

Deformation of Curved BPS Domain Walls and Supersymmetric Flows on $2d$ Kähler-Ricci Soliton

Bobby E. Gunara and Freddy P. Zen ¹

*Indonesia Center for Theoretical and Mathematical Physics (ICTMP),
and
Theoretical Physics Laboratory,
Theoretical High Energy Physics and Instrumentation Division,
Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences,
Institut Teknologi Bandung
Jl. Ganesha 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia.*

ABSTRACT

Some aspects of curved BPS domain walls and their supersymmetric Lorentz invariant vacuums of four dimensional $N = 1$ supergravity coupled to a chiral multiplet are considered. In particular, the scalar manifold can be viewed as two dimensional Kähler-Ricci soliton which further implies that all quantities describing the walls and their vacuums have evolution with respect to the soliton. Then, the analysis using BPS equations results a lower bound which leads to a restriction of the vacuums related to field theory on curved background. Furthermore, in order to verify their existence in ultraviolet or infrared regions one has to perform the renormalization group analysis. Finally, a simplest model with linear superpotential and the Kähler-Ricci soliton considered as Rosenau solution is discussed in detail.

¹email: bobby@fi.itb.ac.id, fpzen@fi.itb.ac.id

1 Introduction

Attempts to generalize the study of AdS/CFT correspondence [1] on curved spacetime have been done, for example, in the context of curved domain walls of five dimensional $N = 2$ supergravity [2, 3]. In these paper they firstly have constructed curved BPS domain walls and discussed their dual description in terms of renormalization group (RG) flow described by a beta function. Then, putting the supersymmetric field theory studied in [4] on curved four dimensional AdS background they also have demonstrated in a simplest model that this holographic RG flow in the field theory on the curved spacetime has indeed a description in terms of curved BPS domain walls.

So far, in four dimensional $N = 1$ supergravity theory we only have flat domain wall cases studied in some references, for example [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Therefore, the above results motivate us to apply the scenario to the case of curved BPS domain walls of $N = 1$ supergravity in four dimensions. The first step to do is the objective of this paper. Our interest here is to study curved BPS domain walls together with their Lorentz invariant vacuums in the context of dynamical system and RG flow analysis which is generalization of the previous works [8, 9]. Particularly, we want to see how the general scheme looks like in a simple model, namely $N = 1$ supergravity coupled to a chiral multiplet which can be regarded as a solution of Kähler-Ricci flow equation [10, 11]². In addition, this geometric soliton could have a singularity in its evolution.

The direct implication of such treatment is that all couplings such as shifting quantities, masses, and the scalar potential do evolve with respect to the Kähler-Ricci soliton. Then, such behavior is generally inherited to all solitonic solutions such as domain walls which can be seen from the fact that the warped factor, BPS equations, and a beta function deform with respect to this geometric soliton. Therefore, the vacuums does also posses such property which can shortly be mentioned as follows.

Firstly, near the vacuums the spacetime is in general non-Einstein and then, becomes space of constant curvature (which is also non-Einstein) related to divergences of RG flow. Secondly, the Kähler-Ricci soliton indeed affects the nature of the vacuums mapping nondegenerate to another degenerate vacuums and vice versa. Moreover, in a model that admits singular geometric evolution, the vacuum structure posses a parity pair of vacuums in the sense that the vacuums of the index λ turns into another vacuums of the index $2 - \lambda$ after hitting the singularity. This is an example that also occurs in general scheme for flat domain walls [8].

Finally, the analysis using gradient flow gives a lower bound which shows that the above vacuums do not always exist in general. Moreover, performing the RG flow analysis we can further verify the existence of such vacuums in infrared or ultraviolet regions which correspond to the field theory on three dimensional curved background.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we review $N = 1$ supergravity on two dimensional Kähler-Ricci soliton and introduce some quantities which are useful for our analysis. Then, the discussion is continued in section 3 by addressing some aspects of curved BPS domain walls on two dimensional Kähler-Ricci soliton. Section 4 is assigned to discuss the nature of supersymmetric Lorentz invariant vacuums together with their deformation with respect to the soliton. We put the discussion about deformation of

²This Kähler-Ricci flow also appears as one loop approximation of the beta function of $N = 2$ supersymmetry in two and three dimensions, see for example [12, 13]. However, this is not the case in higher dimension, particularly in four dimensions.

supersymmetric flow on curved spacetime in section 5. A simplest example is given in section 6. Finally, we summarize our results in section 7.

2 $4d$ $N = 1$ Chiral Supergravity on $2d$ Kähler-Ricci Soliton

In this section we provide a review of four dimensional $N = 1$ supergravity coupled to a chiral multiplet in which the non-linear σ -model satisfies Kähler-Ricci flow equation defined below. This implies that our $N = 1$ supergravity also deforms with respect to the geometric flow [8].

Firstly, the ingredients of the $N = 1$ theory are a gravitational multiplet and a chiral multiplet. The gravitational multiplet is composed of a vierbein e_ν^a and a vector fermion ψ_ν where $a = 0, \dots, 3$ and $\nu = 0, \dots, 3$ are the flat and the curved indices, respectively. The member of a chiral multiplet is a complex scalar z and a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermion χ .

Then, the general $N = 1$ chiral supergravity Lagrangian together with its supersymmetry transformation can be constructed which is found, for example, in [14, 15]. Our main interest here is related to the bosonic part of the $N = 1$ supergravity Lagrangian whose the form is

$$\mathcal{L}^{N=1} = -\frac{1}{2M_P^2}R + g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \partial_\nu z \partial^\nu \bar{z} - V(z, \bar{z}; \tau), \quad (2.1)$$

where R is the Ricci scalar of the four dimensional spacetime, the pair (z, \bar{z}) spans a Hodge-Kähler manifold with metric $g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau) = \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} K(z, \bar{z}; \tau)$ and $K(z, \bar{z}; \tau)$ is a real function called Kähler potential. Moreover, the scalar manifold deforms with respect to Kähler-Ricci flow equation ³

$$\frac{\partial g_{z\bar{z}}}{\partial \tau} = -2R_{z\bar{z}}(\tau) = -2 \partial_z \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}} \ln g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau), \quad (2.2)$$

where τ is a real parameter. The $N = 1$ scalar potential $V(z, \bar{z}; \tau)$ has the form

$$V(z, \bar{z}; \tau) = e^{K(\tau)/M_P^2} \left(g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \nabla_z W \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{z}} \bar{W} - \frac{3}{M_P^2} W \bar{W} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where W is a holomorphic superpotential and $\nabla_z W \equiv (dW/dz) + (K_z(\tau)/M_P^2)W$. The Lagrangian (2.1) is invariant under the following supersymmetry transformations up to three-fermion terms ⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\psi_{1\nu} &= \frac{1}{M_P} D_\nu \epsilon_1 + \frac{i}{2M_P} e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W \gamma_\nu \epsilon^1 + \frac{i}{2M_P^2} Q_\nu(\tau) \epsilon_1, \\ \delta\chi^z &= i\partial_\nu z \gamma^\nu \epsilon^1 + N^z(\tau) \epsilon_1, \\ \delta e_\nu^a &= -iM_P (\bar{\psi}_{1\nu} \gamma^a \epsilon^1 + \bar{\psi}_\nu^1 \gamma^a \epsilon_1), \\ \delta z &= \bar{\chi}^z \epsilon_1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $N^z(\tau) \equiv e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{z}} \bar{W}$, $g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) = (g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau))^{-1}$, and the $U(1)$ connection $Q_\nu(\tau) \equiv -(K_z(\tau) \partial_\nu z - K_{\bar{z}}(\tau) \partial_\nu \bar{z})$. Here, we have also defined $\epsilon_1 \equiv \epsilon_1(x, \tau)$. Setting

³Classification of special solutions of (2.2) using linearization method has been studied, for example, in [16].

⁴We distinguish the symbol D_ν here with reference [9]. D_ν is defined as $D_\nu \equiv \partial_\nu - \frac{1}{4} \gamma_{ab} \omega_\nu^{ab}$.

the Planck mass $M_P \rightarrow +\infty$, $N = 1$ global supersymmetric theory can be achieved. The flow equation (2.2) further implies that, for example, the scalar potential (2.3) and the shifting quantity N^z do evolve with respect to τ as [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial N^z(\tau)}{\partial \tau} &= 2R_z^z(\tau)N^z(\tau) + \frac{K_\tau(\tau)}{2M_P^2}N^z(\tau) + g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau)\frac{K_{\bar{z}\tau}(\tau)}{M_P^2}e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2}\bar{W}, \quad (2.5) \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{V}(\tau)}{\partial \tau} &= \frac{\partial N^z(\tau)}{\partial \tau}N_z(\tau) + \frac{\partial N_z(\tau)}{\partial \tau}N^z(\tau) - \frac{3K_\tau(\tau)}{M_P^2}e^{K(\tau)/M_P^2}|W|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $R_z^z \equiv g^{z\bar{z}}R_{z\bar{z}}$. Note that as studied in [17, 18] it is possible that such geometric flow (2.2) has singular point at finite τ . For example, this situation can be directly observed in a model with Kähler-Einstein manifold as initial geometry. In this paper we particularly consider Rosenau solution of (2.2) which also admits such property in section 6. This special solution was firstly constructed in [19].

3 Curved BPS Domain Walls on 2d Kähler-Ricci Soliton

This section is assigned to give discussion of curved domain walls which admit partial Lorentz invariance. In particular, we consider curved BPS domain walls that maintain half of supersymmetry of the parental theory. As consequence, the background should be three dimensional AdS spacetime.

Let us now turn to consider the ground states which break partially Lorentz invariance, *i.e.*, domain walls. The starting point is to take the ansatz metric of four dimensional spacetime as

$$ds^2 = a^2(u, \tau) g_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\nu}} dx^\lambda dx^\nu - du^2, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\nu} = 0, 1, 2$, $a(u, \tau)$ is the warped factor, and the parameter τ is related to the dynamics of the Kähler metric governed by (2.2). The metric $g_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\nu}}$ describes three dimensional AdS spacetime. Therefore, the corresponding Ricci tensor of the metric (3.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\nu}} &= \left[\left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)' + 3 \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 - \frac{\Lambda_3}{a^2} \right] a^2 g_{\underline{\lambda}\underline{\nu}}, \\ R_{33} &= -3 \left[\left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)' + \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and further, the Ricci scalar has the form

$$R = 6 \left[\left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)' + 2 \left(\frac{a'}{a} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{3\Lambda_3}{a^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $a' \equiv \partial a / \partial u$ and Λ_3 is the negative three dimensional cosmological constant. Writing the supersymmetry transformation (2.4) and setting $\psi_{1\nu} = \chi^z = e_\nu^a = 0$ on the background

(3.1), this leads

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi_{1u} &= \frac{1}{M_P} D_u \epsilon_1 + \frac{i}{2M_P} e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W \gamma_u \epsilon^1 + \frac{i}{2M_P^2} Q_u(\tau) \epsilon_1, \\
\delta\psi_{1\underline{z}} &= \frac{1}{M_P} D_{\underline{z}} \epsilon_1 + \frac{1}{2M_P} \gamma_{\underline{z}} \left(-\frac{a'}{a} \gamma_3 \epsilon_1 + i e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W \epsilon^1 \right) + \frac{i}{2M_P^2} Q_{\underline{z}}(\tau) \epsilon_1, \\
\delta\chi^z &= i \partial_{\nu z} \gamma^\nu \epsilon^1 + N^z(\tau) \epsilon_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Supersymmetry further demand that the equations in (3.4) vanish on the ground states. Then, on three dimensional AdS spacetime there exists a Killing spinor [20]

$$D_{\underline{z}} \epsilon_1 + \frac{i}{2} \ell \hat{\gamma}_{\underline{z}} \epsilon^1 = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\ell \equiv \sqrt{-\Lambda_3/2}$. Here, $\hat{\gamma}_{\underline{z}}$ means gamma matrices in three dimensional AdS spacetime and, therefore $\gamma_{\underline{z}} = a \hat{\gamma}_{\underline{z}}$. Thus, by taking $z = z(u, \tau)$ the first equation in (3.4) shows that ϵ_1 depends certainly on spacetime, while the second equation gives a projection equation

$$\frac{a'}{a} \gamma_3 \epsilon_1 = i \left(e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W(z) - \frac{\ell}{a} \right) \epsilon^1, \tag{3.6}$$

which leads to ⁵

$$\frac{a'}{a} = \pm \left| e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W(z) - \frac{\ell}{a} \right|. \tag{3.7}$$

So, the warped factor a is indeed τ dependent which is consistent with our ansatz (3.1). Next, since $z = z(u, \tau)$ the third equation in (3.4) becomes simply

$$\begin{aligned}
z' &= \mp 2e^{i\theta(\tau)} g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{W}(\tau), \\
\bar{z}' &= \mp 2e^{-i\theta(\tau)} g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \partial_z \mathcal{W}(\tau),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where we have introduced the phase function $\theta(z, \bar{z}; u, \tau)$ via

$$e^{i\theta(\tau)} = \frac{\left(1 - \ell e^{-K(\tau)/2M_P^2} (aW)^{-1} \right)}{\left| 1 - \ell e^{-K(\tau)/2M_P^2} (aW)^{-1} \right|}, \tag{3.9}$$

and the real function $\mathcal{W}(z, \bar{z}; \tau) \equiv e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} |W(z)|$. Note that at $\theta = 0$ flat domain wall case is regained, which corresponds to $\ell = 0$. Thus, we have the gradient flow equations (3.8) called BPS equations in curved spacetime. Furthermore, another supersymmetric flows related to the analysis are renormalization group (RG) flows given by beta functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(\tau) &\equiv a \frac{\partial z}{\partial a} = -\frac{2e^{i\theta(\tau)}}{\left| e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W(z) - \ell/a \right|} g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{W}(\tau), \\
\bar{\beta}(\tau) &\equiv a \frac{\partial \bar{z}}{\partial a} = -\frac{2e^{-i\theta(\tau)}}{\left| e^{K(\tau)/2M_P^2} W(z) - \ell/a \right|} g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \partial_z \mathcal{W}(\tau),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

⁵This warp factor a is related to the c-function in the holographic correspondence [4, 7].

after using (3.7) and (3.8), together with its complex conjugate. These functions give a description of conformal field theory (CFT) on three dimensional AdS spacetime. Therefore, the scalars behave as coupling constants and the warp factor a can be viewed as an energy scale [4, 7, 21]. Then, the scalar potential (2.3) can be written down as

$$V(z, \bar{z}; \tau) = 4 g^{z\bar{z}}(\tau) \partial_z \mathcal{W}(\tau) \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{W}(\tau) - \frac{3}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}^2(\tau) . \quad (3.11)$$

To see the deformation of domain walls clearer, we have to consider a case where, for example, the Kähler-Ricci flow has singularity at finite $\tau = \tau_0 < \infty$. Such a property may cause topological change of the scalar manifold. The simplest example of the case is when the initial manifold is Kähler-Einstein manifold which has been studied in [8]. Here, our interest is to look at another non trivial solution of (2.2) where

$$g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau) = \begin{cases} g_1(z, \bar{z}; \tau) & ; \tau < \tau_0 , \\ 0 & ; \tau = \tau_0 , \\ -g_2(z, \bar{z}; \tau) & ; \tau > \tau_0 , \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

with $g_1(z, \bar{z}; \tau)$ and $g_2(z, \bar{z}; \tau)$ are both positive definite function. Therefore, for the case at hand the $N = 1$ theory (2.1) and the walls described by (3.8) and (3.10) diverge at $\tau = \tau_0$. This singularity disconnects two different theories, namely for $\tau < \tau_0$ we have $N = 1$ theory on positive definite metric, while it becomes $N = 1$ theory on negative definite metric for $\tau > \tau_0$. As we will see in section 6 the Rosenau solution has also similar property and produces singularity at $\tau_0 = 0$.

Let us finally turn to look at the gradient flow equation (3.8). Critical points of the equation (3.8) satisfy the following conditions

$$\partial_z \mathcal{W} = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{W} = 0 \quad , \quad (3.13)$$

which further imply that the first derivative of the scalar potential (3.11) vanishes. In other words, there is a correspondence between the critical points of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ and the vacuums of the $N = 1$ scalar potential $V(\tau)$ ⁶. Moreover, the Kähler-Ricci flow, in particular the metric (3.12), causes evolution of these critical points (vacuums) which are characterized by the beta functions (3.10) that split into two region. If $a \rightarrow +\infty$ then we have ultraviolet (UV) region, while infrared (IR) region if $a \rightarrow 0$. Aspects of vacuum and supersymmetric flow deformation will be discussed in detail in section 4 and section 5, respectively.

4 Properties of Supersymmetric Vacuums

Our attention here will be mainly drawn to discuss supersymmetric vacuums of the theory described by the scalar potential (3.11). As mentioned in the previous section these vacuums are related to the critical points of the real function $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ and changed with respect to τ . In this section, we firstly show that in general such points correspond to a four dimensional non-Einstein spacetime. Then, second order analysis of the vacuums related to the critical points of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ will be carried out. Note that the discussion here, however, is incomplete since it does not involve supersymmetric flow analysis which is

⁶The vacuum of the scalar potential (2.3) means Lorentz invariant vacuum (ground state). In the following we mention Lorentz invariant vacuum as vacuum or ground state.

provided in section 5. Additionally, a short review of critical points of surfaces is given in Appendix B which is useful in our analysis in the paper.

Let us begin our discussion by mentioning that from (3.13) a critical points of the real function $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$, say p_0 , is in general $p_0 \equiv (z_0(\tau), \bar{z}_0(\tau))$ due to the geometric flow (2.2). Moreover, such point exists in asymptotic regions, namely around $u \rightarrow \pm\infty$. The form of the scalar potential (3.11) at p_0 is

$$V(p_0; \tau) = -\frac{3}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}^2(p_0; \tau) \equiv -\frac{3}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0^2. \quad (4.1)$$

For the case of flat domain walls discussed in [9] this can be viewed as the cosmological constant of spacetime at a vacuum. However, in general this is not the case. Therefore, we have to consider the behavior of the warped factor a near vacuums, which are related to the shape of the spacetime. Around p_0 , the solution of equation (3.7) tends to ⁷

$$a(u, \tau) = \frac{l}{\mathcal{W}_0^2} \pm \left(\frac{\ell^2}{\mathcal{W}_0^2} - \frac{l^2}{\mathcal{W}_0^4} \right)^{1/2} [A_0 e^{\pm \mathcal{W}_0 u} - A_0^{-1} e^{\mp \mathcal{W}_0 u}], \quad (4.2)$$

where $l \equiv \ell e^{K(p_0; \tau)/2M_P^2} \text{Re}W(z_0)$ and $A_0 \neq 0$. Since a is real, then $\mathcal{W}_0 > |l|/\ell$. Moreover, in this case we have $(a'/a)' \neq 0$ near p_0 . So, defining

$$\frac{a'}{a} = \pm \left| e^{K(p_0; \tau)/2M_P^2} W(z_0) - \frac{\ell}{a} \right| \equiv \pm k, \quad (4.3)$$

with $k \equiv k(u, \tau) \geq 0$, the Ricci tensor (3.2) and the Ricci scalar (3.3) become

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\lambda\nu} &= \left[\pm k' + 3k^2 + 2\ell^2 e^{\mp 2 \int k du} \right] e^{\pm 2 \int k du} g_{\lambda\nu}, \\ R_{33} &= -3k^2, \\ R &= \pm 6k' + 12k^2 + 6\ell^2 e^{\mp 2 \int k du}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

respectively, which confirms that in general the spacetime is non-Einstein ⁸. Let us consider some special cases as follows. For $\ell = 0$ case, we have four dimensional AdS spacetime for $k \neq 0$ with $k' = 0$ and the cosmological constant is given by (4.1) appeared in the flat domain walls. Next, it is possible to have a case where $k = 0$. Here, the spacetime is four dimensional non-Einstein space of constant curvature, where

$$e^{K(p_0; \tau)/2M_P^2} W(z_0) = \frac{\ell}{a}, \quad (4.5)$$

or in other words,

$$\text{Im}W(z_0) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Then these facts tell us that first order expansion of the beta function (3.10) would be ill defined ⁹. Hence, this vacuum does not correspond to the CFT on three dimensional

⁷In the limit of flat walls we have $\ell \rightarrow 0$ and $A_0 \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

⁸It is important to notice that the analysis using supersymmetric flows, namely gradient and RG flows shows that such a spacetime does not always exist, see the discussion in section 5.

⁹See equations (5.9) and (5.10) in the next section for a detail.

AdS spacetime.

Another singularity could occur at $\tau = \tau_0$ which is caused by divergence of the geometric flow (3.12). The detail of this aspect depends on a model in which both the form of the geometric flow and the superpotential are involved. Also, in this case some quantities would diverge. We give a simplest model in section 6.

The last case is a static case in which $W(z_0) \neq 0$ and the $U(1)$ connection vanishes¹⁰. This means that p_0 does not depend on τ , but is rather determined by the holomorphic superpotential $W(z)$. In other words, p_0 is a critical point of $W(z)$. However, as we will see in the following, although p_0 is static the second order analysis does depend on τ because the geometric flow described by the Kähler potential $K(z, \bar{z}; \tau)$ is involved in the analysis. An example of this situation is discussed in section 6.

The second part of the discussion is to study properties of the critical points of the real function $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ which is τ dependent in the second order analysis. Thus, the eigenvalues of its Hessian matrix are also τ dependent and have the form¹¹

$$\lambda_{1,2}^{\mathcal{W}}(\tau) = \frac{g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0 \pm 2|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0|, \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0 \equiv \frac{e^{K(p_0; \tau)/M_P^2} \bar{W}(\bar{z}_0)}{2\mathcal{W}(p_0; \tau)} \left(\frac{d^2 W}{dz^2}(z_0) + \frac{K_{zz}(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} W(z_0) + \frac{K_z(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} \frac{dW}{dz}(z_0) \right). \quad (4.8)$$

Since the metric $g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau)$ satisfies (3.12), then we split the discussion into two parts. Firstly, in the interval $\tau < \tau_0$ the metric is positive definite, namely $g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) = g_1(p_0; \tau)$, and the possible cases for p_0 are local minimum if

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| < \frac{1}{2M_P^2} g_1(p_0; \tau) \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (4.9)$$

or saddle by requiring

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| > \frac{1}{2M_P^2} g_1(p_0; \tau) \mathcal{W}_0. \quad (4.10)$$

Furthermore, p_0 turns out to be degenerate when the following condition

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| = \frac{1}{2M_P^2} g_1(p_0; \tau) \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (4.11)$$

holds. Secondly, for $\tau > \tau_0$ we have negative definite metric and $g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) = -g_2(p_0; \tau)$. Hence, in this region the conditions (4.9) and (4.10) are modified by replacing $g_1(p_0; \tau)$ with $g_2(p_0; \tau)$ which further state that p_0 is either local maximum for

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| < \frac{1}{2M_P^2} g_2(p_0; \tau) \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (4.12)$$

or saddle confirmed by

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| > \frac{1}{2M_P^2} g_2(p_0; \tau) \mathcal{W}_0. \quad (4.13)$$

¹⁰Note that in the $W(z_0) = 0$ case the warped factor $a(u, \tau)$ in (4.2) becomes singular.

¹¹Note that since we have curved BPS domain walls, this eigenvalue has a restriction coming from the gradient flow (3.8) which gives a lower bound (5.2). Again, see section 5 for a detail.

For degenerate case, we use the same procedure on (4.11). Therefore, since the point p_0 is dynamic with respect to τ , we can then summarize the above results as follows. A non degenerate critical point can be changed into degenerate critical point and vice versa by the special geometric flow (3.12). Moreover, this flow also affects the index of the critical points by minus sign. In other words, this is a parity transformation of the Hessian matrix of \mathcal{W} that maps a critical point to its parity partner, such as local minimum to local maximum and vice versa, and other critical points with opposite sign of the index [8].

In the following we finally perform general analysis on supersymmetric vacuums of the scalar potential (3.11) and their relation to the critical points of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$. As mentioned in the preceding section, the critical point p_0 of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ defines a vacuum of the theory. Then, at p_0 the τ dependent eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of the scalar potential (3.11) are given by ¹²

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,2}^V(\tau) &= -4 \left(\frac{g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^4} \mathcal{W}_0^2 - 2g^{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0|^2 \right) \\ &\quad \pm 4 \frac{\mathcal{W}_0}{M_P^2} |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

The first step is to look for $\tau < \tau_0$. Local minimum of the scalar potential (3.11) exists if

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| > \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0. \quad (4.15)$$

On the other side, local maximum is ensured by

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| < \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (4.16)$$

while the inequality

$$\frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0 < |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| < \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0. \quad (4.17)$$

shows the existence of saddle point. Moreover, vacuums become degenerate if

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| &= \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0, \\ |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| &= \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Since the metric $g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau)$ is negative definite for $\tau > \tau_0$, then one gets local maximum by replacing $g_1(p_0; \tau)$ with $g_2(p_0; \tau)$ in (4.15). Then, using the same procedure to (4.16) and (4.17), conditions for local minimum and saddle point are obtained, respectively. Again, we have degenerate vacuums if

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| &= \frac{g_2(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0, \\ |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| &= \frac{g_2(p_0; \tau)}{M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

¹²Also, we have similar discussion as in footnote 11.

Here, we put some comments in order. In $\tau < \tau_0$ region, the analysis of equations (4.9)-(4.11) and (4.15)-(4.18) reaches the same results as in [9]. The local minimum of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ given by (4.9) is mapped into the local maximum of the scalar potential (4.16). Then, the other vacuums, namely, the local minimum, the saddle point, and the degenerate case described by the second equality in (4.18) are coming from the saddle of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$. Lastly, there is a case where both eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix of the scalar potential and the real function $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ become singular. This means that this degenerate critical point of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ is mapped into the degenerate vacuum and such a case is named intrinsic degenerate vacuum.

For $\tau > \tau_0$ case, since the parity transformation exists, we have then the following situations. The existence of the local minimum of the scalar potential is guaranteed by the local maximum of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ given by (4.12). Next, the saddle of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ are mapped into the local maximum, the saddle point, and the degenerate vacuums given by the second equation in (4.19) of the scalar potential. Still, the first equation in (4.19) describes intrinsic degenerate vacuums.

5 Supersymmetric Flows On Curved Spacetime

This section provides analysis of supersymmetric flows, namely, the gradient flow equations (3.8) and RG flow described by the beta function (3.10) around vacuum in the presence of geometric soliton (3.12). As we will see, such soliton affects the flows by minus sign which in other words is the parity map mentioned above.

First of all, we employ dynamical system analysis on the gradient flows (3.8). A vacuum p_0 is an equilibrium point of (3.8) if it is also a critical point of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$. Then, around p_0 the first order expansion of (3.8) gives the eigenvalues

$$\Lambda_{1,2} = \mp \frac{\mathcal{W}_0}{M_P^2} \cos\theta_0(u, \tau) - 2g^{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) \left[|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0|^2 - \frac{g_{z\bar{z}}^2(p_0; \tau)}{4M_P^4} \mathcal{W}_0^2 \sin^2\theta_0(u, \tau) \right]^{1/2}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\theta_0(u, \tau) \equiv \theta(p_0; u, \tau)$ and the function $\theta(z, \bar{z}; u, \tau)$ defined in (3.9). In general the eigenvalues (5.1) are complex because the second term in the square root could be negative. Therefore, in order to have a consistent model we simply set that they must have real value in which the following condition¹³

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| \geq \frac{|g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau)|}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0 |\sin\theta_0(u, \tau)|, \quad (5.2)$$

holds. Then, this inequality gives a lower bound which restricts the critical points of the function $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ and the vacuums of the theory described by (4.7) and (4.14), respectively. In other words, in order to have some vacuums related to the CFT on curved spacetime the condition (5.2) must be fulfilled¹⁴.

¹³If we take the limit $\ell \rightarrow 0$, then $\sin\theta(p_0; \tau) \rightarrow 0$. So, we regain the flat domain wall case with $|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}(p_0; \tau)| \geq 0$ [9].

¹⁴See also the discussion on RG flow below.

For $\tau < \tau_0$ and $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \neq 0$ case we obtain that stable nodes require

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| > \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (5.3)$$

while saddles are ensured by the condition

$$\frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0 |\sin\theta_0(u, \tau)| \leq |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| < \frac{g_1(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0. \quad (5.4)$$

Looking at (5.3), (4.15), and (4.17) we find that the dynamic of the walls described by (3.8) is stable flowing along local minimum and stable direction of saddles of the scalar potential (3.11). Along local maximum the walls become unstable and the gradient flow is unstable saddle. In other words, the dynamic of the walls is on unstable curve flowing away from p_0 . Moreover, in this linear analysis there is also a possibility of having p_0 is a bifurcation point, namely, one of the eigenvalue in (5.1) vanishes. Such a case occurs if the condition (4.11) holds and it takes place on intrinsic degenerate vacuums¹⁵. These conclusions are similar as in the flat domain walls [9]. For $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) = 0$, only the stable nodes survive and hence, we have stable walls.

Then, after crossing singularity at $\tau = \tau_0$, *i.e.*, the $\tau > \tau_0$ case, we obtain the inequality

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| > \frac{g_2(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (5.5)$$

describing unstable nodes, whereas saddles need

$$\frac{g_2(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0 |\sin\theta_0(u, \tau)| \leq |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| < \frac{g_2(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0, \quad (5.6)$$

assuming $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \neq 0$. Here, we have unstable walls flowing along local maximum and unstable direction of saddles of the scalar potential (3.11). On the other hand, along local minima the walls become stable approaching p_0 on stable curve of the saddle flow. Again, similar situation is obtained for a bifurcation point which requires

$$|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| = \frac{g_2(p_0; \tau)}{2M_P^2} \mathcal{W}_0. \quad (5.7)$$

If $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) = 0$, then we have only unstable nodes which means that the model admits only unstable walls.

Now let us perform analysis on the RG flows described by the beta function (3.10) for finding out the nature of the vacuum p_0 in UV and IR regions. Our starting point is expanding the beta function (3.10) around p_0 and then, we obtain the matrix

$$\mathcal{U} \equiv - \begin{pmatrix} \partial\beta/\partial z(p_0) & \partial\bar{\beta}/\partial z(p_0) \\ \partial\beta/\partial\bar{z}(p_0) & \partial\bar{\beta}/\partial\bar{z}(p_0) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.8)$$

¹⁵To see the type of this fold bifurcation one has to check the higher order terms. At least one of these terms is non vanishing [22].

whose eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1^{\mathcal{U}} = k^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_0}{M_P^2} \cos\theta_0(u, \tau) + 2g^{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) \left[|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0|^2 - \frac{g_{z\bar{z}}^2(p_0; \tau)}{4M_P^4} \mathcal{W}_0^2 \sin^2\theta_0(u, \tau) \right]^{1/2} \right), \quad (5.9)$$

$$\lambda_2^{\mathcal{U}} = k^{-1} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_0}{M_P^2} \cos\theta_0(u, \tau) - 2g^{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) \left[|\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0|^2 - \frac{g_{z\bar{z}}^2(p_0; \tau)}{4M_P^4} \mathcal{W}_0^2 \sin^2\theta_0(u, \tau) \right]^{1/2} \right). \quad (5.10)$$

Similar as in the gradient flow, since the condition (5.2) is fulfilled, then both eigenvalues (5.9) and (5.10) are real. In UV region where $a \rightarrow +\infty$, it demands that at least one of the above eigenvalues have to be positive along which the RG flow can depart from the vacuum. Let us first discuss in $\tau < \tau_0$ region. In $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) > 0$ case, all possibilities of vacuums are allowed. But, for $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \leq 0$ only stable nodes are permitted and therefore, saddle, local minimum, and non-intrinsic stable degenerate vacuums could exist. In other words, in this situation the gradient flow is only flowing along the stable direction of such vacuums. Second, in $\tau > \tau_0$ region we have the same result for $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) > 0$ case. However, for $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \leq 0$ case only unstable nodes are allowed which tell us the existence of unstable vacuums such as saddle, local maximum, and non-intrinsic unstable degenerate vacuums. In addition, the gradient flow is taking unstable direction of the vacuums and hence, we have here unstable situation.

On the other side, IR region where $a \rightarrow 0$ requires at least a negative eigenvalue of (5.8) which is the direction of the RG flow approaching the vacuum. Firstly, in $\tau < \tau_0$ and $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \geq 0$ we find that only stable nodes survive and again, we have only stable situation in which local maximum and intrinsic degenerate vacuums are forbidden here. Conversely, we have all possible vacuums for $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) < 0$. Secondly, in $\tau > \tau_0$ and $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \geq 0$ it turns out that only unstable nodes exist and therefore, the theory admits only the unstable vacuums mentioned above. Lastly, everything is allowed for $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) < 0$.

6 A Model with Rosenau Soliton

In this section we give an example in which the geometric flow is called Rosenau soliton satisfying (3.12). This soliton was firstly studied in the context of fluid dynamics [19] and has also been considered by geometers as a toy model in two dimensional complex surfaces, for a review, see for example [23].

The Rosenau soliton has the form

$$g_{z\bar{z}}(\tau) = -\frac{4c^2}{b} \frac{\sinh(2b\tau)}{\cosh[2c(z + \bar{z})] + \cosh(2b\tau)}, \quad (6.1)$$

whose the corresponding $U(1)$ connection is given by

$$Q(\tau) = -\frac{ic}{b} \ln\left(\frac{\cosh[v + 2b\tau]}{\cosh v}\right) (dz - d\bar{z}), \quad (6.2)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $b > 0$, and $v \equiv c(z + \bar{z}) - b\tau$ which diverges at $\tau = 0$ or $c = 0$. It is easy to see that the metric (6.1) is invariant under parity transformation, $c \leftrightarrow -c$. Then, we can further get its Kähler potential

$$\begin{aligned} K(\tau) &= -\frac{2}{b} \int \ln\left(\frac{\cosh[v + 2b\tau]}{\cosh v}\right) dv \\ &= 2b\tau v + 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[\operatorname{dilog}(1 + ie^{v+2b\tau}) - \operatorname{dilog}(1 + ie^v) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

where dilogarithm function have been introduced [24]

$$\operatorname{dilog}(x) \equiv \int_1^x \frac{\ln t}{1-t} dt = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \frac{x^k}{k^2}. \quad (6.4)$$

Looking at (6.1), we find that

$$g_1(\tau) = g_2(\tau) = \frac{4c^2}{b} \frac{|\sinh(2b\tau)|}{\cosh[2c(z + \bar{z})] + \cosh(2b\tau)}, \quad (6.5)$$

for all τ but not at singularity $\tau = 0$ and $c \neq 0$.

Now let us first choose for simplicity the holomorphic superpotential

$$W(z) = a_0 + a_1 z, \quad (6.6)$$

with $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. So, to find a supersymmetric vacuum one has to solve the condition (3.13) in which in this model it becomes

$$a_1 - \frac{2c}{b M_P^2} \ln\left(\frac{\cosh[c(z_0 + \bar{z}_0) + b\tau]}{\cosh[c(z_0 + \bar{z}_0) - b\tau]}\right) (a_0 + a_1 z_0) = 0. \quad (6.7)$$

Nondegeneracy requires $W(z_0) \neq 0$. Then, we obtain the solution of (6.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= 0, \\ \tanh(2cx_0) \coth\left(\frac{ba_1 M_P^2}{4c(a_0 + a_1 x_0)}\right) &= \coth(b\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

which follow that the imaginary part of $W(z_0)$ vanishes. Thus, from (4.6) we find that this model admits only singular vacuums which do not related to the CFT in three dimensions. In addition, it is easy then to see that the origin belongs to this class of vacuum for $a_1 = 0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$ at which $U(1)$ connection disappears.

The next case is to replace a_1 by ia'_1 with $a'_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ in the superpotential (6.6). Then, for the case at hand we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y_0 &= \frac{a_0}{a'_1}, \\ \tanh(2cx_0) \coth\left(\frac{bM_P^2}{4cx_0}\right) &= \coth(b\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

in which the superpotential evaluated at p_0 has the form

$$W(z_0) = ia'_1 x_0. \quad (6.10)$$

Hence, the warped factor (4.2) simplifies to

$$a(u, \tau) = \pm \frac{\ell}{\mathcal{W}_0} [A_0 e^{\pm \mathcal{W}_0 u} - A_0^{-1} e^{\mp \mathcal{W}_0 u}] , \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = e^{K(x_0; \tau)/2M_P^2} |a'_1 x_0| . \quad (6.12)$$

We close this section by providing the rest quantities related to the analysis of eigenvalues in this case, namely $\lambda_{1,2}^{\mathcal{W}}$, $\lambda_{1,2}^V$, $\Lambda_{1,2}$, and $\lambda_{1,2}^{\mathcal{U}}$. These are

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_z^2 \mathcal{W}_0| &= \frac{\mathcal{W}_0}{2M_P^2} \left| g_{z\bar{z}}(p_0; \tau) - \frac{1}{M_P^2} |K_z|^2(p_0; \tau) \right| , \\ \cos\theta_0(u, \tau) &= (1 + \ell^2 (a\mathcal{W}_0)^{-2})^{-1/2} , \\ \sin\theta_0(u, \tau) &= \pm \ell (a\mathcal{W}_0)^{-1} (1 + \ell^2 (a\mathcal{W}_0)^{-2})^{-1/2} . \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Therefore, we have a model where there may be a possibility of having vacuums which correspond to the CFT. Moreover, in the model the singularities are at $\tau = 0$ and at $a'_1 = 0$.

7 Conclusions

The nature of $N = 1$ supergravity curved BPS domain walls and their vacuum structure have been studied in this paper. In particular, we have considered four dimensional $N = 1$ supergravity coupled to a chiral multiplet which can be viewed as Kähler-Ricci soliton satisfying the geometric flow equation (2.2). Then, some consequences are emerged as follows. First of all, all couplings such as the scalar potential and the shifting quantity have evolution with respect to τ which are given in (2.5). Next, the warped factor, the BPS equations, and the beta function describing domain walls and three dimensional CFT, respectively, also depend on τ .

The analysis on vacuums of the theory shows that in general the spacetime is non-Einstein deformed with respect to the geometric flow whose the Ricci tensor and the Ricci scalar have the form provided in (4.4). Moreover, this corresponds to CFT on three dimensional AdS spacetime which is ensured by the beta function (3.10). Here, we just mentioned three special cases. First, for $\ell = 0$ and $k \neq 0$ with $k' = 0$ we regain for dimensional AdS spacetime appeared in flat domain walls. Second, there is singularity at $k = 0$ and $\ell \neq 0$ related to RG flow analysis in which the spacetime has constant curvature but non-Einstein. Note that the singularity caused by the geometric flow is trivial since some quantities in this case would become singular. Third, if $W(z_0) = 0$, then we have a static case with $k = \ell$. For the case at hand, the vacuums are defined by the critical points of the superpotential $W(z)$.

Since our ground states generally depend on τ and additionally, the theory does admit the existence of singular point at $\tau = \tau_0$ described in (3.12), then we have to split the region in order to analyze the function $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ and the scalar potential $V(\tau)$. In $\tau < \tau_0$ region we reproduce the previous results in [9] as follows. Our analysis confirms that the deformation of critical points of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ can only be in the following types, namely local minima, saddles, and degenerate critical points. The local minima verify the existence of

local maximum vacuums, whereas the saddles are mapped into local minimum, saddle, or non-intrinsic degenerate vacuums. Finally, there is possibly a special situation where we have intrinsic degenerate vacuum coming from degenerate critical points of $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$. Hence, these also prove that the vacuums certainly deform with respect to τ .

For $\tau > \tau_0$ case, similar as mentioned above $\mathcal{W}(\tau)$ also admits evolution of its critical points which are local maxima, saddles, and degenerate critical points. In this case, however, the local maxima are mapped into local minimum vacuums, while the saddles imply the existence of local maximum, saddle, or non-intrinsic degenerate vacuums. In addition, intrinsic degenerate vacuums could possibly exist. So, these results show that the geometric flow (3.12) indeed changes the index of the vacuums by minus sign. The ground states in $\tau > \tau_0$ region are called parity pair of those $\tau < \tau_0$ region.

Furthermore, the analysis using gradient and RG flows show that the above vacuums do not always exist. Firstly, the first order expansion of the BPS equations yields the lower bound (5.2) which restricts the vacuums. Then, in the interval $\tau < \tau_0$ we have stable nodes flowing along local minimum and stable direction of saddle vacuums, whereas unstable saddles flow along local maximum vacuums. On the other side, for $\tau > \tau_0$ case, the gradient flow turns into unstable nodes flowing along local maximum and unstable direction of saddle vacuums, while along local minimum the gradient flow is flowing on stable curve of the saddle flow which is called stable saddles. In both region there is possibility of having a bifurcation point occurring near intrinsic degenerate vacuums.

Secondly, in order to check further the existence of a ground state one has to carry out the analysis on the beta function. Particularly in UV region and $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \leq 0$, for $\tau < \tau_0$ we have only stable nodes which further imply that the theory admits only stable vacuums, whereas for $\tau > \tau_0$ the gradient flow turns into unstable nodes. On the other hand, in IR region and $\cos\theta_0(u, \tau) \geq 0$, for $\tau < \tau_0$ again only stable situation is allowed, while $\tau > \tau_0$ everything becomes unstable.

Then, we have considered a simplest model in which the superpotential is linear and the Kähler-Ricci soliton has a special form called Rosenau solution. In the model where $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ we find that the model has only singular ground states unrelated to the three dimensional CFT. However, if we set at least $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, then we may obtain vacuums related to the CFT.

Acknowledgement

We thank K. Yamamoto for the early stage of this work. We also acknowledge H. Alatas and A. N. Atmaja for useful discussions related to the topics of this paper. We are grateful to the people at the theoretical astrophysics group and the elementary particle physics group of Hiroshima University for warmest hospitality where the early stage of this work was done. This work is supported by Riset KK ITB 2008 under contract No.: 035/K01.7/PL/2008.

A Convention and Notation

The purpose of this appendix is to assemble our conventions in this paper. The spacetime metric is taken to have the signature $(+, -, -, -)$ while the Christoffel symbol is given by

$\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu = \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\sigma}(\partial_\nu g_{\rho\sigma} + \partial_\rho g_{\nu\sigma} - \partial_\sigma g_{\nu\rho})$ where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the spacetime metric. Moreover, Riemann curvature has the form $R_{\nu\rho\lambda}^\mu = \partial_\rho\Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\mu - \partial_\lambda\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu + \Gamma_{\nu\lambda}^\sigma\Gamma_{\sigma\rho}^\mu - \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\sigma\Gamma_{\sigma\lambda}^\mu$ and then the Ricci tensor is defined to be $R_{\nu\lambda} = R_{\nu\mu\lambda}^\mu$.

The following indices are given:

$\underline{\nu}, \underline{\lambda} = 0, 1, 2,$	label curved three dimensional spacetime indices
$\underline{a}, \underline{b} = 0, 1, 2,$	label flat three dimensional spacetime indices
$\mu, \nu = 0, \dots, 3,$	label curved four dimensional spacetime indices
$a, b = 0, \dots, 3,$	label flat four dimensional spacetime indices

B Critical Point of A Function

The structure and the logic of this section are similar to those in [9] which are useful to our analysis in the paper. Firstly, we first consider any arbitrary (real) C^∞ -function $f(z, \bar{z})$. A critical point $p_0 = (z_0, \bar{z}_0)$ of $f(z, \bar{z})$ if it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p_0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}(p_0) = 0. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Then, the point p_0 is said to be a non-degenerate if the Hessian matrix of $f(z, \bar{z})$

$$H_f \equiv 2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}(p_0) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}(p_0) \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \bar{z}^2}(p_0) & \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \bar{z} \partial z}(p_0) \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

is non-singular, *i.e.*,

$$\det H_f = 4 \left[\left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}(p_0) \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2}(p_0) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \bar{z}^2}(p_0) \right] \neq 0. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix H_f are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1^f &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr} H_f + \sqrt{(\text{tr} H_f)^2 - 4 \det H_f} \right), \\ \lambda_2^f &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\text{tr} H_f - \sqrt{(\text{tr} H_f)^2 - 4 \det H_f} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Now the eigenvalues defined in (B.4) can be used to classify the critical point p_0 of the function f as follows:

1. If $\lambda_1^f > 0$ and $\lambda_2^f > 0$, then p_0 is a local minimum describing a stable situation.
2. If $\lambda_1^f < 0$ and $\lambda_2^f < 0$, then p_0 is a local maximum describing an unstable situation.
3. If we have $\lambda_1^f > 0$ and $\lambda_2^f < 0$ or vice versa, then p_0 is a saddle point.
4. Finally if at least one eigenvalue vanishes, then p_0 is said to be degenerate.

References

- [1] For a review see, for example:
O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, *Large N Field Theories, String Theory and Gravity*, Phys. Rep. **323** 183 (2000), and references therein, hep-th/9905111.
- [2] G. L. Cardoso, G. Dall'Agata and D. Lüst, *Curved BPS domain walls and RG flow in five dimensions*, JHEP **0203**, (2002) 044, hep-th/0201270.
- [3] G. L. Cardoso, and D. Lüst, *The holographic RG flow in a field theory on a curved background*, JHEP **0209**, (2002) 029, hep-th/0207024.
- [4] D.Z. Freedman, S.S. Gubser, K. Pilch and N.P. Warner, *Renormalization group flows from holography-supersymmetry and a c-theorem*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **3** (1999) 363, hep-th/9904017.
- [5] M. Cvetič, S. Griffies and S. J. Rey, *Static domain walls in N=1 supergravity*, Nucl. Phys. B **381** 301 (1992), hep-th/9201007.
- [6] M. Cvetič and H. H. Soleng, *Supergravity domain walls*, Phys. Rep. **282** 159 (1997), hep-th/9604090.
- [7] A. Ceresole, G. Dall'Agata, A. Girvayets, R. Kallosh, and A. Linde, *Domain walls, near-BPS bubbles and probabilities in the landscape*, Phys. Rev. **D74** (2006) 086010, hep-th/0605266.
- [8] B. E. Gunara and F. P. Zen, *Kähler-Ricci Flow, Morse Theory, and Vacuum Structure Deformation of N = 1 Supersymmetry in Four Dimensions*, hep-th/0708.1036.
- [9] B. E. Gunara, F. P. Zen, and Arianto, *BPS Domain Walls and Vacuum Structure of N=1 Supergravity Coupled To A Chiral Multiplet*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), 053505, hep-th/0612017.
- [10] H.-D. Cao, *Existence of gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Elliptic and parabolic methods in geometry (B. Chow, R. Gulliver, S. Levy, J. Sullivan, editors), A K Peters (1996) 1.
- [11] H.-D. Cao, *Limits of solutions to the Kähler-Ricci flow*, J. Differential Geom. **45** (1997) 257.
- [12] K. Higashijima and E. Itou, *Wilsonian Renormalization Group Approach to N=2 Supersymmetric Sigma Models*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **108** (1997) 737, hep-th/0205036.; *A New Class of Conformal Field Theories with Anomalous Dimensions*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **109** (1997) 751, hep-th/0302090.; *Three Dimensional Nonlinear Sigma Models in the Wilsonian Renormalization Method*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110** (2003) 563, hep-th/0304194.
- [13] M. Nitta, *Conformal Sigma Models with Anomalous Dimensions and Ricci Solitons*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A20** (2005) 577, hep-th/0411149.

- [14] J. Wess and J. Bagger, *Supersymmetry and Supergravity*, 2nd ed., Princeton University Press, Princeton (1992).
- [15] R. D’Auria and S. Ferrara, *On Fermion Masses, Gradient Flows and Potential in Supersymmetric Theories*, JHEP **0105**, (2001) 034, hep-th/0103153.; L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, and S. Ferrara, *Supersymmetry reduction of N-extended supergravities in four dimensions*, JHEP **0203**, (2002) 025, hep-th/0110277.
- [16] S. A. Carstea and M. Visinescu, *Special solutions for Ricci flow equation in 2D using the linearization approach*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A20** (2005) 2993, hep-th/0506113.
- [17] P. Topping, *Lectures on Ricci Flow*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **325**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2006) and references therein.
- [18] H.-D. Cao and X.-P. Zhu, *Hamilton-Perelman’s Proof of the Poincaré and the Geometrization Conjecture*, A revised version of the article originally appeared in Asian J. Math. **10** (2006) 165, math.DG/0612069 and references therein.
- [19] P. Rosenau, *Fast and Superfast Diffusion Processes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74** (1995) 1056, hep-th/9908005.
- [20] B. de Wit and I. Herger, *Anti-de Sitter Supersymmetry*, Lect. Notes Phys. **541** (2000) 79, hep-th/9908005.
- [21] A. Ceresole, G. Dall’Agata, R. Kallosh, and A. van Proeyen, *Hypermultiplets, Domain Walls and Supersymmetric Attractor*, Phys. Rev. **D64** (2001) 104006, hep-th/0104056.
- [22] Y. A. Kuznetsov, *Elements of Applied Bifurcation Theory*, Springer-Verlag New York (1998).
- [23] B. Chow, *Lectures on Ricci Flow*, Lectures given at Clay Mathematics Institutes Summer School, Berkeley, 2005, and references therein, available at http://www.claymath.org/programs/summer_school/2005/program.php#perelman1.
- [24] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Function*, 9th ed., Dover Publications, New York (1970).