

Spontaneous emission of an atom near a wedge

F.S.S. Rosa

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

T.N.C. Mendes

Universidade Federal Fluminense - Niterói, RJ, 24210-340, Brasil

A. Tenório

Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 22290-180, Brasil

C. Farina

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro - Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21941-972, Brasil

It is a well known fact that non-trivial boundary conditions affect the interaction between atoms and the always present quantized electromagnetic field. In this paper, we focus on how the spontaneous emission rate of a given excited atom is altered when placed inside a perfectly conducting wedge. We begin by briefly presenting the formalism on which our calculations are founded, proceeding then to a long but straightforward calculation of the transition rate. We present results for a general atom but, for the sake of simplicity, we narrow them down to an effective two-level system in our numerical investigations. From these we conclude that the results are physically sound.

PACS numbers: 12.20.Ds, 34.20.Cf

I. INTRODUCTION

Last year we have celebrated the ninetieth anniversary of A. Einstein's historical paper on his theory of radiation[1], the final paper of a series that began more than a decade earlier with his 1905 work on the quantization of the radiation field. By trying to explain how atoms could be in thermal equilibrium with the radiation field, he introduced the novel concepts of spontaneous and stimulated emission of radiation [2], that again outlined how unusual quantum mechanics could be and reheated a dormant discussion about causality in the quantum scale [3]. However, despite its huge achievements this remarkable work had at least one shortcoming, since it did not furnish the means for evaluation of the spontaneous emission rate. This had to wait another ten years, until P.A.M. Dirac successfully calculated it in a paper that most regard as the birth of quantum electrodynamics [5].

Once established the framework of the modern quantum field theory, a meaningful question to ask is how spontaneous emission (SE) was affected by the presence of boundaries. That nontrivial boundary conditions *could* modify the SE rate is very clear from the QED standpoint, since it is the quantized electromagnetic field that causes SE and the field is definitely affected by boundary conditions [6]. This issue was firstly considered by E.M. Purcell [7] in the forties, and subsequent experimental work showed that SE could be strongly modified if boundaries were present [8, 9]. The first explicit theoretical results [10, 11] for parallel conducting plates also supported the conclusion that boundary conditions could significantly enhance or suppress the SE rate [12].

In this work we carry on the analysis on how bound-




FIG. 1: An atom inside a closed wedge

aries may influence the SE process. We investigate the behavior of an atom inside a wedge made of conducting plates. The reason why we chose such a setup is twofold. Firstly, the wedge configuration is a relatively simple system that allows the study of nontrivial curvature effects in the zero-point field [13, 14, 15, 16], due to the presence of the cusp. In addition, the wedge geometry has already been used in experiments [17]-although thereabouts the wedge aspect was not important, it may probably be in the future. Such configuration may also be relevant for trapping atoms in excited states, since a suited geometry could lead to locations where the potential well disturbing the atom is deep and the SE rate is minute.

In the next section we quickly recall the formalism and show how it may be used for evaluating SE rates. We

then define our problem and proceed with the calculations needed in order to bring the result to the most convenient form for numerical investigations, leaving the third and last section to conclusions and perspectives.

II. THE SPONTANEOUS EMISSION OF AN ATOM INSIDE A WEDGE

Let us consider an atom, defined by its energy levels $\{E_a\}$ and static polarizabilities α_{ab} (between levels a and b), surrounded by the ever present quantum electromagnetic field (EM). We may follow the formalism developed by Dalibard et al. [18] and treat this setup as a small system (the atom) interacting with a large one (the quantized field), referred also as the reservoir from now on. It may be shown that the small system feels the influence of the large one in essentially two ways: by the fluctuations of the reservoir itself, indicated by the (fr) superscript, and by the reaction of the reservoir to the presence of the atom, identified by the (rr) superscript. In this framework, it is possible to develop a systematic procedure [19] to calculate important quantities, such as the van der Waals potential and the spontaneous emission rate. As we are interested only in the latter, we begin by presenting the expression for the SE rate in the dipole approximation

$$\dot{Q}_a = \dot{Q}_a^{rr} + \dot{Q}_a^{fr} \quad (1)$$

$$\dot{Q}_a^{rr} = - \sum_j \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} c k \alpha_{aj}''^{(-)}(k) |f_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^j(\mathbf{x})|^2 \quad (2)$$

$$\dot{Q}_a^{fr} = \sum_j \sum_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} c k \alpha_{aj}''^{(+)}(k) |f_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}^j(\mathbf{x})|^2 (2\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \rangle + 1) \quad (3)$$

where \dot{Q}_a is the power exchanged between the atom and the reservoir, $f_{\mathbf{k}\lambda}$ stands for the amplitude of the mode $\mathbf{k}\lambda$ of the EM field, $\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \rangle$ is the average number of photons in this mode and

$$\alpha_{aj}''^{(\mp)}(k) = \pi \sum_b \frac{\alpha_{ab}^j k_{ba}}{2} [\delta(k - k_{ba}) \pm \delta(k + k_{ba})] \quad (4)$$

where $\alpha_{ab}^j = -2e^2 |\langle a|x_j|b \rangle|^2 / \hbar \omega_{ab}$ is the j -component of the static polarizability and $k_{ab} = (E_a - E_b) / \hbar c$.

Our system is schematically described in figure 1. It consists in an atom placed inside of a perfectly conducting cylindrical section, characterized by a radius R and an angle α . The location of the atom is given by its distance to the wedge axis ρ and its declination relative to the bisector plane φ . It is also convenient to define the transition frequencies $\omega_{ab} = |k_{ab}|c$ between two given states $|a\rangle$ and $|b\rangle$.

According to (1)-(3), we need the modes of the EM field inside the wedge in order to evaluate the spontaneous emission rate. As they have been already obtained by A.A. Saharian in [13], we will merely quote them

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k},m,n}^{\text{TM}}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_{qm}(\gamma_{m,n}R) (\gamma_{m,n}^2 \hat{z} - ik_z \nabla_t) \cdot [J_{qm}(\gamma_{m,n}\rho) \sin(qm\phi) e^{-i(k_z z - \omega_k t)}] \quad (5)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{k},m,n}^{\text{TE}}(\mathbf{x}) = ik\beta_{qm}(\eta_{m,n}R) \cdot \hat{z} \times \nabla_t [J_{qm}(\eta_{m,n}\rho) \cos(qm\phi) e^{-i(k_z z - \omega_k t)}] \quad (6)$$

where $q = \pi/\phi_0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ in (5), $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in (6) and

$$\omega_k^2 = c^2 [\gamma_{m,n}^2 + k_z^2] \quad (7)$$

The quantities $\gamma_{m,n}$ and $\eta_{m,n}$ are defined by

$$J_{qm}(\gamma_{m,n}R) = J'_{qm}(\eta_{m,n}R) = 0 \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (8)$$

We have also

$$\beta_{qm}^2(x) = \frac{4q\hbar c}{\pi k} X_{qm}(x) \quad \text{if } m \neq 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\beta_{qm}^2(x) = \frac{2q\hbar c}{\pi k} X_{qm}(x) \quad \text{if } m = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$X_\nu(x) = [J_\nu'^2(x) + (1 - \nu^2/x^2) J_\nu^2(x)]^{-1} \quad (11)$$

$$\nabla_t = \hat{\rho} \partial_\rho + \rho^{-1} \hat{\phi} \partial_\phi, \quad (12)$$

and $k^2 = \kappa_{mn\lambda}^2 + k_z^2$, being $\kappa_{mn0} = \gamma_{m,n}$ and $\kappa_{mn1} = \eta_{m,n}$. Inserting (5) and (6) into (1) and setting $\langle n_{\mathbf{k}\lambda} \rangle = 0$, since we are at zero temperature, we get after a little manipulation

$$\dot{Q}_a = -2\hbar\pi c^2 \sum_{\lambda,\sigma,b} \alpha_{ab}^\sigma k_{ba} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{|m|n\lambda}^4 \delta(k_{ba} - \sqrt{\kappa_{|m|n\lambda}^2 + k_z^2}) X_{q|m|}(\kappa_{|m|n\lambda}R) Q_{q|m|,n}^{\sigma,\lambda}(\rho, \phi) \quad (13)$$

where $\lambda = 0, 1$, $\sigma = r, \phi, z$, b runs through all the eigen-

states of the atom and

$$Q_{qm}^{\rho,0}(\kappa_{mn0}, \rho, \phi) = \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa_{mn0}^2} J_{qm}'^2(\kappa_{mn0}\rho) \times \sin^2(qm\phi) \quad (14)$$

$$Q_{qm}^{\phi,0}(\kappa_{mn0}, \rho, \phi) = \frac{k_z^2 q^2 m^2}{\kappa_{mn0}^4 \rho^2} J_{qm}^2(\kappa_{mn0}\rho) \times \cos^2(qm\phi) \quad (15)$$

$$Q_{qm}^{z,0}(\kappa_{mn0}, \rho, \phi) = J_{qm}^2(\kappa_{mn0}\rho) \sin^2(qm\phi) \quad (16)$$

$$Q_{qm}^{\rho,1}(\kappa_{mn1}, \rho, \phi) = \left(1 + \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa_{mn1}^2}\right) \frac{q^2 m^2}{\kappa_{mn1}^2 \rho^2} J_{qm}^2(\kappa_{mn1} \rho) \times \sin^2(qm\phi) \quad (17)$$

$$Q_{qm}^{\phi,1}(\kappa_{mn1}, \rho, \phi) = \left(1 + \frac{k_z^2}{\kappa_{mn1}^2}\right) J_{qm}'^2(\kappa_{mn1} \rho) \times \cos^2(qm\phi) \quad (18)$$

$$Q_{qm}^{z,1}(\kappa_{mn1}, \rho, \phi) = 0 \quad (19)$$

We may now use the generalized Abel-Plana formula [13, 20], which reads

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \kappa_{mn\lambda} X_{qm}(\kappa_{mn\lambda}) f(\kappa_{mn\lambda}) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} dx f(x) + \frac{\pi}{4} \text{Res} \left[f(z) \frac{Y_{qm}^{(\lambda)}(z)}{J_{qm}^{(\lambda)}(z)} \right]_{z=0} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} dx \frac{K_{qm}^{(\lambda)}(z)}{I_{qm}^{(\lambda)}(z)} \left[e^{-qm\pi i} f(e^{i\pi/2} x) + e^{qm\pi i} f(e^{-i\pi/2} x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where the superscript (λ) in the Bessel functions mean their λ -th derivative, in order to recast the expression

(13) into

$$\dot{Q}_a = -\hbar\pi c^2 \sum_{\lambda, \sigma, b} \alpha_{ab}^{\sigma} k_{ba} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} dy y^3 \delta(k_{ba} - \sqrt{y^2 + k_z^2}) Q_{q|m|}^{\sigma, \lambda}(y, \rho, \phi) + \mathcal{F}(R, \rho, \phi) \quad (21)$$

in which we were able to isolate all the R-dependence of \dot{Q}_a into an involved but given function $\mathcal{F}(R, \rho, \phi)$. As we are interested in the wedge without the external cap, we must take the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit, and here is where the rearrangement we made in (21) shows its quality: it may be shown that $\mathcal{F}(R \rightarrow \infty, \rho, \phi) \rightarrow 0$ [13], leaving us only with the first term.

Although the generalized Abel-Plana formula made

things simpler, expression (21) still is not very efficient for numerical investigations. However, if we restrict ourselves to integer values of the parameter q , it turns out that further simplification is possible. In this case we can switch $|m|$ to m in (21) and carry out the m -summation by using an addition theorem relating Bessel functions [21]

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{qm}(\kappa\rho) Z_{\nu+qm}(\kappa\rho) e^{2iqm\phi} = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{\nu/2} e^{-i\nu(\phi + \frac{\pi l}{q})} Z_{\nu} \left(2\kappa\rho \sin \left(\phi + \frac{\pi l}{q} \right) \right) \quad (22)$$

where Z_{ν} is a given solution of Bessel equation. As slightly different cases of this theorem will apply to each polarization, it is convenient to split \dot{Q}_a in its ρ, ϕ and z contributions

$$\dot{Q}_a = \sum_{\sigma} \dot{Q}_{a,\sigma} = \dot{Q}_{a,\rho} + \dot{Q}_{a,\phi} + \dot{Q}_{a,z} \quad (23)$$

and consider each one separately. Beginning with the z -contribution and substituting (16), (19) and (22) into $\dot{Q}_{a,z}$, we get

$$\dot{Q}_{a,z} = -\frac{\hbar\pi c^2}{2q} \sum_b \alpha_{ab}^z k_{ba} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \int_0^{\infty} dy y^3 \delta(k_{ba} - \sqrt{y^2 + k_z^2}) \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left[J_0 \left(2y\rho \sin \left(\frac{\pi l}{q} \right) \right) - J_0 \left(2y\rho \sin \left(\phi + \frac{\pi l}{q} \right) \right) \right] \quad (24)$$

By performing the change of variables $y = k \sin \theta$, $k_z = k \cos \theta$ and using the identity [22]

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} J_{\nu} (a \sin \theta) (\sin \theta)^{\mu+1} (\cos \theta)^{2\nu+1} d\theta = 2^{\nu} \Gamma(\nu+1) a^{-\nu-1} J_{\nu+\mu+1}(a) \quad (25)$$

valid when $\text{Re}[\nu] > -1$, $\text{Re}[\mu] > -1$, we may perform the trivial k -integration (due to the δ -function) to finally put (24) in the form

$$\dot{Q}_{a,z} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b < a} \hbar c |k_{ba}| \Gamma_{a \rightarrow b}^z \left\{ \frac{2}{3} - G_{\parallel} (2|k_{ba}| \rho \sin \phi) - \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} \left[G_{\parallel} (2|k_{ba}| \rho \sin (\phi + \pi l/q)) - G_{\parallel} (2|k_{ba}| \rho \sin (\pi l/q)) \right] \right\} \quad (26)$$

where

$$G_{\perp}(x) = \frac{\cos x}{x^2} - \frac{\sin x}{x^3} \quad (27)$$

$$G_{\parallel}(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x} + \frac{\cos x}{x^2} - \frac{\sin x}{x^3} \quad (28)$$

and we have also made use of the explicit expressions for $J_{1/2}(x)$ and $J_{3/2}(x)$. The calculations for $\dot{Q}_{a,\rho}$ and $\dot{Q}_{a,\phi}$ are a little bit more involved but rather analogous to the one we just showed. In these cases the m -summations that we need to evaluate are

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^2 J_{qm}^2(\kappa\rho) \left\{ \frac{\cos^2(qm\phi)}{\sin^2(qm\phi)} \right\} \quad (29)$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{qm}^{\prime 2}(\kappa\rho) \left\{ \frac{\cos^2(qm\phi)}{\sin^2(qm\phi)} \right\} \quad (30)$$

The first ones, shown in (29), may be carried out by considering the particular case of (22) in which $Z_{\nu+qm}(\kappa\rho) = J_{qm}(\kappa\rho)$ and differentiating it twice with respect to the variable ϕ . After some algebraic manipulations we get

$$\frac{q^2}{\kappa^2 \rho^2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^2 J_{qm}^2(\kappa\rho) \cos(2qm\phi) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left[J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l) \cos^2 \psi_l - \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l} \right] \quad (31)$$

where $\psi_l = \phi + \pi l/q$, which immediately leads to

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^2 J_{qm}^2(\kappa\rho) \sin^2(qm\phi) = \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left[-J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l) \cos^2 \psi_l + J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q) \cos^2 \pi l/q + \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l} - \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q} \right] \quad (32)$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^2 J_{qm}^{\prime 2}(\kappa\rho) \cos^2(qm\phi) = \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left[J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l) \cos^2 \psi_l + J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q) \cos^2 \pi l/q - \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l} - \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q} \right] \quad (33)$$

The remaining summations in (30) may be evaluated by

making use of the identity

$$\begin{aligned} J_{qm}^{\prime 2}(\kappa\rho) &= \frac{qm}{\kappa\rho} J_{qm}'(\kappa\rho) J_{qm}(\kappa\rho) \\ &- \partial_{\kappa\rho} [J_{qm}(\kappa\rho) J_{qm+1}(\kappa\rho)] + J_{qm}^2(\kappa\rho) \\ &- \frac{qm+1}{\kappa\rho} J_{qm}(\kappa\rho) J_{qm+1}(\kappa\rho) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

plus the particular cases of (22) for $Z_{\nu+qm}(\kappa\rho) = J_{qm}(\kappa\rho)$, $J_{qm+1}(\kappa\rho)$ and its derivatives with respect to

variables ρ and ϕ . This yields

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{qm}^{\prime 2}(\kappa\rho) \sin^2(qm\phi) = \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left[J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l) \sin^2 \psi_l - J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q) \sin^2 \pi l/q \right. \\ \left. - \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l} + \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q} \right] \quad (35)$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_{qm}^{\prime 2}(\kappa\rho) \cos^2(qm\phi) = \frac{1}{2q} \sum_{l=0}^{q-1} \left[-J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l) \sin^2 \psi_l - J_0(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q) \sin^2 \pi l/q \right. \\ \left. + \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \psi_l} + \frac{J_1(2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q)}{2\kappa\rho \sin \pi l/q} \right] \quad (36)$$

By inserting equations (32) - (36) into the expressions for $\dot{Q}_{a,\rho}$, $\dot{Q}_{a,\phi}$ and following the same procedure that led to

(26), we finally get

$$\dot{Q}_{a,\phi} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b<a} \hbar c |k_{ba}| \Gamma_{a \rightarrow b}^{\phi} \left\{ \frac{2}{3} - H_{\phi}(2|k_{ba}|, \phi) - \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} [H_{\phi}(2|k_{ba}|, \phi + \pi l/q) + H_{\phi}(2|k_{ba}|, \pi l/q)] \right\} \quad (37)$$

$$\dot{Q}_{a,\rho} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b<a} \hbar c |k_{ba}| \Gamma_{a \rightarrow b}^{\rho} \left\{ \frac{2}{3} - H_{\rho}(2|k_{ba}|, \phi) - \sum_{l=1}^{q-1} [H_{\rho}(2|k_{ba}|, \phi + \pi l/q) - H_{\rho}(2|k_{ba}|, \pi l/q)] \right\} \quad (38)$$

where

$$H_{\phi}(x, \psi) = G_{\parallel}(x \sin \psi) \sin^2 \psi + 2G_{\perp}(x \sin \psi) \cos^2 \psi \quad (39)$$

$$H_{\rho}(x, \psi) = G_{\parallel}(x \sin \psi) \cos^2 \psi + 2G_{\perp}(x \sin \psi) \sin^2 \psi \quad (40)$$

We have also defined

$$\Gamma_{a \rightarrow b}^{\sigma} = \frac{4}{\hbar} | \langle a | d_{\sigma} | b \rangle |^2 |k_{ab}|^3, \quad (41)$$

which is nothing but the spontaneous emission rate between levels a and b (assuming, of course, that level a is higher than b) for the σ -polarization in free space.

As we now have well suited expressions for the decaying rates, we may proceed with some numerical investigations. In order to simplify our discussion, let us assume that our atom has only one dominant transition, so that we can neglect all terms in the summation of states present in (26)-(38) except for this dominant term. The first thing that we would like to point out is how differently each polarization responds to the presence of the wedge.

By one hand, as one can see from figure 2 (last page), the contribution of the z -polarization for the spontaneous emission inside a wedge is greatly suppressed in the vicinity of the plates. However, by taking a look at the equivalent graph for the ϕ -polarization shown in figure 3, one concludes that just the opposite occurs, which means that this contribution is enhanced near the plates. This effect may seem curious initially, but it is readily understood by recalling the boundary conditions imposed by the wedge on the electric field, given by $\hat{\phi} \times \mathbf{E}|_S = 0$. This implies that very close to one of the plates and relatively away from the cusp the electric field is approximately perpendicular to the mirror surface. Since we are working in the dipole approximation, we conclude that the parallel component of the dipole no longer couples to the field while the perpendicular component coupling is maximized. This explains both the vanishing of $\dot{Q}_{a,z}$ (and also of $\dot{Q}_{a,\rho}$) and the enhancement of $\dot{Q}_{a,\phi}$ near the plates.

In order to strengthen our result, let us consider a limiting case of our expressions, in which we put $\alpha = \pi$ and the wedge degenerates into a single plate. Then it is a straightforward matter to show that (26), (37) and (38)

become

$$\dot{Q}_{a,x} = -\frac{1}{2}\hbar k_{ab}c\Gamma_{a\rightarrow b}^\sigma \left[\frac{2}{3} - \frac{\sin 2|k_{ab}|x}{2|k_{ab}|x} - \frac{\cos 2|k_{ab}|x}{(2|k_{ab}|x)^2} + \frac{\sin 2|k_{ab}|x}{(2|k_{ab}|x)^3} \right] \quad (42)$$

$$\dot{Q}_{a,y} = \dot{Q}_{a,z} = -\hbar k_{ab}c\Gamma_{a\rightarrow b}^\sigma \left[\frac{1}{3} - \frac{\cos 2|k_{ab}|x}{(2|k_{ab}|x)^2} + \frac{\sin 2|k_{ab}|x}{(2|k_{ab}|x)^3} \right] \quad (43)$$

which is in precise agreement with the known result from the literature [4, 12].

III. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES

In this paper we investigated how the spontaneous emission rate of an atom is affected by the presence of a conducting wedge. We used a very general method, which allows for the evaluation of van der Waals and excited potentials as well as spontaneous emission rates. Firstly we applied it to the problem of an atom inside a

mirror wedge. In the following section we showed that, although for a wedge of an arbitrary angle α the expressions are considerably involved, when $q \in \mathbb{N}$ a lot of simplification was possible and we arrived at manageable expressions for SE rates. We then obtained the contribution of each polarization and plotted two of them separately. Note that the behavior of the SE rate in a wedge shows the usual oscillatory pattern.

There are several possible generalizations for this work, the most obvious one being how to bring some real effects into consideration, like finite conductivity and temperature corrections, which fall out of the scope of this paper but serve as a guide for future research.

Acknowledgments: FSSR and CF are very indebted to G. Barton, R. Passante and R. Rodrigues for valuable criticism and comments. FSSR would like to thank M. Moriconi for the kind hospitality at Universidade Federal Fluminense, where part of this work was developed, and also acknowledge FAPERJ for partial financial support. Furthermore, TCNM acknowledges FAPERJ for financial support and CF thanks CNPq for partial financial support.

-
- [1] A. Einstein, Phys. Z. **18**, 121 (1917).
 - [2] It cannot go without saying here that these are not the only novelties in this work. In this same paper Einstein discusses many other things, like the properties of the photon, which also are of key importance. For a better account of this paper accomplishments, see [3, 4].
 - [3] D. Klepner, Phys. Today **2**, 30 (2005).
 - [4] P.W. Milonni, *The Quantum Vacuum*, Academic Press (1994).
 - [5] P.A.M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **114**, 243 (1927).
 - [6] Actually the role of boundaries in the SE was a disputed matter sometime ago, see section 6.2 of [4].
 - [7] E.M. Purcell Phys. Rev. **69**, 681 (1946).
 - [8] G. Feher, J.P. Gordon, E. Buehler, E.A. Gere and C.D. Thurmond, Phys. Rev. **109**, 221 (1958).
 - [9] K.H. Drexhage, H. Kuhn and F.D. Schafer, Ber. Bungen Ges. Phys. **72**, 329 (1968).
 - [10] G. Barton, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A320**, 251 (1970).
 - [11] P. Stehle, Phys. Rev. **A2**, 95 (1970).
 - [12] E.A. Hinds, *Advances in Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics* **28**, 237 (1991).
 - [13] A.A. Saharian, Eur. Phys. J. **C 52**, 721 (2007).
 - [14] D. Deutsch and P. Candelas, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 3063 (1979).
 - [15] I. Brevik and M. Lygren, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **251**, 157 (1996).
 - [16] I. Brevik, M. Lygren and V.N. Marachevsky, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **267**, 134 (1998).
 - [17] C.I. Sukenik, M.G. Boshier, D. Cho, V. Sandoghdar, E.A. Hinds, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 560 (1993).
 - [18] J. Dalibard, J. DuPont-Roc and C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Physique **45**, 637 (1984).
 - [19] T.N.C. Mendes and C. Farina, J. Phys **A40**, 7343 (2007).
 - [20] A.A. Saharian, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A19** 4301 (2004).
 - [21] P.C.W. Davies and V. Sahni, Class. Quantum Grav. **5**, 1 (1988).
 - [22] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhnik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, 5a ed. Academic Press (1994).




FIG. 2: The spontaneous emission for the z -polarization in a wedge of $\pi/3$ radians. The axes x and y follow the same conventions of figure 1. The z axis is pointing down. The plates are not displayed but the plot itself is very suggestive—they would be sharply cutting the two highest slopes in half along the z direction. Their aspect can be easily inferred from the diffuse V-shape drawn by those slopes.




FIG. 3: The spontaneous emission for the ϕ polarization in the same wedge as above.