Fluctuations driven isotropization of the quark-gluon plasma in heavy ion collisions

S.V. Akkelin [∗]¹

October 27, 2018

Abstract

Averaged over ensemble of initial conditions kinetic transport equations of weakly coupled systems of quarks and gluons are derived. These equations account for the correlators of fluctuations of particles and classical gluon fields. The isotropization of particle momenta by field fluctuations at the early prethermal stage of matter evolution in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions is discussed. Our results can be useful for understanding under what conditions isotropization of the quark-gluon plasma in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions can be reached within phenomenologically observed time scales.

 1 Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Metrolohichna str. 14b, 03680 Kiev-143, Ukraine PACS: 25.75.-q, 52.35.-g, 12.38.Mh Keywords: quark-gluon plasma, heavy ion collisions, isotropization, fluctuations

1 Introduction

Ideal fluid hydrodynamic models provide a good description of Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) data on single-particle hadron momentum spectra and elliptic flows [\[1\]](#page-13-0). It is noteworthy that the agreement with data is achieved only if very rapid equilibration proper times τ_i < 1 fm/c are assumed [\[2\]](#page-13-1), whereas the theoretical estimates based on the initial conditions obtained from the Color Glass Condensate (CGC) wave functions of colliding nuclei (see, e.g., Ref. [\[3\]](#page-14-0)) and perturbative scattering processes yield thermalization times near 3 fm/c [\[4\]](#page-14-1). The possible resolution of this puzzle was proposed in Ref. [\[5\]](#page-14-2) where it was argued that for hydrodynamic modeling of the early stage of RHIC collisions thermalization is not required and isotropization of parton momenta in the local fluid rest frame (local rest frame of the energy flow) suffices for applicability of ideal fluid hydrodynamic models. Then "early thermalization" in heavy ion collisions is more properly interpreted as evidence of the local isotropization in momentum space of the nonequilibrium quarkgluon plasma (QGP), which precedes the thermalization by acting on a faster time scale. The gauge fields' instability effects caused by a particle momentum anisotropy in the local rest frame (it appears due to the rapid longitudinal expansion), analogous to Weibel instability in Abelian plasmas [\[6\]](#page-14-3), would speed up the onset of isotropization and subsequent thermalization of weakly coupled QGP (for review see, e.g., Refs. [\[7,](#page-14-4) [8\]](#page-14-5)) in relativistic heavy ion collisions because the unstable modes tend to make the particle momentum distributions more isotropic. Then a important question is

[∗]E-mail: akkelin@bitp.kiev.ua

whether such instabilities could restore isotropy in momentum space in heavy ion collisions on a relevant time scale.

Recently the $3 + 1$ dimensional numerical simulations of nonAbelian plasma instabilities in a stationary anisotropic weakly coupled plasma $(q \ll 1)$ where q is the coupling constant) were carried out [\[9,](#page-14-6) [10,](#page-14-7) [11\]](#page-14-8) using the framework of the hard-loop (HL) effective theory [\[12,](#page-14-9) [13,](#page-14-10) [14\]](#page-14-11). The HL effective theory treats the plasma particles as having arbitrary large momentum; this approximation is justified because in weak gauge coupling scenarios relevant for the early stage of relativistic heavy ion collisions $|k| \ll |p|$, where $|k|$ is the characteristic momentum scale associated with the unstable gauge fields' modes and |p| is the typical momentum of plasma particles. This approach does not account for back reaction of the gauge fields on the particles because the gauge fields' effect on the particle "trajectories" becomes important when the amplitude of the gauge fields is $A \sim |\mathbf{p}|/g$ (in the Coulomb gauge), while in these simulations $A \lesssim |{\bf k}|/g$. Even with this simplification, the HL dynamics for nonAbelian theories are rather complex as a result of the nonlinear gauge field self-interactions that come into play when $A \sim |{\bf k}|/g$. The simulations performed for a plasma with moderate momentum anisotropy [\[9\]](#page-14-6) showed that unlike Abelian plasmas (and in contrast with earlier results of $1 + 1$ dimensional simulations [\[15\]](#page-14-12)) the gauge field dynamics changes from exponential field growth with time to linear growth when the vector potential amplitude reaches the nonAbelian scale, $A \sim |\mathbf{k}|/g$, where nonlinear gauge field interactions become important. Evidently, it could slow down instability-driven particle momentum isotropization in heavy ion collisions. The same is valid for $3+1$ dimensional numerical simulations [\[10\]](#page-14-7) with extreme momentum anisotropy and strong initial fields that are nonperturbatively large, $A \gtrsim |{\bf k}|/g$. As for the case of very strong momentum anisotropy and perturbatively weak initial fields, $A \ll |\mathbf{k}|/g$, it was found [\[11\]](#page-14-8) that the exponential growth continues beyond the nonAbelian bound and extends to higher wave vectors as compared to the perturbative scenario. The HL effective theory was also extended to the case of boost-invariant longitudinally expanding distribution of plasma particles [\[16\]](#page-14-13) and it was demonstrated in $1 + 1$ dimensional simulations [\[17\]](#page-14-14) that chromo-Weibel instabilities grow nearly exponentially in the square root of proper time [\[18\]](#page-14-15).

Important results have been also obtained beyond the HL techniques. The nonAbelian collective instabilities were studied in $3 + 1$ dimensional numerical simulations [\[19\]](#page-14-16) of Yang-Mills equations for unstable matter expanding into the vacuum after a high energy heavy ion collision. These calculations account for the back reaction of the soft field modes on the hard modes ("particles"). The calculations performed for the CGC initial conditions with fluctuations of the fields in rapidity (violations of boost invariance) demonstrated that nonAbelian self-interactions cause the growth of soft modes to saturate; however, the isotropization time scales of hard modes are much shorter if there are large initial fluctuations [\[19\]](#page-14-16). The solution of the full three-dimensional classical Vlasov transport equations [\[20\]](#page-14-17) also goes beyond the HL approximation. In this kinetic approach, the mass shell partons released from the wave functions of relativistic colliding nuclei are treated as "fields", if their momenta are much below the saturation momentum Q_s (given by the square root of the color charge density per unit area in the incoming nuclei), and as "particles", if their momenta are on the order of Q_s and above. It was found in numerical simulations of classical transport equations that for fairly strong initial random fields a very rapid isotropization of the particle momentum distribution was reached while there was no developed instability with rapid growth of the fields [\[20\]](#page-14-17). These results would indicate that for large initial field fluctuations isotropization of particle momenta can be reached before the instabilities will develop.

These findings probably indicate that thermalization of QGP at RHIC or Large Hadron Collider (LHC) energies is mainly an initial state problem and that fast isotropization in ultrarelativistic heavy ion collisions can be reached if evolution starts from specific initial conditions. In this article, we demonstrate using analytical methods how fluctuations in the initial stage can speed up the isotropization process. For this purpose we, based on collisionless Vlasov transport equations of QGP [\[21,](#page-14-18) [22,](#page-14-19) [23,](#page-14-20) [24\]](#page-14-21) and using the methods developed for Abelian plasmas [\[25,](#page-15-0) [26,](#page-15-1) [27,](#page-15-2) [28\]](#page-15-3) (see also Ref. [\[29\]](#page-15-4) where effective transport equations for nonAbelian plasmas are derived based on Wong equations [\[30\]](#page-15-5)), derive kinetic equations of weakly coupled QGP (wQGP) that describe fluctuations driven isotropization of the averaged over ensemble of initial conditions distribution functions of on-mass-shell "particles" (quarks, antiquarks and gluons). The turbulent nonAbelian plasma instabilities are accounted for in an approach that was developed early for the turbulent Coulomb plasmas [\[31\]](#page-15-6) (for review, see Ref. [\[28\]](#page-15-3)).

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we derive averaged over ensemble of initial conditions kinetic transport equations of wQGP based on a collisionless approximation of kinetic equations. The "collision contributions", which naturally appear in these equations, are expressed through the correlators of fluctuations. In Sec. 3, we consider averaged wQGP kinetic equations below the nonAbelian scale where the nonlinear gauge fields' self-interactions can be ignored and we derive the corresponding collision terms that describe diffusion in momentum space leading to the locally momentum isotropical state as well as generalized Balesku-Lenard "collisions" leading to the (local) equilibrium state of the particle phase-space distributions. We conclude in Sec. 4.

2 Averaged over ensemble of initial conditions kinetic transport equations of wQGP

Let us start this section with a brief review of the transport equations of QGP in the collisionless Vlasov approximation. The collisionless approximation is applied to kinetic equations that describe the evolution of the distribution functions that are smoothed over physically small volumes^{[1](#page-2-0)} [\[25\]](#page-15-0), and this means neglect of short-range fluctuations that are responsible for the appearance of the Boltzmann collision terms in kinetic equations [\[25,](#page-15-0) [26\]](#page-15-1) and, so, neglect of large angle ("hard") scattering of particles due to high transferred momenta at short distances. This approximation is justified for time scales that are short compared to the mean time between large angle scatterings of plasma particles.

The distribution functions of quarks, $Q(x, p)$, antiquarks, $\overline{Q}(x, p)$, and gluons, $G(x, p)$, are assumed to satisfy the following collisionless nonAbelian Vlasov-type transport equations that describe high momentum modes that are treated as classical colored particles and soft gluons that

¹In a certain sense, utilization of "smoothed" quantities, and, so, transition from deterministic to probabilistic description, is unavoidable for macroscopic systems because it is impossible to fix ("observe") the micro-state of the macro-system with absolute accuracy without destroying-out the macro-state [\[32\]](#page-15-7). Note that even for exact initial conditions the "smoothing" appears effectively for the quantities that are calculated (in each "event") by means of numerical molecular dynamic models that are the "solver" of the reversible Hamiltonian dynamic equations. This is the result of the stochastic errors of the "round-up" of the numbers and the systematic errors of the method that are "triggered" by the dynamic chaos that is inherent to complex Hamiltonian systems.

are treated as classical fields (for details see, e.g., Refs. [\[7,](#page-14-4) [13,](#page-14-10) [23,](#page-14-20) [24,](#page-14-21) [33,](#page-15-8) [34\]](#page-15-9)):

$$
p^{\mu}D_{\mu}Q(x,p) + \frac{g}{2}p^{\mu}\{F_{\mu\nu}, \frac{\partial Q(x,p)}{\partial p_{\nu}}\} = 0, \qquad (1)
$$

$$
p^{\mu}D_{\mu}\bar{Q}(x,p) - \frac{g}{2}p^{\mu}\{F_{\mu\nu}, \frac{\partial\bar{Q}(x,p)}{\partial p_{\nu}}\} = 0, \tag{2}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\widehat{D}_{\mu}G(x,p) + \frac{g}{2}p^{\mu}\{\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}, \frac{\partial G(x,p)}{\partial p_{\nu}}\} = 0.
$$
\n(3)

Here $x = (t, \mathbf{r}), p = (p_0, \mathbf{p}),$

$$
D_{\mu} = I \partial_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu}(x), \ldots], \tag{4}
$$

$$
\widehat{D}_{\mu} = \widehat{I}\partial_{\mu} - ig[\widehat{A}_{\mu}(x), \ldots],\tag{5}
$$

with A_μ and \widehat{A}_μ being four-potentials, $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}$ being field strength tensors in the fundamental and adjoint representations, respectively,

$$
A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{a} t_{a},\tag{6}
$$

$$
\widehat{A}_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{a} T_{a},\tag{7}
$$

$$
F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}], \tag{8}
$$

$$
\widehat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\widehat{A}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\widehat{A}_{\mu} - ig[\widehat{A}_{\mu}, \widehat{A}_{\nu}], \tag{9}
$$

where t_a and T_a are $SU(N_c)$ group generators in the fundamental $(N_c \times N_c$ matrices) and adjoint $[(N_c^2-1) \times (N_c^2-1)$ matrices] representations, respectively, $a = 1, ..., (N_c^2-1)$, and the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices is utilized. The [..., ...] denotes the commutator, and {..., ...} denotes the anticommutator.

Equations [\(1\)](#page-3-0)-[\(3\)](#page-3-0) are supplemented by the Yang-Mills equation

$$
D_{\mu}F^{\mu\nu}(x) = -j^{\nu}(x),\tag{10}
$$

where the color current density j_{μ} is expressed in the fundamental representation, $j_{\mu} = j_{\mu}^{a} t^{a}$, as

$$
j^{\mu} = \frac{g}{2} \int d^4 p \frac{p^{\mu}}{p_0} (Q(x, p) - \overline{Q}(x, p) - \frac{1}{N_c} Tr[Q(x, p) - \overline{Q}(x, p)] + 2t_a Tr[T_a G(x, p)]). \tag{11}
$$

Then, to derive kinetic equations for mean (statistically averaged) values one needs to perform ensemble average, $\langle ...\rangle$, of Eqs. [\(1-\(3\)](#page-3-0) and [\(10\)](#page-3-1) over ensemble of initial conditions. The ensemble average allows split quark, antiquark, and gluon phase-space densities and gluonic classical fields into their mean part and a fluctuating part, for example,

$$
A^{\mu} = \langle A^{\mu} \rangle + \delta A^{\mu}.
$$
 (12)

The mean value of the statistical fluctuations vanishes by definition, $\langle \delta A^{\mu} \rangle = 0$.

The quark and antiquark phase-space densities Q , \overline{Q} are $N_c \times N_c$ hermitian matrices in color space and have singlet and multiplet parts in the fundamental representation of the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group, and the gluon phase-space density G is an $(N_c^2 - 1) \times (N_c^2 - 1)$ hermitian matrix in color space with singlet and multiplet parts in the adjoint representation. We assume that the statistically

averaged value of the partonic phase-space density is the singlet (and, so, is locally colorless) and the disturbance (fluctuation) of the phase-space density is the multiplet of the $SU(N_c)$ gauge group. Then

$$
Q = \langle Q \rangle + \delta Q = If_q + \delta f_q^a t_a,\tag{13}
$$

$$
\overline{Q} = \langle \overline{Q} \rangle + \delta \overline{Q} = I f_{\overline{q}} + \delta f_{\overline{q}}^a t_a,
$$
\n(14)

$$
G = \langle G \rangle + \delta G = I f_g + \delta f_g^a T_a. \tag{15}
$$

We assume that averaged values of the quark and antiquark phase-space densities coincide, $f_q = f_{\overline{q}}$, and that the statistically averaged local value of the classical gluon field, A^a_μ , and the gluon field strength, $F^a_{\mu\nu}$, are equal to zero, $\langle A^a_\mu \rangle = \langle F^a_{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0$, and then $A^a_\mu = \delta A^a_\mu$ and

$$
F_{\mu\nu}^a = \delta F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu \delta A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu \delta A_\mu^a + g \delta A_\mu^c \delta A_\nu^d f^{cda}.
$$
\n(16)

Here we take into account that

$$
[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c, \quad [T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c,
$$
\n(17)

where f^{abc} are the antisymmetric $SU(N_c)$ structure constants. Keeping quadratic terms in fluctuations, $g\delta A^c_\mu \delta A^d_\nu f^{cda}$, is necessary because one cannot ignore the nonAbelian gauge fields' selfinteractions when corresponding amplitudes of fluctuations reach the nonAbelian scale where $(\partial_\mu \delta A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu \delta A_\mu^a) \sim g \delta A_\mu^c \delta A_\nu^d f^{cda}$. Note here that the statistical average of the gluon field strength (stress tensor), $\langle F_{\mu\nu} \rangle$, is not only given by $F_{\mu\nu}(\langle A \rangle)$ due to quadratic terms in the fluctuations contained in $F_{\mu\nu}$; therefore condition $\langle F_{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0$ implies

$$
\langle \delta A^a_\mu \delta A^b_\nu \rangle \sim \delta^{ab},\tag{18}
$$

and, so, we conclude that the vanishing averaged local value of the classical gluon field strength means that fluctuations of different color components of classical gluon fields are statistically independent.

Performing the ensemble average of Eqs. $(1)-(3)$ $(1)-(3)$ and (10) gives

$$
p^{\mu} \langle D_{\mu} Q \rangle + \frac{g}{2} p^{\mu} \langle \{ \delta F_{\mu\nu}, \frac{\partial \delta Q}{\partial p_{\nu}} \} \rangle = 0, \tag{19}
$$

$$
p^{\mu} \langle D_{\mu} \overline{Q} \rangle - \frac{g}{2} p^{\mu} \langle \{ \delta F_{\mu \nu}, \frac{\partial \delta \overline{Q}}{\partial p_{\nu}} \} \rangle = 0, \tag{20}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\langle \hat{D}_{\mu}G\rangle + \frac{g}{2}p^{\mu}\langle \{\delta \hat{F}_{\mu\nu}, \frac{\partial \delta G}{\partial p_{\nu}}\}\rangle = 0, \tag{21}
$$

and

$$
\langle D_{\mu}\delta F^{\mu\nu}\rangle = 0.\tag{22}
$$

For further convenience let us introduce mass-shell distribution functions $f_i(x, \mathbf{p})$ and $\delta f_i(x, \mathbf{p})$ (index *i* here means q, \overline{q} , or g) depending on four-position $x = (t, r)$ and three-momentum **p**, e.g.,

$$
f_q(x,p) = 2p_0 \Theta(p_0) \delta(p^2 - m_q^2) f_q(x, \mathbf{p}) = \delta(p_0 - \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_q^2}) f_q(x, \mathbf{p}).
$$
\n(23)

For the adopted normalization

$$
\int d^4p f_q(x, p) = \int d^3\mathbf{p} f_q(x, \mathbf{p}) = n_q(x),\tag{24}
$$

where $n_q(x)$ is quark number density.

The distribution functions of quarks, antiquarks, and gluons have no simple probabilistic interpretation due to the gauge dependence since a color of a particle (e.g., a quark) can be changed by means of a gauge transformation. Only the traces of the distribution functions are gauge independent and therefore they have the probabilistic interpretation (see, e.g., Ref. [\[33\]](#page-15-8)). To get equations that govern the evolution of the colorless mean values and, so, present the average (most probable) evolution of the ensemble of systems, one can calculate *Trace* of Eqs. $(19)-(21).^{2}$ $(19)-(21).^{2}$ $(19)-(21).^{2}$ $(19)-(21).^{2}$ $(19)-(21).^{2}$ Because Trace of the color multiplet part is equal to zero, the Trace explicitly reveals the evolution of the colorless (singlet) quantities, and the statistically averaged collision term does not change the color neutrality. Performing integration in Eqs. [\(19\)](#page-4-0)-[\(21\)](#page-4-0) over p_0 and taking into account that $Tr(t^a) = Tr(T^a) = 0$ and that $Tr(AB) = Tr(BA)$ for any quadratic matrices of the same order, we get^{[3](#page-5-1)}

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{q}(x,\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{g}{N_{c}}p^{\mu}Tr(\langle \delta F_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \delta Q(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}}\rangle),\tag{25}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{\overline{q}}(x,\mathbf{p}) = \frac{g}{N_c}p^{\mu}Tr(\langle \delta F_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \delta \overline{Q}(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}}\rangle),\tag{26}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_g(x,\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{g}{(N_c^2 - 1)}p^{\mu}Tr(\langle \delta \widehat{F}_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial \delta G(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}} \rangle). \tag{27}
$$

Equations $(25)-(27)$ $(25)-(27)$ describe the evolution of the averaged over ensemble of initial conditions phasespace densities of quarks, antiquarks and gluons with collision terms that are determined by the correlators (statistically averaged products) of fluctuations. These "collision contributions" contain entire physics of "soft" (long distance) processes, e.g., isotropization in momentum space due to the chromodynamic Weibel instabilities and establishment of (local) equilibrium (thermalization). Further analysis is limited to the quark collision term

$$
I_q(x, \mathbf{p}) \equiv -\frac{g}{N_c} p^{\mu} Tr(\langle \delta F_{\mu\nu}(x) \frac{\partial \delta Q(x, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}} \rangle), \tag{28}
$$

but expansion of the results to antiquark, $I_{\overline{q}}$, and gluon, I_g , collision terms is straightforward. The detailed calculation of I_q is carried out in the next section for amplitudes of fluctuations below the nonAbelian scale; here we only demonstrate why the collision term, I_q , can lead to momentum space diffusion of particle phase-space density, f_q , and, so, to local isotropization in momentum space. To demonstrate it, let us rewrite I_q in a slightly different form,

$$
I_q(x, \mathbf{p}) = -\frac{g}{N_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} Tr(\langle p^{\mu} \delta F_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta Q(x, \mathbf{p}) \rangle) + \frac{g}{N_c} Tr(\langle \delta F_{\mu}^{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial \delta Q(x, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}} \rangle). \tag{29}
$$

Note here that the second term on the right-hand side of the above expression disappears in the Abelian approximation when, in particular, the stress tensor $\delta F_{\mu\nu}$ is approximated by $\partial_\mu \delta A_\nu$ –

²The *Trace* of Eq. [\(22\)](#page-4-1) leads to trivial identity $0 = 0$.

³Starting from here the derivatives over p_0 anywhere throughout the article are identically zero and $p_0 \equiv E_p =$ $\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2}$.

 $\partial_{\nu}\delta A_{\mu}$. Then, subtracting Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-0) from Eq. [\(1\)](#page-3-0), performing integration over p_0 , and accounting for the leading order in fluctuations, 4 we get

$$
p^{\mu}D_{\mu}\delta Q(x,\mathbf{p}) = -gp^{\mu}\delta F_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}} - igp^{\mu}\langle[\delta A_{\mu}(x),\delta Q]\rangle.
$$
 (30)

Here we take into account that $[\delta A_\mu(x), \langle Q \rangle] = 0$ and that $(1/2)\{\delta F_{\mu\nu}, \frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle}{\partial p_\nu}\}$ $\frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle}{\partial p_{\nu}}\} \,=\, \delta F_{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle}{\partial p_{\nu}}$ $\frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle}{\partial p_{\nu}}$. The formal solution of Eq. [\(30\)](#page-6-1) has the following form:

$$
\delta Q(x, \mathbf{p}) = -g \int d^4 y G_p(x - y) U(x, y) p^{\mu} \delta F_{\mu\nu}(y) U(y, x) \frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle(y, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}} + (\text{other terms}). \tag{31}
$$

Here

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}G_{p}(x) = \delta^{(4)}(x),\tag{32}
$$

$$
G_p(x) = E_p^{-1} \Theta(t) \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - (\mathbf{p}/E_p)t), \tag{33}
$$

and $U(x, y)$ is the gauge parallel transporter (see, e.g., Refs. [\[13,](#page-14-10) [14,](#page-14-11) [23\]](#page-14-20)) along the straight line γ going from y to x ,

$$
U(x,y) = \mathcal{P} \exp\Big[-ig \int_{\gamma} dz_{\mu} A^{\mu}(z)\Big], \tag{34}
$$

where P denotes path ordering. Note that in the Abelian approximation, when the terms that are not of the leading order in g are neglected, the transporter $U(x, y)$ is approximated by unity. Then, substituting Eq. [\(31\)](#page-6-2) for $\delta Q(x, \mathbf{p})$ in the first term of Eq. [\(29\)](#page-5-3) we get

$$
I_q(x, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{g^2}{N_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \int d^4 y G_p(x - y) \mathcal{T}_{\nu\beta}(x, y) \frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle(y, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_\beta} + (\text{other terms}), \tag{35}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\nu\beta}(x,y) \equiv Tr(\langle p^{\mu}\delta F_{\mu\nu}(x)U(x,y)p^{\alpha}\delta F_{\alpha\beta}(y)U(y,x)\rangle).
$$
\n(36)

Now, if we assume that the correlation lengths in $(x - y)$ of $\mathcal{T}_{\nu\beta}$ are far less than the time and length scales on which distribution function $\langle Q \rangle$ varies, we get

$$
I_q(x, \mathbf{p}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} D_{\nu\beta}(x, \mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial f_q(x, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_\beta} + (other \ terms), \tag{37}
$$

where

$$
D_{\nu\beta}(x,\mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{g^2}{N_c} \int d^4y G_p(x-y) \mathcal{T}_{\nu\beta}(x,y), \tag{38}
$$

and we take into account that $\langle Q \rangle = f_qI$. Thereby, I_q contains a term that describes diffusion in momentum space of particle phase-space density and, therefore, I_q (as well as $I_{\overline{q}}$, I_g) can be related with isotropization processes in relativistic heavy ion collisions.

⁴We keep here non-linear terms in the covariant derivative and in the gluon field stress tensor because the corresponding nonAbelian terms cannot be neglected if the amplitudes of fluctuations reach the nonAbelian scale.

3 Kinetics of wQGP below the nonAbelian scale

The main difficulty in calculating the collision terms is caused by the gauge fields' self-interactions that take place because of the nonAbelian nature of the QCD. In this section we discuss simple and theoretically clean situations when amplitudes of fluctuations are below the nonAbelian scale. Then one can neglect self-interactions and substitute $F_{\mu\nu} \to \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} \delta A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} \delta A_{\mu}$, $D_{\mu} \to \partial_{\mu}$.

To obtain the explicit expressions for the correlators of fluctuations one needs to derive evolutional equations for the fluctuations. Then, subtracting averaged Eqs. $(19)-(22)$ $(19)-(22)$ from Eqs. $(1)-(3)$ $(1)-(3)$ and (10) , performing integration over p_0 , and neglecting the terms that are not of the leading order in g, we get

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta Q(x,\mathbf{p}) = -gp^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \langle Q \rangle(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}},\tag{39}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta\overline{Q}(x,\mathbf{p}) = gp^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial\langle\overline{Q}\rangle(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}},
$$
\n(40)

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta G(x,\mathbf{p}) = -gp^{\mu}\delta\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mu\nu}(x)\frac{\partial \langle G \rangle(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}},\tag{41}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu}(x) = -\delta j^{\nu}(x). \tag{42}
$$

The color current fluctuation, $\delta j^{\mu}(x)$, is expressed through fluctuations of the quark, antiquark and gluon phase-space densities and in the fundamental representation reads

$$
\delta j^{\mu}(x) = g \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \frac{p^{\mu}}{2E_p} (\delta Q(x, \mathbf{p}) - \delta \overline{Q}(x, \mathbf{p}) + 2t_a Tr[T_a \delta G(x, \mathbf{p})]) =
$$

$$
g \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \frac{p^{\mu}}{2E_p} t_a (\delta f_q^a(x, \mathbf{p}) - \delta f_q^a(x, \mathbf{p}) + 2N_c \delta f_g^a(x, \mathbf{p})). \tag{43}
$$

The solution of Eqs. [\(39\)](#page-7-0)-[\(41\)](#page-7-0) has the form

$$
\delta f_q^a = \delta f_q^{a(s)} + \delta f_q^{a(i)},\tag{44}
$$

$$
\delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a} = \delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(s)} + \delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(i)},\tag{45}
$$

$$
\delta f_g^a = \delta f_g^{a(s)} + \delta f_g^{a(i)},\tag{46}
$$

where $\delta f_q^{a(s)}, \delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(s)}$, and $\delta f_g^{a(s)}$ are associated with the spontaneous fluctuations of the freely moving partons

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta f_q^{a(s)}(x,\mathbf{p}) = p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(s)}(x,\mathbf{p}) = p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\delta f_g^{a(s)}(x,\mathbf{p}) = 0,
$$
\n(47)

and $\delta f_q^{a(i)}$, $\delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(i)}$, and $\delta f_g^{a(i)}$ are the partonic fluctuations induced by the classical field fluctuations

$$
\delta f_q^{a(i)}(x, \mathbf{p}) = -g \int d^4 y G_p(x - y) p^{\mu} \delta \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a(y) \frac{\partial f_q(y, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}},
$$
\n(48)

$$
\delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(i)}(x, \mathbf{p}) = g \int d^4 y G_p(x - y) p^{\mu} \delta \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a(y) \frac{\partial f_{\overline{q}}(y, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}},
$$
\n(49)

$$
\delta f_g^{a(i)}(x, \mathbf{p}) = -g \int d^4 y G_p(x - y) p^{\mu} \delta \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^a(y) \frac{\partial f_g(y, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu}}.
$$
\n(50)

Then color current fluctuation can be presented as the sum of induced current fluctuation, $\delta j^{\mu(i)}(x)$, and spontaneous current fluctuation, $\delta j^{\mu(s)}(x)$:

$$
\delta j_a^{\mu}(x) = \delta j_a^{\mu(i)}(x) + \delta j_a^{\mu(s)}(x),
$$
\n(51)

where

$$
\delta j_a^{\mu(s)}(x) = g \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \frac{p^{\mu}}{2E_p} (\delta f_q^{a(s)}(x, \mathbf{p}) - \delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(s)}(x, \mathbf{p}) + 2N_c \delta f_g^{a(s)}(x, \mathbf{p})), \tag{52}
$$

$$
\delta j_a^{\mu(i)}(x) = -g^2 \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \frac{p^{\mu}}{2E_p} d^4 y G_p(x-y) p^{\alpha} \delta \mathcal{F}^a_{\alpha\beta}(y) \frac{\partial f(y, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\beta}},\tag{53}
$$

and

$$
f(y, \mathbf{p}) \equiv f_q(y, \mathbf{p}) + f_{\overline{q}}(y, \mathbf{p}) + 2N_c f_g(y, \mathbf{p}).
$$
\n(54)

Substituting Eqs. [\(51\)](#page-8-0)-[\(53\)](#page-8-1) into the right-hand side of Eq. [\(42\)](#page-7-1) and moving the induced current fluctuation into the left-hand side, we get

$$
\partial^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \delta j_{\nu}^{a(i)}(x) = -\delta j_{\nu}^{a(s)}(x). \tag{55}
$$

Equation [\(55\)](#page-8-2) can be solved in terms of four-potential fluctuations, δA_μ^a . The field δA_μ^a is then the sum of the collective field fluctuations, $\delta A_\mu^{a(coll)}$, that are the general solution of the homogeneous part of Eq. (55) ,

$$
\partial^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}^{a(coll)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \delta j^{a(i)(coll)}_{\nu}(x) = 0,
$$
\n(56)

and the field fluctuations $\delta A_\mu^{a(part)}$ that are a particular solution of Eq. [\(55\)](#page-8-2) and are related with particle fluctuations,

$$
\partial^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}^{a(part)}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \delta j_{\nu}^{a(i)(part)}(x) = -\delta j_{\nu}^{a(s)}(x). \tag{57}
$$

Then, because

$$
\delta A_{\mu}^{a}(x) = \delta A_{\mu}^{a(coll)}(x) + \delta A_{\mu}^{a(part)}(x),\tag{58}
$$

we get

$$
\delta f_q^{a(i)}(x, \mathbf{p}) = \delta f_q^{a(i)(coll)}(x, \mathbf{p}) + \delta f_q^{a(i)(part)}(x, \mathbf{p}),\tag{59}
$$

$$
\delta j_{\mu}^{a(i)}(x) = \delta j_{\mu}^{a(i)(coll)}(x) + \delta j_{\mu}^{a(i)(part)}(x).
$$
 (60)

These formulas can be used to calculate correlators of fluctuations ("scattering terms") of averaged over initial conditions kinetic transport equations of wQGP in the Abelian approximation. Taking into account traceless of the stress tensor, $\delta \mathcal{F}_{\mu}^{\mu a}(x) = 0$, one can rewrite the right-hand sides of Eqs. [\(25\)](#page-5-2)-[\(27\)](#page-5-2) in a slightly different form,

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{q}(x,\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{g}{2N_{c}}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}}\langle p^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}^{a}(x)\delta f_{q}^{a}(x,\mathbf{p})\rangle, \tag{61}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_{\overline{q}}(x,\mathbf{p}) = \frac{g}{2N_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \langle p^{\mu} \delta \mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta f^a_{\overline{q}}(x,\mathbf{p}) \rangle, \tag{62}
$$

$$
p^{\mu}\partial_{\mu}f_g(x,\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{gN_c}{(N_c^2-1)}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}}\langle p^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}^a_{\mu\nu}(x)\delta f^a_g(x,\mathbf{p})\rangle. \tag{63}
$$

Here we take into account that t^a , T^a matrices satisfy

$$
Tr[t^a t^b] = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab},\tag{64}
$$

$$
Tr[T^a T^b] = N_c \delta^{ab}.
$$
\n⁽⁶⁵⁾

We present here details of the calculation of the scattering term, $I_q^{(Ab)}$,

$$
I_q^{(Ab)}(x, \mathbf{p}) \equiv -\frac{g}{2N_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \langle p^\mu \delta \mathcal{F}^a_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta f_q^a(x, \mathbf{p}) \rangle, \tag{66}
$$

which governs the evolution of the statistically averaged quark distribution function in the Abelian approximation. The calculation of the other scattering terms is similar.

Because of decomposition, Eqs. [\(44\)](#page-7-2) and [\(59\)](#page-8-3), one can see that

$$
\langle \delta \mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta f^{a}_{q}(x, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = \langle \delta \mathcal{F}^{a(coll)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta f^{a(i)(coll)}_{q}(x, \mathbf{p}) \rangle + \langle \delta \mathcal{F}^{a(part)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta f^{a(i)(part)}_{q}(x, \mathbf{p}) \rangle + \langle \delta \mathcal{F}^{a(part)}_{\mu\nu}(x) \delta f^{a(s)}_{q}(x, \mathbf{p}) \rangle.
$$
\n(67)

Let us assume that statistically averaged distribution functions are slowly varying in space and time, i.e., assume that the rate of evolution of the fluctuations is large compared to the inverse of time and length scales on which f_q , $f_{\overline{q}}$, f_g vary. To explicitly stress this assumption, we write space-time coordinates as *index*, e.g., $f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})$ instead of $f_q(x, \mathbf{p})$ where necessary. Then, defining the Fourier transformations $a(k) = \int d^4x \exp(ikx)a(x)$ and $a(x) = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}$ $\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \exp(-ikx) a(k)$ for a function $a(x)$, we get from Eqs. $(39)-(41)$ $(39)-(41)$ for the k representation of the induced part of the particle phase-space distribution functions

$$
\delta f_{q(x)}^{a(i)}(k, \mathbf{p}) = g \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu}} \delta A_{\mu}^{a}(k), \tag{68}
$$

$$
\delta f_{\overline{q}(x)}^{a(i)}(k, \mathbf{p}) = -g \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{\overline{q}(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu}} \delta A_{\mu}^{a}(k), \tag{69}
$$

$$
\delta f_{g(x)}^{a(i)}(k, \mathbf{p}) = g \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{g(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu}} \delta A_{\mu}^{a}(k), \tag{70}
$$

and the k representation of the induced color current fluctuation $\delta j^{\mu(i)}$ is

$$
\delta j_{(x)}^{\mu(i)}(k) = -g^2 \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \frac{p^{\mu}}{2E_p} \frac{\partial f_{(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\lambda}} \left[g^{\lambda \nu} - \frac{k^{\lambda} p^{\nu}}{p_{\sigma} k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \delta A_{\nu}(k) \equiv -\Pi_{(x)}^{\mu \nu}(k) \delta A_{\nu}(k). \tag{71}
$$

Using Eq. (71) , we get the following expression^{[5](#page-9-1)} for Fourier transformed Eq. (55) :

$$
(k^{2}g^{\mu\nu} - k^{\mu}k^{\nu} - \Pi_{(x)}^{\mu\nu}(k))\delta A_{\nu}(k) \equiv \epsilon_{(x)}^{\mu\nu}(k)\delta A_{\nu}(k) = -\delta j^{\mu(s)}(k),\tag{72}
$$

where the spontaneous color current fluctuation in fundamental representation, $\delta j^{(s)}_\mu(k) = \delta j^{a(s)}_\mu(k) t_a$, is Fourier transformed Eq. [\(52\)](#page-8-1):

$$
\delta j_{\mu}^{a(s)}(k) = g \int d^3 \mathbf{p} \frac{p^{\mu}}{2E_p} (\delta f_q^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) - \delta f_{\overline{q}}^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) + 2N_c \delta f_g^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p})).
$$
\n(73)

⁵Note that the obtained equation is the same as that for Abelian plasmas, and if the right-hand side is assumed to be equal to zero the equation coincides with the linear response method equation for electromagnetic plasmas and wQGP (see, e.g., Refs. [\[7,](#page-14-4) [13,](#page-14-10) [24,](#page-14-21) [33,](#page-15-8) [34\]](#page-15-9)).

Then the formal solution of Eq. [\(72\)](#page-9-2) as for four-potential fluctuations, $\delta A_\nu^a(k)$, is

$$
\delta A^a_\nu(k) = \delta A^{a(coll)}_\nu(k) + \delta A^{a(part)}_\nu(k),\tag{74}
$$

$$
\epsilon_{(x)}^{\mu\nu}(k)\delta A_{\nu}^{a(coll)}(k) = 0,\t\t(75)
$$

$$
\delta A_{\nu}^{a(part)}(k) = -(\epsilon^{-1})_{\nu\mu(x)}(k)\delta j^{\mu a(s)}(k). \tag{76}
$$

Here the matrix $(\epsilon^{-1})_{\nu\mu(x)}(k)$ is the inverse of $\epsilon_{\nu\mu(x)}(k)$.

Now we can write the final expressions for fluctuations of the phase-space densities of quarks below the nonAbelian scale. Taking into account Eqs. [\(44\)](#page-7-2), [\(74\)](#page-10-0), and [\(68\)](#page-9-3), we get

$$
\delta f_{q(x)}^{a}(k, \mathbf{p}) = \delta f_{q}^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) + \delta f_{q(x)}^{a(i)}(k, \mathbf{p}) =
$$

$$
\delta f_{q}^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) + g \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu}} (\delta A_{\mu}^{a(coll)}(k) + \delta A_{\mu}^{a(part)}(k)). \tag{77}
$$

Then, using Eq. [\(76\)](#page-10-0), we get

$$
\delta f_{q(x)}^{a}(k, \mathbf{p}) = \delta f_{q}^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) + g \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu}} \delta A_{\mu}^{a(coll)}(k)
$$

$$
-g \left[-g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{k^{\nu}p^{\mu}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} + i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu}} (\epsilon^{-1})_{\mu\lambda(x)}(k) \delta j_{a}^{\lambda(s)}(k). \tag{78}
$$

Now, using the relation

$$
p^{\mu}\delta\mathcal{F}^{a}_{\mu\nu}(k) = (-ip^{\sigma}k_{\sigma}g^{\mu}_{\nu} + ik_{\nu}p^{\mu})\delta A^{a}_{\mu}(k)
$$
\n(79)

and Eq. [\(66\)](#page-9-4), we obtain for the scattering term $I_q^{(Ab)}$ that governs the evolution of the statistically averaged quark distribution function the following expression:

$$
I_q^{(Ab)}(x,\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{g}{2N_c} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_\nu} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4k'}{(2\pi)^4} \exp(-i(k-k')x) (-ip^\sigma k_\sigma g_\nu^\mu + ik_\nu p^\mu) \langle \delta A_\mu^a(k) f_{q(x)}^{*a}(k',\mathbf{p}) \rangle. (80)
$$

It is easy to see that $Im[I_q] = 0$ because $\delta A_\mu^a(k) = \delta A_\mu^{*a}(-k)$ and $\delta f_{q(x)}^a(k, \mathbf{p}) = \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a}(-k, \mathbf{p})$. Let us calculate $\langle \delta A^a_\mu(k) \delta f^{a*}_{q(x)}(k',\mathbf{p}) \rangle$. Taking into account Eqs. [\(74\)](#page-10-0)-[\(76\)](#page-10-0) and [\(78\)](#page-10-1) we get

$$
\langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a}(k_{1}) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a}(k_{2}, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = \langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(coll)}(k_{1}) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a(i)(coll)}(k_{2}, \mathbf{p}) \rangle +
$$

$$
\langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(part)}(k_{1}) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a(i)(part)}(k_{2}, \mathbf{p}) \rangle + \langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(part)}(k_{1}) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a(s)}(k_{2}, \mathbf{p}) \rangle, \tag{81}
$$

where

$$
\langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(coll)}(k_1) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a(i)(coll)}(k_2, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = g \left[-g^{\mu'\nu'} + \frac{k_2^{\nu'}p^{\mu'}}{p_{\sigma}k_2^{\sigma} - i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu'}} \langle \delta A_{\mu(x)}^{a(coll)}(k_1) \delta A_{\mu'(x)}^{*a(coll)}(k_2) \rangle, (82)
$$

$$
\langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(part)}(k_1) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a(s)}(k_2, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = -g \int d^3 \mathbf{p}' \frac{p'^{\nu}}{2E'_p} (\epsilon^{-1})_{\mu\nu(x)}(k_1) \langle \delta f_q^{a(s)}(k_1, \mathbf{p}') \delta f_q^{*a(s)}(k_2, \mathbf{p}) \rangle, \tag{83}
$$

$$
\langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(part)}(k_1) \delta f_{q(x)}^{*a(i)(part)}(k_2, \mathbf{p}) \rangle = g^3 \int d^3 \mathbf{p}' \frac{p''}{2E'_p} \int d^3 \mathbf{p}'' \frac{p''\lambda}{2E''_p}
$$

$$
(\epsilon^{-1})_{\mu\nu(x)}(k_1) \left[-g^{\mu'\nu'} + \frac{k_2^{\nu'} p^{\mu'}}{p_{\sigma} k_2^{\sigma} - i0} \right] \frac{\partial f_{q(x)}(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^{\nu'}} (\epsilon^{-1})_{\mu'\lambda(x)}^* (k_2) \langle \delta f_q^{a(s)}(k_1, \mathbf{p}') \delta f_q^{*a(s)}(k_2, \mathbf{p}'') \rangle. \tag{84}
$$

Here we take into account that the correlator of the independent fluctuations is equal to zero.

Now the calculation of the collision term $I_q^{(Ab)}(x, \mathbf{p})$ is reduced to finding the explicit expressions for correlators in Eqs. [\(82\)](#page-10-2)-[\(84\)](#page-11-0). Let us start with $\langle \delta A_{\mu(x)}^{a(coll)}(k) \delta A_{\mu'(x)}^{*a(coll)}(k') \rangle$. One can easily get from Eq. [\(75\)](#page-10-0) (by multiplying the corresponding equation on $\delta A_{\mu'(x)}^{*a(coll)}(k')$ and taking the statistical average) that $\langle \delta A_{\mu(x)}^{a(coll)}(k) \delta A_{\mu'(x)}^{*a(coll)}(k') \rangle$ is proportional to $\delta(|\epsilon_{(x)}^{\mu\nu} \rangle)$ $\binom{\mu\nu}{(x)}(k)$ where $\ket{\epsilon_{(x)}^{\mu\nu}}$ $\binom{\mu\nu}{(x)}(k)$ denotes the determinant of $\epsilon_{\ell,r}^{\mu\nu}$ ${}_{(x)}^{\mu\nu}(k)$. Let us assume that $\langle \delta A_{\mu}^{a(coll)} \delta A_{\mu'}^{a(coll)} \rangle$ is slowly varying in space-time function. Then the correlation function of collective classical field fluctuations is

$$
\langle \delta A_{\mu(x)}^{a(coll)}(k) \delta A_{\mu'(x)}^{*a(coll)}(k') \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(k - k') \delta(|\epsilon_{(x)}^{\mu\nu}(k)|) I_{\mu\mu'(x)}(k, t). \tag{85}
$$

Here

$$
I_{\mu\mu'(x)}(k,t) = I_{\mu\mu'}(\mathbf{k}) \exp(2ik_0t),\tag{86}
$$

 $I_{\mu\mu'}(\mathbf{k})$ fixes the strength of initial correlations of collective field modes and $k_0 = \omega_{(x)}(\mathbf{k})$ is the turbulent self-energy solution of the equation $\ket{\epsilon_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu}}$ $\binom{\mu\nu}{(x)}(k) = 0.$

The other correlators in Eqs. [\(82\)](#page-10-2)-[\(84\)](#page-11-0) can be expressed through $\langle \delta f_{q_i}^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) \delta f_{q_j}^{*a(s)}(k', \mathbf{p}') \rangle$, where q_i , q_j are q, \overline{q} or g. Because the spontaneous particle phase density fluctuations are the solution of Eq. [\(47\)](#page-7-3), the corresponding correlation function should be proportional to $\delta(p^{\mu}k_{\mu})$. The complete lack of initial correlations in velocities and positions means that the correlation function should contain $\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}')\delta^{(4)}(k-k')$. Then, assuming statistical Poisson fluctuations (and, so, neglecting quantum statistics, etc.) and assuming, thereby, that the average value of the fluctuation in the squared number of particles in a certain volume V , $\langle \delta N^2 \rangle$, is equal to the average number $\langle N \rangle$ of particles in the volume V, we get

$$
\langle \delta f_{q_i}^{a(s)}(k, \mathbf{p}) \delta f_{q_j}^{*a(s)}(k', \mathbf{p}') \rangle = (2\pi)^8 (2\pi)^{-3} \delta_{ij} E_p f_{q_i}(x, \mathbf{p}) \delta^{(4)}(k - k') \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \delta(p^\mu k_\mu). \tag{87}
$$

Inserting expressions for correlation functions [\(85\)](#page-11-1) and [\(87\)](#page-11-2) into Eqs. [\(82\)](#page-10-2)-[\(84\)](#page-11-0) and then into Eq. [\(81\)](#page-10-3), one can see that the collision term, $I_q^{(Ab)}$, in Eq. [\(80\)](#page-10-4) has the Fokker-Planck form with transport coefficients of drag, $A_{\nu(x)}(\mathbf{p})$, and diffusion, $D_{\nu\mu(x)}(\mathbf{p})$:

$$
I_q^{(Ab)}(x,\mathbf{p}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \left(D_{\nu\mu(x)}(\mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial f_q(x,\mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\mu}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \left(A_{\nu(x)}(\mathbf{p}) f_q(x,\mathbf{p}) \right). \tag{88}
$$

Here

$$
D_{\nu\mu(x)}(\mathbf{p}) = D_{\nu\mu(x)}^{(coll)}(\mathbf{p}) + D_{\nu\mu(x)}^{(part)}(\mathbf{p}),
$$
\n(89)

 $D_{un(r)}^{(coll)}$ $v_{\mu(x)}^{(out)}(\mathbf{p})$ are the quasilinear diffusion coefficients that appear due to collective field fluctuations, and $D_{uu(x)}^{(part)}$ $\psi_{\nu\mu(x)}^{unr)}(\mathbf{p})$ are the diffusion coefficients due to particle fluctuations. It is noteworthy that in the quasilinear approximation the collective field fluctuations contribute only to the diffusion coefficients of the Fokker-Planck equation [\[28\]](#page-15-3). The quasilinear diffusion terms describe the isotropization processes in momentum space, while the generalized Balesku-Lenard terms [\[35\]](#page-15-10) $D_{u u(x)}^{(part)}$ $\mu^{(part)}_{\nu\mu(x)}(\mathbf{p}),$ $A_{\nu(x)}(\mathbf{p})$ lead to thermalization and (local) equilibrium - the next stage after isotropization.^{[6](#page-12-0)} In general, the Balescu-Lenard thermalization time is larger than the time scale of isotropization. Then, for relevant time scales,

$$
I_q^{(Ab)}(x, \mathbf{p}) \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \left(D_{\nu\nu'(x)}^{(coll)}(\mathbf{p}) \frac{\partial f_q(x, \mathbf{p})}{\partial p_{\nu'}} \right), \tag{90}
$$

where the quasilinear diffusion coefficients in the Abelian approximation are

$$
D_{\nu\nu'(x)}^{(coll)}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{g^2}{2N_c} \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} (-ip^{\sigma}k_{\sigma}g^{\mu}_{\nu} + ik_{\nu}p^{\mu}) \left(-g^{\mu'}_{\nu'} + \frac{k_{\nu'}p^{\mu'}}{p_{\sigma}k^{\sigma} - i0} \right) \delta(|\epsilon^{\mu\nu}_{(x)}(k)|) I_{\mu\mu'(x)}(k, t). \tag{91}
$$

4 Concluding remarks

The isotropization time is the typical time at which the quark-gluon distributions become locally isotropic in momentum space due to diffusion in momentum space, and an important question is under what conditions isotropization of wQGP can be reached within time scales of relativistic heavy ion collisions. It is noteworthy that the value of isotropization time^{[7](#page-12-1)} depends not only on the instability rate but also on the initial value of the diffusion coefficient, and, thereby, on the initial value of the correlation function of collective field fluctuations.

How the diffusion leads to the spreading out of the width of the distribution can be seen from the following simple mathematical example. Let us consider the diffusion equation

$$
\frac{\partial f(\omega, t)}{\partial t} = D(t) \frac{\partial^2 f(\omega, t)}{\partial \omega^2}.
$$
\n(92)

The solution can be written as

$$
\int d\omega' G(\omega, \omega', t) f(\omega', t_0), \tag{93}
$$

where the Green's function

$$
G(\omega, \omega', t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi d(t))^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\omega - \omega')^2}{4d(t)}\right)
$$
(94)

represents the function that obeys Eq. [\(92\)](#page-12-2) and equals $\delta(\omega - \omega')$ at $t = t_0$, and

$$
d(t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt' D(t').
$$
\n(95)

⁶It is noteworthy that the kinetic equation with Balescu-Lenard collision terms (as well as with Landau or Boltzmann ones) is, in the thermodynamic sense, a kinetic equation of ideal gas: interaction contributes to dissipative quantities and does not contribute to thermodynamic ones. Then the Balescu-Lenard collision terms are, streakily speaking, improper near the equilibrium state if the state is far from the ideal gas one.

⁷Because the corresponding collision terms depend, in general, on the nonAbelian dynamics of the gauge fields even at weak coupling, the value of isotropization time can be calculated only by means of numerical methods.

Then the width of $f(\omega, t)$ is determined by the time dependence of the diffusion coefficient $D(t)$. If, e.g., $D(t) = D(t_0) \exp(\gamma(t - t_0))$, then $d(t) = \frac{D(t_0)}{\gamma} (\exp(\gamma(t - t_0)) - 1)$ and for $\gamma > 0$ increases with time.

Then, keeping in mind relations [\(36\)](#page-6-3), [\(38\)](#page-6-4), [\(85\)](#page-11-1), and [\(91\)](#page-12-3) between the diffusion term and the correlator of the fluctuations, we can suppose that, if energy stored in the initial collective fields' fluctuations is small (say, $D(t_0)$ is small), then even for strong initial anisotropy (high values of γ) during some initial transient time the width of the distribution function will change rather slowly with time $(d(t))$ is small), and only after growth of collective field modes will the momentum width of distribution function start to grow. On the other hand, if the initial collective fields' fluctuations are strong enough, then the momentum width of the distribution function can grow rapidly from the very beginning, and in this case the system can reach the isotropical state while there are no developed instabilities with rapid growth of the fields, as have been noted in Ref. [\[20\]](#page-14-17).

Note that any initial fluctuations of the color fields are determined by fluctuations of the sources, and in the CGC approach fluctuations of the sources result from fluctuations in the color charge density in each of the colliding nuclei. Also, violations of boost invariance (really nucleus is not contracted into an infinitely thin sheet as was assumed in the original McLerran-Venugopalan model [\[36\]](#page-15-11)) result in the strengthening of fluctuations of the color fields' sources. As a result of these perturbations extremely disordered color field configurations [\[37\]](#page-15-12) can appear. Then, if strong fluctuations take place, they, according to our analysis, generate effective collision terms that would result in fast local isotropization in momentum space of statistically averaged particle phase-space densities.[8](#page-13-2) A careful analysis of the initial conditions after a heavy ion collision would thus be a key ingredient in understanding the process of isotropization.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Yu.M. Sinyukov for discussions. This work was supported by the Fundamental Research State Fund of Ukraine, Agreement No. F25/239-2008, and the Bilateral Award DLR (Germany) - MESU (Ukraine) for the UKR 06/008 Project, Agreement No. M/26-2008.

References

- [1] U. Heinz, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 31, S717 (2005); [arXiv:nucl-th/0512051;](http://arxiv.org/abs/nucl-th/0512051) T. Hirano, Nucl. Phys. A 774, 531 (2006).
- [2] P.F. Kolb et al., Nucl. Phys. A 696, 197 (2001); P. Huoninen et al., Phys. Lett. B 503, 58 (2001) ; P.F. Kolb *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **500**, 232 (2001) ; P.F. Kolb, R. Rapp, Phys. Rev. C **67**, 044903 (2003); T. Hirano, Y. Nara, Nucl. Phys. A **743**, 305 (2004).

⁸ Note that high initial fluctuations of the Yang-Mills fields could result not only in soft modes' (classical gluon fields) initial fluctuations but also in strengthening of hard modes' ("particles") initial fluctuations. It was argued [\[38\]](#page-15-13) that for systems of particles with Coulomb interaction such "ballistic" modes can lead to the violent relaxation of the distribution function to a corresponding quasistationary state (Lynden-Bell distribution [\[39\]](#page-15-14)) with isotropical velocity distribution. Such "collisionless" violent relaxation is, probably, responsible for galaxy dynamics: for most of the astrophysical systems the relaxation time for collisional process exceeds the age of the universe; on the other hand, observations suggest that for most of the stellar systems velocity distribution is close to the Maxwellian one [\[40\]](#page-15-15).

- [3] E. Iancu, R. Venugopalan, in Quark Gluon Plasma 3, eds. R.C. Hwa, X.N. Wang (Word Scientific, Singapore, 2004), p. 249 [arXiv: [hep-ph/0303204\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0303204); E. Iancu, A. Leonidov, L. McLerran, arXiv: [hep-ph/0202270;](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0202270) R. Venugopalan, Eur. Phys. J. C 43, 337 (2005); T. Lappi, L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 772, 200 (2006); F. Gelis, R. Venugopalan, Acta Phys. Pol. 37, 3253 (2006); R. Venugopalan, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34, S615 (2007).
- [4] A.H. Mueller, Nucl. Phys. A 715, 20c (2003).
- [5] P. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, G.D. Moore, L.G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 072302 (2005).
- [6] E.S. Weibel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 2, 83 (1959).
- [7] St. Mrowczynski, Acta Phys. Pol. B 37, 427 (2006); Nucl. Phys. A 774, 149 (2006).
- [8] M. Strickland, Nucl. Phys. A 785, 50 (2007); J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 34, S429 (2007).
- [9] P. Arnold, G.D. Moore, L.G. Yaffe, Phys. Rev. D 72, 054003 (2005); A. Rebhan, P. Romatschke, M. Strickland, JHEP 09, 041 (2005).
- [10] P. Arnold, G.D. Moore, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 045009 (2007).
- [11] D. B¨odeker, K. Rummukainen, JHEP 07, 022 (2007); [arXiv:0711.1963](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.1963) [hep-lat].
- [12] E. Braaten, R.D. Pisarski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1338 (1990); S. Mrowczynski, A. Rebhan, M. Strickland, Phys. Rev. D 70, 025004 (2004).
- [13] St. Mrowczynski, M.H. Thoma, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 036011 (2000).
- [14] J.-P. Blaizot, E. Iancu, Phys. Rep. 359, 355 (2002).
- [15] A. Rebhan, P. Romatschke, M. Strickland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 102303 (2005).
- [16] P. Romatschke, A. Rebhan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 252301 (2006).
- [17] A. Rebhan, M. Strickland, M. Attems, [arXiv:0802.1714.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0802.1714)
- [18] P. Arnold, J. Lenaghan, G.D. Moore, JHEP 08, 002 (2003).
- [19] P. Romatschke, R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 062302 (2006); Phys. Rev. D 74, 045011 (2006).
- [20] A. Dumitru, Y. Nara, Phys. Lett. B 621, 89 (2005); Eur. Phys. J. A 29, 65 (2006); A. Dumitru, Y. Nara, M. Strickland, Phys. Rev. D 75, 025016 (2007).
- [21] H.-Th. Elze, M. Gyulassy, D. Vasak, Nucl. Phys. B 276, 706 (1986); Phys. Lett. B 177, 402 (1986).
- [22] H.-Th. Elze, Z. Phys. C 38, 211 (1988).
- [23] H.-Th. Elze, U. Heinz, Phys. Rep. 183, 81 (1989).
- [24] St. Mrowczynski, Phys. Rev. D 39, 1940 (1989).
- [25] Yu.L. Klimontovich, Statistical Theory for Non Equilibrium Processes in a Plasma (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1967); Kinetic Theory of Nonideal Gases and Nonideal Plasmas (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982); Statistical Physics (Harwood Academic Publishers, New York, 1986).
- [26] Yu.L. Klimontovich, Turbulent Motion and the Structure of Chaos (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991); Statistical Theory of Open Systems (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995), Vol. 1.
- [27] Yu.L. Klimontovich, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 167, 23 (1997) [Phys.-Usp. 40, 21 (1997)].
- [28] V.N. Tsytovich, Theory of Turbulent Plasma (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1977); Usp. Fiz. Nauk 159, 335 (1989); Phys. Rep. 178, 261 (1989).
- [29] D.F. Litim, C. Manuel, Phys. Rep. 364, 451 (2002).
- [30] S.K. Wong, Nuovo Cim. A 65, 689 (1970).
- [31] A.A. Vedenov, E.P. Velikhov, R.Z. Sagdeev, Nucl. Fusion Suppl. Pt. 2, 465 (1962).
- [32] N.S. Krylov, Works for the Foundation of Statistical Physics (Princeton University, New Jersey, 1979).
- [33] St. Mrowczynski, Fiz. Elem. Chast. Atom. Yadra 30, 954 (1999); [arXiv:hep-ph/9805435.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9805435)
- [34] J. Randrup, St. Mrowczynski, Phys. Rev. C 68, 034909 (2003).
- [35] A.V. Selikhov, Phys. Lett. B 268, 263 (1991); Phys. Lett. B 285, 398 (1992); Yu.A. Markov, M.A. Markova, Theor. Math. Phys. 103, 444 (1995).
- [36] L. McLerran, R. Venugopalan, Phys. Rev. D 49, 2233 (1994); 49, 3352 (1994); 50, 2225 (1994).
- [37] K. Fukushima, Phys. Rev. C 76, 021902(R) (2007); Phys. Rev. D 77, 074005 (2008); [arXiv:0711.2634](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2634) [hep-ph]; K. Fukushima, F. Gelis, L. McLerran, Nucl. Phys. A 786, 107 (2007).
- [38] B.B. Kadomtsev, O.P. Pogutse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 25, 1155 (1970).
- [39] D. Lynden-Bell, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 136, 101 (1967).
- [40] W.C. Saslaw, Gravitational Physics of Stellar and Galactic Systems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985); T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rep. 188, 285 (1990).