k-stabilization in brane models

M. Olechowski

Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Warsaw ul. Hoża 69, PL-00-681 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract

Stabilization of inter–brane distance is analyzed in 5–dimensional models with higher–order scalar kinetic terms. Equations of motion and boundary conditions for background and for scalar perturbations are presented. Conditions sufficient and (with one exception) necessary for stability are derived and discussed. It is shown that it is possible to construct stable brane configurations even without scalar potentials and cosmological constants.

1 Introduction

Higher dimensional brane models belong to the most interesting recent developments in the theory of fundamental interactions. Many models have been proposed in which the space–time consists of a 5–dimensional (5D) bulk ending at two 4–dimensional (4D) branes. Usually this space–time has the structure of a warped product of a maximally symmetric 4D space–time and the one dimensional orbifold S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 with the branes located at the \mathbb{Z}_2 fixed points. The Standard Model fields may propagate only on one of the branes called the visible one. Some other fields may live on the second, hidden, brane. Of course, the gravity fields can propagate in the whole 5D space–time.

Phenomenological features of such models depend on the field and interactions other than that of the Standard Model, on the warping, and on the distance between the branes. This distance must be fixed in a stable way. Such stabilization can not be achieved with only gravity propagating in the bulk. A simple mechanism of fixing the inter–brane distance was proposed by Goldberger and Wise [1]. The idea is to add a 5D scalar field with some bulk and brane potentials. If the background value of that field is not constant in the bulk, then the boundary conditions (or in another words: equations of motion at the branes) can be fulfilled only if the branes are located at appropriate points in the 5th dimension.

It is not enough to have a background solution with some fixed brane positions. It is necessary also that such a configuration is stable against all possible small perturbations. From the 4D point of view, the perturbations can be describe in terms of Kaluza–Klein (KK) towers of states. The lightest scalar KK state is usually called the radion [2]. Tachyonic character of the radion indicates instability of a given background. The problem of the radion mass, or of the stability of the inter–brane distance, was investigated by many authors [3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Its relation to inflation was discussed in [8]. Quite general criteria for the stability were found in [7]. Generalization of such criteria for models with the Gauss–Bonnet interactions was presented in [9].

In the present paper we will do the stability analysis for brane models with non–standard kinetic terms for the scalar field. Such non–standard kinetic terms appear for example in string theory due to the α' - and the loopcorrections. Very interesting models with generalized scalar kinetic terms were investigated in the cosmological context. Kineticly driven inflation, called the k–inflation, was introduced in [10]. Models of k–essence were proposed as another approach to the cosmological constant problem [11]. Causality in the context of generalized kinetic terms was discussed by many authors (see e.g. [12] and references therein).

There is a simple reason to expect that models with non–standard scalar kinetic terms may be interesting for the inter–brane distance stabilization. Their Lagrangians contain terms with more complicated, than just quadratic, dependence on the scalar derivatives. The scalar derivative with respect to the 5th coordinate is crucial for the stabilization mechanisms similar to that of Goldberger and Wise. This is analogous to the situations in cosmological models where the time derivative of the scalar field is crucial. The problem of radion stabilization in models with non–standard kinetic terms was addressed in [13] but unfortunately the authors used a method which in general is not correct and obtained incorrect results¹.

In section 2 we define our model and derive background equations of motion and boundary conditions. Analogous equations for the scalar perturbations are presented in section 3. The stability conditions are obtained in section 4. They are discussed and compared to that in models with the standard kinetic terms in section 5. Finally, section 6 contains our conclusions.

2 Model and background

We consider 5D models compactified on the S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold with the standard gravitational interactions but with non–standard kinetic terms for a scalar field Φ . Two 4D branes are localized at the \mathbb{Z}_2 fixed points $y = y_i$. The action takes the form

$$
S = \int d^4x \, dy \, \sqrt{-G} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} R - P(\Phi, X) - V(\Phi) - \sum_{i=1}^2 \tilde{\delta}(y - y_i) \left[Q^{(i)}(\Phi, X) + U^{(i)}(\Phi) \right] \right\}, \qquad (1)
$$

where

$$
X = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \Phi \right)^2 , \tag{2}
$$

and $\tilde{\delta}$ is the normalized Dirac delta satisfying $\int dy \sqrt{-G} \, \tilde{\delta}(y - y_i) = \sqrt{-G^{(i)}}$ with $G^{(i)}$ being the determinant of the metric induced on the brane localized

¹The authors of [13] integrated a Lagrangian with fields replaced by their background values. They called the result "the effective potential" and looked for the minima of such an object. Of course, in general the potential integrated in a given background is not equal to the correct effective potential. It happens to be equal in some simple cases, but this must be checked case by case by other methods, so the method of integrating the potential is practically useless. The authors of [13] claim e.g. that all models with the standard kinetic terms are unstable, what is in clear conflict with the results of many previous analyses [3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 14].

at $y = y_i$ (we chose $y_1 < y_2$). The bulk kinetic term is given by some function $P(\Phi, X)$ depending on the derivatives of Φ through the combination X and on the scalar field itself. We choose $P(\Phi, X)$ in such a way that it vanishes for $X = 0$. This way $V(\Phi)$ describes the whole scalar contribution to the action for constant Φ. In addition to the bulk interactions, we consider brane localized contributions to the scalar kinetic term and the potential: $Q^{(i)}(\Phi, X)$ and $U^{(i)}(\Phi)$, respectively. They represent the net effect of interactions of the bulk scalar field with fields living on the two branes.

The terms in the action (1) containing the brane localized kinetic functions $Q^{(i)}(\Phi, X)$ must be treated with special care. Let us discuss now in some detail the meaning of an integral containing a product of $Q^{(i)}(\Phi, X)$ and the Dirac delta. Writing explicitly the arguments in one of such expressions we get

$$
\int d^4x \int dy \sqrt{G(x,y)} \tilde{\delta}(y-y_i) Q^{(i)}\bigg(\Phi(x,y),\frac{1}{2}\left(G^{55}(x,y)\Phi'^2(x,y)+\ldots\right)\bigg),\tag{3}
$$

where prime denotes differentiation with respect to the orbifold coordinate y and, in the second argument of $Q^{(i)}$, the ellipsis stand for terms in X with derivatives of Φ in directions other than y. In brane models the derivatives with respect to the orbifold coordinate(s) are usually discontinuous at the brane positions. The derivative $\Phi'(x, y)$, being a \mathbb{Z}_2 odd function, is exactly zero at $y = y_i$. On the other hand, due to the brane sources, the limits $\lim_{y\to y_i^{\pm}} \Phi'(x, y)$ can be different from zero. The square of the scalar field derivative, $\Phi^{2}(x, y)$, is even under the \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry, and can be written as a product of $sgn^2(y - y_i)$ and a smooth function. Usually $\Phi'^2(x, y)$ is discontinuous at $y = y_i$ and, strictly speaking, its integral with the Dirac delta localized at y_i is not well defined. All expressions of this kind must be regularized. Physically, such regularization corresponds to using a thick brane and taking the limit of its thickness decreasing to zero. Technically, one replaces $\delta(y - y_i)$ and sgn $(y - y_i)$ with some smooth functions $\delta_{\sigma}(y - y_i)$ and $sgn_{\sigma}(y-y_i)$ satisfying the relation $sgn'_{\sigma}(y-y_i) = 2\delta_{\sigma}(y-y_i)$ and approaching the Dirac delta and the signum function, respectively, when $\sigma \to 0$. We calculate the integrals like (3) for regularized expressions and at the end remove the regulator taking the limit $\sigma \to 0$. Thus, we obtain for example

$$
\int d^4x \int_{y_a}^{y_b} dy \sqrt{G} \, \tilde{\delta}(y - y_i) \Phi'^{2n}(x, y) = \int d^4x \sqrt{G^{(i)}} \lim_{y \to y_i} \frac{\Phi'^{2n}(x, y)}{2n + 1}, \quad (4)
$$

if $y_a < y_i < y_b$. It is not necessary to specify the direction of the limit in (4) because $\Phi^{2n}(x, y)$ is an even function of $(y - y_i)$ for any integer *n*. However,

the limit itself is necessary because usually $\Phi^{2n}(x, y)$ is discontinuous at $y = y_i$. In the down-stairs approach, one of the limits of integration is equal to y_i and the r.h.s. of the above equation must be multiplied by $1/2$.

In this work we are interested in warped background solutions with the flat 4D foliation described by the ansatz

$$
ds2 = a2(y) (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + dy2), \qquad (5)
$$

$$
\Phi = \phi(y). \tag{6}
$$

The bulk equations of motion for the system described by action (1) and satisfying ansatz (5–6) are given by (we use units $\kappa = 1$)

$$
(P_X \phi')' + 3\frac{a'}{a} P_X \phi' - a^2 (V_{\Phi} + P_{\Phi}) = 0, \qquad (7)
$$

$$
\frac{a''}{a} - 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{3}P_X\phi'^2 = 0\,,\tag{8}
$$

$$
6\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^{2} - P_{X}\phi'^{2} + a^{2}\left(V+P\right) = 0, \qquad (9)
$$

where the subscripts X and Φ denote derivatives with respect to the arguments X and Φ , respectively².

The boundary conditions for the background can be obtained from the full equations of motion resulting from (1) with the brane terms taken into account. Integrating such equations over an infinitesimal intervals containing the brane positions y_i one gets

$$
\lim_{y \to y_1^+(y_2^-)} a' = \mp \frac{a^2}{6} \left(U^{(i)} + \int \mathrm{d}y \, \delta(y - y_i) Q^{(i)} \right) \Big|_{y = y_1(y_2)}, \qquad (10)
$$

$$
\lim_{y \to y_1^+(y_2^-)} (P_X \, \phi') = \pm \frac{a}{2} \left(U_{\Phi}^{(i)} + \int \mathrm{d}y \, \delta(y - y_i) Q_{\Phi}^{(i)} \right) \Big|_{y = y_1(y_2)}, \tag{11}
$$

where for $y_1 < y_2$ the upper (lower) signs are to be taken for $y = y_1$ ($y = y_2$). From now on we use the Dirac delta distribution with the usual normalization $\int dy \delta(y) = 1$ (in the upstairs approach).

The above background bulk equations of motion (7-9) and boundary conditions (10-11) reduce to the know results for the standard kinetic terms after substituting $P = X$ and $Q^{(i)} = 0$.

² It is straightforward to generalize the equations of motion to the case of any non–flat maximally symmetric 4D foliation of the 5D background. For example, for the 4D dS space–time characterized by the Hubble constant H , the left hand sides of equations (8) and (9) should be modified by adding H^2 and $-6H^2$, respectively.

3 Scalar perturbations

Solving the bulk equations of motion (7-9) and the boundary conditions (10- 11) one can find possible background configurations characterized by the warp factor $a(y)$ and the scalar field $\phi(y)$. Not all such background configurations are stable. To check the stability one has to consider all possible small perturbations around a given background. Instabilities occur if any of the perturbations has a tachyonic character. In this paper we concentrate on the scalar perturbations. From the 4D point of view they form an infinite Kaluza–Klein tower of scalars. The state with the lowest (4D) mass squared is called the radion. The positivity of its mass squared is a necessary condition for the stabilization of the inter–brane distance.

To find the radion mass we have to investigate the equations of motion for the scalar pertubations around the backgroud. Using the generalized longitudinal gauge, the scalar perturbations can be written in the following way

$$
ds^{2} = a^{2} \left[(1 + 2F_{1}) \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} \right) + (1 + 2F_{2}) dy^{2} \right],
$$
 (12)

$$
\Phi = \phi + F_3,\tag{13}
$$

where a and ϕ are background solutions depending only on the 5-th coordinate y, while the parturbations F_i are arbitrary (but small) functions of all coordinates. To find the masses of the KK modes of scalar perturbations it is enough to consider equations of motion linear in F_j .

Contrary to the background equations of motion, for the perturbations we obtain non–trivial off–diagonal Einstein equations

$$
2F_1 + F_2 = 0,\t\t(14)
$$

$$
(a2F1)' + \frac{1}{3}a2PX\phi'F3 = 0.
$$
 (15)

They have to be fulfilled in order to stay in the longitudinal gauge. The diagonal Einstein equations, combined with the background equations of motion (7–9), give the third equation for the scalar perturbations:

$$
\Box F_1 + 4\frac{a'}{a}F_1' - 4\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 F_2 + \frac{a'}{a} \left(P_X - \frac{2}{3}XP_{XX}\right) \phi' F_3 + \frac{1}{3} \left(P_X + 2XP_{XX}\right) \left[\phi'^2 F_2 + \phi'' F_3 - \phi' F_3'\right] = 0, \quad (16)
$$

where \Box is the 4-dimensional D'Alembertian. The part of the boundary

conditions linear in the scalar perturbations are quite complicated and reads

$$
\pm 2 \lim_{y \to y_i^{\pm}} [(P_X + 2XP_{XX}) F_3']
$$

+ $\int_{y_i} \phi'' [(P_{\Phi X} + 2XP_{\Phi XX}) F_3 - (P_X + 8XP_{XX} + 4X^2 P_{XXX}) F_2]$
= $\left[aF_3 \left(U_{\Phi \Phi}^{(i)} + \int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_{\Phi \Phi}^{(i)} \right) - \frac{\Box F_3}{a} \int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_X^{(i)} \right] \Big|_{y=y_i}$, (17)

where $\delta_i = \delta(y - y_i)$. The subscript y_i at the integrals indicates that the range of integration is an infinitesimal interval containing y_i .

The off–diagonal Einstein equations (14) and (15) can be used to express two of the perturbations introduced in the ansatz (12-13) in terms of the third one. It is convenient to eliminate F_2 and F_3 and to use the product a^2F_1 as an independent perturbation. We expand it in the 4D modes as

$$
a^{2}(y)F_{1}(t, \vec{x}, y) = \sum_{m^{2}} K_{m^{2}}(y) \left[\int d^{3}k f_{(m^{2}, k)}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\vec{x}} \right],
$$
 (18)

and substitute to eqs. (16) and (17). Then, the 4D part of the bulk equation (16) takes the usual form

$$
\ddot{f}_{(m^2,k)} + (k^2 + m^2) f_{(m^2,k)} = 0.
$$
 (19)

The equation for the "shape" $K_{m^2}(y)$ of the KK mode with mass squared equal m^2 can be written as the Sturm–Liouville equation

$$
-(pK'_{m2})' + qK_{m2} = m^2rK_{m2}, \qquad (20)
$$

where p, q and r are the following functions depending on the background

$$
p = \frac{3}{2aP_X\phi'^2}, \qquad q = \frac{1}{a}, \qquad r = \frac{3}{2a(P_X + 2XP_{XX})\phi'^2} = c_s^2p.
$$
 (21)

In the last equality we have introduced a local $(y$ –dependent) "speed of sound"

$$
c_s^2 = \frac{P_X}{P_X + 2XP_{XX}}.\tag{22}
$$

The boundary condition (17) in terms of K_{m^2} takes the form

$$
\left[\left(b_i - c_i m^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} K_{m^2} - m^2 P_X K_{m^2} \right] \Big|_{y_i^{\pm}} = 0, \qquad (23)
$$

where from now on y_i^{\pm} $\frac{1}{i}$ stands for y_1^+ or $y_2^ \overline{2}$. The corresponding limits have to be taken for quantities discontinuous on the branes. The $\partial/\partial n$ differentiation is in the direction of the outer normal at the boundary, i.e. $(-d/dy)$ at y_1 and $(+d/dy)$ at y_2 . Quantities b_i and c_i are the following functions of the background solution and the brane interactions

$$
b_i = \frac{1}{2} \left[a U_{\Phi\Phi}^{(i)} \Big|_{y=y_i} + a \int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{(i)} - \int_{y_i} \phi'' \left(P_{\Phi X} + 2 X P_{\Phi X X} \right) \right]
$$

$$
\mp \lim_{y \to y_i^{\pm}} \left(P_X + 2 X P_{XX} \right) \left(\frac{\phi''}{\phi'} - \frac{a'}{a} \right), \tag{24}
$$

$$
c_i = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_X^{(i)}.
$$
\n(25)

The boundary conditions (23) are quite complicated. The eigenvalue $m²$ of the equation of motion (20) appears in those boundary conditions multiplying both K_{m^2} and its normal derivative. As a result, the boundary conditions are not self–adjoint and it is not possible to use methods developed for a similar problem in [9] where the corresponding boundary conditions have a form analogous to (23) but with $c_i = 0$.

4 Stability conditions

The spectrum of the scalar perturbations in a given background is given by the eigenvalues of the Strum–Liouville equation (20) with the boundary conditions (23) at the branes. The most interesting for us is the lowest eigenvalue which we identify with the square of the radion mass. The inter– brane distance is stable only if this mass squared is positive. In this section we will find conditions sufficient for such stability. We will show also when the radion is massless and identify some classes of backgrounds which are unstable.

First we check whether there is a massless mode in the KK tower of the scalar perturbations. In such a case, the boundary condition (23) at the first brane reduces, for nonzero b_1 , to $K'_0(y_1^+) = 0$ (the case with vanishing b_1 will be considered later). For $m^2 = 0$, the solution of the bulk equation of motion (20), satisfying the boundary condition at $y = y_1$ and normalized to $K_0(y_1) = 1$, can be written in quite a simple form

$$
K_0(y) = \frac{a^2(y)}{a^2(y_1)} - \frac{2a'(y)}{a^2(y)a^2(y_1)} \int_{y_1}^y d\tilde{y} \, d^3(\tilde{y}). \tag{26}
$$

Using the background equation of motion (8), the derivative of the above solution simplifies to

$$
K_0'(y) = \frac{2P_X(y)\phi^2(y)}{3a(y)a^2(y_1)} \int_{y_1}^y d\tilde{y} a^3(\tilde{y}).
$$
\n(27)

The boundary condition at the second brane reads $b_2K'(y_2)$ $(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. The integral in eq. (27) is strictly positive, so this condition is fulfilled only when the product $b_2P_X(y_2^-)$ $^{-}_{2})\phi^{\prime}(y_{2}^{-})$ $\binom{1}{2}$ vanishes. Repeating the same reasoning starting from the second brane, one gets analogous result for the first brane. Putting both cases together, we find that for p and r regular in the bulk, the necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a massless mode is

$$
b_1 b_2 P_X(y_1^+) P_X(y_2^-) \phi'(y_1^+) \phi'(y_2^-) = 0.
$$
 (28)

Conditions sufficient for the stability can be found in the following way. Multiplying eq. (20) with K_{m^2} , integrating over the whole 5th dimension, and using the boundary conditions (23) we get

$$
m^{2} \int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} r(K_{m^{2}})^{2} + \sum_{i} \frac{b_{i}}{m^{2}} \frac{p}{P_{X}} (K'_{m^{2}})^{2} \Big|_{y_{i}^{\pm}}
$$

=
$$
\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} \left[q(K_{m^{2}})^{2} + p(K'_{m^{2}})^{2} \right] + \sum_{i} c_{i} \frac{p}{P_{X}} (K'_{m^{2}})^{2} \Big|_{y_{i}^{\pm}}.
$$
 (29)

Let us consider first such models for which the background dependent bulk functions p, q and r are regular and positive while the brane parameters b_i are positive and c_i are non–negative. Then, the r.h.s. of (29) is positive while the l.h.s. is negative for negative m^2 and may be divergent for vanishing m^2 . Thus, the condition (29) can be fulfilled only for positive m^2 . The function $q = 1/a$ is always positive. Functions p and r have the same sign as P_X and $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$, respectively. They become singular if any of the functions P_X , $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ or ϕ' vanishes for any value of y. Thus, the following conditions

$$
b_i > 0, \qquad c_i \ge 0, \tag{30}
$$

$$
\forall_{y \in [y_1^+, y_2^-]} \qquad \phi'^2(y) > 0, \quad P_X(y) > 0, \quad P_X(y) + 2X(y)P_{XX}(y) > 0,\tag{31}
$$

are sufficient for the stability of the inter–brane distance (positivity of the radion mass squared). By $y \in [y_1^+, y_2^-]$ we denote the interior of the bulk, $y_1 < y < y_2$ and the limits $y \to y_1^+$ and $y \to y_2^ \frac{1}{2}$.

Showing that the above conditions are sufficient for stability was quite easy. It is much more difficult to check which conditions are necessary. We will show now that there must be at least one tachyonic mode if any of the functions, ϕ' , P_X or $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$, vanishes anywhere in the bulk. The arguments are similar to those used in [7] and [9]. We will compare the properties of two solutions of the bulk equation of motion (20), one for $m^2 = 0$ and second for $m^2 = -M^2$ in the limit $M \to \infty$. Both solutions satisfy the boundary condition at one brane (let us first choose it to be the first one located at y_1).

We start with solving the bulk equation of motion (20) in the limit of large negative $m^2 = -M^2$. In the leading order in $1/M$, equation (20) has the following approximate solution

$$
K_{-M^2}(y) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{pc_s}} \left[C^+ \exp\left(+M \int_{y_1}^y c_s \right) + C^- \exp\left(-M \int_{y_1}^y c_s \right) \right], \quad (32)
$$

$$
K'_{-M^2}(y) \approx M \sqrt{\frac{c_s}{p}} \left[C^+ \exp\left(+M \int_{y_1}^y c_s \right) - C^- \exp\left(-M \int_{y_1}^y c_s \right) \right].
$$
 (33)

In the same limit, the boundary condition (23) at the first brane becomes

$$
\left(c_1 K'_{-M^2} - P_X K_{-M^2}\right)\big|_{y=y_1} \approx 0\,. \tag{34}
$$

Because of the M prefactor in (33), for any $c_1 \neq 0$ and large enough M, the above boundary condition can be fulfilled when $C^+ \approx C^-$. We choose C^{\pm} to be positive because later we will compare this solution with K_0 normalized to 1 at y_1 . When c_1 and $P_X(y_1)$ have the same sign, the boundary condition (34) can be fulfilled only when $K_{-M^2}(y_1)$ and $K'_{-M^2}(y_1)$ have the same sign. Thus, $C^+ > C^-$ and the square bracket in (32) does not change its sign in the whole bulk. For very large M the first term in (32) starts do dominate over the second one even for small values of $y - y_1$ (it is slightly bigger even at y_1) and away from the first brane the solution is approximated by

$$
K_{-M^2}(y) \approx C^+ \phi' \sqrt{\frac{2a}{3} \sqrt{P_X \left(P_X + 2XP_{XX}\right)}} \exp\left(M \int \frac{P_X}{P_X + 2XP_{XX}}\right). \tag{35}
$$

Using this solution we can investigate models when some of the conditions in (30-31) are not fulfilled.

It is convenient to define the following function of m^2

$$
B_2(m^2) = \left[\left(b_2 - c_2 m^2 \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} K_{m^2} - m^2 P_X K_{m^2} \right] \Big|_{y = y_2^-} . \tag{36}
$$

It is equal to the l.h.s. of the boundary condition (23) for K_{m^2} satisfying the bulk equation of motion and the boundary condition at the first brane, and normalized to 1 at y_1 . The spectrum of the KK tower of scalar perturbations consists of those values m^2 for which $B_2(m^2) = 0$.

Now we check whether the positivity of b_i and c_i are necessary conditions for the stability, assuming that all the bulk conditions (31) are fulfilled. For very lage negative m^2 the boundary function B_2 at the second brane is dominated by the term proportional to K'_{-M^2} . From eq. (35) and the discussion before it, it follows that

$$
sgn [B_2(-M^2)] = sgn [M^2 c_2 K'_{-M^2}(y_2^-)] = sgn [c_2]. \qquad (37)
$$

On the other hand, for the solution K_0 given by (26) and (27) we get

$$
sgn [B_2(0)] = sgn [b_2 K'_0(y_2^-)] = sgn[b_2], \qquad (38)
$$

where we used the fact that K'_0 is always positive when the inequalities (31) are fulfilled. Comparing (37) with (38), we conclude that there must be at least one negative eigenvalue when the parameters b_2 and c_2 have opposite signs. For $b_2c_2 < 0$, the function $B_2(m^2)$ has different sign for $m^2 = 0$ and for large (enough) negative m^2 . There must be some negative m^2 for which B_2 vanishes because the solutions of (20) change continuously with m^2 .

Repeating the above reasoning but starting from the brane at y_2 , we obtain an analogous condition for parameters b_1 and c_1 . Thus, the conditions

$$
b_1c_1 \ge 0, \t b_2c_2 \ge 0, \t (39)
$$

are necessary for the stability.

Now we investigate the stability conditions for the bulk quantities (31). The solution (35) for large negative m^2 vanishes at a point at which ϕ' or P_X vanishes. It must change sign there because from (20) it follows that K and K' can vanish at the same point only for trivial solution vanishing everywhere. Thus, for very large negative m^2 the function $K(y)$ vanishes close to the point where $P_X \phi'$ is zero. On the other hand, from (26) and (27) it follows that K_0 is positive for all y. So, there must be some negative \tilde{m}^2 for which $K_{\tilde{m}^2}$ has a zero point but is nowhere negative. It is easy to see that such a zero point must be at the second brane, $y = y_2$, and that the derivative of $K_{\tilde{m}^2}(y_2^-)$ $\binom{1}{2}$ is negative. In such a situation

$$
sgn [B_2(\tilde{m}^2)] = sgn [(b_2 - c_2 \tilde{m}^2) K'_{\tilde{m}^2}(y_2^-)] = -sgn[b_2], \qquad (40)
$$

where the last equality follows from the condition (39). Comparing (38) and (40) we find that there must be some negative mode with the eigenvalue \hat{m}^2

satisfying $\widetilde{m}^2 < \widehat{m}^2 < 0$ for which $B_2(\widehat{m}^2) = 0$. The radion is tachyonic if ϕ' or P_X vanishes in the bulk.

The above arguments are rather complicated but the result is quite intuitive. We consider backgrounds for which $P_X \phi'$ vanishes at some $y_0 < y_2$ in the bulk. For any such background $K_0(y)$ defined in (26) is a zero mode in a model restricted to the interval $[y_1, y_0]$. It is quite natural that the KK states becomes lighter when the compact space becomes bigger. So, with a massless mode on $[y_1, y_0]$ there should be a tachyonic one on the bigger orbifold $[y_1, y_2]$.

Equation (35) can be used to show that also $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ should be strictly positive. If it is not, there are two possibilities depending on how fast it approaches zero. If the integral in (35) is finite then K_{-M^2} vanishes because of $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ in the prefactor and a reasoning similar to that for the case of vanishing $P_X \phi'$ may be applied to prove the existence of at least one tachyonic mode. On the other hand, a divergent integral in (35) indicates the breakdown of the perturbativity assumption. This is not surprising. Vanishing $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ corresponds to infinite speed of sound while negative $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ gives negative square of the speed of sound (for positive P_X , which is anyway necessary for the stability). In both cases one should expect strong instabilities.

We showed above that the conditions (31) on the bulk quantities are not only sufficient but also necessary for the stability. We were not able to prove the same for the brane conditions (30). If one of them is fulfilled then the other has also to be fulfilled. The only possible loophole occurs when both conditions (30) are violate, namely when $b_1 < 0$ and $c_1 < 0$ or when $b_2 < 0$ and $c_2 < 0$. However, these are not very appealing possibilities. Parameters c_i are proportional to the integrals $\int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_X^{(i)}$ and can be negative only for localized brane kinetic terms very different from the standard one.

5 Discussion

With the results presented in the two previous sections we can investigate how the stabilization of branes is influenced by the presence of non–trivial scalar kinetic terms in the bulk and/or on the branes. Such terms change the background configurations and the spectrum of the scalar perturbations. We start the discussion with the background.

Combining eqs. (8) and (9), the dynamical equation describing the change of the warp factor can be written as

$$
3\frac{a''}{a} + a^2 (V + P) = 0.
$$
 (41)

The source for the change of the warp factor $a(y)$ is the full "matter" Lagrangian density $(V + P)$ irrespective of whether the kinetic part is standard or not. The modification of the scalar equation of motion given in (7)

$$
(P_X \phi')' + 3\frac{a'}{a} (P_X \phi') - a^2 (V_{\Phi} + P_{\Phi}) = 0,
$$

is twofold. First, similarly as in the case of the warp factor, the role of the potential in this equation is played by the full non–gravitational Lagrangian density. Second, it seems that a natural variable to describe the change of the scalar background is the product $P_X \phi'$ and not ϕ' itself. The equation of motion for this generalized variable $P_X \phi'$ looks formally the same as that in the standard theory (derivative of the full Lagrangian as a source and $3a'/a$ as "friction"). Thus, as compared to the standard theory, for the same local non–gravitational energy density and the warp factor slope, the scalar field ϕ changes faster (slower) if P_X is smaller (bigger) than 1. Of course this is only a qualitative feature and in most of the cases any quantitative corrections can be found only by numerical calculations.

The positions of the branes are determined by the boundary conditions. The modifications to the boundary conditions (10) and (11) are analogous to those in the bulk background equations. Namely, not only the potentials but the full Lagrangians localized at the branes determine the jumps of a' while their derivatives with respect to Φ determine the jumps of $P_X\phi'$.

Usually in Randall–Sundrum type models, the warp factor changes monotonically in the bulk, so its derivative has the same sign for all y . Thus, because of opposite overall signs in the boundary conditions (10) at two branes, one brane must have positive tension while the second one must have negative tension. To check the signs of the brane tensions in the class of models considered in this work we rewrite eq. (8) in the following form

$$
\left(\frac{a'}{a^2}\right)' = -\frac{1}{3a}P_X\phi'^2.
$$
\n(42)

In the previous section we showed that the stability of the model requires that $P_X \phi'$ is everywhere non-zero. Thus, the r.h.s. of the above equation is always negative. The ratio a'/a^2 always decreases and the warp factor $a(y)$ can not have a minimum in the bulk. Because of that, it is not possible to construct a stable model with two positive tension branes. At least one brane must have a negative tension:

$$
\min_{i} \left(U^{(i)} \big|_{y_i} + \int_{y_i} \delta_i Q^{(i)} \right) < 0. \tag{43}
$$

In all stable models $\phi(y)$ must be a monotonic function $(\phi'$ can not vanish) and P_X can not change sign. The limit of the product $P_X \phi'$ has the same sign at both branes. Thus, it follows from the boundary condition (11) that

$$
\left(U_{\Phi}^{(1)}\Big|_{y_1} + \int_{y_1} \delta_1 Q_{\Phi}^{(1)}\right) \cdot \left(U_{\Phi}^{(2)}\Big|_{y_2} + \int_{y_2} \delta_2 Q_{\Phi}^{(2)}\right) < 0. \tag{44}
$$

We turn now to the stability conditions. One of them is the positivity of b_i parameters defined in (23). Using the background equation of motion (7) the last term in the definition of b_i (24) can be rewritten as

$$
\mp \lim_{y \to y_i^{\pm}} (P_X + 2XP_{XX}) \left(\frac{\phi''}{\phi'} - \frac{a'}{a} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{y \to y_i^{\pm}} \left[\pm 4P_X \frac{a'}{a} \pm P_{\Phi X} \phi' \mp \frac{a^2 (V_{\Phi} + P_{\Phi})}{\phi'} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \lim_{y \to y_i^{\pm}} \left[-4P_X \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n} a}{a} - P_{\Phi X} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \phi + \frac{a^2 (V_{\Phi} + P_{\Phi})}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n} \phi} \right], \qquad (45)
$$

where we used the outer normal derivative introduced in eq. (23). The first term in the last square bracket of the above equation gives negative (positive) contribution to the b parameter on the positive (negative) tension brane. So, positivity of b at the positive tension brane is more difficult to achieve. Stability is improved when, close to the brane(s), $P_{\Phi X}$ has the opposite sign and $(V_{\Phi} + P_{\Phi})$ has the same sign as the normal derivative of the scalar field $\partial \phi / \partial n$. There is another term in the definition of b which depends on the bulk background, namely $\int \phi''(P_{\Phi X} + 2XP_{\Phi XX})$. Its sign depends on the background and on the details of the generalized bulk kinetic function P. Non–trivial Φ –dependence of P can be, at least in some cases, used to increase the radion mass. Finally, large enough values of the second derivatives of the brane kinetic terms $Q^{(i)}$ may be used to make b_i positive.

The second stability condition in (30) can be quite easily fulfilled. For example: c_i given by eq. (25) vanishes if there is no kinetic term localized on the i -th brane and it is positive when such localized term is not much different from the standard one $Q^{(i)} = X$.

Models with non–standard bulk and/or brane scalar kinetic terms are quite complicated and usually only performing numerical calculations one can find the background fields and check their stablility against small perturbations. Nevertheless, it seems viable that stable solutions can exist also in models without any scalar potentials or cosmological constants. The kinetic terms alone may have rich enough structure allowing for configurations with stabilized inter–brane distance. This is similar to the situation in models proposed in [10] in which inflation was realized without any scalar potential.

Let us discuss what properties the generalized kinetic terms should have in order to support stable brane configurations. Conditions on the bulk kinetic function P are rather weak. It is enough that eq. (9) can be fulfilled for some y_0 and positive values of ϕ^2 , P_X and $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$. Then, the dynamical equations (7) and (8) can be used to extend the solution to $y \neq y_0$. The bulk stability conditions (31) are fulfilled at y_0 , so they are fulfilled also in some finite interval in the 5th coordinate. Any two points in this interval may be used to locate the branes. Of course, this is possible only when the brane kinetic terms have appropriate properties.

Restrictions on the brane kinetic functions $Q^{(i)}$ are quite strong if we want the branes to be stabilized at given positions in a given background. First of all, from eq. (44) it is obvious that without brane potentials it is necessary that $Q^{(i)}$ have some non–trivial Φ –dependence. In addition, it follows from (43) that at least at one of the branes the kinetic term must give a negative contribution to its tension. This does not a priori mean that the system becomes unstable. Of course, we want the energy to be bounded from below, so the kinetic term at the second brane $Q^{(2)}(\Phi, X)$ (we call "second" that brane at which the expression in (43) is minimized) should give negative value of $\int \delta_2 Q^{(2)}$ only for some range of values of its arguments³. More specifically, each $Q^{(i)}$ must satisfy two equalities (10) and (11) and two inequalities (30). The values of $\int \delta_i Q^{(i)}$ and $\int \delta_i Q^{(i)}_{\Phi}$ necessary to fulfill the background boundary conditions depend on the details of a given background but their signs are determined by (43) and (44). All boundary and stability conditions on the brane kinetic functions may be written in the following form

$$
\int_{y_i} \delta_i Q^{(i)} = \left. \frac{6}{a^2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial n} \right|_{y_i^{\pm}}, \tag{46}
$$

$$
\int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_{\Phi}^{(i)} = -\left. \frac{2P_X}{a} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial n} \right|_{y_i^{\pm}}, \tag{47}
$$

$$
\int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_X^{(i)} \ge 0 \,, \tag{48}
$$

$$
\int_{y_i} \delta_i Q_{\Phi\Phi}^{(i)} > -\frac{2\tilde{b}_i}{a(y_i)},\tag{49}
$$

³One should remember that in general $Q^{(i)}$ and $\int \delta_i Q^{(i)}$ are not just proportional to each other and can have negative values for (slightly) different regions of the parameter space. This is caused by the regularization procedure discussed in section 2.

where \tilde{b}_i is the r.h.s. of (24) with $Q^{(i)}$ set to zero. It is possible to fulfill all the above conditions for example with the brane kinetic functions of the form

$$
Q^{(i)} = K^{(i)}(\Phi)X + L^{(i)}(\Phi)X^2.
$$
\n(50)

The most difficult part is to satisfy simultaneously conditions (46) and (48) at the second (negative tension) brane. Using eqs. (46), (48) and (4), one can find a lower bounds on $L^{(i)}$

$$
L^{(i)}X^2|_{y_i^{\pm}} \ge -\frac{270}{a^2} \frac{\partial a}{\partial n}\Big|_{y_i^{\pm}},
$$
\n(51)

which can be translated to an upper bound on $K^{(i)}$

$$
K^{(i)}X\big|_{y_i^{\pm}} = -\frac{3}{5}L^{(i)}X^2\big|_{y_i^{\pm}} + \frac{18}{a^2}\frac{\partial a}{\partial n}\big|_{y_i^{\pm}} \le \frac{180}{a^2}\frac{\partial a}{\partial n}\big|_{y_i^{\pm}}.
$$
 (52)

At the positive tension brane $L^{(2)}(\phi(y_2))$ must be positive and big enough while $K^{(2)}(\phi(y_2))$ must be negative (with value related to the value of $L^{(2)}$). Thus, it is not possible to construct a stable model with a positive tension brane if the corresponding $K^{(i)}$ is always positive.

Some Φ -dependence of $K^{(i)}$ and/or $L^{(i)}$ is necessary to fulfill conditions (47) and (49). The background boundary condition (47) takes the following form

$$
\left[\frac{1}{3}K_{\Phi}^{(i)}X + \frac{1}{5}L_{\Phi}^{(i)}X^{2}\right]\bigg|_{y_{i}^{\pm}} = -\frac{2P_{X}}{a}\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial n}\bigg|_{y_{i}^{\pm}}.
$$
\n(53)

In all stable configurations, the r.h.s. of this equation has opposite signs on the two branes (because ϕ' can not change sign). So, there are no consistent brane models if all first derivatives of $K^{(i)}$ and $L^{(i)}$ have the same sign.

The stability conditions (49) for the brane kinetic functions (50) may be rewritten as

$$
\lim_{y \to y_i^{\pm}} \left[\frac{1}{3} K_{\Phi \Phi}^{(i)} X + \frac{1}{5} L_{\Phi \Phi}^{(i)} X^2 + \frac{P_{\Phi X}}{P_X} \left(\frac{1}{3} K_{\Phi}^{(i)} X + \frac{1}{5} L_{\Phi}^{(i)} X^2 \right) - 4 P_X \left(\frac{1}{9} K^{(i)} X + \frac{1}{15} L^{(i)} X^2 + \frac{P_{\Phi}}{\frac{1}{3} K_{\Phi}^{(i)} X + \frac{1}{5} L_{\Phi}^{(i)} X^2} \right) \right] \ge \int_{y_i} \frac{\phi'' \left(P_{\Phi X} + 2 X P_{\Phi X X} \right)}{a} . \tag{54}
$$

Some of the terms on the l.h.s. of the the above expression may be negative but they can be compensated by large enough value of $K_{\Phi\Phi}^{(i)}X/3 + L_{\Phi\Phi}^{(i)}X^2/5$.

It is clear that it is possible to choose functions $K^{(i)}$ and $L^{(i)}$ which satisfy all the above boundary and stability conditions for a given background. So, models in which the inter–brane distance is fixed in a stable way can be constructed even without any scalar potentials or cosmological constants. The brane induced kinetic terms may have a relatively simple form $Q =$ $KX + LX^2$ if the functions K and L are generic enough⁴. It would be interesting to check whether any higher order kinetic terms predicted for example by string theories have an appropriate structure.

6 Conclusions

We considered 5D brane models with bulk and brane scalar kinetic terms generalized to some functions of $X = (\nabla \phi)^2/2$ and the scalar field itself. The background equations of motion and boundary conditions have structure similar to the case with standard kinetic terms. There are two kinds of modifications. First: the scalar potential is replaced by the sum of the potential and the kinetic term. Second: derivatives of the scalar field are multiplied by derivatives of the bulk kinetic term with respect to X.

Stability of background configurations has been checked by analising the spectrum of small scalar perturbations. A given background with fixed branes positions is stable only when all the masses squared in the spectrum are positive. The bulk equation of motion determining the shape of the KK modes of such perturbations was written in the Sturm–Liouville form. The corresponding boundary conditions have rather complicated form. They may be expessed in terms of four parameters (two for each brane), b_i and c_i , determined by the background and by the bulk interactions described effectively by some potentials and generalized kinetic terms. The boundary conditions depend also on the eigenvaues and this dependence is more complicated than in models with stanard kinetic terms. This eigenvalue–dependence makes the stability considerations more difficult. Sufficient conditions for the stability are: $b_i > 0$, $c_i \geq 0$ and the positivity of bulk functions P_X , $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ and ϕ' for all values of the 5th coordinate y. If $c_i \geq 0$ then the remaining conditions are not only sufficient but also the necessary ones. This changes when one or both of the c_i parameters is negative. It seems that it may be possible to have stable configurations with negative b_1 and c_1 (or b_2 and c_2). That the lowest KK mode, the radion, becomes tachyonic when any of the quantities $b_i c_i < 0$ or any of the quantities $\phi' P_X$ or $(P_X + 2XP_{XX})$ is not strictly positive.

⁴Of course, one fine tuning of parameters is necessary as in all models with flat 4D foliation.

We show that stable brane models may be constructed without bulk and/or brane potentials and cosmological constants. This may be achieved for example when the brane localized kinetic terms take the form $Q^{(i)}$ = $K^{(i)}(\Phi)X + L^{(i)}(\Phi)X^2$. Conditions for the functions $K^{(i)}(\Phi)$ and $L^{(i)}(\Phi)$ have been found.

Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by a Maria Curie Transfer of Knowledge Fellowship of the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme under contract number MTKD-CT-2005-029466 (2006-2010). The author would like to thank for the hospitality experienced at Ludwig Maximilian University and Max Planck Institut in Munich where this work has been done.

References

- [1] W. D. Goldberger and M. B. Wise, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4922 $\arXiv:hep-ph/9907447$.
- [2] C. Charmousis, R. Gregory and V. A. Rubakov, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 067505 [arXiv:hep-th/9912160].
- [3] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser and A. Karch, Phys. Rev. D 62, 046008 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909134].
- [4] T. Tanaka and X. Montes, Nucl. Phys. B 582 (2000) 259 [arXiv:hepth/0001092].
- [5] C. Csáki, M. L. Graesser and G. D. Kribs, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 065002 [arXiv:hep-th/0008151].
- [6] S. Mukohyama and L. Kofman, Phys. Rev. D 65, 124025 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112115].
- [7] J. Lesgourgues and L. Sorbo, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 084010 [arXiv:hepth/0310007].
- $[8]$ A. V. Frolov and L. Kofman, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 044021 $\arXiv:hep$ th/0309002].
- [9] D. Konikowska, M. Olechowski and M. G. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 73, 105018 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603014].
- [10] C. Armendariz-Picon, T. Damour and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B 458, 209 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904075]; J. Garriga and V. F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B 458, 219 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904176].
- [11] C. Armendariz-Picon, V. F. Mukhanov and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4438 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/0004134]; Phys. Rev. D 63, 103510 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0006373].
- [12] E. Babichev, V. Mukhanov and A. Vikman, arXiv:0708.0561 [hep-th].
- [13] D. Maity, S. SenGupta and S. Sur, Phys. Lett. B 643, 348 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0604195]; arXiv:hep-th/0609171; A. Dey, D. Maity and S. SenGupta, Phys. Rev. D 75, 107901 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611262].
- [14] L. Kofman, J. Martin and M. Peloso, Phys. Rev. D 70, 085015 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0401189].