

# **$N$ -representability of the Jastrow wave function pair density of the lowest-order**

Katsuhiko Higuchi\*

*Graduate School of Advanced Sciences of Matter,  
Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8527, Japan*

Masahiko Higuchi†

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,  
Shinshu University, Matsumoto 390-8621, Japan*

(Dated: November 1, 2018)

## **Abstract**

We have recently proposed a density functional scheme for calculating the ground-state pair density (PD) within the Jastrow wave function PDs of the lowest-order (LO-Jastrow PDs) [M. Higuchi and K. Higuchi, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 042510 (2007)]. However, there remained an arguable problem on the  $N$ -representability of the LO-Jastrow PD. In this paper, the sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of the LO-Jastrow PD are derived. These conditions are used as the constraints on the correlation function of the Jastrow wave function. A concrete procedure to search the suitable correlation function is also presented.

PACS numbers: 71.15.Mb , 31.15.Ew, 31.25.Eb

## I. INTRODUCTION

The pair density (PD) functional theory has been expected to be one of the promising schemes beyond the density functional theory.<sup>1</sup> Recently, we have proposed the PD functional theory that yields the best PD within the set of the Jastrow wave function PDs of the lowest-order (LO-Jastrow PDs).<sup>2</sup> The search region for the ground-state PD is substantially extended as compared with the previous theory.<sup>3,4</sup> On the other hand, however, there remains a significant problem related to the  $N$ -representability of the LO-Jastrow PDs.<sup>2</sup>

Let us revisit the problem here. We shall consider an  $N_0$ -electron system. The Jastrow wave function is given by

$$\Psi_J(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_{N_0}}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N_0} f(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|) \Phi_{SSD}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0}), \quad (1)$$

where  $x_i$  denotes the coordinates including the spatial coordinate  $\mathbf{r}_i$  and spin coordinate  $\eta_i$ , and where  $A_{N_0}$ ,  $f(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)$  and  $\Phi_{SSD}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0})$  are the normalization constant, correlation function and single Slater determinant (SSD), respectively. The LO-Jastrow PD is given by<sup>2,5,6</sup>

$$\gamma_{LO}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}') = |f(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0}$  is the PD calculated from the SSD. In the preceding paper,<sup>2</sup> we have confirmed that Eq. (2) meets four kinds of necessary conditions for the  $N$ -representability of the PD, and may become "approximately  $N$ -representable".<sup>7,8,9,10,11,12</sup> However, the possibility of it being  $N$ -representable has not been discussed.<sup>2</sup> This is an arguable problem that is concerned with whether the reproduced PD is physically reasonable or not.

The aim of this paper is to discuss the  $N$ -representability of Eq.(2) and to show the way to search the suitable correlation function  $f(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)$ . The organization of this paper is as follows. For the convenience of the subsequent discussions, we first examine the properties of the LO-Jastrow PD in Sec. II. The sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of Eq. (2), which are imposed on the correlation function, will be derived recursively in Sec. III. Concrete steps for searching the correlation function that meets these conditions are discussed in Sec. IV. Finally, concluding remarks are given in Sec. V.

## II. PROPERTIES OF THE LO-JASTROW PD

In this section, we shall discuss the properties of the LO-Jastrow PD. To this aim, the properties of PDs that are calculated from SSDs are investigated. The cofactor expansion of  $\Phi_{SSD}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0})$  along the  $N_0$ th row leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{SSD}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_0}} \{ & (-1)^{N_0+1} \phi_\lambda(x_{N_0}) \Phi_{SSD}^1(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1}) \\ & + (-1)^{N_0+2} \phi_\mu(x_{N_0}) \Phi_{SSD}^2(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1}) \\ & + \dots \\ & + (-1)^{2N_0} \phi_\xi(x_{N_0}) \Phi_{SSD}^{N_0}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1}) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\phi_\lambda(x_{N_0})$ ,  $\phi_\mu(x_{N_0})$ ,  $\dots$  and  $\phi_\xi(x_{N_0})$  are the constituent spin orbitals of  $\Phi_{SSD}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0})$ . In what follows, suppose that these spin orbitals are given as the solutions of simultaneous equations of previous work,<sup>2</sup> and therefore they are orthonormal to each other.  $\Phi_{SSD}^i(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N_0$ ) in Eq. (3) denote  $(N_0 - 1)$ -electron SSDs that are defined as the minor determinants multiplied by  $1/\sqrt{(N_0 - 1)!}$ . The PD that is calculated from Eq. (3) is given by

$$\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0} = \frac{1}{N_0 - 2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0-1}^i, \quad (4)$$

where  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0-1}^i$  is the PD calculated from  $\Phi_{SSD}^i(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1})$ .

Likewise, the cofactor expansion of each  $\Phi_{SSD}^i(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1})$  yields  $(N_0 - 2)$ -electron SSDs, which are denoted by  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-2})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N_0 - 1$ ). Then, each  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0-1}^i$  is given by  $\sum_{j=1}^{N_0-1} \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0-2}^{ij} / (N_0 - 3)$ , where  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0-2}^{ij}$  is the PD calculated from  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-2})$ . Thus,  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0}$  can be expressed by the sum of  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0-2}^{ij}$ . By the repetition of this procedure, we arrive at

$$\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0} = \frac{1}{(N_0 - 2)!} \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0-1} \dots \sum_{p=1}^4 \sum_{q=1}^3 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij \dots pq}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij \dots pq}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N_0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N_0 - 1$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 4$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq 3$ ) are PDs calculated from the two-electron SSDs. These two-electron SSDs, which are denoted by  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ij \dots pq}(x_1, x_2)$ , are obtained by the above-mentioned successive cofactor expansions.

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (5) by  $|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2$ , we finally get

$$|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0} = \frac{1}{(N_0 - 2)!} \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0-1} \cdots \sum_{p=1}^4 \sum_{q=1}^3 |f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq}. \quad (6)$$

This relation is the starting point to examine the  $N$ -representability of the LO-Jastrow PD.

### III. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE $N$ -REPRESENTABILITY OF THE LO-JASTROW PD

We shall start with considering the  $N$ -representability of  $|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq}$  that appears in the right-hand side of Eq. (6). Suppose that the two-electron wave function that yields  $|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq}$  is given by the following Jastrow wave function;

$$\Psi_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_2^{ij\cdots pq}}} f(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|) \Phi_{SSD}^{ij\cdots pq}(x_1, x_2), \quad (7)$$

where  $A_2^{ij\cdots pq}$  is the normalization constant. From Eq. (7), the PD is calculated as  $|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq} / A_2^{ij\cdots pq}$ . Therefore, we get  $A_2^{ij\cdots pq} = 1$  as the sufficient condition for the  $N$ -representability of  $|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq}$ . Hereafter, we assume that such conditions hold for all values of  $i, j, \dots, p$  and  $q$ , i.e.,

$$A_2^{ij\cdots pq} = 1 \quad \text{for all values of } i, j, \dots, p \text{ and } q. \quad (8)$$

Note that the normalization constant  $A_2^{ij\cdots pq}$  is determined by both  $f(|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|)$  and  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ij\cdots pq}(x_1, x_2)$ .<sup>5,6</sup> Therefore, if they are given, we can calculate  $A_2^{ij\cdots pq}$ , and check whether the conditions Eq. (8) are satisfied or not. As mentioned later, the conditions Eq. (8) are the parts of sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of Eq. (2).

Under the conditions Eq. (8), we have

$$|f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq} = \langle \Psi_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2} | \Psi_{N=2}^{ij\cdots pq} \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where  $\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}$  denotes the PD operator for an  $n$ -electron system. Substituting Eq. (9) into Eq. (6) and rearranging, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \left[ \frac{1}{N_0 - 2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0 - 1} \left[ \frac{1}{N_0 - 3} \sum_{k=1}^{N_0 - 2} \left[ \cdots \frac{1}{3} \sum_{o=1}^5 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^4 \left[ \frac{1}{1} \sum_{q=1}^3 \langle \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\cdots opq} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2} | \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\cdots opq} \rangle \right] \right] \right] \right] \right] \right] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It should be noticed that the right-hand side of Eq. (10) has a characteristic form. Concerning the  $N$ -representability of this form, the following theorem holds:

*Theorem.* If there exists the set of functions  $\{a_\alpha(x_{n+1})\}$  ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq n+1$ ) that satisfy the conditions;

$$\int a_\alpha^*(x_{n+1})a_{\alpha'}(x_{n+1})dx_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}, \quad (11)$$

$$\sigma \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} a_\alpha(x_{n+1})\Psi_{N=n}^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (-1)^{\bar{\sigma}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} a_\alpha(x_{n+1})\Psi_{N=n}^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (12)$$

then the following equations hold:

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \langle \Psi_{N=n}^\alpha | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n} | \Psi_{N=n}^\alpha \rangle = \langle \Psi_{N=n+1} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n+1} | \Psi_{N=n+1} \rangle \quad (13)$$

with

$$\Psi_{N=n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} a_\alpha(x_{n+1})\Psi_{N=n}^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n). \quad (14)$$

Here  $\Psi_{N=n}^\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq n+1$ ) denote the  $n$ -electron wave functions, and  $\sigma$  is a permutation operator upon the electron coordinates, and  $\bar{\sigma}$  is the number of interchanges in  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* The left-hand side of Eq. (13) seems to be related to the average of  $\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}$  with respect to a density matrix for a mixed state. Indeed, if the density matrix for the mixed state is given by

$$\hat{\rho}_n = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{n+1} |\Psi_{N=n}^\alpha\rangle \langle \Psi_{N=n}^\alpha|, \quad (15)$$

then the average of  $\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}$  is calculated as

$$\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_n \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}] = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} \langle \Psi_{N=n}^\alpha | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n} | \Psi_{N=n}^\alpha \rangle. \quad (16)$$

By using Eq. (16), the left-hand side of Eq. (13) is rewritten as  $\{(n+1)/(n-1)\} \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_n \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}]$ .

On the other hand, it is expected that the average  $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_n \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}]$  may be given as the expectation value of  $\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}$  with respect to a pure state for the whole system that includes the  $n$ -electron system as a subsystem.<sup>13,14</sup> We shall take an  $(n+1)$ -electron system as the whole system, and suppose that the wave function for such the  $(n+1)$ -electron system is given by Eq. (14) with Eq. (11). Then we indeed get

$$\langle \Psi_{n+1} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n} | \Psi_{n+1} \rangle = \text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_n \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n}]. \quad (17)$$

Furthermore, if  $\Psi_{N=n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$  is antisymmetric, i.e., if Eq. (12) holds, then the expectation value of  $\hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n+1}$  with respect to  $\Psi_{N=n+1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})$  is given by

$$\langle \Psi_{n+1} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n+1} | \Psi_{n+1} \rangle = \frac{n+1}{n-1} \langle \Psi_{n+1} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=n} | \Psi_{n+1} \rangle. \quad (18)$$

Consequently, Eq. (13) immediately follows from Eqs. (16), (17) and (18). This means that Eq. (13) holds under the conditions that there exists the set of functions  $\{a_\alpha(x_{n+1})\}$  ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq n+1$ ) that satisfy Eqs. (11) and (12). Q.E.D.

First, we apply the above theorem to the term  $\frac{1}{1} \sum_{q=1}^3 \langle \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2} | \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq} \rangle$  that appears in Eq. (10). According to the theorem, this term can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{1} \sum_{q=1}^3 \langle \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=2} | \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=3} | \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} \rangle \quad (19)$$

with

$$\Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \sum_{q=1}^3 a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3) \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2), \quad (20)$$

if there exists the set of functions  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)\}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 3$ ) that satisfy the following conditions:

$$\int a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)^* a_{q'}^{ijk\dots op}(x_3) dx = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{q,q'}, \quad (21)$$

$$\sigma \sum_{q=1}^3 a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3) \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2) = (-1)^{\bar{\sigma}} \sum_{q=1}^3 a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3) \Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2). \quad (22)$$

In addition to Eq. (8), the existence conditions for  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)\}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 3$ ), i.e., Eqs. (21) and (22), are also the parts of sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of Eq. (2). We assume that the set of functions  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)\}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 3$ ) is obtained. Substitution of Eq. (20) into Eq. (10) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)|^2 \gamma_{SSD}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=N_0} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} \left[ \frac{1}{N_0-2} \sum_{j=1}^{N_0-1} \left[ \frac{1}{N_0-3} \sum_{k=1}^{N_0-2} \left[ \dots \frac{1}{3} \sum_{o=1}^5 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^4 \langle \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=3} | \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} \rangle \right] \right] \right] \right] \right]. \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

Next, we apply the theorem to the term  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^4 \langle \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=3} | \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} \rangle$  that appears in Eq. (23). Similarly to the above, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^4 \langle \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=3} | \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op} \rangle = \langle \Psi_{N=4}^{ijk\dots o} | \hat{\gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}'; \mathbf{r}\mathbf{r}')_{N=4} | \Psi_{N=4}^{ijk\dots o} \rangle \quad (24)$$

with

$$\Psi_{N=4}^{ijk\dots o}(x_1, \dots, x_4) = \sum_{p=1}^4 a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4) \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \quad (25)$$

if there exists the set of functions  $\{a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4)\}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 4$ ) that satisfy the following conditions:

$$\int a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4)^* a_{p'}^{ijk\dots o}(x_4) dx = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{p,p'}, \quad (26)$$

$$\sigma \sum_{p=1}^4 a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4) \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (-1)^{\bar{\sigma}} \sum_{p=1}^4 a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4) \Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op}(x_1, x_2, x_3). \quad (27)$$

The existence conditions for  $\{a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4)\}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 4$ ), i.e., Eqs. (26) and (27), are added to the set of sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of Eq. (2). At this stage, Eq. (8) and the existence conditions for  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)\}$  ( $1 \leq q \leq 3$ ) and  $\{a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4)\}$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 4$ ) belong to the set of sufficient conditions.

Thus the theorem is applied repeatedly, so that further conditions are added to the set of sufficient conditions. Continuing until the conditions for  $\{a_i(x_{N_0})\}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N_0$ ), we eventually obtain sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of Eq. (2).

#### IV. CONCRETE STEPS FOR CONSTRUCTING THE $N$ -REPRESENTABLE LO-JASTROW PD

In the preceding section, the sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of the LO-Jastrow PD are derived. In this section, we consider the concrete steps for searching the correlation function that meets these conditions or checking its existence.

1. First, we give a trial form of the correlation function. Using this, simultaneous equations for the  $N_0$ -electron system are solved in a self-consistent way.<sup>2</sup>
2. Let us consider the SSD that consists of the resultant spin orbitals for the simultaneous equations. The SSD can generally be expanded using the cofactor. The SSD for the  $N_0$ -electron system is expanded along the  $N_0$ th row, then we get the  $N_0$  number of SSDs for the  $(N_0 - 1)$ -electron system, i.e.,  $\Phi_{SSD}^i(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-1})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N_0$ ). Successively, each of the SSDs for the  $(N_0 - 1)$ -electron system is expanded along the  $(N_0 - 1)$ th row, and then the  $(N_0 - 1)$  number of SSDs for the  $(N_0 - 2)$ -electron system, i.e.,  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ij}(x_1, \dots, x_{N_0-2})$  ( $1 \leq j \leq N_0 - 1$ ), can be obtained for each  $i$ . After that and

later, the cofactor expansions are likewise repeated, and we finally arrive at the SSDs for the two-electron system, i.e.,  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2)$ . The number of two-electron SSDs thus obtained is  $N_0!/2$ , since  $i, j, k, \dots, o, p$  and  $q$  are integers such that  $1 \leq i \leq N_0$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq N_0 - 1$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq N_0 - 2, \dots, 1 \leq o \leq 5$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq 4$  and  $1 \leq q \leq 3$ , respectively.

3. The two-electron SSD  $\Phi_{SSD}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2)$  and the correlation function determine the normalization constant  $A_2^{ijk\dots opq}$ .<sup>5,6</sup> We check whether all of  $A_2^{ijk\dots opq}$  are unity or not. If no, we return to the step 1 and change the form of the correlation function. This process proceeds until all of  $A_2^{ijk\dots opq}$  become unity. Suppose that such the correlation function is found, we get two-electron antisymmetric wave functions  $\Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2)$  for all cases of  $i, j, k, \dots, o, p$  and  $q$  through Eq. (7).
4. By means of these  $\Psi_{N=2}^{ijk\dots opq}(x_1, x_2)$ , three-electron wave functions are defined as Eq. (20). We will check whether there exists the set of  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3), q = 1, 2, 3\}$  that are satisfied with Eqs. (21) and (22). Note that the check has to be performed for all cases of  $i, j, k, \dots, o$  and  $p$ . If suitable  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)\}$  cannot be found, we again return to the step 1 and modify the correlation function. Suppose the suitable  $\{a_q^{ijk\dots op}(x_3)\}$  is found in this process, then three-electron antisymmetric wave functions  $\Psi_{N=3}^{ijk\dots op}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  for all cases of  $i, j, k, \dots, o$  and  $p$  can be constructed from Eq. (20).
5. We successively proceed the case of four-electron wave functions that are defined as Eq. (25). In a similar way to the step 4, we check whether the set of  $\{a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4), p = 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  meets the conditions of Eqs. (26) and (27). If no, we restart from the step 1 with the modified correlation function. Suppose that the suitable  $\{a_p^{ijk\dots o}(x_4)\}$  are found for all cases of  $i, j, k, \dots$  and  $o$ , the four-electron antisymmetric wave functions  $\Psi_{N=4}^{ijk\dots o}(x_1, \dots, x_4)$  can be obtained from Eq. (25).
6. Likewise, we further proceed the problem constructing the antisymmetric wave functions for more-electron systems. We search the set of  $\{a_\alpha(x_{n+1}), \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$  that are satisfied with Eqs. (11) and (12), together with modifying the correlation function. If we successfully find the correlation function that meets the conditions (11) and (12) for any  $n$  ( $\leq N_0 - 1$ ), the LO-Jastrow PD of the  $N_0$ -electron system becomes  $N$ -representable.



As easily inferred, the above steps are feasible only for the small-electron systems from the practical viewpoint. However, it should be noted that the correlation function that makes the LO-Jastrow PD  $N$ -representable may, in principle, be found along the above steps, though there is a possibility that the suitable correlation function may not exist in some system.

## V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, the sufficient conditions for the  $N$ -representability of the LO-Jastrow PD are discussed. Using the properties of the LO-Jastrow PD, we derive the sufficient conditions that are imposed on the correlation function of the Jastrow wave function. As shown in Sec. IV, additional steps to search the suitable correlation function, which satisfies the sufficient conditions, are attached to the computational scheme proposed previously.<sup>2</sup> Although the number of steps rapidly increases with that of electrons, the concrete steps that are presented in Sec. IV are feasible for a small-electron system. Of course, there is a possibility that the suitable correlation function cannot be found out. In this case, as mentioned in the previous paper, LO-Jastrow PDs are approximately  $N$ -representable in a sense that they satisfy four kinds of necessary conditions.<sup>2,7,8,9,10,11,12</sup>

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 19540399) and for Scientific Research in Priority Areas (No. 17064006) of The Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan.

---

\* Electronic address: khiguchi@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

† Electronic address: higuchi@shinshu-u.ac.jp

<sup>1</sup> P. Ziesche, Phys. Lett. A **195**, 213 (1994), and references cited in Ref. 2.

<sup>2</sup> M. Higuchi and K. Higuchi, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 042510 (2007).

<sup>3</sup> M. Higuchi and K. Higuchi, Physica B **387**, 117 (2007).

<sup>4</sup> M. Higuchi and K. Higuchi, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **310**, 990 (2007).

- <sup>5</sup> M. Gaudin, J. Gillespie and G. Ripka, Nucl. Phys. A **176**, 237 (1971).
- <sup>6</sup> M. D. Ri, S. Stringari and O. Bohigas, Nucl. Phys. **A376**, 81 (1982).
- <sup>7</sup> J. Simons and J. E. Harriman, Phys. Rev. A **2**, 1034 (1970).
- <sup>8</sup> A. Soirat, M. Flocco and L. Massa, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **49**, 291 (1994).
- <sup>9</sup> L. Massa, Int. J. Quant. Chem. **90**, 291 (2002).
- <sup>10</sup> D. A. Mazziotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 213001 (2004).
- <sup>11</sup> G. Gidofalvi and D. A. Mazziotti, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 052505 (2005).
- <sup>12</sup> W. Kutzelnigg, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 171101 (2006).
- <sup>13</sup> R. G. Parr and W. Yang, *Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1989).
- <sup>14</sup> W. Griner, *Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995).