

# ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF EXPANSION MAPS FOR SELF-AFFINE TILINGS

RICHARD KENYON AND BORIS SOLOMYAK

ABSTRACT. We consider self-affine tilings in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with expansion matrix  $\phi$  and address the question which matrices  $\phi$  can arise this way. In one dimension,  $\lambda$  is an expansion factor of a self-affine tiling if and only if  $|\lambda|$  is a Perron number, by a result of Lind. In two dimensions, when  $\phi$  is a similarity, we can speak of a complex expansion factor, and there is an analogous necessary condition, due to Thurston: if a complex  $\lambda$  is an expansion factor of a self-similar tiling, then it is a complex Perron number. We establish a necessary condition for  $\phi$  to be an expansion matrix for any  $n$ , assuming only that  $\phi$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We conjecture that this condition on  $\phi$  is also sufficient for the existence of a self-affine tiling.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Self-affine tilings arise in many different contexts, notably in dynamics (Markov partitions for hyperbolic maps [21, 11, 16]), logic (aperiodic tilings [15]), number theory (radix representations [19, 13]), physics (quasicrystals [3]), ergodic theory [22], and hyperbolic groups [4]. See [2, 20] for recent surveys with a large bibliography.

A **self-affine tiling** (SAT)  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a covering of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with sets (tiles)  $T_i$  satisfying the following properties:

- (1) Each tile  $T_i$  is the closure of its interior.
- (2) Interiors of tiles do not overlap.
- (3) There are a finite number of tile types up to translation.
- (4) The tiling is **repetitive** and has **finitely many local configurations** (see the next section for definitions).

---

*Date:* November 1, 2021.

The research of R. K. was supported in part by NSERC. The research of B. S. was supported in part by NSF .

- (5) There is an expanding linear map  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  mapping tiles over tiles: the image of a tile  $T_i$  is a union of tiles of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and two tiles of the same type have images which are translation-equivalent patches of tiles.

The simplest example is the periodic tiling with unit cubes and expansion mapping  $\phi(x) = 2x$ . However typically SATs are nonperiodic and have tiles with fractal boundaries. See Figures 1 and 2 for examples in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Lind [14] (using different language) gives a characterization of expansion factors of self-affine tilings in one dimension:  $\lambda$  is the expansion of an SAT of  $\mathbb{R}$  if and only if  $|\lambda|$  is a **Perron number**, that is, a real algebraic integer which is strictly larger in modulus than all of its Galois conjugates.

A self-affine tiling is **self-similar** if  $\phi$  is a similarity (a homothety followed by a rotation). Thurston [24] showed that the expansion factor  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  of a self-similar tiling of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a **complex Perron number**, that is, an algebraic integer which is strictly larger in modulus than its Galois conjugates except for its complex conjugate. In [9], a construction of a self-similar tiling for every complex Perron number is given; unfortunately, the proof as written in subsection 4.5 of [9] is incomplete. A version of the construction does yield a tiling with expansion  $\lambda^k$  for  $k$  sufficiently large, and we hope that it can be modified to get a tiling with expansion  $\lambda$ , completing the characterization. This gap does not affect the construction in section 6 of [9] which uses free group endomorphisms; however, the latter does not cover all the complex Perron numbers. See also [5] for a related construction.

In the current paper we study SATs of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with expansion matrix  $\phi$  which is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We show that if  $\phi$  is the expansion matrix for an SAT then eigenvalues of  $\phi$  are algebraic integers, and for every eigenvalue  $\gamma$ , all Galois conjugates of  $\gamma$  which have modulus  $\geq |\gamma|$  have multiplicity (among eigenvalues of  $\phi$ ) at least as large as that of  $\gamma$ , see Theorem 3.1 below.

An alternative description of this criterion is that there is an integer matrix  $M$  acting on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $N \geq n$ , which has an invariant real subspace  $W$  of dimension  $n$ , on which it has strictly larger growth (that is, strictly larger determinant, in absolute value) than for any other  $n$ -dimensional invariant subspace, and  $M$  restricted to  $W$  is linearly conjugate to  $\phi$ .




FIGURE 1. A self-affine tiling in the plane with expansion  $\phi(z) = \lambda z$  where  $\lambda$  is the complex root of  $x^3 + x + 1 = 0$ . Here there are three tile types, all similar. The smallest scales to the medium which scales to the large; the large subdivides into a small and a large. One can construct this tiling using the method of [9, Sec.6], as follows. To a reduced word in the free group on three letters  $F(a, b, c)$  associate a polygonal path in  $\mathbb{C}$  by sending  $a^{\pm 1}$  to  $\pm 1$ ,  $b^{\pm 1}$  to  $\pm \lambda$ ,  $c^{\pm 1}$  to  $\pm \lambda^2$ . Let  $\psi$  be the endomorphism of  $F(a, b, c)$  defined by  $\psi(a) = b, \psi(b) = c, \psi(c) = a^{-1}b^{-1}$ . Consider the three commutators  $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}, [b, c]$ , and  $[a, c]$ ; they represent three closed paths. Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} \psi^n([a, c])$  is the boundary of the smallest tile; the other tiles boundaries are  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} \psi^n([a, b])$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{-n} \psi^n([b, c])$ . The subdivision rule comes from the identities  $\psi([a, c]) = a^{-1}[a, b]a$ ,  $\psi[a, b] = [b, c]$  and  $\psi[b, c] = [c, a^{-1}b^{-1}] = (a^{-1}[a, c]a)(a^{-1}b^{-1}[b, c]ba)$ .




FIGURE 2. A self-affine tiling in the plane with diagonal expansion matrix  $\text{Diag}[x_1, x_2]$  where  $x_1 \approx 2.19869$ ,  $x_2 \approx -1.91223$  are roots of  $x^3 - x^2 - 4x + 3 = 0$ .

The converse to our result is open: does there exist, for every linear map  $\phi$  satisfying the above conditions, an SAT with expansion  $\phi$ ? We conjecture that the answer is yes.

In Figure 2 we show an example of a self-affine (non-self-similar) SAT in the plane. The subdivision rule is indicated in Figure 3.

Our methods do not at present extend to the non-diagonalizable case. However, we conjecture that the second description above holds in general, that is, without the constraint of diagonalizability,  $\phi$  is the expansion of an SAT if and only if there is an integer matrix  $M$  acting on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for some  $N \geq n$ , which has an invariant real subspace  $W$  of dimension  $n$ , on which it has strictly larger growth (determinant) than for any other  $n$ -dimensional invariant subspace, and  $M$  restricted to  $W$  is linearly conjugate to  $\phi$ . For example, we conjecture that there is no SAT in  $\mathbb{R}^3$




FIGURE 3. Subdivision rule:  $1 \rightarrow \{3, 2\}$ ,  $2 \rightarrow \{3, 2, 2, 2, 2\}$ ,  $3 \rightarrow \{1, 1, 1\}$ . The construction is similar to the previous example but with  $a, b, c$  corresponding to vectors  $(1, 1), (x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1), (x_1^2 - x_1, x_2^2 - x_2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , endomorphism  $\psi(a) = ab, \psi(b) = c, \psi(c) = ab^4$  and tiles  $[b, a], [b, c], [a, c]$ .

with expansion

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 + \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 + \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 - \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

although it is easy to construct one with expansion

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3 + \sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 + \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 - \sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We say that a tiling  $\mathcal{T} = \{T_i\}_{i \in I}$  has a finite number of tile types up to translation, if there is an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on the tiles  $T_i$  with a finite number of equivalence classes and  $T_i \sim T_j$  implies that  $T_j$  is a translate of  $T_i$ . We denote  $[T_i]$  the equivalence class of tile  $T_i$ , and say  $T_i$  is a tile of **type**  $[T_i]$ .

A **patch** in a tiling is a finite set of its tiles. Two patches are said to be **equivalent** if one is a translate of the other, that is, there is a single translation which takes every tile in one patch to an equivalent tile in the other patch. The **radius of a patch** is the radius of the smallest ball containing the patch.

A tiling is said to have a **finite number of local configurations**, or FLC for short, if there are a finite number of equivalence classes of patches, up to translation, of any given radius.

An FLC tiling is **repetitive** if for all  $r > 0$  there is an  $R > 0$  such that every patch of radius  $r$  can be found, up to translation, in any ball of radius  $R$  in the tiling. This is equivalent to minimality of the orbit closure of the tiling, see e.g. [18], and was called quasiperiodicity in [24, 10].

In an SAT, the  $\phi$ -image of each tile type is a well-defined collection of translates of tile types. If  $T_i$  is a tile we can write  $\phi T_i = \cup_j (T_{i_j} + d_{i_j})$ , which is a finite interior-disjoint union. This subdivision only depends on the type of tile  $T_i$ , in the sense that equivalent tiles have equivalent subdivisions. In particular we let  $m_{ij}$  be the number of tiles of type  $j$  in the subdivision of a tile of type  $i$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{m} = (m_{ij})$  is the **subdivision matrix**, it is a nonnegative integer matrix which is **primitive**: some power is strictly positive (by repetitivity of the tiling). The leading eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{m}$  is the volume expansion of the SAT, which therefore must be a real Perron number.

Given an SAT, one can select in each of the tile types a point, called a **control point**, in such a way that the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of the control points of tiles in a tiling is forward invariant under  $\phi$ :  $\phi\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$ . This can be accomplished as follows [24] (see also [16, Prop. 1.3]): for each tile type  $[T_i]$ , select one tile in its image under expansion and subdivision. Let the preimage of this tile be  $A[T_i] \subset [T_i]$ . Then the sequence  $[T_i], A[T_i], A(A[T_i]), \dots$  nests down to a single point in  $[T_i]$ , denoted by  $c(T_i)$ , which we define to be the control point of  $T_i$ . For a tile  $T = T_i + x$  we let  $c(T) = c(T_i) + x$ .

### 3. THEOREM

The following theorem is stated in [10].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\phi$  be a diagonalizable (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) expanding linear map on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}$  be a self-affine tiling of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with expansion  $\phi$ . Then*

- (i) *every eigenvalue of  $\phi$  is an algebraic integer;*
- (ii) *if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\phi$  of multiplicity  $k$  and  $\gamma$  is an algebraic conjugate of  $\lambda$ , then either  $|\gamma| < |\lambda|$ , or  $\gamma$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\phi$  of multiplicity greater than or equal to  $k$ .*

The proof is based on the arguments of Thurston [24] and Kenyon [10], but we fill several gaps in those arguments and provide a great deal more detail. In particular, Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 have no analogs in [24, 10]. It should be pointed out that the corresponding parts of [24] and [10] have never appeared in refereed publications, but have been widely cited and used in the literature on tilings and tiling dynamical systems.

By appropriate choice of a basis, we can assume that the linear map  $\phi$  has the real canonical form, see [7, Th.6.4.2]. Since  $\phi$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ , this means that we have a direct sum decomposition

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{R}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p E_i$$

into invariant subspaces associated with eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $\phi$ , where we count eigenvalues, having non-negative imaginary part, with multiplicities. For a real eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , the subspace  $E_i$  is one-dimensional, and  $\phi|_{E_i}$  acts as multiplication by  $\lambda_i$ . For a non-real eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , the subspace  $E_i$  is two-dimensional. Identifying it with a complex plane, we get that  $\phi|_{E_i}$  acts as multiplication by the complex number  $\lambda_i$ , in other words, as a composition of a dilation and a rotation. We can define a norm on  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$(2) \quad \|x\| = \max_i \|x_i\| \quad \text{for } x = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i, \quad x_i \in E_i, \quad \|\phi x_i\| = |\lambda_i| \|x_i\|$$

(here  $\|x_i\|$  is just the Euclidean norm on  $E_i$  in our basis).

*Beginning of the proof.* Let  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T})$  be a set of control points of the tiling  $\mathcal{T}$ . Recall that  $\phi(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{C}$  by construction. Consider  $J = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ , the free Abelian group generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is easy to see that  $J$  is finitely generated. Indeed, let

$$(3) \quad \Psi := \{c(T') - c(T) : T, T' \in \mathcal{T}, T \neq T', T \cap T' \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The set  $\Psi$  is finite by FLC, and  $J$  is generated by  $\Psi$  and an arbitrary control point (we can get from it to any control point by moving “from neighbor to neighbor”). Let us fix free generators  $v_1, \dots, v_N$  of  $J$ . These are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; of course, they need not be in  $\mathcal{C}$ . They span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , since  $\mathcal{C}$  is relatively dense. Note that the choice of the generators is non-unique; in fact, we will need to choose them in a specific way at the end of the proof. However, for now any generators will do. Let  $V$  be

the matrix  $V = [v_1 \dots v_N]$ . This is a  $n \times N$  matrix of rank  $n$ . By the definition of free generators, for every  $\xi \in J$  there exists a unique  $a(\xi) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  such that

$$(4) \quad \xi = Va(\xi).$$

We call  $\xi \mapsto a(\xi)$  the “address map.” Observe that

$$(5) \quad \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{a(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{C}\} = \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Indeed,  $J$  is generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence every  $v_j$  is an integral linear combination of control points, and  $a(v_j)$  is the  $j$ th unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *The address map is uniformly Lipschitz on  $\mathcal{C}$ : there exists  $L_1 > 0$  such that*

$$(6) \quad \|a(\xi) - a(\xi')\| \leq L_1 \|\xi - \xi'\| \quad \text{for all } \xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}.$$

This lemma is a special case of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) in [12, Th. 2.2]. Note that the address map is usually not even continuous on  $J$ , since  $J$  is not discrete in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  unless we have a “lattice tiling,” whereas the range of the address map is a subset of the integer lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Observe that  $\phi\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{C}$  implies  $\phi J \subset J$ , hence there exists an integer  $N \times N$  matrix  $M$  such that

$$(7) \quad \phi V = VM.$$

In other words, we have the commutative diagram (where  $i$  indicates the natural inclusion)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}^N & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{R}^N & \xrightarrow{M} & \mathbb{R}^N & \xleftarrow{i} & \mathbb{Z}^N \\ \uparrow a & & \downarrow V & & \downarrow V & & \uparrow a \\ J & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{R}^n & \xleftarrow{i} & J \end{array}$$

For every (complex) eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\phi$  we can find a (complex) left eigenvector  $e_\lambda$  of  $\phi$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ . Then  $e_\lambda V$  is a left eigenvector for  $M$  corresponding to  $\lambda$  (note that  $e_\lambda V \neq 0$  since  $V$  has maximal possible rank  $n$ ). This proves (i): every eigenvalue of  $\phi$  is also an eigenvalue of  $M$ , hence an algebraic integer. Note also that (7) implies

$$(8) \quad a(\phi\xi) = Ma(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in J.$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *The matrix  $M$  is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ .*



*Proof.* Recall that  $J$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, on which  $\phi$  acts as an endomorphism, and  $M$  is the matrix of this endomorphism in the basis  $\mathcal{V} := \{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ . Note that  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathcal{V}$  is also a basis of this vector space. Then  $\phi$  induces a linear transformation of  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J$ , whose matrix in the basis  $\mathcal{V}$  is also  $M$ .

Consider the decomposition (1) of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into real eigenspaces  $E_i$  corresponding to the eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$  of  $\phi$ . Decomposing the vectors  $v_j$  (the generators of  $J$ ) in terms of  $E_i$  yields

$$J \subset J' := \bigoplus_{i=1}^p J_i e_i,$$

where  $e_i \in E_i$  and  $J_i$  is a finitely-generated  $\mathbb{Z}[\lambda_i]$ -module. (Here we identify two-dimensional subspaces  $E_i$  with a complex plane on which  $\phi$  acts as multiplication by  $\lambda_i$ .) Then  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J_i$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and over  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i)$  (a field). Let  $\{y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_{r_i}^{(i)}\}$  be a basis of  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J_i$  over  $\mathbb{Q}(\lambda_i)$ . Let  $n_i$  be the degree of the algebraic integer  $\lambda_i$ . Then  $\{\lambda_i^s y_k^{(i)} : 0 \leq s \leq n_i - 1, 1 \leq k \leq r_i, i \leq p\}$  is a basis for the vector space  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J'$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In this basis, the linear transformation induced by  $\phi$  has a block matrix, whose every block is a companion matrix of the minimal polynomial of one of the  $\lambda_i$ 's. This matrix is diagonalizable over  $\mathbb{C}$ , since the minimal polynomial has no repeated roots. Finally, we note that the linear transformation induced by  $\phi$  on  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J$  is a restriction of the one which is induced on  $\mathbb{Q} \cdot J'$ , hence its matrix,  $M$ , is diagonalizable as well.  $\square$

Now suppose that  $\gamma$  is a conjugate of  $\lambda$ ,  $\gamma \neq \lambda, \bar{\lambda}$ , and  $|\gamma| > 1$ . Then  $\gamma$  is an eigenvalue of  $M$ . Let  $U_\gamma$  be the (real) eigenspace for  $M$  corresponding to  $\gamma$ . By Lemma 3.3, there is a projection  $\pi_\gamma$  from  $\mathbb{R}^N$  to  $U_\gamma$  commuting with  $M$ . By definition, the only eigenvalues of  $M|_{U_\gamma}$  are  $\gamma$  and  $\bar{\gamma}$  (if  $\gamma$  is nonreal). Thus, we can fix a norm on  $U_\gamma$  satisfying

$$(9) \quad \|My\| = |\gamma| \|y\|, \quad y \in U_\gamma.$$

Consider the mapping  $f_\gamma : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow U_\gamma$  given by

$$(10) \quad f_\gamma(\xi) = \pi_\gamma a(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C}.$$

We would like to extend  $f_\gamma$  to the entire space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We let

$$(11) \quad f_\gamma(\phi^{-k}\xi) = M^{-k} f_\gamma(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C}.$$

This is well-defined since  $M$  is invertible on  $U_\gamma$ , and unambiguous by (8), since  $\pi_\gamma M = M\pi_\gamma$ . This way we have  $f_\gamma$  defined on a dense set

$$\mathcal{C}_\infty := \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi^{-k}\mathcal{C}.$$

Our goal is to show that  $f_\gamma$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ , hence can be extended to all of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In fact, it is Hölder-continuous. Let  $\lambda_{\max}$  be the eigenvalue of  $\phi$  of maximal modulus. We use the norm (2) on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote  $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|y - x\| < r\}$  and let  $B_r := B_r(0)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *The map  $f_\gamma$  is Hölder-continuous on  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ : there exists  $r > 0$  and  $L_2 > 0$  such that for any  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_\infty$ , with  $\|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| < r$  we have*

$$(12) \quad \|f_\gamma(\xi_1) - f_\gamma(\xi_2)\| \leq L_2 \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|^\alpha, \quad \text{for } \alpha = \frac{\log |\gamma|}{\log |\lambda_{\max}|}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $r > 0$  be such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the ball  $B_r(x)$  is covered by a tile containing  $x$  and its immediate neighbors; this is possible by FLC. Assume that  $\delta = \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\| < r$  and  $\xi_i = \phi^{-k}c_i$  for some  $c_i \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $\ell$  to be the smallest positive integer such that

$$\phi^k B_\delta(\phi^{-k}c_1) \subset \phi^\ell B_r(\phi^{-\ell}c_1).$$

Since  $\ell \leq k$ , the last inclusion is equivalent to  $|\lambda_{\max}|^{k-\ell}\delta \leq r$ , so we have

$$(13) \quad |\lambda_{\max}|^{-1}(r/\delta) \leq |\lambda_{\max}|^{k-\ell} \leq r/\delta.$$

Observe that

$$c_2 \in \phi^k \overline{B_\delta}(\phi^{-k}c_1) \subset \phi^\ell \overline{B_r}(\phi^{-\ell}c_1),$$

so  $\phi^{-\ell}c_1$  and  $\phi^{-\ell}c_2$  are in the same or in the neighboring tiles of  $\mathcal{T}$  by the choice of  $r$ . We claim that there exists a finite set  $W \subset J$ , independent of  $c_1, c_2$ , such that

$$(14) \quad c_2 - c_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \phi^i w_i$$

for some  $w_i \in W$  (of course,  $w_i$ , as well as  $\ell$ , depend on  $c_1, c_2$ ). This is standard, but we provide a proof for completeness.

Let  $T_i \in \mathcal{T}$  be such that  $c_i = c(T_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . By the definition of SAT, there is a (unique) tile  $T_i^{(1)} \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $\phi T_i^{(1)} \supset T_i^{(0)} := T_i$ . Iterating this, we obtain a sequence of  $\mathcal{T}$ -tiles  $T_i^{(j)}$ , for  $j \geq 0$ , such that  $\phi T_i^{(j)} \supset T_i^{(j-1)}$ , for  $j \geq 1$  and

$i = 1, 2$ . Note that  $T_i^{(\ell)} \supset \phi^{-\ell} T_i^{(0)} \ni \phi^{-\ell} c_i$ , hence  $T_1^{(\ell)}$  and  $T_2^{(\ell)}$  either coincide or are adjacent. We have

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 - c_1 &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \left[ \left( \phi^j c(T_2^{(j)}) - \phi^{j+1} c(T_2^{(j+1)}) \right) - \left( \phi^j c(T_1^{(j)}) - \phi^{j+1} c(T_2^{(j+1)}) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \phi^\ell c(T_2^{(\ell)}) - \phi^\ell c(T_1^{(\ell)}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies (14), since the set

$$\{c(T') - \phi c(T'') : T', T'' \in \mathcal{T}, T' \subset \phi T''\}$$

is finite by FLC, as well as the set  $\Psi$  from (3), to which  $w_\ell$  belongs.

Now we can write, using (3), the additivity of the address map on  $J$ , and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} f_\gamma(c_1) - f_\gamma(c_2) &= \pi_\gamma a(c_2 - c_1) \\ &= \pi_\gamma a \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} \phi^i w_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} M^i \pi_\gamma a(w_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of (11) and (9),

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\gamma(\phi^{-k} c_2) - f_\gamma(\phi^{-k} c_1)\| &= \|M^{-k}(f_\gamma(c_1) - f_\gamma(c_2))\| \\ &= |\gamma|^{-k} \|f_\gamma(c_1) - f_\gamma(c_2)\| \\ &= |\gamma|^{-k} \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} M^i \pi_\gamma a(w_i) \right\| \\ &\leq |\gamma|^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} |\gamma|^i \|\pi_\gamma a(w_i)\| \leq L' |\gamma|^{\ell-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L' = \frac{|\gamma|}{|\gamma|-1} \max_{w \in W} \|a(w)\|$ . In view of (13),

$$|\gamma|^{\ell-k} = (|\lambda_{\max}|^{\ell-k})^\alpha \leq (|\lambda_{\max}| \delta / r)^\alpha = \text{const} \cdot \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|^\alpha,$$

so we obtain the desired inequality.  $\square$

Now we extend  $f_\gamma$  by continuity and obtain a function  $f_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U_\gamma$ . Observe that

$$(15) \quad f_\gamma \circ \phi = M \circ f_\gamma,$$

since this holds on the dense set  $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ . We also have the following property.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $E_\theta$  be the real invariant subspace of  $\phi$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\theta$  and suppose that  $|\gamma| \geq |\theta|$ . Then  $f_\gamma|_{E_{\theta+x}}$  is Lipschitz for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , with a uniform constant  $2L_1$  (where  $L_1$  is the constant in Lemma 3.2). If  $|\gamma| > |\theta|$ , then  $f_\gamma|_{E_{\theta+x}}$  is constant for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be such that  $\xi_2 - \xi_1 \in E_\theta$ . By (15), we have for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\gamma(\xi_1) - f_\gamma(\xi_2)\| &= \|M^{-k}(f_\gamma(\phi^k \xi_1) - f_\gamma(\phi^k \xi_2))\| \\ &= |\gamma|^{-k} \|f_\gamma(\phi^k \xi_1) - f_\gamma(\phi^k \xi_2)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $c_i$  be a nearest control point to  $\phi^k \xi_i$ ; its distance to  $\phi^k \xi_i$  is at most  $d_{\max} = \max\{\text{diam}(T) : T \in \mathcal{T}\}$ . If  $k$  is so large that  $\|\phi^k \xi_1 - \phi^k \xi_2\| > 2d_{\max}$ , then  $\|c_1 - c_2\| < 2\|\phi^k \xi_1 - \phi^k \xi_2\|$ , and we have by uniform continuity of  $f_\gamma$ , Lemma 3.2, and (2), with a uniform constant  $C_3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\gamma(\phi^k \xi_1) - f_\gamma(\phi^k \xi_2)\| &\leq C_3 + \|f(c_1) - f(c_2)\| \\ &\leq C_3 + L_1 \|c_1 - c_2\| \\ &\leq C_3 + 2L_1 \|\phi^k \xi_1 - \phi^k \xi_2\| \\ &= C_3 + 2L_1 |\theta|^k \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|f_\gamma(\xi_1) - f_\gamma(\xi_2)\| \leq C_3 |\gamma|^{-k} + 2L_1 (|\theta|/|\gamma|)^k \|\xi_1 - \xi_2\|.$$

The lemma follows by letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . (Recall that  $|\gamma| \geq |\theta| > 1$ .)  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *The function  $f_\gamma$  depends only on the tile type in  $\mathcal{T}$  up to an additive constant: if  $T, T+x \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $\xi \in T$ , then*

$$(16) \quad f_\gamma(\xi + x) = f_\gamma(\xi) + \pi_\gamma a(x).$$

Observe that  $x \in \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$ , so  $a(x)$  is defined, but we cannot write  $\pi_\gamma a(x) = f_\gamma(x)$ , since we do not necessarily have  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to check (16) on a dense set. Suppose  $\xi = \phi^{-k}c(S) \in T$  for some  $S \in \mathcal{T}$ . Then  $S \subset \phi^k T$  and  $S + \phi^k x \subset \phi^k(T + x)$  so  $S + \phi^k x \in \mathcal{T}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\gamma(\xi + x) &= f_\gamma(\phi^{-k}c(S) + x) \\ &= f_\gamma(\phi^{-k}c(S + \phi^k x)) \\ &= M^{-k} f_\gamma(c(S + \phi^k x)) \\ &= M^{-k} f_\gamma(c(S)) + M^{-k} \pi_\gamma a(\phi^k x) \\ &= f_\gamma(\xi) + \pi_\gamma a(x), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Here we used the definition of  $f_\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  and (8).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *If  $|\gamma| \geq |\lambda|$  then  $f_\gamma|_{E_\lambda+x}$  is a constant function for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.5, this holds if  $|\gamma| > |\lambda|$ , so it remains to consider the case  $|\gamma| = |\lambda|$ . We know that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the restriction  $f_\gamma|_{E_\lambda+x}$  is Lipschitz, hence a.e. differentiable by Rademacher's Theorem. It follows that

$$D(x)u := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_\gamma(x + tu) - f_\gamma(x)}{t}$$

exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for all  $u \in E_\lambda$ , and is a linear transformation in  $u$  (from  $E_\lambda$  to  $U_\gamma$ ). Moreover,  $D(x)$  is measurable in  $x$ , since it is a limit of continuous functions. Since  $D(x)$  is the total derivative, we have

$$(17) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{u \in E_\lambda, 0 < \|u\| < 1/k} \frac{\|f_\gamma(x + u) - f_\gamma(x) - D(x)u\|}{\|u\|} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The functions in parentheses are measurable and converge a.e., hence by Egorov's Theorem they converge uniformly on a set of positive measure. Uniform convergence means that there exists a sequence of positive integers  $N_k \uparrow \infty$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|f_\gamma(\xi + u) - f_\gamma(\xi) - D(\xi)u\| \leq \|u\|/k \\ &\quad \forall u \in B_{1/N_k} \cap E_\lambda, \text{ for all } k \} \end{aligned}$$

has positive Lebesgue measure. We claim that  $\Omega$  has full Lebesgue measure.

Observe that if  $T, T + x \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $\xi \in T^\circ$ , then

$$(18) \quad \xi \in \Omega \Rightarrow \xi + x \in \Omega$$

by Lemma 3.6. Furthermore, by (15) we have  $D(\phi\xi) = MD(\xi)\phi^{-1}$  and, denoting  $v = \phi u$ , for all  $v \in B_{|\lambda|/N_k} \cap E_\lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\gamma(\phi\xi + v) - f_\gamma(\phi\xi) - D(\phi\xi)v\| &= \|M(f_\gamma(\xi + u) - f_\gamma(\xi)) - D(\xi)u\| \\ &= |\gamma| \cdot \|f_\gamma(\xi + u) - f_\gamma(\xi) - D(\xi)u\| \\ &\leq |\gamma| \cdot \|u\|/k = |\lambda| \cdot \|u\|/k = \|v\|/k, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\phi|_{E_\lambda}$  expands the norm by a factor of  $|\lambda|$ . This shows that  $\phi(\Omega) \subset \Omega$ .

We will need a version of Lebesgue-Vitali Density Theorem where the differentiation basis is not the set of balls but rather the collection of sets of the form  $\phi^{-k}B_1$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , and their translates. It is a well-known fact in Harmonic Analysis that such sets form a density basis, for any expanding linear map  $\phi$  (even non-diagonalizable), see [23, pp.8-13] or [17, pp.11-14]. Let  $y$  be a density point of  $\Omega$ , i.e., denoting the Lebesgue measure by  $m$ ,

$$m(\Omega \cap \phi^{-k}B_1(\phi^k y)) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_k)m(\phi^{-k}B_1) \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0.$$

Denote by  $[B_1(x)]^\mathcal{T}$  the patch consisting of those tiles which intersect  $B_1(x)$ . By repetitivity, there exists  $R > 0$  such that  $B_R$  contains a translate of  $[B_1(x)]^\mathcal{T}$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $y_k \in B_R$  be such that  $[B_1(y_k)]^\mathcal{T}$  is a translate of  $[B_1(\phi^k y)]^\mathcal{T}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\Omega \cap B_1(y_k)) &= m(\Omega \cap B_1(\phi^k y)) \\ &\geq m(\phi^k \Omega \cap B_1(\phi^k y)) \\ &= |\det \phi|^k m(\Omega \cap \phi^{-k}B_1(\phi^k y)) \\ &\geq |\det \phi|^k (1 - \varepsilon_k)m(\phi^{-k}B_1) = (1 - \varepsilon_k)m(B_1). \end{aligned}$$

We used (18) and  $\phi^k \Omega \subset \Omega$  in the first two displayed lines above. Let  $y'$  be a limit point of  $y_k$ . Then we have  $m(\Omega \cap B_1(y')) = m(B_1)$ . Thus,  $\Omega$  is a set of full measure in  $B_1(y')$ , and by expansion and translation we conclude that  $\Omega$  has full measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , completing the proof of the claim.

Now choose  $\ell_k$  so that  $|\lambda|^{\ell_k} > N_k$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta \in \phi^{\ell_k} \Omega &\Rightarrow \|f_\gamma(\zeta + v) - f_\gamma(\zeta) - D(\zeta)v\| \leq \|v\|/k \\ &\text{for all } v \in \phi^{\ell_k}(B_{1/N_k} \cap E_\lambda) \supset B_1 \cap E_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $\Omega' = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \phi^{\ell_k} \Omega$  has full measure, hence it is dense. For any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  choose a sequence  $\xi_k \rightarrow \xi$  such that  $D(\xi_k)$  converges (this is possible since  $\|D(\xi)\| \leq 2L_1$  by Lemma 3.5). Passing to the limit, we obtain that

$$f_\gamma(\xi + v) = f_\gamma(\xi) + D(\xi)v, \quad \text{for all } v \in B_1 \cap E_\lambda.$$

This shows that  $f$  is affine linear on every  $E_\lambda$  slice:

$$f_\gamma(\xi + v) = f_\gamma(\xi) + D(\xi)v, \quad \text{for all } v \in E_\lambda,$$

and  $D(\xi) = D(\xi')$  whenever  $\xi' - \xi \in E_\lambda$ . Taking  $\xi = 0$  we see that  $f_\gamma|_{E_\lambda}$  is linear. It intertwines  $\phi|_{E_\lambda}$  and  $M|_{U_\gamma}$ . But  $\{\gamma, \bar{\gamma}\} \cap \{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}\} = \emptyset$  which are the eigenvalues of  $\phi|_{E_\lambda}$  and  $M|_{U_\gamma}$  respectively, hence the only possibility is  $f_\gamma|_{E_\lambda} \equiv 0$ . Since  $f_\gamma$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $f_\gamma|_{x+E_\lambda}$  is affine linear, we obtain that  $f_\gamma|_{x+E_\lambda} \equiv \text{const}(x)$ .  $\square$

To motivate the conclusion of the proof, we start with a heuristic discussion. Assume that  $|\gamma| \geq |\lambda|$  for the rest of the proof. So far, we have proved that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear on the slices  $x + E_\lambda$ . Suppose we could show that  $f_\gamma$  is linear on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then we could conclude as follows:  $f_\gamma \circ \phi = M \circ f_\gamma$  and  $f_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^n) = U_\gamma$  (the latter follows from (5) and the definition of  $f_\gamma$ ) would imply that  $\phi$  restricted to a linear subspace and  $M|_{U_\gamma}$  are linearly conjugate:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^N & \xrightarrow{M} & U_\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^N \\ f_\gamma \uparrow & & f_\gamma \uparrow \\ \mathbb{R}^n & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbb{R}^n \end{array}$$

and hence  $\gamma$  is an eigenvalue of  $\phi$  of multiplicity at least  $\dim U_\gamma \geq \dim E_\lambda$ , as desired.

This scheme does work, but with some modifications. We are able to show that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear in some, but possibly not all, directions complementary to  $E_\lambda$ . It is linear in directions for which the differences between control points for tiles of the same type project densely.

Let  $\Xi = \Xi(\mathcal{T})$  denote the set of translation vectors between tiles of the same type and let  $P_\lambda$  be the projection from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $E_\lambda$  commuting with  $\phi$  (note that the projection  $\pi_\gamma$  acts in another space,  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ).

Consider the set  $(I - P_\lambda)\Xi$ , that is, the projection of  $\Xi$  onto the other eigenspaces of  $\phi$ . This projection may look like a lattice in some directions and fail to be discrete in other directions. We consider the directions in which this set is not discrete; more precisely, those directions in which there are arbitrarily small nonzero vectors in  $(I - P_\lambda)\Xi$ , and denote the span of these directions  $E'$ . What we will prove is that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear on all  $E'$  slices, and hence all  $E' \oplus E_\lambda$  slices. We will then show that the subspace  $E := E' \oplus E_\lambda$  is  $\phi$ -invariant and is spanned by the vectors of  $\Xi$  contained in it. This will allow us to essentially restrict the entire construction to  $\phi|_E$  and conclude as indicated above, using that  $f_\gamma|_E$  is linear.

Now let us be more formal and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  define  $E_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  to be the subspace

$$E_\varepsilon = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(B_\varepsilon \cap (I - P_\lambda)\Xi) \subset E_\lambda^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $E_\lambda^\perp$  is the  $\phi$ -invariant subspace complementary to  $E_\lambda$ . Further, consider

$$E' := \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} E_\varepsilon.$$

We have  $\phi\Xi \subset \Xi$  and  $P_\lambda\phi = \phi P_\lambda$ , hence

$$\phi((I - P_\lambda)\Xi) \subset (I - P_\lambda)\Xi.$$

Note that  $E_\varepsilon$  are decreasing linear subspaces of  $E_\lambda^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , hence  $E' = E_\varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and so  $E' = E_{\varepsilon'}$  for all  $0 < \varepsilon' \leq \varepsilon$ . Since  $\phi E_{\varepsilon'} \subset E_{c\varepsilon'}$  for  $c = \|\phi\|$  we see that  $E'$  is  $\phi$ -invariant. We then define

$$E := E' + E_\lambda.$$

**Lemma 3.8.**  *$f_\gamma|_{E+x}$  is affine linear for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $\varepsilon$  so that  $E' = E_\varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$  and define

$$E'' := \text{Span}(B_{\varepsilon'} \cap (I - P_\lambda)(\mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_1))$$

where  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is the set of control points of tiles of type 1 (of course, we could equally well choose another tile type). First we claim that

$$(19) \quad E' = E''.$$

Indeed,  $\mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \Xi$  hence  $E'' \subset E'$ . Choose  $\ell$  so large that  $\phi^\ell \Xi \subset \mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_1$ ; such an  $\ell$  exists by primitivity of the tile substitution (the  $\ell$ -th power of the substitution of any tile contains tiles of all types). We then have

$$E' = \phi^\ell E' = \phi^\ell E_{\varepsilon'/\|\phi\|^\ell} \subset \text{Span}(B_{\varepsilon'} \cap (I - P_\lambda)\phi^\ell \Xi) \subset E''.$$



The claim is proved.

Now suppose  $x \in \mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_1$ , so there exists  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  of type 1 such that  $T + x \in \mathcal{T}$ . By Lemma 3.6,

$$\xi \in T \Rightarrow f_\gamma(\xi + x) = f_\gamma(\xi) + \pi_\gamma a(x).$$

But Lemma 3.7 implies that  $f_\gamma(\xi + x) = f_\gamma(\xi + x - P_\lambda x)$ , so

$$(20) \quad f_\gamma(\xi + (I - P_\lambda)x) = f_\gamma(\xi) + \pi_\gamma a(x) \quad \text{for } \xi \in T.$$

We want to show that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear on all  $E$ -slices. Since  $f_\gamma$  is constant on all  $E_\lambda$ -slices by Lemma 3.7, it is enough to verify that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear on all  $E'$ -slices (recall that  $E = E' + E_\lambda$ ). Fix a small  $\varepsilon'$  as in (19) and select a basis of  $E'$  of the form  $y_i = (I - P_\lambda)x_i \in B_{\varepsilon'}$ , with  $x_i \in \mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_1$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, \dim E'$ . Now for any  $\xi$  in the interior of  $T$ , such that  $B_r(\xi) \subset T$ , we obtain from (20):

$$f_\gamma\left(\xi + \sum_i b_i y_i\right) = f_\gamma(\xi) + \sum_i b_i \pi_\gamma a(x_i),$$

for all  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\sum_i b_i y_i \in B_r$ . (Here we should note that, in view of Lemma 3.6, equality (20) transfers to all tiles equivalent to  $T$ . Since all the  $x_i$  are translates between two copies of  $T$ , we can apply the equality for any  $x_i$  in any of the translates.) This shows that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear on a large chunk of the lattice in  $E'$  generated by small vectors  $y_i$ , translated in such a way that  $\xi$  becomes the origin. It is an easy exercise to pass to the limit as  $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$  and conclude that  $f_\gamma$  is affine linear in the  $E'$ -direction on  $B_r(\xi) \cap (E' + \xi)$ . To be a bit more precise, we can verify that

$$(21) \quad f_\gamma\left(\frac{\zeta_1 + \zeta_2}{2}\right) = \frac{f_\gamma(\zeta_1) + f_\gamma(\zeta_2)}{2} \quad \text{for all } \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in B_r(\xi) \cap (E' + \xi).$$

Since  $f_\gamma$  is continuous, this implies that

$$(22) \quad f_\gamma(\zeta) = A_\xi \zeta + b_\xi \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in B_r(\xi) \cap (E' + \xi),$$

see e.g., [1, 2.1.4], where it is called the ‘‘Jensen functional equation’’. The details are straightforward.

Since (22) holds on all slices of  $T$ , by ‘‘expanding and translating’’ with the help of (15) and Lemma 3.6, we obtain the claim of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.9.**

$$E = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}((\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}) \cap E).$$

*Proof.* Denote  $W := \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}((\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}) \cap E)$ . First we show that  $E_\lambda \subset W$ . Let  $w \in E_\lambda$ . The set  $\mathcal{C}_1$  (control points of type-1 tiles) is relatively dense in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; let  $R > 0$  be such that every open ball of radius  $R$  hits  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . Let  $\xi_j \in \mathcal{C}_1$  be such that  $\|\xi_j - jw\| < R$  for all  $j \geq 0$ . Then

$$\|(I - P_\lambda)\xi_j\| = \|(I - P_\lambda)(\xi_j - jw)\| \leq (1 + \|P_\lambda\|)R, \quad j \geq 0.$$

It follows that there exists a sequence of pairs  $(i_k, j_k)$ , with  $i_k - j_k \rightarrow +\infty$ , such that

$$\|(I - P_\lambda)(\xi_{i_k} - \xi_{j_k})\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore,  $(I - P_\lambda)(\xi_{i_k} - \xi_{j_k}) \in E'$  for  $k$  sufficiently large, and hence  $\xi_{i_k} - \xi_{j_k} \in E$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . Now,

$$\|(\xi_{i_k} - \xi_{j_k}) - w(i_k - j_k)\| \leq 2R,$$

hence  $\zeta_k := (\xi_{i_k} - \xi_{j_k})/(i_k - j_k) \rightarrow w$ . But  $\zeta_k \in W$ , for  $k \geq k_0$ , hence  $w \in W$ , since  $W$  is closed, being a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now recall that  $E'$  is spanned by certain vectors of the form  $\xi - P_\lambda\xi$ , with  $\xi \in \Xi \subset \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $P_\lambda\xi \in E_\lambda \subset E$ , we have that these vectors  $\xi$  are in  $E$ , and hence  $E' \subset W$ . This proves that  $E = E' + E_\lambda \subset W$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Conclusion of the proof of Theorem 3.1.* As mentioned earlier, we would like to run the entire construction essentially restricting ourselves to the subspace  $E$ , which is  $\phi$ -invariant, contains  $E_\lambda$ , and is spanned by the vectors of  $\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}$  in it. We do not literally do this, because it is not clear what the intersection of the tiling with  $E$  looks like; rather, we make sure that the construction on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is compatible with this subspace structure. Recall that at the beginning of the proof we considered the free Abelian group  $J = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  and its free generators  $v_1, \dots, v_N$ . We will now use a more specific choice of the generators. Namely, let

$$\tilde{J} := \langle (\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}) \cap E \rangle = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}((\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}) \cap E).$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{J}$  is an Abelian subgroup of  $J$ , and  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\tilde{J} = E$  by Lemma 3.9. Is it possible to choose the free generators for  $J$  as an extension of a set of free generators for  $\tilde{J}$ ? Maybe not, but we can choose  $v_1, \dots, v_N$ , the free generators of  $J$ , so that  $d_1v_1, \dots, d_s v_s$  are free generators of  $\tilde{J}$  for some positive integers  $d_j$  and  $s \leq N$  (see e.g. [8, Theorem II.1.6]).

Recall that  $\phi$  acts on  $J$ , and on the generators  $v_j$  this action is given by an integer matrix  $M$ . Since  $\phi$  also acts on  $\tilde{J}$ , we claim that  $M = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{M} & * \\ \hline 0 & * \end{array} \right)$ , where  $\tilde{M}$  is an  $s \times s$  matrix. Indeed,  $\phi(v_i)$ ,  $i \leq N$ , is a unique integral linear combination of  $\{v_j\}_{j \leq N}$ , with the coefficients coming from the  $i$ -th column of  $M$ . On the other hand,  $\phi(d_i v_i)$ ,  $i \leq s$ , is an integral linear combination of  $\{d_j v_j\}_{j \leq s}$ , since the latter are free generators of  $\tilde{J}$ . This implies that  $\phi(v_i)$ ,  $i \leq s$ , is an integral linear combination of  $\{d_j v_j\}_{j \leq s}$ , that is,

$$(23) \quad \phi[v_1 \dots v_s] = [v_1 \dots v_s] \tilde{M},$$

where  $\tilde{M}$  is an integral  $s \times s$  matrix. Thus, the matrix  $M$  is block upper-triangular, with the upper left corner  $\tilde{M}$ , as claimed above.

Note that

$$(24) \quad \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{v_j\}_{j \leq s}) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\{d_j v_j\}_{j \leq s}) = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}((\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}) \cap E) = E$$

by construction. By (23) and (24), there is an  $\tilde{M}$ -invariant subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^s$ , on which  $\tilde{M}$  acts isomorphically (linearly conjugate) to  $\phi|_E$ . Since  $E \supset E_\lambda$ , we obtain that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\tilde{M}$ , with the multiplicity greater or equal to  $\dim E_\lambda$ . Because  $\gamma$  is an algebraic conjugate of  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{M}$  is an integer matrix, we have that  $\gamma$  is also an eigenvalue of  $\tilde{M}$ , with the multiplicity  $\geq \dim E_\lambda$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_\gamma$  be the real invariant subspace of  $\tilde{M}$  corresponding to  $\gamma$ .

Abusing notation a bit, we will identify  $\mathbb{R}^s$  with the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  generated by the first  $s$  coordinates. Then  $\tilde{U}_\gamma \subset U_\gamma$ .

Let  $a : J \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^N$  be the address map, as in (4). Then  $a(\tilde{J}) \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$  (using a similar abuse of notation, so that  $\mathbb{Z}^s \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ ). By construction,

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{a(\xi - \xi') : \xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}, \xi - \xi' \in E\} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^s d_j \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}^s,$$

hence

$$\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{a(\xi - \xi') : \xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}, \xi - \xi' \in E\} = \mathbb{R}^s.$$

It follows that

$$(25) \quad \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\pi_\gamma(a(\xi) - a(\xi')) : \xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}, \xi - \xi' \in E\} = \pi_\gamma(\mathbb{R}^s) = \tilde{U}_\gamma.$$

Recall that  $f_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ , defined originally by  $f_\gamma(\xi) = \pi_\gamma(a(\xi))$  on control points, is uniformly continuous,  $f_\gamma \circ \phi = M \circ f_\gamma$ , and  $f_\gamma|_{E+x}$  is affine linear for all  $x$  by Lemma 3.8. Note that  $f_\gamma|_E$  is linear, since  $f_\gamma(0) = 0$ .

We claim that  $f_\gamma(E) \supset \tilde{U}_\gamma$ . Indeed, every  $f_\gamma(E+x)$  is a translate of a linear subspace, which must be a translate of  $f_\gamma(E)$ , by the uniform continuity of  $f_\gamma$ . It follows that for  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\xi - \xi' \in E$ ,

$$\pi_\gamma(a(\xi) - a(\xi')) = f_\gamma(\xi) - f_\gamma(\xi') \in f_\gamma(E),$$

whence  $\tilde{U}_\gamma \subset f_\gamma(E)$  by (25). The claim is verified.

Since  $f_\gamma(E)$  contains  $\tilde{U}_\gamma$ , there exists a  $\phi$ -invariant subspace  $\tilde{E} \subset E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $f_\gamma$  maps  $\tilde{E}$  isomorphically onto  $\tilde{U}_\gamma$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}_\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^s & \xrightarrow{\tilde{M}} & \tilde{U}_\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^s \\ f_\gamma \uparrow & & f_\gamma \uparrow \\ \tilde{E} \subset E & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{E} \subset E \end{array}$$

Thus, the linear map  $f_\gamma|_{\tilde{E}}$  conjugates  $\phi|_{\tilde{E}}$  to  $\tilde{M}|_{\tilde{U}_\gamma} = M|_{\tilde{U}_\gamma}$ , hence  $\gamma$  is an eigenvalue of  $\phi$  of multiplicity  $\geq \dim E_\lambda$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to Misha Lyubich for a suggestion which helped prove Lemma 3.7.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, *Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications*. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 19, Academic Press, New York-London 1966.
- [2] G. Barat, V. Berthé, P. Liardet, J. Thuswaldner, Dynamical directions in numeration. Numération, pavages, substitutions, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **56** (2006), no. 7, 1987–2092.
- [3] E. Bombieri and J. E. Taylor, Quasicrystals, tilings and algebraic number theory: some preliminary connections, *Contemp. Math.* **64** (1987), 241–264.
- [4] D. Epstein, J. Cannon, D. Holt, S. Levy, M. Paterson, W. Thurston, *Word processing in groups*. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [5] M. Furukado, S. Ito, E. A. Robinson, Jr., Tilings associated with non-Pisot matrices, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **56** (2006), no. 7, 2391–2435.
- [6] M. De Guzman, *Differentiation of Integrals in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 541. Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [7] M. Hirsch, S. Smale, *Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems, and Linear Algebra*, Academic Press, 1974.
- [8] T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 73. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.
- [9] R. Kenyon, The construction of self-similar tilings, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), no. 3, 471–488.

- [10] R. Kenyon, Ph.D. Thesis, Princeton University, 1990.
- [11] R. Kenyon, A. Vershik, Arithmetic construction of sofic partitions of hyperbolic toral automorphisms, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **18** (1998), no. 2, 357–372.
- [12] J. Lagarias, Geometric models for quasicrystals, I. Delone sets of finite type, *Discrete and Computational Geometry* **21** (1999), 161–191.
- [13] J. C. Lagarias, Y. Wang, Self-affine tiles in  $R^n$ , *Adv. Math.* **121** (1996), no. 1, 21–49.
- [14] D. Lind, The entropies of topological Markov shifts and a related class of algebraic integers, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **4** (1984), no. 2, 283–300.
- [15] R. Penrose, Pentaplexity: a class of nonperiodic tilings of the plane, *Math. Intelligencer* **2** (1979/80), no. 1, 32–37.
- [16] B. Praggastis, Numeration systems and Markov partitions from self similar tilings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **351** (1999), no. 8, 3315–3349.
- [17] C. Pugh and M. Shub, Stable ergodicity and julienne quasi-conformality, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **2** (2000), no. 1, 1–52.
- [18] C. Radin, M. Wolff, Space tilings and local isomorphism, *Geom. Dedicata* **42** (1992), no. 3, 355–360.
- [19] G. Rauzy, Nombres algébriques et substitutions. *Bull. Soc. math. France* **110** (1982), 147–178.
- [20] E. A. Robinson, Jr., Symbolic dynamics and tilings of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , in *Symbolic dynamics and its applications*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., Vol. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 81–119.
- [21] Y. Sinai, Markov partitions and U-diffeomorphisms, *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen* **2** (1968) no. 1, 64–89.
- [22] B. Solomyak, Dynamics of self-similar tilings, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **17** (1997), no. 3, 695–738.
- [23] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis*, Princeton University Press, 1993.
- [24] W. Thurston, AMS lecture notes, 1989.

RICHARD KENYON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912

BORIS SOLOMYAK, BOX 354350, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, SEATTLE WA 98195

*E-mail address:* solomyak@math.washington.edu