

# Yang's Model as Triply Special Relativity and the Snyder's Model–de Sitter Special Relativity Duality

Han-Ying Guo<sup>1,\*</sup> Chao-Guang Huang<sup>2,†</sup> and Hong-Tu Wu<sup>3‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China,*

<sup>2</sup> *Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
P.O. Box 918-4, Beijing 100049, China, and*

<sup>3</sup> *Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 10037, China.*

(Dated: January, 2008)

We show that if Yang's model as the earliest TSR is completed, it should contain both Snyder's quantized space-time model as the earliest DSR, the de Sitter special relativity and their duality.

PACS numbers: 03.30.+p, 02.40.Dr, 04.60.-m, 04.90.+e

## Contents

<b>I. Introduction</b>	1
<b>II. Yang's Model and a UV-IR Duality</b>	3
<b>III. Snyder's Model–<math>dS</math> special relativity Duality from Yang Model</b>	4
A. Snyder's model from Yang model	4
B. The Beltrami model of $dS$ special relativity from Yang model	6
C. The Snyder's model– $dS$ special relativity duality in Yang model	8
<b>IV. Concluding Remarks</b>	9
<b>Acknowledgments</b>	9
<b>References</b>	9

## I. INTRODUCTION

Some sixty years ago, Snyder [1] proposed a quantized space-time model in a projective geometry approach to the de Sitter ( $dS$ )-space of momentum with two universal constants:  $c$  and  $a$ , a scale near or at the Planck length  $\ell_P$ . The 4-d energy-momentum were defined by the inhomogeneous projective

\*Electronic address: hyguo@itp.ac.cn

†Electronic address: huangcg@mail.ihep.ac.cn

‡Electronic address: lobby\_wu@yahoo.com.cn

coordinates. Then, Snyder identified the space-time coordinates' noncommutative operators  $\hat{x}^\mu$  with 4-'translation' generators of a  $dS$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  and other operators as angular momenta for an  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3) \subset \mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$ .

Soon after, Yang [2] extended Snyder's model to the one with the third constant, the radius  $R$  of a  $dS$  universe in order to recover the translation under  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . Yang found an  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$  algebra with  $c, a$  and  $R$  in a 6-dimensional space of Minkowski (*Mink*) signature. In Yang's algebra, there are two  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  subalgebras for coordinate operators  $\hat{x}^\mu$  and momentum operators  $\hat{p}^\mu$ , respectively, with a common  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  of angular momentum operators  $\hat{l}^{\mu\nu}$ . And the algebra is invariant under a  $Z_2$  dual transformation between  $a, \hat{x}^\mu$  and  $\hbar/R, \hat{p}^\mu$ . This is a UV-IR parameters' transformation.

Recently, the 'doubly special relativity' or the 'deformed special relativity' (DSR) has been proposed [3]. There is also a universal constant  $\kappa$  near the Planck energy, related to  $\hbar/a$  in Snyder's model in addition to  $c$ . In fact, Snyder's model is the earliest DSR and at least some DSR models can be regarded as the generalization of Snyder's model [4]. Soon, the 'triply special relativity' (TSR) [5] has also been proposed with a deformed symmetry with one more universal constant, the unverse radius  $R \sim \Lambda^{-1/2}$ . In fact, it is found that the Lie algebra form of the deformed symmetry is just Yang's algebra in 6 dimensions [6]. Thus, Yang's model can be regarded as the earliest TSR (see also [7, 8]).

The projective geometry approach to  $dS$ -space is basically equivalent to the Beltrami model of  $dS$ -space ( $BdS$ ). It is important to emphasize that the Beltrami coordinates or inhomogeneous projective ones, without the antipodal identification for preserving orientation, play a similar role to the *Mink*-coordinates in a *Mink*-space-time. In the Beltrami atlas, particles and light signals move along the time-like or null geodesics being straight world-lines with *constant* coordinate velocities, respectively. Among these systems, the properties are invariant under the fractionally linear transformations with a common denominator of  $dS$ -group. If these motions and systems could be regarded as of inertia without gravity, there should be the principle of relativity in  $dS/AdS$ -space-time, respectively.

In fact, just as weakening the fifth axiom leads to non-Euclidean geometry, giving up Einstein's Euclidean assumption on the rest rigid ruler and clock in special relativity leads to two other kinds of special relativity on the  $dS/AdS$ -space-time with radius  $R$ . They are based on the principle of relativity and the postulate of universal constants ( $c, R$ ) on an almost equal footing with the special relativity on *Mink*-space-time of  $R \rightarrow \infty$  [9–17].

On the other hand, it is interesting to see [18, 19] that in terms of the Beltrami model of  $dS$ -space [9, 11], there is an important one-to-one correspondence between Snyder's quantized space-time model [1] as a DSR [3, 4] and the  $dS$  special relativity [9–12]. Actually, the  $dS$  special relativity can be regarded and simply formulated as a space-time-counterpart of Snyder's model for  $dS$ -space of momentum so long as the constant  $\hbar/a$  in Snyder's model as  $dS$ -radius of momentum near the Planck scale is replaced by  $R$  as radius of  $dS$ -space-time. Inspired by the correspondence, the Snyder's model– $dS$  special relativity duality as a UV-IR duality is proposed [18, 19].

Since Snyder's quantized 4-d space-time model is on a  $dS$ -space of momentum of 4-dimensions, if Yang's model is really generalized Snyder's with the third universal constant  $R$ , it should also be back to 4 dimensions. But, how to realize Yang's model in 4 dimensions completely? In his very short paper, Yang did not answer the question. Recall that there are three 4-d maximally symmetric space-

times with maximum symmetries of ten generators, which are just the *Mink/dS/AdS*-space with  $ISO(1, 3)/SO(1, 4)/SO(2, 3)$  invariance, respectively. Thus, it is impossible to realize Yang's  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$  with fifteen generators on one space of 4-dimensions in the sense of ordinary Riemann geometry and Lie symmetry. The TSR realization of Yang's  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$  algebra gives a tentative 4-d realization, which is in terms of a deformed Lie algebra with some non-commutative geometry. The fact that there are two  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  subalgebras with a common homogeneous Lorentz algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  in Yang's  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$  suggests another kind of realization: a pair of *dS*-spaces of 4-dimensions with a dual relation.

In this letter we show that if Yang's model can be completed with such a kind of 4-d realization the complete Yang model should contain both Snyder's quantized space-time model as the earliest DSR, the *dS* special relativity and their duality.

This letter is arranged as follows. In section II, we first recall Yang's model with a UV-IR dual invariance in a 6-d dimensionless *Mink*-space. Then, in section III, we show that the two  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  subalgebras in Yang's model relevant to the space-time coordinate operators  $\hat{x}^\mu$  and the momentum operators  $\hat{p}^\mu$  are the same as Snyder's  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  algebra of quantized space-time and the algebra for 'quantized' energy, momentum, and angular momentum in a *dS*-space of space-time, respectively. We also present a way to get Snyder's model, *dS* special relativity and their duality from a complete Yang model. Finally, we end with some concluding remarks.

## II. YANG'S MODEL AND A UV-IR DUALITY

Under Yang's algebra, there is an invariant quadratic form of signature  $-4$  [2] in a 6-d dimensionless *Mink*-space  $\mathcal{M}^{1,5}$ . Thus, the metric in  $\mathcal{M}^{1,5}$  reads

$$d\chi^2 = \eta_{AB} d\zeta^A d\zeta^B, \quad A, B = 0, \dots, 5, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -, -, -)$ . The dimensionless canonical 'momenta' conjugate to the dimensionless 'coordinates'  $\zeta^A$  can be introduced as  $N_A = \eta_{AB} \frac{d\zeta^B}{d\chi}$ . Thus, there is a 12-d phase space  $(\mathcal{M}, \Omega)$  with a symplectic structure  $\Omega$  and basic Poisson bracket in  $(\zeta^A, N_A)$ :  $\{\zeta^A, N_B\} = -\delta_B^A$ . Obviously, the dimensionless 6-'angular momenta'  $\mathcal{L}^{AB} := \zeta^A N^B - \zeta^B N^A$  form an  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$  algebra under Poisson bracket. Under canonical quantization, in the 'coordinate' picture with  $\hat{N}_A = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^A}$ ,  $[\hat{\zeta}^A, \hat{N}_B] = -i \delta_B^A$ , they become operators  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{AB}$  forming the algebra under Lie bracket. Now, the following operators

are just the operators in Yang's model [2] up to some redefined coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x}_0 &= ia \left( \zeta^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^0} + \zeta^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^5} \right) = a \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{50}, \\
\hat{x}_i &= ia \left( \zeta^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} - \zeta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^5} \right) = -a \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{5i}, \\
\hat{p}_0 &= \frac{i\hbar}{R} \left( \zeta^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^0} + \zeta^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^4} \right) = \frac{\hbar}{R} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{40}, \\
\hat{p}_i &= \frac{i\hbar}{R} \left( \zeta^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} - \zeta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^4} \right) = -\frac{\hbar}{R} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{4i}, \\
\hat{M}_i &= i\hbar \left( \zeta^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^i} + \zeta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^0} \right) = -\hbar \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{0i}, \\
\hat{L}_i &= i\hbar \epsilon_i^{jk} \left( \zeta_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^k} \right) = \frac{\hbar}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{jk}, \\
\hat{\psi} &= i \frac{a}{R} \left( \zeta^5 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^4} - \zeta^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta^5} \right) = \frac{a}{R} \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{45}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

with  $\epsilon_{123} = \epsilon_1^{23} = 1$  and  $\zeta_j = \eta_{jA} \zeta^A$ . They form Yang's  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$  algebra as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{p}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] &= i\hbar R^{-2} \hat{l}^{\mu\nu}, & [\hat{l}^{\mu\nu}, \hat{p}^\rho] &= i\hbar(\eta^{\nu\rho} \hat{p}^\mu - \eta^{\mu\rho} \hat{p}^\nu), & \hat{p}^\mu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} \hat{p}_\nu, & \hat{l}^{\mu\nu} &= \hbar \hat{\mathcal{L}}^{\mu\nu} \\
[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] &= i\hbar^{-1} a^2 \hat{l}^{\mu\nu}, & [\hat{l}^{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}^\rho] &= i\hbar(\eta^{\nu\rho} \hat{x}^\mu - \eta^{\mu\rho} \hat{x}^\nu), & \hat{x}^\mu &= \eta^{\mu\nu} \hat{x}_\nu \\
[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{p}^\nu] &= i\hbar \eta^{\mu\nu} \hat{\psi}, & [\hat{\psi}, \hat{x}^\mu] &= -ia^2 \hbar^{-1} \hat{p}^\mu, \\
[\hat{\psi}, \hat{p}^\mu] &= i\hbar R^{-2} \hat{x}^\mu, & [\hat{\psi}, \hat{l}^{\mu\nu}] &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

together with an  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  for angular momentum operators. It is clear that there are two  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  for coordinate operators  $\hat{x}^\mu$  and momentum operators  $\hat{p}^\nu$ , respectively, with a common  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  for  $\hat{l}^{\mu\nu}$ . It is also clear that Yang's algebra is invariant under a  $Z_2 = \{e, r | r^2 = e\}$  dual transformation and relations under the replacement:

$$r : \quad a \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{R}, \quad \hat{x}^\mu \rightarrow \hat{p}^\mu, \quad \hat{\psi} \rightarrow -\hat{\psi}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $a$  is near or equal to the Planck length  $\ell_P$  and  $R$  is the radius of a  $dS$  universe, the invariance under the  $Z_2$  dual transformation is a UV-IR duality.

### III. SNYDER'S MODEL- $dS$ SPECIAL RELATIVITY DUALITY FROM YANG MODEL

#### A. Snyder's model from Yang model

Snyder considered a homogenous quadratic form  $-\eta^2 = \eta_0^2 - \eta_1^2 - \eta_2^2 - \eta_3^2 - \eta_4^2 := \eta^{AB} \eta_A \eta_B < 0$ , partially inspired by Pauli. It is a model via homogeneous (projective) coordinates of a 4-d momentum space of constant curvature, a  $dS$ -space of momentum. In fact, it can also be started from a  $dS$ -hyperboloid  $\mathcal{H}_a$  in a 5-d *Mink*-space of momentum with radius  $1/a$

$$\mathcal{H}_a : \quad \eta^{AB} \eta_A \eta_B = -\frac{\hbar^2}{a^2}, \quad ds_a^2 = \eta^{AB} d\eta_A d\eta_B. \tag{3.1}$$

Snyder's inhomogeneous projective momenta are the same as the momenta in Beltrami coordinates. In order to preserve orientation, the antipodal identification should not be taken so that the Beltrami atlas should contain at least eight patches to cover the hyperboloid (see, e.g. [9]). In the patch  $U_{4+}, \eta_4 > 0$ , Snyder's Beltrami momenta read

$$q_\mu = \frac{\hbar \eta_\mu}{a \eta_4}. \quad (3.2)$$

Now the metric in the patch becomes  $ds_a^2 = g_a^{\mu\nu} dq_\mu dq_\nu$  with

$$g_a^{\mu\nu} = \sigma^{-1}(q) \eta^{\mu\nu} + \frac{a^2}{\hbar^2} q^\mu q^\nu \sigma^{-2}(q), \quad \sigma(q) = 1 - \frac{a^2}{\hbar^2} q^\nu q_\nu > 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $q^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} q_\nu$ . Along geodesic that is the great 'circle' on  $\mathcal{H}_a$ , the space-time 'coordinates' and angular momenta are conserved

$$\begin{aligned} x_a^\mu &= R \sigma^{-1}(q) \frac{dq^\mu}{ds_a} = \text{consts}, \\ l_a^{\mu\nu} &= R \left( q^\mu \frac{dq^\nu}{ds_a} - q^\nu \frac{dq^\mu}{ds_a} \right) = \text{consts}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Importantly, from these conserved Killing observables and  $q_0 = E$  where  $E$  is energy<sup>1</sup>, it follows an important identity

$$\frac{dE}{dq_i} = \text{consts}. \quad (3.5)$$

It would mean that there is some 'wave packet' moving with constant 'group velocity'. Namely, a law of inertia in space of momentum hidden in Snyder's model [19].

Regarding such a 'wave packet' as a free 'particle' in the space of momentum, a 8-d phase space  $(\mathcal{M}_a, \omega_a)$  for the 'particle' can be constructed and locally there are Snyder's momenta  $q_\mu$  as canonical momenta and the conjugate variables  $X^\mu$  as canonical coordinates ( $q_\mu, X^\mu = R g_a^{\mu\nu} dq_\nu / ds_a$ ) with a symplectic structure  $\omega_a$  and basic Poisson brackets  $\{q_\mu, X^\nu\}_a = -\delta_\mu^\nu$ ,  $\{q_\mu, q_\nu\}_a = 0$ ,  $\{X^\mu, X^\nu\}_a = 0$ . Then Snyder's space-time 'coordinates'  $x_a^\mu$  and angular momenta  $l_a^{\mu\nu}$  can be expressed in terms of these canonical variables  $(q_\mu, X^\mu)$ . And it is straightforward to show that they form an  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  under Poisson bracket.

In a momentum picture of the canonical quantization, the operators of Snyder's 'coordinates' and angular momenta are just ten Killing vectors of the model, up to some coefficients,

$$\begin{cases} \hat{x}_a^i := i\hbar \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{a^2}{\hbar^2} q^i q_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu} \right] = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_a^{4i}, \\ \hat{x}_a^0 := i\hbar \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial q_0} - \frac{a^2}{\hbar^2} q^0 q_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu} \right] = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_a^{40}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Together with 'boost'  $\hat{M}_{ai} = \hat{x}_a^i q_0 + \hat{x}_a^0 q_i =: \hat{l}_a^{0i} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_a^{0i}$  and '3-angular momentum'  $\hat{L}_{ai} = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} (\hat{x}_a^j q^k - \hat{x}_a^k q^j) =: \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{l}_a^{jk} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{\mathcal{L}}_a^{jk}$ , they are the components of 5-d angular momentum  $\mathcal{L}_a^{AB}$  and form an

<sup>1</sup>  $c$  is set 1 in the letter.

$\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{x}_a^i, \hat{x}_a^j] &= i\hbar^{-1}a^2\hat{l}_a^{ij}, & [\hat{x}_a^0, \hat{x}_a^i] &= i\hbar^{-1}a^2\hat{l}_a^{0i}, \\ [\hat{L}_{ai}, \hat{L}_{aj}] &= i\hbar\epsilon_{ij}^k\hat{L}_{ak}, & [\hat{M}_{ai}, \hat{M}_{aj}] &= i\hbar\hat{l}_a^{ij}, \quad \epsilon_{12}^3 = -1, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Obviously, Snyder's quantized space-time 'coordinates'  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  algebra is the same as the coordinate  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 4)$  subalgebra of Yang's  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 5)$ . But, the operators of canonical coordinates  $\hat{X}^\mu$  are still commutative.

In order to get Snyder's model from a complete Yang model, we consider a dimensionless  $d\mathcal{S}_5 \cong \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,5}$ :

$$\mathcal{H} : \quad \eta_{AB}\zeta^A\zeta^B = -\frac{R^2}{a^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Take a subspace  $\mathcal{I}_1$  of  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,5}$  as an intersection

$$\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{H}|_{\zeta^4=0} : \quad \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{P}|_{\zeta^4=0} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,5}, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}|_{\zeta^4=0}$  is a hyperplane defined by  $\zeta^4 = 0$ . Introduce dimensional coordinates

$$\eta_\mu = \frac{\hbar}{R}\zeta^\mu, \quad \eta_4 = \frac{\hbar}{R}\zeta^5. \quad (3.10)$$

Then the subspace  $\mathcal{I}_1$  becomes  $\mathcal{H}_a$  (3.1) with metric (3.3) related to the metric (2.1) restricted on  $\mathcal{I}_1$

$$ds_a^2 = \frac{\hbar^2}{R^2}d\chi^2|_{\mathcal{I}_1}. \quad (3.11)$$

Thus, the  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq 4$  components of Yang's 6-d 'angular momentum'  $\mathcal{L}^{AB}$  consist of a 5-d angular momentum which is identical to the 5-d angular momentum  $\mathcal{L}_a^{AB}$  in Snyder's model, and Yang's operators of coordinate and angular momentum  $\{\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{l}^{\mu\nu}\}$  and their algebra are the same as Snyder's Killing (observable) operators  $\{\hat{x}_a^\mu, \hat{l}_a^{\mu\nu}\}$  and their algebra. Therefore, a complete Yang model really contain Snyder's model as a sub-model.

## B. The Beltrami model of $dS$ special relativity from Yang model

In a  $dS$ -space-time with radius  $R$  as a hyperboloid embedded in a 1+4-d *Mink*-space

$$\mathcal{H}_R : \quad \eta_{AB}\xi^A\xi^B = -R^2, \quad ds_R^2 = \eta_{AB}d\xi^Ad\xi^B, \quad (3.12)$$

a free particle with mass  $\hbar/a$  may move uniformly along a great 'circle' defined by a conserved 5-d angular momentum

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}_R^{AB}}{ds_R} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_R^{AB} := \frac{\hbar}{a}\left(\xi^A\frac{d\xi^B}{ds_R} - \xi^B\frac{d\xi^A}{ds_R}\right), \quad (3.13)$$

with an Einstein-like formula for mass  $\hbar/a$

$$-\frac{1}{2R^2}\mathcal{L}_R^{AB}\mathcal{L}_{RAB} = \frac{\hbar^2}{a^2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{RAB} = \eta_{AC}\eta_{BD}\mathcal{L}_R^{CD}. \quad (3.14)$$

The conserved momenta and angular momenta of the particle can be defined as

$$\frac{dp_R^\mu}{ds} = 0 \quad p_R^\mu = \frac{\hbar}{Ra} \left( \xi^4 \frac{d\xi^\mu}{ds_R} - \xi^\mu \frac{d\xi^4}{ds_R} \right), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\frac{dl_R^{\mu\nu}}{ds} = 0, \quad l_R^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\hbar}{a} \left( \xi^\mu \frac{d\xi^\nu}{ds_R} - \xi^\nu \frac{d\xi^\mu}{ds_R} \right). \quad (3.16)$$

And the Einstein-like formula becomes

$$p_R^\mu p_{R\mu} - \frac{1}{2R^2} l_R^{\mu\nu} l_{R\mu\nu} = \frac{\hbar^2}{a^2}, \quad (3.17)$$

where  $p_{R\mu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} p_R^\nu$  and  $l_{R\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma} l_R^{\rho\sigma}$ .

In a Beltrami atlas of the  $BdS$ -space-time [9], the Beltrami coordinates read in the patch  $U_{4+}$ ,  $\xi > 0$ ,

$$y^\mu = R \frac{\xi^\mu}{\xi^4}, \quad \xi^4 \neq 0 \quad (3.18)$$

and the metric becomes  $ds_R^2 = g_{R\mu\nu} dy^\mu dy^\nu$  with

$$g_{R\mu\nu} = \sigma^{-1}(y)\eta_{\mu\nu} + R^{-2}y_\mu y_\nu \sigma^{-2}(y), \quad \sigma(y) = 1 - R^{-2}y^\nu y_\nu > 0, \quad (3.19)$$

where  $y_\mu = \eta_{\mu\nu} y^\nu$ . For the free particle with mass  $\hbar/a$  along geodesic that is the great ‘circle’ on  $\mathcal{H}_R$ , its motion becomes uniform motion with constant coordinate velocity. In fact, its momenta and angular momenta

$$p_R^\mu = \frac{\hbar}{a}\sigma^{-1}(y)\frac{dy^\mu}{ds_R}, \quad l_R^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\hbar}{a}(y^\mu \frac{dy^\nu}{ds_R} - y^\nu \frac{dy^\mu}{ds_R}), \quad (3.20)$$

are constants. This leads to the law of inertia for the particle:

$$v^i := \frac{dy^i}{dt} = \text{consts}. \quad (3.21)$$

For the particle, there is an associated phase space  $(\mathcal{M}_R, \omega_R)$  and locally there are Beltrami coordinates as the canonical coordinates and the covariant 4-momenta as canonical momenta  $(y^\mu, P_\mu = \frac{\hbar}{a}g_{\mu\nu}dy^\nu/ds_R)$  with a symplectic structure and basic Poisson brackets in the patch  $\{y^\mu, P_\nu\}_R = -\delta_\nu^\mu$ ,  $\{y^\mu, y^\nu\}_R = 0$ ,  $\{P_\mu, P_\nu\}_R = 0$ . Now, the conserved Killing momenta and angular momenta of the particle can be expressed in terms of the canonical variables and form an  $\mathfrak{so}(1,4)$  algebra in Poisson bracket. In a canonical coordinate picture of the canonical quantization, the operators of these conserved Killing Beltrami momenta and angular momenta are just ten Killing vectors of the model up to some coefficients forming an  $\mathfrak{so}(1,4)$  under Lie bracket

$$[\hat{p}_R^\mu, \hat{p}_R^\nu] = \frac{i\hbar}{R^2}\hat{l}_R^{\mu\nu}, \quad [\hat{l}_R^{\mu\nu}, \hat{p}_R^\rho] = i\hbar(\eta^{\nu\rho}\hat{p}_R^\mu - \eta^{\mu\rho}\hat{p}_R^\nu), \quad (3.22)$$

together with an  $\mathfrak{so}(1, 3)$  for angular momentum operators  $\hat{l}_R^{\mu\nu}$ . This is the same as the momentum subalgebra of Yang's model.

It is remarkable that the conserved Killing Beltrami momenta lead to the law of inertia in the patch and it holds globally in the atlas patch by patch. In fact, the  $dS$  special relativity can be set up based on the principle of relativity and the postulate of universal constants, the speed of light  $c$  and the  $dS$ -radius  $R$  [9].

In order to show that there is indeed the  $BdS$ -model of the  $dS$  special relativity from a complete Yang model, let us consider another subspace  $\mathcal{I}_2$  of  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,5}$  (3.8) of Yang's model as an intersection

$$\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{H}|_{\zeta^5=0} : \quad \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{P}|_{\zeta^5=0} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,5}, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}|_{\zeta^5=0}$  is a hyperplane defined by  $\zeta^5 = 0$ . Introduce dimensional coordinates

$$\xi^\mu = a\zeta^\mu \quad \xi^4 = a\zeta^4. \quad (3.24)$$

Then  $\mathcal{I}_2$  becomes the  $dS$ -hyperplod  $\mathcal{H}_R$  (3.12) and its metric (3.19) becomes the metric (2.1) restricted on  $\mathcal{I}_2$

$$ds_R^2 = a^2 d\chi^2|_{\mathcal{I}_2}. \quad (3.25)$$

It is also straightforward now to find that the  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \neq 5$  components of the Yang's 6-d 'angular momentum' operators  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{AB}$  consist of a 5-d angular momentum, which is just the angular momentum operators  $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_R^{AB}$  in  $dS$  special relativity. And Yang's momentum-angular momentum operators  $\hat{p}^\mu, \hat{l}^{\mu\nu}$  in (2.2) and their subalgebra are just the Killing Beltrami momentum- angular momentum operators  $\hat{p}_R^\mu, \hat{l}_R^{\mu\nu}$  in the Beltrami model of the  $dS$  special relativity. Thus, a complete Yang model really contain the  $BdS$ -model of  $dS$  special relativity as a sub-model.

### C. The Snyder's model– $dS$ special relativity duality in Yang model

It is important to see [19] that between Snyder's model and the  $dS$  special relativity, there is also a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, s|s^2 = e\}$  dual exchange with

$$s : \quad x_a^\mu \rightarrow p_R^\mu, \quad a \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{R}. \quad (3.26)$$

This is also a UV-IR exchange. And it is isomorphic to the  $Z_2$  duality in Yang's model (2.3).

The Snyder's model- $dS$  special relativity duality contains some other contents. One is that the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$  should be a fundamental constant in the Nature like  $c, G$  and  $\hbar$ . This is already indicated in Yang's model as long as  $R = (3/\Lambda)^{1/2}$  is taken.

Thus, not only both Snyder's model and the  $dS$  special relativity are sub-models in a complete Yang model, but their  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  duality transformations are contained in that of the  $Z_2$  duality in the Yang model as well.



#### IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The above ‘surgery’ for two subspaces  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and  $\mathcal{J}_2$  of a dimensionless  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{M}^{1,5}$  (3.8) in Yang’s model shows that both Snyder’s model and the  $BdS$ -model of  $dS$  special relativity are really sub-physics of a complete Yang model. And the UV-IR duality in Yang’s model is just the one-to-one exchange of the Snyder model– $dS$  special relativity duality.

It is quite possible that there are some other physical implications and/or relations with other dualities, such as the T-duality and S-duality, if  $a$  and  $R$  may have other identifications.

It should be mentioned that a Yang-like model with an  $\mathfrak{so}(2, 4)$  symmetry on a dimensionless  $(2, 4)$ -d flat space  $\mathcal{M}^{2,4}$  can be set up and all similar issues for Snyder’s model and  $dS$  special relativity or for an anti-Snyder’s model on an  $AdS$ -space of momentum and the  $AdS$  special relativity can also be realized started with a dimensionless  $AdS_5 \cong \mathcal{H}$  or its boundary  $\partial(AdS_5) \cong \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}^{2,4}$ .

Since Yang’s algebra is just the Lie algebra form of the deformed algebra in TSR, which is a generalization of DSR, it should be explored from the point of view in our approach what are the relations with other DSR model and the TSR. It seems that the duality exists between other DSR models and  $dS$ -space-time since some DSR models can be realized as Snyder’s model in different coordinates on the  $dS$ -space of momentum, the corresponding coordinates on the  $dS$ -space-time may also be taken. But, this may cause some issues due to the non-inertial effects from the viewpoint of the  $dS$  special relativity.

Finally, we would like to emphasize that a complete Yang model should be regarded as a theory of special relativity based on the principle of relativity in the both space-time and space of momentum as well as the postulate on three universal constants  $c$ ,  $\ell_P$  and  $R$ .

All above issues and related topics should be further studied.

#### Acknowledgments

This work is completed during the string theory and cosmology programm, KITPC, CAS. We’d like to thank Z. Chang, Y. Ling, Q.K. Lu, X.C. Song, Y. Tian, S.K. Wang, K. Wu, X.N. Wu, Y. Yang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou for valuable discussions. This work is partly supported by NSFC under Grant Nos. 90403023, 90503002, 10775140 and Knowledge Innovation Funds of CAS (KJCX3-SYW-S03).

- 
- [1] H. S. Snyder, *Phys. Rev.* **71** (1947), 38.
  - [2] C. N. Yang, *Phys. Rev.* **72** (1947), 874.
  - [3] G. Amelino-Camelia, *Intl. J. Mod. Phys.* **D11** (2002) 35, gr-qc/0012051; *Phys. Lett.* **B510** (2001) 255, hep-th/0012238; J. Magueijo and L. Smolin, *Lorentz invariance with an invariant energy scale*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002) 190403, hep-th/0112090.
  - [4] J. Kowalski-Glikman, *Phys. Lett.* **B 547** (2002), 291; hep-th/0207279; J. Kowalski-Glikman and S. Nowak, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003), 4799, hep-th/0304101.
  - [5] J. Kowalski-Glikman, L. Smolin *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 065020

- [6] C. Chryssomalakos, and E. Okon, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D13** (2004) 1817-1822
- [7] A. Das, and Otto C. W. Kong, *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006) 124029
- [8] G. Amelino-Camelia, L. Smolin, and A. Starodubtsev *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21** (2004) 3095-3110
- [9] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A19** (2004), 1701, hep-th/0403013; *Phys. Lett.* **A331** (2004), 1, hep-th/0403171.
- [10] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou, *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **22** (2005), 2477, hep-th/0508094.
- [11] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Y. Tian, Z. Xu and B. Zhou, *Acta Phys. Sin.* **54** (2005), 2494 (in Chinese).
- [12] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang and B. Zhou, *Europhys. Lett.* **72** (2005) 1045, hep-th/0404010.
- [13] C.-G. Huang, H.-Y. Guo, Y. Tian, Z. Xu and B. Zhou, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A22** (2007) 2535, hep-th/0405137; Y. Tian, H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Z. Xu and B. Zhou, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005), 044030, hep-th/0411004.
- [14] Q. K. Lu, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **44** (2005), 389; Dirac's conformal spaces and de Sitter spaces, in memory of the 100th anniversary of Einstein special relativity and the 70th anniversary of Dirac's de Sitter spaces and their boundaries. MCM-Workshop series. **1** 2005.
- [15] H.-Y. Guo, *Phys. Lett.* **B653** (2007) 88-94, ArXiv:hep-th/0611341.
- [16] H.-Y. Guo, Special Relativity and Theory of Gravity via Maximum Symmetry and Localization — In Honor of the 80th Birthday of Professor Qikeng Lu, Invited talk at the 2006 Interl Conf. on Several Complex Variables, June 5 - 9, 2006; Beijing, China. To appear in the proceedings; ArXiv: 0707.3855(gr-qc). And references therein.
- [17] M.L. Yan, N.C. Xiao, W. Huang, S. Li, *Comm. Theor. Phys.* **48** (2007) 27, hep-th/0512319.
- [18] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Y. Tian, Z. Xu and B. Zhou, Snyder's Quantized Space-time and de Sitter Invariant Relativity, *Pront. Phys, China*, **2** (2007) 358-363, ArXiv: hep-th/0607016. H.-Y. Guo, Invited talk given at the 'International workshop on noncommutative geometry and physics', Beijing, Nov. 7-10, 2005. ArXiv: hep-th/0607017.
- [19] H.-Y. Guo, C.-G. Huang, Y. Tian, H. T. Wu, Z. Xu, and B. Zhou, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24** (2007) 4009-4035. And references therein.