

## ON CLASSES DEFINING A HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION

FRANCESCA MANTESE AND ALBERTO TONOLO

ABSTRACT. A class  $\mathcal{F}$  of objects of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to define a *homological dimension* if for any object in  $\mathcal{A}$  the length of any  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution is uniquely determined. In the present paper we investigate classes satisfying this property.

## INTRODUCTION

In general the class of the objects of a given abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  is too complex to admit any satisfactory classification. Starting from a known subclass  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , one may try to approximate arbitrary objects by the objects in  $\mathcal{F}$ . This approach has successfully been followed over the past few decades for categories of modules through the theory of precovers and preenvelopes, or left and right approximations (see [6] or [8] for a detailed list of references).

Another point of view could be to measure the “distance” of any object in  $\mathcal{A}$  from the class  $\mathcal{F}$ , introducing a notion of *dimension* with respect to the class  $\mathcal{F}$ , computed by means of  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolutions. In this framework, the notions of projective dimension, weak dimension, Gorenstein dimension of modules have been deeply studied.

Our aim is to define a good concept of dimension with respect to a wide family of classes of objects. We say that a class  $\mathcal{F}$  of objects of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  defines a *homological dimension* if for any object in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the length of any  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution is uniquely determined (see Definition 1.6). In such a way to each object in  $\mathcal{A}$  one can associate an  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant number which represents locally the relevance of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In the first section we study several properties of classes defining a homological dimension; in particular we discuss their closure properties and the connection with precover classes and cotorsion pairs. In the second section, using tools from derived categories, we generalize the Auslander notion of Gorenstein dimension to arbitrary abelian categories. We consider a homological dimension associated to an adjoint pair  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  of contravariant functors, obtaining again the classical Gorenstein dimension on  $R$ -modules in case  $\Phi = \Psi = \text{Hom}(-, R)$  for a commutative noetherian ring  $R$ .

## 1. HOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION

**Definition 1.1** (conf. [2]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of objects in an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say that an object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  has *left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension*  $\leq \alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , if there

---

*Key words and phrases.* homological dimension, abelian categories, cotorsion pairs  
AMS classification 18G20, 16E10.

Research of the second author supported by grant CDPA048343 of Padova University.

exists a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow F_{i-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $F_i \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $F_i = 0$  for  $i > \alpha$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ , the class of objects  $M$  of left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension  $\leq \alpha$  (shortly  $\mathcal{F}\dim M \leq \alpha$ ), and by  $\mathcal{F}_{<\infty}$  the class of objects of finite left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension.

In general there exist objects which have not a left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension: in particular all objects which are not quotients of objects in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  the class of all objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  which are homomorphic image of objects in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Remark 1.2.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives and  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under direct summands, then  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  contains all projective objects.

In particular, if  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{F}l$  the classes of projective and flat modules respectively, then  $\overline{\mathcal{P}} = R\text{-Mod}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}l} = R\text{-Mod}$ , and left  $\mathcal{P}$ - and left  $\mathcal{F}l$ - dimensions are the usual projective and flat (or weak) dimensions of a module.

**Definition 1.3.** We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  has *global left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension  $\leq \alpha$*  (resp.  $< \infty$ ),  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , if for each object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  we have  $\mathcal{F}\dim M \leq \alpha$  (resp.  $< \infty$ ).

Clearly  $\mathcal{A}$  has global left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension  $\leq \infty$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .

In any abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  it is possible (see [13, Ch. VII]) to define, for any pair of object  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , the family  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(A, B)$  of equivalence classes of exact sequences of length  $i$  with left end  $B$  and right end  $A$ , with respect to the Yoneda equivalence relation. The family  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(A, B)$  in general is not a set (see [7, Ch. VI]); nevertheless it can be equipped with an additive structure and become a *big abelian group*. The big abelian groups are defined in the same way as ordinary abelian groups, except than the underlying class need not be a set. Quoting [13], “[...] we are prevented from talking about the category of big abelian groups because the class of morphisms between a given pair of big groups need not be a set. Nevertheless this will not keep us from talking about kernels, cokernels, images, exact sequences, etc., for big abelian groups.” If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injectives or projectives, then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(A, B)$  is an abelian group for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Given a class of objects  $\mathcal{G}$ , we denote by

$$\mathcal{G}^{\perp m} = \{M \in \mathcal{A} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(G, M) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq m, G \in \mathcal{G}\};$$

the intersection  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{G}^{\perp m}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{G}^{\perp \infty}$ . Dually, we denote by

$${}^{\perp m} \mathcal{G} = \{M \in \mathcal{A} : \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(M, G) = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq m, G \in \mathcal{G}\};$$

the intersection  $\bigcap_{m \geq 1} {}^{\perp m} \mathcal{G}$  will be denoted by  ${}^{\perp \infty} \mathcal{G}$ .

**Definition 1.4** ([13, Ch. VI.6]). Let  $A$  be an object of an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ . The *cohomological dimension*  $\text{ch.dim } A$  of  $A$  is the least integer  $n$  such that the one variable functor  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(-, A)$  is not zero.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough injective objects (e.g., if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Grothendieck category) the cohomological dimension of an object coincides with its injective dimension.

**Proposition 1.5.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives.*

- (1) *If  $\text{gl}\mathcal{F}\dim \mathcal{A} \leq n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{ch.dim } Y \leq n$  for each  $Y \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp_{n+1}}$ .*
- (2) *If  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp m} \mathcal{G}$  for a class  $\mathcal{G}$  of modules of cohomological dimension less or equal than  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\text{gl}\mathcal{F}\dim \mathcal{A} \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an arbitrary object in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

1) Since  $\text{gl}\mathcal{F}\dim \mathcal{A} \leq n$ , there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the contravariant functor  $\text{Hom}(-, Y)$ , since  $Y \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp_{n+1}}$ , by dimension shift we get  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+1}(M, Y) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(F_n, Y) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+1}(M, Y) = 0$  for each object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the latter has enough projectives, then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+i}(M, Y) = 0$  for each  $i \geq 1$ , i.e.  $\text{ch.dim } Y \leq n$ .

2) Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $P_i$  projective for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Since  $P_i \in \mathcal{F}$  it is enough to prove that  $K_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . So let  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ; then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(K_n, G) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+i}(M, G) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i$ . Therefore  $K_n \in {}^{\perp_{\infty}}\mathcal{G} \subseteq {}^{\perp_m}\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

In order to introduce a good measure of the distance between an object of  $\mathcal{A}$  and a given class  $\mathcal{F}$ , the length of a  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution has to be uniquely determined.

**Definition 1.6.** We say that the left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension associated to a class  $\mathcal{F}$  is *homological* (or that the class  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a *homological dimension*) if

- (1) for any short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  with  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ , the object  $K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ ;
- (2) for any exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

with  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , and  $X \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , the object  $K_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Clearly if  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$  we have  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ , and the first condition is empty.

**Example 1.7.** If  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ , the classes  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{F}l$  define a homological dimension. The class of free modules defines a homological dimension if and only if it coincides with the class of projective modules (see Proposition 1.9), e.g. if  $R$  is local.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the category of coherent sheaves on a noetherian scheme  $X$ , the classes of the locally free sheaves  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}$  and of the invertible sheaves  $\mathcal{I}$  both define a homological dimension (see [9, Chp. 2 §5, Chp.3 §6]). If  $X$  is quasi-projective over  $\text{Spec}R$ , where  $R$  is a noetherian commutative ring, then  $\overline{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}$ .

Note that the notion of homological dimension can be easily dualized obtaining a notion of *homological codimension*; for instance, if  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ , the class  $\mathcal{I}$  of injective modules defines a homological codimension. Most of the results we obtain in this paper could be reformulated for this dual concept.

In the sequel we study closure properties of classes defining a homological dimension.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of modules and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence with  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, for any  $i \geq 1$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , if  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$  then  $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ .

**Lemma 1.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence with  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension and  $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ . In particular  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under kernels of epimorphisms.*

*Proof.* By the definition of homological dimension,  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{\infty}$ . Therefore consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_{i-1} \rightarrow F_{i-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$$

with  $F_j \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since

$$0 \rightarrow K_{i-1} \rightarrow F_{i-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution for  $C$  and  $\mathcal{F}\dim C \leq i$ , we get that  $K_{i-1} \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.9.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of objects defining a homological dimension. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under countable direct sums, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under direct summands.*

*Proof.* Let  $L \oplus M = F \in \mathcal{F}$ ; consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L \oplus (M \oplus L)^{(\omega)} \rightarrow (M \oplus L)^{(\omega)} \rightarrow 0;$$

since both  $(M \oplus L)^{(\omega)}$  and  $L \oplus (M \oplus L)^{(\omega)} \cong (L \oplus M)^{(\omega)}$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ , also  $L$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

In the next theorem we compare the  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension of objects in a short exact sequence.

**Theorem 1.10.** *Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension and it is closed under finite direct sums. Let  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence. Then for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  we have that*

- (1<sub>i</sub>) if  $B$  and  $C$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_i$  then  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ;
- (2<sub>i</sub>) if  $A$  and  $B$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_i$  then  $C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ .

If  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is closed under extensions, then

- (3<sub>i</sub>) if  $A$  and  $C$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ , then  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ ;
- (4<sub>i</sub>) if  $B \in \mathcal{F}_i$  and  $C \in \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ , then  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .

*Proof.* (1) - (2): If  $i = 0$ , 2<sub>0</sub> is clearly true by definition and 1<sub>0</sub> follows by  $\mathcal{F}\dim C = 0 \leq 1$  and the fact that  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension. Assume 1 <sub>$i-1$</sub>  and 2 <sub>$i-1$</sub>  true for  $i - 1 \geq 0$ . Let us consider the pullback diagram

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P_B & \longrightarrow & F_B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & K_B & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_B & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

with  $F_B$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

1 <sub>$i$</sub> : by Lemma 1.8 both  $K_B$  and  $P_B$  in diagram (\*) belong to  $\mathcal{F}_{i-1}$ , and so by induction  $A \in \mathcal{F}_i$ .

2<sub>i</sub>: Let now  $A$  and  $B$  be in  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ; there exist  $F_B \in \mathcal{F}$  and an epimorphism  $\pi : F_B \rightarrow B$ . Consider the following pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & P_C & \xrightarrow{p} & F_B & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & K_C & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_C & & \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

Since  $P_C$  is a pullback, there exists  $j : F_B \rightarrow P_C$  such that  $p \circ j = 1_{F_B}$ . Then the middle exact sequence splits, and therefore  $P_C = A \oplus F_B$ ; since  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite direct sums,  $P_C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . Therefore by 1<sub>i</sub> we have  $K_C \in \mathcal{F}_i$  and hence  $C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ .

(3) - (4): If  $i = 0$ , 4<sub>0</sub> follows by the definition of homological dimension. Since  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is closed under extensions, if  $A$  and  $C$  are in  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , also  $B$  belongs to  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ . Then, if  $A$  and  $C$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , we can consider the pullback diagram (\*) with  $F_B$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , then  $P_B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ ; since  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ , then also  $K_B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , and therefore  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_1$ . Assume 3 <sub>$i-1$</sub>  and 4 <sub>$i-1$</sub>  true for  $i - 1 \geq 0$ .

4<sub>i</sub>: Let us consider the pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & P_C & \longrightarrow & F_C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & K_C & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_C & & \\
 & & & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

with  $F_C \in \mathcal{F}$ ; then  $K_C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ . Since  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , by 3 <sub>$i-1$</sub>  we have that  $P_C \in \mathcal{F}_i$ , and hence, by 1 <sub>$i$</sub> ,  $A$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ .

3<sub>i</sub>: Since  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is closed under extensions, we can consider the pullback diagram (\*) with  $F_B$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . By Lemma 1.8,  $P_B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ; then  $K_B \in \mathcal{F}_i$  by 4 <sub>$i$</sub> , and hence  $B$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.11.** It follows that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is closed under finite direct sums and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is closed under extensions, then

- the class  $\mathcal{F}_{<\infty}$  is closed under extensions, kernels of epimorphisms and cokernels of monomorphisms;
- the classes  $\mathcal{F}_i$ ,  $i \geq 0$ , are closed under kernels of epimorphisms; if  $i \geq 1$ , they are closed also under extensions.

**Proposition 1.12.** *Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension, it is closed under finite direct sums, and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}$ . Then also  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{<\infty}$  define a homological dimension for any  $i \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{A}$ , also  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_i} = \mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathcal{F}_{<\infty}}$ . Therefore condition 1 in Definition 1.6 is empty in both the cases. Let  $M$  be an object admitting an  $\mathcal{F}_i$ -resolution

$$0 \rightarrow F_{i,n} \rightarrow F_{i,n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{i,0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Consider an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F'_{i,n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F'_{i,0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  with  $F'_{i,j} \in \mathcal{F}_i$ . From the first sequence, applying recursively Theorem 1.10, 2), we get that  $M \in \mathcal{F}_{n+i}$ . Applying recursively Theorem 1.10, 4) to the second exact sequence we obtain that  $K \in \mathcal{F}_i$ . Since each finite  $\mathcal{F}_{<\infty}$  resolution is actually an  $\mathcal{F}_m$  resolution for a suitable  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that also  $\mathcal{F}_{<\infty}$  defines a homological dimension.  $\square$

In case the abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives, a relevant family of classes defining a homological dimension is given by the left orthogonal of any class.

**Proposition 1.13.** *Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of objects in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp m}\mathcal{G}$ ,  $1 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$ , defines a homological dimension if and only if*

$$\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp \infty}\mathcal{G}.$$

*In such a case  $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp m}\mathcal{G}$  defines a homological dimension. Let us prove that  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp m+1}\mathcal{G}$ ; then we conclude inductively. Consider an arbitrary object  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$$

with  $P$  projective; since  $P$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ , by Lemma 1.8 we have that also  $K \in \mathcal{F}$ . Therefore for each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  we have

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{m+1}(F, G) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^m(K, G) = 0,$$

because  $K \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Conversely, let us prove that  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp \infty}\mathcal{G}$  defines a homological dimension. Clearly, containing  $\mathcal{F}$  the projectives, each object has left  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension  $\leq \infty$ . Let  $M$  be an object with  $\mathcal{F}\dim M \leq n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F'_n \rightarrow F'_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F'_1 \rightarrow F'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $F'_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Let us consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with  $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ . Let us show that  $K_n \in \mathcal{F}$ . In fact, let  $X \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(K_n, X) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{n+i}(M, X) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(F'_n, X) = 0$  for each  $i \geq 1$ .  $\square$

- Example 1.14.** (1) Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  has global dimension 1, the class  $\mathcal{W} = {}^{\perp_1}\mathbb{Z} = {}^{\perp_\infty}\mathbb{Z}$  of Whitehead abelian groups defines a homological dimension. By Proposition 1.5, (ii) we have  $\text{glWdim } \mathbb{Z} \leq 1$ .
- (2) Any torsion free class in a category of modules defines a homological dimension, since it is closed under submodules. In general it is not the left orthogonal of any class. Consider for example the class  $\mathcal{R}$  of reduced abelian groups; since  $\mathcal{R}^{\perp_\infty}$  is the class of divisible groups,  ${}^{\perp_\infty}(\mathcal{R}^{\perp_\infty})$  is the whole class of abelian groups. Therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  cannot be the left orthogonal of a class, otherwise  ${}^{\perp_\infty}(\mathcal{R}^{\perp_\infty})$  would be equal to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In the following results we are interested in giving necessary or sufficient conditions for a class defining a homological dimension to be a left orthogonal.

**Lemma 1.15.** *Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives. If  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension and it contains the projectives, then  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M$  be an object in  $\mathcal{F}^{\perp_1}$  and  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Consider a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$  with  $P$  projective; since  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension also  $F'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Applying  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, M)$  we get  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{i+1}(F, M) \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^i(F', M)$ ; then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^2(F, M) = 0$  and we conclude by induction.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.16.** *Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a special precover class. Then  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension if and only if  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp_\infty}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty})$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp_\infty}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty})$ , by Proposition 1.13 we get that  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension.

Conversely, suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension. Let us prove that  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp_1}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty})$ . Of course  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq {}^{\perp_1}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty})$ . Let now  $M \in {}^{\perp_1}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty})$ ; consider a special  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ . Since by the previous lemma  $K \in \mathcal{F}^{\perp_1} = \mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty}$ , we get  $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, K) = 0$ . Since the special precover classes are closed under direct summands [8, Section 2.1], then  $M \leq^{\oplus} F$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Again by Proposition 1.13 we conclude that  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp_\infty}(\mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty})$ .  $\square$

Most of the examples of classes defining a homological dimension give special precovers. Nevertheless observe that this is not always the case: Eklof and Shelah in [5] proved that, consistently with ZFC, the class of Whitehead abelian groups, which defines a homological dimension (see Example 1.14), does not provide precovers. In particular they proved that  $\mathbb{Q}$ , which has  $\mathcal{W}$ -dimension 1, does not admit  $\mathcal{W}$ -precover.

**Remark 1.17.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a special precover class and it defines a homological dimension, then for each module  $M$  it is possible to get an  $\mathcal{F}$ -resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that, denoted by  $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^i(M)$  the  $i$ -th  $\mathcal{F}$  syzygy of  $M$ , the induced map  $F_j \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{j-1}(M)$  is a special  $\mathcal{F}$ -precover of  $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{j-1}(M)$ . Therefore, in such a case our definition of  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension coincides with the definition given by Enochs and Jenda (see [6, Definition 8.4.1]).

Other significative classes defining a homological dimension are those studied by Auslander-Buchweitz in [2]. In that paper they introduced the notion of *Ext-injective cogenerator* for an additively closed exact subcategory  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ : an additively closed subcategory  $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is an Ext-injective cogenerator for  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $\omega \subseteq \mathcal{F}^{\perp_\infty}$

and for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow X \rightarrow F' \rightarrow 0$  where  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $X \in \omega$ .

**Proposition 1.18.** [2, Propositions 2.1, 3.3] *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an additively closed exact subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  closed under kernels of epimorphisms. If  $\mathcal{F}$  admits an Ext-injective cogenerator  $\omega$ , then  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a homological dimension. Moreover, if any object has finite  $\mathcal{F}$ -dimension, then  $\mathcal{F} = {}^{\perp\infty}\mathcal{G}$ , where  $\mathcal{G}$  is the class of objects in  $\mathcal{A}$  of finite  $\omega$ -dimension.*

We conclude this section remarking the connection between classes defining a homological dimension and cotorsion pairs in categories of modules. So we assume  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$ , the category of left  $R$ -modules over a ring  $R$ .

**Definition 1.19.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$  be two classes of modules. The pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  is called a *cotorsion pair* if  $\mathfrak{A} = {}^{\perp 1}\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}^{\perp 1} = \mathfrak{B}$ . The pair  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  is called an *hereditary cotorsion pair* if  $\mathfrak{A} = {}^{\perp\infty}\mathfrak{B}$  or equivalently  $\mathfrak{A}^{\perp\infty} = \mathfrak{B}$ .

We stress that, by Proposition 1.13, the hereditary cotorsion pairs are exactly the cotorsion pairs  $(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B})$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  defines a homological dimension.

**Example 1.20.** Let  $R$  be a commutative domain. A module  $M$  is *Matlis cotorsion* provided that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(Q, M) = 0$ , where  $Q$  is the quotient field of  $R$ . Since  $Q$  is flat, the class  $\mathcal{MC}$  of Matlis cotorsion modules contains the class  $\mathcal{EC} := \mathcal{Fl}^{\perp 1}$  of *Enochs cotorsion modules*. Denoted by  $\mathcal{TF}$  the class of torsion-free modules, the latter class  $\mathcal{EC}$  contains the class  $\mathcal{WC} := \mathcal{TF}^{\perp 1}$  of *Warfield cotorsion modules*. Thus we have the following chain of cotorsion pairs, ordered with respect to the inclusion on the first class:

$$({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{MC}, \mathcal{MC}) \leq (\mathcal{Fl} = {}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{EC}, \mathcal{EC}) \leq (\mathcal{TF} = {}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{WC}, \mathcal{WC}).$$

The modules in  ${}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{MC}$  are called *strongly flat*. The Enochs and Warfield cotorsion pairs  $(\mathcal{Fl}, \mathcal{EC})$  and  $(\mathcal{TF}, \mathcal{WC})$  are hereditary and the classes of flat and torsion free modules, as well known, define a homological dimension. In general the Matlis cotorsion pair  $({}^{\perp 1}\mathcal{MC}, \mathcal{MC})$  is not hereditary and therefore strongly flat modules do not define a homological dimension; precisely, the Matlis cotorsion pair is hereditary, and so strongly flat modules define a homological dimension, if and only if the quotient field  $Q$  of  $R$  has projective dimension  $\leq 1$ , i.e.  $R$  is a Matlis domain [12, Section 10].

## 2. GENERALIZING THE GORENSTEIN DIMENSION

Auslander in [1] introduced the notion of Gorenstein dimension for finite modules over a commutative noetherian ring. More precisely, let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring; following [4, Definition 1.1.2] we say that a finite  $R$ -module  $M$  belongs to the *G-class*  $G(R)$  if :

- (1)  $\text{Ext}_R^m(M, R) = 0$  for  $m > 0$
- (2)  $\text{Ext}_R^m(\text{Hom}_R(M, R), R) = 0$  for  $m > 0$
- (3) the canonical morphism  $\delta_M: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, R), R)$ ,  $\delta_M(x)(\psi) = \psi(x)$ , is an isomorphism.

Any finite module admitting a  $G(R)$ -resolution of length  $n$  is said to have *Gorenstein dimension* at most  $n$ . In [4, Theorem 1.2.7] it is shown that  $G(R)$  defines a homological dimension on the category of finite  $R$ -modules.



Given an abelian category  $\mathcal{A}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}^+(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^-(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^b(\mathcal{A})$ ) the homotopy category of unbounded (resp. bounded below, bounded above, bounded) complexes of objects of  $\mathcal{A}$  and by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}^+(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^-(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ ) the associated derived category. In the sequel with  $\mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$  or  $\mathcal{D}^\dagger(\mathcal{A})$  we will denote any of these derived categories.

Consider a right adjoint pair of contravariant functors  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  between the abelian categories  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , with the natural morphisms  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  as unities. Following [9, Theorem 5.1], to guarantee the existence of the derived functors  $\mathbf{R}^*\Phi : \mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B})$  and  $\mathbf{R}^\dagger\Psi : \mathcal{D}^\dagger(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ , we assume the existence of triangulated subcategories  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\mathcal{K}^*(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger(\mathcal{B})$  such that:

- every object of  $\mathcal{K}^*(\mathcal{A})$  and every object of  $\mathcal{K}^\dagger(\mathcal{B})$  admits a quasi-isomorphism into objects of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , respectively;
- if  $P$  and  $Q$  are exact complexes in  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$ , then also  $\Phi(P)$  and  $\Psi(Q)$  are exact.

Given complexes  $X \in \mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{D}^\dagger(\mathcal{B})$ , we have  $\mathbf{R}^*\Phi X = \Phi P$  and  $\mathbf{R}^\dagger\Psi Y = \Psi Q$ , where  $P$  is a complex in  $\mathcal{P}$  quasi-isomorphic to  $X$ , and  $Q$  is a complex in  $\mathcal{Q}$  quasi-isomorphic to  $Y$ .

The functor  $\Phi$  has *cohomological dimension*  $\leq n$  if, for each  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) = 0$  for  $|i| > n$ .

An object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\Phi$ -acyclic if  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) = 0$  for any  $i \neq 0$ . Similarly,  $\Psi$ -acyclic objects in  $\mathcal{B}$  are defined.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that an object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to the class  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  if

- (1)  $A$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic;
- (2)  $\Phi(A)$  is  $\Psi$ -acyclic
- (3) the morphism  $\eta_A : A \rightarrow \Psi\Phi(A)$  is an isomorphism.

Note that, since the category of modules over a ring  $R$  has enough projectives, the total derived functor  $\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}(-, R)$  always exists (see [14]). Thus the class  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  for the adjoint pair  $(\Phi, \Psi) = (\mathrm{Hom}(-, R), \mathrm{Hom}(-, R))$  in the category of finite  $R$ -modules, coincides with the  $G(R)$ -class introduced above if  $R$  is a commutative noetherian ring.

We want to prove that the class  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  associated to the right adjoint pair  $(\Phi, \Psi)$  always defines a homological dimension.

First we prove that the  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension can be computed using the cohomology groups  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi)$ . As a consequence it follows that, when the category  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives, the  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension can be compared with the projective dimension (conf. [4, Proposition 1.2.10]).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $A$  be an object in  $\mathcal{A}$  of finite  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension. Then*

- (a)  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}\text{-dim } A = \sup\{i : H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) \neq 0\} \neq 0$
- (b) *If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives, then  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}\text{-dim } A \leq \mathrm{pd } A$*

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}\text{-dim } A = n$ . Therefore there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \dots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  with  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . By shift dimension we get  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) = 0$  for each  $i > n$ . If  $\sup\{i : H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) \neq 0\} < n$ , let  $K$  be the cokernel of  $G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1}$ . We will prove that  $K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  contradicting the assumption  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}\text{-dim } A = n$ . Indeed,  $K$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic since  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi K) \cong H^{(i+n-1)}(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) = 0$  for each  $i > 0$ ; applying  $\Psi$  to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Phi K \rightarrow \Phi G_{n-1} \rightarrow \Phi G_n \rightarrow$

0 and comparing it with the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ , we get that  $\Phi K$  is  $\Psi$ -acyclic and the unity  $\eta_K$  is an isomorphism.

(b) If  $\mathcal{A}$  has enough projectives, then any object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  admits a projective resolution  $P$ . Since the projectives are  $\Phi$ -acyclic, we have  $\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A = \Phi P$  and then

$$\sup\{i : H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi A) \neq 0\} = \sup\{i : H^i(\Phi P) \neq 0\} \leq \text{pd } A. \quad \square$$

Observe that, differently from the  $G(R)$ -dimension, the inequality between the  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension and the projective dimension can be strict also for objects of finite projective dimension (cf. [4, Proposition 1.2.10]).

**Example 2.3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be the path algebra of the quiver

$$1 \longrightarrow 2 \longrightarrow 3$$

Let us consider the module  ${}_{\Lambda}U = \frac{1}{3} \oplus \frac{2}{3} \oplus 2$  and let  $S = \text{End}_{\Lambda}(U)$ . Consider the adjoint pair  $(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, U), \text{Hom}_S(-, U))$ : since  $\text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^1(U, U) = 0$ ,  $\text{Ext}_S^1(S, U) = 0$  and  $U \cong \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(U, U), U)$ , the  $\Lambda$ -module  $U$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ , where  $(\Phi, \Psi) = (\text{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, U), \text{Hom}_S(-, U))$ . Thus  $U$  has projective dimension one, but obviously  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension 0.

In order to prove that the class  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  defines a homological dimension, we also need to recall some notions and results on derived categories. By [10, Lemma 13.6] we know that, in our assumptions,  $(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi, \mathbf{R}^{\dagger}\Psi)$  is a right adjoint pair in the derived categories  $\mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}(\mathcal{B})$ , with unities  $\hat{\eta}$  and  $\hat{\xi}$  naturally inherited from the unities  $\eta$  and  $\xi$ . In [11] a complex  $X \in \mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$  is called  *$\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive* if the morphism  $\hat{\eta}_X$  is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$ . An object  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is called  *$\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive* if it is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive as a stalk complex.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $X$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic and  $\Phi(X)$  is  $\Psi$ -acyclic. Then  $\hat{\eta}_X$  is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if  $\eta_X$  is an isomorphism. In particular any object in  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive.*

*Proof.* In general, if  $C \in \mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$  and  $L$  is a complex quasi-isomorphic to  $C$  such that any term  $L_i$  of  $L$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic and  $\Phi(L_i)$  is  $\Psi$ -acyclic, then  $\hat{\eta}_C$  coincides with  $\eta_L$ , where  $\eta_L$  is the term-to-term extension of the unity  $\eta$  to the triangulated category  $\mathcal{K}^*(\mathcal{A})$  (cf. [11]). Then we easily get the statement.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Any object  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  of finite  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}\text{-dim } A = n$ . Therefore there exists an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \dots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$  with  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore in the bounded derived category  $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $A$  is quasi-isomorphic to the complex  $G := 0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \dots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $G$  is a complex with  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive terms by Lemma 2.4, we conclude by [11, Theorem 3.1,(1)] that  $A$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $X \in \mathcal{A}$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic and  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive, then  $X$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic,  $\mathbf{R}^*\Phi X$  is quasi isomorphic to the stalk complex  $\Phi(X)$ . Moreover, for  $X$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive, we get that  $\mathbf{R}^{\dagger}\Psi(\Phi X) \cong \mathbf{R}^{\dagger}\Psi(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi X)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $X$ . Thus  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^{\dagger}\Psi(\Phi X)) = 0$  for any  $i \neq 0$  and so  $\Phi X$  is  $\Psi$ -acyclic. Finally we conclude since, by the previous lemma,  $\eta_X$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *The class  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$  defines a homological dimension.*

*Proof.* Let us consider a long exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  with  $G_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ . By Corollary 2.5,  $X$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive. Consider now a long exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$  with  $F_i \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ . The  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive objects are a thick subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [11]), i.e, if two terms of a short exact sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$  are  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive, then also the third is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive. Therefore, by induction, it follows that  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive. Since by Proposition 2.2  $H^i(\mathbf{R}^*\Phi X) = 0$  for each  $i > n$ , by shift dimension  $X_n$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic, and so we conclude that  $X_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ .  $\square$

In [11], the authors were interested in characterizing the  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive objects associated to a given adjoint pair  $(\Phi, \Psi)$ . Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is a module category and denote by  $FP_n$  the class of modules  $A$  which have an exact resolution

$$P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0,$$

where the  $P_i$ 's are finitely generated projectives. In particular  $FP_1$  is the class of finitely generated modules. Then the  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive modules in  $FP_n$  can be characterized through their  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension.

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = R\text{-Mod}$  for an arbitrary ring  $R$ . Assume  ${}_R R$  to be  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive and  $\Phi$  of cohomological dimension  $\leq n$ . Then a module  $M \in FP_n$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive if and only if it has  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension  $\leq n$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency of the finiteness of the  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ -dimension is proved in Corollary 2.5. Conversely, suppose  $M$  to be a  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive module in  $FP_n$ . Let  $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence with the  $P_i$ 's finitely generated projectives. Since  ${}_R R$  is assumed to be  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive, any  $P_i$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive, and so we get that  $K$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -reflexive. Since  $\Phi$  has cohomological dimension  $\leq n$ , by shift dimension we get that  $K$  is  $\Phi$ -acyclic. Then, by Proposition 2.6, we conclude that  $K$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi\Psi}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander, *Anneaux de Gorenstein, et torsion en algèbre commutative*. Séminaire d'Algèbre Commutative dirigé par Pierre Samuel, 1966/67. Texte rédigé, d'après des exposés de Maurice Auslander, Marquerite Mangeney, Christian Peskine et Lucien Szpiro. École Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles Secrétariat mathématique, Paris 1967.
- [2] M. Auslander, R.O. Buchweitz, *The homological theory of maximal Cohen-Macaulay approximations*, Mm. Soc. Math. France (N.S.) No. 38 (1989), 5–37.
- [3] S. Bazzoni, L. Salce, *On strongly flat modules over integral domains*, RockyMountain J. Math. 34 (2004), no. 2, 417–439.
- [4] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein Dimension*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1747. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [5] P.C. Eklof, S. Shelah, *On the existence of precovers*, Illinois J. Math. 47 (2003), no. 1-2, 173–188.
- [6] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda. *Relative homological algebra*. Exposition in Math. 30, de Gruyter 2000.
- [7] P. Freyd, *Abelian categories*. Harper's Series in Modern Mathematics, Harper and Row, New York-Evanston-London, 1964.
- [8] R. Göbel, J. Trlifaj, *Approximations and endomorphism algebras of modules*. Exposition in Math. 41, de Gruyter 2006.
- [9] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [10] B. Keller, *Derived Categories and their use*, Handbook of Algebra. Vol. 1. Edited by M. Hazewinkel, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1996.
- [11] F.Mantese, A. Tonolo, *Reflexivity in derived categories*, arXiv:0705.2537

- [12] E. Matlis, *Torsion-free modules*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago-London, 1972.
- [13] B. Mitchell, *Theory of categories*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York-London, 1965.
- [14] N. Spaltenstein, *Resolutions of unbounded complexes*, Compositio Math. 65 (1988), no. 2, 121–154.

(F. Mantese) DIPARTIMENTO DI INFORMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI VERONA, STRADA LE GRAZIE 15, I-37134 VERONA - ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `mantese@sci.univr.it`

(A. Tonolo) DIP. MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA, VIA TRIESTE 63, I-35121 PADOVA ITALY  
*E-mail address:* `tonolo@math.unipd.it`