

ANALYSIS OF THE QUADRATIC TERM IN THE BACKSCATTERING TRANSFORMATION

INGRID BELTIȚĂ * AND ANDERS MELIN

ABSTRACT. The quadratic term in the Taylor expansion at the origin of the backscattering transformation in odd dimensions $n \geq 3$ gives rise to a symmetric bilinear operator B_2 on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In this paper we prove that B_2 extends to certain Sobolev spaces with weights and show that it improves both regularity and decay.

1. INTRODUCTION AND FORMULATION OF THE MAIN RESULT

The quadratic part obtained when the quantum backscattering data are expanded in a power series in the potential gives rise to a symmetric bilinear operator B_2 on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ when $n \geq 3$ is odd. We refer to the paper [4] in which the backscattering transform was defined in arbitrary odd dimension (see Definition 3.4 in that paper). An explicit formula for B_2 is provided by Corollary 10.7 of [4], which implies that

$$(1.1) \quad B_2(f, g)(x) = \iint E(y, z) f\left(x + \frac{y+z}{2}\right) g\left(x - \frac{y-z}{2}\right) dy dz, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Here $E(y, z) = 4^{-1}(i\pi)^{1-n}\delta^{(n-2)}(|y|^2 - |z|^2)$ is the unique fundamental solution of the ultra-hyperbolic operator $\Delta_y - \Delta_z$ such that $E(y, z) = -E(z, y)$ and $E(y, z)$ is separately rotation invariant in both variables (see Corollary 10.2 of [4]).

Since E is not a function the formula (1.1) needs to be interpreted in the distribution sense. If the trilinear form Q on $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is defined through

$$(1.2) \quad Q(f, g, h) = \int h(x) B_2(f, g)(x) dx, \quad f, g, h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

this means that

$$Q(f, g, h) = \langle E, \Phi \rangle$$

where

$$\Phi(y, z) = \int h(x) f\left(x + \frac{y+z}{2}\right) g\left(x - \frac{y-z}{2}\right) dx.$$

In what follows we are going to use similar notation for integrals that have to be interpreted in the distribution sense.

* Partially supported by the grant 2-CEX06-11-18/2006.

The expressions for B_2 and E above show easily that B_2 is continuous from $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \times C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. It commutes with translations and $|x| \leq \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2}$ in the support of $B_2(f, g)$ if $|x| \leq r_1$ in $\text{supp}(f)$ and $|x| \leq r_2$ in $\text{supp}(g)$. From formulas we derive in the next section it will be clear that B_2 extends to a much larger domain than $C_0^\infty \times C_0^\infty$. In particular $B_2(f, g)$ is defined as a distribution when $f, g \in L_{\text{cpt}}^2$.

In this paper we are going to derive continuity estimates for B_2 in weighted Sobolev spaces. Specifically, we consider the spaces

$$H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d); \langle x \rangle^a \langle D \rangle^b u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\}$$

where $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ and $D = i^{-1}\partial$, hence $\langle D \rangle$ is multiplication by $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$ on the Fourier transform side. We shall prove then that for certain values of $a, b \geq 0$ it is true that B_2 extends to a bilinear operator on $H_{(a,b)}$. In fact, it happens also that there are a, b, \bar{a}, \bar{b} with $a < \bar{a}, b < \bar{b}$ such that B_2 is continuous from $H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_{(\bar{a},\bar{b})}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. This means that B_2 in a certain sense improves decay and regularity at the same time and therefore shares some nice features with ordinary multiplication as well as convolution. There are good reasons to believe that similar properties hold for higher order terms B_N in the expansion of the backscattering transform, and if so, this would have applications in inverse scattering.

Throughout this paper we use the notation $m = (n - 3)/2$. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $(a', b', a'', b'', a, b) \in \mathbf{R}^6$ satisfies*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 < a < m + 1/2 + \min(a', a''), \quad a \leq a' + a'' - 1/2, \\ 0 \leq b < 1 + \min(b', b''), \quad b + m \leq b' + b'', \\ a + b < 1/2 + \min(a', a'') + \min(b', b''). \end{aligned}$$

Then B_2 is continuous from $H_{(a',b')}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times H_{(a'',b'')}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Corollary 1.2. *Assume that*

$$0 \leq \bar{a} \leq a, \quad 0 \leq a, \quad 0 \leq \bar{b} \leq b, \quad \bar{a} + \bar{b} < 1/2.$$

Then B_2 is continuous from $H_{(1/2+a,m+b)} \times H_{(1/2+a,m+b)}$ to $H_{(1/2+a+\bar{a},m+b+\bar{b})}$. In particular, B_2 is a continuous bilinear operator on $H_{(a,b)}$ when $a \geq 1/2$ and $b \geq m$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a duality argument applied to the trilinear form Q in (1.2). There is a simpler expression for Q . To see this, consider the bilinear operator

$$A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$$

defined through

$$(1.4) \quad A(f, g)(x, t) = \int k_0(y, t) f(x - y) g(x + y) \, dy, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Here $k_0(x, t)$ is the convolution kernel of the operator $K_0(t) = \sin(t|D|)/|D|$.

Lemma 1.3. *We have the identity*

$$(1.5) \quad Q(f, g, h) = -4 \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^+} A(f, g)(x, t) (\cos t|D|h)(x) \, dx \, dt$$

when $f, g, h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proof. By using the homogeneity of E we get

$$(1.6) \quad Q(f, g, h) = 4 \iiint E(y, z) h(x - z) f(x + y) g(x - y) \, dx \, dy \, dz.$$

We recall that (see Thm. 10.4 of [4])

$$(1.7) \quad E(y, z) = - \int_0^\infty k_0(y, t) \dot{k}_0(z, t) \, dt.$$

Then we first integrate with respect to z in (1.6) and apply (1.7) to write

$$(1.8) \quad \int E(y, z) h(x - z) \, dz = - \int_0^\infty k_0(y, t) (\cos(t|D|h)(x)) \, dt.$$

Then the integration with respect to y in (1.6) gives

$$(1.9) \quad \int k_0(y, t) f(x + y) g(x - y) \, dy = A(f, g)(x, t).$$

The formula (1.5) is then obtained by integrating over the remaining variables x and t . \square

The main idea is to use continuity properties of the operators $\cos(t|D|)$ and A in $H_{(a,b)}$ spaces in order to get the needed estimates.

Continuity properties of $\cos(t|D|)$ and A are obtained in section 3, and the proof of the main result is then derived.

2. AN INTERPOLATION RESULT FOR BILINEAR OPERATORS

In this subsection we consider general dimensions $d \geq 1$.

When $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ we define

$$(2.1) \quad H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d); \langle x \rangle^a \langle D \rangle^b u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\}.$$

This is a Hilbert space with norm

$$\|u\|_{(a,b)} = \|\langle x \rangle^a \langle D \rangle^b u\|,$$

where the norm in the right-hand side is the L^2 norm. Since the operators $\langle D \rangle^b \langle x \rangle^a \langle D \rangle^{-b} \langle x \rangle^{-a}$ and $\langle x \rangle^a \langle D \rangle^b \langle x \rangle^{-a} \langle D \rangle^{-b}$ are continuous in L^2 , it follows that

$$H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^d) = \{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^d); \langle D \rangle^b \langle x \rangle^a u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)\}$$

and the norms $\|u\|_{(a,b)}$ and $\|u\|'_{(a,b)} = \|\langle D \rangle^b \langle x \rangle^a u\|$ are equivalent. This in turn implies that the Fourier transform is a linear homeomorphism from $H_{(a,b)}$ onto $H_{(b,a)}$.

Assume $T: \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is a continuous bilinear operator. Let $I(T)$ be the set of all $\sigma = (a', b', a'', b'', a, b) \in \mathbf{R}^6$ for which there is a constant $C = C(\sigma)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|T(f, g)\|_{(a,b)} \leq C \|f\|_{(a',b')} \|g\|_{(a'',b'')}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d).$$

The next theorem might be obtained as an application of Theorem 4.4.1 in [1]. For the reader's convenience we include here a direct proof.

Theorem 2.1. *The set $I(T)$ is convex in \mathbf{R}^6 .*

We are going to use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume $K \subset \mathbf{R}$ is a compact set. Then there is a positive constant C depending on K and d only such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \|\langle D \rangle^b \langle x \rangle^{it} \langle D \rangle^{-b}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)} \leq (1 + C|t|)^{|\operatorname{Re} b|},$$

when $\operatorname{Re} b \in K$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. Choose a positive integer M such that $K \subseteq [-2M, 2M]$ and set

$$P_M(z, t) = \langle D \rangle^{2Mz} \langle x \rangle^{it} \langle D \rangle^{-2Mz}$$

when $z \in \mathbf{C}$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. We have that

$$D_j \circ \langle x \rangle^{it} = \langle x \rangle^{it} \circ D_j + tx_j \langle x \rangle^{it-2}.$$

It follows by induction over $|\alpha|$ that

$$D^\alpha \circ \langle x \rangle^{it} = \langle x \rangle^{it} \circ D^\alpha + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq |\alpha| \\ |\beta| < |\alpha|}} \langle x \rangle^{it} t^k p_{k,\alpha,\beta}(x) \circ D^\beta,$$

where $\langle x \rangle^{|\gamma|} \partial^\gamma p_{k,\alpha,\beta}$ is bounded for every $\gamma \in \mathbf{N}^d$. Hence there is a constant C , which depends on M and d only, such that

$$\|P_M(1, t)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq (1 + C|t|)^{2M}.$$

Since $\langle D \rangle^{2M \operatorname{Im} z}$ is unitary in L^2 it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad \|P_M(z, t)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq (1 + C|t|)^{2M} \quad \text{when} \quad \operatorname{Re} z = 1, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

One also clearly has that

$$(2.5) \quad \|P_M(z, t)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq 1 \quad \text{when} \quad \operatorname{Re} z = 0, \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ satisfy $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ and set

$$q_M(z, t) = (1 + C|t|)^{-2zM} \langle P_M(z, t)f, g \rangle.$$

This is an entire analytic function, bounded on the strip $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$ and, by (2.4) and (2.5), $|q_M(z, t)| \leq 1$ when $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ or $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$. It follows by the three lines theorem that $|q_M(z, t)| \leq 1$ when $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$. This implies that

$$\|P_M(z, t)\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq (1 + C|t|)^{2M|\operatorname{Re} z|}$$

when $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$. A similar proof shows that the above inequality holds also for $-1 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 0$. The lemma follows after replacing z by $b/(2M)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Assume

$$\sigma_0 = (a'_0, b'_0, a''_0, b''_0, a_0, b_0), \quad \sigma_1 = (a'_1, b'_1, a''_1, b''_1, a_1, b_1)$$

are elements of $I(T)$. Define

$$\sigma(z) = (a'(z), b'(z), a''(z), b''(z), a(z), b(z)) = (1 - z)\sigma_0 + z\sigma_1, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_x^d)$ and $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}_y^N)$ and set

$$F(z) = \langle x \rangle^{-a'(z)} \langle D \rangle^{-b'(z)} f, \quad G(z) = \langle x \rangle^{-a''(z)} \langle D \rangle^{-b''(z)} g$$

and

$$H(z) = \langle y \rangle^{a(z)} \langle D \rangle^{b(z)} h.$$

Then F, G and H are holomorphic functions of z with values in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, respectively, and their \mathcal{S} seminorms have at most polynomial growth in $|z|$ when $\operatorname{Re} z$ stays in a bounded set.

The previous lemma shows that when $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(z)\|_{(a'_0, b'_0)} &\leq C_1 \|\langle D \rangle^{b'_0} \langle x \rangle^{z(a'_0 - a'_1)} \langle D \rangle^{-b'_0} \langle D \rangle^{z(b'_0 - b'_1)} f\| \\ &\leq C_2 (1 + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^{|b'_0|} \|\langle D \rangle^{z(b'_0 - b'_1)} f\| \leq C |1 + z|^{|b'_0|} \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one gets

$$\|G(z)\|_{(a''_0, b''_0)} \leq C |1 + z|^{|b''_0|} \|g\|, \quad \|H(z)\|_{(-a_0, -b_0)} \leq C |1 + z|^{|b_0|} \|h\|$$

when $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(z)\|_{(a'_1, b'_1)} &\leq C |1 + z|^{|b'_1|} \|f\|, \quad \|G(z)\|_{(a''_1, b''_1)} \leq C |1 + z|^{|b''_1|} \|g\| \quad \text{and} \\ \|H(z)\|_{(-a_1, -b_1)} &\leq C |1 + z|^{|b_1|} \|h\| \end{aligned}$$

when $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$.

Define

$$q(z) = \langle T(F(z), G(z)), H(z) \rangle.$$

This is an entire analytic function.

Since T is continuous from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ it follows (by using commutator estimates as in the previous lemma) that $q(z)$ is of most polynomial growth in the strip $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1$. Since $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in I(T)$ the estimates for F, G, H above show that there are positive constants C and γ , which are independent of f, g, h , such that $|(1+z)^{-\gamma}q(z)| \leq C\|f\| \cdot \|g\| \cdot \|h\|$ when $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ or $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$. It follows then from the three lines theorem that $(1+z)^{-\gamma}q(z)$ satisfies the same estimate for every z in the whole strip. When $z = \theta \in (0, 1)$ we get an estimate for $q(\theta)$, and hence the estimate

$$\|\langle D \rangle^{b(\theta)} \langle y \rangle^{a(\theta)} T(\langle x \rangle^{-a'(\theta)} \langle D \rangle^{-b'(\theta)} f, \langle x \rangle^{-a''(\theta)} \langle D \rangle^{-b''(\theta)} g)\| \leq C\|f\| \|g\|,$$

where C is independent of f and g . This means precisely that $\sigma(\theta) \in I(T)$. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

We recall that $n \geq 3$ is odd and we have denoted $m = (n-3)/2$. We define the operator $K : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ through

$$(3.1) \quad (Ku)(x, t) = Y_+(t) \cos(t|D|)u(x), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}, x \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

where Y_+ is the characteristic function of $[0, \infty)$.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume $a < 0$ and $b \leq 0$. Then the operator K is continuous from $H_{(a,b)}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_{(a-1/2,b)}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$.*

Proof. When $t \geq 0$ we denote by Λ_t the operator on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ which is multiplication by the function $((1+t)^2 + |x|^2)^{1/2}$ and we consider $K(t) = \cos(t|D|)$ as an operator in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since n is odd the convolution kernel $\dot{k}_0(x, t)$ of $K(t)$ is supported in the set where $|x| = t$. Therefore $K(t)f$ is supported in the ball with centre x_0 and radius $r+t$ if $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is supported in the ball with centre x_0 and radius r .

Assume first that a is real, arbitrary. We prove that there exists a constant C_a such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|\Lambda_t^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_t^a\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C_a, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Let $(T_\sigma)_{\sigma>0}$ be the dilation group on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ defined by $T_\sigma h(x) = \sigma^{n/2} h(\sigma x)$. Then $T_\sigma^{-1} = T_{1/\sigma}$ and T_σ extends to a unitary operator in L^2 for every σ . We notice that

$$T_\sigma K(t) T_\sigma^{-1} = K(t/\sigma)$$

and

$$T_{1+t}\Lambda_t^a T_{1+t}^{-1} = (1+t)^a \Lambda_0^a.$$

It follows that

$$\Lambda_t^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_t^a = T_{1+t}^{-1} \Lambda_0^{-a} K(t/(1+t)) \Lambda_0^a T_{1+t}.$$

Therefore, it is enough to show that for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ the operator $\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and that there exists $C_a > 0$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad \|\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \leq C_a, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Take $0 \leq t \leq 1$. We notice that $(K(t)f, K(t)g) = 0$ if $\text{dist}(\text{supp}(f), \text{supp}(g)) > 2$, since the supports of $K(t)f$ and $K(t)g$ do not overlap. Let $0 \leq \chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be supported in the unit ball and satisfy $\int \chi(y) dy = 1$. For $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ define $f_y(x) = f(x)\chi(x-y)$. Then

$$(K(t)f_y, K(t)f_z) = 0 \quad \text{when } |y-z| \geq 4.$$

Since $f = \int f_y dy$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f, \Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f) &= \iint (\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_y, \Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_z) dy dz \\ &= \iint_{|y-z| \leq 4} (\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_y, \Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_z) dy dz \leq C \int \|\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_y\|^2 dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|x-y| \leq 1$ in the support of $\Lambda_0^a f_y$, we have that $|x-y| \leq t+1$ in the support of $K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_y$. Hence

$$\|\Lambda_0^{-a} K(t) \Lambda_0^a f_y\| \leq C_1 \langle y \rangle^{-a} \|\Lambda_0^a f_y\| \leq C \|f_y\|.$$

The proof of (3.3) is then completed by the fact that

$$\int \|f_y\|^2 dy \leq C \|f\|^2.$$

Using (3.2) we get, when $a < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \iint (1 + |x|^2 + t^2)^{a-1/2} |Ku(x, t)|^2 dx dt &= \iint (1 + |x|^2 + t^2)^{a-1/2} |(K(t)u)(x)|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C \iint (1 + |x|^2 + t^2)^{a-1/2} |u(x)|^2 dx dt \\ &= C \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + t^2)^{a-1/2} dt \right) \int (1 + |x|^2)^a |u(x)|^2 dx = C' \|u\|_{(a,0)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

when $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. This concludes the proof for the case $b = 0$, since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $H_{(a,0)}$.

In the case $b < 0$ the proposition follows from the fact that K commutes with D_x and the operator $(1 + |D_t|^2 + |D_x|^2)^b \langle D_x \rangle^{-b}$ is bounded. \square

The previous proposition combined with Lemma 1.3 gives the next corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Assume $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, a_3, b_3 \in \mathbf{R}$, $a_3 > 0$ and $b_3 \geq 0$. Then B_2 is continuous from $H_{(a_1, b_1)} \times H_{(a_2, b_2)}$ to $H_{(a_3, b_3)}$ if A is continuous from $H_{(a_1, b_1)} \times H_{(a_2, b_2)}$ to $H_{(a_3+1/2, b_3)}$.*

We turn our attention to proving continuity properties for the bilinear operator A . We will first establish some useful formulas for $A(f, g)$ and its Fourier transform.

Let $S: \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$ be the operator defined through

$$(3.4) \quad S(f, g)(x, t) = t^{m+1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} f(x + t\omega)g(x - t\omega) \, d\omega, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

It is easy to see that S extends to a bounded operator from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\widehat{A}(f, g)(\xi, \tau)$ denote the Fourier transform of $A(f, g)$ with respect to both variables. Then*

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{A}(f, g)(\xi, \tau) = \frac{(\tau/2)^m}{2^3 i (2\pi)^{n-1}} S(\widehat{f}, \widehat{g})(\xi/2, \tau/2),$$

when $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Proof. Let $\phi(\xi, t)$ be the Fourier transform of $A(f, g)(x, t)$ in the variable x . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\xi, t) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \iint k_0(y, t) \widehat{f}(\eta) \widehat{g}(\xi - \eta) e^{-i(2\eta - \xi, y)} \, dy \, d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{-n} \iint k_0(y, t) \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{2}\right) e^{-i(\eta, y)} \, dy \, d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{-n} \int \frac{\sin(|\eta|t)}{|\eta|} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{2}\right) \, d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A}(f, g)(\xi, \tau) &= (2\pi)^{-n} 2^{-n} \iint_{\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}} e^{-i\tau t} \frac{e^{it|\eta|} - e^{-it|\eta|}}{2i|\eta|} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{2}\right) \, dt \, d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} i^{-1} 2^{-(n+1)} |\tau|^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\delta(|\eta| - \tau) - \delta(|\eta| + \tau)) \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi + \eta}{2}\right) \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\xi - \eta}{2}\right) \, d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-(n-1)} i^{-1} 2^{-(n+1)} \tau^{n-2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \widehat{f}\left(\frac{\xi + \tau\omega}{2}\right) \widehat{g}\left(\frac{\xi - \tau\omega}{2}\right) \, d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

This combined with (3.4) gives the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$(3.6) \quad k_0(x, t) = \partial_t^m \kappa_0(x, t),$$

where the smooth mapping $\mathbf{R} \ni t \rightarrow \kappa_0(\cdot, t) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is given by

$$\langle \kappa_0(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle = \pi (2\pi)^{-(n+1)/2} t^{m+1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(t\omega) \, d\omega + \int_t^\infty p(t/r) r^m \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(r\omega) \, d\omega \, dr$$

for every $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Here

$$p(s) = \frac{1}{m!(4\pi)^{m+1}} \left(-\frac{d}{ds}\right)^{m+1} (1-s^2)^m.$$

Proof. We notice that $p(s)$ is a polynomial of degree $m-1$ which is odd (even) if m is even (odd). The polynomial $r^m p(t/r)$ is therefore odd in r and odd (even) in t if m is even (odd). Set $\tilde{\varphi}(t) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \varphi(t\omega) d\omega$ when $t \in \mathbf{R}$. This a smooth and even function of t . If m is even then

$$\int_t^\infty p(t/r)r^m \tilde{\varphi}(r) dr = \int_{-t}^\infty p(t/r)r^m \tilde{\varphi}(r) dr = - \int_{-t}^\infty p(-t/r)r^m \tilde{\varphi}(r) dr$$

which shows that the left-hand side is an odd function of t . If m is odd similar arguments show that the left-hand side is even in t . Hence, if we define κ_0 as in the lemma it follows that $\kappa_0(\cdot, t)$ is a smooth distribution valued function of t which is odd (even) if m is even (odd).

Define

$$U_0(x, t) = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \delta^{(m+1)}(\langle x, \omega \rangle - t) d\omega.$$

It follows from equation (5.4) in [4] that

$$k_0(x, t) = \partial_t^m \pi(2\pi)^{-n} U_0(x, t).$$

Here $U_0(\cdot, t)$ is a smooth distribution valued function of t with the same parity as $\kappa_0(\cdot, t)$. The lemma follows therefore if we prove that

$$\kappa_0(x, t) = \pi(2\pi)^{-n} U_0(x, t)$$

when $t > 0$.

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(x, t) &= (-\partial_t)^{m+2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} Y_+(x\omega - t) d\omega \\ &= c_{n-1} (-\partial_t)^{m+2} \int_{-1}^1 Y_+(|x|s - t)(1-s^2)^m ds \\ &= c_{n-1} (-\partial_t)^{m+1} \int_{-1}^1 \delta(|x|s - t)(1-s^2)^m ds. \end{aligned}$$

where $c_{n-1} = 2\pi^{m+1}/m!$ is the area of the $(n-2)$ -dimensional unit sphere. In $\{t > 0\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_0(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle &= c_{n-1}(-\partial_t)^{m+1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \delta(sr - t) r^{n-1} (1-s^2)^m \tilde{\varphi}(r) \, ds \, dr \\ &= c_{n-1}(-\partial_t)^{m+1} \int_0^1 (t/s)^{n-1} (1-s^2)^m s^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}(t/s) \, ds \\ &= c_{n-1}(-\partial_t)^{m+1} \int_t^\infty r^{n-2} (1-t^2/r^2)^m \tilde{\varphi}(r) \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Set $q(s) = c_{n-1}(-d/ds)^{m+1}(1-s^2)^m = \pi^{-1}(2\pi)^n p(s)$. A simple computation then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_0(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle &= c_{n-1} m! 2^m t^{m+1} \tilde{\varphi}(t) + \int_t^\infty q(t/r) r^m \tilde{\varphi}(r) \, dr \\ &= \pi^{-1} (2\pi)^n \langle \kappa_0(\cdot), \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This finished the proof of the lemma. \square

Corollary 3.5. *With the notation in the previous lemma, we have*

$$A(f, g)(x, t) = \partial_t^m \left(\pi(2\pi)^{-(n+1)/2} S(f, g)(x, t) + \int_t^\infty p(t/r) r^{-1} S(f, g)(x, r) \, dr \right)$$

for every $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

It has become clear that, in order to get continuity properties of A , we need to study the bilinear operator S . We start with an elementary lemma, where $\text{meas}(\cdot)$ denotes the surface measure on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} .

Lemma 3.6. *There is a constant C such that*

$$(3.7) \quad \text{meas}(\{\omega \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}; r/2 < |x - t\omega| < 2r, |x + t\omega| < s\}) \leq C \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{n-1}$$

when $r, s > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n, t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the lemma for $s < r/4$. Denote

$$M(x, t; r, s) = \{\omega \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}; r/2 < |x - t\omega| < 2r, |x + t\omega| < s\}.$$

Since

$$\text{meas}(M(x, -t; r, s)) = \text{meas}(M(x, t; r, s))$$

we may assume $t \geq 0$.

If $\omega \in M(x, t; r, s)$ we must have $\langle x, \omega \rangle \leq 0$. It follows that $r/2 < |x| + t < 2\sqrt{2}r$ when $M(x, t; r, s) \neq \emptyset$. Also $||x| - t| < s < r/4$, hence $|x|, t$ and r are of the same order of magnitude.

Using the fact that the push-forward of the measure $d\omega$ on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} under the mapping $\omega \mapsto \tau = \langle x, \omega \rangle / |x| \in [-1, 1]$ is a multiple of the measure $(1 - \tau^2)^m d\tau$ we easily see that

$$\text{meas}(M(x, t; r, s)) \leq C \int_{N(x, t, s)} (1 - \tau)^m d\tau,$$

where

$$N(x, t, s) = \{\tau \in (0, 1); |x|^2 + t^2 - 2|x|t\tau < s^2\}.$$

Since

$$\int_{N(x, t, s)} (1 - \tau)^m d\tau \leq \int_0^{\frac{s^2 - (|x| - t)^2}{2|x|t}} \tau^m d\tau \leq C \left(\frac{s^2 - (|x| - t)^2}{2|x|t} \right)^{m+1},$$

we have proved that

$$\text{meas}(M(x, t; r, s)) \leq C(s^2/(|x|t))^{\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Recalling that $|x|$ and t are of the same order of magnitude as r , we see that (3.7) holds. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Assume $r, s > 0$, $\phi, \psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, ϕ is supported in the set where $r/2 < |x| < 2r$, ψ is supported in the set where $|x| < s$, and $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Then there is a constant $C = C(a)$, independent of r, s, ϕ and ψ , such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \|S(\phi, \psi)\|_{(a, 0)} \leq C(s/r)^{m+1} \max(\langle r \rangle^a, \langle r + s \rangle^a) \|\phi\| \|\psi\|.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.6 and Cauchy's inequality applied to the integration with respect to ω that there is a constant C such that

$$(3.9) \quad |S(\phi, \psi)(x, t)|^2 \leq Ct^{n-1}(s/r)^{2(m+1)} \int |\phi(x + t\omega)|^2 |\psi(x - t\omega)|^2 d\omega.$$

Since $2(|x|^2 + t^2) = |x + t\omega|^2 + |x - t\omega|^2$ when $\omega \in S^{n-1}$, one has

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (1 + |x|^2 + t^2)^a |S(\phi, \psi)(x, t)|^2 \\ & \leq Ct^{n-1} \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2(m+1)} \int (1 + |x + t\omega|^2 + |x - t\omega|^2)^a |\phi(x + t\omega)|^2 |\psi(x - t\omega)|^2 d\omega \\ & \leq C_1 t^{n-1} \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{2(m+1)} \max(\langle r \rangle^{2a}, \langle r + s \rangle^{2a}) \int |\phi(x + t\omega)|^2 |\psi(x - t\omega)|^2 d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

An integration with respect to x and t in (3.10) gives (3.8). \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $a', a'', a \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy*

$$(3.11) \quad a < m + 1 + \min(a', a''), \quad a \leq a' + a''.$$

Then S is continuous from $H_{(a', 0)} \times H_{(a'', 0)}$ to $H_{(a, 0)}$.

Proof. Choose $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ a smooth decreasing function of $|x|$ such that $\chi(x) = 1$ when $|x| < 1$, $\chi(x) = 0$ when $|x| > 2$ and $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. Set

$$\chi_j(x) = \chi(2^{-j}x) - \chi(2^{1-j}x), \quad j \geq 1, \quad \chi_0(x) = \chi(x)$$

when $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Then $f = \sum_0^\infty \chi_j f$ with convergence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ when f is in that space. In addition, when $\rho \in \mathbf{R}$, there is $C = C(\rho) \geq 0$ such that

$$(3.12) \quad C^{-1} \sum_0^\infty 2^{2\rho j} \|\chi_j f\|^2 \leq \|f\|_{(\rho,0)}^2 \leq C \sum_0^\infty 2^{2\rho j} \|\chi_j f\|^2.$$

Consider $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and denote $s_j = 2^{a'j} \|\chi_j f\|$, $\sigma_k = 2^{a''k} \|\chi_k g\|$. These are $\ell^2(\mathbf{N})$ -sequences with ℓ^2 norm bounded from above by a constant C times $\|f\|_{(a',0)}$ and $\|g\|_{(a'',0)}$, respectively. Set $\varepsilon = m + 1 + \min(a', a'') - a$. Then $\varepsilon > 0$ and we shall show that there is a constant $C > 0$, which depends on a, a', a'' only, such that

$$(3.13) \quad \|S(\chi_j f, \chi_k g)\|_{(a,0)} \leq C 2^{-\varepsilon|j-k|} s_j \sigma_k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(f, g)\|_{(a,0)} &\leq \sum_{j,k \geq 0} \|S(\chi_j f, \chi_k g)\|_{(a,0)} \leq C_1 \sum_{j,k \geq 0} 2^{-\varepsilon|j-k|} s_j \sigma_k \\ &\leq C_2 \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} s_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} \sigma_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|f\|_{(a',0)} \|g\|_{(a'',0)}. \end{aligned}$$

This would prove the statement.

It remains to prove (3.13). Since S is symmetric, and since the condition (3.11) is symmetric in (a', a'') , it suffices to prove (3.13) when $j \geq k$. The previous lemma shows that

$$(3.14) \quad |S(\chi_j f, \chi_k g)| \leq C 2^{-\rho_{jk}} s_j \sigma_k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{jk} &= (j - k)(m + 1) - aj + a'j + a''k \\ &= (j - k)(m + 1 + a' - a) + (a' + a'' - a)k \\ &\geq (j - k)(m + 1 + \min(a', a'') - a) = (j - k)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.13). □

Lemma 3.9. *Define*

$$T(f, g)(x, t) = \int_t^\infty p(t/r) r^{-1} S(f, g)(x, r) dr,$$

when $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then

$$\|T(f, g)\|_{(a,0)} \leq 2 \max_{|s| \leq 1} |p(s)| \cdot \|S(f, g)\|_{(a,0)}$$

when $a \geq 0$.

Proof. We recall that

$$p(t/r)r^{-1}S(f, g)(x, r) = p(t/r)r^m r^{-(m+1)}S(f, g)(x, r)$$

is an odd function of r . Hence

$$|T(f, g)(x, t)| \leq \left| \int_{|t|}^{\infty} p(t/r)r^{-1}S(f, g)(x, r) dr \right| \leq C \int_{|t|}^{\infty} r^{-1}|S(f, g)(x, r)| dr$$

where $C = \max_{|s| \leq 1} |p(s)|$. When $a \geq 0$ we get

$$(1 + |x|^2 + t^2)^{a/2} |T(f, g)(x, t)| \leq C \int_{|t|}^{\infty} r^{-1} (1 + |x|^2 + r^2)^{a/2} |S(f, g)(x, r)| dr$$

The lemma follows therefore if we notice that

$$\int_0^{\infty} H^2(t) dt \leq 4 \int_0^{\infty} h^2(t) dt$$

when $H(t) = \int_t^{\infty} t^{-1} h(t) dt$ and $0 \leq h \in C_0(\mathbf{R})$. In fact, if $\tilde{h}(s) = e^{s/2} h(e^s)$ and $\tilde{H}(s) = e^{s/2} H(e^s)$, then

$$\int_0^{\infty} h^2(t) dt = \|\tilde{h}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^2, \quad \int_0^{\infty} H^2(t) dt = \|\tilde{H}\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R})}^2,$$

and $\tilde{H} = \gamma * \tilde{h}$, where $\gamma(s) = (1 - Y_+(s))e^{s/2}$ has L^1 norm equal to 2. \square

Proposition 3.10. (i) When $a', a'', a \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy

$$0 \leq a < m + 1 + \min(a', a''), \quad a \leq a' + a'',$$

then A extends to a continuous bilinear operator from $H_{(a', 0)} \times H_{(a'', 0)}$ to $H_{(a, -m)}$.

(ii) When $b', b'', b \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy

$$b < m + 1 + \min(b', b''), \quad b \leq b' + b'',$$

then A extends to a continuous bilinear operator from $H_{(0, b')} \times H_{(0, b'')}$ to $H_{(0, b-m)}$.

Proof. A combination of Corollary 3.5, Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.9 gives (i), and (ii) follows from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.8. \square

Proposition 3.11. Let $(a', b', a'', b'', a, b) \in \mathbf{R}^6$ satisfy

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq a < m + 1 + \min(a', a''), \quad a \leq a' + a'', \quad b < m + 1 + \min(b', b''), \quad b \leq b' + b'', \\ a + b < m + 1 + \min(a', a'') + \min(b', b''). \end{aligned}$$

Then A is continuous from $H_{(a', b')} \times H_{(a'', b'')}$ to $H_{(a, b-m)}$.

Proof. If $(a', b', a'', b'', a, b) \in \mathbf{R}^6$ satisfy (3.15), it is easy to see that there is an $\theta \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$a < \theta(m+1) + \min(a', a''), \quad b < (1-\theta)(m+1) + \min(b', b'').$$

This shows that $a'/\theta, a''/\theta, a/\theta$, respectively $b'/(1-\theta), b''/(1-\theta), b/(1-\theta)$ satisfy the conditions in Proposition 3.10, hence

$$(a'/\theta, 0, a''/\theta, 0, a/\theta, -m) \in I(A),$$

$$(0, b'/(1-\theta), 0, b''/(1-\theta), 0, -m + b/(1-\theta)) \in I(A).$$

The proposition then follows by Theorem 2.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Theorem 1.1 follows now directly from Proposition 3.11 and Corollary 3.2. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BERGH, J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation Theory. An Introduction*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. **223**, Springer, Berlin.
- [2] L. HÖRMANDER, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1983-1985.
- [3] A. MELIN, Smoothness of higher order terms in backscattering. In *Wave phenomena and asymptotic analysis*, RIMS Kokyuroku 1315 (2003), 43–51.
- [4] A. MELIN, Some transforms in potential scattering in odd dimension. Inverse problems and spectral theory, 103–134, *Contemp. Math.*, **348**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS "SIMION STOILOW" OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, PO Box 1-764, RO 014700
BUCHAREST, ROMANIA

E-mail address: Ingrid.Beltita@imar.ro

LUND UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN

E-mail address: andersmelin@hotmail.com