ON THE H^1-L^1 BOUNDEDNESS OF OPERATORS

STEFANO MEDA, PETER SJÖGREN, AND MARIA VALLARINO

ABSTRACT. We prove that if q is in $(1, \infty)$, Y is a Banach space, and T is a linear operator defined on the space of finite linear combinations of (1, q)-atoms in \mathbb{R}^n with the property that

 $\sup\{\|Ta\|_Y : a \text{ is a } (1,q)\text{-atom}\} < \infty,$

then T admits a (unique) continuous extension to a bounded linear operator from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to Y. We show that the same is true if we replace (1,q)-atoms by *continuous* $(1,\infty)$ -atoms. This is known to be false for $(1,\infty)$ -atoms.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a recent paper, M. Bownik [3] showed that there exists a linear functional F defined on finite linear combinations of $(1, \infty)$ -atoms in \mathbb{R}^n with the property that

$$\sup\{|F(a)|: a \text{ is a } (1,\infty)\text{-atom}\} < \infty,$$

but which does not admit a continuous extension to $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If v is a fixed function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$, then the operator B, defined on finite linear combinations of $(1, \infty)$ atoms by Bf = F(f) v, satisfies

$$\sup\{\|Ba\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}: a \text{ is a } (1,\infty)\text{-atom}\} < \infty\}$$

but does not admit an extension to a bounded operator from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This shows that the argument "the operator T maps $(1, \infty)$ -atoms uniformly into $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and hence it extends to a bounded operator from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ " is fallacious.

Fortunately, if T is a Calderón–Zygmund operator, then the uniform boundedness of T on $(1, \infty)$ -atoms implies the boundedness from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see, for instance, [11, Ch. 7.3, Lemma 1], [2, Ch. 1.9], [7, Ch. III.7] and [8, Thm 6.7.1]).

The purpose of this paper is to show that the operator B constructed above is, to a certain extent, pathological. Indeed, we prove that if q is in $(1, \infty)$, Y is a Banach space, and T is a linear operator on finite linear combinations of (1, q)-atoms in \mathbb{R}^n with the property that

(1.1)
$$\sup\{\|Ta\|_Y : a \text{ is a } (1,q)\text{-atom}\} < \infty,$$

then T admits a unique continuous extension to a bounded linear operator from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to Y. The same conclusion holds if we assume that T is a linear operator on finite linear combinations of *continuous* $(1, \infty)$ -atoms in \mathbb{R}^n with the property that

(1.2) $\sup\{\|Ta\|_Y : a \text{ is a continuous } (1,\infty)\text{-atom}\} < \infty.$

Date: June 18, 2007 and, in revised form, October 05, 2007.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B30, 46A22.

Key words and phrases. BMO, atomic Hardy space, extension of operators.

Work partially supported by the Progetto Cofinanziato "Analisi Armonica".

Note that this does not contradict Bownik's example. Indeed, the restriction of the operator B to continuous $(1, \infty)$ -atoms extends to a bounded operator \widetilde{B} from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, B and \widetilde{B} will agree on continuous $(1, \infty)$ -atoms but not on all $(1, \infty)$ -atoms.

To explain the idea of the proofs of these results, we need more notation. Suppose that q is in $(1, \infty]$, and denote by $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the vector space of all finite linear combinations of (1, q)-atoms. Notice that $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of all $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions with compact support and integral 0. Clearly, $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a dense subspace of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We may define a norm on $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows

$$\|f\|_{H^{1,q}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j| : f = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \, a_j, \ a_j \text{ is a } (1,q) \text{-atom}, \ N \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Obviously $||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ||f||_{H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for every f in $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. An example due to Y. Meyer, see [12, p. 513], Bownik's paper [3] or [7, p. 370], shows that $||\cdot||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $||\cdot||_{H^{1,\infty}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are inequivalent norms on $H^{1,\infty}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This is the starting-point of Bownik's construction.

We prove that Meyer's example itself is somewhat exceptional. Indeed, by using the maximal characterisation of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we show that if $q < \infty$, then $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent norms on $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Section 3). Similarly, we prove that $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1,\infty}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent norms on $H^{1,\infty}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This immediately implies that operators defined on $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which have either property (1.1) or property (1.2) automatically extend to bounded operators from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

As discussed briefly in Section 3, this equivalence of norms remains true for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with 0 and <math>(p, q)-atoms.

The extension property for operators was also proved, by different methods, for 0 and <math>(p, 2)-atoms and operators taking values in quasi-Banach spaces, by D. Yang and Y. Zhou [YZ].

A theory of Hardy spaces has been developed in spaces of homogeneous type; see R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss [5]. It is, however, not evident whether our results extend to this case in general. Nevertheless, let M be such a space. By a simple functional analysis argument, we show that if q is in $(1, \infty)$ and T is an operator defined on $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(M)$ satisfying the analogue of (1.1), then T automatically extends to a bounded operator from $H^1(M)$ to $L^1(M)$ (see Section 4). It may be worth noticing that the proof of this result applies also to certain metric measured spaces (M, ρ, μ) where μ is only "locally doubling" [10, 4, V].

For so-called RD-spaces, which are spaces of homogeneous type having "dimension n" in a certain sense, our full results were recently extended in the paper [9] by L. Grafakos, L. Liu and Yang. These authors consider n/(n+1) and quasi-Banach-valued operators.

The authors wish to thank N.Th. Varopoulos for useful conversations on the subject of this paper.

2. NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

Suppose that (M, ρ, μ) is a space of homogeneous type in the sense of Coifman and Weiss [5] and that μ is a σ -finite measure. For the sake of simplicity, we shall assume that $\mu(M)$ is infinite.

Suppose that q is in $(1, \infty]$. For each closed ball B in M, we denote by $L_0^q(B)$ the space of all functions in $L^q(M)$ which are supported in B and have integral 0. Clearly $L_0^q(B)$ is a closed subspace of $L^q(M)$. The union of all spaces $L_0^q(B)$ as B varies over all balls coincides with the space $L_{c,0}^q(M)$ of all functions in $L^q(M)$ with compact support and integral 0. Fix a reference point o in M and for each positive integer k denote by B_k the ball centred at o with radius k. A convenient way of topologising $L_{c,0}^q(M)$ is to interpret $L_{c,0}^q(M)$ as the strict inductive limit of the spaces $L_{c,0}^q(B_k)$ (see [1, II, p. 33] for the definition of the strict inductive limit topology). We denote by X^q the space $L_{c,0}^q(M)$ with this topology, and write X_k^q for $L_{c,0}^q(B_k)$.

We recall the basic definitions and results concerning the atomic Hardy space $H^1(M)$. The reader is referred to [5] and the references therein for this and more on Hardy spaces defined on spaces of homogeneous type. Suppose that q is in $(1, \infty)$. A (1, q)-atom is a function a in $L^q(M)$ supported in a ball B, with mean value 0 and such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mu(B)}\int_B |a|^q \,\mathrm{d}\mu\right)^{1/q} \le \mu(B)^{-1}$$

if q is finite, and $||a||_{\infty} \leq \mu(B)^{-1}$ if $q = \infty$. We denote by $H^{1,q}(M)$ the space of all functions g in $L^1(M)$ which admit a decomposition of the form $g = \sum_j \lambda_j a_j$, where the a_j are (1,q)-atoms and the λ_j are complex numbers such that $\sum_j |\lambda_j| < \infty$. The norm $||g||_{H^{1,q}}$ of g in $H^{1,q}(M)$ is the infimum of $\sum_j |\lambda_j|$ over all such decompositions. It is well known that all the spaces $H^{1,q}(M)$ with $q \in (1,\infty)$ coincide with $H^{1,\infty}(M)$, and we denote them all by $H^1(M)$. Clearly, the vector space $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ of all finite linear combinations of (1,q)-atoms is dense in $H^1(M)$ with respect to the norm of $H^1(M)$, for q in $(1,\infty]$. Observe also that $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ and $L^q_{c,0}(M)$ agree as vector spaces, and so do the space of finite linear combinations of continuous $(1,\infty)$ -atoms and $H^{1,\infty}_{\text{fin}}(M) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For each ball B and each locally integrable function f, we denote by f_B the

For each ball B and each locally integrable function f, we denote by f_B the average of f on B. Recall that BMO is the Banach space of all locally integrable functions f, defined modulo constants, such that

$$\|f\|_{BMO} = \sup_{B} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} \left| f - f_{B} \right| \mathrm{d}\mu < \infty.$$

The dual of $H^1(M)$ may be identified with BMO.

There are several characterisations of the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We shall make use of the so-called maximal characterisation, which we briefly recall. Suppose that m is an integer with m > n, and denote by \mathcal{A}_m the set of all functions φ in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\sup_{\beta|\leq m} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^m \left| D^\beta \varphi(x) \right| \le 1,$$

where $|\beta|$ denotes the length of the multi-index β . For φ in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote by φ_t the function $t^{-n} \varphi(\cdot/t)$. Given f in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, define the "grand maximal function"

 $\mathcal{M}_m f$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_m f = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_m} \sup_{t > 0} |\varphi_t * f|.$$

The following result is classical [6, 13, 7, 15].

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that f is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) f is in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) the grand maximal function $\mathcal{M}_m f$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, $f \mapsto \|\mathcal{M}_m f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is an equivalent norm on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The letter C will denote a positive constant, which need not be the same at different occurrences. Given two positive quantities A and B, we shall mean by $A \sim B$ that there exists a constant C such that $1/C \leq A/B \leq C$.

3. The Euclidean case

In this section we work in the classical setting of \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 3.1. The following hold:

- (i) if $q < \infty$, then $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1,q}_{\operatorname{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent norms on $H^{1,q}_{\operatorname{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
- (ii) the two norms $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1,\infty}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ are equivalent on $H^{1,\infty}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. Clearly, $||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq ||f||_{H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for f in $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for q in $(1,\infty]$. Thus, we have to show that for every q in $(1,\infty)$ there exists a constant C such that

$$\|f\|_{H^{1,q}_{\operatorname{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \, \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \qquad \forall f \in H^{1,q}_{\operatorname{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

and that a similar estimate holds for $q = \infty$ and all f in $H^{1,\infty}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Suppose that q is in $(1, \infty]$, and that f is in $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. By the translation invariance of Lebesgue measure, we may assume that the support of f is contained in the closed ball B = B(0, R) centred at 0 with radius R. For each k in \mathbb{Z} , denote by Ω_k the level set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_m f(x) > 2^k\}$ of the grand maximal function $\mathcal{M}_m f$ of f. We choose Whitney cubes $Q_i^k, i \in \mathbb{N}$, with disjoint interiors satisfying $\Omega_k = \bigcup_i Q_i^k$ and

(3.1)
$$\operatorname{diam}(Q_i^k) \le \eta \operatorname{dist}(Q_i^k, \Omega_k^c) \le 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q_i^k),$$

where η is a suitable constant in (0, 1). Except for the factor η , this is Theorem VI.1 of [14, p. 167]. The only modification needed in the proof in [14] concerns the choice of the constant denoted c.

By following closely the proof of [15, Theorem III.2 p. 107] or [13, Theorem 3.5 pp. 12-18], we produce an atomic decomposition of f of the form

(3.2)
$$f = \sum_{i,k} \lambda_i^k a_i^k$$

such that the following hold:

- (a) $\left|\lambda_{i}^{k} a_{i}^{k}\right| \leq C 2^{k}$ for every k in \mathbb{Z} ;
- (b) for each k in Z, the atoms a_i^k are supported in balls B_i^k concentric with the Q_i^k and contained in Ω_k . By choosing the constant η in (3.1) small enough, depending on the dimension, we can also ensure that the family $\{B_i^k\}_i$ has the bounded overlap property, uniformly with respect to k;

(c) there exists a constant C independent of f such that $\sum_{i,k} |\lambda_i^k| \leq C \|f\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} =$ C.

We write 2B for the closed ball concentric with B whose radius is twice as large. For φ in \mathcal{A}_m and x in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus (2B)$ one then has

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_t * f(x)| &\leq t^{-n} \sup_{y \in B^c} |\varphi(y/t)| \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq t^{-n} \left(1 + R/t\right)^{-m} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{M}_m f(x) = \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_m} \sup_{t > R} |\varphi_t * f(x)| \le R^{-n},$$

since m > n. Now, if x is in $\Omega_k \setminus (2B)$, the above inequality and the definition of Ω_k force $2^k < R^{-n}$; denote by k' the largest integer k such that $2^k < R^{-n}$. Then $\overline{\Omega_k}$ is contained in 2B for k > k'.

Next we define the functions h and ℓ by

(3.3)
$$h = \sum_{k \le k'} \sum_{i} \lambda_i^k a_i^k \quad \text{and} \quad \ell = \sum_{k > k'} \sum_{i} \lambda_i^k a_i^k.$$

Observe that both these series converge in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, simply because $\sum_{i,k} |\lambda_i^k| < \infty$, so that h and ℓ have integral 0. Clearly, $f = h + \ell$. Furthermore, the support of ℓ is contained in 2B, because it is contained in $\overline{\Omega}_k$ by (b) above, and $\overline{\Omega}_k$ is contained in 2B for all k > k'. Therefore h = f = 0 in $(2B)^c$.

To estimate the size of h in 2B, we use (a) above and the bounded overlap property of (b), getting

$$|h| \le C \sum_{k \le k'} 2^k \ \le \ C 2^{k'} \ \le \ C \, |2B|^{-1} \, .$$

This proves that h/C is a $(1,\infty)$ -atom, where C is independent of f.

Now we assume that $q < \infty$, and conclude the proof of (i). Observe that ℓ is in $L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$, because $\ell = f - h$, and both f and h are in $L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$.

We claim that the series $\sum_{k>k'} \sum_i \lambda_i^k a_i^k$ converges to ℓ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fixing s in \mathbb{Z} , we shall estimate $\sum_{k>k'} \sum_i |\lambda_i^k a_i^k|$ in $\Omega_s \setminus \Omega_{s+1}$. First observe that all terms with k > s vanish outside Ω_{s+1} . Then apply (a) and (b), to get the pointwise bound

$$\sum_{k>k'}\sum_{i}\left|\lambda_{i}^{k}a_{i}^{k}\right| \leq C\sum_{k\leq s}2^{k} \leq C2^{s} \leq C\mathcal{M}_{m}f.$$

The constants C above are independent of f and s, so that

$$\sum_{k>k'}\sum_{i}\left|\lambda_{i}^{k}a_{i}^{k}\right|\leq C\,\mathcal{M}_{m}f$$

in all of \mathbb{R}^n , with C independent of f. Note that $\mathcal{M}_m f$ is in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, since f is. This implies that the series defining ℓ converges almost everywhere, and the limit must coincide with the L^1 limit ℓ . The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem

now implies that $\sum_{k>k'} \sum_i \lambda_i^k a_i^k$ converges to ℓ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the claim is proved. Finally, for each positive integer N we denote by F_N the finite set of all pairs of integers (i,k) such that k > k' and $|i| + |k| \le N$, and by ℓ_N the function $\sum_{(i,k)\in F_N} \lambda_i^k a_i^k$. The function ℓ_N is in $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f = h + \ell_N + (\ell - \ell_N)$. Observe that $\ell - \ell_N$ will be a small multiple of a (1, q)-atom for large N. Indeed,

by taking N large enough, we can make the corresponding coefficient less than any given ε in \mathbb{R}^+ . Then

$$\|f\|_{H^{1,q}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C + \sum_{(i,k)\in F_N} \left|\lambda_i^k\right| + \varepsilon,$$

so that

$$\|f\|_{H^{1,q}_{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C + \sum_{(i,k)\in F_N} |\lambda_i^k| \leq C,$$

by property (c) above, as required to conclude the proof of (i).

Now we finish the proof of (ii). Assume that f is a continuous function in $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A careful examination of the proof of [15, Theorem III.2 pp. 107-8] or [13, Theorem 3.5 pp. 12-18] shows that the atoms a_i^k that appear in the decomposition (3.2) are then continuous. Furthermore, we see that for each k and i the function $\lambda_i^k a_i^k$ depends only on the restriction of f to a ball \tilde{B}_i^k which is a concentric enlargement of the ball B_i^k from (b) above, by a fixed scaling factor. It is straightforward to check that if f is constant in \tilde{B}_i^k , then $\lambda_i^k a_i^k = 0$, and that there exists an absolute constant C such that if $|f| < \varepsilon$ in \tilde{B}_i^k , then $|\lambda_i^k a_i^k| < C \varepsilon$.

Since trivially $\mathcal{M}_m f \leq C_n ||f||_{\infty}$ where the constant C_n depends only on n, the level set Ω_k is empty for all k such that $2^k \geq C_n ||f||_{\infty}$. We denote by k'' the largest integer for which the last inequality does not hold. Then the index k in the sum defining ℓ in (3.3) will run only over $k' < k \leq k''$.

Let ε be positive. Since f is uniformly continuous, there exists a positive δ such that $|x - y| < \delta$ implies

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$$

Write $\ell = \ell_1^{\varepsilon} + \ell_2^{\varepsilon}$ with

$$\ell_1^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{(i,k) \in F_1} \lambda_i^k a_i^k \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_2^{\varepsilon} = \sum_{(i,k) \in F_2} \lambda_i^k a_i^k,$$

where $F_1 = \{(i,k) : \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{B}_i^k) \ge \delta, k' < k \le k''\}$ and $F_2 = \{(i,k) : \operatorname{diam}(\tilde{B}_i^k) < \delta, k' < k \le k''\}$. Since F_1 is a finite set, ℓ_1^{ε} is continuous.

To estimate ℓ_2^{ε} , we denote by x_i^k the centre of the ball B_i^k and write for (i,k) in F_2

$$f(x) = f(x_i^k) + f(x) - f(x_i^k).$$

Then $|\lambda_i^k a_i^k| < C \varepsilon$, because $|f(x) - f(x_i^k)| < \varepsilon$ for x in \tilde{B}_i^k . For fixed k the balls $\{B_i^k\}_i$ have uniformly bounded overlap, so there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$|\ell_2^{\varepsilon}| \le C \sum_{k' < k \le k''} \varepsilon \le C \left(k'' - k'\right) \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we can thus split ℓ into a continuous part and a part that is uniformly arbitrarily small. It follows that ℓ is continuous. But then $h = f - \ell$ is also continuous, so that h is a continuous $(1, \infty)$ -atom, multiplied by a factor C.

To find a finite atomic decomposion of ℓ , we use again the splitting $\ell = \ell_1^{\varepsilon} + \ell_2^{\varepsilon}$. Clearly ℓ_1^{ε} is for each ε a finite linear combination of continuous $(1, \infty)$ -atoms, and the ℓ^1 norm of the coefficients is controlled by $||f||_{H^1}$, in view of (c). Observe that $\ell_2^{\varepsilon} = \ell - \ell_1^{\varepsilon}$ is continuous. Further, ℓ_2^{ε} is supported in 2B, has integral 0 and satisfies $|\ell_2^{\varepsilon}| \leq C(k'' - k')\varepsilon$. Choosing ε , we can thus make ℓ_2^{ε} into an arbitrarily small multiple of a continuous $(1, \infty)$ -atom. To sum up, $f = h + \ell_1^{\varepsilon} + \ell_2^{\varepsilon}$ gives the desired finite atomic decomposition of f, with coefficients controlled by $||f||_{H^1}$.

We have completed the proof of (ii) and that of the theorem.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 (ii) implies that any function f in $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ admits a finite decomposition in $(1,\infty)$ -atoms such that the sum of the corresponding coefficients is $\leq C ||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Actually, the proof of Theorem 3.1 (ii) shows that we can construct this finite decomposition in such a way that it involves only continuous $(1,\infty)$ -atoms.

Remark 3.3. Theorem 3.1 extends to $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with 0 and <math>(p,q)-atoms, where one can now have $1 \leq q \leq \infty$. The proof is rather similar to the one given above, so we only describe briefly the modifications needed for part (i). Let thus $1 \leq q < \infty$. Given $f \in H^{p,q}_{fin}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported in a ball B_R , the first step is the inequality $\mathcal{M}_m f \leq CR^{-n/p} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, valid outside a larger ball B_{CR} . One proves this by comparing the values of $\mathcal{M}_m f$ at different points and using the fact that $\|\mathcal{M}_m f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then the Ω_k and the decompositions $f = \sum \lambda_i^k a_i^k =$ $h + \ell$ are introduced as above. The sum ℓ now converges in \mathcal{S}' and is dominated by $\mathcal{M}_m f$. If q > 1, we have $\mathcal{M}_m f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and conclude as before that ℓ converges in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For q = 1, the tail sum $S_\kappa = \sum_{k \geq \kappa} \sum_i \lambda_i^k a_i^k$ tends to 0 in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\kappa \to +\infty$, because S_κ is nonzero only in Ω_κ and not larger than $|f| + C2^\kappa$ there, and $|\Omega_\kappa| = o(2^{-\kappa})$ as $\kappa \to +\infty$. The rest of the proof goes as before. See also [9, Theorem 5.6]

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that Y is a Banach space and that one of the following holds:

(i) q is in $(1,\infty)$ and $T: H^{1,q}_{fin}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to Y$ is a linear operator such that

 $A := \sup\{ \|Ta\|_Y : a \text{ is } a (1,q) \text{-} atom \} < \infty;$

 (ii) T is a Y-valued linear operator defined on continuous (1,∞)-atoms such that

 $A := \sup\{\|Ta\|_Y : a \text{ is a continuous } (1,\infty)\text{-}atom\} < \infty.$

Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator \widetilde{T} from $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to Y which extends T.

Proof. We consider the case (i). Suppose that f is in $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j a_j$ say, where a_j are (1,q)-atoms. Then the assumption and the triangle inequality give

$$||Tf||_Y \le A \sum_{j=1}^N |\lambda_j|.$$

By taking the infimum of the right-hand side with respect to all decomposition of f as a finite sum of (1, q)-atoms, we obtain

$$||Tf||_Y \le A ||f||_{H^{1,q}_{fin}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now, Theorem 3.1 (i) implies that the right-hand side is dominated by $CA ||f||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where C does not depend on f, and a density argument completes the proof of the corollary.

The case (ii) is similar.

Remark 3.5. The statement of Corollary 3.4 (i) becomes false if we replace q by ∞ . A counterexample is given by the operator B defined in the Introduction. Note also that Corollary 3.4 applies to linear functionals.

4. Results on spaces of homogeneous type

In this section, we work in a space of homogeneous type (M, ρ, μ) . Recall that we assume that μ is σ -finite, and that $\mu(M)$ is infinite.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that q is in $(1, \infty)$ and that T is a linear operator defined on $H^{1,q}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ with the property that

$$A := \sup\{ \|Ta\|_{L^1(M)} : a \text{ is } a (1,q) \text{-}atom \} < \infty.$$

Then there exists a unique bounded linear operator \widetilde{T} from $H^1(M)$ to $L^1(M)$ which extends T.

Proof. We prove the result in the case where q = 2. The proof in the other cases is similar.

Suppose that B is a ball. For each f in $L^2_0(B)$ such that $||f||_{L^2(M)} = 1$, the function $\mu(B)^{-1/2} f$ is a (1,2)-atom, so that

$$||Tf||_{L^1(M)} \le A \mu(B)^{1/2} \quad \forall f \in L^2_0(B)$$

by the assumption. In particular, the restriction of T to X_k^2 is bounded from X_k^2 to $L^1(M)$ for each k. Thus, T is bounded from X^2 to $L^1(M)$. It follows that T^* is bounded from $L^{\infty}(M)$ to the dual of X^2 . But the dual of X^2 is the quotient space $L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)/\mathbb{C}$, since that of $L^2_{c,0}(B_k)$ is $L^2(B_k)/\mathbb{C}$. Now, for every f in $L^{\infty}(M)$ and for every (1, 2)-atom a

$$\langle Ta, f \rangle = \langle a, T^*f \rangle = \int_M a T^*f \,\mathrm{d}\mu,$$

so that

$$\left|\int_{M} a T^* f \,\mathrm{d}\mu\right| = \left|\langle Ta, f \rangle\right| \le A \,\|f\|_{\infty}$$

A standard argument then shows that T^*f belongs to BMO(M) and that

(4.1)
$$||T^*f||_{BMO(M)} \le 2A ||f||_{\infty} \quad \forall f \in L^{\infty}(M).$$

We give the details for the reader's convenience. Suppose that ${\cal B}$ is a ball and observe that

$$\left[\int_{B} |T^{*}f - (T^{*}f)_{B}|^{2} \mathrm{d}\mu\right]^{1/2} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}(B)}=1} \left|\int_{B} \varphi \left(T^{*}f - (T^{*}f)_{B}\right) \mathrm{d}\mu\right|.$$

But

$$\int_{B} \varphi \left(T^* f - (T^* f)_B \right) d\mu = \int_{B} (\varphi - \varphi_B) \left(T^* f - (T^* f)_B \right) d\mu$$
$$= \int_{B} (\varphi - \varphi_B) T^* f d\mu,$$

and since $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(B)} = 1$

$$\left|\varphi_B\right| \le \left[\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \left|\varphi\right|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\mu\right]^{1/2} \le \mu(B)^{-1/2}.$$

Write ψ instead of $\varphi - \varphi_B$. Then

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2(B)} \le \|\varphi\|_{L^2(B)} + |\varphi_B| \ \mu(B)^{1/2} \le 2,$$

so that $\psi/(2\mu(B)^{1/2})$ is a (1,2)-atom. Therefore

$$\left|\int_{B} \psi \ T^* f \,\mathrm{d}\mu\right| \le 2A \,\mu(B)^{1/2} \,\|f\|_{\infty}$$

Combining the above, we conclude that for every ball B

$$\left[\frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_{B} |T^*f - (T^*f)_B|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\mu\right]^{1/2} \le 2A \, \|f\|_{\infty},$$

and (4.1) follows.

Now we show that T extends to a bounded operator from $H^1(M)$ to $L^1(M)$ with norm at most 2A. Observe that X^2 and $H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ coincide as vector spaces. For every g in $H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ and for every f in $L^{\infty}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle Tg, f \rangle \right| &= \left| \langle g, T^* f \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \|g\|_{H^1(M)} \|T^* f\|_{BMO(M)} \\ &\leq 2A \|g\|_{H^1(M)} \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(M)}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum of both sides over all functions f in $L^{\infty}(M)$ with $||f||_{L^{\infty}(M)} = 1$, we obtain that

$$||Tg||_{L^1(M)} \le 2A ||g||_{H^1(M)} \quad \forall g \in H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M).$$

Finally we observe that $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,2}(M)$ is dense in $H^1(M)$ (with respect to the norm of $H^1(M)$), and the required conclusion follows by a density argument. \Box

Quite often one encounters the following situation. Suppose that T is a bounded linear operator on $L^2(M)$. Then T is automatically defined on $H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M)$. Assume that

$$A := \sup\{\|Ta\|_{L^1(M)} : a \text{ is a } (1,2)\text{-atom}\} < \infty.$$

By the previous result, the restriction of T to $H_{\text{fin}}^{1,2}(M)$ has a unique extension to a bounded linear operator \tilde{T} from $H^1(M)$ to $L^1(M)$. The question is whether the operators T and \tilde{T} are consistent, i.e., whether they coincide on the intersection $H^1(M) \cap L^2(M)$ of their domains. The answer to this question is in the affirmative as the following proposition shows.

Proposition 4.2. Suppose that T is bounded on $L^2(M)$ and that

$$A := \sup\{\|Ta\|_{L^1(M)} : a \text{ is } a (1,2)\text{-}atom\} < \infty$$

Denote by \widetilde{T} the unique continuous linear extension of the restriction of T to $H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ to an operator from $H^1(M)$ to $L^1(M)$. Then the operators T and \widetilde{T} agree on $H^1(M) \cap L^2(M)$.

Proof. Suppose that f is in $L^2(M) \cap L^{\infty}(M)$ and that g is in $L^2_{c,0}(M)$. Denote by T^* the transpose operator of T (as an operator on $L^2(M)$). Then

(4.2)
$$\int_M g \ T^* f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_M Tg \ f \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Since g is in $H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M)$ and the operators T and \widetilde{T} agree on $H^{1,2}_{\text{fin}}(M)$, we see that

(4.3)
$$\int_{M} Tg \ f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{M} \widetilde{T}g \ f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$= \left\langle g, (\widetilde{T})^{*}f \right\rangle,$$

where $(\widetilde{T})^*$ denotes the transpose of the operator \widetilde{T} from $H^1(M)$ to $L^1(M)$. Note that $(\widetilde{T})^* f$ is in BMO(M) and g is a multiple of an atom. Thus the above scalar product $\left\langle g, (\widetilde{T})^* f \right\rangle$ (with respect to the duality between $H^1(M)$ and BMO(M)) may be written as $\int_M g(\widetilde{T})^* f \, d\mu$. Therefore, (4.2) and (4.3) imply that

$$\int_M g \left[T^* f - (\widetilde{T})^* f \right] \mathrm{d}\mu = 0 \qquad \forall g \in L^2_{c,0}(M),$$

i.e., for all g in X². Therefore $T^*f - (\widetilde{T})^*f = 0$ in the dual space of X², i.e., in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(M)/\mathbb{C}$. This implies that $T^*f - (\widetilde{T})^*f$ is constant. Now, suppose that g is in $H^1(M) \cap L^2(M)$ and that f is in $L^2(M) \cap L^{\infty}(M)$.

(4.4)
$$\int_{M} Tg \ f \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{M} g \ T^{*} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$= \int_{M} g \ (\widetilde{T})^{*} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$
$$= \int_{M} \widetilde{T}g \ f \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

Since f is an arbitrary function in $L^2(M) \cap L^\infty(M)$, $Tg - \widetilde{T}g = 0$ almost everywhere, as required. \square

References

- 1. N. Bourbaki, Topological Vector Spaces. Chapters 1-5, Elements of Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2003.
- 2. M. Bownik, Anisotropic Hardy spaces and wavelets, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 164 (2003), vi+122 pp.
- 3. M. Bownik, Boundedness of operators on Hardy spaces via atomic decompositions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 3535-3542.
- 4. A. Carbonaro, G. Mauceri, S. Meda, H^1 , BMO and singular integrals for certain measured metric spaces, submitted.
- 5. R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss, Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1977), 569-645.
- 6. G. B. Folland, E. M. Stein, Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups, Princeton University Press, 1982.
- 7. J. García-Cuerva, J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted norm inequalities and related topics, North-Holland, 1985.
- 8. L. Grafakos, Classical and Modern Fourier Analysis, Pearson, 2004.
- 9. L. Grafakos, L. Liu, D. Yang, Maximal function characterizations of Hardy spaces on RDspaces and their applications, submitted.
- 10. G. Mauceri, S. Meda, BMO and H^1 for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, to appear in the J. Funct. Anal.
- 11. Y. Meyer, R. R. Coifman, Calderón-Zygmund and multilinear operators, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- 12. Y. Meyer, M. H. Taibleson, G. Weiss, Some functional analytic properties of the spaces B_q generated by blocks, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 493–515.

- 13. P. Sjögren, Lectures on atomic H^p spaces theory in \mathbb{R}^n , Lecture Notes, University of Umeå, n. 5, 1981. See also www.chalmers.se/math/SV/kontakt/personal/larare-och-forskare/sjogrenpeter.
- 14. E.M. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, 1970.
- E.M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis. Real variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals, Princeton Math. Series No. 43, Princeton N.J., 1993.
- V. M. Vallarino, Spaces H^1 and BMO on ax + b-groups, submitted.
- YZ. D. Yang, Y. Zhou, A boundedness criterion via atoms for linear operators in Hardy spaces, to appear in Constr. Approx.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÁ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO–BICOCCA, VIA COZZI, 53 20125 MILANO, ITALY

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{stefano.meda@unimib.it}$

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Göteborg University and Chalmers, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden

E-mail address: peters@math.chalmers.se

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E APPLICAZIONI, UNIVERSITÁ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO–BICOCCA, VIA COZZI, 53 20125 MILANO, ITALY

E-mail address: maria.vallarino@unimib.it