(e,e)\theta^i(t_{x,y}) = 2\theta^i(t_{x,y}),$$

and this translates to

$$[w_+ \otimes x, w_+ \otimes y] = 2u \otimes \theta^i(t_{x,y}) = (w_+ \mid w_+)u \otimes \theta^i(t_{x,y}).$$

This gives  $\iota_i(x)\iota_i(y) = 0$  and

$$d^+_{\iota_i(x),\iota_i(y)}(\iota_j(z)) = \begin{cases} \iota_i(\sigma_{x,y}(z)) & \text{if } j = i, \\ \iota_{i+1}(\frac{1}{2}\hat{q}(x,y)z - (y*z)*x) & \text{if } j = i+1 \pmod{3}, \\ \iota_{i+2}(\frac{1}{2}\hat{q}(x,y)z - x*(z*y)) & \text{if } j = i+2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Also  $[\iota_i(e \otimes x), \iota_i(z \otimes y)] = \hat{q}(x, y)\theta^i(t_{e,z})$ , and since  $t_{e,z} = (\sigma, -\frac{1}{2}\sigma, -\frac{1}{2}\sigma)$ , this gives  $[w_+ \otimes x, w_- \otimes y] = \hat{q}(x, y)u' \otimes \theta^i(1, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$  (where again  $\theta$  denotes the cyclic permutation of the components). Thus,

$$d^{-}_{\iota_{i}(x),\iota_{i}(y)}(\iota_{j}(z)) = \begin{cases} \iota_{i}(\hat{q}(x,y)z) & \text{if } j = i, \\ \iota_{i+1}(-\frac{1}{2}\hat{q}(x,y)z) & \text{if } j = i+1 \pmod{3}, \\ \iota_{i+2}(-\frac{1}{2}\hat{q}(x,y)z) & \text{if } j = i+2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

The different items in the example above follow at once from these computations.

# References

[All78]	Bruce N. Allison, A class of nonassociative algebras with involution containing the class of lordon algebras Math. Ann. <b>237</b> (1978), no. 2, 133–156
[AF93]	Bruce N. Allison and John R. Faulkner, Nonassociative coefficient algebras for Steinberg unitary Lie algebras I. Algebra <b>161</b> (1993) no. 1, 1–19
[Eld04]	Alberto Elduque, <i>The magic square and symmetric compositions</i> , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana <b>20</b> (2004), no. 2, 475–491.
[Eld07]	<u>, The <math>S_4</math>-action on the Tetrahedron algebra</u> , Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh <b>137A</b> (2007), 1227–1248. arXiv:math.RA/0604218.
[EO07]	Alberto Elduque and Susumu Okubo, <i>Lie algebras with</i> $S_4$ -action and structurable algebras, J. Algebra <b>307</b> (2007), no. 2, 864–890. arXiv:math.RA/ 0508558.
[Fil76]	Valerii T. Filippov, <i>Central simple Malcev algebras</i> , Algebra and Logic <b>15</b> (1976), no. 2, 147–151.
[FH91]	William Fulton and Joe Harris, <i>Representation theory</i> , Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
[Gri03]	Alexander Grishkov, <i>Lie algebras with triality</i> , J. Algebra <b>266</b> (2003), no. 2, 698–722.
[HT07]	Brian Hartwig and Paul Terwilliger, <i>The tetrahedron algebra, the Onsager algebra, and the</i> $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ <i>loop algebra</i> , J. Algebra <b>308</b> (2007), no. 2, 840–863. arXiv:math-ph/0511004.
[IT07]	Tatsuro Ito and Paul Terwilliger, Finite-dimensional irreducible modules for the three-point $\mathfrak{s}_{[2]}$ loop glassing arXiv:0707.2313
[Kan73]	Issai L. Kantor, <i>Models of the exceptional Lie algebras</i> , Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR <b>208</b> (1973), 1276–1279.
[KW01]	Michael K. Kinyon and Alan Weinstein, <i>Leibniz algebras, Courant algebraids, and multiplications on reductive homogeneous spaces</i> , Amer. J. Math. <b>123</b> (2001), no. 3, 525–550.
[KMRT98]	Max-Albert Knus, Alexander Merkurjev, Markus Rost, and Jean-Pierre Tig- nol, <i>The book of involutions</i> , American Mathematical Society Colloquium Pub- lications, vol. 44, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998, With a preface in French by J. Tits.
[Kuz68]	Eugene N. Kuzmin, <i>Malcev algebras and their representations</i> , Algebra and Logic <b>7</b> (1968), no. 4, 48–69.
[Mey72]	Kurt Meyberg, <i>Lectures on algebras and triple systems</i> , The University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Va., 1972, Notes on a course of lectures given during the academic year 1971–1972.
[Mik92]	Pavel O. Mikheev, On the embedding of Mal'tsev algebras into Lie algebras, Algebra i Logika <b>31</b> (1992), no. 2, 167–173, 221.
[Oku05]	Susumu Okubo, Symmetric triality relations and structurable algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. <b>396</b> (2005), 189–222.
[Ons44]	Lars Onsager, Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order- disorder transition, Phys. Rev. (2) 65 (1944), 117–149.
[PIS04]	José M. Pérez-Izquierdo and Ivan P. Shestakov, An envelope for Malcev algebras, J. Algebra <b>272</b> (2004), no. 1, 379–393.
[Sag61]	Arthur A. Sagle, <i>Malcev algebras</i> , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. <b>101</b> (1961), 426–458.
[Yam58]	Kiyosi Yamaguti, On the Lie triple system and its generalization, J. Sci. Hi- roshima Univ. Ser. A <b>21</b> (1957/1958), 155–160.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS E INSTITUTO UNIVERSITARIO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y APLICACIONES, UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, 50009 ZARAGOZA, SPAIN *E-mail address*: elduque@unizar.es

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

*E-mail address*: okubo@pas.rochester.edu