Dispersion forces between an atom and a perfectly conducting wedge

T N C Mendes^(a), F S S Rosa^(b), A Tenório^(c) and C Farina^(d)

(a) Universidade Federal Fluminense - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 24 210-340, Brasil
(b) Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA
(c) Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 22 290-180, Brasil

(d) Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21 941-972, Brasil

Abstract

We consider the interaction between an electrically polarizable atom in its fundamental state and a wedge constituted by two semi-infinite perfectly conducting plates. Using a formalism based on a master equation, we compute the dispersion force on the atom for both retarded and non-retarded regimes.

PACS numbers: 12.20.Ds, 34.20.Cf

1 Introduction

Dispersion forces are generally understood as those that occur between neutral objects that do not possess any permanent electric or magnetic moments, as the van der Waals force between two neutral but polarizable atoms or between a polarizable atom and a wall. These forces originates from the unavoidable quantum fluctuations, which are always present in nature and can not be neglected under certain circumstances. The dominant contribution to the interaction of two neutral but polarizable objects comes from the dipole-dipole interaction, the only one we shall be concerned in this paper. Two distinct distance regimes are worth studying, namely, the non-retarded regime (short distance regime) and the retarded one (large distance regime). The occurrence of a dominant transition wavelength naturally fixes a length scale which allows a characterization for these two regimes. Retardation effects become important as soon as typical distances between the two interacting objects are of the order of the dominant transition wavelength.

Since the seminal papers by London [1] (non-retarded force between two polarizable atoms), by Casimir and Polder [2] (retardation effects on the London-van der Waals force between two polarizable atoms and between an atom and a perfectly conducting plate) and by Lifishitz [3] (who developed a general theory of dispersive van der Waals forces between macroscopic dielectric bodies, valid also for $T \neq 0$) a wide knowledge about dispersion forces has been achieved: higher multiple moments and N-atoms interactions have been considered; non-additivity of these forces have been studied; the oscillatory behaviour of the interaction between an excited atom and a wall has been obtained [4]; application of linear response theory has been done [5], electric and magnetic interactions have been treated in equal footing [6]; atom-cavity interaction has been considered [7, 8]; thermal contribution to the Casimir-Polder force has been found [10, 11]; the importance of the Casimir-Polder force in carbon nanotubes has been recognized [12]; for the computation of the force on a neutral atom near some specific microstructures see C. Eberlein [13] (see also references therein); and many others. Nowadays, dispersion forces are important in many areas of science and finds applications in quite unexpected situations varying from biology, chemistry and physics to engineering and nanotechnology. For a recent discussion on this subject from the point of view of macroscopic QED in linear media, which contains a detailed historical survey as well as a vast list of references, see [14] and references therein. The first experiment conceived to measure directly the atom-body interaction was done by Sukenik *et al* in 1993 [15]. These authors analised the deflection of ground-state sodium atoms crossing a micron-sized parallel-plate cavity (in fact, a wedge with very small angle). The authors conclusively confirmed the existence of retardation effects. There are other experiments as, for example, the Orsay experiment, which measures the force between an atom and a dielectric wall by analyzing the reflection of atoms by evanescent-wave atomic mirrors [16], and the Tokyo experiment, based on quantum reflection by the Casimir-Polder force [17] and even measurements that employ the influence of dispersion forces on BEC [18]

Our purpose is to obtain the dispersion force, in any distance regime, exerted on an electrically polarizable atom in ground state, which is near a wedge formed by two perfectly conducting plates of infinite extent. The atom-wedge system has been considered before by Brevik, Lygren and Marachevsky [19] but these authors computed the dispersion force on the atom only in the retarded regime. They based their calculations in a previous work on the Casimir effect for a perfectly conducting wedge [20] and the inclusion of a dielectric was done in [21]. Since the wedge geometry was employed in the first measurement of the Casimir-Polder force [15] and it may be convenient in future ones, we think it is of some relevance to generalize the results in [19] by providing the calculation of the dispersion force also in the non-retarded regime.

2 Dispersion potential for the atom-wedge system

Consider an atom and a perfectly conducting wedge as indicated in Figure 1, where ρ is the distance between the atom and the corner, chosen as the OZ axis, and ϕ is the polar angle, measured on the OXZ plane. We shall employ a method developed by Dalibard, Dupon-Roc and Cohen-Tannoudji [22], based on a master equation to describe a small system interacting with a large one (refered to as a reservoir). In our case the small system will be the atom, while the large one will be the radiation field submitted to the appropriate boundary conditions imposed by the wedge. This approach provides general expressions for the level shifts and energy exchange rates of the system. Two contributions appear: one from the fluctuation of the reservoir, denoted by fr, and the other from the reaction of the reservoir, denoted by rr.

The level shifts δE_a for an atom in the state $|a\rangle$ interacting with the radiation field in the dipole approximation are give by [23]



Figure 1: Atom-wedge system: the figure on the right shows a transverse cut.

$$\delta E_a = \delta E_a^{rr} + \delta E_a^{fr}, \qquad (1)$$

$$\delta E_a^{rr} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \alpha_{aj}^{\prime(-)}(k) |f_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^j(\mathbf{x})|^2, \qquad (2)$$

$$\delta E_a^{fr} = -\sum_j \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \alpha_{aj}^{\prime(+)}(k) |f_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^j(\mathbf{x})|^2 \left(\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \right), \qquad (3)$$

$$\alpha_{aj}^{\prime(\mp)}(k) = \sum_{b} \frac{\alpha_{ab}^{j} k_{ba}}{2} \left[\mathcal{P} \frac{1}{k + k_{ba}} \pm \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{k - k_{ba}} \right], \tag{4}$$

with the electric field being $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x},t) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \left(\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} (\mathbf{x}) e^{i\omega_k t} a_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{\dagger} + \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^* (\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\omega_k t} a_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \right)$, where $\omega_k = kc$, $[a_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}, a_{\mathbf{k}'\lambda'}] = [a_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^{\dagger}, a_{\mathbf{k}'\lambda'}^{\dagger}] = 0$, $[a_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}, a_{\mathbf{k}'\lambda'}^{\dagger}] = \delta_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'}\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$, \mathcal{P} means the principal part, $k_{ab}c$ is the transition frequency between states $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$, $\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}\rangle$ is the average number of photons in the mode $\mathbf{k}\lambda$ and coefficients α_{ab}^{j} are defined as $\alpha_{ab}^{j} = -2|\langle a|d_j|b\rangle|^2/(\hbar c k_{ab})$, with d_j being the *j*-component of its dipole moment operator $\mathbf{d} = -e\mathbf{r} = -e(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$. For convenience, we first consider the field modes of a wedge with a coaxial cylindrical shell of radius Rwhich will be taken as infinite at the appropriate moment. The transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes of the quantized electric field for this set up are borrowed from Saharian's paper [24] and are given by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k},m,n}^{\mathrm{TM}}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_{qm} \left(\gamma_{|m|,n} R \right) \left(\gamma_{|m|,n}^2 \hat{z} - \imath k_z \nabla_t \right) J_{q|m|} \left(\gamma_{|m|,n} \rho \right) \sin\left(q|m|\phi\right) e^{-\imath (k_z z - \omega_k t)}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k},m,n}^{\mathrm{TE}}\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = \imath k \beta_{qm} \left(\eta_{|m|,n} R \right) \hat{z} \times \nabla_t \left[J_{q|m|} \left(\eta_{|m|,n} \rho \right) \cos\left(q|m|\phi\right) e^{-\imath (k_z z - \omega_k t)} \right]$$

where $J_{q|m|}(\gamma_{|m|,n}R) = J'_{q|m|}(\eta_{|m|,n}R) = 0$, $X_{\nu}(x) = \left[J'^{2}_{\nu}(x) + \left(1 - \frac{\nu^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)J^{2}_{\nu}(x)\right]^{-1}$, $\beta_{qm}^{2}(x) = \frac{2q\hbar c}{\pi k}X_{qm}(x)$, $\nabla_{t} = \hat{\rho}\partial_{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho}\hat{\phi}\partial_{\phi}$, $q = \pi/\phi_{0}$, $k^{2} = \kappa_{mn\lambda}^{2} + k_{z}^{2}$, $\kappa_{mn1} = \gamma_{|m|,n}$ and $\kappa_{mn2} = \eta_{|m|,n}$. The atom-boundary interaction is given by the position-dependent part of the energy shift induced by the atom-field interaction (with appropriate BC). Computing first the (rr) contribution, we have

$$\delta E_{a}^{rr} = -\frac{q\hbar c}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_{z} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\lambda,\sigma} \frac{\kappa_{mn\lambda}^{4} X_{qm} \left(\kappa_{mn\lambda} R\right)}{\sqrt{\kappa_{mn\lambda}^{2} + k_{z}^{2}}} \alpha_{a\sigma}^{\prime \left(-\right)} \left(\sqrt{\kappa_{mn\lambda}^{2} + k_{z}^{2}} \right) Q_{qmn}^{\sigma,\lambda} \left(\rho,\phi\right)$$

where $\sigma = \rho, \phi z$, the index $\lambda = 1, 2$ reffers to TM and TE modes respectively and

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{qmn}^{\rho,1}(\rho,\phi) &= \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa_{mn1}^2} J_{qm}^{\prime\,2}(\kappa_{mn1}\rho) \sin^2(qm\phi) , \\ Q_{qmn}^{\phi,1}(\rho,\phi) &= \frac{k_z^2 q^2 m^2}{\kappa_{mn1}^4 \rho^2} J_{qm}^2(\kappa_{mn1}\rho) \cos^2(qm\phi) , \\ Q_{qmn}^{z,1}(\rho,\phi) &= J_{qm}^2(\kappa_{mn1}\rho) \sin^2(qm\phi) , \quad Q_{qmn}^{z,2}(\rho,\phi) = 0 , \\ Q_{qmn}^{\phi,2}(\rho,\phi) &= \left(1 + \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa_{mn2}^2}\right) J_{qm}^{\prime\,2}(\kappa_{mn2}\rho) \cos^2(qm\phi) , \\ Q_{qmn}^{\rho,2}(\rho,\phi) &= \left(1 + \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa_{mn2}^2}\right) \frac{q^2 m^2}{\kappa_{mn2}^2 \rho^2} J_{qm}^2(\kappa_{mn2}\rho) \sin^2(qm\phi) , \end{aligned}$$

Using the generalized Abel-Plana summation formula and taking $R \to \infty$, we get [24]

$$\begin{split} \delta E_a^{rr} &= -\frac{q\hbar c}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\kappa^3 d\kappa}{\sqrt{k_z^2 + \kappa^2}} \sum_{\sigma} \alpha_{a\sigma}^{\prime(-)} \left(\sqrt{k_z^2 + \kappa^2}\right) S_{\kappa q m}^{\sigma}\left(\rho,\phi\right) \ ,\\ S_{\kappa q m}^z\left(\rho,\phi\right) &= J_{q m}^2\left(\kappa\rho\right) \sin^2\left(q m \phi\right) \ ,\\ S_{\kappa q m}^{\phi}\left(\rho,\phi\right) &= \left[\left(1 + \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa^2}\right) J_{q m}^{\prime\,2}\left(\kappa\rho\right) + \frac{k_z^2 q^2 m^2}{\kappa^4 \rho^2} J_{q m}^2\left(\kappa\rho\right)\right] \cos^2\left(q m \phi\right) \ , \end{split}$$

$$S^{\rho}_{\kappa qm}\left(\rho,\phi\right) = \left[\frac{k_{z}^{2}}{\kappa^{2}}J^{\prime\,2}_{qm}\left(\kappa\rho\right) + \left(1 + \frac{k_{z}^{2}}{\kappa^{2}}\right)\frac{q^{2}m^{2}}{\kappa^{2}\rho^{2}}J^{2}_{qm}\left(\kappa\rho\right)\right]\sin^{2}\left(qm\phi\right)$$

There is no need for a cut off function: the polarizabity guarantees the convergence of the sums for the position-dependent part of $\delta E_a^{(rr)}$. It can be shown by explicit calculations that the (fr) contribution is obtained by $\alpha_{a\sigma}^{\prime(-)}(k) \longrightarrow \alpha_{a\sigma}^{\prime(+)}(k) (2\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \rangle + 1)$. A futher simplification is possible only for integer values of q, (q = 1, 2, 3...). Using a generalization of the addition theorem for Bessel functions [25],

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{qm}\left(\kappa\rho\right) Z_{\nu+qm}\left(\kappa\rho\right) e^{2iqm\phi} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left(-1\right)^{\nu/2} e^{-i\nu\psi_l} Z_{\nu}\left(2\kappa\rho\sin\psi_l\right) \,,$$

where Z_{ν} is a solution of Bessel's equation and $\psi_l = \phi + \vartheta_l$, $\vartheta_l = \pi l/q$, the sums over *m* can be evaluated. Passing to spherical coordinates ($\kappa = k \sin \theta$, $k_z = k \cos \theta$) and making use of identities involving Bessel functions, we get

$$\begin{split} \delta E_{a,z}^{rr} &= \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^3 \alpha_{az}^{\prime(-)}(k) \Big[G_{\parallel} \left(2k\rho \sin \psi_l \right) - G_{\parallel} \left(2k\rho \sin \vartheta_l \right) \Big] \,, \\ \delta E_{a,\phi}^{rr} &= \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^3 \alpha_{a\phi}^{\prime(-)}(k) \Big[H_{\phi} \left(2k\rho, \psi_l \right) + H_{\phi} \left(2k\rho, \vartheta_l \right) \Big] \,, \\ \delta E_{a,\rho}^{rr} &= \frac{\hbar c}{2\pi} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \int_0^\infty dk \, k^3 \alpha_{a\rho}^{\prime(-)}(k) \Big[H_{\rho} \left(2k\rho, \psi_l \right) - H_{\rho} \left(2k\rho, \vartheta_l \right) \Big] \,, \end{split}$$

where we defined

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_{\perp}(x) & = & \frac{\cos x}{x^2} - \frac{\sin x}{x^3} \; ; \quad G_{\parallel}(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x} + \frac{\cos x}{x^2} - \frac{\sin x}{x^3} \\ H_{\phi}\left(x,\psi\right) & = & G_{\parallel}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\sin^2\psi + 2G_{\perp}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\cos^2\psi \; , \\ H_{\rho}\left(x,\psi\right) & = & G_{\parallel}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\cos^2\psi + 2G_{\perp}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\sin^2\psi \; . \end{array}$$

We still need to evaluate the k-integrals. From the expressions for the $\alpha'_{a\sigma}(k)$, these integrals have the form $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(\pm)}(\omega, f) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \, f(k) \left[\mathcal{P} \frac{1}{k+\omega} \mp \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{k-\omega} \right] \mathrm{e}^{\imath k \lambda}$, where $\omega > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a real parameter. For an analytical function f satisfying, in the whole complex plane, the condition $|f(k)| \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda |\mathrm{Im}[k]|} \to 0$, for $|\mathrm{Im}[k]| \to \infty$, we get $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}^{(\pm)}(\omega, f) = \mp \imath \pi f(\omega) \mathrm{e}^{\imath \omega \lambda} + \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{k+\omega} \left[f(k) \mathrm{e}^{\imath k \lambda} \mp f(-k) \mathrm{e}^{-\imath k \lambda} \right]$. Hence, the (rr) contributions to the potential for each polarizations are given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_{a,z}^{rr}\left(\rho,\phi,q\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{b} \hbar \Gamma_{a\to b}^{z} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} U_{\parallel}^{rr} \left(2|k_{ba}|\rho\sin\left(\phi+\pi l/q\right) \right) \ - \\ &- \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} U_{\parallel}^{rr} \left(2|k_{ba}|\rho\sin\left(\pi l/q\right) \right) \right\}, \\ V_{a,\phi}^{rr}\left(\rho,\phi,q\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{b} \hbar \Gamma_{a\to b}^{\phi} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} W_{rr}^{\phi} \left(2|k_{ba}|\rho,\phi+\pi l/q \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} W_{rr}^{\phi} \left(2|k_{ba}|\rho,\pi l/q \right) \right\} \\ V_{a,\rho}^{rr}\left(\rho,\phi,q\right) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{b} \hbar \Gamma_{a\to b}^{\rho} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} W_{rr}^{\rho} \left(2|k_{ba}|\rho,\phi+\pi l/q \right) - \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} W_{rr}^{\phi} \left(2|k_{ba}|\rho,\pi l/q \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_{a\to b}^{\sigma} = \frac{4}{\hbar} |\langle a|d_{\sigma}|b \rangle|^2 |k_{ba}|^3$ and the functions in last equations are defined as

$$U_{\perp}^{rr}(x) = -\frac{1}{2x^3} \left(\cos x + x \sin x\right) ; \quad U_{\parallel}^{rr}(x) = -\frac{1}{2x^3} \left(\cos x + x \sin x - x^2 \cos x\right) ,$$

$$\begin{split} W^{\phi}_{rr}\left(x,\psi\right) &= U^{rr}_{\parallel}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\sin^{2}\psi + 2U^{rr}_{\perp}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\cos^{2}\psi\,,\\ W^{\rho}_{rr}\left(x,\psi\right) &= U^{rr}_{\parallel}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\cos^{2}\psi + 2U^{rr}_{\perp}\left(x\sin\psi\right)\sin^{2}\psi\,. \end{split}$$

The (fr) contribution to the level shift, δE_a^{fr} , can be obtained by a completely analogous procedure. This contribution depends on the state of the field. Here, we consider the field in the vacuum state, $\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \rangle = 0$. In this particular case, it can be shown that the (fr) dispersion potential, $V_{a,\sigma}^{fr}(\rho, \phi)$, may be obtained from previous equations by the shortcut:

$$U_{\parallel(\perp)}^{rr}(x) \longrightarrow U_{\parallel(\perp)}^{fr}(x) = U_{\parallel(\perp)}(x) - U_{\parallel(\perp)}^{rr}(x) , \qquad \sum_b \longrightarrow \sum_{b>a} -\sum_{b$$

where functions U_{\perp} and U_{\parallel} are defined by (γ is the Euler-Mascheronni constant)

$$\begin{split} U_{\perp}(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi x^3} \left[-\mathcal{F}(x) + x\mathcal{G}(x) \right] \; ; \quad U_{\parallel}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi x^3} \left[\left(x^2 - 1 \right) \mathcal{F}(x) + x\mathcal{G}(x) - x \right] \\ \mathcal{F}(x) &= \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t \; \frac{\sin t}{t + x} \; = \; \mathrm{Ci}\left(x \right) \sin x - \mathrm{si}\left(x \right) \cos x \; , \\ \mathcal{G}\left(x \right) \; = \; -\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}t \; \frac{\cos t}{t + x} \; = \; \mathrm{Ci}\left(x \right) \cos x + \mathrm{si}\left(x \right) \sin x \; = \; \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \mathcal{F}\left(x \right) \; , \\ \mathrm{si}\left(x \right) \; = \; -\frac{\pi}{2} + \int_0^x \mathrm{d}t \; \frac{\sin t}{t} \; , \quad \mathrm{Ci}\left(x \right) = \gamma + \ln x + \int_0^x \mathrm{d}t \; \frac{\cos t - 1}{t} \; . \end{split}$$

The full dispersive potential of an atom in a state $|a\rangle$ is given by the sum of both (rr) and (fr) contributions, so that $V_a(\rho, \phi, q) = \sum_{\sigma} \left(V_{a,\sigma}^{rr}(\rho, \phi, q) + V_{a,\sigma}^{fr}(\rho, \phi, q) \right)$. Let us, then, compute the van der Waals potential between the atom and the wedge. For this case, the atom is in its ground state $(a \to g)$, and assuming spherical symmetry, $|\langle g|d_z|e\rangle|^2 = |\langle g|d_\phi|e\rangle|^2 = |\langle g|d_\phi|e\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{3}|\langle g|\mathbf{d}|e\rangle|^2$, where the index *e* specifies an excited state, we finally obtain

$$V_{g}(\rho,\phi,q) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{e} \hbar \Gamma_{e\to g}^{\text{spt}} \Biggl\{ \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} U \Bigl(2k_{eg}\rho \sin(\phi + \pi l/q) \Bigr) - \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \Bigl[U_{\parallel} \Bigl(2k_{eg}\rho \sin(\pi l/q) \Bigr) \cos^{2}(\pi l/q) - U_{\perp} \Bigl(2k_{eg}\rho \sin(\pi l/q) \Bigr) \cos(2\pi l/q) \Bigr] \Biggr\}$$
(5)

where $U(x) = U_{\parallel}(x) + U_{\perp}(x)$ and $\Gamma_{e \to g}^{\text{spt}} = \frac{4}{3\hbar} |\langle g | \mathbf{d} | e \rangle|^2 |k_{eg}|^3$. This is the main result of the present paper. As a check of our result, let us reobtain from the above equation a few known results existent in the literature.

Let us start with the atom-wall interaction. This case corresponds to take $\phi_0 = \pi$ (q = 1). For any distance regime, we get

$$V_g\left(\rho,\phi,q=1\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_e \hbar \Gamma_{e \to g}^{\text{spt}} U\left(2k_{eg}z\right) \quad \left(z := \rho \sin \phi\right),$$

which was shown in [23] to yield the well known results in the literature. Further, in order to particularize the above result fo the non-retarded and retarded regimes we must take the appropriate approximations of functions U_{\perp} and U_{\parallel} . For the former (short distances) we have $U_{\perp}(x) \simeq U_{\parallel}(x) \simeq -\frac{1}{2x^3}$ while for the latter (large distances), we have $U_{\parallel}(x) \simeq 2U_{\perp}(x) \simeq -\frac{4}{\pi x^4}$. Using these behaviours for these functions, the non-retarded and retarded atom-wall interactions are given, respectively, by

$$V_g^{NR}(z) = -\frac{\hbar c}{8z^3} \sum_e \alpha_{ge} k_{eg} ; \qquad V_g^R(z) = -\frac{3\hbar c \,\alpha(0)}{8\pi z^4} .$$

where we used that $\Gamma_{e \to g}^{\text{spt}} = 2\alpha_{ge}c|k_{eg}|^4$.

For an atom in the region between two parallel conducting plates, discussed in detail by Barton [7], we were not able to establish an equivalence analytically. However, we have checked numerically that both results are in complete agreement.

Now, as our last particular case, we reobtain the retarded potential for the atomwedge system calculated by Brevik, Lygren and Maracheviski [19]. For large distances, our general result (5) takes the form (this is called the Casimir-Polder regime)

$$V_{CP}(\rho,\phi,q) = \frac{\hbar}{32\pi\rho^4} \sum_{e} \frac{\Gamma_{e\to g}^{\text{spt}}}{|k_{eg}|^4} \left[-6\sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \sin^{-4}\left(\phi + \pi l/q\right) + 2\sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \sin^{-4}\left(\pi l/q\right) \right] \,.$$

Previous summations can be evaluated and the previous result can be cast into the form

$$V_{CP}(\rho,\phi,q) = \frac{\hbar c \,\alpha(0)}{4\pi\rho^4} \left[\frac{1}{90} \left(q^2 - 1 \right) \left(q^2 + 11 \right) - \frac{q^2}{\sin^2 q\phi} \left(\frac{3q^2}{2\sin^2 q\phi} + 1 - q^2 \right) \right] \,,$$

considered now valid for every value of q, in perfect agreement with [19].



Figure 2: $V_g(\rho, \varphi = 0, q)$ versus ρ for different values of $q \neq (\neq \text{ angles of the wedge})$. For increasing q (closing the wedge) the interaction become stronger, as expected.

Figure 2 shows the variation of $V(\rho, \phi, q)$, given by equation (5), in terms of ρ for fixed ϕ , taken conveniently as $\phi = \phi_0/2$ ($\varphi = 0$) and for different values of q. The full potential is represented by the strong lines: the solid one corresponding to $\phi_0 = \pi/2$, the dashed one to $\phi_0 = \pi/3$ and the dotted-dashed one to $\phi_0 = \pi/5$. The component of the force along $\hat{\rho}$, denoted by F_{ρ} , is attractive. However, looking at equation (5), we note that the last two contributions are independent of ϕ and with a repulsive character. In other words, no matter the value of ϕ , there is always a repulsive corner contribution to the force F_{ρ} , represented in Figure 2 by the thin lines.

Figure 3 shows $F_{\rho} = -\frac{\partial V_g}{\partial \rho}$ in terms of ϕ for fixed ρ and for different values of q. Note that the modulus of F_{ρ} increases as we get closer and closer to each plate. Even for $\phi = \phi_0/2$ ($\varphi = 0$), i.e., for points equidistant of both plates forming the wedge, F_{ρ} is not zero, though it assumes minimum values at these points. Observe, also, that for $\varphi = 0$ the modulus of F_{ρ} increases as the angle between the plates diminishes. Naively, one could think this is a paradoxal result, since the plates are becoming more and more parallel to each other and for an atom inside two parallel plates there is no component of the force parallel to the plates. However, to obtain correctly the limit of an atom



Figure 3: $F_{\rho}(\rho, \varphi, q)$ with fixed ρ versus φ/ϕ_0 for different values of q and ρ .

inside two parallel plates one must not only diminish the angle between the plates but also take ρ to infinite, with the constraint $\rho\phi_0 = a$, a being the distance between the parallel plates. A simple but careful analysis shows that, in fact, $F_{\rho}(\rho, \varphi = 0, q)$ increases if we mantain ρ fixed and finite and increase q (close the wedge).



Figure 4: $F_{\phi}(\rho, \varphi, q)$ with fixed ρ versus φ/ϕ_0 for different values of q and ρ .

Figure 4 shows $F_{\phi} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial V_g}{\partial \phi}$ in terms of ϕ for fixed ρ and for different values of q. In contrast to what happens to F_{ρ} , $F_{\phi} = 0$ for $\varphi = 0$. Besides, $F_{\phi} < 0$ for negative φ and $F_{\phi} > 0$ for positive φ : except for $\varphi = 0$, the atom is attracted to the nearest plate (apart from a F_{ρ} component pointing to the corner)

3 Final comments and perspectives

Using an approach based on a master equation, we computed the dispersion force on an electrically polarizable atom near a perfectly conducting wedge. Our result is valid for both retarded and non-retarded regimes, generalizing in this way a previous result of the literature [19], which is valid only in the retarded regime. We should emphasize, however, that in the non-retarded regime our expression is valid only for $\phi_0 = \pi/q$, with q a positive integer number and ϕ_0 being the angle of the wedge. We found a full ρ -component of the force which is attractive. There is, however, a ϕ -independent repulsive contribution for F_{ρ} , which we interpreted as a corner contribution. A numerical analysis should be made to see if the our results can be of some relevance in future experiments using this geometry. The method employed here can be applied in the computation of resonant potentials (excited atoms), but this will be left for a future work. Our formalism can still be used in the computation of how the spontaneous emission rate of an atom is altered by the presence of boundaries as the perfectly conducting wedge studied here.

Acknowledgments:FSSR and CF are very indebted to G. Barton, R. Passante and R. Rodrigues for valuable criticism and comments. FSSR would like to thank M. Moriconi for the kind hospitality at Universidade Federal Fluminense, where part of this work was done, and also FAPERJ for partial financial support. TCNM acknowledges FAPERJ for financial support and CF thanks CNPq for partial financial support.

References

- [1] London F 1930 Z. Physik 63 245
- [2] Casimir H B G and Polder D 1948 Phys. Rev. 73 360
- [3] Lifishitz E M 1956 Sov. Phys. JETP 2 73
- [4] Morawitz H 1969 Phys. Rev. 187 1792
- [5] McLachlan A D 1963 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 271 387
- [6] Feinberg G and Sucher J 1970 Phys. Rev. A 2 (6) 2395
- [7] Barton G 1987 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 410 141
- [8] Meschede D Jhe W and Hinds E A 1990 Phys. Rev. A 41 1587
- [9] Goedecke G H and Wood R C 1999 Phys. Rev. A 60 2577-80
- [10] Lin Y J, Teper I, Ching C and Vuletic V 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 050404
- [11] Antezza A, Pitaevskii L P and Stringari S 2004 Phys. Rev. A 70 053619
- [12] Baglov E V, Klimchitskaya G L and Mostepanenko V M 2005 Phys. Rev. B 71 235401
- [13] Eberlein C and Zietal R 2006 arXiv:qunt-ph/0611104v1
- [14] Buhmann S Y and Welsch D -G 2007 Prog. Quant. Elect. 31 51
- [15] Sukenik C I Boshier M G Cho D Sandoghdar V and Hinds E A 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 560
- [16] Landragin A, Courtois J-Y, Labeyrie G, Vansteenkiste N, Westbrook C I and Aspect A 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 1464
- [17] Shimizu F 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 987
- [18] Obrecht J M, Wild R J, Antezza M, Pitaevskii L P, Stringari S and Cornell E A 2007 Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 063201
- [19] Brevik I, Lygren M and Marachevsky V N 1998 Ann. Phys. (NY) 267 134
- [20] Brevik I, Lygren M 1996 Ann. Phys. (NY) 251 157
- [21] Brevik I and Pettersen K 2001 Ann. Phys. (NY) 291 267
- [22] Dalibard J Dupon-Roc J and Cohen-Tannoudji C 1984 J. Physique 45 637
- [23] Mendes T N C and Farina C 2006 J. Phys. A 39 6533 Mendes T N C and Farina C 2007 J. Phys. A 40 7343
- [24] Saharian A A 2007 arXiv:0704.1898v1 [hep-th]
- [25] Davies P C W and Sahni V 1988 Class. Quantum Grav. 5 1