

ON UNIVERSAL BINARY HERMITIAN FORMS

SCOTT DUKE KOMINERS

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of representing integers by quadratic forms dates back to the time of Fermat, whose *Two Squares Theorem* solved the question of which primes could be represented by the form $x^2 + y^2$ (see [6, p. 219]). This theorem was later generalized by Lagrange, who showed in his *Four Squares Theorem* [11] that every positive-integer can be written as a sum of four squares of integers.

Lagrange's theorem has led to the modern study of *universal forms*, those forms which represent all positive integers. In the first half of the twentieth century, Ramanujan [13] identified the universal positive-definite classically-integral quaternary diagonal quadratic forms, up to equivalence. Maass [12] and Chan, Kim, and Raghavan [3] gave analogous classification results leading to the full classification of the positive-definite classically integral ternary quadratic forms which are universal over real quadratic fields.

Motivated by the work on universal quadratic forms over real fields, Earnest and Khosravani [5] sought an analogous classification of universal binary Hermitian forms over imaginary quadratic fields. Recently, Iwabuchi [7] and Kim and Park [10] finished Earnest and Khosravani's program, completing the list of universal binary Hermitian forms.

A different direction of recent research has focused on the search for *universality criteria*, simple tests which characterize the universality of positive-definite quadratic forms. The earliest-discovered result in this vein is Conway and Schneeberger's surprising *15-Theorem* (see [4, 1]):

15-Theorem. *A positive-definite classically integral quadratic form is universal if and only if it represents the nine "critical numbers"*

$$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 14, 15\}.$$

More recently, Bhargava and Hanke (see [2]) showed an analogous criterion for the universality of positive-definite nonclassically integral quadratic forms:

290-Theorem. *A positive-definite nonclassically integral quadratic form is universal if and only if it represents the numbers*

$$S_{290} := \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 17, 19, 21, 22, 23, 26, \\ 29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 37, 42, 58, 93, 110, 145, 203, 290\}.$$

Date: November 21, 2018.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11E39 (11E20, 11E41, 11E25).

Key words and phrases. universal form, universality criterion, Hermitian form.

While the criterion theorems reduce testing a form's universality to a simple computation, they have rarely been used in practice. The reason for this somewhat curious fact is that the proofs of both the 15- and 290-Theorems rely on independent identification of many universal forms of low rank, called the *universal escalators*.

The results on Hermitian forms, however, give us a chance to greatly simplify prior work through an application of the 290-Theorem. Specifically, we apply the 290-Theorem to reduce the most difficult universality verifications in Earnest and Khosravani's [5] and Iwabuchi's [7] papers to simple, finite computations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We let E be an imaginary quadratic field over \mathbb{Q} and let $m > 0$ be a squarefree integer for which $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$. We denote the \mathbb{Q} -involution of E by $\bar{}$ and the ring of integers of E by \mathcal{O}_E .

We let V/E be an n -dimensional Hermitian space over E with nondegenerate Hermitian form H . As shown by Jacobson [8], we may consider (V, H) as a $2n$ -dimensional quadratic space (\tilde{V}, B) with the bilinear form B defined by the trace map

$$B(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}}(H(x, y)).$$

An \mathcal{O}_E -lattice L is a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_E -module on the Hermitian space (V, H) . We consider only positive-definite integral \mathcal{O}_E -lattices L , that is, those for which $H(x, y) \in \mathcal{O}_E$ for all $x, y \in L$ and $H(x, x) > 0$ for all $L \ni x \neq 0$. If an \mathcal{O}_E -lattice L is of the form $L = L_1 \oplus L_2$ for sublattices L_1, L_2 of L and $\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle = 0$ then we write $L \cong L_1 \perp L_2$.

When E has class number 1, the ring \mathcal{O}_E is a principal ideal domain whereby every \mathcal{O}_E -lattice L is free. Hence, in this case we may think of the Hermitian form H acting on L as a function $f : \mathcal{O}_E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = H \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i v_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n H(v_i, v_j) x_i \bar{x}_j$$

for some suitable basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of L . If the basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is orthogonal, we write $L \cong \langle H(v_1), \dots, H(v_n) \rangle$. (For example, the form $x\bar{x} + 2y\bar{y}$ is associated to the lattice $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$.)

Similarly, we may associate a quadratic lattice \tilde{L} with every Hermitian \mathcal{O}_E -lattice L . The ring \mathcal{O}_E has a basis $\{1, \omega_m\}$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module, where

$$\omega_m = \begin{cases} \frac{1+\sqrt{-m}}{2} & m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \sqrt{-m} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Then, $\tilde{f}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_n) = f(x_1 + \omega_m y_1, \dots, x_n + \omega_m y_n)$ is a quadratic form in $2n$ variables corresponding to the lattice \tilde{L} . From this construction, it is clear that the Hermitian form f is universal if and only if the quadratic form \tilde{f} is. We write \sim to denote the correspondence between a Hermitian lattice and its associated quadratic form.

3. CLASSIFICATION OF UNIVERSAL HERMITIAN FORMS

Earnest and Khosravani [5], Iwabuchi [7], and Kim and Park [10] identified all potentially universal Hermitian forms over imaginary quadratic fields E . This

“screening process” is the more straightforward part of the classification, relying on a uniform computational method (see [5]).

The universality of the candidates identified was then shown by a variety of methods. Indeed, a total of eight different approaches were used. Six of these methods were “*ad hoc*” arguments, each an intricate method developed to prove the universality of an individual Hermitian form.

We give a unified proof of the universalities of the forms in the classification, relying primarily on Ramanujan’s list of universal forms [13] and the 290-Theorem [2]. The universalities of twenty-four of the twenty-five universal binary Hermitian forms follow directly from our methods, whence we require only one *ad hoc* argument in our proof of the classification.

Main Theorem. *Up to equivalence, the integral positive-definite universal binary Hermitian lattices in imaginary quadratic fields are exactly the lattices in (1):*

$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m})$	<i>universal binary lattices</i>
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$	$\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle,$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$	$\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 1, 4 \rangle, \langle 1, 5 \rangle,$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$	$\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle,$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$	$\langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 + \omega_5 \\ -1 + \bar{\omega}_5 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6})$	$\langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \omega_6 \\ \bar{\omega}_6 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$	$\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle,$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-10})$	$\langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \omega_{10} \\ \bar{\omega}_{10} & 5 \end{pmatrix},$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$	$\langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 2 \rangle,$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15})$	$\langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \omega_{15} \\ \bar{\omega}_{15} & 2 \end{pmatrix},$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19})$	$\langle 1, 2 \rangle,$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23})$	$\langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \omega_{23} \\ \bar{\omega}_{23} & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 + \omega_{23} \\ -1 + \bar{\omega}_{23} & 3 \end{pmatrix},$
$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-31})$	$\langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & \omega_{31} \\ \bar{\omega}_{31} & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \langle 1 \rangle \perp \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 + \omega_{31} \\ -1 + \bar{\omega}_{31} & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$

Proof of the Main Theorem. Earnest and Khosravani [5], Iwabuchi [7], and Kim and Park [10] showed that no binary Hermitian forms not in the list (1) can be universal over an imaginary quadratic field E . Therefore, we must only show the universality of each of these candidate forms.

First, we identify the lattices in the list (1) which correspond to diagonal quaternary quadratic forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad & \langle 1, 1 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \sim w^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 1 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \sim w^2 + x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \sim w^2 + x^2 + 2y^2 + 2z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 2y^2 + 4z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) \sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 5y^2 + 10z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 3 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \sim w^2 + x^2 + 3y^2 + 3z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 3 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \sim w^2 + 3x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 4 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 4y^2 + 8z^2, \\
 & \langle 1, 5 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2}) \sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 5y^2 + 10z^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The universality of each of the forms on the right-hand side of (2) was shown by Ramanujan [13]. Thus, we have the universality of the Hermitian forms on the left-hand side of (2) immediately.

This leaves only eight other diagonal Hermitian lattices in (1),

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle 1, 1 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) &\sim w^2 + wx + x^2 + y^2 + yz + z^2, \\ \langle 1, 1 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}) &\sim w^2 + wx + 2x^2 + y^2 + yz + 2z^2, \\ \langle 1, 1 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}) &\sim w^2 + wx + 3x^2 + y^2 + yz + 3z^2, \\ \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}) &\sim w^2 + wx + x^2 + 2y^2 + 2yz + 2z^2, \\ \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}) &\sim w^2 + wx + 2x^2 + 2y^2 + 2yz + 4z^2, \\ \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11}) &\sim w^2 + wx + 3x^2 + 2y^2 + 2yz + 6z^2, \\ \langle 1, 2 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-19}) &\sim w^2 + wx + 5x^2 + 2y^2 + 2yz + 10z^2, \\ \langle 1, 3 \rangle \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7}) &\sim w^2 + wx + 2x^2 + 3y^2 + 3yz + 6z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Of the quadratic forms on the right-hand side of 3, only the last,

$$w^2 + wx + 2x^2 + 3y^2 + 3yz + 6z^2,$$

is an escalator lattice used in the proof of the 290-Theorem (see the table of quaternary escalators found in [2]). Thus, we may invoke the 290-Theorem to show the universality of the other seven quadratic forms in (3); the check that each of these forms represents all of S_{290} is an easy computation. It then follows directly that the first seven Hermitian forms in (3) are all universal.

As the universality of $w^2 + wx + 2x^2 + 3y^2 + 3yz + 6z^2$ is required in the proof of the 290-Theorem, we must still invoke an *ad hoc* argument to prove the universality of $\langle 1, 3 \rangle$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$. One such argument is given in [10].

Now, we turn to the non-diagonal Hermitian lattices in (1). These are the remaining eight lattices,

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & -1 + \omega_5 \\ -1 + \bar{\omega}_5 & 3 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 2xy + 3y^2 + 5z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \omega_6 \\ \bar{\omega}_6 & 3 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-6}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 3y^2 + 6z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \omega_{10} \\ \bar{\omega}_{10} & 5 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-10}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + 3y^2 + 10z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \omega_{15} \\ \bar{\omega}_{15} & 2 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-15}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + xy + 2y^2 + wz + 4z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \omega_{23} \\ \bar{\omega}_{23} & 3 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + xy + 3y^2 + wz + 6z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & -1 + \omega_{23} \\ -1 + \bar{\omega}_{23} & 3 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-23}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + xy + 3y^2 + wz + 6z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \omega_{31} \\ \bar{\omega}_{31} & 4 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-31}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + xy + 4y^2 + wz + 8z^2, \\ \langle 1 \rangle \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc} 2 & -1 + \omega_{31} \\ -1 + \bar{\omega}_{31} & 4 \end{array} \right) \text{ in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-31}) &\sim w^2 + 2x^2 + xy + 4y^2 + wz + 8z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, all of the diagonal quadratic forms in (4) are found in the list of universal forms obtained by Ramanujan [13]. Furthermore, none of the non-diagonal quadratic forms in (4) are escalator lattices, whence the universality of these forms follows directly from the 290-Theorem. It then follows immediately that the Hermitian forms in (4) are all universal. \square

4. REMARKS

Kim, Kim, and Park [9] have recently announced a criterion which completely characterizes the universality of Hermitian forms:

15-Theorem for Hermitian Lattices. *A positive-definite integral Hermitian form is universal if and only if it represents the ten integers*

$$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15\}.$$

Unfortunately, the proof of this result relies on our Main Theorem. Consequently, Kim, Kim, and Park [9]’s 15-Theorem for Hermitian Forms cannot be used to verify the universality of any of the forms in (1).

Kim, Kim, and Park [9] do note that the 290-Theorem can be used to simplify some of the arguments in their proof of the 15-Theorem for Hermitian Forms. Such simplifications would take the same form as those we have presented here to unify the classification of universal binary Hermitian forms.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bhargava, On the Conway-Schneeberger fifteen theorem, *Contemp. Math.* **272** (2000) 27–37.
- [2] M. Bhargava and J. Hanke, Universal quadratic forms and the 290-theorem, <http://www.math.duke.edu/~jonhanke/290/Universal-290.html>.
- [3] W. K. Chan, M.-H. Kim, and S. Raghavan, Ternary universal integral quadratic forms over real quadratic fields, *Japan J. Math.* **22** (1996) 263–273.
- [4] J. H. Conway, Universal quadratic forms and the fifteen theorem, *Contemp. Math.* **272** (2000) 23–26.
- [5] A. G. Earnest and A. Khosravani, Universal binary Hermitian forms, *Math. Comp.* **66** (1997) 1161–1168.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [7] H. Iwabuchi, Universal binary positive definite Hermitian lattices, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **30** (2000) 951–959.
- [8] N. Jacobson, A note on Hermitian forms, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **46** (1940) 264–268.
- [9] B.-M. Kim, J.-Y. Kim, and P.-S. Park, The fifteen theorem for universal Hermitian lattices over imaginary quadratic fields, [arXiv:0710.4991](https://arxiv.org/abs/0710.4991).
- [10] J.-H. Kim, P.-S. Park, A few uncaught universal Hermitian forms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007) 47–49.
- [11] J. L. Lagrange, Démonstration d’un théorème d’arithmétique *Oeuvres* **3** (1770) 189–201.
- [12] H. Maass. Über die Darstellung total positiver Zahlen des Körpers $\mathbf{R}(\sqrt{5})$ als Summe von drei Quadraten, *Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg* **14** (1941) 185–191.
- [13] S. Ramanujan, On the expression of a number in the form $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + du^2$, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **19** (1917) 11–21.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY
 C/O 8520 BURNING TREE ROAD, BETHESDA, MD 20817
 E-mail address: kominers@fas.harvard.edu