

ALGEBRAIC AND COMBINATORIAL PROPERTIES OF IDEALS AND ALGEBRAS OF UNIFORM CLUTTERS OF TDI SYSTEMS

LUIS A. DUPONT AND RAFAEL H. VILLARREAL

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{C} be a uniform clutter and let A be the incidence matrix of \mathcal{C} . We denote the column vectors of A by v_1, \dots, v_q . Under certain conditions we prove that \mathcal{C} is vertex critical. If \mathcal{C} satisfies the max-flow min-cut property, we prove that A diagonalizes over \mathbb{Z} to an identity matrix and that v_1, \dots, v_q form a Hilbert basis. We also prove that if \mathcal{C} has a perfect matching such that \mathcal{C} has the packing property and its vertex covering number is equal to 2, then A diagonalizes over \mathbb{Z} to an identity matrix. If A is a balanced matrix we prove that any regular triangulation of the cone generated by v_1, \dots, v_q is unimodular. Some examples are presented to show that our results only hold for uniform clutters. These results are closely related to certain algebraic properties, such as the normality or torsion-freeness, of blowup algebras of edge ideals and to finitely generated abelian groups. They are also related to the theory of Gröbner bases of toric ideals and to Ehrhart rings.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K and let I be an ideal of R of height g minimally generated by a finite set

$$F = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$$

of square-free monomials. As usual we use the notation $x^a := x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$, where $a = (a_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. The *support* of x^a is given by $\text{supp}(x^a) = \{x_i \mid a_i > 0\}$. For technical reasons we shall assume that each variable x_i occurs in at least one monomial of F .

A *clutter* \mathcal{C} with finite vertex set X is a family E of subsets of X , called edges, none of which is included in another. The set of vertices and edges of \mathcal{C} are denoted by $X = V(\mathcal{C})$ and $E = E(\mathcal{C})$ respectively. Clutters are special types of hypergraphs and are sometimes called *Sperner families* in the literature. One example of a clutter is a graph with the vertices and edges defined in the usual way for graphs. For a thorough study of clutters and hypergraphs from the point of view of combinatorial optimization see [5, 19].

We associate to the ideal I a *clutter* \mathcal{C} by taking the set of indeterminates $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ as vertex set and $E = \{f_1, \dots, f_q\}$ as edge set, where f_k is the support of x^{v_k} . The assignment $I \mapsto \mathcal{C}$ gives a natural one to one correspondence between the family of square-free monomial ideals and the family of clutters. The ideal I is called the *edge ideal* of \mathcal{C} . To stress the relationship between I and \mathcal{C} we will use the notation $I = I(\mathcal{C})$. The $\{0, 1\}$ -vector v_k is the so called *characteristic vector* or *incidence vector* of f_k , i.e., $v_k = \sum_{x_i \in f_k} e_i$, where e_i is the i th unit vector. We shall always assume that \mathcal{C} has no isolated vertices, i.e., each vertex x_i occurs in at least one edge of \mathcal{C} .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 13H10; Secondary 13F20, 13B22, 52B20.

Key words and phrases. Uniform clutter, max-flow min-cut, normality, Rees algebra, Ehrhart ring, balanced matrix, edge ideal, Hilbert bases, Smith normal form, unimodular regular triangulation.

The second author was partially supported by CONACyT grant 49251-F and SNI.

Let A be the *incidence matrix* of \mathcal{C} whose column vectors are v_1, \dots, v_q . The *set covering polyhedron* of \mathcal{C} is given by:

$$Q(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}\},$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$. A subset $C \subset X$ is called a *minimal vertex cover* of \mathcal{C} if: (i) every edge of \mathcal{C} contains at least one vertex of C , and (ii) there is no proper subset of C with the first property. The map $C \mapsto \sum_{x_i \in C} e_i$ gives a bijection between the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} and the integral vertices of $Q(A)$. A polyhedron is called an *integral polyhedron* if it has only integral vertices. A clutter is called *d-uniform* or *uniform* if all its edges have exactly d vertices.

The contents of this paper are as follows. We begin in Section 2 by introducing various combinatorial properties of clutters. We then give a simple combinatorial proof of the following result of [9]:

Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2. *If \mathcal{C} is a d -uniform clutter whose set covering polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral, then there are X_1, \dots, X_d mutually disjoint minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d X_i$. In particular $|\text{supp}(x^{v_i}) \cap X_k| = 1$ for all i, k .*

The original proof of this result was algebraic, it was based on the fact that the radical of the ideal $IR[It]$ can be expressed in terms of the minimal primes of I , where $R[It]$ is the Rees algebra of I (see Section 3). Example 2.3 shows that this result fails if we drop the uniformity hypothesis. For use below we denote the smallest number of vertices in any minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} by $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$. The clutter obtained from \mathcal{C} by deleting a vertex x_i and removing all edges containing x_i is denoted by $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}$. A clutter \mathcal{C} is called *vertex critical* if $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}) < \alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$ for all i . A set of pairwise disjoint edges of \mathcal{C} is called *independent* or a *matching* and a set of independent edges of \mathcal{C} whose union is X is called a *perfect matching*. We then prove:

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that $Q(A)$ is integral. Then \mathcal{C} is vertex critical.*

A simple example is shown to see that this result fails for non uniform clutters with integral set covering polyhedron (Remark 2.7).

In Section 3 we introduce Rees algebras, Ehrhart rings, and edge subrings. Certain algebraic properties of these graded algebras such as the normality and torsion-freeness are related to combinatorial optimization properties of clutters such as the max-flow min-cut property (see Definition 3.3) and the integrality of $Q(A)$ [9, 11]. This relation between algebra and combinatorics will be quite useful here. In Theorems 3.2, 3.4, and Proposition 3.5 we summarize the algebro-combinatorial facts needed to show the main result of Section 3:

Theorem 3.6. *If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter with the max-flow min-cut property, then*

- (a) $\Delta_r(A) = 1$, where $r = \text{rank}(A)$.
- (b) $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$.

Here $\Delta_r(A)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of all the nonzero $r \times r$ sub-determinants of A , $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$ denotes the semigroup generated by \mathcal{A} , and $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$ denotes the cone generated by \mathcal{A} . Condition (b) means that \mathcal{A} is a *Hilbert basis* for $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$. As interesting consequences we obtain that if \mathcal{C} is a d -uniform clutter with the max-flow min-cut property, then A diagonalizes over \mathbb{Z} —using row and column operations—to an identity matrix (see Corollary 3.8) and \mathcal{C} has a perfect matching if and only if $n = d\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$ (see Corollary 3.10). In Example 3.7 we show that the uniformity hypothesis is essential in the two statements of Theorem 3.6.

Section 4 deals with the diagonalization problem (see Conjecture 3.16) for clutters with the packing property (see Definition 3.11). The following is one of the main results of this section, it gives some support to Conjecture 3.16.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that \mathcal{C} has the packing property and $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. If A has rank r , then*

$$\Delta_r \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

As an application we obtain the next result which gives some support to a Conjecture of Conforti and Cornuéjols [4] (see Conjecture 3.14).

Corollary 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that \mathcal{C} has the packing property and $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. If v_1, \dots, v_q are linearly independent, then \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property.*

All clutters of Section 4 satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem 4.4. We call this type of clutters *2-partitionable* (see Example 4.5). They occur naturally in the theory of blockers of unmixed bipartite graphs (see Corollary 4.6). The other main result of Section 4 is about some of the properties of this family of clutters:

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a partition X_1, \dots, X_d of X such that X_i is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} and $|X_i| = 2$ for all i . Then (a) $\text{rank}(A) \leq d + 1$. (b) If C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , then $2 \leq |C| \leq d$. (c) If \mathcal{C} satisfies the König property and there is a minimal vertex cover C of \mathcal{C} with $|C| = d \geq 3$, then $\text{rank}(A) = d + 1$. (d) If $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ is minimally non-normal and \mathcal{C} satisfies the packing property, then $\text{rank}(A) = d + 1$.*

Regular and unimodular triangulations are introduced in Section 5. Let $K[F]$ be the monomial subring of R generated by $F = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$. There is a relationship between the Gröbner bases of the toric ideal of $K[F]$ and the triangulations of $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$, which has many interesting applications. We make use of the theory of Gröbner bases and convex polytopes, which was created and developed by Sturmfels [22], to prove the following main result of Section 5:

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be a balanced matrix with distinct column vectors v_1, \dots, v_q . If $|v_i| = d$ for all i , then any regular triangulation of the cone $\mathbb{R}_+\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ is unimodular.*

Here $|v_i|$ denotes the sum of the entries of the vector v_i . Recall that a matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ is called *balanced* if A has no square submatrix of odd order with exactly two 1's in each row and column. If we do not require the uniformity condition $|v_i| = d$ for all i this result is false, as is seen in Example 5.7. What makes this result surprising is the fact that not all balanced matrices are unimodular (see Example 5.7). This result gives some support to Conjecture 5.3: If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter that satisfies the max-flow min-cut property, then the rational polyhedral cone $\mathbb{R}_+\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ has a unimodular regular triangulation.

Throughout the paper we introduce most of the notions that are relevant for our purposes. For unexplained terminology and notation we refer to [19] (for the theory of combinatorial optimization) and [2, 23] (for the theory of blowup algebras and integral closures). See [17] for additional information about commutative rings and ideals.

2. ON THE STRUCTURE OF IDEAL UNIFORM CLUTTERS

We continue to use the notation and definitions used in the introduction. In what follows \mathcal{C} denotes a d -uniform clutter with vertex set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, edge set $E(\mathcal{C})$, edge ideal $I = I(\mathcal{C})$, and incidence matrix A . The column vectors of A are denoted by v_1, \dots, v_q and the edge ideal of \mathcal{C} is given by $I = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q})$.

In this section we study the structure of uniform clutters whose set covering polyhedron is integral. Examples of this type of clutters include bipartite graphs and uniform clutters with the max-flow min-cut property. A clutter whose set covering polyhedron is integral is called *ideal* in the literature [5]. We denote the smallest number of vertices in any minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} by $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$ and the maximum number of independent edges of \mathcal{C} by $\beta_1(\mathcal{C})$. These two numbers are called the *vertex covering number* and the *edge independence number* respectively. Notice that in general $\beta_1(\mathcal{C}) \leq \alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$. If equality occurs we say that \mathcal{C} has the *König property*.

Recall that \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p} = (C)$ for some minimal vertex cover C of \mathcal{C} [24, Proposition 6.1.16], where (C) is the ideal of R generated by C . Thus the primary decomposition of the edge ideal of \mathcal{C} is given by

$$I(\mathcal{C}) = (C_1) \cap (C_2) \cap \dots \cap (C_s),$$

where C_1, \dots, C_s are the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} . In particular observe that $\text{ht } I(\mathcal{C})$, the height of $I(\mathcal{C})$, equals the number of vertices in a minimum vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , i.e., $\text{ht } I(\mathcal{C}) = \alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$. This is a hint of the rich interaction between the combinatorics of \mathcal{C} and the algebra of $I(\mathcal{C})$.

The next result was shown in [9] using commutative algebra methods. Here we give a simple combinatorial proof.

Lemma 2.1. [9] *If \mathcal{C} is a d -uniform clutter such that $Q(A)$ is integral, then there exists a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} intersecting every edge of \mathcal{C} in exactly one vertex.*

Proof. Let B be the integral matrix whose columns are the vertices of $Q(A)$. It is not hard to show that a vector $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is an integral vertex of $Q(A)$ if and only if $\alpha = \sum_{x_i \in C} e_i$ for some minimal vertex cover C of \mathcal{C} . Thus the columns of B are the characteristic vectors of the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} . Using [5, Theorem 1.17] we get that

$$Q(B) = \{x \mid x \geq 0; xB \geq \mathbf{1}\}$$

is an integral polyhedron whose vertices are the columns of A . Therefore we have the equality

$$(2.1) \quad Q(B) = \mathbb{R}_+^n + \text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_q).$$

We proceed by contradiction. Assume that for each column u_k of B there exists a vector v_{i_k} in $\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ such that $\langle v_{i_k}, u_k \rangle \geq 2$. Then

$$v_{i_k} B \geq \mathbf{1} + e_k.$$

Consider the vector $\alpha = v_{i_1} + \dots + v_{i_s}$, where s is the number of columns of B . From the inequality

$$\alpha B \geq (\mathbf{1} + e_1) + \dots + (\mathbf{1} + e_s) = (s + 1, \dots, s + 1)$$

we obtain that $\alpha/(s + 1) \in Q(B)$. Thus, using Eq. (2.1), we can write

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha/(s + 1) = \mu_1 e_1 + \dots + \mu_n e_n + \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_q v_q \quad (\mu_i, \lambda_j \geq 0; \sum \lambda_i = 1).$$

Therefore taking inner products with $\mathbf{1}$ in Eq. (2.2) and using the fact that \mathcal{C} is d -uniform we get that $|\alpha| \geq (s + 1)d$. Then using the equality $\alpha = v_{i_1} + \dots + v_{i_s}$ we conclude

$$sd = |v_{i_1}| + \dots + |v_{i_s}| = |\alpha| \geq (s + 1)d,$$

a contradiction because $d \geq 1$. \square

A graph G is called *strongly perfect* if every induced subgraph H of G has a maximal independent set of vertices F such that $|F \cap K| = 1$ for any maximal clique K of H . Bipartite and chordal graphs are strongly perfect. If A is the vertex-clique matrix of a graph G , then G being strongly perfect implies that the clique polytope of G , $\{x \mid x \geq 0; xA \leq \mathbf{1}\}$, has a vertex that intersects every maximal clique. In this sense, uniform clutters such that $Q(A)$ is integral can be thought of as being analogous to strongly perfect graphs.

The notion of a minor plays a prominent role in combinatorial optimization [5]. Recall that a proper ideal I' of R is called a *minor* of $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ if there is a subset

$$X' = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_r}, x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_s}\}$$

of the set of variables X such that I' is obtained from I by making $x_{i_k} = 0$ and $x_{j_\ell} = 1$ for all k, ℓ . Notice that a set of generators $x^{v'_1}, \dots, x^{v'_a}$ of I' is obtained from a set of generators x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_a} of I by making $x_{i_k} = 0$ and $x_{j_\ell} = 1$ for all k, ℓ . The ideal I is considered itself a minor. A clutter \mathcal{C}' is called a *minor* of \mathcal{C} if \mathcal{C}' corresponds to a minor I' of I under the correspondence between square-free monomial ideals and clutters. This terminology is consistent with that of [5, p. 23]. Also notice that \mathcal{C}' is obtained from I' by considering the unique set of square-free monomials of R that minimally generate I' . The clutter $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}$ corresponds to the ideal I' obtained from I by making $x_i = 0$, i.e., $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}$ is a special type of a minor which is called a *deletion*.

The notion of a minor of a clutter is not a generalization of the notion of a minor of a graph in the sense of graph theory [19, p. 25]. For instance if G is a cycle of length four and we contract an edge we obtain that a triangle is a minor of G , but a triangle cannot be a minor of G in our sense.

Proposition 2.2. *If \mathcal{C} is a d -uniform clutter whose set covering polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral, then there are X_1, \dots, X_d mutually disjoint minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d X_i$.*

Proof. By induction on d . If $d = 1$, then $E(\mathcal{C}) = \{\{x_1\}, \dots, \{x_n\}\}$ and X is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} . In this case we set $X_1 = X$. Assume $d \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.1 there is a minimal vertex cover X_1 of \mathcal{C} such that $|\text{supp}(x^{v_i}) \cap X_1| = 1$ for all i . Consider the ideal I' obtained from I by making $x_i = 1$ for $x_i \in X_1$. Let \mathcal{C}' be the clutter corresponding to I' and let A' be the incidence matrix of \mathcal{C}' . The ideal I' (resp. the clutter \mathcal{C}') is a minor of I (resp. \mathcal{C}). Recall that the integrality of $Q(A)$ is preserved under taking minors [19, Theorem 78.2], so $Q(A')$ is integral. Then \mathcal{C}' is a $(d - 1)$ -uniform clutter whose set covering polyhedron $Q(A')$ is integral. Note that $V(\mathcal{C}') = X \setminus X_1$. Therefore by induction hypothesis there are X_2, \dots, X_d pairwise disjoint minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C}' such that $X \setminus X_1 = X_2 \cup \dots \cup X_d$. To complete the proof observe that X_2, \dots, X_d are minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} . Indeed if e is an edge of \mathcal{C} and $2 \leq k \leq d$, then $e \cap X_1 = \{x_i\}$ for some i . Since $e \setminus \{x_i\}$ is an edge of \mathcal{C}' , we get $(e \setminus \{x_i\}) \cap X_k \neq \emptyset$. Hence X_k is a vertex cover of \mathcal{C} . Furthermore if $x \in X_k$, then by the minimality of X_k relative to \mathcal{C}' there is an edge e' of \mathcal{C}' disjoint from $X_k \setminus \{x\}$. Since $e = e' \cup \{y\}$ is an edge of \mathcal{C} for some $y \in X_1$, we obtain that e is an edge of \mathcal{C} disjoint from $X_k \setminus \{x\}$. Therefore X_k is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , as required. \square

Example 2.3. Consider the clutter \mathcal{C} with vertex set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_9\}$ whose edges are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \{x_1, x_2\}, & f_2 &= \{x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\}, & f_3 &= \{x_7, x_8, x_9\}, \\ f_4 &= \{x_1, x_3\}, & f_5 &= \{x_2, x_4\}, & f_6 &= \{x_5, x_7\}, & f_7 &= \{x_6, x_8\}. \end{aligned}$$

In this example $Q(A)$ is integral because the incidence matrix of \mathcal{C} is a balanced matrix. However $|C \cap f_i| \geq 2$ for any minimal vertex cover C and for any i . Thus the uniformity hypothesis is essential in Proposition 2.2.

Definition 2.4. Let X_1, \dots, X_d be a partition of X . The matroid whose collection of bases is

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\{y_1, \dots, y_d\} \mid y_i \in X_i \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, d\}$$

is called the *transversal matroid* defined by X_1, \dots, X_d and is denoted by \mathcal{M} .

Recall that the set covering polyhedron of the clutter of bases of any transversal matroid is integral [9, p. 92]. The next result generalizes the fact that any bipartite graph is a subgraph of a complete bipartite graph.

Corollary 2.5. *If \mathcal{C} is a d -uniform clutter and $Q(A)$ is integral, then there is a partition X_1, \dots, X_d of X such that \mathcal{C} is a subclutter of the clutter of bases of the transversal matroid \mathcal{M} defined by X_1, \dots, X_d .*

The notion of a vertex critical clutter is the natural generalization of the corresponding notion for graph [13]. The family of vertex critical graphs has many nice properties, for instance if G is a vertex critical graph with n vertices, then $\alpha_0(G) \geq n/2$ (see [10, Theorem 3.11]). If a clutter \mathcal{C} is vertex critical, then $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = \alpha_0(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}) + 1$ for all i .

Proposition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that $Q(A)$ is integral. Then \mathcal{C} is vertex critical.*

Proof. First, we claim that $n = gd$, where $g = \text{ht } I(\mathcal{C})$. First we show that $n \geq gd$. Notice that $\mathbf{1}A \geq d\mathbf{1}$, i.e., $\mathbf{1}/d \in Q(A)$. Let u_1, \dots, u_s be the characteristic vectors of the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} . As $Q(A)$ is integral, the vertices of $Q(A)$ are the u_i 's. Hence we have the equality

$$Q(A) = \mathbb{R}_+^n + \text{conv}(u_1, \dots, u_s).$$

Since $\mathbf{1}/d \in Q(A)$, using this equality we get

$$\mathbf{1}/d = \delta + \lambda_1 u_1 + \dots + \lambda_s u_s; \quad (\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^n; \lambda_i \geq 0; \sum_i \lambda_i = 1).$$

Therefore $n \geq gd$. By hypothesis there are mutually disjoint edges f_1, \dots, f_r such that X is equal to $f_1 \cup \dots \cup f_r$. Consequently $n = rd$. So $n = rd \geq gd$, i.e., $r \geq g$. On the other hand $g = \text{ht } I(\mathcal{C}) \geq \beta_1(\mathcal{C}) \geq r$. Thus $r = g$ and $n = gd$ as claimed. In particular \mathcal{C} has the König property. We now prove that \mathcal{C} is vertex critical. By Proposition 2.2 there are X_1, \dots, X_d mutually disjoint minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d X_i$. Hence

$$n = gd = |X_1| + \dots + |X_d|.$$

As $|X_i| \geq g$ for all i , we get $|X_i| = g$ for all i . It follows rapidly that \mathcal{C} is vertex critical. Indeed notice that each vertex x_i belongs to a minimal vertex cover C_i of \mathcal{C} with g vertices. The set $C_i \setminus \{x_i\}$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}$ of size $g - 1$. Hence $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_i\}) < \alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Remark 2.7. Consider the clutter \mathcal{C} of Example 2.3. This clutter has a perfect matching and $Q(A)$ is integral, but it is not vertex critical because $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C} \setminus \{x_9\}) = \alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = 4$. Thus the uniformity condition is essential in Proposition 2.6.

From the proof of Proposition 2.6 we get:

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching f_1, \dots, f_r . If $Q(A)$ is integral, then $r = \alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$ and there are X_1, \dots, X_d mutually disjoint minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} of size $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$ such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d X_i$.*

3. ALGEBRAS AND TDI SYSTEMS OF UNIFORM CLUTTERS

As before let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K and let \mathcal{C} be a clutter with vertex set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, edge set $E(\mathcal{C})$, edge ideal $I = I(\mathcal{C})$, and incidence matrix A . The column vectors of A are denoted by v_1, \dots, v_q . Thus the edge ideal of \mathcal{C} is the ideal of R generated by the set $F = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$.

First we examine the interaction between combinatorial optimization properties of clutters and algebraic properties of monomial algebras. The *monomial algebras* considered here are: (a) the *Rees algebra*

$$R[It] := R \oplus It \oplus \dots \oplus I^i t^i \oplus \dots \subset R[t],$$

where t is a new variable, (b) the *homogeneous monomial subring*

$$K[Ft] = K[x^{v_1}t, \dots, x^{v_q}t] \subset R[t]$$

spanned by $Ft = \{x^{v_1}t, \dots, x^{v_q}t\}$, (c) the *edge subring*

$$K[F] = K[x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}] \subset R$$

spanned by F , and (d) the *Ehrhart ring*

$$A(P) = K[\{x^{at^i} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}^n \cap iP; i \in \mathbb{N}\}] \subset R[t]$$

of the lattice polytope $P = \text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_q)$.

The Rees algebra of the edge ideal I can be written as

$$R[It] = K[\{x^{at^b} \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}'\}]$$

where $\mathcal{A}' = \{(v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1), e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, e_i is the i th unit vector in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , and $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}'$ is the subsemigroup of \mathbb{N}^{n+1} spanned by \mathcal{A}' . According to [24, Theorem 7.2.28] the integral closure of $R[It]$ in its field of fractions can be expressed as

$$\overline{R[It]} = K[\{x^{at^b} \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}' \cap \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}'\}]$$

where $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}'$ is the cone spanned by \mathcal{A}' and $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}'$ is the subgroup spanned by \mathcal{A}' . The cone $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}'$ is called the *Rees cone* of I . The Rees algebra of I is called *normal* if $R[It] = \overline{R[It]}$. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}' = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. Hence we obtain the following well known fact:

Lemma 3.1. *$R[It]$ is normal if and only if $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}' = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \cap \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}'$.*

The Rees cone of I has dimension $n+1$ because $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}' = \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$. According to [28, Theorem 4.1.1] there is a unique irreducible representation

$$\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}' = H_{e_1}^+ \cap H_{e_2}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^+ \cap H_{\ell_1}^+ \cap H_{\ell_2}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{\ell_r}^+$$

such that each ℓ_k is in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} , the non-zero entries of each ℓ_k are relatively prime, and none of the closed halfspaces $H_{e_1}^+, \dots, H_{e_{n+1}}^+, H_{\ell_1}^+, \dots, H_{\ell_r}^+$ can be omitted from the intersection. Here H_a^+ denotes the closed halfspace

$$H_a^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \langle x, a \rangle \geq 0\},$$

H_a stands for the hyperplane through the origin with normal vector a , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the standard inner product. Irreducible representations of Rees cones were first introduced and studied in [7]. There are some interesting links between these representations, edge ideals [9], perfect graphs [26], and bases monomial ideals of matroids or polymatroids [27].

The Rees cone of I and the set covering polyhedron of \mathcal{C} are closely related:

Theorem 3.2. [11, Corollary 3.13] *Let C_1, \dots, C_s be the minimal vertex covers of a clutter \mathcal{C} and let $u_k = \sum_{x_i \in C_k} e_i$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$. Then $Q(A)$ is integral if and only if the irreducible representation of the Rees cone is:*

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}' = H_{e_1}^+ \cap H_{e_2}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^+ \cap H_{\ell_1}^+ \cap H_{\ell_2}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{\ell_s}^+,$$

where $\ell_k = (u_k, -1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq s$.

Definition 3.3. The clutter \mathcal{C} satisfies the *max-flow min-cut* (MFMC) property if both sides of the LP-duality equation

$$(3.2) \quad \min\{\langle \alpha, x \rangle \mid x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}\} = \max\{\langle y, \mathbf{1} \rangle \mid y \geq 0; Ay \leq \alpha\}$$

have integral optimum solutions x and y for each non-negative integral vector α . The system $xA \geq \mathbf{1}; x \geq 0$ is called *totally dual integral* (TDI) if the maximum has an integral optimum solution y for each integral vector α with finite maximum.

A breakthrough in the area of monomial algebras is the translation of combinatorial problems (e.g., the Conforti-Cornuéjols conjecture [5], the max-flow min-cut property, or the idealness of a clutter) into algebraic problems of monomial algebras [9, 11]. A typical example is the following result that describes the max-flow min-cut property in algebraic and optimization terms.

Theorem 3.4. [7, 9, 11, 14, 19] *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The associated graded ring $\text{gr}_I(R) = R[It]/IR[It]$ is reduced.*
- (ii) *$R[It]$ is normal and $Q(A)$ is an integral polyhedron.*
- (iii) *$I^i = I^{(i)}$ for $i \geq 1$, where $I^{(i)}$ is the i th symbolic power of I .*
- (iv) *\mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property.*
- (v) *$x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}$ is a TDI system.*

For an integral matrix $B \neq (0)$, the greatest common divisor of all the nonzero $r \times r$ sub-determinants of B will be denoted by $\Delta_r(B)$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathcal{C} be a clutter and let B be the matrix with column vectors $(v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1)$. The following statements hold:*

- (i) [9, Proposition 4.4] *If \mathcal{C} is uniform, then \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property if and only if $Q(A)$ is integral and $K[Ft] = A(P)$.*
- (ii) [6, Theorem 3.9] *$\Delta_r(B) = 1$ if and only if $\overline{K[Ft]} = A(P)$, where r is the rank of B .*

We come to the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.6. *If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter with the max-flow min-cut property, then*

- (a) $\Delta_r(A) = 1$, where $r = \text{rank}(A)$.
- (b) $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$.

Proof. (a) Let \tilde{A} be the matrix with column vectors $(v_1, 0), \dots, (v_q, 0)$. We need only show that $\Delta_r(\tilde{A}) = 1$ because A and \tilde{A} have the same rank and $\Delta_r(A) = \Delta_r(\tilde{A})$. Let B be the matrix with column vectors $(v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1)$. Since the clutter \mathcal{C} is uniform, the last row vector of B , i.e., the vector $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, is a \mathbb{Q} -linear combination of the first n rows of B . Thus \tilde{A} and B have the same rank. By Proposition 3.5(i) we obtain $K[Ft] = A(P)$. In particular, taking integral closures, one has $\overline{K[Ft]} = A(P)$ because $A(P)$ is always a normal domain. Hence by Proposition 3.5(ii) we have $\Delta_r(B) = 1$. Recall that $\Delta_r(\tilde{A}) = 1$ if and only if \tilde{A} is equivalent over \mathbb{Z} to an identity matrix. In other words $\Delta_r(\tilde{A}) = 1$ if and only if all the invariant factors of \tilde{A}

are equal to 1. Thus it suffices to prove that B is equivalent to \tilde{A} over \mathbb{Z} . Notice that in general B and \tilde{A} are not equivalent over \mathbb{Z} (for instance if \mathcal{C} is a cycle of length three, then \tilde{A} and B have rank 3, $\Delta_3(\tilde{A}) = 2$ and $\Delta_3(B) = 1$). By Proposition 2.2, there are X_1, \dots, X_d mutually disjoint minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} such that $X = \cup_{i=1}^d X_i$ and

$$(3.3) \quad |\text{supp}(x^{v_i}) \cap X_k| = 1 \quad \forall i, k.$$

By permuting the variables we may assume that X_1 is equal to $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$. Hence the last row of B , which is the vector $\mathbf{1}$, is the sum of the first $|X_1|$ rows of B , i.e., the matrix B is equivalent to \tilde{A} over \mathbb{Z} .

(b) It suffices to prove the inclusion $\mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}$. Let a be an integral vector in $\mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}$. Then $a = \lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_q v_q$, $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i . Set $b = \sum_i \lambda_i$ and denote the *ceiling* of b by $\lceil b \rceil$. Recall that $\lceil b \rceil = b$ if $b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lceil b \rceil = \lfloor b \rfloor + 1$ if $b \notin \mathbb{N}$, where $\lfloor b \rfloor$ is the integer part of b . Then $|a| = b \lceil b \rceil$. We claim that $(a, \lceil b \rceil)$ belongs to $\mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}'$, where \mathcal{A}' is the set $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, (v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1)\}$. Let C_1, \dots, C_s be the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} and let u_i be the incidence vector of C_i for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Since $Q(A)$ is integral, by Theorem 3.2, we can write

$$(3.4) \quad \mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}' = H_{e_1}^+ \cap H_{e_2}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{e_{n+1}}^+ \cap H_{\ell_1}^+ \cap H_{\ell_2}^+ \cap \dots \cap H_{\ell_s}^+,$$

where $\ell_i = (u_i, -1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Notice that $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}'$, thus using Eq. (3.4) we get that $\langle a, u_i \rangle \geq b$ for all i . Hence $\langle a, u_i \rangle \geq \lceil b \rceil$ for all i because $\langle a, u_i \rangle$ is an integer for all i . Using Eq. (3.4) again we get that $(a, \lceil b \rceil) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}'$, as claimed. By Theorem 3.4 the Rees ring $R[It]$ is normal. Consequently applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain that $(a, \lceil b \rceil) \in \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}'$. There are non-negative integers η_1, \dots, η_q and ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n such that

$$(a, \lceil b \rceil) = \eta_1 (v_1, 1) + \dots + \eta_q (v_q, 1) + \rho_1 e_1 + \dots + \rho_n e_n.$$

Hence it is seen that $|a| = \lceil b \rceil d + \sum_i \rho_i = b \lceil b \rceil$. Consequently $\rho_i = 0$ for all i and $b = \lceil b \rceil$. It follows at once that $a \in \mathbb{N} \mathcal{A}$ as required. \square

The next example shows that the uniformity hypothesis is essential in the two statements of Theorem 3.6.

Example 3.7. Consider the clutter \mathcal{C} whose incidence matrix is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 be the columns of A . This clutter is not uniform, satisfies max-flow min-cut, A is not equivalent over \mathbb{Z} to an identity matrix, and $\{v_1, \dots, v_4\}$ is not a Hilbert basis for the cone it generates.

Corollary 3.8. *If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter with the max-flow min-cut property, then its incidence matrix diagonalizes over \mathbb{Z} to an identity matrix.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.6 one has $\Delta_r(A) = 1$, where r is the rank of A . Thus the invariant factors of A are all equal to 1 (see [15, Theorem 3.9]), i.e., the Smith normal form of A is an identity matrix. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a uniform clutter. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property.

(ii) $Q(A)$ is an integral polyhedron and $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$.

Proof. By Theorems 3.4 and 3.6 we obtain that (i) implies (ii). Next we prove that (ii) implies (i). By Proposition 3.5(i) it suffices to prove that $K[Pt] = A(P)$. Clearly $K[Pt] \subset A(P)$. To show the other inclusion take $x^{at^b} \in A(P)$, i.e., $a \in bP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then from the equality $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ it is seen that $a = \eta_1 v_1 + \dots + \eta_q v_q$ for some η_i 's in \mathbb{N} such that $\sum_i \eta_i = b$. Thus $x^{at^b} \in K[Pt]$, as required. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with n vertices. If \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property, then \mathcal{C} has a perfect matching if and only if $n = d\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. \Rightarrow) As $Q(A)$ is integral and \mathcal{C} has a perfect matching, from the proof of Proposition 2.6 we obtain the equality $n = d\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$.

\Leftarrow) We set $g = \alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$. Let u_1, \dots, u_s be the characteristic vectors of the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} and let B be the matrix with column vectors u_1, \dots, u_s . Then $\langle \mathbf{1}, u_i \rangle \geq g$ for all i because any minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} has at least g vertices. Thus the vector $\mathbf{1}/g$ belongs to the polyhedron $Q(B) = \{x | x \geq 0; xB \geq \mathbf{1}\}$. As $Q(A)$ is integral, by [5, Theorem 1.17] we get that $Q(B)$ is an integral polyhedron. Consequently

$$Q(B) = \mathbb{R}_+^n + \text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_q),$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ is the set of column vectors of the incidence matrix of \mathcal{C} . Therefore since the rational vector $\mathbf{1}/g$ is in $Q(B)$ we can write

$$\mathbf{1}/g = \delta + \mu_1 v_1 + \dots + \mu_q v_q \quad (\delta \in \mathbb{R}_+^n; \mu_i \geq 0; \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_q = 1).$$

Hence $n/g = |\delta| + (\sum_{i=1}^q \mu_i)d = |\delta| + d$. Since $n = dg$, we obtain that $\delta = 0$. Thus the vector $\mathbf{1}$ is in $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. By Theorem 3.6(b) this intersection is equal to $\mathbb{N}\mathcal{A}$. Then we can write $\mathbf{1} = \eta_1 v_1 + \dots + \eta_q v_q$, for some η_1, \dots, η_q in \mathbb{N} . Hence it is readily seen that \mathcal{C} has a perfect matching. \square

Packing problems of clutters occur in many contexts of combinatorial optimization [5, 19], especially where the question of whether a linear program and its dual have integral optimum solutions is fundamental.

Definition 3.11. A clutter \mathcal{C} satisfies the *packing property* if all its minors satisfy the König property, i.e., $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}') = \beta_1(\mathcal{C}')$ for any minor \mathcal{C}' of \mathcal{C} .

To study linear algebra properties and ring theoretical properties of uniform clutters with the packing property we need the following result. This interesting result of Lehman is essential in the proofs of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 because it allows to use the structure theorems presented in Section 2, it also allows to state some conjectures about the packing property.

Theorem 3.12. (A. Lehman [16]; see [5, Theorem 1.8]) *If a clutter \mathcal{C} has the packing property, then $Q(A)$ is integral.*

Proposition 3.13. [5] *If a clutter \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property, then \mathcal{C} has the packing property.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that \mathcal{C} has the König property because the max-flow min-cut property is closed under taking minors. Making $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$ in Eq. (3.2), we get that the LP-duality equation:

$$\min\{\langle \mathbf{1}, x \rangle | x \geq 0; xA \geq \mathbf{1}\} = \max\{\langle y, \mathbf{1} \rangle | y \geq 0; Ay \leq \mathbf{1}\}$$

has optimum integral solutions x, y . To complete the proof notice that the left hand side of this equality is $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C})$ and the right hand side is $\beta_1(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Conforti and Cornuéjols conjecture that the converse is also true:

Conjecture 3.14. ([4], [5, Conjecture 1.6]) If a clutter \mathcal{C} satisfies the packing property, then \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property.

To the best of our knowledge this conjecture is open. For uniform clutters, using Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 3.12, we obtain the following algebraic version of this conjecture:

Conjecture 3.15. If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter with the packing property, then the homogeneous ring $K[Pt]$ equals the Ehrhart ring $A(P)$.

Conjecture 3.14 together with Proposition 3.5 suggest the following:

Conjecture 3.16. [9] If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter with the packing property, then any of the following equivalent conditions hold:

- (a) $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}/((v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1))$ is a free group.
- (b) $\Delta_r(B) = 1$, where B is the matrix with column vectors $(v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1)$ and r is the rank of B .
- (c) B diagonalizes over \mathbb{Z} to an identity matrix.
- (d) $\overline{K[Pt]} = A(P)$, where $A(P)$ is the Ehrhart ring of $P = \text{conv}(v_1, \dots, v_q)$.

This conjecture will be proved in Section 4 for uniform clutters with a perfect matching and vertex covering number equal to 2 (see Theorem 4.1).

4. MINORS AND THE PACKING PROPERTY

Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with vertex set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, let x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q} be the minimal set of generators of the edge ideal $I = I(\mathcal{C})$, and let A be the incidence matrix of \mathcal{C} with column vectors v_1, \dots, v_q . We denote the transpose of A by A^t . All clutters considered in this section have vertex covering number equal to 2. We shall be interested in studying the relationships between the combinatorics of \mathcal{C} , the algebraic properties of the Ehrhart ring $A(P)$, the linear algebra of the incidence matrix A , and the algebra of the edge ideal $I(\mathcal{C})$.

We begin by showing that nice combinatorial properties of \mathcal{C} are reflected in nice linear algebra properties of A . The following is one of the main results of this section. It gives some support to Conjecture 3.16.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that \mathcal{C} has the packing property and $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. If A has rank r , then*

$$\Delta_r \begin{pmatrix} A \\ \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} = 1.$$

Proof. By the Lehman theorem the polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral (see Theorem 3.12). Thus by Proposition 2.8 there is a perfect matching f_1, f_2 of \mathcal{C} with $X = f_1 \cup f_2$ and there is a partition X_1, \dots, X_d of X such that X_i is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} for all i , $|X_i| = 2$ for all i , and

$$(4.1) \quad |\text{supp}(x^{v_i}) \cap X_k| = 1 \quad \forall i, k.$$

Thus we may assume that $X_i = \{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Notice that $n = 2d$ and $\text{rank}(A) \geq 2$ because $X = f_1 \cup f_2$.

We proceed by induction on $r = \text{rank}(A)$. Since the sum of the first two rows of A is equal to $\mathbf{1}$, it suffices to prove that 1 is the only invariant factor of A^t or equivalently that the Smith normal form of A^t is the identity.

First we show the case $r = 2$ which is the base case for the induction process. We may assume that v_1 and v_2 are the characteristic vectors of f_1 and f_2 respectively. The matrix A_0 with rows v_1, v_2 is equivalent over \mathbb{Z} to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and this is the Smith normal form of A_0 . Thus the quotient group $M = \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2\}$ is torsion-free, where $\mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2\}$ is the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n generated by $\{v_1, v_2\}$. We claim that $\mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2\}$ is equal to $\mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q\}$. Since the rank of A is 2, for each $i \geq 3$ there is an integer $0 \neq \eta_i$ such that $\eta_i v_i \in \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2\}$. Hence the image \bar{v}_i of v_i in M is a torsion element, so \bar{v}_i must be zero, that is, $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2\}$. This completes the proof of the claim. Therefore

$$\Delta_2(A^t) = |T(\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, \dots, v_q\})| = |T(\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, v_2\})| = 1,$$

where $T(\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, \dots, v_q\})$ is the torsion subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$, the first equality follows from [15, Theorem 3.9] and [15, pp. 187-188]. Therefore $\Delta_2(A^t) = 1$ and the Smith normal form of A^t is the identity, as required.

We continue with the induction process by assuming $r \geq 3$. Let w_1, \dots, w_{2d} be the columns of A^t and let V_i be the linear space generated by w_1, \dots, w_{2i} . Notice that, because of Eq. (4.1), for each odd integer k the sum of rows k and $k + 1$ of the matrix A is equal to $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, i.e., $w_k + w_{k+1} = \mathbf{1}$ for k odd. Thus if k is odd and we remove columns w_k and w_{k+1} from A^t we obtain a submatrix whose rank is greater than or equal to $r - 1$. Thus after permuting columns we may assume

$$(4.2) \quad \dim(V_i) = \begin{cases} i + 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq r - 1, \\ r & \text{if } r \leq i. \end{cases}$$

Let J be the square-free monomial ideal defined by the rows of the matrix $[w_1, \dots, w_{2(r-1)}]$, where $w_1, \dots, w_{2(r-1)}$ are column vectors, and let \mathcal{D} be the clutter associated to the edge ideal J . If $v_i = (v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,n})$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$, then J is generated by the monomials:

$$x_1^{v_{1,1}} x_2^{v_{1,2}} \cdots x_{2(r-1)}^{v_{1,2(r-1)}}, \dots, x_1^{v_{i,1}} x_2^{v_{i,2}} \cdots x_{2(r-1)}^{v_{i,2(r-1)}}, \dots, x_1^{v_{q,1}} x_2^{v_{q,2}} \cdots x_{2(r-1)}^{v_{q,2(r-1)}}.$$

Thus J is a minor of I because J is obtained from I by making $x_i = 1$ for $i > 2(r - 1)$. Then a minimal set of generators of J consists of monomials of degree $r - 1$, $\alpha_0(\mathcal{D}) = 2$, and \mathcal{D} has a perfect matching. Furthermore \mathcal{D} satisfies the packing property because J is a minor of I . If $[w_1, \dots, w_{2(r-1)}]$ diagonalizes (over the integers) to the identity matrix, so does A^t , this follows using arguments similar to those used for the case $\text{rank}(A) = 2$. Therefore we may harmlessly assume $d = r - 1$, $I = J$, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}$. This means that the matrix A has rank $d + 1$, the maximum possible.

Let B be the matrix $[w_1, \dots, w_{2(d-1)}]$ and let I' be the monomial ideal defined by the rows of B , that is I' is obtained from I making $x_{2d-1} = x_{2d} = 1$. The matrix B has rank $r - 1$. Hence by induction hypothesis B diagonalizes to a matrix $[I_{r-1}, \mathbf{0}]$, where I_{r-1} is the identity matrix of order $r - 1$. Recall that f_1, f_2 is a perfect matching of \mathcal{C} . Then by permuting rows and columns

we may assume that the matrix A^t is written as:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 10 & 10 & 10 & \cdots & 10 & 10 & \leftarrow \\
 01 & 01 & 01 & \cdots & 01 & 01 & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 & \leftarrow \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 & \leftarrow \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & \\
 \underbrace{\hspace{10em}} & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & B
 \end{array}$$

where either a pair 10 or 01 must occur in the places marked with a circle and such that the number of 1's in the last column is greater than or equal to the number of 1's in any other column. Consider the matrix C obtained from A^t by removing the rows whose penultimate entry is equal to 1 (these are marked above with an arrow) and removing the last column. Let K be the monomial ideal defined by the rows of C , that is K is obtained from I by making $x_{2d-1} = 0$ and $x_{2d} = 1$. By the choice of the last column and because of Eq. (4.2) it is seen that K has height two. Since K is a minor of I it has the König property. Consequently the matrix A^t has one of the following two forms:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 10 & 10 & 10 & \cdots & 10 & 10 & & & & & & & \\
 01 & 01 & 01 & \cdots & 01 & 01 & & & & & & & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 & & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 & & & & & & & \\
 10 & 10 & 10 & \cdots & 10 & 01 & & & & & & & \text{row } v_j \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & & & & & & & \text{row } v_{j+1} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & & & & & & & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & & & & & & & \\
 \underbrace{\hspace{10em}} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & & & & & & & B
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{cccccc}
 10 & 10 & 10 & \cdots & 10 & 10 & \text{row } v_1 \\
 01 & 01 & 01 & \cdots & 01 & 01 & \text{row } v_2 \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 & \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & \text{row } v_j \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & \text{row } v_{j+1} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 & \\
 \underbrace{\hspace{10em}} & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & B
 \end{array}$$

where in the second case one has $v_j + v_{j+1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 2)$. In the second case, using row operations, we may replace v_2 by $v_j + v_{j+1} - v_2$. In the first case by permuting rows v_2 and v_j we may replace v_2 by $(1, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, 1)$. Thus in both cases, using row operations, we get that A^t can be brought to the form:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 10 & 10 & 10 & \cdots & 10 & 10 \\
 10 & 10 & 10 & \cdots & 10 & 01 \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 10 \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 \\
 \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots \\
 \circ & \circ & \circ & \cdots & \circ & 01 \\
 \underbrace{\hspace{10em}} & & & & & \\
 & & & & & B_1
 \end{array}$$

where B_1 has rank $r - 1$ and diagonalizes to an identity. Therefore it is readily seen that this matrix is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{r-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_1 & b_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_s & b_s \end{bmatrix}$$

for some integers $a_1, b_1, \dots, a_s, b_s$. Next for $1 \leq i \leq s$ we multiply the second row by $-a_i$ and add it to row $i + 2$. Then we add the last two columns. Using these row and column operations this matrix can be brought to the form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_{r-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & c_s \end{bmatrix}$$

for some integers c_1, \dots, c_s . To finish the proof observe that $c_i = 0$ for all i because this matrix has rank r . Hence this matrix reduces to $[I_r, \mathbf{0}]$, i.e., A^t is equivalent to the identity matrix $[I_r, \mathbf{0}]$, as required. \square

Next we show that clutters with nice algebraic and combinatorial properties have nice combinatorial optimization properties.

Corollary 4.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that \mathcal{C} has the packing property and $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. If $K[Ft]$ is normal, then \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property.*

Proof. Let B be the matrix with column vectors $(v_1, 1), \dots, (v_q, 1)$. By Theorem 4.1 we have $\Delta_r(B) = 1$, where $r = \text{rank}(A)$. Notice that $r = \text{rank}(B)$ because \mathcal{C} is uniform. According to Proposition 3.5(ii) the condition $\Delta_r(B) = 1$ is equivalent to the equality $\overline{K[Ft]} = A(P)$. Thus by the normality of $K[Ft]$ we get

$$K[Ft] = \overline{K[Ft]} = A(P).$$

By the Lehman theorem the polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral (see Theorem 3.12). Hence by Proposition 3.5(i) we get that \mathcal{C} satisfies the max-flow min-cut property. \square

The next result gives some support to Conjecture 3.14.

Corollary 4.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a perfect matching such that \mathcal{C} has the packing property and $\alpha_0(\mathcal{C}) = 2$. If v_1, \dots, v_q are linearly independent, then \mathcal{C} has the max-flow min-cut property.*

Proof. It follows at once from Corollary 4.2 because $K[Ft]$ is normal. \square

A d -uniform clutter \mathcal{C} is called *2-partitionable* if its vertex set X has a partition X_1, \dots, X_d such that X_i is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} and $|X_i| = 2$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. For instance the clutters of Theorem 4.1 are 2-partitionable. Another instance is the clutter of minimal vertex covers of an unmixed bipartite graph (see Corollary 4.6). A clutter is called *unmixed* if all its minimal vertex covers have the same size. A specific illustration of a famous 2-partitionable clutter is given in Example 4.5. Our next result is about this family of clutters.

Recall that an edge ideal $I \subset R$ is called *normal* if $\overline{I^i} = I^i$ for $i \geq 1$, where

$$\overline{I^i} = (\{x^a \in R \mid \exists p \geq 1; (x^a)^p \in I^{pi}\}) \subset R$$

is the integral closure of I^i . Also recall that I is called *minimally non-normal* if I is not normal and all its proper minors are normal. An edge ideal I is normal if and only if its Rees algebra $R[It]$ is normal (see [24, Theorem 3.3.18]).

The other main result of this section is:

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with a partition X_1, \dots, X_d of X such that $X_i = \{x_{2i-1}, x_{2i}\}$ is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} for all i . Then*

- (a) $\text{rank}(A) \leq d + 1$.
- (b) *If C is a minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} , then $2 \leq |C| \leq d$.*
- (c) *If \mathcal{C} satisfies the König property and there is a minimal vertex cover C of \mathcal{C} with $|C| = d \geq 3$, then $\text{rank}(A) = d + 1$.*
- (d) *If $I = I(\mathcal{C})$ is minimally non-normal and \mathcal{C} satisfies the packing property, then $\text{rank}(A) = d + 1$.*

Proof. (a) For each odd integer k the sum of rows k and $k + 1$ of the matrix A is equal to $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$. Thus the rank of A is bounded by $d + 1$.

(b) By the pigeon hole principle, any minimal vertex cover C of the clutter \mathcal{C} satisfies $2 \leq |C| \leq d$.

(c) First notice that C contains exactly one element of each X_j because $X_j \not\subset C$ and $|C| = d$. Thus we may assume

$$C = \{x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2d-1}\}.$$

Consider the monomial $x^\alpha = x_2x_4 \cdots x_{2d}$ and notice that $x_kx^\alpha \in I$ for each $x_k \in C$ because the monomial x_kx^α is clearly in every minimal prime of I . Writing $x_k = x_{2i-1}$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$ we conclude that the monomial

$$(4.3) \quad x^{\alpha_i} = \frac{x_{2i-1}x^\alpha}{x_{2i}} = \frac{x_{2i-1}(x_2x_4 \cdots x_{2d})}{x_{2i}}$$

is a minimal generator of I . Thus we may assume $x^{\alpha_i} = x^{v_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. The vector $\mathbf{1}$ belongs to the linear space generated by v_1, \dots, v_d because \mathcal{C} has the König property, where q is the number of edges of \mathcal{C} . By part (a) the rank of A is at most $d + 1$. Thus it suffices to prove that $v_1, \dots, v_d, \mathbf{1}$ are linearly independent. Assume that

$$(4.4) \quad \lambda_1v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_dv_d + \lambda_{d+1}\mathbf{1} = 0$$

for some scalars $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{d+1}$. From Eq. (4.3) we have

$$(4.5) \quad v_i = e_{2i-1} - e_{2i} + \sum_{j=1}^d e_{2j}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Hence using Eq. (4.4) we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i e_{2i-1} + \lambda_{d+1} \sum_{i=1}^d e_{2i-1} = \sum_{i=1}^d (\lambda_i + \lambda_{d+1}) e_{2i-1} = 0.$$

Hence $\lambda_{d+1} = -\lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Using Eq. (4.4) once more we get

$$\lambda_{d+1}(v_1 + \cdots + v_d - \mathbf{1}) = 0.$$

As $v_1 + \dots + v_d - 1$ cannot be zero by Eq. (4.5), we obtain that $\lambda_{d+1} = 0$. Consequently $\lambda_i = 0$ for all i , as required.

(d) Let $x^{\alpha t^b}$ be a minimal generator of $\overline{R[It]}$ not in $R[It]$ and let $m = x^\alpha$. Then $m \in \overline{I^b} \setminus I^b$. Using [9, Proposition 4.3], one has $\deg(m) = bd$. Consider the R -module $N = \overline{I^b}/I^b$. Notice that the image of m in N is nonzero. The set of associated primes of N , denoted by $\text{Ass}(N)$, is the set of prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of R such that N contains a submodule isomorphic to R/\mathfrak{p} . By the hypothesis that I is minimally non-normal, we have that $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is the only associated prime of N . Hence, using [17, Theorem 9, p. 50], we have the following expression for the radical of the annihilator of N

$$\text{rad}(\text{ann}(N)) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(N)} \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Consequently $\mathfrak{m}^r \subset \text{ann}(N) = \{x \in R \mid xN = 0\}$ for some $r > 0$. Thus for i odd we can write

$$x_i^r x^\alpha = (x^{v_1})^{a_1} \dots (x^{v_q})^{a_q} x^\delta,$$

where $a_1 + \dots + a_q = b$ and $\deg(x^\delta) = r$. If we write $x^\delta = x_i^{s_1} x_{i+1}^{s_2} x^\gamma$ with x_i, x_{i+1} not in the support of x^γ , making $x_j = 1$ for $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$, it is not hard to see that $r = s_1 + s_2$ and $\gamma = 0$. Thus we get an equation:

$$x_i^{s_2} x^\alpha = (x^{v_1})^{a_1} \dots (x^{v_q})^{a_q} x_{i+1}^{s_2}$$

with $s_2 > 0$. Using a similar argument we obtain an equation:

$$x_{i+1}^{w_1} x^\alpha = (x^{v_1})^{b_1} \dots (x^{v_q})^{b_q} x_i^{w_1}$$

with $w_1 > 0$. Therefore

$$x_{i+1}^{s_2+w_1} (x^{v_1})^{a_1} \dots (x^{v_q})^{a_q} = x_i^{s_2+w_1} (x^{v_1})^{b_1} \dots (x^{v_q})^{b_q}.$$

Consider the group $\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$. Since this group is torsion-free, we get $e_i - e_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$ for i odd. Finally to conclude that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}) = d + 1$ notice that $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$. \square

Example 4.5. [5, p. 12] Let \mathcal{C} be the uniform clutter whose edges are

$$\{x_1, x_4, x_5\}, \{x_1, x_3, x_6\}, \{x_2, x_4, x_6\}, \{x_2, x_3, x_5\}$$

and let A be its incidence matrix. Using NORMALIZ [3], it is not hard to see that the minimal vertex covers of \mathcal{C} are

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= \{x_1, x_2\}, & X_2 &= \{x_3, x_4\}, & X_3 &= \{x_5, x_6\}, \\ C_4 &= \{x_1, x_4, x_5\}, & C_5 &= \{x_1, x_3, x_6\}, & C_6 &= \{x_2, x_4, x_6\}, & C_7 &= \{x_2, x_3, x_5\}. \end{aligned}$$

This clutter satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 4.4 with $d = 3$ and has minimal vertex covers of sizes 2 and 3. Moreover the rank of A is 4, \mathcal{C} does not satisfy the König property and $Q(A)$ is integral.

For use below recall that a graph G is *Cohen-Macaulay* if $R/I(G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Any Cohen-Macaulay graph is unmixed [24, p. 169].

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be the incidence matrix of the clutter \mathcal{C} of minimal vertex covers of an unmixed bipartite graph G . Then (i) \mathcal{C} is 2-partitionable. (ii) $\text{rank}(A) \leq \alpha_0(G) + 1$. (iii) $\text{rank}(A) = \alpha_0(G) + 1$ if G is Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. We set $d = \alpha_0(G)$. Clearly \mathcal{C} is a d -uniform clutter because all minimal vertex covers of G have size d . By [19, Theorem 78.1] the polyhedron $Q(A)$ is integral because G is a bipartite graph and bipartite graphs have integral set covering polyhedron [9, Proposition 4.27]. Any minimal vertex cover of \mathcal{C} is of the form $\{x_i, x_j\}$ for some edge $\{x_i, x_j\}$ of G . Therefore by

Proposition 2.2 the clutter \mathcal{C} is 2-partitionable. Thus we have shown (i). Then using part (a) of Theorem 4.4 we obtain (ii). To prove (iii) it suffices to prove that there are minimal vertex covers C_1, \dots, C_{d+1} of G whose characteristic vectors are linearly independent. This follows by induction on the number of vertices and using the fact that any Cohen-Macaulay bipartite graph has at least one vertex of degree 1 [24, Theorem 6.4.4]. \square

5. TRIANGULATIONS AND THE MAX-FLOW MIN-CUT PROPERTY

Let $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial ring over a field K and let \mathcal{C} be a d -uniform clutter with vertex set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and edge ideal $I = I(\mathcal{C})$. In what follows $F = \{x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q}\}$ will denote the minimal set of generators of I and \mathcal{A} will denote the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$. The incidence matrix of \mathcal{C} , i.e., the $n \times q$ matrix with column vectors v_1, \dots, v_q will be denoted by A . One can think of the columns of A as points in \mathbb{R}^n . The set \mathcal{A} is called a *point configuration*.

Consider a homomorphism of K -algebras:

$$S = K[t_1, \dots, t_q] \xrightarrow{\varphi} K[F] \quad (t_i \xrightarrow{\varphi} x^{v_i}),$$

where S is a polynomial ring. The kernel of φ , denoted by P , is called the *toric ideal* of $K[F]$. For the rest of this section we assume that \prec is a fixed term order for the set of monomials of S . We denote the initial ideal of P by $\text{in}_{\prec}(P)$. If the choice of a term order is clear, we may write $\text{in}(P)$ instead of $\text{in}_{\prec}(P)$. There is a one to one correspondence between simplicial complexes with vertex set X and squarefree monomial ideals of R via the Stanley-Reisner theory [21]:

$$\Delta \longmapsto I_{\Delta} = (x^a \mid x^a \text{ is squarefree and } \text{supp}(x^a) \notin \Delta).$$

Notice that $\text{rad}(\text{in}(P))$, the radical of $\text{in}(P)$, is a squarefree monomial ideal. Let Δ be the simplicial complex whose Stanley-Reisner ideal is $\text{rad}(\text{in}(P))$.

Let $\omega = (\omega_i) \in \mathbb{N}^q$ be an integral weight vector. If

$$f = f(t_1, \dots, t_q) = \lambda_1 t^{a_1} + \dots + \lambda_s t^{a_s}$$

is a polynomial with $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$ in K , we define $\text{in}_{\omega}(f)$, the *initial form* of f relative to ω , as the sum of all terms $\lambda_i t^{a_i}$ such that $\langle \omega, a_i \rangle$ is maximal. The ideal generated by all initial forms is denoted by $\text{in}_{\omega}(P)$.

Proposition 5.1. [22, Proposition 1.11] *For every term order \prec , $\text{in}_{\prec}(P) = \text{in}_{\omega}(P)$ for some non-negative integer weight vector $\omega \in \mathbb{N}^q$.*

Theorem 5.2. [22, Theorem 8.3] *If $\text{in}(P) = \text{in}_{\omega}(P)$, then*

$$\Delta = \{\sigma \mid \exists c \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ such that } \langle v_i, c \rangle = \omega_i \text{ if } t_i \in \sigma \text{ and } \langle v_i, c \rangle < \omega_i \text{ if } t_i \notin \sigma\}.$$

Let $\omega = (\omega_i) \in \mathbb{N}^q$ be a vector that represents the initial ideal $\text{in}(P) = \text{in}_{\omega}(P)$ with respect to a term order \prec , that is, $\text{in}(P) = \text{in}_{\omega}(P)$. Consider the primary decomposition of $\text{rad}(\text{in}(P))$ as an intersection of face ideals:

$$\text{rad}(\text{in}(P)) = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_r,$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ are ideals of $K[t_1, \dots, t_q]$ generated by subsets of $\{t_1, \dots, t_q\}$. Recall that the facets of Δ are given by

$$F_i = \{t_j \mid t_j \notin \mathfrak{p}_i\},$$

or equivalently by $\mathcal{A}_i = \{v_j \mid t_j \notin \mathfrak{p}_i\}$ if one identifies t_i with v_i . According to Theorem 5.2, the family of facets (resp. cones or polytopes) of Δ :

$$\{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\} \quad (\text{resp. } \{\mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{R}_+ \mathcal{A}_r\} \text{ or } \{\text{conv}(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}_r)\}),$$

form a regular triangulation of \mathcal{A} (resp. $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$ or $\text{conv}(\mathcal{A})$). This means that

$$\text{conv}(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \text{conv}(\mathcal{A}_r)$$

are obtained by projection onto the first n coordinates of the lower facets of

$$Q' = \text{conv}((v_1, \omega_1), \dots, (v_q, \omega_q)).$$

The regular triangulation $\{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\}$ is called *unimodular* if $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_i = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$ for all i , where $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$ denotes the subgroup of \mathbb{Z}^n spanned by \mathcal{A} . A major result of Sturmfels [22, Corollary 8.9] shows that this triangulation is unimodular if and only if $\text{in}(P)$ is square-free.

We are interested in the following:

Conjecture 5.3. If \mathcal{C} is a uniform clutter that satisfies the max-flow min-cut property, then the rational polyhedral cone $\mathbb{R}_+\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ has a unimodular regular triangulation.

Example 5.4. Let u_1, \dots, u_r be the characteristic vectors of the collection of bases \mathcal{B} of a transversal matroid \mathcal{M} . By [1, Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 4.2], the toric ideal of the subring $K[x^{u_1}, \dots, x^{u_r}]$ has a square-free quadratic Gröbner basis. Therefore the cone $\mathbb{R}_+\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ or the polytope $\text{conv}(u_1, \dots, u_r)$ has a unimodular regular triangulation. This gives support to Conjecture 5.3 because the clutter \mathcal{B} has the max-flow min-cut property [9].

Definition 5.5. A matrix A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ is called *balanced* if A has no square submatrix of odd order with exactly two 1's in each row and column.

Recall that an integral matrix A is *t-unimodular* if all the nonzero $r \times r$ sub-determinants of A have absolute value equal to t , where r is the rank of A . If $t = 1$ the matrix is called *unimodular*. If A is *t-unimodular*, then any regular triangulation of $\mathbb{R}_+\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ is unimodular [22], see [25, Proposition 5.20] for a very short proof of this fact.

The next result gives an interesting class of uniform clutters, coming from combinatorial optimization, that satisfy Conjecture 5.3. This result is surprising because not all balanced matrices are *t-unimodular*.

Theorem 5.6. *Let A be a balanced matrix with distinct column vectors v_1, \dots, v_q . If $|v_i| = d$ for all i , then any regular triangulation of the cone $\mathbb{R}_+\{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ is unimodular.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$ and let $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_m$ be the elements of a regular triangulation of $\mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$. Then $\dim \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}_i = \dim \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$ and \mathcal{A}_i is linearly independent for all i . Consider the clutter \mathcal{C} whose edge ideal is $I = (x^{v_1}, \dots, x^{v_q})$ and the subclutter \mathcal{C}_i of \mathcal{C} whose edges correspond to the vectors in \mathcal{A}_i . Let A_i be the incidence matrix of \mathcal{C}_i . Since A_i is a balanced matrix, using [19, Corollary 83.1a(iv), p. 1441], we get that the subclutter \mathcal{C}_i has the max-flow min-cut property. Hence by Theorem 3.6 one has $\Delta_r(A_i) = 1$, where r is the rank of A_i . Thus the invariant factors of A_i are all equal to 1 (see [15, Theorem 3.9]). Therefore by the fundamental structure theorem of finitely generated abelian groups (see [15, p. 187]) the group $\mathbb{Z}^n/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_i$ is torsion-free for all i . Notice that $\dim \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A} = \text{rank } \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}$ and $\dim \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}_i = \text{rank } \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_i$ for all i . Since r is equal to $\dim \mathbb{R}_+\mathcal{A}$, it follows rapidly that the quotient group $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}/\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_i$ is torsion-free and has rank 0 for all i . Consequently $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{A}_i$ for all i , i.e., the triangulation is unimodular. \square

If we do not require that $|v_i| = d$ for all i , this result is false even if $K[F]$ is homogeneous, i.e., even if there is $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\langle v_i, x_0 \rangle = 1$ for all i :

Example 5.7. Consider the following matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Let v_1, \dots, v_{13} be the columns of A . It is not hard to see that the matrix A is balanced. Using *Macaulay2* [12] it is seen that the regular triangulation Δ of $\mathbb{R}_+\{v_1, \dots, v_{13}\}$ determined by using the GRevLex order, on the polynomial ring $K[t_1, \dots, t_{13}]$, has a simplex, namely $\{v_1, \dots, v_6, v_{10}, \dots, v_{13}\}$, which is not unimodular.

Acknowledgments. We thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and for the improvements that they suggested.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Blum, Base-sortable matroids and Koszulness of semigroup rings, *European J. Combin.* **22(7)** (2001), 937–951.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Revised Edition, 1997.
- [3] W. Bruns and B. Ichim, NORMALIZ 2.0, Computing normalizations of affine semigroups 2008. Available from <http://www.math.uos.de/normaliz>.
- [4] M. Conforti and G. Cornuéjols, Clutters that pack and the Max-Flow Min-Cut property: A conjecture, *The Fourth Bellairs Workshop on Combinatorial Optimization* (W. R. Pulleyblank, F. B. Shepherd, eds.).
- [5] G. Cornuéjols, *Combinatorial optimization: Packing and covering*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics **74**, SIAM (2001).
- [6] C. Escobar, J. Martínez-Bernal and R. H. Villarreal, Relative volumes and minors in monomial subrings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **374** (2003), 275–290.
- [7] C. Escobar, R. H. Villarreal and Y. Yoshino, Torsion freeness and normality of blowup rings of monomial ideals, *Commutative Algebra*, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **244**, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, pp. 69–84.
- [8] S. Faridi, Cohen-Macaulay properties of square-free monomial ideals, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **109(2)** (2005), 299–329.
- [9] I. Gitler, E. Reyes and R. H. Villarreal, Blowup algebras of square-free monomial ideals and some links to combinatorial optimization problems, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **39** (2009), no. 1, 71–102.
- [10] I. Gitler and C. Valencia, Bounds for invariants of edge-rings, *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), 1603–1616.
- [11] I. Gitler, C. Valencia and R. H. Villarreal, A note on Rees algebras and the MFMC property, *Beiträge Algebra Geom.* **48** (2007), No. 1, 141–150.
- [12] D. Grayson and M. Stillman, *Macaulay2*, 1996. Available via anonymous ftp from math.uiuc.edu.
- [13] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1972.
- [14] C. Huneke, A. Simis and W. V. Vasconcelos, Reduced normal cones are domains, *Contemp. Math.* **88** (1989), 95–101.
- [15] N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I*, Second Edition, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1996.
- [16] A. Lehman, On the width-length inequality and degenerate projective planes, in *Polyhedral Combinatorics* (W. Cook and P. Seymour Eds.) DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science **1**, Amer. Math. Soc., 1990, pp. 101–105.
- [17] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, Benjamin-Cummings, Reading, MA, 1980.
- [18] J. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1992.

- [19] A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial Optimization*, Algorithms and Combinatorics **24**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [20] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, On the ideal theory of graphs, *J. Algebra*, **167** (1994), 389–416.
- [21] R. Stanley, *Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra*, Birkhäuser Boston, 2nd ed., 1996.
- [22] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Series **8**, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1996.
- [23] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Integral Closure*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [24] R. H. Villarreal, *Monomial Algebras*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics **238**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 2001.
- [25] R. H. Villarreal, Monomial Algebras and Polyhedral Geometry, in *Handbook of Algebra, Vol. 3* (M. Hazewinkel Ed.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003, pp. 257–314.
- [26] R. H. Villarreal, Rees algebras and polyhedral cones of ideals of vertex covers of perfect graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **27(3)** (2008), 293–305.
- [27] R. H. Villarreal, Rees cones and monomial rings of matroids, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **428** (2008), 2933–2940.
- [28] R. Webster, *Convexity*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL IPN,
APARTADO POSTAL 14–740, 07000 MEXICO CITY, D.F.

E-mail address: `ldupont@math.cinvestav.mx`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN Y DE ESTUDIOS AVANZADOS DEL IPN,
APARTADO POSTAL 14–740, 07000 MEXICO CITY, D.F.

E-mail address: `vila@math.cinvestav.mx`

URL: <http://www.math.cinvestav.mx/~vila/>