

Minimal extra dimensional cosmology from hidden sector gauge theory

Jinn-Ouk Gong^{1,2*} and Seong Chan Park^{3†}

¹ *Harish-Chandra Research Institute
Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad 211 019, India*

² *Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison
1150 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706-1390, USA[‡]*

³ *Frontier Physics Research Division
School of Physics and Astronomy, Seoul National University
Seoul 151-747, Republic of Korea*

December 18, 2018

Abstract

We build a minimal cosmological model in higher dimensions based on a hidden sector gauge theory, which is less constrained by the low energy experiments. In this model we introduce the minimal non-Abelian gauge symmetry $SU(2)$ in the fifth spatial dimension. The inflaton field which is identified as a part of higher dimensional gauge boson is protected by the gauge symmetry. Thus the inflaton potential is fully radiatively generated by the gauge self interaction alone *without* introducing any ad hoc field. and is flat enough to drive slow-roll inflation. We find that in the regime of perturbative gauge interaction and large compactification radius the anticipated magnitude of the curvature perturbation power spectrum and the value of the corresponding spectral index are in perfect agreement with the recent observations in a wide range of the model parameters. The model also predicts a large fraction of the gravitational waves, negligible non-Gaussianity, and high enough reheating temperature.

*jgong@hep.wisc.edu

†spark@phya.snu.ac.kr

‡Present address

1 Introduction

It is now widely accepted that an early period of accelerated expansion of the universe, or inflation [1], can resolve many cosmological problems such as horizon problem and can provide the desired initial conditions for the subsequent hot big bang evolution of the observed universe [2]. Many observational facts such as the flatness of the universe and the isotropy of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) are natural consequences of inflation, and hence they strongly support the existence of such a period of acceleration in the very early universe. In particle physics point of view, inflation occurs when one or more scalar fields, the inflaton fields, dominate the energy density of the universe with their potential being overwhelming [3]. Under such a condition, dubbed slow-roll condition, the curvature perturbation is produced which is nearly scale invariant and is heavily constrained by the measurements of the anisotropies of the CMB and the observations of the large scale structure [4]. The slow-roll condition says that the inflaton potential should be very flat, i.e. the effective mass of the inflaton should be very small compared with the inflationary Hubble parameter. This is, however, quite difficult to be achieved: for example, in supergravity, any generic scalar field is expected to have an effective mass of $\mathcal{O}(H)$ [5], which completely spoils the desired slow-roll condition. It is thus natural to consider some symmetry principle which protects the small inflaton mass from large radiative corrections or supergravity effects.

Shift symmetry, under which the field is invariant under the transformation

$$\phi \rightarrow \phi + a, \quad (1)$$

with a being an arbitrary constant, is one of such symmetries and the corresponding fields remain completely massless, i.e. their potential is exactly flat as long as shift symmetry is unbroken. When the symmetry is explicitly broken, they become pseudo Nambu-Goldstone bosons (pNGBs) and the potential acquires a tilt depending on the symmetry breaking scale f and is of the form

$$V(\phi) = \Lambda^4 \left[1 \pm \cos \left(\frac{\phi}{f} \right) \right]. \quad (2)$$

The model of natural inflation [6] makes use of such a pNGB with large f , which renders the potential very flat. This largeness, however, requires $f \gg M_{\text{Pl}} \equiv G^{-1/2} \sim 10^{19} \text{GeV}$ and hence the valid region of successful natural inflation lies in the regime where we completely lose theoretical control. This has been regarded as a significant drawback of natural inflation.

An interesting idea to evade this problem was suggested in Ref. [7]. In this scenario, the inflaton field is essentially coming from a part of the fifth component of the gauge boson in five dimensional bulk A_5 , by which the gauge invariant Wilson line is defined as

$$e^{i\theta} \equiv \exp \left[ig \oint A_5 dy \right], \quad (3)$$

where g is the gauge coupling constant. By the one-loop interactions with the charged particles, the potential of the canonically normalized field $\phi \equiv f\theta$ has basically the same form as Eq. (2) while f has extra dimensional nature. Hence the potential can be trusted even when f is larger than M_{Pl} in the perturbative regime of gauge interaction. This idea was adopted in Ref. [8] where an attempt to reconcile this model of inflation and the grand unified theory (GUT) in

the extra dimension. In Ref. [8], the GUT gauge group, $SU(5)$, is considered as the higher dimensional gauge theory. After the orbifold projection by S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 , the exact standard gauge symmetry $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ is left over as well as a massless scalar boson from the fifth component of the gauge boson. The one-loop effective potential along the steepest line is

$$V(\phi) = -\frac{9}{32\pi^6 R^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\phi/f) + \cos(2n\phi/f)}{n^5}, \quad (4)$$

where $1/R$ is the Kaluza-Klein (KK) scale for the orbifold compactification. It is shown that inflation can take place when the parameter f is larger than M_{Pl} , or the four dimensional effective gauge coupling, $g_{4\text{D}}^2 = g^2/(2\pi R)$, is well within the perturbative regime. During inflation, the inflaton field ϕ rolls down to the global minimum of the potential where the standard model gauge symmetry is fully recovered and the standard Higgs phase starts. Since the inflaton field is charged by $(3, 2)$ of the standard color and weak gauge theory, ϕ can easily decay to the standard model matter fields, i.e. reheating can be effective. This idea makes sense as an effective field theory and its predictions seem perfectly fine with the recent cosmological observations. However there comes a weak point of this setup: the difficulty is that the gauge coupling constant is severely constrained by the low energy observational data[§] but it is not clear whether the coupling constant could run very fast to be a small value over the threshold of the KK scale. Actually it seems beyond the scope of current knowledge of higher dimensional gauge theory and we could not make any definite conclusion about this issue.

In this paper, we try to resolve this obvious difficulty and build a concrete cosmological model using a hidden sector gauge theory, which is less constrained by low energy experiments. The minimal non-Abelian gauge symmetry $SU(2)$ is introduced to induce a non-trivial one-loop effective potential which is solely determined by the gauge interaction *without* invoking any other exotic field in the hidden sector. Orbifold compactification projects out the unnecessary part in A_5 and only a single complex scalar field is left. No classical potential for the field is allowed thanks to the gauge symmetry in five dimensional space, and only a slight tilt is induced by radiative corrections. The structure of the paper is as follows. In the next section, we give the detail of the higher dimensional gauge theory and outline the subsequent cosmological scenario. Section 3 is devoted to the cosmological evolution of the model and we analytically calculate the observable quantities produced during inflation and study their relations to the parameters of the model. We also address the issue of reheating and estimate the reheating temperature T_{RH} . In Section 4 we then conclude.

2 The model

Because we do not wish to introduce any exotic particle in the hidden sector, non-Abelian gauge symmetry is introduced. We build the minimal model by incorporating a non-Abelian $SU(2)$ symmetry in the hidden sector. The spacetime is five dimensional where the fifth dimension is compactified by an orbifold S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 [¶]. The $SU(2)$ gauge theory on this orbifold is constructed by

[§]SCP thanks Yasunori Nomura for pointing out this possible difficulty [9].

[¶]If $1/R$ is $\mathcal{O}(\text{TeV})$, the theory could be relevant for the Higgs mechanism through the Hosotani mechanism [10, 11].

specifying two independent parity conditions at the two fixed points, $y = 0$ and $y = \pi R$ where R is the compactification radius, as

$$A_\mu(x, -y) = P_0 A_\mu(x, y) P_0, \quad (5)$$

$$A_5(x, -y) = -P_0 A_5(x, y) P_0, \quad (6)$$

$$A_\mu(x, \pi R - y) = P_1 A_\mu(x, \pi R + y) P_1, \quad (7)$$

$$A_5(x, \pi R - y) = -P_1 A_5(x, \pi R + y) P_1, \quad (8)$$

where P_0 and P_1 are 2×2 matrices satisfying $P_0^2 = P_1^2 = 1$. The translational transformation, $y \rightarrow y + 2\pi R$, is generated by successive operation of the parity operators, $P_1 P_0$. Taking $P_0 = P_1 = \text{diag}(1, -1)$, at the classical level the gauge symmetry $SU(2)$ is reduced to $U(1)$ by the orbifold projection. Here we explicitly write down the parity assignment with P_0 and P_1 as

$$A_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} (++) & (--) \\ (--) & (++) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

$$A_5 = \begin{pmatrix} (--) & (++) \\ (++) & (--) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

thus the zero modes are A_μ^3 and $A_5^{1,2}$ which correspond to the $H = U(1)$ gauge boson, i.e. mirror photon and the scalar field, respectively. The scalar field $A_5^{1,2}$ may develop a vacuum expectation value which could be put into the form of $A_5^a \sim (\phi, 0, 0)$ due to the remaining $U(1)$ global symmetry. Taking into account the effects from the gauge, ghost and the scalar self interaction, the one-loop effective potential for the field ϕ is induced as

$$V_{1\text{-loop}}(\phi) = -\frac{9}{(2\pi)^6 R^4} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(n\phi/f_{\text{eff}})}{n^5}, \quad (11)$$

where the effective decay constant

$$f_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R g}} = \frac{1}{2\pi g_{4D} R} \quad (12)$$

is introduced for canonical normalization of ϕ [10] (also see [12]). Here we can add a cosmological constant

$$\frac{9\zeta(5)}{(2\pi)^6 R^4}, \quad (13)$$

where $\zeta(5) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-5}$, so that $V_{1\text{-loop}}(0) = 0$ to solve the cosmological constant problem, which we do not attempt to address. In principle, we can further introduce additional matter field(s) with charge q in the hidden sector but for the simplicity and predictability we introduce none. In this sense, our model, which may be responsible for the cosmological inflation, is the minimal model of hidden sector gauge theory on the simplest orbifold in five dimensions. After an inflationary epoch achieved while ϕ rolls down the effective potential given by Eq. (11), it oscillates at the minimum and decays to reheat the universe. After then the standard hot big bang evolution follows. In the next section we calculate the observable quantities produced during inflation and confirm the relevance of our model.

3 Cosmological evolution

In this section, we study the detail of the cosmological evolution of the model described in the previous section. For analytic simplicity, we take as the leading approximation only the first term of the sum in Eq. (11), i.e.

$$V(\phi) \approx \frac{9}{(2\pi)^6 R^4} \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f_{\text{eff}}}\right) \right]. \quad (14)$$

As we will see in Table 1, this approximation works fairly good. Then the potential is identical to that of natural inflation, Eq. (2), and the analytic calculations are straightforward especially when ϕ is close to the top [13]. Here we just give the results of the observable quantities: the power spectrum of the curvature perturbation $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$, the corresponding spectral index $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, the tensor-to-scalar ratio r and the non-linear parameter f_{NL} [14]. Under the slow-roll approximation, they are given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{8V}{3\epsilon M_{\text{Pl}}^4}}, \quad (15)$$

$$n_{\mathcal{R}} = 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta, \quad (16)$$

$$r = 16\epsilon, \quad (17)$$

$$-\frac{3}{5}f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{1}{2}[(3 + f_k)\epsilon - \eta], \quad (18)$$

respectively. Here, ϵ and η are the usual slow-roll parameters and are defined by

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{16\pi} \left(\frac{V'}{V} \right)^2, \quad (19)$$

$$\eta \equiv \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{8\pi} \frac{V''}{V}, \quad (20)$$

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to ϕ , and f_k is a constant in the range of $0 \leq f_k \leq 5/6$, depending on the momenta [15]. Note that the running of $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, which in the slow-roll approximation is written as

$$\frac{dn_{\mathcal{R}}}{d \log k} = -16\epsilon\eta + 24\epsilon^2 + 2\xi^2, \quad (21)$$

with

$$\xi^2 \equiv \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^4}{64\pi^2} \frac{V'V'''}{V^2} \quad (22)$$

being another slow-roll parameter, is second order in the slow-roll approximation and is negligibly small compared with the other quantities^{||}, so here we do not compute it while the calculation is straightforward. Also, the running of r [17],

$$\frac{d \log r}{d \log k} = 2(2\epsilon - \eta), \quad (23)$$

^{||}In more general classes of inflation models [16], we may obtain large enough $dn_{\mathcal{R}}/d \log k$.

is another first order quantity and thus could be observable, but we can obtain the same result by combining Eqs. (16) and (17) although it can serve as a consistency check. Writing Eqs. (15), (16), (17) and (18) in terms of f_{eff} and R as Eq. (11), we can obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} = \frac{8\sqrt{3}}{(2\pi)^{5/2}} \frac{f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}}}{(RM_{\text{Pl}})^2} \left\{ 2 - \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (24)$$

$$n_{\mathcal{R}} = 1 - \frac{1}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \left\{ 2 + \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ 2 - \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\}^{-1}, \quad (25)$$

$$r = \frac{1}{\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \\ \times \left\{ 2 - \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\}^{-1}, \quad (26)$$

$$-\frac{3}{5}f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{1}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1+f_k}{2} \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ 2 - \frac{32\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2}{16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1} \exp \left[\frac{-N}{8\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2} \right] \right\}^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

where

$$N \equiv \int H dt \quad (28)$$

is the number of e -folds. Using Eq. (12), we can write them in terms of $g_{4\text{D}}$ and R as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} = \frac{8\sqrt{3}}{(2\pi)^{7/2} g_{4\text{D}} (RM_{\text{Pl}})^3} \left\{ 2 - \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\}^{1/2}, \quad (29)$$

$$n_{\mathcal{R}} = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \left\{ 2 + \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ 2 - \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\}^{-1}, \quad (30)$$

$$r = \frac{32\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \\ \times \left\{ 2 - \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\}^{-1}, \quad (31)$$

$$-\frac{3}{5}f_{\text{NL}} = \frac{\pi}{4} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \left\{ 1 + \frac{1+f_k}{2} \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\} \\ \times \left\{ 2 - \frac{8}{\pi(g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 4} \exp \left[-N \frac{\pi}{2} (g_{4\text{D}}RM_{\text{Pl}})^2 \right] \right\}^{-1}. \quad (32)$$

In Fig. 1 we show $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}$ and $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ evaluated at $N = 60$ as functions of f_{eff} , R and $g_{4\text{D}}$. We also compare analytic estimates with numerical results in Table 1. As can be seen from the table, Eq. (14) is indeed a good enough approximation.




Figure 1: The plot of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}$ in the (left) $f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} - RM_{\text{Pl}}$ and (right) $g_{4\text{D}} - RM_{\text{Pl}}$ planes evaluated at $N = 60$. The shaded regions denote $10^{-5} \lesssim \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2} \lesssim 10^{-4}$, and the solid lines correspond to $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.95$. Note that while for a large region $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ is saturated at $n_{\mathcal{R}} \approx 0.967$ (see Eq. (33)), only a limited region is allowed for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}$.

From Eqs. (25), (26) and (27), we can see that $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, r and f_{NL} are dependent only on the effective decay constant f_{eff} . This leads to the following simple expressions in the limit $f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} \rightarrow \infty$, which is favored for long enough inflation**, as

$$n_{\mathcal{R}} \approx 1 - \frac{4}{1 + 2N}, \quad (33)$$

$$r \approx \frac{16}{1 + 2N}, \quad (34)$$

$$-\frac{3}{5}f_{\text{NL}} \approx \frac{2 + f_k}{2(1 + 2N)}, \quad (35)$$

respectively. Thus we can see that in this limit, evaluated at a certain e -folds before the end of inflation, they have definite values independent of f_{eff} or R . This is not surprising: huge f_{eff} means that the total number of e -folds we can obtain is enormous, and the last 60 e -folds is only a final tiny fraction of the whole expansion. Therefore the physical properties at this moment become completely insensitive to the detail of the model, since already the inflationary dynamics is following the late time attractor. It is this reason why we obtain almost identical values of $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, r and f_{NL} in the limit $f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} \rightarrow \infty$. This also means that the shape of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is identical,

**In the limit $f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $g_{4\text{D}}M_{\text{Pl}} \ll 1/(2\pi R)$, the gravitation force, which scales as $(m^2/M_*^2)/r^{2+n}$ with M_* being the cutoff mass scale in $4 + n$ dimensions, becomes stronger than the gauge force between two KK particles, g^2/r^{2+n} . In this parameter regime, the gravitational effects cannot be neglected and the effective potential is apt to be modified: in this sense, the naive idea of extranatural inflation is as unnatural as that of natural inflation. See [18] for more detailed discussions.

| | | $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{1/2}$ | $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ | r |
|--|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| $\log_{10}(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}}) = 0.00$ | analytic | 4.96×10^{-5} | 0.952 | 0.032 |
| $\log_{10}(RM_{\text{Pl}}) = 2.04$ | numerical | 4.84×10^{-5} | 0.955 | 0.033 |
| $\log_{10}(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}}) = 0.50$ | analytic | 1.25×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.117 |
| $\log_{10}(RM_{\text{Pl}}) = 2.04$ | numerical | 1.33×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.112 |
| $\log_{10}(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}}) = 1.00$ | analytic | 3.94×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.131 |
| $\log_{10}(RM_{\text{Pl}}) = 1.54$ | numerical | 4.25×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.130 |
| $\log_{10}(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}}) = 1.50$ | analytic | 1.25×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.131 |
| $\log_{10}(RM_{\text{Pl}}) = 1.54$ | numerical | 1.33×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.112 |
| $\log_{10}(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}}) = 2.00$ | analytic | 3.94×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.132 |
| $\log_{10}(RM_{\text{Pl}}) = 1.04$ | numerical | 4.26×10^{-5} | 0.967 | 0.134 |

Table 1: From the top row, R is chosen to make the inflationary energy scale $\Lambda = 10^{-3}M_{\text{Pl}}$, $10^{-5/2}M_{\text{Pl}}$ and $10^{-2}M_{\text{Pl}}$. Also note that r is fairly close to the observational sensitivity of near future experiments. As can be seen from this table, the leading approximation of taking $n = 1$ piece of Eq. (11) is reasonably good.

meanwhile only its overall amplitude does depend on the inflationary energy scale^{††}. We show the $r - n_{\mathcal{R}}$ plot in Fig. 2. For the allowed range of $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, r is large enough to be detected by the planned cosmological experiments and therefore may serve as the first observational test. Also note that f_{NL} is always much smaller than 1 and hence non-Gaussian signature is absolutely not observable at all.

After inflation ends, the inflaton starts oscillation at the global minimum. Although we are assuming no direct coupling between the hidden and the visible sectors, they can communicate gravitationally and the energy stored in the inflaton field can be converted to the light relativistic particles of the standard model to reheat the universe. Let us estimate the reheating temperature T_{RH} via the gravitational interaction in terms of the parameters of our model. With the interaction rate

$$\Gamma_{\text{grav}} \sim \frac{m_{\phi}^3}{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}, \quad (36)$$

using

$$m_{\phi}^2 \sim V'' \sim \frac{1}{f_{\text{eff}}^2 R^4} = \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 (RM_{\text{Pl}})^4}, \quad (37)$$

we can write Eq. (36) as

$$\Gamma_{\text{grav}} \sim \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^3 (RM_{\text{Pl}})^6}. \quad (38)$$

From the fact that inflation ends when $\dot{\phi}_{\text{end}}^2 = V_{\text{end}}$, we can find the Hubble parameter at the

^{††}See, e.g. Fig. 3 of Ref. [19].




Figure 2: The prediction of the model in the $r - n_{\mathcal{R}}$ plane. Both r and $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ are evaluated at 60 e -folds before the end of inflation. Note that as shown in Eqs. (33) and (34), they are saturated as $f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} \rightarrow \infty$, which corresponds to the upper right end of the curve where $n_{\mathcal{R}} \approx 0.967$ and $r \approx 0.132$. The shaded region shows the current observational 1σ bound $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.947 \pm 0.015$ [4], and the points on the curve explicitly denote several constraints on $n_{\mathcal{R}}$: the upper bound $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.962$ (square), the central value $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.947$ (circle), and the lower bound $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.932$ (triangle). The corresponding values of r are 0.0621, 0.0230 and 0.0102, respectively. The current upper limit $r < 0.30$ (95% confidence level) encompasses the whole predicted range of r of our model. For the observationally allowed range of $n_{\mathcal{R}}$, $0.01 \lesssim r \lesssim 0.1$ and is detectable within a few years by the forthcoming cosmological observations.

end of inflation, under the approximation Eq. (14), as

$$\begin{aligned}
 H_{\text{end}} &= \frac{3}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\pi} (RM_{\text{Pl}})^{-1} [16\pi(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^2 + 1]^{-1/2} R^{-1} \\
 &\sim \mathcal{O}(0.1) \frac{R^{-1}}{(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})RM_{\text{Pl}}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Thus, for most parameter space $H_{\text{end}} \gg \Gamma_{\text{grav}}$ and the energy transfer occurs well after inflation. We can now easily see that the reheating temperature T_{RH} is estimated to be [20]

$$T_{\text{RH}} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(0.1) \sqrt{\Gamma_{\text{grav}} M_{\text{Pl}}} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1) \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{(f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}})^{3/2} (RM_{\text{Pl}})^3}. \tag{40}$$

As an example, if we put $f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} = 1$ and $RM_{\text{Pl}} = 100$, the maximum reheating temperature is estimated to be $T_{\text{RH}} \sim 10^{12-13} \text{GeV}$. The universe then follows the well known hot big bang evolution.

We may also think of the inflaton decay through a messenger field at one-loop level even when the inflaton field does not directly couple to the standard model fields. Let us introduce a new particle which is charged under the hidden as well as the standard model gauge interactions. The inflaton field can couple to this new particle by the hidden gauge interaction then through

the standard model interaction the standard model particles could be produced. The new particle can be the origin of the kinetic mixing through the one loop interaction and plays the role of a messenger particle as well. The contribution of the new particle to the inflaton potential can be still negligible if the mass of the new particle is high enough as is assumed in the paper. This situation is depicted in Fig. 3.




Figure 3: Decay of the inflaton field to the standard model particles through the one-loop interaction with the messenger particle Ψ .

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a cosmological scenario from the hidden sector $SU(2)$ gauge symmetry in the five dimensional orbifold S^1/\mathbb{Z}_2 . The model is minimal in the both of the aspects: the minimal non-Abelian gauge group and the minimal orbifold compactification with the minimal number of extra dimensions. The inflaton field arises as a consequence of the symmetry breaking from the minimal *non-Abelian* gauge symmetry to the *Abelian* one by the orbifold compactification. Thanks to the non-Abelian nature, the bulk gauge boson, the fifth component A_5 in particular, could have a one-loop induced effective potential without introducing any exotic fermion field in the model. This makes sure the minimality of the model. The advantage of this minimal setup is as follows: the inflaton field is a built-in ingredient of the theory and is automatically free from quantum gravitational effects because of its higher dimensional locality and the gauge symmetry. Fully radiatively generated one-loop potential is naturally able to support a long enough period of slow-roll inflation provided that the theory is weakly coupled, i.e. $g_{4D} \ll 1$, during the inflationary epoch. In very good numerical precision, the minimal model essentially provides a realization of the natural inflation

$$V(\phi) \approx \Lambda^4 \left[1 - \cos \left(\frac{\phi}{f_{\text{eff}}} \right) \right], \quad (41)$$

with $\Lambda^4 = 9R^{-4}/(2\pi)^6$ and $f_{\text{eff}} = (2\pi g_{4D}R)^{-1}$. For $10 \lesssim RM_{\text{Pl}} \lesssim 100$ and $1 \lesssim f_{\text{eff}}/M_{\text{Pl}} \lesssim 100$, the model predicts the observable cosmological quantities

$$1.2 \times 10^{-5} \lesssim \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \lesssim 4.9 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (42)$$

$$0.952 \lesssim n_{\mathcal{R}} \lesssim 0.966, \quad (43)$$

$$0.03 \lesssim r \lesssim 0.13. \quad (44)$$

The power spectrum of the curvature perturbation $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}$ and the corresponding spectral index $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ are in good agreement with the current observations. While f_{NL} is always far smaller than 1 and no detectable non-Gaussianity is expected, very interestingly the predicted tensor-to-scalar ratio r is quite close to sensitivity of the near future cosmological experiments. This would be the first test of our minimal cosmological model. The reheating temperature T_{RH} is estimated to be high enough to successfully follow the standard hot big bang evolution.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Misao Sasaki and the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kyoto University where some part of this work was carried out during ‘‘Scientific Program on Gravity and Cosmology’’ (YITP-T-07-01) and ‘‘KIAS-YITP Joint Workshop: String Phenomenology and Cosmology’’ (YITP-T-07-10). JG thanks Daniel Chung, L. Sriramkumar and Ewan Stewart for helpful conversations, and is partly supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant KRF-2007-357-C00014 funded by the Korean Government. SCP appreciates Yasunori Nomura for his comments on low energy constraints of g_{4D} and also thanks C. S. Lim for encouragement to publish this paper.

References

- [1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981) ; A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389 (1982) ; A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).
- [2] See, e.g. A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, ‘‘Cosmological inflation and large-scale structure,’’ *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr.* (2000) 400 p ; V. Mukhanov, ‘‘Physical foundations of cosmology,’’ *Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr.* (2005) 421 p.
- [3] See, e.g. D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. **314**, 1 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9807278].
- [4] M. Tegmark *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **69**, 103501 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0310723] ; U. Seljak *et al.* [SDSS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **71**, 103515 (2005) [arXiv:astro-ph/0407372] ; D. N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **170**, 335 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0603449].
- [5] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994) [arXiv:astro-ph/9401011].
- [6] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3233 (1990) ; F. C. Adams, J. R. Bond, K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Olinto, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 426 (1993) [arXiv:hep-ph/9207245].
- [7] N. Arkani-Hamed, H. C. Cheng, P. Creminelli and L. Randall, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 221302 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0301218].
- [8] S. C. Park, JCAP **11**, 001 (2007) arXiv:0704.3920 [hep-th].

- [9] Y. Nomura, in private communication.
- [10] M. Kubo, C. S. Lim and H. Yamashita, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **17**, 2249 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0111327].
- [11] G. Cacciapaglia, C. Csaki and S. C. Park, *JHEP* **0603**, 099 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0510366].
- [12] N. Haba, Y. Hosotani and Y. Kawamura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **111**, 265 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309088].
- [13] J. O. Gong and E. D. Stewart, *Phys. Lett. B* **510**, 1 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0101225].
- [14] E. Komatsu and D. N. Spergel, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 063002 (2001) [arXiv:astro-ph/0005036].
- [15] J. M. Maldacena, *JHEP* **0305**, 013 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603].
- [16] S. Dodelson and E. Stewart, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 101301 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0109354] ; E. D. Stewart, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103508 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0110322] ; J. Choe, J. O. Gong and E. D. Stewart, *JCAP* **0407**, 012 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405155].
- [17] J. O. Gong, arXiv:0710.3835 [astro-ph].
- [18] N. Arkani-Hamed, L. Motl, A. Nicolis and C. Vafa, *JHEP* **0706**, 060 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0601001].
- [19] J. O. Gong, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 043502 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611293].
- [20] See, e.g. A. D. Linde, "Particle physics and inflationary cosmology," *Chur, Switzerland: Harwood* (1990) 362 p.