

Marginally Deformed Rolling Tachyon around the Tachyon Vacuum in Open String Field Theory

O-Kab Kwon

School of Mathematics, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

okabkwon@maths.tcd.ie

Abstract

We investigate the string field theory around the tachyon vacuum. By using the vanishing cohomology we prove that all perturbative solutions are pure gauge forms up to gauge transformations at the tachyon vacuum. For a special choice of gauge function, marginal deformation from the tachyon vacuum is allowed due to nontrivial roles of Schnabl's analytic vacuum solution. We construct an exact rolling tachyon solution which connects the wild oscillations of the tachyon profile to the tachyon vacuum at late times of D-brane decay.

1 Introduction

There are two well-known vacua in open bosonic string field theory (OSFT) [1]. One is the unstable (perturbative) vacuum and the other is the tachyon (nonperturbative) vacuum. M. Schnabl obtained the analytic solution for the tachyon vacuum [2]. After the Schnabl's work, there has been remarkable progress in understanding of OSFT [3]-[32]. Especially, many works have been devoted to the construction of analytic solutions in bosonic string [14, 15, 18, 19, 22, 25] and superstring [16, 17, 22, 23, 28] field theories, which correspond to the exactly marginal deformations of the boundary conformal field theory (BCFT)[33]. For the earlier works for marginal deformations in OSFT, see the Refs. [34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40].

One of very interesting examples of the marginal deformations is the time dependent solutions, referred as rolling tachyon, in open string theory. Most of interests of the rolling tachyon solution, however, have been concentrated on the deformations from the unstable vacuum, like as the other marginal deformations in OSFT. Since the known marginal deformations are exact but perturbative solutions in a perturbation parameter λ and the closed forms are not known up to now, our knowledge for the marginally deformed rolling tachyon in OSFT is restricted to the physics around the unstable vacuum and we have still several puzzles.

The rolling tachyon solutions [41, 42] in BCFT, boundary string field theory (BSFT), and low energy effective field theories describe the tachyon matter interpreted as the closed string radiations from the D-brane decay. During the decay process of D-brane, which is encoded in the dynamics of tachyon field, the pressure of the system approaches zero monotonically from negative value, maintaining the constant energy density. And the tachyon field grows monotonically and approaches the tachyon vacuum which is located at infinity of the tachyon field.

However, in OSFT the different behaviors of rolling tachyon appears in level truncated field theory [43, 44, 45]. In $(0,0)$ -level truncation of L_0 -eigenstate expansion, the rolling tachyon solution overshoots the tachyon vacuum and oscillates with ever-growing amplitude. And the pressure of the system has the similar oscillating behaviors. The qualitatively same behaviors appear in p -adic string theory also [43]. These unexpected results that the tachyon field does not roll from the unstable vacuum to the tachyon vacuum were confirmed in the higher level also [45, 46]. Even in the exact marginal deformation for rolling tachyon these oscillating behaviors seem to appear [14, 15], though it is difficult to be confirmed since the coefficients in the series expansion for the tachyon solution are numerical and restricted to the several few coefficients.

This is a puzzle in the time dependent behaviors of OSFT. Then how can we reconcile the puzzling behaviors of rolling tachyon in OSFT with the well-known behaviors in BCFT and BSFT and other low energy effective theories? There were several trials to answer this question by using the time dependent gauge transformation [45, 20], other kind of time dependent solution [47], and properties of partition function in two dimensional sigma model [21].

In this work. we try to solve the puzzle of rolling tachyon solution in OSFT by considering the rolling tachyon marginal deformation from the tachyon vacuum.

We investigate the string field theory around the tachyon vacuum background which is explicitly known by Schnabl [2]. The action for string field around the tachyon vacuum has the same form as the action around the unstable vacuum when the BRST operator Q_B at the unstable vacuum is replaced by the BRST operator \tilde{Q} at the tachyon vacuum. We construct an analytic solution perturbatively in a parameter λ at the tachyon vacuum by using the remarkable properties of wedge states with operator insertions [48].

In section 2, by using the vanishing cohomology of \tilde{Q} at the tachyon vacuum, we prove that all perturbative type of solutions in OSFT are pure gauge forms up to gauge transformations. We argue the validity of the pure gauge solutions for some cases corresponding to large gauge transformations and discuss about analogy with the gauge theory.

In section 3, we consider the marginal deformations around the tachyon vacuum. In special choice of ghost number zero string state ϕ defined in our analytic solution (24), we construct the marginally deformed solutions. We apply the marginally deformed solution to the rolling tachyon vertex operator e^{-X^0} which describes the late time behaviors of D-brane decay. We obtain the explicit rolling tachyon profile around the tachyon vacuum.

We conclude in section 4.

2 Pure Gauge Solution around the Tachyon Vacuum

Let us first briefly review the bosonic OSFT around the tachyon vacuum. The OSFT around the tachyon vacuum solution is described by the action,

$$\tilde{S}[\tilde{\Phi}] = -\frac{1}{2}\langle\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{Q}\tilde{\Phi}\rangle - \frac{1}{3}\langle\tilde{\Phi}, \tilde{\Phi} * \tilde{\Phi}\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where we set the open string coupling constant $g_o = 1$ for simplicity, $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the BPZ inner product, $*$ denotes Witten's star product, and \tilde{Q} is the new BRST operator at the tachyon vacuum. The BRST operator \tilde{Q} acts on a string field χ of ghost number $gh(\chi)$ through

$$\tilde{Q}\chi = Q_B\chi + \Psi * \chi - (-1)^{gh(\chi)}\chi * \Psi, \quad (2)$$

where Q_B is the BRST operator at the unstable vacuum and Ψ represents the Schnabl's analytic vacuum solution in $\mathcal{B}_0\Psi = 0$ gauge [2],

$$\Psi \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{n=0}^N \psi'_n - \psi_N \right] \quad (3)$$

with

$$\psi_0 = \frac{2}{\pi}c_1|0\rangle,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_n &= \frac{2}{\pi} c_1|0\rangle * |n\rangle * B_1^L c_1|0\rangle, \quad (n \geq 1) \\
\psi'_0 &\equiv \frac{d\psi_n}{dn} \Big|_{n=0} = Q_B(B_1^L c_1|0\rangle) = K_1^L c_1|0\rangle + B_1^L c_0 c_1|0\rangle, \\
\psi'_n &\equiv \frac{d\psi_n}{dn} = c_1|0\rangle * K_1^L |n\rangle * B_1^L c_1|0\rangle, \quad (n \geq 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here B_1^L and K_1^L are defined on the upper half plane(UHP) as

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1^L &= \int_{C_L} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} (1 + \xi^2) b(\xi), \\
K_1^L &= \int_{C_L} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi i} (1 + \xi^2) T(\xi),
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where the contour C_L runs counterclockwise along the unit circle with $\text{Re } \xi < 0$. The action (1) is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\delta\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{Q}\tilde{\Phi} + \tilde{\Phi} * \tilde{\Lambda} - \tilde{\Lambda} * \tilde{\Phi} \tag{6}$$

for a ghost number zero state $\tilde{\Lambda}$ and satisfies the equation of motion,

$$\tilde{Q}\tilde{\Phi} + \tilde{\Phi} * \tilde{\Phi} = 0. \tag{7}$$

In solving the equation of motion (7) we use a perturbative method in some parameter λ , which is well-known method in OSFT. For example, recently developed marginally deformed solutions in OSFT is using this perturbative method [14, 15]. The solution of the Eq. (7) has the form,

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \tilde{\phi}_n \tag{8}$$

with $\tilde{\phi}_n$ satisfying the relation

$$\tilde{Q}\tilde{\phi}_1 = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{Q}\tilde{\phi}_n = - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \tilde{\phi}_k * \tilde{\phi}_{n-k}, \quad (n \geq 2). \tag{10}$$

The solution (8) satisfies the Eq. (7) at each order of λ .

In this section, we prove that all perturbative solutions like as (8) at the tachyon vacuum have pure gauge like forms¹ up to gauge transformations. The vanishing cohomology at the tachyon vacuum is closely related to the form of solution. By introducing the homotopy operator

$$A = -\frac{\pi}{2} \int_1^2 dr B_1^L |r\rangle, \tag{11}$$

¹ The ordinary piece $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi'_n$ of Schnabl's vacuum solution (3) starts from Q_B -exact state $\psi'_0 = Q_B(B_1^L c_1|0\rangle)$ with trivial cohomology of Q_B in solving the string field equation $Q_B\Psi + \Psi * \Psi = 0$. However, the solution becomes nontrivial at a special value $\lambda = 1$. For the earlier study of the pure gauge forms in OSFT, see Refs. [36, 37, 38, 40].

which satisfies $\tilde{Q}A = \mathcal{I}$ with identity string state \mathcal{I} of star product algebra, Ellwood and Schnabl proved that all \tilde{Q} -closed states are \tilde{Q} -exact at the tachyon vacuum [6]. From this fact we can see that the only form of solution satisfying the Eq. (9) is a \tilde{Q} -exact state

$$\tilde{\phi}_1 = \tilde{Q}\phi = Q_B\phi + \Psi * \phi - \phi * \Psi, \quad (12)$$

where ϕ is a ghost number zero state. Differently from other backgrounds, in tachyon vacuum this a unique form of solution in λ -order of the solution (8).

From now on, we determine $\tilde{\phi}_n$, ($n \geq 2$), in a given order of λ from the Eq. (10). When $n = 2$, the Eq. (10) is given by

$$\tilde{Q}\tilde{\phi}_2 = -\tilde{\phi}_1 * \tilde{\phi}_1 = -\tilde{Q}\phi * \tilde{Q}\phi = \tilde{Q}\left((\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi\right). \quad (13)$$

Then we obtain the solution of the Eq. (13),

$$\tilde{\phi}_2 = (\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi + \tilde{Q}\chi_2, \quad (14)$$

where χ_2 is a ghost number zero string state. Up to λ^2 -order, the perturbative solution (8) is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \lambda\tilde{Q}\phi + \lambda^2\tilde{\phi}_2. \quad (15)$$

Inserting the Eq. (15) into the action (1), we obtain

$$S = \lambda^4 \left[-\frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{\phi}_2, \tilde{Q}\tilde{\phi}_2 \rangle - \langle \tilde{Q}\phi, \tilde{Q}\phi * \tilde{\phi}_2 \rangle \right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^5). \quad (16)$$

The terms of λ^4 -order in the action (16) is completely determined by the truncated solution (15). However, the higher order of λ in the action (16) is not fixed by $\tilde{\Psi}$ given in Eq. (15). So the valid action in this order of λ is the λ^4 -term in Eq. (16). Then we can easily find the term $\tilde{Q}\chi_2$ in Eq. (14) is a gauge degree in the action (16) up to λ^4 -order. By a simplest gauge choice $\tilde{Q}\chi_2 = 0$ in (15), we have

$$\tilde{\phi}_2 = (\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi. \quad (17)$$

Similarly, when $n = 3$ in Eq. (10), we have an equation

$$\tilde{Q}\tilde{\phi}_3 = -\tilde{\phi}_1 * \tilde{\phi}_2 - \tilde{\phi}_2 * \tilde{\phi}_1 = \tilde{Q}\left((\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi^2\right), \quad (18)$$

where we use the notation

$$\phi^n \equiv \underbrace{\phi * \phi * \cdots * \phi}_n.$$

From the Eq. (18), we obtain

$$\tilde{\phi}_3 = (\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi^2 + \tilde{Q}\chi_3, \quad (19)$$

where χ_3 is also an arbitrary ghost number zero string state. Then the perturbative solution (8) up to λ^3 -order is given by

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \lambda \tilde{Q}\phi + \lambda^2(\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi + \lambda^3 \tilde{\phi}_3. \quad (20)$$

By using the similar procedure with the case of $\tilde{\phi}_2$, we can fix the gauge by choosing $\tilde{Q}\chi_3 = 0$ from the action in λ^5 -order. Then the gauge fixed $\tilde{\phi}_3$ is given by

$$\tilde{\phi}_3 = (\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi^2. \quad (21)$$

Repeating these procedures, we can obtain the gauge fixed $\tilde{\phi}_n$ from the λ^{n+2} -term in the OSFT action (1),

$$\tilde{\phi}_n = (\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi^{n-1}. \quad (22)$$

As a result, the perturbative solution (8) is represented as a pure gauge solution²,

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (\tilde{Q}\phi) * \phi^{n-1} = \tilde{Q}\phi * \frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda\phi} = e^{-\tilde{\Lambda}} * (\tilde{Q}e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}), \quad (24)$$

where the ghost number zero string state $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = -\ln(1 - \lambda\phi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{n} \phi^n.$$

So far in this section we have shown that all perturbative solutions at the tachyon vacuum in OSFT are pure gauge solutions up to gauge transformations. Since OSFT is a background independent string field theory, the solutions found around the unstable vacuum, for instance, the marginally deformed solutions [14, 15] which are not pure gauge solutions³, can be also described around the tachyon vacuum. This means that the solutions which are not pure gauge types at the unstable vacuum can be the pure gauge solutions at the tachyon vacuum. In this sense, we can think that our pure gauge solution contains physically nontrivial solutions for some cases.

Since the action (1) is invariant under the infinitesimal (small) gauge transformation (6), it is also invariant under the gauge function $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ in Eq. (24) which are

²By using $\tilde{Q}A = \mathcal{I}$ at the tachyon vacuum, we can also construct another pure gauge solution

$$\tilde{\Psi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (\tilde{Q}\phi) * (A * \tilde{Q}\phi)^{n-1}. \quad (23)$$

This solution can be constructed at the tachyon vacuum only. We can also reduce this solution (23) to the simplest solution (24) by gauge fixing from the relation $A * \tilde{Q}\phi = \phi + \tilde{Q}\chi$, where χ is an arbitrary string state with ghost number -1 .

³In the construction of marginal deformation for photon around the unstable vacuum, the pure gauge solution was used by Fuchs et al. [18, 23]. In the paper the non-normalizable states were used and the counterterms were added to obtain some meaningful results which are independent of the non-normalizable states.

generated by the small gauge transformations. In this case $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ can be deformed to the identity string state \mathcal{I} and the pure gauge solution (24) has no physical meanings. However, in some cases $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ state cannot be deformed continuously to the identity string state at the tachyon vacuum. Then the action is not invariant for the pure gauge solution (24), i.e., $\tilde{S}[e^{-\tilde{\Lambda}}\tilde{Q}e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}] \neq 0$. In gauge theory, this type of gauge transformation is called as *large gauge transformation*. In this case, the pure gauge solution has nontrivial physical meaning.

This situation at the tachyon vacuum in OSFT is reminiscent of that at the spatial infinity in gauge theory, for example, four dimensional Euclidean Yang-Mills theory which has classical instanton solution. At the spatial infinity, the instanton solution $A_\mu(x)$ is not merely zero, but a gauge transform of zero. That is,

$$A_\mu = U^{-1}\partial_\mu U + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right),$$

where U is a gauge function and can have angular variables only at $r \rightarrow \infty$. One can transform U to identity for some cases. But in general, it is not true since U cannot be deformed continuously to identity for the other cases. And in these cases the pure gauge forms at the spatial infinity have nontrivial physical meanings [49].

3 Marginal Deformations

The string state $\tilde{\phi}_n$ in Eq. (22) is a wedge state [48] with operator insertions on the worldsheet boundary. If the string state ϕ in our construction of the solution (24), which is made from some operator insertion to $SL(2,R)$ vacuum $|0\rangle$, is well-defined, $\tilde{\phi}_n$ does not cause any divergences in the calculations of BPZ-inner products since the separations among the boundary insertions do not go to zero by construction. As we discussed in the previous section, the only constraint for ϕ is the ghost number of it, i.e., $gh(\phi) = 0$. However, since our solution around the tachyon vacuum is the pure gauge solution, choosing the physically acceptable matter operator will become nontrivial.

One important and well-known solution in OSFT is the marginally deformed solution [14, 15]. As an application of our construction, we consider matter operators which give exactly marginal deformations in BCFT [33]. These operators are of particular interest in the study of tachyon condensation and cohomology class of the BRST operator Q_B at the unstable vacuum.

We can also construct a pure gauge solution at the unstable vacuum by replacing the BRST operator \tilde{Q} with Q_B in the Eq. (24), apart from the issue of the gauge invariance of pure gauge form. However, we cannot obtain the marginal deformation by the pure gauge solution around the unstable vacuum, except for the cases in Refs. [18, 23] which have special prescriptions. The reason is following: The first term in the pure gauge solution for the BRST operator Q_B is

$$\lambda Q_B \phi,$$

where ϕ is a ghost number zero string state and include an exactly marginal operator V . To extract the contribution to the marginal deformation $c_1 V(0)|0\rangle$, we can use a test state $c_0 c_1 \tilde{V}(0)|0\rangle$ with a primary operator \tilde{V} . But the contribution of $\lambda Q_B \phi$ to $c_1 V(0)|0\rangle$ vanishes always since

$$\langle c_0 c_1 \tilde{V}, Q_B \phi \rangle = -\langle Q_B(c_0 c_1 \tilde{V}), \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Therefore, we cannot obtain the marginally deformed solution from the pure gauge solution around the unstable vacuum without special prescriptions.

At the tachyon vacuum, however, the situation is changed. The pure gauge solution (24) for a special choice of ϕ make marginal deformations for an exactly marginal operator due to the nontrivial roles of the tachyon vacuum solution Ψ , as we will see in this section.

3.1 Marginally deformed operators

Let us consider the exactly marginal operator called V with conformal dimension one in the construction of the ghost number zero string state ϕ in Eq. (24). After some investigations for the construction of ϕ , we found that the most plausible and simple choice for ϕ is⁴

$$\phi = V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle, \quad (25)$$

where B_1^L was defined in Eq. (5). We can also consider the other ghost number zero states, for instance, $\phi = V(0)|0\rangle$ or $\phi = V(0)B_1^L c_0|0\rangle$. However, these cases do not give marginal deformations since the term $V(0)c_1|0\rangle$, which corresponds to the marginal deformation in λ -order in the resulting solution $\tilde{\Psi}$ in Eq. (24), vanishes. In what follows in this section, we restrict our interest to the case of ϕ given in Eq. (25). As we have discussed about our pure gauge solution in section 2, we have to choose the matter operator V in the gauge function,

$$e^{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda\phi} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle}, \quad (26)$$

which gives nontrivial physical meanings.

Under the assumption that a matter operator V makes the solution (24) nontrivial, we write down the explicit form of $\tilde{\phi}_n$ in Eq. (22) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\phi}_n &= (V(0)c_0|0\rangle + \partial V(0)c_1|0\rangle + V(0)c_1 K_1^L|0\rangle) * J^{n-2} * V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle \\ &\quad + \Psi * J^{n-1} * V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle \\ &\quad - V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle * \Psi * J^{n-2} * V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

⁴For the special choice of $\phi = V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle$, the pure gauge solution (23) is the same as the solution (24) since $A * \tilde{Q}\phi = A * Q_B \phi + A * \Psi * \phi - A * \phi * \Psi = \phi$, where we used the facts, $A * \phi = 0$, $Q_B A = \mathcal{I} - |0\rangle$, and $A * \Psi = B_1^L c_1|0\rangle$.

where $J \equiv V(0)|0\rangle$ and we used the relations,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_B\phi &= V(0)c_0|0\rangle + \partial V(0)c_1|0\rangle + V(0)c_1K_1^L|0\rangle, \\ \phi^n &= J^{n-1} * V(0)B_1^L c_1|0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In obtaining the expression ϕ^n in Eq. (28), we used the relations,

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^L\phi_1 * \phi_2 &= B_1\phi_1 * \phi_2 + (-1)^{gh(\phi_1)}\phi_1 * B_1^L\phi_2, \\ \{B_1, c_1\} &= 1, \quad B_1|0\rangle = 0, \quad (B_1^L)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Recently, marginally deformed exact solutions around the unstable vacuum are obtained in bosonic string [14, 15, 18, 19, 22, 25] and superstring [16, 17, 22, 23, 28] field theories. If the operator V has a regular OPE with itself, the solutions are well-defined. However, when the OPE of V is singular, divergencies arises in the solutions and one needs to add counter terms to regularize it at each order of λ [15] or renormalize the operator V [25, 28]. In our construction of $\tilde{\phi}_n$ in Eq. (27), there is no divergence even if we consider the case for the operator V with singular OPE, as explained before.

3.2 Rolling tachyon: late time behaviors

As a very important application of our solution (24), we consider the rolling tachyon vertex operator, $V(y) = e^{\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha'}}X^0(y)}$, where y is the boundary coordinate on UHP. In $\alpha' = 1$ units, V is the dimension one primary operator. Since we are considering the string field theory around the tachyon vacuum, we choose

$$V(y) = e^{-X^0(y)} \quad (29)$$

for definiteness. In physical point of view, the deformation of tachyon field $e^{-X^0(0)}c_1|0\rangle$ in λ -order represents that the system reaches to the tachyon vacuum in the far future.

Inserting the rolling tachyon vertex operator (29) into the Eq. (25), we get

$$\phi = e^{-X^0(0)}B_1^L c_1|0\rangle. \quad (30)$$

Then the gauge function $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ is given by

$$e^{\tilde{\Lambda}} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda e^{-X^0(0)}B_1^L c_1|0\rangle}. \quad (31)$$

This gauge function is an example which generates so called *large gauge transformation* corresponding to a nontrivial physics. The reason is following: One cannot deform the gauge function $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ in Eq. (31) to the identity string state \mathcal{I} since we can rescale the gauge parameter λ by the time x^0 (zero mode of X^0) translation always. That is, the gauge parameter λ relates two solutions having same physical properties, like as the collective coordinates in field theory.

From the expression for $\tilde{\phi}_n$ given in Eq. (27) we obtain the string field solution corresponding to rolling tachyon marginal deformation,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\Psi} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \tilde{\phi}_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n (A_n + B_n + C_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda^n \left(\beta_n e^{-nX^0(0)} c_1 |0\rangle + \dots \right),\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

where β_n is the coefficient of tachyon profile and

$$\begin{aligned}A_n &= (Q_B \phi) * \phi^{n-1} \\ &= \left(e^{-X^0(0)} c_0 |0\rangle + (\partial e^{-X^0(0)}) c_1 |0\rangle + e^{-X^0(0)} c_1 K_1^L |0\rangle \right) * J^{n-2} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1 |0\rangle, \\ B_n &= \Psi * \phi^n \\ &= \Psi * J^{n-1} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1 |0\rangle, \\ C_n &= -\phi * \Psi * \phi^{n-1} \\ &= -e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1 |0\rangle * \Psi * J^{n-2} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1 |0\rangle\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

with $J = e^{-X^0(0)} |0\rangle$. In the third step of the Eq. (32), we have separated out the tachyon component and \dots indicates the higher level fields.

By using a test string state

$$\chi_n = e^{nX^0(0)} c_0 c_1 |0\rangle,\tag{34}$$

we can extract the coefficient β_n in Eq. (32) by,

$$\beta_n = \langle \chi_n, \tilde{\phi}_n \rangle,\tag{35}$$

where we omit volume factor $(2\pi)^D \delta^D(0)$ for D spacetime dimensions arising from the BPZ inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for simplicity. For our conventions of the matter and ghost correlation functions, see Appendix. Then the exact expression for β_n is given by

$$\beta_n = \beta_n^A + \beta_n^B + \beta_n^C,\tag{36}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_n^A &\equiv \langle \chi_n, A_n \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n^2+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[a^{n^2+n-1} \left\{ \left(x - \frac{1}{2a} \sin(2ax) \right) \sin^2 a + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2a} \sin(2a) \right) \sin^2(ax) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{\prod_{j=2}^n \sin^2(a(x-j)) \prod_{2 \leq k < m}^n \sin^2(a(k-m))}{\sin^{2n}(ax) \prod_{i=2}^n \sin^{2n}(ai)} \right]_{x=1} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n^2+n} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[b^{n^2+n-1} \sin^2 b \left(1 - \frac{1}{2b} \sin(2b) \right) \right]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \frac{\prod_{j=0}^{n-2} \sin^2(b(m+j-1)) \prod_{0 \leq k < l}^{n-2} \sin^2(b(k-l))}{\sin^{2n} b \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \sin^{2n}(b(m+i))} \Big]_{m=2}, \\
\beta_n^B & \equiv \langle \chi_n, B_n \rangle \\
& = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n^2+n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[c^{n^2+n-1} \sin^2 c \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c} \sin(2c) \right) \frac{\prod_{2 \leq j < k}^{n+1} \sin^2(c(j-k))}{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} \sin^{2n}(c(m+i))} \right], \\
\beta_n^C & \equiv \langle \chi_n, C_n \rangle \\
& = -2 \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n^2+n} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[c^{n^2+n-1} \cos c \sin^2 c \left(\cos c - \frac{1}{c} \sin c \cos(2c) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \frac{\prod_{j=3}^{n+1} \sin^2(c(m+j-1)) \prod_{3 \leq k < l}^{n+1} \sin^2(c(k-l))}{\sin^{2n} c \prod_{i=3}^{n+1} \sin^{2n}(c(m+i))} \right] \tag{37}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$a = \frac{\pi}{n+1}, \quad b = \frac{\pi}{m+n-1}, \quad c = \frac{\pi}{m+n+2}.$$

In the calculations of β_n^B and β_n^C , we have to use the Schnabl's vacuum solution Ψ which is composed of the ordinary piece $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi'_m$ and phantom piece $-\psi_{\infty}$. The ordinary piece has nontrivial contributions to β_n and makes convergent series. However, the phantom piece has no contribution to β_n since the coefficients of ψ_N in L_0 -level truncation (level zero in our case) go to zero as $\mathcal{O}(N^{-3})$ for large N . The detailed calculations for BPZ-inner products of β_n^A , β_n^B , and β_n^C are given in Appendix.

The numerical results for the first few β_n are

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_1 & = -0.042740, & \beta_2 & = -0.013018, & \beta_3 & = -0.0019905, \\
\beta_4 & = -3.0298 \times 10^{-6}, & \beta_5 & = -9.9612 \times 10^{-11}, & \beta_6 & = -6.2872 \times 10^{-17}. \tag{38}
\end{aligned}$$

We can easily obtain the higher coefficients of β_n by adjusting the number of significant digits to increase numerical precisions in computer programs. In our convention the tachyon potential is unbounded from below at $T \rightarrow +\infty$. So the value of tachyon field at the unstable vacuum is greater than that at the tachyon vacuum. Since we are considering the deformation at the true vacuum, the rolling tachyon deformation, which describes the decay of unstable D-brane from the unstable vacuum to the tachyon vacuum, is positive, i.e., $T > 0$. As we have shown in Eq. (38), all coefficients β_n are negative. Therefore, the physical solution for the tachyon profile in Eq. (39) corresponds to $\lambda < 0$. After rescaling by translation in the time direction, we can set $\lambda = -1$ in Eq. (32).

If we normalize β_n by using β_1 for convenience, the resulting tachyon profile in Eq. (32) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T(X^0) & = e^{-X^0} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \bar{\beta}_n e^{-nX^0} \\
& = e^{-X^0} - 0.3048 e^{-2X^0} + 0.04657 e^{-3X^0} - 7.089 \times 10^{-5} e^{-4X^0} \\
& \quad + 2.331 \times 10^{-9} e^{-5X^0} - 1.471 \times 10^{-15} e^{-6X^0} + \dots, \tag{39}
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Graph of $T(x^0)$.

	$n=1$	$n=2$	$n=3$	$n=4$	$n=5$	$n=6$
$\bar{\beta}_n^A$	0.0	3.9161	0.096432	9.0328×10^{-5}	2.4981×10^{-9}	1.4941×10^{-15}
$\bar{\beta}_n^B$	8.7380	0.35979	0.00080278	5.9455×10^{-8}	9.7343×10^{-14}	2.5567×10^{-21}
$\bar{\beta}_n^C$	-7.7380	-3.9711	-0.050663	-1.9498×10^{-5}	-1.6748×10^{-10}	-2.2996×10^{-17}
$\bar{\beta}_n$	1.0	0.30483	0.046572	7.0889×10^{-5}	2.3307×10^{-9}	1.4711×10^{-15}

Table 1: Several few coefficients for $\bar{\beta}_n^A$, $\bar{\beta}_n^B$, $\bar{\beta}_n^C$, and $\bar{\beta}_n$.

where $\bar{\beta}_n \equiv \beta_n/\beta_1$. In Fig.1, we plot the behaviors of tachyon field $T(x^0)$. In order to get some physical intuitions for the roles of BRST operator Q_B and the vacuum solution Ψ in tachyon profile, we summarize the first few normalized coefficients, $\bar{\beta}_n^A \equiv \beta_n^A/\beta_1$, $\bar{\beta}_n^B \equiv \beta_n^B/\beta_1$, and $\bar{\beta}_n^C \equiv \beta_n^C/\beta_1$ in Table 1. As we see in Table 1, in the late time ($x^0 \rightarrow \infty$ limit) behaviors of the tachyon profile (encoded in $\bar{\beta}_1$) the roles of tachyon vacuum solution Ψ are crucial in the rolling tachyon deformation and there is no contributions from $\bar{\beta}_1^A$ which comes from the BRST charge Q_B . From the next order of tachyon coefficients β_n , ($n \geq 2$), the contribution of tachyon vacuum solution (encoded in $\bar{\beta}_n^B$ and $\bar{\beta}_n^C$) rapidly decrease and those of Q_B -term become dominant.

Tachyon field $T(x^0)$ decreases monotonically and approaches zero at $x^0 \rightarrow \infty$, as we see in Fig.1. The system approaches the tachyon vacuum without oscillating behaviors at late time of D-brane decay. The similar behavior of the tachyon profile at late time was reported in Ref. [20] by neglecting the contributions of matter correlators. However, our result suggests that in the far past there were wild oscillating behaviors of tachyon profile, which appear in the rolling tachyon marginal deformation around the unstable vacuum. Therefore, it seems that our solution connects the wild oscillations to the tachyon vacuum. We postpone the more detailed discussions

for our results to the next section.

4 Conclusion

In this work, we investigated the analytic solutions around the tachyon vacuum in OSFT. By using the fact that all \tilde{Q} -closed states at the tachyon vacuum are \tilde{Q} -exact due to the existence of homotopy operator A [6], we proved that all perturbative types of solutions are pure gauge forms up to gauge transformations. This means that the analytic solutions around the unstable vacuum, which are not pure gauge solutions, for instance, recently obtained marginally deformed analytic solutions [14, 15], can become pure gauge forms at the tachyon vacuum. This situation at the tachyon vacuum in OSFT is reminiscent of that at the spatial infinity in gauge theory, for example, four dimensional Euclidean Yang-Mills theory with instanton solution. Like as in gauge theory, the pure gauge solutions which correspond to the *large gauge transformations* are physically nontrivial.

As an application of our construction of pure gauge solution around the tachyon vacuum, we considered the marginally deformed rolling tachyon vertex operator $V = e^{-X^0}$, in which the system stays at the tachyon vacuum in the far future. After some investigations, we found that a special choice of the ghost number zero string state ϕ in Eq. (30) and corresponding gauge function $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ given in Eq. (31) makes the pure gauge solution nontrivial. In this special choice, our pure gauge solution corresponds to the *large gauge transformation*. Then the gauge function $e^{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ cannot be deformed to the identity string state \mathcal{I} since the gauge parameter λ represents a translation along the time direction. That is, the gauge parameter λ relates solutions having same physical properties. We found that under the choice of ϕ in Eq. (30), we can make the marginal deformation due to the nontrivial roles of the analytic tachyon vacuum solution Ψ in Eq. (3). We explicitly obtained the tachyon profile which is believed to describe the behaviors of D-brane decay at late times. The coefficients β_n represent exponentially decreasing behaviors which are similar to those of tachyon coefficients at the unstable vacuum [14, 15]. According to our results, the tachyon profile decrease monotonically and approaches the tachyon vacuum asymptotically at late time of D-brane decay. These behaviors of the tachyon profile around the tachyon vacuum were obtained also in Ref. [20] by neglecting the roles of matter correlators. However, our results also show that in the far past there were wild oscillations. That is, there will be the similar wild oscillating behaviors with the rolling from the unstable vacuum in the time reversed direction. Our solution connects the wild oscillations of tachyon profile to the tachyon vacuum.

If the two rolling tachyon marginal solutions around the unstable vacuum and our solution describe the same physical situation due to the background independence in OSFT, though we need more investigations in this direction, our result suggests the following possibilities.

The first one is that we can eliminate the puzzling oscillating behaviors by re-summation of the series form of marginal solutions, if it is possible. Actually this possibility is not so promising since the oscillating behaviors were examined by using

various methods in literatures [43, 44, 45, 46]. Even in the analytic solution [14, 15], the oscillating behaviors were almost confirmed from the behaviors of coefficients of the tachyon profile. Our results given in this paper also support the oscillating behaviors before the system approaches the tachyon vacuum. The other possibility is that by using a time dependent gauge transformation we can obtain the rolling tachyon solution which connects the unstable vacuum to the tachyon vacuum without the oscillating behaviors as suggested in literatures [45, 20]. Though it is difficult to give a concluding remarks by using our results, we think that our results can, hopefully, shed some light on the puzzle of rolling tachyon solution (tachyon matter problem) in OSFT since we fixed the behaviors of the tachyon profile at the late time of D-brane decay.

As we have seen in Refs. [18, 23] or in Schnabl's vacuum solution⁵, the pure gauge solutions are useful in obtaining physically nontrivial solutions. Of course, to obtain meaningful results, we have to avoid gauge degrees. In this sense, we can use our construction of pure gauge solution at the tachyon vacuum in obtaining meaningful solutions, for instance, marginal solutions or soliton solutions, by various methods. One of merits of our construction is the divergence free by construction. Extension of our method to supersymmetric string field theory would be also an interesting subject.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Stefano Kovacs for very useful discussions and comments. This work was partially supported by SFI Research Frontiers Programme.

A Conventions and Calculations for β_n^A , β_n^B , and β_n^C

We calculate the correlators, which come from BPZ-inner products, in semi-infinite cylinder(SIC) (frequently called as sliver frame) with coordinate z . The coordinate z has the relation

$$z = f(\xi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \xi \quad (40)$$

with coordinate ξ in UHP. We basically follow the notations used in literatures [3, 10, 15].

Using appropriate mappings $f_i(\xi)$ from UHP to SIC, we can obtain the following relation between BPZ-inner product and correlation function in conformal field theory for general type of BPZ-inner product,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi_0, \phi_1 * \phi_2 * \cdots * \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \langle f_0 \circ \phi_0(0) f_1 \circ \phi(0) \cdots f_n \circ \phi_n(0) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

⁵For the breakdown of gauge symmetry in the ordinary piece when $\lambda = 1$, see the section 5 of Ref. [6].

where $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}$ denotes a correlation function on SIC with \mathcal{W}_n called as wedge state surface with circumference,

$$-\frac{1}{2}(1+n) \leq \text{Re}(z) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1+n), \quad (42)$$

ϕ_i denotes a generic state in the Fock space and $\phi_i(0)$ represents the corresponding operator having the relation $|\phi_i\rangle = \phi_i(0)|0\rangle$, and

$$f_j(\xi) = \frac{2j}{n+1} + \frac{4}{(n+1)\pi} \tan^{-1} \xi, \quad (0 \leq j \leq n). \quad (43)$$

Then under the assumption that $\phi_i(0)$ is a primary operator with conformal dimension h_i for simplicity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \phi_0, \phi_1 * \phi_2 * \cdots * \phi_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{4}{(n+1)\pi} \right)^{\sum_{i=0}^n h_i} \left\langle \phi_0(0) \phi_1 \left(\frac{2}{n+1} \right) \cdots \phi_j \left(\frac{2j}{n+1} \right) \cdots \phi_n \left(\frac{2n}{n+1} \right) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_1} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{\sum_{i=0}^n h_i} \langle \phi_0(0) \phi_1(1) \cdots \phi_n(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where in the second step we rescaled the coordinate, $z \rightarrow \frac{n+1}{2} z$.

A.1 Ghost and matter correlators

We use the following convention for the ghost correlator on UHP,

$$\langle c(\xi_1) c(\xi_2) c(\xi_3) \rangle_{UHP} = (\xi_1 - \xi_2)(\xi_1 - \xi_3)(\xi_2 - \xi_3). \quad (45)$$

From the conformal transformation from UHP to SIC, we obtain the ghost correlator on the wedge state surface \mathcal{W}_α ,

$$\langle c(z_1) c(z_2) c(z_3) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,g}} = \left(\frac{1+\alpha}{\pi} \right)^3 \sin s_{12} \sin s_{13} \sin s_{23} \quad (46)$$

with definition $s_{ij} \equiv \frac{\pi(z_i - z_j)}{1+\alpha}$, where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,g}}$ denotes the ghost correlator. Using the relations $\{\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^*, c(z)\} = z$ and $\{\mathcal{B}, c(z)\} = 1$, we obtain a very useful formula in the calculations of ghost correlators,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B} c(z_1) c(z_2) c(z_3) c(z_4) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,g}} &= \frac{(1+\alpha)^2}{\pi^3} [-z_1 \sin s_{23} \sin s_{24} \sin s_{34} \\ &\quad + z_2 \sin s_{13} \sin s_{14} \sin s_{34} \\ &\quad - z_3 \sin s_{12} \sin s_{14} \sin s_{24} \\ &\quad + z_4 \sin s_{12} \sin s_{13} \sin s_{23}], \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where \mathcal{B}_0 is the zero mode of the b ghost in the z coordinate, \mathcal{B}_0^* is its BPZ conjugate, and

$$\mathcal{B} = \int \frac{dz}{2\pi i} b(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} f \circ B_1^L. \quad (48)$$

When \mathcal{B} is located between two operators at t_1 and t_2 with $\frac{1}{2} < t_1 < t_2$, the contour of the integral can be taken to be $-V_\alpha^+$ with $2t_1 - 1 < \alpha < 2t_2 - 1$. Here the oriented straight lines V_α^\pm in SIC is defined as

$$V_\alpha^\pm = \left\{ z \mid \text{Re}(z) = \pm \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha) \right\},$$

$$\text{orientation} : \pm \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha) - i\infty \longrightarrow \pm \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha) + i\infty. \quad (49)$$

On the other hand, for the matter correlators, we use the two point function

$$\langle X^\mu(\xi) X^\nu(\xi') \rangle_{UHP} = -2\eta^{\mu\nu} \ln |\xi - \xi'|.$$

Then the general n -point correlator on UHP is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle e^{ik_1 \cdot X(\xi_1)} e^{ik_2 \cdot X(\xi_2)} \dots e^{ik_n \cdot X(\xi_n)} \rangle_{UHP} \\ &= (2\pi)^D \delta^D(k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n) \prod_{1 \leq i < j}^n |\xi_i - \xi_j|^{2k_i \cdot k_j} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Using the conformal transformation from UHP to SIC, we obtain the matter correlator on SIC,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle e^{ik_1 \cdot X(z_1)} e^{ik_2 \cdot X(z_2)} \dots e^{ik_n \cdot X(z_n)} \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha, m}} \\ &= (2\pi)^D \delta^D(k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n) \left(\frac{\pi}{1 + \alpha} \right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2} \prod_{1 \leq i < j}^n \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi(z_i - z_j)}{1 + \alpha} \right) \right|^{2k_i \cdot k_j}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{\alpha, m}}$ denotes the matter correlator on the wedge state surface \mathcal{W}_α .

A.2 Calculation of β_n^A

Using the formulas (44), (46), (47), and (51) defined in this Appendix, we can calculate the tachyon coefficient β_n in Eq. (36). Firstly we calculate β_n^A which comes from the contribution of Q_B ,

$$\beta_n^A = \langle \chi_n, A_n \rangle, \quad (52)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_n &= e^{nX^0(0)} c_0 c_1 |0\rangle, \\ A_n &= \left[\partial \left(e^{-X^0(0)} c(0) \right) |0\rangle + e^{-X^0(0)} c_1 K_1^L |0\rangle \right] * J^{n-2} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1 |0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

From the formula (44) we obtain

$$\beta_n^A = \left(\frac{2}{\pi} \right)^{n^2+n-1} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \langle \partial c(0) c(0) c(x) \mathcal{B}c(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{n, g}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left\langle e^{nX^0(0)} e^{-X^0(x)} e^{-X^0(2)} e^{-X^0(3)} \dots e^{-X^0(n)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n, m} \Bigg\}_{x=1} \\
& + \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left\{ \langle \partial c(0) c(0) c(1) \mathcal{B}c(m+n-2) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n-2}, g} \right. \\
& \left. \times \left\langle e^{nX^0(0)} e^{-X^0(1)} e^{-X^0(m)} e^{-X^0(m+1)} \dots e^{-X^0(m+n-2)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n-2}, m} \right\}_{m=2}, \quad (54)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Eq. (48) and the facts,

$$f \circ c_0|0\rangle = \partial c(0)|0\rangle, \quad f \circ c_1|0\rangle = \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) c(0)|0\rangle, \quad K_1^L|0\rangle = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial m}|m\rangle|_{m=2}.$$

Using the translation symmetry on SIC, we can rewrite the ghost correlators in Eq. (54) as

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial c(0) c(0) c(x) \mathcal{B}c(n) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n, g} &= \langle \mathcal{B}c(-1) \partial c(0) c(0) c(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_n, g}, \\
\langle \partial c(0) c(0) c(1) \mathcal{B}c(m+n-2) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n-2}, g} &= \langle \mathcal{B}c(-1) \partial c(0) c(0) c(1) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n-2}, g}. \quad (55)
\end{aligned}$$

Then applying formulas (47), (51), and (55) to the Eq. (54), we obtain the explicit expression of β_n^A in Eq. (37).

A.3 Calculation of β_n^B

In the calculation of β_n^B we use the tachyon vacuum solution Ψ given in Eq. (3). Then B_n in Eq. (33) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
B_n &= \Psi * \phi^n = \Psi * J^{n-1} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1|0\rangle \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[\frac{2}{\pi} c_1|0\rangle * |m+1\rangle * J^{n-1} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1|0\rangle \right] \\
&\quad - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{2}{\pi} c_1|0\rangle * |N+1\rangle * J^{n-1} * e^{-X^0(0)} B_1^L c_1|0\rangle \right). \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

The tachyon vacuum solution is composed of the ordinary piece $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi'_n$ and the phantom piece $-\psi_\infty$. However, the contribution of the phantom piece which corresponds to the last term in Eq. (56) to β_n^B vanishes since

$$\langle \chi_n, \psi_N * \phi^n \rangle \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N^3}\right)$$

for large N . By neglecting the phantom piece in the calculation of β_n^B , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_n^B &= \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n^2+n-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[\langle \partial c(0) c(0) c(1) \mathcal{B}c(m+n+1) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1}, g} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left\langle e^{nX^0(0)} e^{-X^0(m+2)} e^{-X^0(m+3)} \dots e^{-X^0(m+n+1)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1}, m} \right]. \quad (57)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the case of β_n^A , by using the translation symmetry on SIC and the ghost and matter correlators (47) and (51) we can obtain the expression β_n^B in Eq. (37).

A.4 Calculation of β_n^C

Similarly to the case of β_n^B in the previous subsection, by neglecting the phantom piece in the calculation of β_n^C we obtain

$$\beta_n^C = -\left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{n^2+n-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left[\langle \partial c(0)c(0)\mathcal{B}c(1)c(2)\mathcal{B}c(m+n+1) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1,g}} \right. \\ \left. \times \left\langle e^{nX^0(0)} e^{-X^0(1)} e^{-X^0(m+3)} e^{-X^0(m+4)} \dots e^{-X^0(m+n+1)} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1,m}} \right]. \quad (58)$$

In the calculation of the ghost correlator in Eq. (58), we use the relations $\{\mathcal{B}, c(z)\} = 1$, $\mathcal{B}^2 = 0$, and the translation symmetry on SIC and obtain

$$\langle \partial c(0)c(0)\mathcal{B}c(1)c(2)\mathcal{B}c(m+n+1) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1,g}} \\ = \langle \mathcal{B}c(-1)\partial c(0)c(0)c(2) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1,g}} - \langle \mathcal{B}c(-1)\partial c(0)c(0)c(1) \rangle_{\mathcal{W}_{m+n+1,g}}. \quad (59)$$

Using the relations (47), (51), and (59), we obtain the explicit expression of β_n^C in Eq. (37).

References

- [1] E. Witten, “Noncommutative Geometry And String Field Theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 253 (1986).
- [2] M. Schnabl, “Analytic solution for tachyon condensation in open string field theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **10**, 433 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0511286].
- [3] Y. Okawa, “Comments on Schnabl’s analytic solution for tachyon condensation in Witten’s open string field theory,” JHEP **0604**, 055 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603159].
- [4] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “On the validity of the solution of string field theory,” JHEP **0605**, 006 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603195].
- [5] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “Schnabl’s $L(0)$ operator in the continuous basis,” JHEP **0610**, 067 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0605254].
- [6] I. Ellwood and M. Schnabl, “Proof of vanishing cohomology at the tachyon vacuum,” JHEP **0702**, 096 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0606142].
- [7] L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Solving open string field theory with special projectors,” arXiv:hep-th/0606131.
- [8] H. Fuji, S. Nakayama and H. Suzuki, “Open string amplitudes in various gauges,” JHEP **0701**, 011 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0609047].
- [9] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “Universal regularization for string field theory,” JHEP **0702**, 038 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610298].

- [10] Y. Okawa, L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Analytic solutions for tachyon condensation with general projectors,” arXiv:hep-th/0611110.
- [11] T. Erler, “Split string formalism and the closed string vacuum,” JHEP **0705**, 083 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611200];
- [12] T. Erler, “Split string formalism and the closed string vacuum. II,” JHEP **0705**, 084 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0612050].
- [13] C. Imbimbo, “The spectrum of open string field theory at the stable tachyonic vacuum,” Nucl. Phys. B **770**, 155 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611343].
- [14] M. Schnabl, “Comments on marginal deformations in open string field theory,” Phys. Lett. B **654**, 194 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701248].
- [15] M. Kiermaier, Y. Okawa, L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open string field theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0701249.
- [16] T. Erler, “Marginal Solutions for the Superstring,” JHEP **0707**, 050 (2007) [arXiv:0704.0930 [hep-th]].
- [17] Y. Okawa, “Analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open superstring field theory,” JHEP **0709**, 084 (2007) [arXiv:0704.0936 [hep-th]].
- [18] E. Fuchs, M. Kroyter and R. Potting, “Marginal deformations in string field theory,” JHEP **0709**, 101 (2007) [arXiv:0704.2222 [hep-th]].
- [19] Y. Okawa, “Real analytic solutions for marginal deformations in open superstring field theory,” JHEP **0709**, 082 (2007) [arXiv:0704.3612 [hep-th]].
- [20] I. Ellwood, “Rolling to the tachyon vacuum in string field theory,” arXiv:0705.0013 [hep-th].
- [21] N. Jokela, M. Jarvinen, E. Keski-Vakkuri and J. Majumder, “Disk Partition Function and Oscillatory Rolling Tachyons,” arXiv:0705.1916 [hep-th].
- [22] I. Kishimoto and Y. Michishita, “Comments on Solutions for Nonsingular Currents in Open String Field Theories,” arXiv:0706.0409 [hep-th].
- [23] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, “Marginal deformation for the photon in superstring field theory,” JHEP **0711**, 005 (2007) [arXiv:0706.0717 [hep-th]].
- [24] L. Bonora, C. Maccaferri, R. J. Scherer Santos and D. D. Tolla, “Ghost story. I. Wedge states in the oscillator formalism,” JHEP **0709**, 061 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1025 [hep-th]].
- [25] M. Kiermaier and Y. Okawa, “Exact marginality in open string field theory: a general framework,” arXiv:0707.4472 [hep-th].

- [26] T. Erler, “Tachyon Vacuum in Cubic Superstring Field Theory,” arXiv:0707.4591 [hep-th].
- [27] L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “The off-shell Veneziano amplitude in Schnabl gauge,” arXiv:0708.2591 [hep-th].
- [28] M. Kiermaier and Y. Okawa, “General marginal deformations in open superstring field theory,” arXiv:0708.3394 [hep-th].
- [29] O. K. Kwon, B. H. Lee, C. Park and S. J. Sin, “Fluctuations around the Tachyon Vacuum in Open String Field Theory,” JHEP **0712**, 038 (2007) arXiv:0709.2888 [hep-th].
- [30] B. H. Lee, C. Park and D. D. Tolla, “Marginal Deformations as Lower Dimensional D-brane Solutions in Open String Field theory,” arXiv:0710.1342 [hep-th].
- [31] T. Takahashi, “Level truncation analysis of exact solutions in open string field theory,” arXiv:0710.5358 [hep-th].
- [32] M. Kiermaier, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “Linear b-Gauges for Open String Fields,” arXiv:0712.0627 [hep-th].
- [33] C. G. Callan, I. R. Klebanov, A. W. W. Ludwig and J. M. Maldacena, “Exact solution of a boundary conformal field theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **422**, 417 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9402113];
 J. Polchinski and L. Thorlacius, “Free fermion representation of a boundary conformal field theory,” Phys. Rev. D **50**, 622 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9404008];
 A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, “Boundary deformation theory and moduli spaces of D-branes,” Nucl. Phys. B **545**, 233 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9811237].
- [34] A. Sen, “ON THE BACKGROUND INDEPENDENCE OF STRING FIELD THEORY,” Nucl. Phys. B **345**, 551 (1990).
- [35] A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “Large marginal deformations in string field theory,” JHEP **0010**, 009 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0007153].
- [36] T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, “Marginal and scalar solutions in cubic open string field theory,” JHEP **0203**, 033 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202133].
- [37] J. Kluson, “Exact solutions in open bosonic string field theory and marginal deformation in CFT,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **19**, 4695 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0209255].
- [38] J. Kluson, “Exact solutions in SFT and marginal deformation in BCFT,” JHEP **0312**, 050 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0303199].
- [39] A. Sen, “Energy momentum tensor and marginal deformations in open string field theory,” JHEP **0408**, 034 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403200].

- [40] I. Kishimoto and T. Takahashi, “Marginal deformations and classical solutions in open superstring field theory,” JHEP **0511**, 051 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506240].
- [41] A. Sen, “Rolling tachyon,” JHEP **0204**, 048 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0203211].
- [42] A. Sen, “Tachyon matter,” JHEP **0207**, 065 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0203265].
- [43] N. Moeller and B. Zwiebach, “Dynamics with infinitely many time derivatives and rolling tachyons,” JHEP **0210**, 034 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207107].
- [44] M. Fujita and H. Hata, “Time dependent solution in cubic string field theory,” JHEP **0305**, 043 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0304163]; “Rolling tachyon solution in vacuum string field theory,” Phys. Rev. D **70**, 086010 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0403031];
T. Erler, “Level truncation and rolling the tachyon in the lightcone basis for open string field theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0409179.
- [45] E. Coletti, I. Sigalov and W. Taylor, “Taming the tachyon in cubic string field theory,” JHEP **0508**, 104 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505031].
- [46] V. Forini, G. Grignani and G. Nardelli, “A solution to the 4-tachyon off-shell amplitude in cubic string field theory,” JHEP **0604**, 053 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603206].
- [47] G. Calcagni and G. Nardelli, “Tachyon solutions in boundary and cubic string field theory,” arXiv:0708.0366 [hep-th].
- [48] L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, “Tachyon potentials, star products and universality,” JHEP **0109**, 038 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0006240];
L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, “Boundary CFT construction of D-branes in vacuum string field theory,” JHEP **0111**, 045 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0105168];
M. Schnabl, “Wedge states in string field theory,” JHEP **0301**, 004 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0201095].
- [49] S. Coleman, “Aspects of Symmetry”, Cambridge University Press (1985), 303 p.