

SOME OPTIMIZATION PROBLEMS FOR NONLINEAR ELASTIC MEMBRANES

LEANDRO M. DEL PEZZO AND JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study some optimization problems for nonlinear elastic membranes. More precisely, we consider the problem of optimizing the cost functional $\mathcal{J}(u) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$ over some admissible class of loads f where u is the (unique) solution to the problem $-\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0$ in Ω with $|\nabla u|^{p-2}u_\nu = f$ on $\partial\Omega$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we analyze the following optimization problem: Consider a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and some class of admissible loads \mathcal{A} . Then we want to maximize the cost functional

$$\mathcal{J}(f) := \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x)u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{H}^d denotes the d -dimensional Hausdorff measure and u is the (unique) solution to the nonlinear membrane problem with load f

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the usual p -laplacian and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outer unit normal derivative.

This type of optimization problems have been considered in the literature due to many applications in science and engineering, specially in the linear case $p = 2$. See for instance [5].

In recent years, models involving the p -laplacian operator with nonlinear boundary conditions have been used in the theory of quasiregular and quasiconformal mappings in Riemannian manifolds with boundary (see [9, 19]), non-Newtonian fluids, reaction diffusion problems, flow through porous media, nonlinear elasticity, glaciology, etc. (see [1, 2, 3, 8]).

We want to stress that our results are new, even in the linear case. But since our arguments are mainly variational, and for the sake of completeness, we decided to present the paper in this generality.

In this work, we have chosen three different classes of admissible functions \mathcal{A} to work with.

- The class of rearrangements of a given function f_0 .
- The (unit) ball in some L^q .
- The class of characteristic functions of sets of given surface measure.

This latter case is what we believe is the most interesting one and where our main results are obtained.

For each of these classes, we prove existence of a maximizing load (in the respective class) and analyze properties of these maximizers.

The approach to the class of rearrangements follows the lines of [6], where a similar problem was analyzed, namely, the maximization of the functional

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}}(g) := \int_{\Omega} gu \, d\mathcal{H}^N,$$

where u is the solution to $-\Delta_p u = g$ in Ω with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

When we work in the unit ball of L^q the problem becomes trivial and we explicitly find the (unique) maximizer for \mathcal{J} , namely, the first eigenfunction of a Steklov-like nonlinear eigenvalue problem (see Section 4).

Finally we arrive at the main part of the paper, namely, the class of characteristic functions of sets of given boundary measure. In order to work within this class, we first relax the problem and work with the weak* closure of the characteristic functions (i.e. bounded functions of given L^1 norm), prove the existence of maximizers among these relaxed class and then prove that this optimizer is in fact a characteristic function. Then, in order to analyze properties of these maximizers, we compute the first variation (or shape derivative) with respect to perturbations on the set where the characteristic function is supported.

This approach to work in optimization problems have been used several times in the literature. Just to cite a few, see [7, 12, 15] and references therein. Also, our approach to the computation of the first variation borrows ideas from [13].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we include some preliminary results, some of which are well known but we choose to include them in order to make the paper self contained. In Section 3 we study the problem when the admissible class of loads \mathcal{A} is the class of rearrangements of a given function f_0 . In Section 4, we study the simpler case when \mathcal{A} is the unit ball in L^q . Finally, in Section 5, we analyze the case where \mathcal{A} is the class of characteristic functions of sets with given surface measure.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we collect some well known results that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. Results on rearrangements. First, we recall some well known facts on rearrangements that will be needed in Section 3.

Definition 2.1. *Suppose $f : (X, \Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $g : (X', \Sigma', \mu') \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are measurable functions. We say f and g are rearrangements of each other if and only if*

$$\mu(x \in X : f(x) \geq \alpha) = \mu'(x \in X' : g(x) \geq \alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0.$$

Now, given $f_0 \in L^p(A)$, where $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\mathcal{H}^d(A) < \infty$, the set of all rearrangements of f_0 is denoted by \mathcal{R}_{f_0} . Thus, for any $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^d(x \in A : f(x) \geq \alpha) = \mathcal{H}^d(x \in A : f_0(x) \geq \alpha), \quad \forall \alpha \geq 0.$$

We will need the following Lemma, the proof of which can be found in [4].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f_0 \in L_+^p(\partial\Omega)$ and $v \in L_+^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$. Then there exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ such that*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}v \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{h \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} hv \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

The following result can be easily deduced from [17] (Theorem 1.14 p.28).

Theorem 2.3 (Bathub Principle). *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measurable space and let f be a real-valued, measurable function on Ω such that $\mu\{x : f(x) > t\}$ is finite for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let the number $G > 0$ be given and define the class \mathcal{C} of measurable functions on Ω by*

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ g : 0 \leq g(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} g(x) \, d\mu = G \right\}.$$

Then the maximization problem

$$I = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{C}} \int_{\Omega} f(x)g(x) \, d\mu$$

is solved by

$$(2.1) \quad g(x) = \chi_{\{f > s\}}(x) + c\chi_{\{f = s\}}(x),$$

where

$$s = \inf\{t : \mu(\{f \geq t\}) \leq G\}$$

and

$$c\mu(\{f = s\}) = G - \mu(\{f > s\}).$$

The maximizer given in (2.1) is unique if $G = \mu(\{f > s\})$ or if $G = \mu(\{f \geq s\})$.

2.2. Results on differential geometry. Now we state without proof some results on differential geometry that will be used in the last section. The proof of these results can be found, for instance, in [14].

Definition 2.4 (Definition of the tangential Jacobian). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a smooth open set of \mathbb{R}^N . Let Φ be a C^1 field over \mathbb{R}^N . We call the tangential Jacobian of Φ*

$$J_{\tau}(\Phi) := |^T[\Phi']^{-1}\nu|J(\Phi),$$

where ν is the outer unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, Φ' denotes the differential matrix of Φ , $J(\Phi)$ is the usual Jacobian of Φ and $^T A$ is the transpose of the matrix A .

The definition of the tangential Jacobian is suited in order to obtain the following changes of variables formula

Proposition 2.5. *Let $f \in L^1(T(\partial\Omega))$. Then $f \circ \Phi \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$ and*

$$\int_{\Phi(\partial\Omega)} f \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\partial\Omega} (f \circ \Phi) J_{\tau}(\Phi) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Definition 2.6 (Definition of the tangential divergence). *Let W be a C^1 vector field defined on \mathbb{R}^N . The tangential divergence of W over $\partial\Omega$ is defined as*

$$\operatorname{div}_{\tau} W := \operatorname{div} W - \langle W' \nu, \nu \rangle,$$

where ν is the outer unit normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the usual scalar product in \mathbb{R}^N .

With these definitions, we have the following version of the divergence Theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *Let Ω be a bounded smooth open set of \mathbb{R}^N , $D \subset \partial\Omega$ be a (relatively) open smooth set. Let W be a $[W^{1,1}(\partial\Omega)]^N$ vector field. Then*

$$\int_D \operatorname{div}_\tau W \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\partial D} \langle W, \nu_\tau \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-2} + \int_D H \langle W, \nu \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where ν_τ is the outer unit normal vector to D along $\partial\Omega$ and H is the mean curvature of $\partial\Omega$.

3. MAXIMIZING IN THE CLASS OF REARRANGEMENTS

Given a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ (bounded, connected, with smooth boundary), first we wanted to study the following problem

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $p \in (1, \infty)$, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the usual p -Laplacian and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outer normal derivative.

We say $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (3.1) if

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v + |u|^{p-2} uv \, d\mathcal{H}^N = \int_{\partial\Omega} f v \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

for all $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

It is a standard result that (3.1) has a unique weak solution u_f , for which the following equations hold

$$(3.2) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \mathcal{I}(u),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} f u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}.$$

Let $f_0 \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$, with $q = p/(p-1)$, and let \mathcal{R}_{f_0} be the class of rearrangements of f_0 . We are interested in finding

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *There exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{J}(\hat{f}) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} \hat{u} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \mathcal{J}(f) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where $\hat{u} = u_{\hat{f}}$.

Proof. Let

$$I = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

We first show that I is finite. Let $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$, thus by Hölder's inequality and the trace embedding we have

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u_f|^p + |u_f|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \leq C \|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \|u_f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad \|u_f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$$

since $\|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = \|f_0\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$. Therefore I is finite.

Now, let $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a maximizing sequence and let $u_i = u_{f_i}$. From (3.4) it is clear that $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then there exists a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, for a subsequence that we still call $\{u_i\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_i &\rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega), \\ u_i &\rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L^p(\partial\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$, we may choose a subsequence, still denoted by $\{f_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, and $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ such that

$$f_i \rightharpoonup f \quad \text{weakly in } L^q(\partial\Omega).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} f_i u_i \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} f_i u_i \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^p + |u_i|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} f u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.2, there exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Thus

$$I \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}.$$

As a consequence of (3.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} \hat{u} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p + |\hat{u}|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} \hat{u} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\leq I. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\hat{u} = u_{\hat{f}}$. Therefore \hat{f} is a solution to (3.3). This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. *With a similar proof we can prove a slighter stronger result. Namely, we can consider the functional*

$$\mathcal{J}_1(f, g) := \int_{\Omega} g u \, d\mathcal{H}^N + \int_{\partial\Omega} f u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where u is the (unique, weak) solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2} u = g & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

and consider the problem of maximizing \mathcal{J}_1 over the class $\mathcal{R}_{g_0} \times \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ for some fixed g_0 and f_0 .

We leave the details to the reader.

4. MAXIMIZING IN THE UNIT BALL OF L^q

In this section we consider the optimization problem

$$\max \mathcal{J}(f)$$

where the maximum is taken over the unit ball in $L^q(\partial\Omega)$.

In this case, the answer is simple and we find that the maximizer can be computed explicitly in terms of the extremal of the Sobolev trace embedding.

So, we let $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$, with $q > \frac{p'}{N}$, and $\|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq 1$, we consider the problem

$$(4.1) \quad \sup_{\substack{f \in L^q(\partial\Omega) \\ \|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq 1}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f d\mathcal{H}^N,$$

where u_f is the weak solution of

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The restriction $q > \frac{p'}{N}$ is related to the fact that $\frac{p'}{N} = p_*$ where $p_* = p(N-1)/(N-p)$ is the critical exponent in the Sobolev trace imbedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^r(\partial\Omega)$. So, in order to the functional \mathcal{J} to make sense for $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ we need the solution u_f to belong to $L^{q'}(\Omega)$. This is achieved by the restriction $q' < p_*$.

In this case it is easy to see that the solution becomes $\hat{f} = v_{q'}^{q'-1}$ where $v_{q'} \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative extremal for $S_{q'}$ normalized such that $\|v_{q'}\|_{L^{q'}(\partial\Omega)} = 1$ and $S_{q'}$ is the Sobolev trace constant given by

$$S_{q'} = \inf_{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + |v|^p d\mathcal{H}^N}{\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^{q'} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right)^{\frac{p}{q'}}}.$$

Furthermore $\hat{u} = u_{\hat{f}} = \frac{1}{S_{q'}^{1/p-1}} v_{q'}$. Observe that, as $q' < p_*$ there exists an extremal for $S_{q'}$. See [11] and references therein.

In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(\hat{f}) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f} \hat{u} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p + |\hat{u}|^p d\mathcal{H}^N \\ &= \frac{1}{S_{q'}^{p/(p-1)}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{q'}|^p + |v_{q'}|^p d\mathcal{H}^N = \frac{1}{S_{q'}^{1/(p-1)}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, given $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$, such that $\|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}(f) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \|f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \|u_f\|_{L^{q'}(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{S_{q'}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_f|^p + |u_f|^p d\mathcal{H}^N \right)^{1/p} = \frac{1}{S_{q'}^{1/p}} \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

from where it follows that

$$\mathcal{J}(f) \leq \frac{1}{S_{q'}^{1/(p-1)}}.$$

This completes the characterization of the optimal load in this case.

5. MAXIMIZING IN L^∞

Now we consider the problem

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{\phi \in \mathbf{B}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi u_\phi \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where $\mathbf{B} := \{\phi : 0 \leq \phi(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ and } \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = A\}$, for some fixed $0 < A < \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\partial\Omega)$, and u_ϕ is the weak solution of

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \phi & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

This is the most interesting case considered in this paper.

5.1. Existence of optimal configurations. In this case, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *There exists $D \subset \partial\Omega$ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D) = A$ such that*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_D u_D \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{\phi \in \mathbf{B}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi u_\phi \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where $u_D = u_{\chi_D}$.

Proof. Let

$$I = \sup_{\phi \in \mathbf{B}} \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi u_\phi \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Arguing as in the first part of proof the Theorem 3.1 we have that I is finite.

Next, let $\{\phi_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ be a maximizing sequence and let $u_i = u_{\phi_i}$. It is clear that $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then there exists a function $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that, for a subsequence that we still call $\{u_i\}_{i \geq 1}$

$$\begin{aligned} u_i &\rightharpoonup u && \text{weakly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_i &\rightarrow u && \text{strongly in } L^p(\Omega), \\ u_i &\rightarrow u && \text{strongly in } L^p(\partial\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $\{\phi_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$, we may choose a subsequence, again denoted $\{\phi_i\}_{i \geq 1}$, and $\phi \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ and such that

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{*} \phi \quad \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(\partial\Omega).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi_i u_i \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{p-1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi_i u_i \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i|^p + |u_i|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \phi u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2.3, there exists $D \subset \partial\Omega$ with $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D) = A$ such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \phi u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_D u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

and

$$\{t \leq u\} \subset D \subset \{t < u\}, \quad t := \inf\{s : \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(\{s < u\}) < A\}.$$

Thus

$$I \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_D u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}.$$

As a consequence of (3.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I &\leq \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_D u \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{p}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_D u_D \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_D|^p + |u_D|^p \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega} \chi_D u_D \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\
&\leq I.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $u_D = u_{\chi_D}$. Therefore χ_D is a solution to (5.1). This completes the proof. \square

5.2. Domain Derivative. In this subsection we compute the shape derivative of the functional $\mathcal{J}(\chi_D)$ with respect to perturbations on the set D . We will consider regular perturbations and assume that the set D is a smooth subset of $\partial\Omega$.

Then, by using the formula for the shape derivative, we deduce some necessary conditions on a (regular) set D in order to be optimal for \mathcal{J} in the L^∞ setting.

Also, this formula could be used to derive algorithms in order to compute the actual optimal set (cf. with [10]).

For the computation of the shape derivative, we use some ideas from [13].

We begin by describing the kind of variations that we are considering on the set D . Let V be a regular (smooth) vector field, globally Lipschitz, with support in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\langle V, \nu \rangle = 0$ and let $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be defined as the unique solution to

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \psi_t(x) = V(\psi_t(x)) & t > 0, \\ \psi_0(x) = x & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\psi_t(x) = x + tV(x) + o(t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Now, if $D \subset \partial\Omega$, we define $D_t := \psi_t(D) \subset \partial\Omega$.

First, we compute the derivative at $t = 0$ of the surface measure of the set D_t . That is, we want to compute

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) \right|_{t=0}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *With the previous notation, if $D \subset \partial\Omega$ is a smooth (relatively) open set, then*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) \right|_{t=0} = \int_D \operatorname{div} V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Proof. We will use the following asymptotic formulas which proofs can be found in [14]:

$$(5.4) \quad J\psi_t(x) = 1 + t \operatorname{div} V(x) + o(t),$$

$$(5.5) \quad [\psi_t^{-1}]'(x) = Id - tV(x) + o(t).$$

Then we have, by the changes of variables formula, Proposition 2.5,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) = \int_{D_t} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_D |[\psi_t^{-1}]'(x)\nu| J\psi_t(x) \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Hence by (5.4), (5.5) and the definition of J_τ we get, using that $\langle V, \nu \rangle = 0$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) = \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D) + t \int_D \operatorname{div} V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + o(t).$$

Therefore, we arrive at

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) \right|_{t=0} = \int_D \operatorname{div} V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

This is what we wanted to show. \square

Now, let

$$I(t) = \int_{\partial\Omega} u_t \chi_{D_t} \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where $u_t \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the unique weak solution to

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_t + |u_t|^{p-2} u_t = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_t|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu} = \chi_{D_t} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We have the following Lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *Let u_0 and u_t be the solution of (5.6) with $t = 0$ and $t > 0$, respectively. Then*

$$u_t \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly as the one in Lemma 4.2 in [6]. The only difference being that we use the trace inequality instead of the Poincaré inequality. \square

Remark 5.4. *It is easy to see that, as $\psi_t \rightarrow Id$ in the C^1 topology, then from Lemma 5.3 it follows that*

$$w_t := u_t \circ \psi_t \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

Now, we arrive at the main result of the section.

Theorem 5.5. *With the previous notation, we have that $I(t)$ is differentiable at $t = 0$ and*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} I(t) \right|_{t=0} = \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\partial D} u_0 \langle V, \nu_\tau \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{N-2},$$

where u_0 is the solution of (5.6) with $t = 0$ and ν_τ stands for the exterior unit normal vector to D along $\partial\Omega$.

Proof. Throughout this proof we will need that the solution u_t to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_t + |u_t|^{p-2} u_t = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_t|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu} = \chi_{D_t} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

to be C^2 . However, this is not true. As it is well known (see, for instance, [19]), u_t belongs to the class $C^{1,\delta}$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$.

In order to overcome this difficulty, we proceed as follows. We consider the regularized problems

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((|\nabla u_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(p-2)/2} \nabla u_t^\varepsilon) + |u_t^\varepsilon|^{p-2} u_t^\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (|\nabla u_t^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(p-2)/2} \frac{\partial u_t^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = \chi_{D_t} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the solution u_t^ε to (5.7) is of class $C^{2,\rho}$ for some $0 < \rho < 1$ (see [16]).

Then, we can perform all of our computations with the functions u_t^ε and pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ at the end.

We have chosen to work formally with the functions u_t in order to make our arguments more transparent and leave the details to the reader. For a similar approach, see [13].

Now, by (3.2) we have that

$$I(t) = \sup_{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} v \chi_{D_t} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + |v|^p d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}.$$

Given $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we consider $u = v \circ \psi_t \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then, by the change of variables formula, Proposition 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} v \chi_{D_t} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D J_\tau \psi_t d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + t \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + o(t). \end{aligned}$$

Also, by the usual change of variables formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p d\mathcal{H}^N &= \int_{\Omega} |^T[\psi'_t]^{-1}(x) \nabla u^T|^p J\psi_t d\mathcal{H}^N \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |(I - t^T V' + o(t)) \nabla u^T|^p (1 + t \operatorname{div} V + o(t)) d\mathcal{H}^N \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \{|\nabla u|^p - tp |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, {}^T V' \nabla u^T \rangle + o(t)\} \{1 + t \operatorname{div} V + o(t)\} d\mathcal{H}^N \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p d\mathcal{H}^N + t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \operatorname{div} V d\mathcal{H}^N \\
&\quad - tp \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, {}^T V' \nabla u^T \rangle d\mathcal{H}^N + o(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |v|^p d\mathcal{H}^N = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p J\psi_t d\mathcal{H}^N = \int_{\Omega} |u|^p d\mathcal{H}^N + t \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \operatorname{div} V d\mathcal{H}^N + o(t).$$

Then, for all $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
p \int_{\partial\Omega} v \chi_{D_t} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + |v|^p d\mathcal{H}^N \\
= p \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p d\mathcal{H}^N \\
+ t \left[p \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D \operatorname{div}_{\tau} V d\mathcal{H}^N - \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) \operatorname{div} V d\mathcal{H}^N \right. \\
\left. + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, {}^T V' \nabla u^T \rangle d\mathcal{H}^N \right] + o(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can rewrite $I(t)$ as

$$I(t) = \sup_{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p-1} \{ \varphi(u) + t\phi(u) + o(t) \},$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = p \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p d\mathcal{H}^N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(u) = & p \int_{\partial\Omega} u \chi_D \operatorname{div}_{\tau} V d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) \operatorname{div} V d\mathcal{H}^N \\
& + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u, {}^T V' \nabla u^T \rangle d\mathcal{H}^N.
\end{aligned}$$

If we define $w_t = u_t \circ \psi_t$ for all t we have that $w_0 = u_0$ and

$$I(t) = \frac{1}{p-1} \{ \varphi(w_t) + t\phi(w_t) + o(t) \}$$

for all t . Thus

$$I(t) - I(0) \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \{ \varphi(u_0) + t\phi(u_0) + o(t) \} - \frac{1}{p-1} \varphi(u_0),$$

then

$$(5.8) \quad \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I(t) - I(0)}{t} \geq \frac{1}{p-1} \phi(u_0).$$

On the other hand

$$I(t) - I(0) \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \{ \varphi(w_t) + t\phi(w_t) + o(t) \} - \frac{1}{p-1} \varphi(w_t),$$

hence,

$$\frac{I(t) - I(0)}{t} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \phi(w_t) + \frac{1}{t} o(t).$$

By Remark 5.4,

$$\phi(w_t) \rightarrow \phi(u_0) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

therefore,

$$(5.9) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I(t) - I(0)}{t} \leq \frac{1}{p-1} \phi(u_0).$$

From (5.8) and (5.9) we deduced that there exists $I'(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{1}{p-1} \phi(u_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 \chi_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, {}^T V' \nabla u_0^T \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_0|^p + |u|^p) \operatorname{div} V \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(|u_0|^p V) &= p|u|^{p-2} u \langle \nabla u, V \rangle + |u|^p \operatorname{div} V, \\ \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_0|^p V) &= p|\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, D^2 u_0 \rangle + |\nabla u_0|^p \operatorname{div} V, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{1}{p-1} \left\{ p \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 \chi_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, {}^T V' \nabla u_0^T \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right. \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}((|\nabla u_0|^p + |u|^p) V) \, d\mathcal{H}^N + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, D^2 u_0 \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \\ &\quad \left. + p \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \langle \nabla u_0, V \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using that $\langle V, \nu \rangle = 0$ in the right hand side of the above equality we find

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{p}{p-1} \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 \chi_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, {}^T V' \nabla u_0^T + D^2 u_0 V^T \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \langle \nabla u_0, V \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 \chi_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, \nabla(\langle \nabla u_0, V \rangle) \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Omega} |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \langle \nabla u_0, V \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_0 is a weak solution of (5.6) as $t = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{p}{p-1} \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 \chi_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla u_0, V \rangle \chi_D \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \right\} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_\tau (u_0 V) \chi_D \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\partial D} u_0 \langle V, \nu_\tau \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now, from Lema 5.2 and Theorem 5.5 we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 5.6. *Let χ_D be a maximizer for \mathcal{J} over the class \mathbf{B} and assume that $D \subset \partial\Omega$ is a smooth (relatively) open set. Let u_D be the solution to the associated state equation*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2} u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \chi_D & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Then, u_D is constant along ∂D .

Proof. Recalling the formula for the derivative of the volume, that is,

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) \right|_{t=0} = \int_D \operatorname{div}_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\partial D} \langle V, \nu_\tau \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-2},$$

and the fact that D is a critical point of I , we derive

$$I'(0) = c \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{H}^{N-1}(D_t) \right|_{t=0} \iff u = \text{constant, on } \partial D.$$

As we wanted to prove. \square

5.3. Final comments. It would be interesting to say more about optimal configurations. For instance:

- What is the topology of optimal sets? Are optimal sets connected?
- What about the regularity of optimal sets? Is it true that the boundary of optimal sets are regular surfaces?
- Where are the optimal sets located?

These questions, we believe that are difficult ones and we can only give an answer in the trivial case where the domain Ω is a ball. In this case, by symmetrization arguments (by means of the *spherical symmetrization*, cf. with [12, 18]) it is straight forward to check that optimal sets are spherical caps.

This example also shows that the uniqueness problem is far from obvious.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Arcoya and J.I. Diaz. *S-shaped bifurcation branch in a quasilinear multivalued model arising in climatology*. J. Differential Equations, 150 (1998), 215225.
- [2] C. Atkinson and K. El Kalli. *Some boundary value problems for the Bingham model*. J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech. 41 (1992), 339363.
- [3] C. Atkinson and C.R. Champion. *On some boundary value problems for the equation $\nabla(F(|\nabla w|)\nabla w) = 0$* . Proc. R. Soc. London A, 448 (1995), 269279.
- [4] G. R. Burton and J.B. McLeod. *Maximisation and minimisation on classes of rearrangements*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A. 119 (3-4): 287300 (1991).

- [5] A. Cherkaev and E. Cherkaeva. *Optimal design for uncertain loading condition*. Homogenization, 193213, Ser. Adv. Math. Appl. Sci., 50, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1999.
- [6] F. Cuccu, B. Emamizadeh and G. Porru. *Nonlinear elastic membranes involving the p -Laplacian operator*. Electron. J. Differential Equations 2006, No. 49, 10 pp.
- [7] L. Del Pezzo, J. Fernández Bonder and J.D. Rossi. *An optimization problem for the first Steklov eigenvalue of a nonlinear problem*. Differential Integral Equations 19 (2006), no. 9, 1035–1046.
- [8] J.I. Diaz. *Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries*. Pitman Publ. Program 1985.
- [9] J.F. Escobar. *Uniqueness theorems on conformal deformations of metrics, Sobolev inequalities, and an eigenvalue estimate*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 43 (1990), 857883.
- [10] J. Fernández Bonder, P. Groisman and J.D. Rossi. *Optimization of the first Steklov eigenvalue in domains with holes: A shape derivative approach*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 186 (2007), no. 2, 341–358.
- [11] J. Fernández Bonder and J.D. Rossi. *On the existence of extremals for the Sobolev trace embedding theorem with critical exponent*. Bull. London Math. Soc. 37 (2005), no. 1, 119–125.
- [12] J. Fernández Bonder, J.D. Rossi and N. Wolanski. *On the best Sobolev trace constant and extremals in domains with holes*. Bull. Sci. Math. 130 (2006), no. 7, 565–579.
- [13] J. García Meliá, J. Sabina de Lis. *On the perturbation of eigenvalues for the p -Laplacian*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sr. I Math. 332 (2001), no. 10, 893–898.
- [14] A. Henrot and M. Pierre. *Variation et optimization de forme: un analyse géométric*. Mathematics and Applications **48**, Springer, 2005.
- [15] K. Kurata, M. Shibata and S. Sakamoto. *Symmetry-breaking phenomena in an optimization problem for some nonlinear elliptic equation*. Appl. Math. Optim. 50 (2004), no. 3, 259–278.
- [16] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'tseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [17] E. Lieb; M. Loss. *Analysis*, Amer. Math. Soc. (1997).
- [18] E. Sperner, *Spherical symmetrization and eigenvalue estimates*. Math. Z., Vol. 176 (1981), 75–86.
- [19] P. Tolksdorf. *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*. J. Differential Equations, 51 (1984), 126150.

LEANDRO M. DEL PEZZO

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEyN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES,
PABELLÓN I, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA (1428), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: `ldpezzo@dm.uba.ar`

JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEyN, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES,
PABELLÓN I, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA (1428), BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: `jfbonder@dm.uba.ar`

Web page: `http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~jfbonder`