

Gravity Trapping on a Finite Thickness Domain Wall: An Analytic Study

Mirjam Cvetič^{1,2*} and Marko Robnik^{2 †}

¹ *Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, USA*

² *CAMTP - Center for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
University of Maribor, Krekova 2, SI-2000 Maribor, Slovenia*

Abstract

We construct an explicit model of gravity trapping domain wall potential where for the first time we can study explicitly the graviton wave function fluctuations for any thickness of the domain wall. A concrete form of the potential depends on one parameter $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, which effectively parameterizes the thickness of the domain wall with specific limits $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ corresponding to the thin and the thick wall, respectively. The analysis of the continuum Kaluza Klein fluctuations yields explicit expressions for both the small and large Kaluza Klein energy. We also derive specific explicit conditions in the regime $x > 1$, for which the fluctuation modes exhibit a resonance behaviour, and which could sizably affect the modifications of the four-dimensional Newton's law at distances, which typically are by four orders of magnitude larger than those relevant for Newton's law modifications of thin walls.

*Electronic address: cvetic@cvetic.hep.upenn.edu

†Electronic address: robnik@uni-mb.si

I. INTRODUCTION

The Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) saturated supergravity domain walls [1] which interpolate between two (BPS) anti-deSitter vacua possess the feature that they can trap gravity [2]. The original infinitely thin, Z_2 symmetric domain wall solution [13] in five-dimensions can be treated explicitly to obtain the qualitative form of corrections to four-dimensional Newton's law [2]. Subsequent studies extended some further analysis to features of the finite thickness walls (see [8, 9] and references therein) as well as numerous other subsequent studies of special models, primarily employing numerical analyses of fixed thickness solutions (for recent studies see e.g., [10] and references therein) [14].

The purpose of this paper is to advance the analysis of the gravity trapping for domain walls in an explicit analytic model, where the graviton wave function fluctuations can be studied explicitly for any thickness of the domain wall. The Schrödinger potential depends on one parameter $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, which effectively parameterizes the thickness of the domain wall. The two limits $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ reproduce the infinitely thin and thick wall limits, respectively. This is the first analytic explicit example where the graviton wave function can be obtained explicitly for any value of allowed x , both for small and large values of Kaluza Klein masses of fluctuations. Intriguingly, we also find the resonance behaviour in the regime $x > 1$ and provide an explicit analytic study of resonances which in turn can sizably modify the four-dimensional Newton's law at distances that are typically four orders of magnitude larger than those relevant for modifications in the thin wall set-up.

The paper is organized in the following way. In section II we present the concrete form of the gravity potential in the background of the BPS domain with a finite thickness, interpolating (in Z_2 invariant way) between two anti-deSitter vacua with negative cosmological constant Λ . We discuss in detail the matching conditions on the metric and the explicit form of the potential that depends only on one free parameter $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, effectively parameterizing the thickness of the wall. In section III we study the graviton wave function fluctuations and in particular focus on the explicit form of the probability density at the center of the wall. In subsection III A we obtain the analytic expressions for the probability density at the center of the wall both for small and large values of Kaluza Klein masses. In subsection III B we analyse quantitatively the conditions under which the wave function exhibits the resonances. In section IV we study the implications for the modification of Newton's law, and in particular obtain the analytic form of Newton's law modifications both in thin ($x < 1$) and thick ($x > 1$) regimes. Conclusions and proposal for further studies are presented in section V.

II. FINITE THICKNESS DOMAIN-WALL MODEL

For the sake of simplicity the model that we shall discuss is chosen to arise from a Z_2 symmetric finite thickness domain wall that interpolates between two BPS vacua with the negative cosmological constant Λ in five-dimensions [15]. Our motivation is to present an explicit, integrable model of the Schrödinger potential for the graviton wave function modes, which would be explicitly parameterized by the thickness of the wall. Such a model would in turn allow for an explicit analysis of the graviton fluctuation modes and the subsequent analysis of a modification of four-dimensional Newton's law, thus providing a unifying, analytic approach which addresses these effects as a function of the wall thickness.

We choose the following form of the potential:

$$\begin{aligned} V(z) &= -V_0; & |z| \leq \frac{d}{2}, \\ V(z) &= \frac{15}{4(z+\beta)^2}; & |z| \geq \frac{d}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

This potential enters Schrödinger equation for the graviton wave function (for the derivation of the wave equation and other details see, e.g., [9]):

$$-\frac{d^2 \psi_m(z)}{dz^2} + V(z) \psi_m(z) = m^2 \psi_m(z), \quad (2)$$

where the graviton wave function $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \psi(z) \exp(ik_\mu x^\mu)$ and $k_\mu k^\mu = m^2$ parameterizes the Kaluza Klein energy associated with the four-dimensional momentum k_μ .

The potential $V(z)$ is related to the conformal factor $A(z)$ of the domain-wall metric:

$$ds^2 = \exp[-A(z)] \left(-dt^2 + dz^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 dx_i^2 \right) \quad (3)$$

through the following relation (see, e.g., [9]):

$$V(z) \equiv \frac{9}{16} \left(\frac{dA(z)}{dz} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{4} \frac{d^2 A(z)}{dz^2}. \quad (4)$$

Along with the boundary conditions $A(0) = 0$, $A(0)' = 0$ and $A(z) \rightarrow 2 \log(k|z|)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ the relation (4) determines for the potential (1) the following form of the metric:

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= -\frac{4}{3} \log(\cos \sqrt{V_0} |z|); & |z| \leq \frac{d}{2}, \\ A(z) &= \log[k^2((|z| + \beta)^2)]; & |z| \geq \frac{d}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $k = \sqrt{-\frac{\Lambda}{6}}$ (see, e.g., [11]) and the five-dimensional Planck constant M_{Planck_5} was set to 1.

The continuity of the metric and its derivative at the junction $|z| = \frac{d}{2}$ imposes the following two conditions among parameters V_0 , d and β :

$$\begin{aligned} k\left(\frac{d}{2} + \beta\right) &= \cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{V_0}d}{2}\right)^{-2/3}, \\ \left(\frac{d}{2} + \beta\right)^{-1} &= \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{V_0} \tan\left(\frac{\sqrt{V_0}d}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

These two conditions can be viewed as fixing $\frac{d}{2} + \beta$ and V_0 in terms of *one free parameter*:

$$x \equiv \frac{\sqrt{V_0}d}{2}. \quad (7)$$

The parameter x has a range $\{0, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. The infinitely thin wall corresponds to the following limit of parameters:

$$x \rightarrow 0; \quad d \rightarrow 0, \quad V_0 \rightarrow \infty, \quad V_0 d = \frac{3}{\beta} = 3k, \quad (8)$$

while the infinitely thick wall corresponds to:

$$x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}; \quad d \rightarrow \infty, \quad V_0 \rightarrow 0, \quad \sqrt{V_0}\beta \rightarrow -x, \quad (\sqrt{V_0}\beta + x)^5 \left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{V_0}}\right)^3 = \frac{9}{4}. \quad (9)$$

III. WAVE FUNCTION

The solution of the Schrödinger equation (2) has eigenvalues $m^2 \geq 0$, with $m = 0$ corresponding to the graviton bound state (see e.g., [9]):

$$\psi_0(z) = N_0 e^{(-\frac{3}{4}A(z))}, \quad (10)$$

where the normalisation constant N_0 is fixed by the following relationship:

$$\frac{k}{N_0^2} = \frac{2}{3} \sin(x) \cos(x)^{-5/3} (x + \sin(x) \cos(x)) + \cos(x)^{4/3}. \quad (11)$$

In the thin wall limit ($x \rightarrow 0$) and thick wall limit ($x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$) the normalisation coefficient N_0 takes the following respective forms:

$$\frac{k}{N_0^2} \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0; \quad \frac{k}{N_0^2} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{3 \cos(x)^{5/3}}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (12)$$

The solution $\psi_m(z)$ of (2) in the continuum, $m^2 > 0$, has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_m(z) &= A_m \cos(K|z|); & |z| &\leq \frac{d}{2} \\ \psi_m(z) &= N_m \sqrt{|z| + \beta} [a_m Y_2(m|z| + \beta) + b_m J_2(m|z| + \beta)]; & |z| &\geq \frac{d}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Here $K \equiv \sqrt{m^2 + V_0}$ and the coefficients a_m and b_m satisfy $a_m^2 + b_m^2 = 1$. The normalisation constant N_m is determined by employing a regulator $|z_c| \rightarrow \infty$ along with the asymptotic form of $\psi_m(z)$ as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\psi_m(z) = N_m \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi m}} [a_m \sin(m(|z| + \beta) - \frac{5\pi}{4}) + b_m \cos(m(|z| + \beta) - \frac{5\pi}{4})]. \quad (14)$$

In the limit $|z_c| \rightarrow \infty$ the asymptotic wave function (14) ensures the dominant contribution to the wave function probability, and thus $N_m = \sqrt{\frac{\pi m}{2 z_c}}$, which in the continuum limit becomes $N_m = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2}}$, i.e. z_c is replaced by π .

The matching of the wave function and its first derivative at $|z| = \frac{d}{2}$ determines the coefficients a_m , b_m ($a_m^2 + b_m^2 = 1$) and A_m . In particular, the key expression in determining the deviations of Newton's law is the probability density of the wave function at the center of the wall:

$$|\psi_m(0)|^2 = A_m^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{1}{(\tilde{J}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{J}'_2 \tilde{C})^2 + (\tilde{Y}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{Y}'_2 \tilde{C})^2}, \quad (15)$$

where:

$$\tilde{C} = \cos(\tilde{y}_0), \quad \tilde{S} = -K \sin(\tilde{y}_0), \quad (16)$$

and

$$\tilde{J}_2 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{y_0}{2}} J_2(y_0), \quad \tilde{J}'_2 \equiv \frac{d \tilde{J}_2(y_0)}{dy_0}, \quad \tilde{Y}_2 \equiv \sqrt{\frac{y_0}{2}} Y_2(y_0), \quad \tilde{Y}'_2 \equiv \frac{d \tilde{Y}_2(y_0)}{dy_0} \quad (17)$$

where J_2 and Y_2 are Bessel functions of order 2. The arguments y_0 and \tilde{y}_0 are defined as:

$$y_0 = \frac{M}{\cos(x)^{2/3}}, \quad \tilde{y}_0 = x \sqrt{1 + \frac{4M^2 \sin(x)^2}{9 \cos(x)^{10/3}}}, \quad (18)$$

and coefficients M and K are defined as:

$$M \equiv \frac{m}{k}, \quad K \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{9 \cos(x)^{10/3}}{4M^2 \sin(x)^2}}. \quad (19)$$

Note that the expression for A_m^2 (15) is a function of x and M , only! This allows us to fully explore the analytic behaviour of the probability density A_m^2 which we shall do in the following subsections. In particular in the thin wall limit ($x \rightarrow 0$) A_m^2 takes the form:

$$A_m^2 = \frac{2}{\pi^2 M [J_1^2(M) + Y_1^2(M)]}. \quad (20)$$

For the sake of completeness we also present the expression for the ratio:

$$\frac{a_m}{b_m} = -\frac{\tilde{J}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{J}'_2 \tilde{C}}{\tilde{Y}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{Y}'_2 \tilde{C}}, \quad (21)$$

and $b_m = 1/\sqrt{1 + (a_m/b_m)^2}$.

Note that for the thick wall $x > 1$ the expression for the probability density of the wave function varies significantly over the range of the wall. In this case the probability density, averaged over the domain of the wall thickness, may be more appropriate:

$$|\overline{\psi}_m|^2 = A_m^2 F_m; \quad F_m = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\sin(2\tilde{y}_0)}{2\tilde{y}_0}\right). \quad (22)$$

Note that F_m is a mild function of x , and while $F_m \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, for $x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ it approaches the asymptotic value $\frac{1}{2}$.

A. Small and large Kaluza Klein Energy Expansion

The expression (15) can be readily expanded in different regimes of values of x and M parameters.

The expression (15) has a universal behaviour:

$$A_m^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi}, \quad \text{as } M \rightarrow \infty. \quad (23)$$

On the other hand one can also explicitly expand (15) in the limit of small M . We have done so up to the fourth order in M expansion:

$$A_m^2 = M\alpha_0 (1 + M^2 \alpha_1) + \mathcal{O}(M^5), \quad \text{for } M \ll 1 \quad (24)$$

where coefficients α_0 and α_1 are the following explicit functions of x :

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{9 \cos(x)^{10/3}}{2(\cos(x)^3 + 2x \sin(x) + 2 \cos(x))^2}, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{p_1}{p_2}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$p_1 = \cos(x) \left(8 - 34 \cos(x)^2 - \cos(x)^4 \left(1 + 54 \log\left(\frac{2 \cos(x)^{2/3}}{M \exp(\gamma)}\right) \right) \right) \\ + 2 x \sin(x) (4 - 9 \cos(x)^2 - 4 \cos(x)^4), \quad (26)$$

$$p_2 = 18 \cos(x)^{10/3} (\cos(x)^3 + 2x \sin(x) + 2 \cos(x)). \quad (27)$$




FIG. 1: Probability density A_m^2 plotted as a function of M for a small value of $x = 0.1$.

The thin wall limit ($x \rightarrow 0$) produces the following values of α_0 and α_1 :

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{2} + \log\left(\frac{M \exp(\gamma)}{2}\right), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0. \quad (28)$$

Note (27) is a valid expansion for $x < \frac{\pi}{2}$, however in the thick wall limit ($x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$), A_m has further suppressions for small values of M :

$$\alpha_0 \rightarrow \frac{9}{2\pi^2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right)^{10/3}, \quad \alpha_1 \rightarrow \frac{2}{9} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right)^{-10/3}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (29)$$

and thus $A_m^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi^2} M^3$.

In figure 1 and 2 A_m^2 is plotted for a small and an intermediate value of x , respectively.

For the sake of completeness we also give the expansion:

$$\frac{a_m}{b_m} = M^2 \beta_0 (1 + M^2 \beta_1) + \mathcal{O}(M^6), \quad \text{for } M \ll 1, \quad (30)$$

where:

$$\beta_0 = \frac{3\pi \cos(x)^{5/3}}{4(\cos(x)^3 + 2x \sin(x) + 2 \cos(x))}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{r_1}{r_2}, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 = & 4x \sin(x) \cos(x)(2 - 11 \cos(x)^2) + \cos(x)^2(28 - 92 \cos(x)^2 - 17 \cos(x)^4) \\ & - 4x^2 \sin(x)^2(5 - 8 \cos(x)^2) - 108 \cos(x)^6 \log\left(\frac{2 \cos(x)^{2/3}}{M \exp(\gamma)}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

$$r_2 = 72 \cos(x)^{13/3} (\cos(x)^3 + 2x \sin(x) + 2 \cos(x)) \quad (33)$$




FIG. 2: Probability density A_m^2 plotted as a function of M for $x = 1$.

whose thin and thick limits have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= \frac{\pi}{4} \quad , \quad \beta_1 = -\frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{M \exp(\gamma)}{2}\right), \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0; \\ \beta_0 &\rightarrow \frac{3}{4}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right)^{5/3} \quad , \quad \beta_1 \rightarrow -\frac{5\pi}{72}\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - x\right)^{-13/3}, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

B. Resonances

Another interesting behaviour of A_m takes place in a particular window for a combination of parameter $x > 1$ and small values of M :

$$y_0 = \frac{M}{\cos(x)^{2/3}} \ll 1, \quad \tilde{y}_0 = x \sqrt{1 + \frac{4M^2 \sin(x)^2}{9 \cos(x)^{10/3}}} \gg 1, \quad (35)$$

or

$$\frac{M}{\cos(x)^{2/3}} \ll 1, \quad \frac{M \tan(x)}{\cos(x)^{2/3}} \gg 1. \quad (36)$$

The probability density (15) exhibits a resonance behaviour [16]. The resonance then appears when $\tilde{Y}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{Y}_2' \tilde{C} \sim 0$, which for the regime (36) takes the form:

$$\frac{\tilde{S}}{\tilde{C}} \sim -\frac{3}{2y_0}. \quad (37)$$

For the above expression we have employed the small argument $y_0 \ll 1$ expansion of $\tilde{Y}_2 = -\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi y_0^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(y_0^{1/2})$ and $\tilde{Y}_2' = \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{\pi y_0^{5/2}} + \mathcal{O}(y_0^{-1/2})$. Eq. (37) is satisfied approximately when

$\tilde{y}_0 \sim \frac{\pi}{2}(2n+1)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) or:

$$M_n \sim 3 \cos(x)^{5/3} \sqrt{n(n+1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (38)$$

Note that the above relation for the position of resonances becomes less valid as n increases.

When the condition (37) is satisfied, the probability density (15) is highly peaked at

$$A_m^2 \sim \frac{18}{\pi^2 y_0^5} = \frac{18 \cos(x)^{10/3}}{\pi^2 M_n^5} \gg 1. \quad (39)$$

To obtain the above expression, we set in (15): $\tilde{Y}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{Y}'_2 \tilde{C} \sim 0$, and employed the small y_0 argument expansion of $\tilde{J}_2 = \frac{(\sqrt{2} y_0^{5/2})}{16} + \mathcal{O}(y_0^{7/2})$ and $\tilde{J}'_2 = \frac{5\sqrt{2} y_0^{3/2}}{32} + \mathcal{O}(y_0^{5/2})$ which yields (along with (37)): $\tilde{J}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{J}'_2 \tilde{C} \sim -\frac{y_0^{5/2}}{3\sqrt{2}}$. Note the sharp fall-off of the density amplitude with the increase of the discretely valued M_n (38).

One can also estimate the approximate width ΔM_n of the resonances, by determining the change in the parameter M (by $\frac{1}{2}\Delta M_n$) when $\tilde{Y}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{Y}'_2 \tilde{C} \sim \tilde{J}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{J}'_2 \tilde{C} \sim -\frac{y_0^{5/2}}{3\sqrt{2}}$, using locally linear approximation. This condition yields:

$$\frac{\Delta M_n}{M_n} \sim \frac{\pi y_0^5}{9(\tilde{y}_0 - \frac{\pi^2}{4\tilde{y}_0})} = \frac{M_n^4}{3 \cos(x)^{5/3}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4n(n+1)}}. \quad (40)$$

Note that in this case the estimate for $A_m^2 \Delta M_n$, which effectively parameterizes the integral of the sharply peaked density probability over its width, takes the form:

$$A_m^2 \Delta M_n \sim \frac{2M_n}{\pi(\tilde{y}_0 - \frac{\pi^2}{4\tilde{y}_0})} = \frac{6 \cos(x)^{5/3}}{\pi^2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4n(n+1)}}, \quad (41)$$

and is thus close to being M_n independent! Of course, this is a valid approximation only for the first few resonances whose width is still small, as we used only locally linear approximation for the quantity $\tilde{Y}_2 \tilde{S} - \tilde{Y}'_2 \tilde{C}$ near M_n .

The most distinct and pronounced resonances appear in the range $x \sim \{1.35, 1.55\}$. In figure 3 the density probability as a function of M is plotted for the regimes of x where the resonances are pronounced. We have checked explicitly that our analytic results for the location, the amplitude and the width of resonances are in good agreement with numeric ones, as it is evident from table I.

IV. MODIFICATION OF NEWTON'S LAW

The analytic form of the probability density in turn allows us study explicitly deviation of Newton's law in the presence of the continuum states. The (bound state) graviton wave function (10) determines the four-dimensional Newton's law, while continuum Kaluza Klein fluctuation modes (13) are responsible for corrections to Newton's law. The four-dimensional gravitational potential between two point-like particles with M_1 and M_2 , a distance r apart, can be written in the form (see e.g., [9]):

$$V = G_N \frac{M_1 M_2}{r} (1 + \delta), \quad (42)$$




FIG. 3: Probability density A_m^2 plotted as a function of M for the value of $x = 1.5$. It exhibits distinct resonances.

	n	1	2	3
numeric	M_n	0.05141	0.08889	0.12543
analytic	M_n	0.05133	0.08891	0.12574
numeric	A_m^2	680	50.07	10.12
analytic	A_m^2	749	48.06	8.50
numeric	ΔM_n	$1.07 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.47 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$7.16 \cdot 10^{-4}$
analytic	ΔM_n	$1.04 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.56 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$8.75 \cdot 10^{-4}$

TABLE I: The comparison of the numeric and analytic results for the location M , the amplitude A_m^2 and the width ΔM of the resonances for $x = 1.5$.

where $G_N = M_{Planck5}^{-3} N_0^2$ and δ , the correction to Newton's law is of the form:

$$\delta = \frac{1}{N_0^2} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{-mr} \overline{|\psi_m|^2} dm . \quad (43)$$

Note that since N_0^2/k (eq. (11)) is only a function of x and $|\overline{\psi_m}|^2$ (eq.(22)) is only a function of x and $M \equiv m/k$, the correction to Newton's law can be rewritten as an integral over M :

$$\delta = \frac{k}{N_0^2} \int_{0+}^{\infty} e^{(-Mkr)} |\overline{\psi_m}|^2 dM, \quad (44)$$

and it is thus only a function of kr and x !

Note again that the averaged wave function density $|\overline{\psi_m}|^2$ (eq.(22)) is of the form $A_m^2 F_m$ where F_m is a mild function of x and M , with $F_m \rightarrow 1$ and $F_m \rightarrow 1/2$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$, respectively. In the following we shall focus only on A_m^2 factor and its effect on the structure of δ .

The amplitude A_m^2 (eq.(15)) has a small M expansion of the form (24) and (27) and it asymptotes to π^{-1} as $M \rightarrow \infty$ ((eq.23)). For $x \leq 1$ it is a reasonable approximation to split the integral (44) into two intervals $M = \{0, M_C\}$ and $M = \{M_C, \infty\}$ where the form of A_m^2 the small M and large M expansion is used, respectively. A reasonable choice $M_C \sim (\pi\alpha_0)^{-1}$, produces an approximate result which is in good agreement with numerical one:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta = & \frac{k}{N_0^2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_0}{(kr)^2} [1 - e^{(-M_C kr)}] (M_C kr + 1) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}{(kr)^4} [6 - e^{(-M_C kr)} ((M_C kr)^3 + 3(M_C kr)^2 + 6M_C kr + 6)] + \frac{1}{\pi kr} e^{(-M_C kr)} \right\}. \quad (45) \end{aligned}$$

Again, note for $r \gg (kM_C)^{-1}$ the leading correction is of the form:

$$\delta = \frac{k}{N_0^2} \frac{\alpha_0}{(kr)^2} \left(1 + \frac{6\alpha_1}{(kr)^2} \right). \quad (46)$$

The intriguing possibility takes place for $x > 1$ where the resonance behaviour takes place. Note that in this case the resonances are highly peaked, and the integral over M can be approximated by a sum of expressions $kN_0^{-2} A_m^2 \Delta M_n e^{-M_n kr}$ over n . Employing the analytic expressions from subsection III B we obtain the following correction to Newton's law:

$$\delta \sim \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_n \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4n(n+1)}} e^{-M_n kr}, \quad (47)$$

where M_n are locations of resonances (38) and the approximately constant prefactor is obtained by using the limit $x \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ in (11): $kN_0^{-2} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{3} \cos(x)^{5/3}$ and (41): $(A_m^2 \Delta M_n) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} \cos(x)^{5/3} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4n(n+1)}}$. Note that the prefactor is approximately constant and of order one.

M_n 's (38) are typically in the range of 10^{-2} and thus for a choice of also small k , and the range of distanced $r \sim (M_n k)^{-1}$ the leading resonances can potentially contribute a sizable effect! In particular when choosing $k \sim 10^6$ GeV, at distances that $r \sim 10^{-4}$ GeV $^{-1}$ the thick wall limit with resonances $M_n \sim 10^{-2}$ would produce an order one modification of Newton's law, while for the walls with $x \leq 1$ the corrections (45,46) would be negligible, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the first explicit model of a finite thickness domain wall, interpolating between Z_2 symmetric five-dimensional anti-deSitter vacua, where the graviton wave

function fluctuations can be studied explicitly for any thickness of the wall, parameterized by a parameter $x = \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. This allows us to determine explicitly the probability density of the Kaluza Klein fluctuations both for the small and large values of the Kaluza Klein energy. Notably, for $x > 1$ resonance behaviour emerges, which is most pronounced in the range $x = \{1.35, 1.55\}$ and can be analysed explicitly. For a specific range of Kaluza Klein momenta (and anti-deSitter cosmological constant Λ) this resonance behaviour can significantly modify four-dimensional Newton's law by an effect of order 10^4 larger than that of thin walls.

While the concrete model is based on a specific, analytically solvable Schrödinger potential for the graviton fluctuation modes, we have not addressed the origin of the supergravity Lagrangian that would result in the BPS domain wall solution whose metric leads to the proposed Schrödinger potential. Certainly, this is an important outstanding issue.

The analytic solvability of the model for the graviton fluctuations lends itself to the further study of fermionic and spin-one fluctuation modes. In addition, while we chose to study the fluctuation modes for co-dimension one (domain wall) configuration in five-dimensions, the study to other dimensions is readily available and is relegated to future work.

Acknowledgements: We thank Valery Romanovski for useful discussions. This research was supported by the Senior Scientist Award (M.C.) and by a research grant of the Slovenian Research Agency (M.R.) and by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Slovenia (M.R.), and in part by Fay R. and Eugene L. Langberg endowed Chair (M.C.) and Department of Energy Grant EY-76-02-3071 (M.C.). M.C. would like to thank The Center for Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics for hospitality.

-
- [1] M. Cvetič, S. Griffies and S.-J. Rey, “Static domain walls in N=1 supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **381**, 301 (1992) [arXiv:hep-th/9201007].
 - [2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, “An alternative to compactification,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].
 - [3] M. Cvetič and H.H. Soleng, “Supergravity domain walls,” Phys. Rept. **282**, 159 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9604090].
 - [4] M. Cvetič, S. Griffies and H.H. Soleng, “Local and global gravitational aspects of domain wall space-times,” Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2613 (1993) [arXiv:gr-qc/9306005].
 - [5] N. Kaloper, “Bent domain walls as braneworlds,” Phys. Rev. D **60**, 123506 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9905210].
 - [6] P. Kraus, “Dynamics of anti-de Sitter domain walls,” JHEP **9912**, 011 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9910149].
 - [7] M. Cvetič and J. Wang, “Vacuum domain walls in D-dimensions: Local and global space-time structure,” Phys. Rev. D **61**, 124020 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912187].
 - [8] O. DeWolfe, D. Z. Freedman, S. S. Gubser and A. Karch, “Modeling the fifth dimension with scalars and gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **62**, 046008 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909134].
 - [9] C. Csaki, J. Erlich, T. J. Hollowood and Y. Shirman, “Universal aspects of gravity localized on thick branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **581**, 309 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0001033].
 - [10] D. Bazeia, A. R. Gomes and L. Losano, arXiv:0708.3530 [hep-th].
 - [11] K. Behrndt and M. Cvetič, “Supersymmetric domain wall world from D = 5 simple gauged supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **475**, 253 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909058].

- [12] R. Kallosh and A. D. Linde, “Supersymmetry and the brane world,” JHEP **0002**, 005 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0001071].
- [13] For a detailed discussion of supergravity domain walls in four-dimensions, including the thin wall limit, see [3, 4]. Related thin wall analysis in five- and D-dimensions was provided in dimensions [5, 6] and [7], respectively.
- [14] The study of possible string theory origin, within the gauged supergravity context, of such walls was initiated in [11, 12].
- [15] Studies of co-dimension one objects in other dimensions with an analogous structure of the potential would also be interesting, but are relegated to further studies.
- [16] The appearance of resonances is analogous to that of a simpler set-up with a square-well potential, where however both the depth and the thickness are free parameters. In our case it is remarkable that even though we have only one free parameter x , that specifies the potential, nevertheless the resonances can appear!