

PARAMETRIZING RECOLLEMENT DATA

PEDRO NICOLÁS AND MANUEL SAORÍN

ABSTRACT. We give a general parametrization of all the recollement data for a triangulated category with a set of generators. From this we deduce a characterization of when a perfectly generated (or *aisled*) triangulated category is a recollement of triangulated categories generated by a single compact object. Also, we use *homological epimorphisms* to give a complete and explicit description of all the recollement data for (or smashing subcategories of) the derived category of a k -flat dg category. In the final part we give a bijection between smashing subcategories of compactly generated triangulated categories and certain ideals of the subcategory of compact objects, in the spirit of H. Krause's work [33]. This bijection implies the following weak version of the *generalized smashing conjecture*: in a compactly generated triangulated category every smashing subcategory is generated by a set of Milnor colimits of compact objects.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Motivations.** The definition of *t-structure* and *recollement* for triangulated categories was given by A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne in their work [2] on perverse sheaves. The notion of t-structure is the analogue of the notion of *torsion pair* [10, 47, 4] for abelian categories. Accordingly, the 'triangulated' analogue of a *torsion torsionfree (=TTF) triple* [20, 47, 4], still called *TTF triple*, consists of a triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ such that both $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ are t-structures. In general, TTF triples on a triangulated category \mathcal{D} are in bijection with (equivalence classes of) ways of expressing \mathcal{D} as a recollement of triangulated categories, and with smashing subcategories of \mathcal{D} for instance when it is perfectly generated.

One of the aims of this paper is to give a parametrization of all the TTF triples on triangulated categories of a certain type including those which are well (or even perfectly) generated. This parametrization might result naive but nevertheless, together with B. Keller's Morita theory for derived categories [23], it yields a generalization of some results of [11, 21] and offers an unbounded and abstract version of S. König's theorem [30] on recollements of right bounded derived categories of algebras. In a forthcoming paper we will study the problem of descending the parametrization from unbounded to right bounded derived categories, and the corresponding lifting.

Date: December 18, 2007.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18E30, 18E40.

Key words and phrases. Compact object, derived category, dg category, homological epimorphism, perfect object, recollement, smashing, t-structure, torsion pair, triangulated category.

The authors have been partially supported by research projects from the D.G.I. of the Spanish Ministry of Education and the Fundación Séneca of Murcia, with a part of FEDER funds. The first author has been also supported by the MEC grant AP2003-2896.

The following facts suggest that, in the case of derived categories, a more sophisticated parametrization is possible:

- 1) TTF triples on categories of modules are well understood and a tangible parametrization of them was given by J. P. Jans [20].
- 2) A natural proof of J. P. Jans' theorem uses P. Gabriel's characterization of categories of modules among abelian categories [12], which is at the basis of Morita theory.
- 3) P. Gabriel's characterization admits a 'triangulated' analogue which was proved by B. Keller, who developed a Morita theory for derived categories of dg categories in [23] (and later in [24, 25],...).
- 4) It seems that derived categories of dg categories play the rôle, in the theory of triangulated categories, that module categories play in the theory of abelian categories.

Then, another aim of this paper is to give a touchable parametrization of TTF triples on derived categories of dg categories by using B. Keller's theory, and to elucidate their links with H. Krause's parametrization [31, 33] of smashing subcategories of compactly generated triangulated categories. For this, we use a generalization of the notion of *homological epimorphism* due to W. Geigle and H. Lenzing [13]. Homological epimorphisms appear as (stably flat) universal localizations in the work of P. M. Cohn [9], A. H. Schofield [45], A. Neeman and A. Ranicki [39], ... Recently, H. Krause has studied [33] the link between homological epimorphisms of algebras and: the *chain map lifting problem*, the *generalized smashing conjecture* and the existence of long exact sequences in algebraic K-theory. Homological epimorphisms also appear in the work of L. Angeleri Hügel and J. Sánchez [1] on the construction of tilting modules induced by ring epimorphisms.

1.2. Contents. In section 2, we fix some terminology and recall some results on triangulated categories, emphasizing B. Keller's work [23, 25] on derived categories of dg categories. We prove, however, some apparently new results which measure the distance between "compact" and: 'self-compact', "perfect", "superperfect". In section 3, we introduce the notion of *recollement-defining class* (subsection 3.1) and prove how to find recollement-defining sets in *aisled triangulated categories* (subsection 3.2) and in perfectly generated triangulated categories (subsection 3.3). In subsection 3.4, recollement-defining sets enable us to parametrize all the TTF triples on a triangulated category with a set of generators, and all the ways of expressing a 'good' triangulated category as a recollement of compactly generated triangulated categories. In section 4, we introduce the notion of *homological epimorphisms of dg categories*, generalizing the homological epimorphisms of algebras of W. Geigle and H. Lenzing [13]. We easily prove that this kind of morphisms always induce a TTF triple, which allows us to give several examples of recollements for unbounded derived categories of algebras which were already known for right bounded derived categories (*cf.* S. König's paper [30]). Conversely, we prove that every TTF triple on the derived category of a k -flat dg category \mathcal{A} is induced by a homological epimorphism starting in \mathcal{A} . This correspondence between TTF triples and homological epimorphisms keeps a lot of similitudes with the one accomplished by J. P. Jans [20] for module categories. In section 5, we state a parametrization of smashing subcategories of a compactly generated algebraic triangulated category which uses the main results of subsection 3.4 and section 4. Finally, in section 6, we

analyse how idempotent two-sided ideals of the subcategory \mathcal{D}^c of compact objects appear in the description of TTF triples on a compactly generated triangulated category \mathcal{D} . More concretely, in Theorem 6.2 we prove that an idempotent two-sided ideal of \mathcal{D}^c , which is moreover stable under shifts in both directions, always induces a *nicely* described TTF triple on \mathcal{D} . This, together with assertion 2') of H. Krause's [31, Theorem 4.2], gives a short proof of a result (*cf.* Theorem 6.4.2) in the spirit of H. Krause's bijection [33, Theorem 11.1, Theorem 12.1, Corollary 12.5 and Corollary 12.6] between smashing subcategories and special idempotent two-sided ideals. As a consequence (*cf.* Corollary 6.4.2), we get the following weak version of the *generalized smashing conjecture*: every smashing subcategory of a compactly generated triangulated category is generated by a set of Milnor colimits of compact objects. Another consequence (*cf.* Corollary 6.4.3) is that, when \mathcal{D} is algebraic, we recover precisely H. Krause's bijection.

1.3. Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Bernhard Keller for several discussions concerning dg categories.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Unless otherwise stated, k will be a commutative (associative, unital) ring and every additive category will be assumed to be k -linear. We denote by $\text{Mod } k$ the category of k -modules. Given a class \mathcal{Q} of objects of an additive category \mathcal{D} , we denote by $\mathcal{Q}^{\perp_{\mathcal{D}}}$ (or \mathcal{Q}^{\perp} if the category \mathcal{D} is clearly assumed) the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} formed by the objects M which are *right orthogonal* to every object of \mathcal{Q} , *i.e.* such that $\mathcal{D}(Q, M) = 0$ for all Q in \mathcal{Q} . Dually for ${}^{\perp_{\mathcal{D}}}\mathcal{Q}$. When \mathcal{D} is a triangulated category, the *shift functor* will be denoted by $?[1]$. When we speak of “all the shifts” or “closed under shifts” and so on, we will mean “shifts in both directions”, that is to say, we will refer to the n th power $?[n]$ of $?[1]$ for all the integers $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. In case we want to consider another situation (*e.g.* non-negative shifts $?[n]$, $n \geq 0$) this will be said explicitly. We will use without explicit mention the bijection between t-structures on a triangulated category \mathcal{D} and *aisles* in \mathcal{D} , proved by B. Keller and D. Vossieck in [29]. If $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}[1])$ is a t-structure on a triangulated category \mathcal{D} , we denote by $u : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $v : \mathcal{V} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ the inclusion functors, by $\tau_{\mathcal{U}}$ a right adjoint to u and by $\tau^{\mathcal{V}}$ a left adjoint to v .

2.1. TTF triples and recollements. A *TTF triple* on \mathcal{D} is a triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ of full subcategories of \mathcal{D} such that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ and $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ are t-structures on \mathcal{D} . Notice that, in particular, \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Z} are full triangulated subcategories of \mathcal{D} . It is well known that TTF triples are in bijection with (equivalence classes of) *recollements* (*cf.* [2, 1.4.4], [38, subsection 9.2], [41, subsection 4.2]). For the convenience of the reader we recall here how this bijection works. If

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & i^* & & j_* \\ & \swarrow & \curvearrowright & \swarrow & \curvearrowright \\ \mathcal{D}_F & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathcal{D}_U \\ & \searrow & \curvearrowleft & \searrow & \curvearrowleft \\ & & i_! & & j_! \end{array}$$

expresses \mathcal{D} as a recollement of \mathcal{D}_F and \mathcal{D}_U , then

$$(j_!(\mathcal{D}_U), i_*(\mathcal{D}_F), j_*(\mathcal{D}_U))$$

is a TTF triple on \mathcal{D} , where by $j_!(\mathcal{D}_U)$ we mean the essential image of $j_!$, and analogously with the other functors. Conversely, it is straightforward to check that if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a TTF triple on \mathcal{D} , then \mathcal{D} is a recollement of \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathcal{D} & \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathcal{X}, \\ \tau_{\mathcal{Y}} \swarrow & & & & \swarrow z\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}x \\ & & & & \mathcal{X} \end{array}$$

Notice that for a TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ the compositions $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{x} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}} \mathcal{Z}$ and $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{z} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X}$ are mutually quasi-inverse triangle equivalences (cf. [41, Lemma 1.6.7]).

2.2. Generators and infinite dévissage. Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category. We say that it is *generated* by a class \mathcal{Q} of objects if an object M of \mathcal{D} is zero whenever

$$\mathcal{D}(Q[n], M) = 0$$

for every object Q of \mathcal{Q} and every integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case, we say that \mathcal{Q} is a *class of generators* of \mathcal{D} and that \mathcal{Q} *generates* \mathcal{D} .

If \mathcal{D} has small coproducts, given a class \mathcal{Q} of objects of \mathcal{D} we denote by $\text{Tria}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Q})$ (or $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$ if the category \mathcal{D} is clear) the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} containing \mathcal{Q} and closed under small coproducts. We say that \mathcal{D} satisfies the *principle of infinite dévissage* with respect to a class of objects \mathcal{Q} if it has small coproducts and $\mathcal{D} = \text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$. In this case, it is clear that \mathcal{D} is generated by \mathcal{Q} .

Conversely, the first part of the following lemma states that under certain hypothesis ‘generators’ implies ‘dévissage’.

Lemma. 1) Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category with small coproducts and let \mathcal{D}' be a full triangulated subcategory generated by a class of objects \mathcal{Q} . If $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} contained in \mathcal{D}' , then $\mathcal{D}' = \text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$.

2) Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category and let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t -structure on \mathcal{D} with triangulated aisle.

2.1) If \mathcal{Q} is a class of generators of \mathcal{D} , then $\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Q})$ is a class of generators of \mathcal{Y} .

2.2) A class \mathcal{Q} of objects of \mathcal{X} generates \mathcal{X} if and only if the objects of \mathcal{Y} are precisely those which are right orthogonal to all the shifts of objects of \mathcal{Q} .

Proof. 1) Given an object M of \mathcal{D}' there exists a triangle

$$M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M'[1]$$

with M' in $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$ and M'' in $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})^{\perp_{\mathcal{D}}}$. Since M' and M are in \mathcal{D}' , then so is M'' . But \mathcal{D}' is generated by \mathcal{Q} , which implies that $M'' = 0$ and so M belongs to $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$.

2) Left as an exercise. √

2.3. (Super)perfectness and compactness. An object P of \mathcal{D} is *perfect* (respectively, *superperfect*) if for every countable (respectively, small) family of morphisms $M_i \rightarrow N_i$, $i \in I$, of \mathcal{D} such that the natural morphism $\coprod_I M_i \rightarrow \coprod_I N_i$ exists the induced map

$$\mathcal{D}(P, \coprod_I M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P, \coprod_I N_i)$$

is surjective provided every map

$$\mathcal{D}(P, M_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P, N_i)$$

is surjective. Particular cases of superperfect objects are *compact* objects, *i.e.* objects P such that $\mathcal{D}(P, ?)$ commutes with small coproducts.

The following lemma is very useful. It shows some links between t-structures and (super)perfect and compact objects. First we remind the following definitions. Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category. A contravariant functor $H : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$ is *cohomological* if for every triangle

$$L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow L[1]$$

the sequence

$$H(N) \xrightarrow{H(g)} H(M) \xrightarrow{H(f)} H(L).$$

is exact. We say that \mathcal{D} *satisfies the Brown's representability theorem for cohomology* if every cohomological functor $H : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$ taking small coproducts to small products is representable.

Lemma. 1) Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category and let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ be a t-structure on \mathcal{D} with \mathcal{X} triangulated and such that the inclusion functor $y : \mathcal{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserves small coproducts. If M is a perfect (respectively, superperfect, compact) object of \mathcal{D} , then $\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}M$ is a perfect (respectively, superperfect, compact) object of \mathcal{Y} .

2) The adjoint functor argument: Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category with small coproducts and let \mathcal{D}' be a full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} closed under small coproducts and satisfying the Brown's representability theorem. In this case \mathcal{D}' is an aisle in \mathcal{D} . In particular, if \mathcal{P} is a set of objects of \mathcal{D} which are perfect in $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$, then $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} .

Proof. 1) is well known [37, Lemma 2.4] for the case of compact objects. Using the adjunction $(y, \tau^{\mathcal{Y}})$ it also follows easily for the case of (super)perfect objects.

2) If

$$\iota : \mathcal{D}' \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

is the inclusion functor, for an object M of \mathcal{D} we define the functor

$$H(?) := \mathcal{D}(\iota(?), M) : \mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \text{Mod } k,$$

which takes triangles to exact sequences and coproduct to products. Then, by hypothesis this functor is represented by an object, say $\tau(M) \in \mathcal{D}'$. By Yoneda lemma it turns out that the map $M \mapsto \tau(M)$ underlies a functor $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ which is right adjoint to ι . Therefore, by [29, subsection 1.1] we have that \mathcal{D}' is an aisle. Finally, if \mathcal{P} is a set of objects of \mathcal{D} which are perfect in $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$, then by H. Krause's theorem [32, Theorem A] we know that $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ satisfies the Brown's representability theorem. \checkmark

Remark. By using Lemma 2.2 and the lemma above we have the following: if a triangulated category \mathcal{D} with small coproducts is generated by a set \mathcal{P} of objects such that $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} (*e.g.* if the objects of \mathcal{P} are perfect in $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$), then it satisfies the principle of infinite dévissage with respect to that set, *i.e.* $\mathcal{D} = \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$.

A triangulated category with small coproducts is *perfectly* (respectively, *superperfectly*, *compactly*) *generated* if it is generated by a set of perfect (respectively, superperfect, compact) objects. A TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ on a triangulated category with small coproducts is *perfectly* (respectively, *superperfectly*, *compactly*) *generated* if so is \mathcal{X} as a triangulated category.

2.4. Smashing subcategories. Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category with small coproducts. A subcategory \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{D} is *smashing* if it is a full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} which, moreover, is an aisle in \mathcal{D} whose associated coaisle \mathcal{X}^\perp is closed under small coproducts. It is proved in [41] that this agrees with probably more standard definitions of “smashing subcategory”.

Smashing subcategories allow to transfer local phenomena to global phenomena:

Lemma. *If M is a perfect (respectively, superperfect, compact) object of a smashing subcategory \mathcal{X} of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} with small coproducts, then M is perfect (respectively, superperfect, compact) in \mathcal{D} .*

Proof. Use that a small coproduct of triangles associated to the t-structure $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\perp)$ is again a triangle associated to this t-structure. \checkmark

Now we fix the notation for a particular kind of construction which will be crucial at certain steps. Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category and let

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

be a sequence of morphisms of \mathcal{D} such that the coproduct $\coprod_{n \geq 0} M_n$ exists in \mathcal{D} . The *Milnor colimit* of this sequence, denoted by $\text{Mcolim } M_n$, is given, up to non-unique isomorphism, by the triangle

$$\coprod_{n \geq 0} M_n \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} \coprod_{n \geq 0} M_n \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Mcolim } M_n \rightarrow \coprod_{n \geq 0} M_n[1],$$

where the morphism σ has components

$$M_n \xrightarrow{f_n} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \coprod_{m \geq 0} M_m.$$

The above triangle is said to be the *Milnor triangle* (cf. [25, 36]) associated to the sequence f_n , $n \geq 0$. The notion of Milnor colimit has appeared in the literature under the name of *homotopy colimit* (cf. [5, Definition 2.1], [38, Definition 1.6.4]) and *homotopy limit* (cf. [23, subsection 5.1]). However, we think it is better to keep this terminology for the notions appearing in the theory of derivators (cf. [34, 35, 8]).

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category with small coproducts and let P be an object of \mathcal{D} . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) P is compact in \mathcal{D} .
- 2) P satisfies:
 - 2.1) P is perfect in \mathcal{D} .
 - 2.2) P is compact in the full subcategory $\text{Sum}(\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}})$ of \mathcal{D} formed by small coproducts of shifts of P .
 - 2.3) $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$ is closed under small coproducts.
- 3) P satisfies:
 - 3.1) P is compact in $\text{Tria}(P)$.
 - 3.2) $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$ is closed under small coproducts.
- 4) P satisfies:
 - 4.1) P is superperfect in \mathcal{D} .
 - 4.2) P is compact in $\text{Sum}(\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}})$.

Proof. 2) \Rightarrow 1) If P is perfect in \mathcal{D} , by Theorem A of [32] and the adjoint functor argument (*cf.* Lemma 2.3) we know that $\mathcal{X} = \text{Tria}(P)$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} . Assumption 2.3) says that \mathcal{X} is a smashing subcategory. Thanks to Lemma 2.4, it suffices to prove that P is compact in \mathcal{X} . For this, we will use the following facts:

a) Every object of \mathcal{X} is the Milnor colimit $\text{Mcolim } X_n$ of a sequence

$$X_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots$$

where X_0 as well as each mapping cone $\text{Cone}(f_m)$ is in $\text{Sum}(\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}})$.

b) Thanks to the proof of Theorem A of [32] (*cf.* also the proof of [46, Theorem 2.2]), if $\{X_n, f_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a direct system as in a) we know that the natural morphism

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{D}(P, X_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P, \text{Mcolim } X_n)$$

is an isomorphism.

c) Hypothesis 2.2) implies that, for any fixed natural number $m \geq 0$, the functor $\mathcal{D}(P, ?)$ preserves small coproducts of m -fold extensions of objects of the class $\text{Sum}(\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}})$.

Let $\text{Mcolim } X_n^i$, $i \in I$, be an arbitrary family of objects of \mathcal{X} . Then the natural morphism

$$\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}(P, \text{Mcolim } X_n^i) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(P, \prod_{i \in I} \text{Mcolim } X_n^i)$$

is the composition of the following natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}(P, \text{Mcolim } X_n^i) &\cong \prod_{i \in I} \varinjlim_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{X}(P, X_n^i) \cong \varinjlim_{n \geq 0} \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{X}(P, X_n^i) \cong \\ &\cong \varinjlim_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{X}(P, \prod_{i \in I} X_n^i) \cong \mathcal{X}(P, \text{Mcolim } \prod_{i \in I} X_n^i) \cong \mathcal{X}(P, \prod_{i \in I} \text{Mcolim } X_n^i) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, P is compact in the smashing subcategory \mathcal{X} .

3) \Rightarrow 1) By the adjoint functor argument we know that $\text{Tria}(P)$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} , and condition 3.2) ensures that, moreover, it is a smashing subcategory. Hence the lemma above finishes the proof.

4) \Rightarrow 1) Of course, 4) implies 2), and 2) implies 1). However, there exists a shorter proof pointed out by B. Keller. Let M_i , $i \in I$, be a family of objects of \mathcal{D} and take, for each index $i \in I$, an object $Q_i \in \text{Sum}(\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}})$ together with a morphism $Q_i \rightarrow M_i$ such that the induced morphism

$$\mathcal{D}(P, Q_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P, M_i)$$

is surjective. Since P is superperfect, this implies that the morphism

$$\mathcal{D}(P, \prod_I Q_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P, \prod_I M_i).$$

is surjective. Now consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}(P, \prod_I Q_i) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D}(P, \prod_I M_i) \\ \uparrow \text{can} & & \uparrow \text{can} \\ \prod_I \mathcal{D}(P, Q_i) & \longrightarrow & \prod_I \mathcal{D}(P, M_i) \end{array}$$

The first vertical arrow is an isomorphism by assumption 4.2, and the horizontal arrows are surjections. Then, the second vertical arrow is surjective. But, of course, it is also injective, and so bijective. \checkmark

The following result is a consequence of Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

Corollary. *If \mathcal{D} is a perfectly generated triangulated category, then smashing subcategories of \mathcal{D} are in bijection with TTF triples on \mathcal{D} via the map*

$$\mathcal{X} \mapsto (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^\perp, (\mathcal{X}^\perp)^\perp).$$

Proof. Indeed, if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a TTF triple, then \mathcal{X} is a smashing subcategory since \mathcal{Y} being an aisle is always closed under coproducts. Conversely, if \mathcal{X} is a smashing subcategory, then $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a t-structure on \mathcal{D} . But now, by using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 we have that $\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}$ takes the set of perfect generators of \mathcal{D} to a set of perfect generators \mathcal{Y} . Therefore, \mathcal{Y} is a perfectly generated triangulated category closed under small coproducts in \mathcal{D} , and by the adjoint functor argument we conclude that \mathcal{Y} is an aisle. \checkmark

2.5. B. Keller's Morita theory for derived categories. Let \mathcal{A} be a small dg category (cf. [23, 27]). It was proved by B. Keller [23] that its derived category \mathcal{DA} is a triangulated category compactly generated by the modules $A^\wedge := \mathcal{A}(?, A)$ represented by the objects A of \mathcal{A} . Conversely, he also proved [23, Theorem 4.3] that every *algebraic triangulated category* (namely, a triangulated category which is triangle equivalent to the stable category of a Frobenius category [17, 15, 28, 14, 24]) with small coproducts and with a set \mathcal{P} of compact generators is the derived category of a certain dg category whose set of objects is equipotent to \mathcal{P} .

The proof of Theorem 4.3 of [23] has two parts.

First part: it is proved that every algebraic triangulated category admits an enhancement, i.e. comes from an exact dg category. We say that a dg category \mathcal{A}' is *exact* or *pretriangulated* [26, 27] if the image of the (fully faithful) Yoneda functor

$$\mathcal{Z}^0 \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{CA}', \quad M \mapsto M^\wedge := \mathcal{A}'(?, M)$$

is stable under shifts and extensions (in the sense of the exact structure on \mathcal{CA} in which the conflations are the degreewise split short exact sequences). If \mathcal{A}' is an exact dg category, then $\mathcal{Z}^0 \mathcal{A}'$ becomes a Frobenius category and $\underline{\mathcal{Z}^0 \mathcal{A}'} = H^0 \mathcal{A}'$ is a full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{HA}' . B. Keller has shown [26, Example 2.2.c]) that if \mathcal{C} is a Frobenius category with class of conflations \mathcal{E} , then $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = H^0 \mathcal{A}'$ for the exact dg category \mathcal{A}' formed by the acyclic complexes with \mathcal{E} -projective-injective components over \mathcal{C} .

Second part: it proves the following.

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{A}' be an exact dg category such that the associated triangulated category $H^0 \mathcal{A}'$ is compactly generated by a set \mathcal{B} of objects. Consider \mathcal{B} as a dg category, regarded as a full subcategory of \mathcal{A}' . Then, the map*

$$M \mapsto M^\wedge|_{\mathcal{B}} := \mathcal{A}'(?, M)|_{\mathcal{B}}$$

induces a triangle equivalence

$$H^0 \mathcal{A}' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{DB}.$$

The dg category associated to the Frobenius category in the first part of the proof of [23, Theorem 4.3] is not very explicit. However, many times in practice we are already like in the second step of the proof, which allows us a better choice of the dg category. In what follows, we will recall how this better choice can be made.

Let \mathcal{P} be a set of objects of \mathcal{DA} and define \mathcal{B} as the dg subcategory of the exact dg category $\mathcal{C}_{dg} \mathcal{A}$ (cf. [27] for the notation) formed by the \mathcal{H} -injective or *fibrant*

resolutions $\mathbf{i}P$ [23, 27] of the modules P of \mathcal{P} . Then we have a dg \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A} -bimodule X defined by

$$X(A, B) := B(A)$$

for A in \mathcal{A} and for B in \mathcal{B} , and we have a pair $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} X, \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?))$ of adjoint dg functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A} & \\ ? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} X \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?) \\ & \mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{B} & \end{array}$$

For instance, $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)$ is defined by $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) := (\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A})(?, M)|_{\mathcal{B}}$ for M in $\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A}$. These functors induce a pair of adjoint triangle functors between the corresponding *categories up to homotopy* [23, 27]

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A} & \\ ? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} X \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?) \\ & \mathcal{H}\mathcal{B} & \end{array}$$

The *total right derived functor* $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)$ is the composition

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{i}} \mathcal{H}_i\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B},$$

where \mathbf{i} is the \mathcal{H} -*injective resolution* or *fibrant resolution* functor [23, 27], and the *total left derived functor* $? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$ is the composition

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{p}} \mathcal{H}_p\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A},$$

where \mathbf{p} is the \mathcal{H} -*projective resolution* or *cofibrant resolution* functor [23, 27]. They form a pair of adjoint triangle functors at the level of derived categories

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} & \\ ? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X \uparrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?) \\ & \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} & \end{array}$$

The following is an easy consequence of Proposition 2.5.

Corollary. *Assume that the objects of \mathcal{P} are compact in the full triangulated subcategory $\mathit{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$. Then:*

- 1) *the functors $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?))$ induce mutually quasi-inverse triangle equivalences*

$$\mathit{Tria}(\mathcal{P}) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)} \\ \xleftarrow{? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X} \end{array} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B}$$

which gives a bijection between the objects of \mathcal{P} and the \mathcal{B} -modules B^\wedge represented by the objects B of \mathcal{B} ,

- 2) *$\mathit{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ is an aisle in $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$ with truncation functor given by the map*

$$M \mapsto \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X.$$

Proof. 1) Since the \mathcal{H} -injective resolution functor $\mathbf{i} : \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H}_i\mathcal{A}$ is a triangle equivalence, it induces a triangle equivalence between $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ and a certain full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{H}_i\mathcal{A}$. This subcategory is algebraic, *i.e.* the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ of a certain Frobenius category \mathcal{C} , because it is a subcategory of $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A}$ is the H^0 -category of the exact dg category $\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A}$, then $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is the H^0 -category of an exact dg subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A}$. Moreover, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is compactly generated by the objects of \mathcal{B} . Then, by Proposition 2.5 the restriction of $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)$ to $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ induces a triangle equivalence

$$\underline{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)} \mathcal{H}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B}.$$

The picture is:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^0(\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{A} & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \\ \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\mathbf{i}} & \mathcal{H}_i\mathcal{A} & & \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & \underline{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow[\sim]{} & \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

The composition of the bottom arrows is the restriction of $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)$ to $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$. Notice that by the adjoint functor argument (*cf.* Lemma 2.3) $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ is an aisle, and so $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P}) = {}^{\perp}(\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^{\perp})$. Finally, by adjunction the image of $? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$ is in ${}^{\perp}(\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^{\perp}) = \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$. Alternatively, we can use that $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{B}$ satisfies the principle of infinite dévissage with respect to the modules B^{\wedge} , $B \in \mathcal{B}$, to deduce that the image of $? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$ is contained in $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$. Then, we can prove that

$$? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X : \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$$

is a triangle equivalence by using [23, Lemma 4.2].

2) Since $? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X : \mathcal{D}\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$ is fully faithful, the unit η of the adjunction $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X, \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?))$ is an isomorphism. Then, when we apply the functor $? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X \circ \mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?)$ to the counit δ we get an isomorphism. This shows that for each module M in $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$, the triangle of $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A}$

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X \xrightarrow{\delta_M} M \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\pm}$$

satisfies that $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M') \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X = 0$. Since $? \otimes_{\mathcal{B}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$ is fully faithful, then $\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M') = 0$. That is to say,

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M')(P) = (\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A})(P, \mathbf{i}M')$$

is acyclic for each object P in \mathcal{P} . But then, we have

$$H^n(\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A})(P, \mathbf{i}M') = (\mathcal{H}\mathcal{A})(P, \mathbf{i}M'[n]) \cong (\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A})(P, M'[n]) = 0$$

for each object P of \mathcal{P} and each integer $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. This implies, by infinite dévissage, that M' belongs to the coaisle $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^{\perp}$ of $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$. \checkmark

Remark. This result generalizes [21, Theorem 1.6] and [11, Theorem 2.1]. Indeed, if \mathcal{A} is the dg category associated to the dg k -algebra A and the set \mathcal{P} has only one element P , then \mathcal{B} is the dg category associated to the dg algebra

$B := (\mathcal{C}_{dg}A)(\mathbf{i}P, \mathbf{i}P)$, the dg \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A} -bimodule X corresponds to the dg B - A -bimodule $\mathbf{i}P$ and the triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{R}Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(X, ?) : \text{Tria}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}B$$

is given by $\mathbf{R}Hom_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{i}P, ?)$.

3. PARAMETRIZATION

3.1. Recollement-defining classes. A class \mathcal{P} of objects of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} is *recollement-defining* if the class \mathcal{Y} of objects which are right orthogonal to all the shifts of objects of \mathcal{P} is both an aisle and a coaisle in \mathcal{D} .

Notice that, in this case, one has that the triangulated category ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{Y}$ is generated by \mathcal{P} thanks to Lemma 2.2.

In the following subsections, we will show how to weaken the conditions imposed to a set in order to be recollement-defining in some particular frameworks.

3.2. Recollement-defining sets in aisled categories. A triangulated category \mathcal{D} is *aisled* if it has a set of generators, small coproducts and for every set \mathcal{Q} of objects of \mathcal{D} we have that $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} .

Lemma. *Let \mathcal{D} be an aisled triangulated category. Then, for a set \mathcal{P} of objects of \mathcal{D} the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) \mathcal{P} is a recollement-defining set.
- 2) The class \mathcal{Y} of objects of \mathcal{D} which are right orthogonal to all the shifts of objects of \mathcal{P} is closed under small coproducts.

In this case $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P}) = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. 2) \Rightarrow 1) Since \mathcal{D} is aisled, then $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} . By infinite dévissage, we have that the coaisle is precisely \mathcal{Y} . If \mathcal{G} is a set of generators of \mathcal{D} , then by using Lemma 2.2 we know that $\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{G})$ is a set of generators of \mathcal{Y} . Notice that $\text{Tria}(\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{G}))$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} contained in \mathcal{Y} . Hence, by Lemma 2.2, it turns out that $\text{Tria}(\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{G})) = \mathcal{Y}$. This proves that \mathcal{Y} is an aisle in \mathcal{D} . \checkmark

In the remainder of the subsection we will show that aisled triangulated categories do exist and that some of the most familiar triangulated categories are among them.

First, notice that by using Corollary 3.12 of [43] and the adjoint functor argument one can prove that every *well-generated* [38] triangulated category (in particular, the derived category of any small dg category) is aisled. Therefore, well-generated triangulated categories form a class of triangulated categories which are aisled by ‘global’ reasons. Let us present a class of triangulated categories which are aisled by ‘local reasons’. For this we need some terminology.

Let \mathcal{C} be a Frobenius category with small colimits. For a set \mathcal{Q} of objects of \mathcal{C} we define $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ to be the set formed by the inflations $i_Q : Q \rightarrow IQ$ where Q runs through \mathcal{Q} . We say that \mathcal{Q} is *self-small* if it is closed under shifts (in the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$) and its objects are small relative to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -cell (cf. [18, Definition 2.1.3] for the definition of “small” and [18, Definition 2.1.9] for the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -cell).

The smallness condition is interesting since it allows us to construct aisles.

Theorem. *If \mathcal{C} is a Frobenius category with small colimits and \mathcal{Q} is a self-small set of objects of \mathcal{C} , then:*

- 1) $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$ is an aisle in $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ with associated coaisle given by the class $\mathcal{Q}^{\perp\mathcal{C}}$.

2) *The objects of $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$ are precisely those isomorphic to an $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ -cell complex.*

The proof of the above theorem can be found in [40]. Here we have more examples of aisled triangulated categories.

Example. Let \mathcal{A} be a small dg category. Then the category of right dg \mathcal{A} -modules, \mathcal{CA} , is a Frobenius category (with conflations given by the degreewise split short exact sequences) such that every set of objects closed under shifts is self-small. To prove it, one can easily generalize the argument of [18, Lemma 2.3.2]. Notice that the category of right dg \mathcal{A} -modules up to homotopy \mathcal{HA} is not aisled since in general it does not admit a set of generators (cf. [38, Lemma E.3.2]). However, for every set \mathcal{Q} of objects of \mathcal{HA} we have that $\text{Tria}_{\mathcal{HA}}(\mathcal{Q})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{HA} and an aisled triangulated category. In particular, by taking \mathcal{Q} to be the set of representable modules A^\wedge , $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we deduce that \mathcal{DA} is aisled.

Remark. It is worth noting that, thanks to Lemma 2.2, if \mathcal{D} is an aisled triangulated category and \mathcal{D}' is a full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} closed under small coproducts and generated by a set of objects \mathcal{Q} , then $\mathcal{D}' = \text{Tria}(\mathcal{Q})$.

3.3. Recollement-defining sets in perfectly generated triangulated categories.

Lemma. *Let \mathcal{D} be a perfectly generated triangulated category, \mathcal{P} a set of objects of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{Y} the class of objects of \mathcal{D} which are right orthogonal to all the shifts of objects of \mathcal{P} . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) \mathcal{P} is recollement-defining.
- 2) \mathcal{Y} is a coaisle in \mathcal{D} closed under small coproducts.

If \mathcal{P} consists of perfect objects, the above statements are also equivalent to:

- 3) \mathcal{Y} is closed under small coproducts.

In this last case $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P}) = {}^\perp\mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. 2) \Rightarrow 1) ${}^\perp\mathcal{Y}$ is a smashing subcategory in a perfectly generated triangulated category, which implies that its associated coaisle \mathcal{Y} is also an aisle (cf. subsection 2.4).

3) \Rightarrow 2) It is clear that $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} (use the adjoint functor argument, cf. Lemma 2.3) whose associated coaisle is \mathcal{Y} by infinite dévissage. \checkmark

Notice that any set of superperfect (e.g. compact) objects of a perfectly generated triangulated category satisfies condition 3) of the above lemma, and so it is recollement-defining.

3.4. Parametrization of TTF triples on triangulated categories.

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category with a set of generators. Consider the map which takes a set \mathcal{P} of objects of \mathcal{D} to the triple*

$$({}^\perp\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^\perp)$$

of subcategories of \mathcal{D} , where \mathcal{Y} is formed by those objects which are right orthogonal to all the shifts of objects of \mathcal{P} . The following assertions hold:

- 1) *This map defines a surjection from the class of all recollement-defining sets onto the class of all the TTF triples on \mathcal{D} .*

- 2) If \mathcal{D} is aised, then this map induces a surjection from the class of objects P such that $\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}^\perp$ is closed under small coproducts onto the class of all TTF triples on \mathcal{D} .
- 3) If \mathcal{D} is perfectly generated, then this map induces surjections from
 - 3.1) the class of perfect objects P such that $\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}^\perp$ is closed under small coproducts onto the class of all perfectly generated TTF triples.
 - 3.2) the class of superperfect objects onto the class of superperfectly generated TTF triples.
 - 3.3) the class of sets of compact objects onto the class of compactly generated TTF triples.

Proof. 1) Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ be a TTF triple on \mathcal{D} and let \mathcal{G} be a set of generators of \mathcal{D} . Since $\tau^{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$ is a set of generators of \mathcal{Z} (cf. Lemma 2.2), and the composition $\mathcal{Z} \xrightarrow{\tau^{\mathcal{Z}}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\tau^{\mathcal{X}}} \mathcal{X}$ is a triangle equivalence, then $\tau_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Z}}\tau^{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$ is a set of generators of \mathcal{X} . Now by using Lemma 2.2 we know that \mathcal{Y} is the set of objects which are right orthogonal to all the shifts of objects of $\tau_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Z}}\tau^{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$, which proves simultaneously that $\tau_{\mathcal{X}\mathcal{Z}}\tau^{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{G})$ is a recollement-defining set and that the TTF triple comes from a recollement-defining set.

2) We use Lemma 3.2 and the fact that if \mathcal{P} is a recollement-defining set, then $\{\coprod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P\}$ is also a recollement-defining set which is sent to the same TTF triple onto which \mathcal{P} was sent.

For 3.1) and 3.2) we use the idea of the proof of 2) together with the fact that the class of (super)perfect objects is closed under small coproducts. For 3.3) we use Lemma 2.4. √

Recall that an object M of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} is called *exceptional* if it has no self-extensions, i.e. $\mathcal{D}(M, M[n]) = 0$ for each integer $n \neq 0$.

The following corollary generalizes [21, Theorem 3.3], and also [16, Theorem 2.16] via [16, Theorem 2.9].

Corollary. *Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category which is either perfectly generated or aised. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) \mathcal{D} is a recollement of triangulated categories generated by a single compact (and exceptional) object.
- 2) There are (exceptional) objects P and Q of \mathcal{D} such that:
 - 2.1) P is compact.
 - 2.2) Q is compact in $\text{Tria}(Q)$.
 - 2.3) $\mathcal{D}(P[n], Q) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$.
 - 2.4) $\{P, Q\}$ generates \mathcal{D} .
- 3) There is a compact (and exceptional) object P such that $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$ is generated by a compact (and exceptional) object in $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$.

In case \mathcal{D} is compactly generated by a single object the former assertions are equivalent to:

- 4) There is a compact (and exceptional) object P (such that $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$ is generated by an exceptional compact object).

In case \mathcal{D} is algebraic the former assertions are equivalent to:

- 5) \mathcal{D} is a recollement of derived categories of dg algebras (concentrated in degree 0, i.e. ordinary algebras).

Proof. 1) \Rightarrow 2) If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ is the TTF triple corresponding to the recollement of 1), we can take P to be a compact generator of \mathcal{X} and Q to be a compact generator of \mathcal{Y} . Of course, conditions 2.2), 2.3) and 2.4) are satisfied. Finally, by Lemma 2.4, P is compact in \mathcal{D} .

2) \Rightarrow 1) Since P is compact then $\text{Tria}(P)$ is a smashing subcategory of \mathcal{D} . Conditions 2.3) and 2.4) say that Q generates $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$. Moreover, $\text{Tria}(Q)$ is contained in $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$ and condition 2.2) ensures that $\text{Tria}(Q)$ is an aisle in \mathcal{D} . Therefore Lemma 2.2 implies that $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp = \text{Tria}(Q)$, and so Q is a compact generator of $\text{Tria}(P)^\perp$. Finally, by using either that \mathcal{D} is perfectly generated (together with Lemma 3.3) or that \mathcal{D} is aisled (together with Lemma 3.2) we have that $(\text{Tria}(P), \text{Tria}(P)^\perp, (\text{Tria}(P)^\perp)^\perp)$ is a TTF triple.

2) \Leftrightarrow 3) is clear, and 3) \Leftrightarrow 4) is also clear thanks to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

1) \Rightarrow 5) We use that, by [23, Theorem 4.3], an algebraic triangulated category compactly generated by a single object is triangle equivalent to the derived category of a dg algebra (*cf.* subsection 2.5).

To deal with the case of exceptional compact objects, one uses that an algebraic triangulated category compactly generated by an exceptional object is triangle equivalent to the derived category of an ordinary algebra (*cf.* for instance [25, Theorem 8.3.3]). \checkmark

Thanks to Corollary 2.5, the dg algebras announced in 5) can be chosen to be particularly nice in case \mathcal{D} is the derived category $\mathcal{D}A$ of a dg algebra A . Indeed, if P and Q are like in 2) and $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) = (\text{Tria}(P), \text{Tria}(Q), \text{Tria}(Q)^\perp)$, then the picture is

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{D}B & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_A(\mathbf{i}Q, ?)]{? \otimes_B^L \mathbf{i}Q} & \text{Tria}(Q) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{Y}}} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \\ \xleftarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{Y}}} \end{array} & \mathcal{D}A & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{z\tau_{\mathcal{Z}}x} \\ \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{X}}} \\ \xleftarrow{x} \end{array} & \text{Tria}(P) & \xrightleftharpoons[? \otimes_C^L \mathbf{i}P]{\mathbf{R}\text{Hom}_A(\mathbf{i}P, ?)} & \mathcal{D}C \end{array}$$

where B is the dg algebra $(\mathcal{C}_{dg}A)(\mathbf{i}Q, \mathbf{i}Q)$ and C is the dg algebra $(\mathcal{C}_{dg}A)(\mathbf{i}P, \mathbf{i}P)$.

Example. [30, Example 8] Let k be a field and let $A = k(Q, R)$ be the finite dimensional k -algebra associated to the quiver

$$Q = (1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \\ \xleftarrow{\beta} \end{array} 2)$$

with relations $R = (\alpha\beta\alpha)$. One easily check that $P := P_2 = e_2A$ and $Q := S_1 = e_1A/e_1\text{rad}(A)$ are exceptional objects of $\mathcal{D}A$ and satisfy conditions 2.1)–2.4) of the above corollary. Now, since the dg algebra $(\mathcal{C}_{dg}A)(\mathbf{i}P_2, \mathbf{i}P_2)$ has cohomology concentrated in degree 0 and isomorphic, as an algebra, to $C := \text{End}_A(P_2)$, its derived category is triangle equivalent to $\mathcal{D}C$. Similarly, the derived category of $(\mathcal{C}_{dg}A)(\mathbf{i}S_1, \mathbf{i}S_1)$ is triangle equivalent to the derived category of $B := \text{End}_A(S_1)$. By applying Corollary 3.4, we know that there exists a recollement

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}B & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathcal{D}A & \xrightarrow{j_!} & \mathcal{D}C \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} & & \xleftarrow{\quad} & & \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array}$$

with $i_*B = S_1$ and $j_!C = P_2$.

4. HOMOLOGICAL EPIMORPHISMS OF DG CATEGORIES

Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a dg functor between dg categories and suppose the corresponding restriction along F

$$F_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$$

is fully faithful. Let U be the \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} -bimodule defined by $U(B, A) := \mathcal{B}(B, FA)$ and let V be the \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A} -bimodule defined by $V(A, B) := \mathcal{B}(FA, B)$. Since the functor F_* admits a left adjoint

$$? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U : \mathcal{DA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DB}$$

and a right adjoint

$$\mathbf{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{A}}(V, ?) : \mathcal{DA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DB},$$

then the essential image \mathcal{Y} of F_* is a full triangulated subcategory which is both an aisle and a coaisle in \mathcal{DA} . This shows that there exists a TTF triple on \mathcal{DA} whose central class \mathcal{Y} is triangle equivalent to \mathcal{DB} .

In fact, by using Lemma 2.2 and B. Keller's Morita theory for derived categories (cf. subsection 2.5), it is clear that the central class of any TTF triple on the derived category \mathcal{DA} of a dg category is always triangle equivalent to the derived category \mathcal{DB} of a certain dg category. We will prove in this section that, up to replacing \mathcal{A} by a quasi-equivalent [48] dg category, the new dg category \mathcal{B} can be chosen so as to be linked to \mathcal{A} by a dg functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ whose corresponding restriction $F_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ is fully faithful.

Let us show first a nice characterization of this kind of morphisms. For this, notice that a morphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of dg categories also induces a restriction of the form $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{B}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$, still denoted by F_* , and that F can be viewed as a morphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$. Let $X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} F_*\mathcal{B} \rightarrow X[1]$ be the triangle of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$ induced by F .

Lemma. *Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a dg functor between small dg categories. The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1) $F_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ is fully faithful.
- 2) The counit δ of the adjunction $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U, F_*)$ is an isomorphism.
- 3) The counit $\delta_{B^\wedge} : F_*(B^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U \rightarrow B^\wedge$ is an isomorphism for each object B of \mathcal{B} .
- 4) F satisfies the following:
 - 4.1) The modules $(FA)^\wedge$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, form a set of compact generators of \mathcal{DB} .
 - 4.2) $X(?, A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U = 0$ for each object A of \mathcal{A} .
- 5) F satisfies the following:
 - 5.1) The modules $(FA)^\wedge$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, form a set of compact generators of \mathcal{DB} .
 - 5.2) The class \mathcal{Y} of modules $M \in \mathcal{DA}$ such that $(\mathcal{DA})(X(?, A)[n], M) = 0$, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, is closed under small coproducts and $(F_*\mathcal{B})(?, A) \in \mathcal{Y}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. The equivalence 1) \Leftrightarrow 2) is a general fact about adjoint functors, and implication 2) \Rightarrow 3) is clear.

3) \Rightarrow 2) follows from the implications 3) \Rightarrow 4) \Rightarrow 2) below. However, there is a shorter and more natural proof: one can use that \mathcal{DB} satisfies the principle of infinite dévissage with respect to the B^\wedge , $B \in \mathcal{B}$, and that the modules M with invertible δ_M form a strictly full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{DB} closed under small coproducts and containing the B^\wedge , $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

3) \Rightarrow 4) It is easy to show that if F_* is fully faithful, then the objects $(FA)^\wedge$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ form a set of (compact) generators. Now, for an object $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we get the triangle in \mathcal{DA}

$$X(? , A) \rightarrow A^\wedge \xrightarrow{F} F_*((FA)^\wedge) \rightarrow X(? , A)[1].$$

If we apply $? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U$ then we get the triangle

$$X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U \rightarrow (FA)^\wedge \rightarrow F_*((FA)^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U \rightarrow X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U[1]$$

of \mathcal{DB} , which gives the triangle

$$F_*(X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) \rightarrow F_*((FA)^\wedge) \rightarrow F_*(F_*((FA)^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) \rightarrow F_*(X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U)[1]$$

of \mathcal{DA} . The morphism $F_*((FA)^\wedge) \rightarrow F_*(F_*((FA)^\wedge) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U)$ is induced by the unit of the adjunction $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U, F_*)$, it is the right inverse of $F_*(\delta_{(FA)^\wedge})$, and it is an isomorphism if and only if $F_*(X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) = 0$. Since F_* is fully faithful, then it reflects both isomorphism and zero objects. Hence, we have that $\delta_{(FA)^\wedge}$ is an isomorphism for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if condition 4.2) holds.

4) \Rightarrow 2) Condition 4.1) implies that F_* reflects both zero objects and isomorphisms. By using the same argument as in 3) \Rightarrow 4), one proves that condition 4.2) implies that $\delta_{(FA)^\wedge}$ is an isomorphism for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Then condition 4.1) guarantees that we can use infinite dévissage to prove that 2) holds.

4), 1) \Rightarrow 5) We know that the essential image \mathcal{Y} of F_* is the middle class of a TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ on \mathcal{DA} . Notice that $? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U$ is left adjoint to F_* and so it 'is' the truncation functor $\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}$ associated to \mathcal{Y} regarded as a coaisle. Since $X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U = 0$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\text{Tria}(\{X(? , A)\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}) \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. Since $A^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X = X(? , A)$ is in \mathcal{X} , by infinite dévissage we have that the essential image of $? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$ is in \mathcal{X} . Hence, the triangle

$$X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} F_*\mathcal{B} \rightarrow X[1]$$

of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$ induces for each $M \in \mathcal{DA}$ a triangle

$$M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X \rightarrow M \rightarrow F_*(M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) \rightarrow (M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X)[1]$$

of \mathcal{DA} with $M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $F_*(M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) \in \mathcal{Y}$. This proves that $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}(?) = ? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$, and thus $\text{Tria}(\{X(? , A)\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}) = \mathcal{X}$.

5) \Rightarrow 4) We want to prove

$$(\mathcal{DB})(X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U, X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) = 0,$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, that is to say

$$(\mathcal{DA})(X(? , A), F_*(X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U)) = 0$$

for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ or, equivalently, $F_*(X(? , A) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) \in \mathcal{Y}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. But in fact, $F_*(M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) \in \mathcal{Y}$ for every $M \in \mathcal{DA}$, as can be proved by infinite dévissage since \mathcal{Y} is closed under small coproducts, F_* and $? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U$ preserve small coproducts and $F_*(A^\wedge \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U) = F_*\mathcal{B}(?, A) \in \mathcal{Y}$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. \checkmark

A dg functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a *homological epimorphism* if it satisfies the conditions of the above lemma. From the proof of this lemma it is clear that the recollement associated to the TTF induced by F is of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & ? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} U & & \\ & \leftarrow & \text{---} & \rightarrow & \\ \mathcal{DB} & \xleftrightarrow{F_*} & \mathcal{DA} & \xleftrightarrow{\tau_{\mathcal{X}}} & \mathcal{X} \\ & \leftarrow & \text{---} & \rightarrow & \\ & & \mathbf{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(V, ?) & & x \end{array}$$

where x is the inclusion functor, $\tau_{\mathcal{X}}(?) = ? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^{\mathbf{L}} X$ and $\mathcal{X} = \text{Tria}(\{X(?), A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}})$.

Remark. Our notion of “homological epimorphism of dg categories” is a generalization of the notion of “homological epimorphism of algebras” due to W. Geigle and H. Lenzing [13]. Indeed, a morphism of algebras $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a *homological epimorphism* if it satisfies:

- 1) the multiplication $B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B$ is bijective,
- 2) $\text{Tor}_i^A(B_A, {}_A B) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$.

But this is equivalent to require that the ‘multiplication’ $B \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} B \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{DB} , which is precisely condition 3) of the above lemma. Hence, our lemma recovers and adds some handy characterizations of homological epimorphisms of algebras. Recently, D. Pauksztello [42] has studied homological epimorphism of dg algebras.

The following are particular cases of homological epimorphisms:

Example. Let I be a two-sided ideal of an algebra A . The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) The canonical projection $A \rightarrow A/I$ is a homological epimorphism.
- 2) $\text{Tor}_i^A(I, A/I) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$.
- 3) The class of complexes $Y \in \mathcal{DA}$ such that $(\mathcal{DA})(I[n], Y) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ is closed under small coproducts and $\text{Ext}_A^i(I, A/I) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$.
- 4) The class of complexes $Y \in \mathcal{DA}$ such that $(\mathcal{DA})(I[n], Y) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ is closed under small coproducts and $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, A/I) = 0$ for every $i \geq 1$.

The first part of conditions 3) and 4) are always satisfied if I_A is compact in \mathcal{DA} , *i.e.* quasi-isomorphic to a bounded complex of finitely generated projective A -modules. Note that condition 2) is precisely condition 4) of the above lemma. Also, notice that from $\text{Tor}_0^A(I, A/I) = 0$ follows that I is idempotent. Conversely, if I is idempotent and projective as a right A -module (*e.g.* $I = A(1-e)A$ where $e \in A$ is an idempotent such that $eA(1-e) = 0$), then condition 2) is clearly satisfied.

The above example contains the unbounded versions of the recollements of Corollary 11, Corollary 12 and Corollary 15 of [30]. In a forthcoming paper we will prove that all of them restrict to give the recollements of right bounded derived categories of [30].

Example. Let $j : A \rightarrow B$ be an injective morphism of algebras, which we view as an inclusion. The following statements are equivalent:

- 1) j is a homological epimorphism.
- 2) $\text{Tor}_i^A(B/A, B) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$.
- 3) The class of complexes $Y \in \mathcal{DA}$ such that $(\mathcal{DA})((B/A)[n], Y) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ is closed under small coproducts and $\text{Ext}_A^i(B/A, B) = 0$ for every $i \geq 0$.

Recall that a dg category \mathcal{A} is *k-flat* if the functor $? \otimes_k \mathcal{A}(A, A') : \mathcal{C}k \rightarrow \mathcal{C}k$ preserves acyclic complexes of k -modules for every $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$. Of course, this is always the case if k is a field.

Theorem. *Let \mathcal{A} be a k -flat dg category. For every TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ on \mathcal{DA} there exists a homological epimorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, bijective on objects, such that the essential image of the restriction of scalars functor $F_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ is \mathcal{Y} .*

Proof. For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ we consider a fixed triangle

$$X_A \rightarrow A^\wedge \xrightarrow{\varphi_A} Y_A \rightarrow X[1]$$

in \mathcal{DA} with $X_A \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y_A \in \mathcal{Y}$. Assume that each Y_A is \mathcal{H} -injective. Let \mathcal{C} be the dg category given by the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A}$ formed by the objects Y_A , $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Clearly, these objects define a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A} -bimodule Y as follows:

$$(\mathcal{C}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dg}k, (Y_{A'}, A) \mapsto Y(A, Y_{A'}) := Y_{A'}(A),$$

and the morphisms φ_A induce a morphism of right dg \mathcal{A} -modules

$$\varphi_A : A^\wedge \rightarrow Y(?, Y_A).$$

Let $\xi : Y \rightarrow Y'$ be an \mathcal{H} -injective resolution of Y in $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$, and let \mathcal{B}' be the dg category given by the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{C}^{op})$ formed by the objects $Y'(A, ?)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Consider the functor

$$\rho : \mathcal{A}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}',$$

which takes the object A to $Y'(A, ?)$ and the morphism $f \in \mathcal{A}^{op}(A, A')$ to the morphism $\rho(f)$ defined by

$$\rho(f)(C) : Y'(A, C) \rightarrow Y'(A', C), y \mapsto (-1)^{|f||y|} Y'(f, C)(y)$$

for a homogeneous f of degree $|f|$ and a homogeneous y of degree $|y|$. Since Y' is a \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A} -bimodule, then the functor ρ is a morphism of dg categories. It induces a morphism between the corresponding opposite dg categories

$$F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'^{op} =: \mathcal{B}.$$

Notice that, for each $A' \in \mathcal{A}$, the functor $(\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A})(?, Y_{A'}) : \mathcal{CA} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}k$ induces a triangle functor between the corresponding categories up to homotopy, $(\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A})(?, Y_{A'}) : \mathcal{HA} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}k$. Moreover, since $Y_{A'}$ is \mathcal{H} -injective, then this functor induces a triangle functor between the corresponding derived categories

$$(\mathcal{C}_{dg}\mathcal{A})(?, Y_{A'}) : \mathcal{DA} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}k.$$

When all these functors are applied to the triangles considered above, then we get a family of quasi-isomorphism of complexes of k -modules

$$\Psi_{A, A'} : \mathcal{C}(Y_A, Y_{A'}) \rightarrow Y(A, Y_{A'}),$$

for each $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$. This family underlies a quasi-isomorphism of left dg \mathcal{C} -modules $\Psi_{A, ?} : \mathcal{C}(Y_A, ?) \rightarrow Y(A, ?)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, we have a family of quasi-isomorphisms of left dg \mathcal{C} -modules

$$\xi_{A, ?} \Psi_{A, ?} : \mathcal{C}(Y_A, ?) \rightarrow Y'(A, ?),$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Notice that, since \mathcal{A} is k -flat, for each $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ the corresponding restriction from \mathcal{C} - \mathcal{A} -bimodules to left dg \mathcal{C} -modules preserves \mathcal{H} -injectives. Indeed, its left adjoint is $? \otimes_k \mathcal{A}$ and preserves acyclic modules. Then for each $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ the triangle functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{C}^{op})(?, Y'(A', ?)) : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{C}^{op}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}k$$

preserves acyclic modules, and so it induces a triangle functor

$$\mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{C}^{op})(?, Y'(A', ?)) : \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{C}^{op}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}k.$$

When applied to the quasi-isomorphisms of left dg \mathcal{C} -modules $\xi_{A, ?} \Psi_{A, ?}$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, it gives us quasi-isomorphisms of complexes

$$\mathcal{B}'(Y'(A, ?), Y'(A', ?)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{dg}(\mathcal{C}^{op})((Y_A)^\wedge, Y'(A', ?)),$$

and so quasi-isomorphisms of complexes

$$\varepsilon : \mathcal{B}'(Y'(A, ?), Y'(A', ?)) \rightarrow Y'(A', Y_A),$$

for each $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$. It induces a quasi-isomorphism of right dg \mathcal{A} -modules

$$\varepsilon : F_*\mathcal{B}(?, Y'(A, ?)) \rightarrow Y'(? , Y_A)$$

and we get an isomorphism of triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X_A & \longrightarrow & A^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\xi_{?, Y_A} \varphi_A} & Y'(? , Y_A) & \longrightarrow & X[1] \\ \uparrow \mathbf{1} & & \uparrow \mathbf{1} & & \uparrow \varepsilon & & \uparrow \mathbf{1} \\ X_A & \longrightarrow & A^\wedge & \xrightarrow{F} & F_*\mathcal{B}(?, Y'(A, ?)) & \longrightarrow & X[1] \end{array}$$

Notice that from this it follows that $\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}(A^\wedge) = F_*\mathcal{B}(?, Y'(A, ?))$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. By infinite dévissage of \mathcal{DB} with respect to the set of modules B^\wedge , $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the functor $F_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ has its image in \mathcal{Y} . We can assume X_A to be the restriction $X(? , A)$ of an \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{A} -bimodule X coming from the triangle induced by F in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$. Now, by using the adjunction $(? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L U, F_*)$ one proves that the functor $? \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L U : \mathcal{DA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DB}$ vanishes on the objects of \mathcal{X} , where U is the \mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B} -bimodule defined by $U(B, A) := \mathcal{B}(B, FA)$. In particular, it vanishes on $X(? , A)$ and by Lemma 4 we have proved that F_* is a homological epimorphism.

Thanks to Lemma 2.3 we know that the modules $F_*(B^\wedge)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}$, form a set of compact generators of \mathcal{Y} , and so, since F_* is fully faithful, the essential image of F_* is precisely \mathcal{Y} . \checkmark

Remark. The above Theorem is related to subsection 5.4 of K. Brüning's Ph. D. thesis [7] and section 9 of B. Huber's Ph. D. thesis [19]. In particular, it generalizes [7, Corollary 5.4.9] and [19, Corollary 9.8]. It is also related to B. Toën's lifting, in the homotopy category of small dg categories up to quasi-equivalences, of *quasi-functors* or *quasi-representable* dg bimodules to genuine dg functors (*cf.* the proof of [49, Lemma 4.3]). *Cf.* also [26, Lemma 3.2].

Notice that, if $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a homological epimorphism of dg categories, the bimodule X in the triangle

$$X \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} F_*\mathcal{B} \rightarrow X[1]$$

of $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$ has a certain 'derived idempotency' expressed by condition 4) of Lemma 4, and plays the same rôle as the idempotent two-sided ideal in the theory of TTF triples on module categories [20, 47].

5. PARAMETRIZATION FOR DERIVED CATEGORIES

Two homological epimorphisms of dg categories, $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $F' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$, are *equivalent* if the essential images of the corresponding restriction functors, $F_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ and $F'_* : \mathcal{DB}' \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$, are the same subcategory of \mathcal{DA} .

Thanks to Theorem 4, we know that every homological epimorphism F starting in a k -flat dg category is equivalent to another one F' which is bijective on objects. Unfortunately, the path from F to F' is indirect. Nevertheless, there exists a direct way of proving (without any flatness assumption) that every homological epimorphism is equivalent to a 'quasi-surjective' one.

Proposition. *Every homological epimorphism $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to a homological epimorphism $F' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ such that $H^0 F' : H^0 \mathcal{A} \rightarrow H^0 \mathcal{B}'$ is essentially surjective.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{B}' be the full subcategory of \mathcal{B} formed by the objects B' such that $B'^{\wedge} \cong (FA)^{\wedge}$ in \mathcal{DB} (equivalently, $B' \cong FA$ in $H^0 \mathcal{B}$) for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Put $F' : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}'$ for the dg functor induced by F . Now, the restriction $j_* : \mathcal{DB} \rightarrow \mathcal{DB}'$ along the inclusion $j : \mathcal{B}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a triangle equivalence. Indeed, thanks to condition 4) of Lemma 4 we know that the modules $(jB')^{\wedge}$, $B' \in \mathcal{B}'$ form a set of compact generators of \mathcal{DB} ; then one can apply the techniques of [23, Lemma 4.2]. Therefore, the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{DB} & & \\ \downarrow j_* & \searrow F_* & \\ \mathcal{DB}' & \xrightarrow{F'_*} & \mathcal{DA} \end{array}$$

finishes the proof. ✓

The following lemma shows that Theorem 4 virtually covers all the possible compactly generated algebraic triangulated categories.

Lemma. *Every compactly generated k -linear algebraic triangulated category (whose set of compact generators has cardinality λ) is triangle equivalent to the derived category of a small k -flat dg category (whose set of objects has cardinality λ).*

Proof. Indeed, by B. Keller's theorem (*cf.* subsection 2.5) we know that it is triangle equivalent to the derived category of a small k -linear dg category \mathcal{A} satisfying the cardinality condition. If \mathcal{A} is not k -flat, then we can consider a cofibrant replacement $F : \mathcal{A}' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ of \mathcal{A} in the model structure of the category of small k -linear dg categories constructed by G. Tabuada in [48], which can be taken to be the identity on objects [49, Proposition 2.3]. In particular, F is a quasi-equivalence [27, subsection 2.3], and so the restriction along F induces a triangle equivalence between the corresponding derived categories [27, Lemma 3.10]. Since \mathcal{A}' is cofibrant, by [49, Proposition 2.3] for all objects A, A' in \mathcal{A} the complex $\mathcal{A}'(A, A')$ is cofibrant in the category $\mathcal{C}k$ of complexes over k endowed with its projective model structure [18, Theorem 2.3.11]. This implies that $\mathcal{A}'(A, A')$ is \mathcal{H} -projective. Finally, since the functor $? \otimes_k k$ preserves acyclic complexes and the \mathcal{H} -projective complexes satisfy the principle of infinite dévissage with respect to k , then $? \otimes_k \mathcal{A}'(A, A')$ preserves acyclic complexes. ✓

Notice that, in a compactly generated triangulated category, smashing subcategories form a set. This is thanks to H. Krause's description of them [33, Corollary 12.5] and thanks to the fact that isoclasses of compact objects form a set (*cf.* [23, Theorem 5.3]). Now we will give several descriptions of this set in the algebraic case.

Theorem. *Let \mathcal{D} be a compactly generated algebraic triangulated category, and let \mathcal{A} be a k -flat dg category whose derived category is triangle equivalent to \mathcal{D} . There exists a bijection between:*

- 1) Smashing subcategories \mathcal{X} of \mathcal{D} .
- 2) TTF triples $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ on \mathcal{D} .

- 3) (Equivalence classes of) recollements for \mathcal{D} .
 4) Equivalence classes of homological epimorphisms of dg categories of the form $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ (which can be taken to be bijective on objects).

Moreover, if we denote by \mathcal{S} any of the given (equipotent) sets, then there exists a surjective map $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{R} is the class of objects $P \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\{P[n]\}_{n \in \mathbf{Z}}^\perp$ is closed under small coproducts.

Proof. The bijection between 1) and 2) was recalled in subsection 2.4, and the bijection between 2) and 3) was recalled in subsection 2.1. The map from 3) to 4) is given by Theorem 4, and the map from 4) to 3) is clear from the comments at the beginning of section 4. The surjective map $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ follows from Proposition 3.4. ✓

6. IDEMPOTENT TWO-SIDED IDEALS

6.1. Generalized smashing conjecture: short survey. The *generalized smashing conjecture* is a generalization to arbitrary compactly generated triangulated categories of a conjecture due to D. Ravenel [44, 1.33] and, originally, A. K. Bousfield [6, 3.4]. It predicts the following:

Every smashing subcategory of a compactly generated triangulated category satisfies the principle of infinite dévissage with respect to a set of compact objects.

However, this conjecture was disproved by B. Keller in [22].

In [31], H. Krause gave the definition of being ‘generated by a class of morphisms’:

Definition. Let \mathcal{D} be a triangulated category, \mathcal{X} a strictly full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} closed under small coproducts and \mathcal{I} a class of morphisms of \mathcal{D} . We say that \mathcal{X} is *generated* by \mathcal{I} if \mathcal{X} is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{D} closed under small coproducts and such that every morphism in \mathcal{I} factors through some object of \mathcal{X} .

This is a generalization of the notion of infinite dévissage (*cf.* subsection 2.2), at least when the existence of certain countable coproducts is guaranteed, and allows H. Krause the following reformulation of the generalized smashing conjecture:

Every smashing subcategory of a compactly generated triangulated category is generated by a set of identity morphisms between compact objects.

This reformulation admits at least two weak versions of the conjecture, one of which was proved by H. Krause [31, Corollary 4.7]: *Every smashing subcategory of a compactly generated triangulated category is generated by a set of morphisms between compact objects.*

In Corollary 6.4.2 below, we prove ‘the other’ weak version of the conjecture, which substitutes “morphisms between compact objects” by “identity morphisms” of Milnor colimits of compact objects.

6.2. From ideals to smashing subcategories. If \mathcal{D} is a triangulated category, we denote by \mathcal{D}^c the full subcategory of \mathcal{D} formed by the compact objects and by

$Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)$ the class of morphisms of \mathcal{D}^c . If \mathcal{D} is the derived category \mathcal{DA} of a dg category \mathcal{A} , then we also write $\mathcal{D}^c = \mathcal{D}^c\mathcal{A}$.

We write $\mathcal{P}(Mor(\mathcal{D}^c))$ for the large complete lattice of subclasses of $Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)$, where the order is given by the inclusion. Notice that if \mathcal{D} is compactly generated and we take the skeleton of \mathcal{D}^c instead of \mathcal{D}^c itself we get a set. Also, we write $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ for the large complete lattice of classes of objects of \mathcal{D} , where the order here is also given by the inclusion. Consider the following Galois connection (cf. [47, section III.8] for the definition and basic properties of Galois connections):

$$\mathcal{P}(Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{?^\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)?} \end{array} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D}),$$

where given $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{P}(Mor(\mathcal{D}^c))$ we define \mathcal{I}^\perp to be the class of objects Y of \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D}(f, Y) = 0$ for every morphism f of \mathcal{I} , and given $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ we define $Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)^\mathcal{Y}$ to be the class of morphisms f of \mathcal{D}^c such that $\mathcal{D}(f, Y) = 0$ for every Y in \mathcal{Y} .

According to the usual terminology in the theory of Galois connections, we say that a subclass \mathcal{I} of $Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)$ is *closed* if $\mathcal{I} = Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)^{\mathcal{I}^\perp}$, and a class \mathcal{Y} of objects of \mathcal{D} is *closed* if $\mathcal{Y} = (Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)^\mathcal{Y})^\perp$. Notice that a subclass \mathcal{I} of $Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)$ is closed if and only if $\mathcal{I} = Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)^\mathcal{Y}$ for some class \mathcal{Y} of objects of \mathcal{D} . Similarly, a class \mathcal{Y} of objects of \mathcal{D} is closed if and only if $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{I}^\perp$ for some class \mathcal{I} of morphisms of \mathcal{D}^c .

If \mathcal{I} is a subclass of $Mor(\mathcal{D}^c)$, we write $\mathcal{I}[1]$ for the class formed by all the morphisms of the form $f[1]$ with f in \mathcal{I} .

The following lemma is an easy exercise.

Lemma. *The Galois connection above induces a bijection between the class formed by the closed ideals \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{D}^c such that $\mathcal{I}[1] = \mathcal{I}$ and the class formed by the full triangulated subcategories of \mathcal{D} closed under small coproducts which are closed for the Galois connection.*

In the following result we explain how a certain two-sided ideal induces a nice smashing subcategory or, equivalently, a TTF triple.

Theorem. *Let \mathcal{D} be a compactly generated triangulated category and let \mathcal{I} be an idempotent two-sided ideal of \mathcal{D}^c with $\mathcal{I}[1] = \mathcal{I}$. There exists a triangulated TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ on \mathcal{D} such that:*

- 1) $\mathcal{X} = \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})$, for a certain set \mathcal{P} of Milnor colimits of sequences of morphisms of \mathcal{I} .
- 2) $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{I}^\perp$.
- 3) A morphism of \mathcal{D}^c belongs to \mathcal{I} if and only if it factors through an object of \mathcal{P} .

Proof. Theorem 5.3 of [23] implies that \mathcal{D}^c is skeletally small. Fix a small skeleton of \mathcal{D}^c closed under shifts. Let \mathcal{P} be the set of Milnor colimits of all the sequences of morphisms of \mathcal{I} between objects of the fixed skeleton. Remark that \mathcal{P} is closed under shifts. Put $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) := (\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P}), \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp, (\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp)^\perp)$.

First step: $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp = \mathcal{I}^\perp$. Notice that $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp$ is the class of those objects which are right orthogonal to all the objects of \mathcal{P} . Hence, for the inclusion $\mathcal{I}^\perp \subseteq \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp$, it suffices to prove $\mathcal{D}(P, Y) = 0$ for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{I}^\perp$. For this, let

$$P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

be a sequence of morphisms in \mathcal{I} between objects of the fixed skeleton and consider the corresponding Milnor triangle

$$\coprod_{i \geq 0} P_i \xrightarrow{1-\sigma} \coprod_{i \geq 0} P_i \rightarrow P \rightarrow \coprod_{i \geq 0} P_i[1].$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(\sigma, Y[n]) = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, we get a long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{1} \mathcal{D}\left(\coprod_{i \geq 0} P_i[1], Y\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(P, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\left(\coprod_{i \geq 0} P_i, Y\right) \xrightarrow{1} \dots$$

which proves that $\mathcal{D}(P, Y) = 0$. Conversely, let $Y \in \text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp$ and consider a morphism $f : P \rightarrow P'$ of \mathcal{I} . Put $P_0 := P$ and, by using the idempotency of \mathcal{I} , consider a factorization of f

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_0 & \xrightarrow{f} & P' \\ & \searrow f_0 & \nearrow g_1 \\ & & P_1 \end{array}$$

with $f_0, g_1 \in \mathcal{I}$. We can consider a similar factorization for g_1 , and proceeding inductively we can produce a sequence of morphisms of \mathcal{I}

$$P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

together with morphisms $g_i : P_i \rightarrow P'$ of \mathcal{I} satisfying $g_i f_{i-1} = g_{i-1}$, $i \geq 1$ with $g_0 := f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} P = P_0 & \xrightarrow{f_0} & P_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & P_2 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & \searrow f=g_0 & \downarrow g_1 & \nearrow g_2 & & & \\ & & P' & & & & \end{array}$$

This induces a factorization of f

$$f : P \rightarrow \text{Mcolim } P_n \rightarrow P'$$

Since $\text{Mcolim } P_n$ is isomorphic to an object of \mathcal{P} , then $(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{A})(f, Y) = 0$. This proves that $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp = \mathcal{I}^\perp$.

Second step: $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a triangulated TTF triple. Thanks to Corollary 2.4 it suffices to prove that \mathcal{X} is a smashing subcategory of \mathcal{D} , i.e. that \mathcal{X} is an aisle in \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{Y} is closed under small coproducts. The fact that \mathcal{X} is an aisle follows from [43, Corollary 3.12]. The fact that \mathcal{Y} is closed under small coproducts follows from the first step, since the morphisms of \mathcal{I} are morphisms between *compact* objects.

Third step: part 3). Notice that in the proof of the inclusion $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P})^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{I}^\perp$ we have showed that every morphism of \mathcal{I} factors through an object of \mathcal{P} . The converse is also true. Indeed, let $g : Q' \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism between compact objects factoring through an object P of \mathcal{P} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Q' \\ & \swarrow h & \downarrow g \\ P & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

By definition of \mathcal{P} , we have that P is the Milnor colimit of a sequence of morphisms of \mathcal{I} :

$$P = \text{Mcolim}(P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_2 \rightarrow \dots)$$

Now compactness of Q' implies that h factors through a certain P_n :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Q' \\ & \swarrow \text{dotted } h_n & \downarrow g \\ P_n & \xrightarrow{\pi_n} & P \longrightarrow Q \end{array}$$

where π_n is the n th component of the morphism π appearing in the Milnor triangle defining P . One of the properties satisfied by the components of π is the identity: $\pi_{m+1}f_m = \pi_m$ for each $m \geq 0$. This gives the following factorization for g :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & Q' \\ & & & & & \swarrow \text{dotted } h_n & \downarrow g \\ P_n & \xleftarrow{f_n} & P_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{n+1}} & P & \longrightarrow & Q \end{array}$$

Since f_n belongs to the ideal \mathcal{I} , so does g . √

6.3. Stretching a filtration. The results of this subsection are to be used in the proof of Proposition 6.4. Throughout this subsection \mathcal{A} will be a small dg category.

We define \mathcal{S} to be the set of right dg \mathcal{A} -modules S admitting a finite filtration

$$0 = S_{-1} \subset S_0 \subset S_1 \subset \dots \subset S_n = S$$

in \mathcal{CA} such that

- 1) the inclusion morphism $S_{p-1} \subset S_p$ is an inflation for each $0 \leq p \leq n$,
- 2) the factor S_p/S_{p-1} is isomorphic in \mathcal{CA} to a *relatively free module of finite type* (i.e. it is a finite coproduct of modules of the form $A^\wedge[i]$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$) for each $0 \leq p \leq n$.

Lemma. 1) *The compact objects of \mathcal{DA} are precisely the direct summands of objects of \mathcal{S} .*

2) *For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the functor $(\mathcal{DA})(S, q?) : \mathcal{CA} \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$ preserves direct limits, where $q : \mathcal{CA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ is the canonical localization functor.*

3) *For each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ the functor $(\mathcal{CA})(S, ?) : \mathcal{CA} \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$ preserves direct limits.*

Proof. 1) We know by [23, Theorem 5.3] that any compact object P of \mathcal{DA} is a direct summand of a finite extension of objects of the form $A^\wedge[n]$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$. By using that every triangle of \mathcal{DA} is isomorphic to a triangle coming from a conflation of \mathcal{CA} , we have that this kind of finite extensions are objects isomorphic in \mathcal{DA} to objects of \mathcal{S} .

2) Let I be a directed set. We regard it as a category and denote by $\text{Fun}(I, ?)$ the category of functors starting in I . We want to prove that, for every object $S \in \mathcal{S}$, the following square is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{CA}) & \xrightarrow{\varinjlim} & \mathcal{CA} \\ \downarrow (I, (\mathcal{DA})(S, q?)) & & \downarrow (\mathcal{DA})(S, q?) \\ \text{Fun}(I, \text{Mod } k) & \xrightarrow{\varinjlim} & \text{Mod } k \end{array}$$

First step: We first prove it for $S = A^\wedge$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$. That will prove assertion 2) for relatively free dg \mathcal{A} -modules of finite type. For an object A of \mathcal{A} we consider the dg functor $F : k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, with $F(k) = A$ and $F(1_k) = \mathbf{1}_A$. The restriction

$$F_* : \mathcal{CA} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}k, M \mapsto M(A)$$

along F admits a right adjoint, and so it preserves colimits. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{CA}) & \xrightarrow{\varinjlim} & \mathcal{CA} \\
 \downarrow (I, F_*) & & \downarrow F_* \\
 \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{C}k) & \xrightarrow{\varinjlim} & \mathcal{C}k \\
 \downarrow (I, H^0) & & \downarrow H^0 \\
 \text{Fun}(I, \text{Mod } k) & \xrightarrow{\varinjlim} & \text{Mod } k
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \end{array}
 \begin{array}{l}
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \\
 \end{array}$$

$(I, (\mathcal{DA})(A^\wedge, q?))$ on the left, $(\mathcal{DA})(A^\wedge, q?)$ on the right.

Since F_* preserves colimits, the top rectangle commutes. Since

$$\varinjlim : \text{Fun}(I, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$$

is exact, the bottom rectangle commutes. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varinjlim H^0 M_i &= \varinjlim \text{cok}(B^0 M_i \rightarrow Z^0 M_i) = \text{cok}(\varinjlim(B^0 M_i \rightarrow Z^0 M_i)) = \\
 &= \text{cok}(\varinjlim B^0 M_i \rightarrow \varinjlim Z^0 M_i) = \text{cok}(B^0(\varinjlim M_i) \rightarrow Z^0(\varinjlim M_i)) = H^0 \varinjlim M_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Second step: Let $S' \rightarrow S \rightarrow S''$ be a conflation of \mathcal{CA} such that S' and S'' (and hence all their shifts) satisfy property 2), and let $M \in \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{CA})$ be a direct system. By using the cohomological functors $(\mathcal{DA})(?, M_i)$, $(\mathcal{DA})(?, \varinjlim M_i)$, the fact that $\varinjlim : \text{Fun}(I, \text{Mod } k) \rightarrow \text{Mod } k$ is exact and the five lemma, we get an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim (\mathcal{DA})(S, M_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{DA})(S, \varinjlim M_i)$$

3) By using the same techniques as in 2), one proves that relatively free dg modules of finite type have the required property. Now, let $S' \xrightarrow{j} S \xrightarrow{p} S''$ be a conflation such that S' satisfies the required property and S'' is relatively free of finite type. For each $N \in \mathcal{CA}$, this conflation gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{CA})(S'', N) \xrightarrow{p^\vee} (\mathcal{CA})(S, N) \xrightarrow{j^\vee} (\mathcal{CA})(S', N).$$

Let $u \in (\mathcal{CA})(S''[-1], S')$ be a morphism whose mapping cone is $\text{Cone}(u) = S$. By using the triangle

$$S''[-1] \xrightarrow{u} S' \xrightarrow{j} S \xrightarrow{p} S''$$

and the canonical localization $q : \mathcal{CA} \rightarrow \mathcal{DA}$ we can fit the sequence above in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & \\
\downarrow & & \\
(\mathcal{CA})(S'', N) & & \\
\downarrow p^\vee & & \\
(\mathcal{CA})(S, N) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{DA})(S, N) \\
\downarrow j^\vee & & \downarrow j^\vee \\
(\mathcal{CA})(S', N) & \xrightarrow{q} & (\mathcal{DA})(S', N) \\
\downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow u^\vee \\
(\mathcal{DA})(S''[-1], N) & \xrightarrow{1} & (\mathcal{DA})(S''[-1], N)
\end{array}$$

The aim is to prove that the left-hand column is exact. Indeed, $\varphi j^\vee = 0$ since $u^\vee j^\vee = 0$. It only remains to prove that $\ker \varphi$ is contained in $\text{im}(j^\vee)$. For this, let $f \in (\mathcal{CA})(S', N)$ be such that $\varphi(f) = fu$ vanishes in

$$(\mathcal{DA})(S''[-1], N) \xleftarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{HA})(S''[-1], N),$$

i.e. fu is null-homotopic. By considering the triangle above, one sees that f factor through j in \mathcal{HA} , *i.e.* there exists $g \in (\mathcal{CA})(S, N)$ such that $f - gj$ is null-homotopic. Then, there exists $h \in (\mathcal{CA})(IS', N)$ such that $f = gj + hi_{S'}$, where $i_{S'} : S' \rightarrow IS'$ is an inflation to an injective module (for the graded-wise split exact structure of \mathcal{CA}). Since j is an inflation, then there exists g' such that $g'j = i_{S'}$. Therefore, $f = gj + hi_{S'} = (g + hg')j$ belongs to $\text{im}(j^\vee)$.

Finally, let $M \in \text{Fun}(I, \mathcal{CA})$ be a direct system. Using assertion 2) and the hypothesis on S' and S'' , we have a morphism of exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\varinjlim (\mathcal{CA})(S'', M_i) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathcal{CA})(S'', \varinjlim M_i) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\varinjlim (\mathcal{CA})(S, M_i) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{CA})(S, \varinjlim M_i) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\varinjlim (\mathcal{CA})(S', M_i) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathcal{CA})(S', \varinjlim M_i) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\varinjlim (\mathcal{DA})(S''[-1], M_i) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\mathcal{DA})(S''[-1], \varinjlim M_i)
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the natural ones. Hence, the second horizontal arrow is an isomorphism. \checkmark

Proposition. *Every \mathcal{H} -projective right dg \mathcal{A} -module is, up to isomorphism in \mathcal{HA} , the colimit in \mathcal{CA} of a direct system of submodules S_i , $i \in I$, such that:*

- 1) $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ for each $i \in I$,
- 2) for each $i \leq j$ the morphism $\mu_j^i : S_i \rightarrow S_j$ is an inflation.

Proof. First step: Assume that an object P is the colimit of a direct system P_t , $t \in T$, of subobjects such that the structure morphisms $\mu_t^r : P_r \rightarrow P_t$ are inflations and each P_t is the colimit of a direct system $S_{(t,i)}$, $i \in I_t$, of subobjects satisfying the following property (*):

- 1) $S_{(t,i)} \in \mathcal{S}$ for each $i \in I_t$,
- 2) for each i the morphism $\mu_{(t,i)} : S_{(t,i)} \rightarrow P_t$ is an inflation.

Notice that 2) implies that for each $i \leq j$ the structure morphism $\mu_j^i : S_{(t,i)} \rightarrow S_{(t,j)}$ is an inflation.

Put $I := \bigcup_{t \in T} (\{t\} \times I_t)$ and define the following preorder: $(r, i) \leq (t, j)$ if $r \leq t$ and we have a factorization as follows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{(r,i)} & \xrightarrow{\mu_{(t,j)}^{(r,i)}} & S_{(t,j)} \\ \mu_{(r,i)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_{(t,j)} \\ P_r & \xrightarrow{\mu_t^r} & P_t \end{array}$$

Notice that $\mu_{(t,j)}^{(r,i)}$ is an inflation. To prove that I is a directed preordered set take (r, i) , (t, j) in I and let $s \in T$ with $r, t \leq s$. Since $S_{(r,i)}$ and $S_{(t,j)}$ are in \mathcal{S} , thanks to Lemma 6.3 we know that the compositions $S_{(r,i)} \rightarrow P_r \rightarrow P_s$ and $S_{(t,j)} \rightarrow P_t \rightarrow P_s$ factors through $S_{(s,i_r)} \rightarrow P_s$ and $S_{(s,j_t)} \rightarrow P_s$. Now, if $i_r, j_t \leq k$ we have that (r, i) , $(t, j) \leq (s, k)$. Now, take the quotient set $I' := I / \sim$, where $(r, i) \sim (r', i')$ when $(r, i) \leq (r', i') \leq (r, i)$. Notice that in this case $r = r'$ and the inflations $\mu_{(r,i')}^{(r,i)}$ and $\mu_{(r,i)}^{(r,i')}$ are mutually inverse. Thus, $S_{(t,i)}$, $[(t, i)] \in I'$ is a direct system of subobjects of P which are in \mathcal{S} and whose colimit is easily seen to be P .

Second step: Let P be an \mathcal{H} -projective module. The proof of [23, Theorem 3.1] shows that, up to replacing P by a module isomorphic to it in \mathcal{HA} , we can consider a filtration

$$0 = P_{-1} \subset P_0 \subset P_1 \subset \dots \subset P_n \subset P_{n+1} \cdots \subset P, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}$$

such that

- 1) P is the union of the P_n , $n \in \mathbf{N}$,
- 2) the inclusion morphism $P_{n-1} \subset P_n$ is an inflation for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$,
- 3) the factor P_n/P_{n-1} is isomorphic in \mathcal{CA} to a *relatively free module* (i.e., a direct sum of modules of the form $A^\wedge[i]$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$) for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Thanks to the first step, it suffices to prove that each P_n is the colimit of a direct system of subobjects satisfying (*). We will prove it inductively.

Third step: Notice that $P_n = \text{Cone}(f)$ for a morphism $f : L \rightarrow P_{n-1}$, where $L = \bigoplus_I A_i^\wedge[n_i]$, $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $n_i \in \mathbf{Z}$. By hypothesis of induction, $P_{n-1} = \varinjlim S_j$ where S_j , $j \in J$ is a direct system satisfying (*). Let $\mathcal{FP}(I)$ be the set of finite subsets of I , and put $L_F = \bigoplus_{i \in F} A_i^\wedge[n_i]$ for $F \in \mathcal{FP}(I)$. Notice that $\mathcal{FP}(I)$ is a directed set with the inclusion, and that $L = \varinjlim L_F$. Consider the set Ω of pairs

$(F, j) \in \mathcal{FP}(I) \times J$ such that there exists a morphism $f_{(F,j)}$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_F & \xrightarrow{f_{(F,j)}} & S_j \\ u_F \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu_j \\ L & \xrightarrow{f} & P_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Ω is a directed set with the order: $(F, j) \leq (F', j')$ if and only if $F \subseteq F'$ and $j \leq j'$. Let $\mu_{j'}^j : S_j \rightarrow S_{j'}$ and $u_{F'}^F : L_F \rightarrow L_{F'}$ be the structure morphisms of the direct systems S_j , $j \in J$ and L_F , $F \in \mathcal{FP}(I)$. Then one can check that $\text{Cone}(f_{(F,j)})$, $(F, j) \in \Omega$ is a direct system of modules, with structure morphisms

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_{j'}^j & 0 \\ 0 & u_{F'}^F[1] \end{bmatrix} : \text{Cone}(f_{(F,j)}) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f_{(F',j')}),$$

and whose colimit is $\text{Cone}(f) = P_n$ via the morphisms

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_j & 0 \\ 0 & u_F[1] \end{bmatrix} : \text{Cone}(f_{(F,j)}) \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f) = P_n,$$

which are inflations. Finally, notice that, since there exists a conflation

$$S_j \rightarrow \text{Cone}(f_{(F,j)}) \rightarrow L_F[1]$$

with $S_j \in \mathcal{S}$ and $L_F[1]$ relatively free of finite type, then each $\text{Cone}(f_{(F,j)})$ is in \mathcal{S} . ✓

6.4. From smashing subcategories to ideals.

6.4.1. *H. Krause's bijection.* Recall (cf. [3, subsection 2.3] or [33, Definition 8.3]) that a two-sided ideal \mathcal{I} of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} is *saturated* if whenever there exists a triangle

$$P' \xrightarrow{u} P \xrightarrow{v} P'' \rightarrow P'[1]$$

in \mathcal{D} and a morphism $f \in \mathcal{D}(P, Q)$ with $fu, v \in \mathcal{I}$, then $f \in \mathcal{I}$.

After Theorem 11.1, Theorem 12.1, Corollary 12.5 and Corollary 12.6 of the article [33] of H. Krause, an taking into account subsection 2.4, one has the following nice bijection:

Theorem. *If \mathcal{D} is a compactly generated triangulated category, the maps*

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \mapsto \text{Mor}(\mathcal{D}^c)^{\mathcal{Y}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} \mapsto (\perp(\mathcal{I}^\perp), \mathcal{I}^\perp, (\mathcal{I}^\perp)^\perp)$$

define a bijection between the set of TTF triples on \mathcal{D} and the set of saturated idempotent two-sided ideals \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{D}^c such that $\mathcal{I}[1] = \mathcal{I}$.

6.4.2. *General case.* Let us deduce a bijection in the spirit of the above theorem directly from Theorem 6.2 and the following result of H. Krause:

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{X} be a smashing subcategory of a compactly generated triangulated category \mathcal{D} . If P is a compact object of \mathcal{D} , M is an object of \mathcal{X} and $f : P \rightarrow M$ is a morphism of \mathcal{D} , then there exists a factorization in \mathcal{D}*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ & \searrow u & \nearrow \\ & & P' \end{array}$$

with P' compact and u factoring through an object of \mathcal{X} .

Proof. This statement is assertion 2') of [31, Theorem 4.2]. We will give here the proof for the algebraic setting by using that, in this case, every smashing subcategory is induced by a homological epimorphism. First, recall that the smashing subcategory \mathcal{X} fits into a TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ on \mathcal{D} . Thanks to Lemma 5 we know that there exists a small k -flat dg category \mathcal{A} whose derived category \mathcal{DA} is triangle equivalent to \mathcal{D} . Also, thanks to Lemma 6.3 we can assume that P belongs to the set \mathcal{S} defined in subsection 6.3. Let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a homological epimorphism of dg categories associated (cf. Theorem 4) to the TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, and fix a triangle

$$X \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{F} F_*\mathcal{B} \rightarrow X[1]$$

in $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}^{op} \otimes_k \mathcal{A})$. Assume M is \mathcal{H} -projective, and let S_i , $i \in I$, be a direct system of submodules of M as in Proposition 6.3 so that $M = \varinjlim S_i$. Then, we get a direct system $S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X$, $i \in I$, such that $\varinjlim (S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X) \cong M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X$. Since $M \in \mathcal{X}$, for each $i \in I$ we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{DA})(P, S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{DA})(P, M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \wr \\ (\mathcal{DA})(P, S_i) & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{DA})(P, M) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are induced by the morphisms $\mu_i : S_i \rightarrow M$ associated to the colimit and the vertical arrows are induced by the compositions

$$S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \alpha} S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} S_i$$

and

$$M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \alpha} M \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\sim} M.$$

According to Lemma 6.3, there exists an index $i \in I$ such that f comes from a morphism $(\mathcal{DA})(P, S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X)$ via the square above. Then, f factors in \mathcal{DA} as

$$f : P \rightarrow S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \rightarrow S_i \xrightarrow{\mu_i} M$$

and the result follows from the fact that $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ and $S_i \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} X \in \mathcal{X}$ (see the comments immediately after Lemma 4). ✓

Here we have the promised bijection:

Theorem. *If \mathcal{D} is a compactly generated triangulated category, the maps*

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \mapsto \text{Mor}(\mathcal{D}^c)^{\mathcal{Y}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} \mapsto (\perp(\mathcal{I}^\perp), \mathcal{I}^\perp, (\mathcal{I}^\perp)^\perp)$$

define a bijection between the set of all the TTF triples on \mathcal{D} and the set of all closed idempotent two-sided ideals \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{D}^c such that $\mathcal{I}[1] = \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$ be a TTF triple on the category \mathcal{D} and put $\mathcal{I} := \text{Mor}(\mathcal{D}^c)^{\mathcal{Y}}$. Bearing in mind the Galois connection of subsection 6.2 it only remains to prove that \mathcal{I} is idempotent and $\mathcal{I}^\perp = \mathcal{Y}$.

It is easy to check that \mathcal{I} is precisely the class of all morphisms of \mathcal{D}^c which factor through an object of \mathcal{X} . Then, by using the above proposition we prove that \mathcal{I} is idempotent. Finally, let us check that $\mathcal{I}^\perp = \mathcal{Y}$. Of course, it is clear that \mathcal{Y} is contained in \mathcal{I}^\perp . Conversely, let M be an object of \mathcal{I}^\perp and consider the triangle

$$x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}M \rightarrow M \rightarrow y\tau_{\mathcal{Y}}M \rightarrow x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}M[1].$$

Since both M and $y\tau_{\mathcal{Y}}M$ belongs to \mathcal{I}^\perp , then $x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}M$ belongs to $\mathcal{X} \cap \mathcal{I}^\perp$. Since the compact objects generate \mathcal{D} , if $x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}M \neq 0$ there exists a non-zero morphism

$$f : P \rightarrow x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}M$$

for some compact object P . Thanks to the above proposition, we know that f admits a factorization $f = vu$ through a compact object with u in \mathcal{I} , and so $f = 0$. This contradiction implies $x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}M = 0$ and thus $M \in \mathcal{Y}$. \checkmark

Remark. When \mathcal{D} is the derived category of a small dg category, the idempotency of the ideals of the above theorem reflects the ‘derived idempotency’ of the bimodule appearing in the characterization of homological epimorphisms (*cf.* Lemma 4).

As a consequence of Theorems 6.2 and 6.4.2 we get our announced weak version of the generalized smashing conjecture:

Corollary. *Every smashing subcategory of a compactly generated triangulated category satisfies the principle of infinite dévissage with respect to a set of Milnor colimits of compact objects.*

6.4.3. *Algebraic case.* For compactly generated algebraic triangulated categories we get an alternative proof of H. Krause’s bijection as stated in Theorem 6.4.1.

Corollary. *Let \mathcal{D} be a compactly generated algebraic triangulated category. Then*

$$(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) \mapsto \text{Mor}(\mathcal{D}^c \mathcal{A})^{\mathcal{Y}}$$

and

$$\mathcal{I} \mapsto (\perp(\mathcal{I}^\perp), \mathcal{I}^\perp, (\mathcal{I}^\perp)^\perp)$$

define a bijection between the set of all the TTF triples on \mathcal{D} and the set of all saturated idempotent two-sided ideals \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{D}^c such that $\mathcal{I}[1] = \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. It is easy to check that every closed ideal of \mathcal{D}^c is saturated. Therefore, thanks to Theorem 6.4.2 we just have to prove that, in this case, every saturated idempotent two-sided ideal \mathcal{I} of \mathcal{D}^c such that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}[1]$ is closed. For this, let \mathcal{I} be a saturated idempotent two-sided ideal of \mathcal{D}^c with $\mathcal{I}[1] = \mathcal{I}$. Consider the TTF triple $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Z}) := (\perp(\mathcal{I}^\perp), \mathcal{I}^\perp, (\mathcal{I}^\perp)^\perp)$ associated to \mathcal{I} in Theorem 6.2, and let \mathcal{P} be a ‘set’ of Milnor colimits of sequences of morphisms of \mathcal{I} as in the proof

of that theorem. In particular, \mathcal{P} is closed under shifts and $\text{Tria}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{X}$. Put $\mathcal{I}' := \text{Mor}(\mathcal{D}^c)^{\mathcal{Y}}$. Of course, $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{I}'$. The aim is to prove the converse inclusion, which would imply that \mathcal{I} is closed. Let $f : Q' \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism of \mathcal{I}' and consider the triangle

$$x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q \xrightarrow{\delta} Q \xrightarrow{\eta} y\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}Q \rightarrow x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q[1].$$

Since $\eta f = 0$, then f factors through δ via the following dotted arrow:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Q' & & \\ & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow f & \searrow 0 & \\ x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Q & \xrightarrow{\eta} & y\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}Q \longrightarrow x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q[1] \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.3 of [23] says that we can assume that \mathcal{D} is the derived category \mathcal{DA} of a small dg category \mathcal{A} . Also, Lemma 6.3 allows us to assume that Q' belongs to the set \mathcal{S} described in subsection 6.3. Thanks to Theorem 3.2 we know that $x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q$ can be taken to be, in the category of dg \mathcal{A} -modules, the direct limit of a certain λ -sequence $X : \lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{CA}$, *i.e.* a colimit-preserving functor X starting in an ordinal λ (*cf.* [18, Definition 2.1.1]), such that:

- $X_0 = 0$,
- for all $\alpha < \lambda$, the morphism $X_\alpha \rightarrow X_{\alpha+1}$ is an inflation with cokernel in \mathcal{P} .

Then Lemma 6.3 implies that f factors through a certain X_α :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Q' & & \\ & \swarrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow f & \searrow 0 & \\ X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} & x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q \xrightarrow{\delta} & Q & \xrightarrow{\eta} & y\tau^{\mathcal{Y}}Q \longrightarrow x\tau_{\mathcal{X}}Q[1] \end{array}$$

Let us prove, by transfinite induction on α , that if a morphism of \mathcal{I}' factors through some X_α then it belongs to \mathcal{I} .

First step: For $\alpha = 0$ it is clear since $X_0 = 0$.

Second step: Assume that every morphism of \mathcal{I}' factoring through X_α belongs to \mathcal{I} . Let f be a morphism of \mathcal{I}' factoring through $X_{\alpha+1}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q' & \xrightarrow{f} & Q \\ & \searrow u & \nearrow v \\ & & X_{\alpha+1} \end{array}$$

In \mathcal{D} we have a triangle

$$X_\alpha \xrightarrow{a} X_{\alpha+1} \xrightarrow{b} P \xrightarrow{\gamma} X_\alpha[1]$$

with $P \in \mathcal{P}$, *i.e.* P is the Milnor colimit of a sequence

$$P_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} P_2 \rightarrow \dots$$

of morphisms of \mathcal{I} . By using compactness of Q' we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & Q' & \xrightarrow{f} & Q & & \\
 & & \downarrow u & \nearrow v & & & \\
 w & \curvearrowright & X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{a} & X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{b} & P \xrightarrow{\gamma} X_\alpha[1] \\
 & & & & \nearrow \pi_t & & \uparrow \pi_{t+1} \\
 & & P_t & \xrightarrow{g_t} & P_{t+1} & &
 \end{array}$$

where π_n is the n th component of the morphism π appearing in the definition of Milnor colimit. Consider the following commutative diagram in which the rows are triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{a_t} & M_t & \xrightarrow{b_t} & P_t & \xrightarrow{\gamma\pi_t} & X_\alpha[1] \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow g_t & & \parallel \\
 X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{a_{t+1}} & M_{t+1} & \xrightarrow{b_{t+1}} & P_{t+1} & \xrightarrow{\gamma\pi_{t+1}} & X_\alpha[1] \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \pi_{t+1} & & \parallel \\
 X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{a} & X_{\alpha+1} & \xrightarrow{b} & P & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & X_\alpha[1]
 \end{array}$$

Since $\gamma\pi_t w = \gamma b u = 0$, then $w = b_t w'$ for some morphism $w' : Q' \rightarrow M_t$. Therefore, $b u = \pi_t w = \pi_t b_t w' = b \varphi \psi w'$, that is to say, $b(u - \varphi \psi w') = 0$ and so $u - \varphi \psi w' = a \xi = \varphi \psi a_t \xi$ for a certain morphism $\xi : Q' \rightarrow X_\alpha$. Hence, $u = \varphi \psi(w' + a_t \xi)$. Put $u' := \psi(w' + a_t \xi)$. We get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Q' & \xrightarrow{f} & Q \\
 \downarrow u' & \nearrow v\varphi & \\
 X_\alpha & \xrightarrow{a_{t+1}} & M_{t+1} \xrightarrow{b_{t+1}} P_{t+1} \xrightarrow{\gamma\pi_{t+1}} X_\alpha[1]
 \end{array}$$

Notice that $b_{t+1} u' = b_{t+1} \psi w' + b_{t+1} \psi a_t \xi = b_{t+1} \psi w' = g_t b_t w'$ belongs to \mathcal{I} since so does g_t . Apply the octahedron axiom

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 Q' & \xrightarrow{u'} & M_{t+1} & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & Q'[1] \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow b_{t+1} & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 Q' & \longrightarrow & P_{t+1} & \longrightarrow & N[1] & \xrightarrow{c[1]} & Q'[1] \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow n[1] & & \downarrow u'[1] \\
 & & X_\alpha[1] & \xrightarrow{=} & X_\alpha[1] & \xrightarrow{-a_{t+1}[1]} & M_{t+1}[1] \\
 & & \downarrow -a_{t+1}[1] & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & M_{t+1}[1] & \longrightarrow & L[1] & &
 \end{array}$$

and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N & \xrightarrow{-c} & Q' & \xrightarrow{b_{t+1}u'} & P_{t+1} & \longrightarrow & N[1] \\ & & \downarrow f & & & & \\ & & Q & & & & \end{array}$$

The morphism $-fc = -v\varphi u'c = v\varphi a_{t+1}n$ belongs to \mathcal{I}' (since so does f) and factors through X_α . The hypothesis of induction implies that $-fc$ belongs to \mathcal{I} . Since $b_{t+1}u'$ also belongs to \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I} is saturated, then f belongs to \mathcal{I} .

Third step: Assume α is a limit ordinal and that every morphism of \mathcal{I}' factoring through X_β with $\beta < \alpha$ belongs to \mathcal{I} . Then we have $X_\alpha = \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$ and Lemma 6.3 ensures that we have a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Q' \\ & \swarrow \cdots & \downarrow f \\ X_\beta & \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \varinjlim_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta & \longrightarrow Q \end{array}$$

The hypothesis of induction implies that f belongs to \mathcal{I} . ✓

REFERENCES

- [1] Lidia Angeleri Hügel and Javier Sánchez, *Tilting modules arising from ring epimorphisms*, preprint September 2007.
- [2] Alexander A. Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein, and Pierre Deligne, *Analyse et topologie sur les espaces singuliers*, Astérisque **100**, Soc. Math. France (1982).
- [3] Apostolos Beligiannis, *Relative homological algebra and purity in triangulated categories*, J. Algebra **227** (2000), 268–361.
- [4] Apostolos Beligiannis and Idun Reiten, *Homological and Homotopical Aspects of Torsion Theories*, preprint (2000).
- [5] Marcel Bökstedt and Amnon Neeman, *Homotopy limits in triangulated categories*, Compositio Mathematica, **89** (1993), 209–234.
- [6] A. K. Bousfield, *The localization of spectra with respect to homology*, Topology, **18** (1979), 257–281.
- [7] Kristian Brüning, *Subcategories of Triangulated Categories and the Smashing Conjecture*, Ph. D. Thesis, Universität Paderborn, May 2007.
- [8] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Amnon Neeman, *Additivity for derivator K-theory*, preprint (2005) available at Denis-Charles Cisinski’s homepage.
- [9] P. M. Cohn, *Free rings and their relations*. Academic Press (1971).
- [10] S. E. Dickson, *A torsion theory for abelian categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 223–235.
- [11] W. G. Dwyer and J. P. C. Greenlees, *Complete modules and torsion modules*, Amer. J. Math. **129** (2002), 199–220.
- [12] Pierre Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [13] Werner Geigle and Helmut Lenzing, *Perpendicular Categories with Applications to Representations and Sheaves*, Journal of Algebra **144** (1991), 273–343.
- [14] S. I. Gelfand and Yu. I. Manin, *Methods of homological algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, Translated from the 1988 Russian original.
- [15] Dieter Happel, *On the derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra*, Comment. Math. Helv. **62** (1987), no. 3, 339–389.
- [16] Andreas Heider, *Two results from Morita theory of stable model categories*, arXiv:math.AT/0707.0707v1.
- [17] Alex Heller, *The loop-space functor in homological algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **96** (1960), 328–394.

- [18] Mark Hovey, *Model Categories*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, **63**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [19] Birgit Huber, *Realisability and Localisation*, Ph. D. Thesis, Universität Paderborn, July 2007.
- [20] J. P. Jans, *Some aspects of torsion*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 1249–1259.
- [21] Peter Jørgensen, *Recollement for Differential Graded Algebras*, Journal of Algebra **299** (2006), 589–601.
- [22] Bernhard Keller, *A remark of the generalized smashing conjecture*, Manuscripta Mathematica **84** (1994), 193–198.
- [23] Bernhard Keller, *Deriving DG categories*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. **27** (1994), 63–102.
- [24] Bernhard Keller, *Derived categories and their uses*, Chapter of the Handbook of Algebra, Vol. 1, edited by M. Hazewinkel, Elsevier 1996.
- [25] Bernhard Keller, *On the construction of triangle equivalences*, in: *Derived equivalences for group rings*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1685**, 1998, 155–176.
- [26] Bernhard Keller, *On the cyclic homology of exact categories*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **136** (1999), 1–56.
- [27] Bernhard Keller, *On differential graded categories*, in *International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II*, pages 151–190. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.
- [28] Bernhard Keller and Dieter Vossieck, *Sous les catégories dérivées*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **305** (1987), no. 6, 225–228.
- [29] B. Keller and D. Vossieck, *Aisles in derived categories*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. **40** (1988), 239–253.
- [30] Steffen König, *Tilting complexes, perpendicular categories and recollements of derived module categories of rings*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **73** (1991), 211–232.
- [31] Henning Krause, *Smashing subcategories and the telescope conjecture - an algebraic approach*, Invent. Math., **139** (2000), 99–133.
- [32] Henning Krause, *A Brown representability theorem via coherent functors*, Topology **41** (2002), 853–861.
- [33] Henning Krause, *Cohomological quotients and smashing localizations*, Amer. J. Math., **127** (2005), 1191–1246.
- [34] Georges Maltsiniotis, *Introduction à la théorie des dérivateurs (d’après Grothendieck)*, preprint (2001) available at Georges Maltsiniotis’ homepage.
- [35] Georges Maltsiniotis, *La K-théorie d’un dérivateur triangulé (suivi d’un appendice par B. Keller)*, “Categories in Algebra, Geometry and Mathematical Physics”, Contemp. Math. **431** (2007), 341–368.
- [36] J. Milnor, *On Axiomatic Homology Theory*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 337–341.
- [37] Amnon Neeman, *The connection between the K-theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bousfield and Ravenel*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **25** (1992), 547–566.
- [38] Amnon Neeman, *Triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics studies. Princeton University Press, **148**, (2001).
- [39] Amnon Neeman and Andrew Ranicki, *Noncommutative localization and chain complexes I. Algebraic K and L-theory*. Preprint (2001).
- [40] Pedro Nicolás, *The bar derived category of a curved dg algebra*, arXiv:math.RT/0702449v1.
- [41] Pedro Nicolás, *On torsion torsionfree triples*, Ph. D. Thesis, Universidad de Murcia, 2007.
- [42] David Pauksztello, *Homological epimorphisms of differential graded algebras*, arXiv:math.RT/0705.0118v1.
- [43] Marco Porta, *The Popescu-Gabriel theorem for triangulated categories*, arXiv: math.KT/0706.4458v1.
- [44] D. C. Ravenel, *Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories*, Amer. J. of Math. **105** (1984), 351–414.
- [45] A. H. Schofield, *Representations of rings over skew fields*. LMS Lecture Note Series 92, Cambridge (1985).
- [46] María José Souto Salorio, *On the cogeneration of t-structures*, Arch. Math. **83** (2004), 113–122.
- [47] Bo Stenström, *Rings of quotients*, Grundlehren der math. Wissensch., **217**, Springer-Verlag, 1975.

- [48] Gonçalo Tabuada, *Une structure de catégorie de modèles de Quillen sur la catégorie des dg-catégories*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **340** (2005), no. 1, 15–19.
- [49] Bertrand Toën, *The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory*, Invent. Math. **167** (2007), no. 3, 615–667.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, APTDO. 4021, 30100, ESPINARDO, MURCIA, ESPAÑA

E-mail address: `pedronz@um.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE MURCIA, APTDO. 4021, 30100, ESPINARDO, MURCIA, ESPAÑA

E-mail address: `msaorinc@um.es`