

# The derivation algebra and automorphism group of the twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra<sup>1</sup>

Junbo Li<sup>\*,†)</sup>, Yucai Su<sup>‡)</sup>

<sup>\*)</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

<sup>†)</sup>Department of Mathematics, Changshu Institute of Technology, Changshu 215500, China

<sup>‡)</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

E-mail: sd-junbo@163.com, ycsu@ustc.edu.cn

**Abstract.** In this article, we determine the derivation algebra  $\text{Der}\mathcal{L}$  and the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}\mathcal{L}$  of the twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Key words:** twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra; derivation algebra; automorphism group.

*MR(2000) Subject Classification:* 17B05, 17B40, 17B65, 17B68.

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the infinite-dimensional Schrödinger Lie algebras and Virasoro algebra play important roles in many areas of mathematics and physics (e.g., statistical physics). The original Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra was introduced in [5], in the context of non-equilibrium statistical physics, containing as subalgebras both the Lie algebra of invariance of the free Schrödinger equation and the Virasoro algebra. The infinite-dimensional Lie algebra discussed in this article called the twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra is the twisted deformation of the original Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra.

Both original and twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras are closely related to the Schrödinger Lie algebras and the Virasoro Lie algebra (see [6], [7], [14] and [12]). They should consequently play a role akin to that of the Virasoro Lie algebra in two-dimensional equilibrium statistical physics.

Motivated by the research for deformations and central extensions of both original and twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras, the sets of generators provided by the cohomology classes of the cocycles were presented in [10]. In [13], the author constructed vertex algebra representations of the Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras out of a charged symplectic boson and a free boson with its associated vertex operators. The purpose of this article is to determine the derivation algebra and the automorphism group of the twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebras  $\mathcal{L}$ . We show that the derivation algebra of  $\mathcal{L}$  is the direct sum of three linear independent outer derivations and the inner derivation algebra. Finally, we characterize the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Now we give the definition of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$ . A Lie algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is called a *twisted*

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported by NSF grants 10471091, 10671027 of China, One Hundred Talents Program from University of Science and Technology of China.

Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra (see [10]), if  $\mathcal{L}$  has the  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis

$$\{L_n, Y_n, M_n, C \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

with the Lie brackets

$$[L_n, L_{n'}] = (n' - n)L_{n+n'} + \delta_{n,-n'} \frac{n^3 - n}{12} C, \quad (1.1)$$

$$[L_n, Y_m] = (m - \frac{n}{2})Y_{n+m}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$[L_n, M_p] = pM_{n+p}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$[Y_m, Y_{m'}] = (m' - m)M_{m+m'}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$[Y_m, M_p] = [M_n, M_p] = [\mathcal{L}, C] = 0. \quad (1.5)$$

The twisted Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie algebra has an infinite-dimensional *twisted Schrödinger subalgebra* denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}$  with the  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{Y_n, M_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and a *Virasoro subalgebra* denoted by  $\mathfrak{V}$  with the  $\mathbb{C}$ -basis  $\{L_n, C \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . The action of the Virasoro subalgebra on the Schrödinger subalgebra is natural. The center of  $\mathcal{L}$ , denoted by  $C(\mathcal{L})$ , is two-dimensional, spanned by  $\{M_0, C\}$ . Introduce a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation on  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\deg L_n = \deg Y_n = \deg M_n = n$ ,  $\deg C = 0$  and decompose  $\mathcal{L}$  with respect to the following gradation:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_n, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_0, Y_0, M_0, C\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_n = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{L_n, Y_n, M_n\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Throughout the article, we denote by  $\mathbb{Z}^*$  the set of all nonzero integers and  $\mathbb{C}^*$  the set of all nonzero complex numbers.

## 2. The derivation algebra $\text{Der } \mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{L}$

Let  $V$  be a  $\mathcal{L}$ -module. A linear map  $\varphi$  from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $V$  is called a *derivation*, if for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{L}$ , we have

$$\varphi[x, y] = x.\varphi(y) - y.\varphi(x).$$

For  $v \in V$ , the map  $\phi : x \rightarrow x.v$  is called an *inner derivation*.

Denote by  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, V)$  the vector space of all derivations,  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L}, V)$  the vector space of all inner derivations. Then the first cohomology group of  $\mathcal{L}$  with coefficients in  $V$  is

$$H^1(\mathcal{L}, V) = \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{L}, V) / \text{Inn}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{L}, V). \quad (2.1)$$

The right-hand side is also called the space of *outer derivations*.

By definition, the algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  is a semidirect product of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded algebras  $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{V} \ltimes \mathfrak{S}$ , with  $\mathfrak{V} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L_n \oplus \mathbb{C}C$  being the Virasoro algebra and  $\mathfrak{S} := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{C}Y_n \oplus \mathbb{C}M_n)$  being

the twisted Schrödinger algebra. Clearly,  $\mathfrak{V}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by  $\mathfrak{V}_0 = \mathbb{C}L_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}C$  and  $\mathfrak{V}_n = \mathbb{C}L_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  while  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded by  $\mathfrak{S}_n = \mathbb{C}Y_n \oplus \mathbb{C}M_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By [8], the short exact sequence

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S} \longrightarrow \{0\},$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \{0\} \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{f} H^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow \\ H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces. Note that  $H^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) = \mathfrak{S}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathbb{C}M_0$ , while  $H^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathbb{C}M_0 + \mathbb{C}C$ , and  $H^0(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) = (\mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S})^{\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathbb{C}C$ . From this we see that the map  $f$  is surjective. Thus (2.2) gives the following exact sequence

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}). \quad (2.3)$$

The right-hand side of (2.3) can be computed from the exact sequence

$$\{0\} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S})^{\mathcal{L}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that  $H^1(\mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) = H^1(\mathfrak{V}, \mathfrak{V})$  is the algebra of outer derivations of the Virasoro algebra  $\mathfrak{V}$ , which is equal to zero by [14], while  $H^1(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S})^{\mathcal{L}}$  can be embedded into  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{V})}(\mathfrak{S}/[\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}], \mathfrak{V})$  (where  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{V})$  is the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{V}$ ). Therefore, by (2.3) and (2.4),  $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$  will follow from the result of the computation of  $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})$  and  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{V})}(\mathfrak{S}/[\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}], \mathfrak{V})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.**  $\text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) = \text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})_0 + \text{Inn}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})$ , where

$$\text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})_0 = \{\mathcal{D} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) \mid \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n, \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from (1.2) of [1]. □

**Lemma 2.2.**  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})_0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a linear map from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mathcal{D}(L_n) = (dn + d_1)M_n$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{D}(M_n) = 2g_0M_n$ ,
- (iii)  $\mathcal{D}(Y_n) = g_0Y_n$ ,
- (iv)  $\mathcal{D}(C) = 0$ ,

for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and some  $d, d_1, g_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a linear map from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}$  satisfying (i)–(iv). It is clear that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}_n$ , and it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a derivation from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})_0$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , assume

$$\mathcal{D}(L_n) = c_n Y_n + d_n M_n, \quad c_n, d_n \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.5)$$

Applying  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $[L_1, L_n] = (n-1)L_{n+1}$  and  $[L_{-1}, L_{n+1}] = (n+2)L_n$ , we obtain

$$(d_1 - nd_n + (n-1)d_{n+1})M_{n+1} = \left(\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)c_1 + \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)c_n - (n-1)c_{n+1}\right)Y_{n+1}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\left((n+2)d_n - d_{-1} - (n+1)d_{n+1}\right)M_n = \left(\frac{n+3}{2}c_{-1} - (n+2)c_n + \left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)c_{n+1}\right)Y_n. \quad (2.7)$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $M_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}$  in (2.6) and  $M_n, Y_n$  in (2.7), one has

$$(n-1)d_{n+1} = nd_n - d_1, \quad (2.8)$$

$$(n-1)c_{n+1} = \left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right)c_1 + \left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)c_n, \quad (2.9)$$

$$(n+1)d_{n+1} = (n+2)d_n - d_{-1}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\left(n + \frac{3}{2}\right)c_{n+1} = (n+2)c_n - \frac{n+3}{2}c_{-1}. \quad (2.11)$$

Subtracting (2.8) from (2.10), and (2.9) from (2.11), we respectively obtain

$$d_{n+1} - d_n = \frac{d_1 - d_{-1}}{2}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$c_{n+1} - c_n = -\frac{n}{5}(c_{-1} + c_1) + \frac{1}{5}(2c_1 - 3c_{-1}). \quad (2.13)$$

Denoting  $\frac{d_1 - d_{-1}}{2} = d$  and using induction on  $n$  in (2.12), one can deduce

$$d_n = d_1 + (n-1)d, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.14)$$

Taking  $n = -1$  in (2.11), one obtains  $c_0 = 0$ . Then taking  $n = 0$  in (2.13) gives  $c_1 = -c_{-1}$ . From this, (2.13) can be rewritten as  $c_{n+1} - c_n = c_1$ . Thus  $c_n = nc_1$ . This together with (2.9) gives

$$c_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.15)$$

According to the equations (2.14) and (2.15), for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can rewrite (2.5) as

$$\mathcal{D}(L_n) = (d_1 + (n-1)d)M_n, \quad \text{where } d, d_1 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.16)$$

Re-denoting  $d_1 - d$  by  $d_1$ , we obtain (i).

Applying  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $[L_{-3}, L_3] = 6L_0 - 2C$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
6(d_1 - d)M_0 - 2\mathcal{D}(C) &= 6\mathcal{D}(L_0) - 2\mathcal{D}(C) = \mathcal{D}([L_{-3}, L_3]) \\
&= [(d_1 - 4d)M_{-3}, L_3] + [L_{-3}, (d_1 + 2d)M_3] \\
&= 3(d_1 - 4d)M_0 + 3(d_1 + 2d)M_0 \\
&= 6(d_1 - d)M_0.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives  $\mathcal{D}(C) = 0$ . Thus (iv) follows.

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , write

$$\mathcal{D}(Y_n) = g_n Y_n + h_n M_n, \quad g_n, h_n \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.17)$$

Applying  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $[L_1, Y_n] = (n - \frac{1}{2})Y_{n+1}$  and comparing the coefficients of  $Y_{n+1}$  and  $M_{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$(n - \frac{1}{2})g_n = (n - \frac{1}{2})g_{n+1}, \quad nh_n = (n - \frac{1}{2})h_{n+1}. \quad (2.18)$$

Applying  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $[L_{-1}, Y_1] = \frac{3}{2}Y_0$  and comparing the coefficients of  $Y_0$  and  $M_0$ , we obtain  $g_1 = g_0$ ,  $h_1 = \frac{3}{2}h_0$ . Then using induction on  $n$  in (2.18), one obtains

$$g_n = g_0, \quad h_n = 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.19)$$

Therefore, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one can rewrite (2.17) as  $\mathcal{D}(Y_n) = g_0 Y_n$ , i.e., (iii) follows.

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , applying  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $[Y_m, Y_n] = (n - m)M_n$  and using (iii), one can easily obtain (ii).  $\square$

Set  $d_1 = 1, d = g_0 = 0$ ;  $d = 1, d_1 = g_0 = 0$ ;  $g_0 = 1, d_1 = d = 0$  in  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S})_0$  respectively, we obtain three derivations from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}_1 : \quad &\mathcal{D}_1(L_n) = M_n, \quad \mathcal{D}_1(M_n) = \mathcal{D}_1(Y_n) = \mathcal{D}_1(C) = 0; \\
\mathcal{D}_2 : \quad &\mathcal{D}_2(L_n) = nM_n, \quad \mathcal{D}_2(M_n) = \mathcal{D}_2(Y_n) = \mathcal{D}_2(C) = 0; \\
\mathcal{D}_3 : \quad &\mathcal{D}_3(Y_n) = Y_n, \quad \mathcal{D}_3(M_n) = 2M_n, \quad \mathcal{D}_3(L_n) = \mathcal{D}_3(C) = 0;
\end{aligned}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\mathcal{D}_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ , are linear independent outer derivations. Thus (2.1) and Lemma 2.2 prove Lemma 2.3 below.

**Lemma 2.3.**  $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{S}) = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_2 + \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_3$ .

**Lemma 2.4.**  $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{Y})}(\mathfrak{S}/[\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}], \mathfrak{Y}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}$ , we have  $[\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}] = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{M_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . For any  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{Y})}(\mathfrak{S}/[\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}], \mathfrak{Y})$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one can assume

$$f(Y_n) = \sum_k p_k^{(n)} L_k + c^{(n)} C, \quad \text{for some } p_k^{(n)}, c^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , applying  $f$  to both sides of  $[L_m, Y_n] = (n - m)Y_{m+n}$ , using  $f([L_m, Y_n]) = [L_m, f(Y_n)]$ , one has

$$\sum_k (k - m)p_k^{(n)} L_{k+m} + p_{-m}^{(n)} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} C = (n - m) \left( \sum_k p_k^{(m+n)} L_k + c^{(m+n)} C \right). \quad (2.20)$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $C$  and  $L_k$  in (2.20), one has

$$p_{-m}^{(n)} \frac{m^3 - m}{12} = (n - m)c^{(m+n)}, \quad (2.21)$$

$$(n - m)p_k^{(m+n)} = (k - 2m)p_{k-m}^{(n)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Taking  $m = 0, n \neq 0$  and  $m = 1, n = -1$  in (2.21) respectively, one immediately obtains  $C^{(n)} = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using this in (2.21), one has

$$p_k^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{for all } k \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}. \quad (2.23)$$

If  $k \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ , by choosing some  $m$  such that  $2n - m \neq 0$  and  $k - m \notin \{-1, 0, 1\}$  and replacing  $n$  by  $n - m$  in (2.22), and using (2.23), one again obtains  $p_k^{(n)} = 0$ . This proves  $f(Y_n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.**  $\text{Der } \mathcal{L} = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_1 \dot{+} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_2 \dot{+} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_3 \dot{+} \text{ad } \mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4,  $H^1(\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S})^{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ . According to the exact sequence (2.4) and the fact  $H^1(\mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) = 0$ , we have  $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}/\mathfrak{S}) = 0$ . So by Lemma 2.3 and the exact sequence (2.3), one has

$$H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_1 \dot{+} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_2 \dot{+} \mathbb{C}\mathcal{D}_3.$$

Hence the theorem follows from  $H^1(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) = \text{Der } \mathcal{L} / \text{ad } \mathcal{L}$ .  $\square$

### 3. The automorphism group $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{L}$

Throughout this section, we denote by  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$  the set of inner automorphisms. Then  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$  is a normal subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{L}$  and

$$\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L}) \text{ is generated by } \exp(k\text{ad } L_0), \exp(k\text{ad } Y_n) \text{ and } \exp(k\text{ad } M_n), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Denote  $J_1 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{M_n, C \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and  $J_2 = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{Y_n, M_n, C \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . They both are ideals of  $\mathcal{L}$ . And the following lemma is clear.

**Lemma 3.1.** For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}\mathcal{L}$ , one has  $\sigma(J_i) \subseteq J_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $\sigma(C(\mathcal{L})) \subseteq C(\mathcal{L})$ .

For any  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , we can define the following maps on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$$\sigma_a : L_n \longrightarrow a^n L_n, \quad Y_n \longrightarrow a^n Y_n, \quad M_n \longrightarrow a^n M_n, \quad C \longrightarrow C; \quad (3.2)$$

$$\varepsilon : L_n \longrightarrow -L_{-n}, \quad Y_n \longrightarrow -Y_{-n}, \quad M_n \longrightarrow -M_{-n}, \quad C \longrightarrow -C; \quad (3.3)$$

$$\psi_a : L_n \longrightarrow L_n, \quad Y_n \longrightarrow aY_n, \quad M_n \longrightarrow a^2 M_n, \quad C \longrightarrow C. \quad (3.4)$$

It is easy to see that  $\sigma_a \in \text{Inn}\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\varepsilon, \psi_a \in \text{Aut}\mathcal{L} \setminus \text{Inn}\mathcal{L}$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ , there exists an automorphism  $\xi \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$  of the form  $\exp(\sum_{j \neq 0} b'_j \text{ad}Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} c'_k \text{ad}M_k)$  such that  $\xi^{-1}\sigma(L_0) = a(L_0 + b_0 Y_0 + c_0 M_0 + d_0 C)$ , where  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $b_0, c_0, d_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ , we can assume that

$$\sigma(L_0) = \sum_{i \neq 0} a_i L_i + \sum_{j \neq 0} b_j Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} c_k M_k + a(L_0 + b_0 Y_0 + c_0 M_0 + d_0 C), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $a_i, b_j, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$  (we must have  $a \neq 0$ , otherwise,  $\sigma(L_0)$  would be ad-locally nilpotent while  $L_0$  is ad-semi-simple).

We shall find some  $\xi = \exp(\sum_{j \neq 0} b'_j \text{ad}Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} c'_k \text{ad}M_k) \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$ , such that

$$\sigma(L_0) = \exp(\sum_{j \neq 0} b'_j \text{ad}Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} c'_k \text{ad}M_k)(a'(L_0 + b'_0 Y_0 + c'_0 M_0 + d'_0 C)). \quad (3.6)$$

for some  $a' \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $b'_j, c'_k, d'_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ . We only need to solve  $a', b'_j, c'_k, d'_0$  by comparing the coefficients of  $L_i, Y_j, M_k$  and  $C$ , which gives

$$a = a', \quad b_0 = b'_0, \quad c_0 = c'_0, \quad d_0 = d'_0, \quad b'_j = -a^{-1} j^{-1} b_j, \quad (3.7)$$

$$c'_k = -b_0 b'_k - a^{-1} k^{-1} c_k - \frac{\sum_{i+l=k} l(l-i) k^{-1} a^{-2} i^{-1} l^{-1} b_i b_l}{2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Applying  $\xi^{-1}$  to both sides of (3.5) and using (3.7), we obtain

$$\xi^{-1}\sigma(L_0) = a(L_0 + b_0 Y_0 + c_0 M_0 + d_0 C). \quad (3.9)$$

**Lemma 3.3.**  $b_0 = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $L_0$  is an ad-semi-simple element in  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $\xi^{-1}\sigma(L_0) = a(L_0 + b_0 Y_0 + c_0 M_0 + d_0 C)$  is also ad-semi-simple in  $\mathcal{L}$ . By linear algebra, the following matrix (given by the adjoint action of  $\text{ad} a(L_0 + b_0 Y_0 + c_0 M_0 + d_0 C)$  on the basis  $\{L_n, Y_n, M_n, C\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}_n$ )

$$\begin{pmatrix} an & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{ab_0 n}{2} & an & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ab_0 n & an & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

can be diagonalized, which forces  $b_0 = 0$ . This lemma follows.  $\square$

According to Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ , by replacing  $\sigma$  with  $\xi\sigma$  for some  $\xi \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$ , we can write

$$\sigma(L_0) = a(L_0 + c_0M_0 + d_0C), \quad (3.10)$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $c_0, d_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *For any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ , by replacing  $\sigma$  with  $\varepsilon\sigma$  if necessary where  $\varepsilon$  is defined in (3.3), we can write*

$$\sigma(L_0) = L_0 + c_0M_0 + d_0C, \quad \sigma(Y_n) = e'_nY_n + e''_nM_n + \delta_{n,0}e_0C, \quad \sigma(M_n) = f'_nM_n + \delta_{n,0}f_0C,$$

for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e'_n, f'_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $e_0, f_0, e''_n \in \mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1, we can write

$$\sigma(Y_n) = \sum_i e'_i Y_i + \sum_j e''_j M_j + e_n C, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\sigma(M_n) = \sum_i f'_i M_i + f_n C, \quad (3.12)$$

where  $e'_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $f'_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$  ( $i \neq 0$ ),  $e''_j, e_n, f'_0, f_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Applying  $\sigma$  to  $[L_0, Y_n] = nY_n$  and  $[L_0, M_n] = nM_n$ , we obtain

$$\left[ a(L_0 + c_0M_0 + d_0C), \sum_i e'_i Y_i + \sum_j e''_j M_j + e_n C \right] = n(\sum_i e'_i Y_i + \sum_j e''_j M_j + e_n C),$$

$$\left[ a(L_0 + c_0M_0 + d_0C), \sum_i f'_i M_i + f_n C \right] = n(\sum_i f'_i M_i + f_n C).$$

That is,

$$\sum_i (ai - n)e'_i Y_i + \sum_j (aj - n)e''_j M_j - ne_n C = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sum_i (ai - n)f'_i M_i - nf_n C = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $Y_i$ ,  $M_j$  and  $C$  in the above two equations, we obtain

$$i = \frac{n}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (aj - n)e''_j = 0, \quad e_n = \delta_{n,0}e_0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_n = \delta_{n,0}f_0, \quad (3.15)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It follows that

$$a = \pm 1, \quad i = an \quad \text{and} \quad e''_j = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad j \neq an. \quad (3.16)$$



According to (3.15) and (3.16), one can respectively rewrite (3.11) and (3.12) as

$$\sigma(Y_n) = e'_{an}Y_{an} + e''_{an}M_{an} + \delta_{n,0}e_0C, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\sigma(M_n) = f'_{an}M_{an} + \delta_{n,0}f_0C. \quad (3.18)$$

For one case  $a = 1$ , the lemma is right. For the other case  $a = -1$ , replacing  $\sigma$  with  $\varepsilon\sigma$ , we can rewrite (3.10), (3.17) and (3.18) as those in the lemma. Then this lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$  be such that*

$$\sigma(L_0) = L_0 + c_0M_0 + d_0C, \sigma(Y_n) = e'_nY_n + e''_nM_n + \delta_{n,0}e_0C, \sigma(M_n) = f'_nM_n + \delta_{n,0}f_0C,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e'_n, f'_n \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $e_0, f_0, e''_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then by replacing  $\sigma$  by  $\psi_x\sigma_y\sigma$  for some  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^*$  (where  $\sigma_y$  and  $\psi_x$  are defined in (3.2) and (3.4) respectively), one can suppose  $\sigma = \delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$  for some  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ , where  $\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$  is defined by

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(C) = C, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(M_n) = M_n, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(Y_n) = Y_n + 2\alpha nM_n, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}(L_n) = L_n + \alpha nY_n + (\alpha^2 n^2 + \beta n + \gamma)M_n. \quad (3.22)$$

*Proof.* For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , we can write

$$\sigma(L_n) = \sum_i u_i L_i + \sum_j v_j Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} w_k M_k + C_n, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $u_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $v_j, w_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $C_n \in C(\mathcal{L})$ .

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^*$ , applying  $\sigma$  to  $nL_n = [L_0, L_n]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i n u_i L_i + \sum_j n v_j Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} n w_k M_k + n C_n &= n \sigma(L_n) = \sigma([L_0, L_n]) \\ &= \sum_i i u_i L_i + \sum_j j v_j Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} k w_k M_k. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $L_i, Y_i, M_i$  and  $C_n$ , one has

$$u_i = v_i = w_i = 0 \text{ if } i \neq n, \text{ and } C_n = 0 \text{ for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}^*.$$

Hence (3.23) can be rewritten as

$$\sigma(L_n) = u_n L_n + v_n Y_n + w_n M_n \text{ where } n \in \mathbb{Z}^*. \quad (3.24)$$

For  $n \neq 0, \pm 1$ , applying  $\sigma$  to  $(n-1)L_{n+1} = [L_1, L_n]$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1)\sigma(L_{n+1}) &= (n-1)(u_{n+1}L_{n+1} + v_{n+1}Y_{n+1} + w_{n+1}M_{n+1}) = [\sigma(L_1), \sigma(L_n)] \\ &= (n-1)u_1 u_n L_{n+1} + \left((n-\frac{1}{2})u_1 v_n + \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)v_1 u_n\right) Y_{n+1} \\ &\quad + (n u_1 w_n + (n-1)v_1 v_n - w_1 u_n) M_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $L_{n+1}$ ,  $Y_{n+1}$  and  $M_{n+1}$ , one has

$$u_{n+1} = u_1 u_n, \quad (3.25)$$

$$(n-1)v_{n+1} = (n - \frac{1}{2})u_1 v_n + (\frac{n}{2} - 1)v_1 u_n, \quad (3.26)$$

$$(n-1)w_{n+1} = n u_1 w_n + (n-1)v_1 v_n - w_1 u_n. \quad (3.27)$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to  $[L_1, L_{-1}] = -2L_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -2\sigma(L_0) &= -2(L_0 + c_0 M_0 + d_0 C) = \sigma([L_1, L_{-1}]) \\ &= -2u_1 u_{-1} L_0 - \frac{3}{2}(u_1 v_{-1} + v_1 u_{-1})Y_0 - (u_1 w_{-1} + 2v_1 v_{-1} + w_1 u_{-1})M_0. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $L_0$ ,  $Y_0$ ,  $M_0$  and  $C$ , one has

$$u_{-1} = u_1^{-1}, \quad (3.28)$$

$$v_{-1} = -u_1^{-2} v_1, \quad (3.29)$$

$$c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 w_{-1} + 2v_1 v_{-1} + w_1 u_1^{-1}), \quad d_0 = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

By (3.10), we can rewrite  $\sigma L_0$  as

$$\sigma(L_0) = L_0 + \frac{1}{2}(u_1 w_{-1} + 2v_1 v_{-1} + w_1 u_1^{-1})M_0.$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to  $[L_2, L_{-2}] = -4L_0 + \frac{1}{2}C$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -4(L_0 + c_0 M_0) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma C &= \sigma([L_2, L_{-2}]) \\ &= -4u_2 u_{-2} L_0 - 3(u_2 v_{-2} + v_2 u_{-2})Y_0 + \frac{1}{2}u_2 u_{-2} C - 2u_2 w_{-2} M_0 \\ &\quad - 4v_2 v_{-2} M_0 - 2w_2 u_{-2} M_0. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $L_0$ ,  $Y_0$ , using (3.30) and Lemma 3.1, one has (noting that  $\sigma C \in C(\mathcal{L})$ )

$$u_2 = u_{-2}^{-1} = u_1^2, \quad (3.31)$$

$$v_2 = -u_2 v_{-2} u_{-2}^{-1} = 2u_1 v_1, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\sigma C = C + 4(u_1 w_{-1} + 2v_1 v_{-1} + w_1 u_1^{-1} - u_2 w_{-2} - 2v_2 v_{-2} - w_2 u_{-2})M_0. \quad (3.33)$$

According to (3.28), (3.31) and (3.25), one obtains

$$u_n = u_1^n \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}^*. \quad (3.34)$$

Similarly, by (3.29), (3.32) and (3.26), one has

$$v_n = nu_1^{n-1}v_1 \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{Z}^*. \quad (3.35)$$

Noting the equations (3.34) and (3.35), for  $n \neq 0, \pm 1$ , we can rewrite (3.27) as

$$(n-1)w_{n+1} = nu_1w_n + (n-1)u_1^{n-1}v_1^2 - w_1u_1^n. \quad (3.36)$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of  $[L_1, Y_n] = (n - \frac{1}{2})Y_{n+1}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & (n - \frac{1}{2})(u_1e'_n - e'_{n+1})Y_{n+1} + ((n-1)v_1e'_n + nu_1e''_n - (n - \frac{1}{2})e''_{n+1})M_{n+1} \\ &= (n - \frac{1}{2})\delta_{n+1,0}e_0C. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $Y_{n+1}$ ,  $M_{n+1}$  and  $C$  in the above equation, one has

$$e_0 = 0, \quad (3.37)$$

$$e'_{n+1} = u_1e'_n, \quad (3.38)$$

$$(n - \frac{1}{2})e''_{n+1} = (n-1)v_1e'_n + nu_1e''_n. \quad (3.39)$$

Using induction on  $n$  in (3.38), one has

$$e'_n = u_1^n e'_0. \quad (3.40)$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of  $[L_{-1}, Y_1] = \frac{3}{2}Y_0$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2}\sigma(Y_0) &= \frac{3}{2}e'_1u_{-1}Y_0 + (2e'_1v_{-1} + e''_1u_{-1})M_0 \\ &= \frac{3}{2}e'_1u_1^{-1}Y_0 + (-2e'_1u_1^{-2}v_1 + e''_1u_1^{-1})M_0 \\ &= \frac{3}{2}e'_0Y_0 + (-2u_1e'_0u_1^{-2}v_1 + 2v_1e'_0u_1^{-1})M_0 \\ &= \frac{3}{2}e'_0Y_0. \end{aligned}$$

So we can rewrite  $\sigma Y_0$  as  $\sigma Y_0 = e'_0Y_0$ , and  $e''_0 = 0$ . Using induction on  $n$  in (3.39), one has

$$e''_n = 2nu_1^{n-1}v_1e'_0. \quad (3.41)$$

By the equations (3.37), (3.40) and (3.41), for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can rewrite  $\sigma(Y_n)$  as

$$\sigma(Y_n) = u_1^n e'_0 Y_n + 2nu_1^{n-1}v_1 e'_0 M_n. \quad (3.42)$$

For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of  $[L_1, M_n] = nM_{n+1}$ , one has

$$nu_1 f'_n M_{n+1} = n f'_{n+1} M_{n+1} + n \delta_{n+1,0} f_0 C. \quad (3.43)$$

For  $n = -1$ , comparing the coefficients of  $M_0$  and  $C$  in (3.43), one has

$$f'_{-1} = u_1^{-1}f'_0, \quad f_0 = 0. \quad (3.44)$$

For  $n \neq 0, -1$ , using (3.44) and comparing the coefficients of  $M_{n+1}$  and  $C$  in (3.43), one has

$$f'_{n+1} = u_1 f'_n. \quad (3.45)$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to both sides of  $[L_2, M_{-1}] = -M_1$  and comparing the coefficients of  $M_1$ , one has

$$f'_1 = u_2 f'_{-1} = u_1^2 u_1^{-1} f'_0 = u_1 f'_0. \quad (3.46)$$

According to the equations (3.44), (3.46) and using induction on  $n$  in (3.45), one has

$$f'_n = u_1^n f'_0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.47)$$

By the equations (3.44) and (3.47), for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can rewrite  $\sigma(M_n)$  as

$$\sigma(M_n) = u_1^n f'_0 M_n. \quad (3.48)$$

Applying  $\sigma$  to  $[Y_{-1}, Y_1] = 2M_0$  and comparing the coefficients of  $M_0$ , one has

$$f'_0 = e_0'^2. \quad (3.49)$$

By the equations (3.48) and (3.49), for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we can rewrite  $\sigma(M_n)$  as

$$\sigma(M_n) = u_1^n e_0'^2 M_n. \quad (3.50)$$

By now, the results that we have obtained can be formulated as follows

$$\sigma(L_n) = \begin{cases} u_1^n L_n + n u_1^{n-1} v_1 Y_n + w_n M_n, & n \neq 0; \\ L_0 + \frac{1}{2}(u_1 w_{-1} - 2u_1^{-2} v_1^2 + u_1^{-1} w_1) M_0, & n = 0; \end{cases} \quad (3.51)$$

$$\sigma(Y_n) = u_1^n e_0' Y_n + 2n u_1^{n-1} v_1 e_0' M_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (3.52)$$

$$\sigma(M_n) = u_1^n e_0'^2 M_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (3.53)$$

$$\sigma(C) = C + 4(u_1 w_{-1} + 6u_1^{-2} v_1^2 + u_1^{-1} w_1 - u_1^2 w_{-2} - u_1^{-2} w_2) M_0. \quad (3.54)$$

Replacing  $\sigma$  by  $\psi_{e_0'^{-1}} \sigma_{u_1^{-1}} \sigma$  where  $\sigma_{u_1^{-1}}$  and  $\psi_{e_0'^{-1}}$  are defined in (3.2) and (3.4) and denoting  $e_0'^{-1} u_1^{-1} v_1$  by  $v_1'$ ,  $e_0'^{-2} u_1^{-n} w_n$  by  $w_n'$ , we can rewrite the above equations as follows

$$\sigma(L_n) = \begin{cases} L_n + n v_1' Y_n + w_n' M_n, & n \neq 0; \\ L_0 + \frac{1}{2}(w_{-1}' - 2v_1'^2 + w_1') M_0, & n = 0; \end{cases} \quad (3.55)$$

$$\sigma(Y_n) = Y_n + 2n v_1' M_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (3.56)$$

$$\sigma(M_n) = M_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}; \quad (3.57)$$

$$\sigma(C) = C + 4(w_{-1}' + 6v_1'^2 + w_1' - w_{-2}' - w_2') M_0. \quad (3.58)$$

For  $n \neq -1, 0$ , applying  $\sigma$  to  $[L_1, L_n] = (n-1)L_{n+1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma([L_1, L_n]) &= (n-1)(L_{n+1} + (n+1)v'_1 Y_{n+1} + w'_{n+1} M_{n+1}) \\ &= (n-1)L_{n+1} + (n(n-\frac{1}{2})v'_1 + (\frac{n}{2}-1)v'_1)Y_{n+1} \\ &\quad + (nw'_n + n(n-1)v_1'^2 - w'_1)M_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $M_{n+1}$ , one has

$$(n-1)w'_{n+1} = nw'_n + n(n-1)v_1'^2 - w'_1. \quad (3.59)$$

In particular, one has

$$w'_{-2} = w'_{-1} + 3v_1'^2 + \frac{w'_{-1} - w'_1}{2} = w'_1 + 3v_1'^2 + \frac{-3(w'_1 - w'_{-1})}{2}. \quad (3.60)$$

For  $n \neq -1, 0$ , applying  $\sigma$  to  $[L_{-1}, L_{n+1}] = (n+2)L_n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma([L_{-1}, L_{n+1}]) &= (n+2)(L_n + nv'_1 Y_n + w'_n M_n) \\ &= (n+2)L_n + ((n+1)(n+\frac{3}{2})v'_1 - \frac{n+3}{2}v'_1)Y_n \\ &\quad + ((n+1)w'_{n+1} - (n+1)(n+2)v_1'^2 + w'_{-1})M_n.\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $M_n$ , one has

$$(n+1)w'_{n+1} = (n+2)w'_n + (n+1)(n+2)v_1'^2 - w'_{-1}. \quad (3.61)$$

In particular, one has

$$w'_2 = w'_1 + 3v_1'^2 + \frac{w'_1 - w'_{-1}}{2}. \quad (3.62)$$

For  $n \neq -2, 0, \pm 1$ , subtracting (3.59) from (3.61), one has

$$w'_{n+1} - w'_n = (2n+1)v_1'^2 + \frac{w'_1 - w'_{-1}}{2}. \quad (3.63)$$

According to the equations (3.60), (3.62) and (3.63), we obtain

$$w'_n = w'_1 + (n-1)(n+1)v_1'^2 + \frac{(n-1)(w'_1 - w'_{-1})}{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^*. \quad (3.64)$$

If we denote  $v'_1, \frac{w'_1 - w'_{-1}}{2}, w'_1 - v_1'^2 - \frac{w'_1 - w'_{-1}}{2}$  respectively by  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , then for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the equations (3.55)–(3.58) can be rewritten as (3.19)–(3.22). The lemma follows.  $\square$

From (3.19)—(3.22), one immediately see

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\delta_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma'} = \delta_{\alpha+\alpha',\beta+\beta',\gamma+\gamma'+2\alpha\alpha'}, \quad (3.65)$$

$$\delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} = \delta_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma'} \iff \alpha = \alpha', \beta = \beta', \gamma = \gamma'. \quad (3.66)$$

For any

$$b = (\cdots, b_{-2}, b_{-1}, b_1, b_2, \cdots), \quad c = (\cdots, c_{-2}, c_{-1}, c_1, c_2, \cdots) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty, \quad (3.67)$$

we denote

$$\xi_{b,c} = \exp\left(\sum_{j \neq 0} b_j \text{ad} Y_j + \sum_{k \neq 0} c_k \text{ad} M_k\right) \in \text{Inn}(\mathcal{L}). \quad (3.68)$$

Then from the proofs of Lemmas 3.1–3.5, we see that every element  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$  can be uniquely expressed as (the uniqueness can be seen from the fact that each data in  $(b, c, i, u, w, \alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  is uniquely determined by  $\sigma$ )

$$\sigma = \xi_{b,c} \varepsilon^i \sigma_u \psi_w \delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \text{ for some } (b, c, i, u, w, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^{*2} \times \mathbb{C}^3, \quad (3.69)$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and all notations can be found in (3.2)–(3.4), (3.19)—(3.22) and (3.68). Therefore there exists a one to one correspondence  $f : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^{*2} \times \mathbb{C}^3$ ,

$$f : \sigma \mapsto (b, c, i, u, w, \alpha, \beta, \gamma). \quad (3.70)$$

Suppose  $\sigma = \xi_{b,c} \varepsilon^i \sigma_u \psi_w \delta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ ,  $\sigma' = \xi_{b',c'} \varepsilon^{i'} \sigma_{u'} \psi_{w'} \delta_{\alpha',\beta',\gamma'} \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$ . Let

$$\sigma\sigma' = \sigma'' = \xi_{b'',c''} \varepsilon^{i''} \sigma_{u''} \psi_{w''} \delta_{\alpha'',\beta'',\gamma''}.$$

Then the data  $(b'', c'', i'', u'', w'', \alpha'', \beta'', \gamma'') \in \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^{*2} \times \mathbb{C}^3$  can be determined by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Under the above notations, the following relations hold:*

$$w'' = ww', \quad (3.71)$$

$$i'' = i + i', \quad (3.72)$$

$$u'' = u^{(-1)^{i'}} u', \quad (3.73)$$

$$\gamma'' = w'^{-2} \gamma + \gamma', \quad (3.74)$$

$$\alpha'' = \frac{\alpha w'^{-1} + \alpha'}{2}, \quad (3.75)$$

$$\beta'' = w'^{-2} \beta + \alpha'^2 + \beta' + \gamma', \quad (3.76)$$

$$b''_j = b_j + (-1)^i w b'_{(-1)^i j} u^{(-1)^i j}, \quad (3.77)$$

$$c''_k = c_k + w^2 (-1)^i c'_{(-1)^i k} u^{(-1)^i k} + 2\alpha w^2 k b'_{(-1)^i k} u^{(-1)^i k} - \sum_{j \neq 0} \frac{(-1)^i w k^{-1} (k-j)(k-2j) (u^{(-1)^i j} b'_{(-1)^i j} b_{k-j} - u^{(-1)^i (k-j)} b_j b'_{(-1)^i (k-j)})}{2}. \quad (3.78)$$

*Proof.* Using the equation  $\sigma\sigma'(L_0) = \sigma''(L_0)$  and comparing the coefficients of  $L_0, Y_j (j \neq 0), M_k (k \neq 0)$  and  $M_0$ , we can deduce (3.72), (3.77), (3.78) and

$$w''^2\gamma'' = w^2\gamma + w^2w'^2\gamma'. \quad (3.79)$$

Applying both sides of  $\sigma\sigma' = \sigma''$  to  $Y_0$  and comparing the coefficients of  $M_j (j \neq 0)$ , one can deduce (3.71). Then by (3.79), we obtain (3.74).

Similarly, applying both sides of  $\sigma\sigma' = \sigma''$  to  $M_1$  and comparing the coefficients of  $M_{(-1)^{i+i'}}$ , one can deduce (3.73).

Using  $\sigma\sigma'(Y_1) = \sigma''(Y_1)$  and comparing the coefficients of  $M_{(-1)^{i+i'}}$ , one has (3.75).

Finally, applying both sides of  $\sigma\sigma' = \sigma''$  to  $L_1$  and comparing the coefficients of  $M_{(-1)^{i+i'}}$ , one can deduce (3.76).  $\square$

Thus, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Under the map  $f$  defined in (3.70), the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L})$  is isomorphic to the group  $\mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{C}^\infty \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{C}^{*2} \times \mathbb{C}^3$  (where the elements in  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  is denoted as in (3.67)), whose group multiplication is given by*

$$(b, c, i, u, w, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cdot (b', c', i', u', w', \alpha', \beta', \gamma') = (b'', c'', i'', u'', w'', \alpha'', \beta'', \gamma''),$$

where the data  $(b'', c'', i'', u'', w'', \alpha'', \beta'', \gamma'')$  is given by Lemma 3.6.

**Corollary 3.8.**  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L}) = \text{Span}\{\exp(aL_0 + \sum_i b_i Y_i + \sum_{j \neq 0} c_j M_j) \mid a, b_i, c_j \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .

*Proof.* The result follows immediately by noting from (3.1) that  $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{L})$  is generated by  $\exp aL_0, \exp b_0 Y_0$  and  $\xi_{b,c}$  (which is defined in (3.68)).  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. Farnsteiner, Derivations and central extensions of finitely generated graded Lie algebra, *J. Algebra*, **118** (1988), 33-45.
- [2] C. Jiang, The holomorph and derivation algebra of infinite dimensional Heisenberg algebra, *Chinese J. Math.*, **17** (1997), 422-426.
- [3] G. V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebra*, 3rd ed, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press, (1990).
- [4] D. Dokovic, K. Zhao, Derivations, isomorphisms and second cohomology of generalized Block algebras, *Alg. Colloq.*, **3** (1996), 245-272.
- [5] M. Henkel, Schrödinger invariance and strongly anisotropic critical systems, *J. Stat. Phys.*, **75** (1994), 1023-1029.
- [6] M. Henkel, Phenomenology of local scale invariance: from conformal invariance to dynamical scaling, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **641** (2002), 405-410.
- [7] M. Henkel, J. Unterberger, Schrödinger invariance and space-time symmetries, *Nucl. Phys. B*, **660** (2003), 407-412.

- [8] P. J. Hilton, U. Stambach, *A Course in Homological Algebra.*, 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag, (1997).
- [9] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Classification of simple weight Virasoro modules with a finite-dimensional weight space, *J. Algebra*, **307** (2007), 209-214.
- [10] C. Roger, J. Unterberger, The Schrödinger-Virasoro Lie group and algebra: from geometry to representation theory, preprint (arXiv:cond-mat/0601050), (2006).
- [11] R. Shen, C. Jiang, The derivation algebra and the automorphism group of the twisted Heisenberg-Virasoro algebra, *Comm. Algebra*, **34** (2006), 2547-2558.
- [12] Y. Su, Simple modules over the higher rank super-Virasoro algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **53** (2000), 263-272.
- [13] J. Unterberger, On vertex algebra representations of the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra, preprint (arXiv:cond-mat/0703214), (2007).
- [14] L. Zhu, D. Meng, Some infinite dimensional complete Lie algebra, *China. Ann. Math.*, **21A**(3)(2000), 311-316.