

**Exact Solution of the Landau-Lifshitz Equations
for a Radiating Charged Particle in The Coulomb Potential**

S. G. Rajeev¹

Department of Physics and Astronomy
University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14627

Abstract

We solve exactly the classical non-relativistic Landau-Lifshitz equations of motion for a charged particle moving in a Coulomb potential, including radiation damping. The general solution involves the Painlevé transcendent of type II. It confirms our physical intuition that a negatively charged classical particle will spiral into the nucleus, supporting the the validity of the Landau-Lifshitz equation.

¹rajeev@pas.rochester.edu

1 Introduction

A corner stone of theoretical physics is the exact solution of the motion of a particle moving in an inverse square law force. The orbits are conic sections: ellipses or hyperbolae depending on initial conditions. The original application was to the motion of planets around the Sun[1] . Later the same problem was found to arise in the Rutherford scattering of alpha particles and in the classical model of the atom.

The orbits of charged particles in a Coulomb potential cannot be conics exactly, as it is a fundamental principle of electrodynamics that all charged particles must radiate when accelerated[2, 3]. The radiation carries away energy, and therefore acts as a dissipative force, changing the equation of motion. Can we still find an exact solution for the motion of the particle in a Coulomb field, after taking account of radiation reaction?

Deriving the correct equation of motion for a charged particle, including this radiation damping, is not a simple matter. The problem is that radiation reaction is the force exerted on the particle by its own electromagnetic field; a straightforward application of the Lorentz force law will give an infinite force in the case of a point particle. Dirac [4] found a way through this minefield of divergences and deduced an equation of motion including the radiation reaction. A key point was that the divergences are removed by a renormalization of the mass of the charged particle.

This Lorentz-Dirac equation of motion is a third order ODE, as the radiation reaction force is proportional to the derivative of acceleration. Typical initial conditions will give unphysical solutions that ‘runaway’: the energy grows without bound instead of decaying. Thus Dirac’s work, although a

major step forward, cannot be the final word on the equation of motion of charged particles.

Spohn [3, 5] showed that these unphysical runaway solutions can be avoided if the initial data lie in a ‘critical manifold’; i.e., if the initial conditions are fine-tuned to avoid the runaway unphysical solutions. This turns out to be the same as treating the force due to the radiation as a first order correction. We get this way a second order equation with physically sensible solutions. Although without the modern understanding, this equation of motion for a radiating particle were given first in the classic text of Landau and Lifshitz[6]. Therefore these are known now as Landau-Lifshitz (LL) equations of motion. See Ref. [7] for a physical argument in support of the LL equations.

There is no unanimity yet that these are the exact equations of motion of a radiating charged particle[8] . In addition to experimental tests, we need to verify their theoretical consistency. As an example, it should not be possible for a negatively charged particle to orbit a nucleus in an elliptical orbit: it should plunge into the nucleus as the radiation it emits carries away energy and angular momentum. It is important to verify the physical correctness of the LL equations by checking that its solutions have this property.

In this paper, *we solve exactly the non-relativistic Landau-Lifshitz equations in a Coulomb field* ; the general solution is in terms of [9, 10] Painleve transcendents of type II. The same differential equation , with different initial conditions, appears in several other physical problems, such as the Tracy-Widom law for random matrix eigenvalues[11, 12]. Our solution turns out to have the correct asymptotic properties: the orbit of a negatively charged

particle does spiral in towards the nucleus.

The Lorentz-Dirac equation of motion has been studied in the Coulomb field. It has a complicated, unphysical behavior, and no general exact solution is known. For example, in an *attractive* Coulomb potential there are solutions that accelerate away to infinity. See Ref. [3] Section 6-15, [13] The approximate, numerical treatment of the radiative reaction in Ref. [14] is closer to our physical results .

Due to quantum effects, the LL equations cannot be the right description at the short distances characteristic of atoms. Our solution might still be an approximate description of an electron with a large principal quantum number in an atom. It should also describe an alpha particle scattered by a nucleus and an electron (or positron) emitted by a nucleus, all of whose motion is affected by the radiation emitted. Relativistic corrections will become important as the velocity of the particle grows; we are currently investigating the exact solvability of the relativistic LL equations in a Coulomb field.

The LL equations have already been solved in a constant electric field and in a constant magnetic field[15]. We hope that more physically realistic situations will open up to study using the techniques we describe here. In another paper [16] we have proposed a canonical formulation and a quantum wave equation for dissipative systems of a particular type. The case we study here happens to be of this type, so we hope that a quantum treatment of a radiating electron in an atom along these lines is also possible. This might allow us to go beyond perturbation theory in the calculation of line-widths of a hydrogenic atom.

The analogous problem in General Relativity of a star being captured

by a blackhole, its energy and angular momentum being carried away by gravitational radiation is of great importance in connection with the LIGO project to detect gravitational waves. We hope that our solution of the much simpler electrodynamic problem will help in understanding this case as well.

2 The Landau-Lifshitz Equations

The LL equation of motion of a radiating charged particle in an electrostatic field is [6],

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\gamma \mathbf{v}] = \mathbf{a} + \tau \left[\gamma (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{a} + \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{a}}{c^2} \mathbf{a} - \frac{\gamma^2}{c^2} \mathbf{v} \left\{ \mathbf{a}^2 - \frac{(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{a})^2}{c^2} \right\} \right] \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{a} = \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \quad (2)$$

Also,

$$\tau = \frac{2}{3} \frac{q^2}{mc^3}, \quad (3)$$

q and m being the charge and mass of the particle respectively. The dissipation parameter τ has units of time; for the electron it would be the (2/3) of the classical electron radius divided by c .

In the non-relativistic limit, it is much simpler:

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{v} + \tau \nabla U] + \nabla U = 0, \quad \mathbf{v} = \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \quad (4)$$

where U is $\frac{q}{m}$ times the electrostatic potential. For a central potential,

$$\nabla U = \hat{\mathbf{r}} U_r, \quad U_r = \frac{dU}{dr} \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\mathbf{v} + \tau \hat{\mathbf{r}} U_r] + \hat{\mathbf{r}} U_r = 0. \quad (6)$$

Taking the cross product with the position vector gives, with $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{v}$,

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = -\tau \frac{U_r}{r} \mathbf{L}. \quad (7)$$

Thus the direction of angular momentum is preserved. If the initial conditions are such that $\mathbf{L} \neq 0$, the motion will lie in the plane normal to this vector. If $\mathbf{L} = 0$ initially, it remains zero and the motion is along a straight-line passing through the center of the potential.

Using the standard identities

$$\mathbf{v}^2 = v_r^2 + \frac{L^2}{r^2} \quad (8)$$

$$v_r = \frac{dr}{dt} = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{r} [\mathbf{v} - v_r \hat{\mathbf{r}}], \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{dv_r}{dt} = \frac{L^2}{r^3} + \hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} \quad (10)$$

we get the system of ODE

$$v_r = \frac{dr}{dt}, \quad \frac{d}{dt} [v_r + \tau U_r] = \frac{L^2}{r^3} - U_r, \quad \frac{dL}{dt} = -\tau \frac{U_r}{r} L. \quad (11)$$

3 The Coulomb Potential

For the Coulomb potential $U = \frac{k}{r}$, $U_r = -\frac{k}{r^2}$ and

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{k\tau L}{r^3} \quad (12)$$

Thus the centrifugal force is a total time derivative:

$$\frac{L^2}{r^3} = \frac{1}{2k\tau} \frac{d}{dt} L^2 \quad (13)$$

This coincidence allows to write the radial force equation as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[v_r - \frac{\tau}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2k\tau} L^2 \right] = \frac{k}{r^2} \quad (14)$$

Put

$$z = v_r - \frac{k\tau}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2k\tau}L^2 \quad (15)$$

to write this as

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{k\tau}{r^3}L, \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{k}{r^2}, \quad \frac{dr}{dt} = \frac{L^2}{2k\tau} + z + \frac{k\tau}{r^2} \quad (16)$$

Using the fact that this is an autonomous system (i.e., t does not appear explicitly) we can eliminate dt , to get a system of two ODEs,

$$\frac{dL}{dz} = \frac{\tau}{r}L, \quad \frac{dr}{dz} = \frac{1}{2k^2\tau^2}r^2L^2 + \frac{1}{k}r^2z + \tau \quad (17)$$

We note as an aside that in the case of purely radial motion, $L = 0$ this reduces to a Riccati equation for $\rho = \frac{1}{r}$:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dz} = - \left[\frac{z}{k} + \tau\rho^2 \right] \quad (18)$$

This can solved in terms of Airy functions.

Returning to the general case, we can rewrite the above system as a single second order ODE:

$$\frac{d^2L}{dz^2} = -\frac{1}{2k^2}L^3 - \frac{\tau}{k}zL \quad (19)$$

Up to scaling, this is the particular case with $\alpha = 0$ of the Painleve II equation (See Ref. [9], page 345)

$$\frac{d^2u}{dx^2} = 2u^3 + xu + \alpha \quad (20)$$

Defining constants

$$b = \left[-\frac{\tau}{k} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}, a = \sqrt{-2k^2} b. \quad (21)$$

we have the solution

$$L = au(bz). \quad (22)$$

When $k < 0$, as for an attractive Coulomb potential, u is purely imaginary and the independent variable $x = bz$ is real. u also depends on a complex ‘modular’ parameter s that is determined by the initial conditions [10].

The identities

$$L = r^2 \frac{d\theta}{dt}, \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{k}{r^2} \quad (23)$$

allow us to determine the polar angle:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dz} = \frac{1}{k} L. \quad (24)$$

By a quadrature and a differentiation of the Painleve transcendent, r and θ are both found as functions of the parameter z , determining the orbit :

$$r(z) = \tau \frac{u(z)}{\frac{du(z)}{dz}}, \quad \theta(z) = \theta_1 + \frac{a}{k} \int_{z_1}^z u(bz) dz. \quad (25)$$

4 Examples

4.1 A Decaying Orbit

To plot orbits, another form of the equations is sometimes more convenient.

Define $y = L^2$ and change to θ as the independent variable:

$$\frac{dL}{d\theta} = \frac{k\tau}{r}, \quad \frac{d\frac{1}{r}}{d\theta} = -\frac{L}{2k\tau} - \frac{z}{L} - \frac{k\tau}{Lr^2} \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{dL^2}{d\theta} = 2k\tau \frac{L}{r}, \quad \frac{d}{d\theta} \left[\frac{L}{r} \right] = -\frac{L^2}{2k\tau} - z \quad (27)$$


to get the third order ODE:

$$\frac{d^3 y}{d\theta^3} + \frac{dy}{d\theta} + \frac{2k^2\tau}{\sqrt{y}} = 0. \quad (28)$$

The orbit is then given by

$$\frac{1}{r(\theta)} = \frac{1}{k\tau} \frac{d\sqrt{y}}{d\theta} \quad (29)$$

We can find the orbit by numerically integrating the above third order ODE. This was done before it was realized that the equation can be solved analytically. It is still useful as a way to plot a slowly decaying orbit:



4.2 A Capture Orbit

We want a solution² for which $r = \frac{u}{u'} > 0$. Note that $\frac{dz}{dt} < 0$. If $r(z)$ vanishes it must be a simple zero, since near a zero $\frac{dr}{dz} \sim 1$. Thus $r \sim z - z_0$, $L \sim C(z - z_0)$ where C and z_0 are real constants of integration.

If a particle approaches from infinity with angular momentum L_1 and

² For simplicity, we use in this section the natural units of the problem, with $|k| = \tau = 1$. Normal units can be restored by dimensional analysis.

radial velocity v_1 ,

$$z_1 = v_1 + \frac{1}{2}L_1^2. \quad (30)$$

Since $\frac{dr}{dz} \sim v_1 r^2$, we get


$$r \sim \frac{1}{v_1(z - z_1)} \quad (31)$$

Thus z_1 is a simple zero of $u'(z)$.

In other words, to describe the capture of an incoming charged particle by an attractive Coulomb potential, we just have to solve the Painleve II equation with the initial conditions

$$z_1 = v_1 + \frac{1}{2}L_1^2, \quad u(z_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2}}L_1, \quad u'(z_1) = 0 \quad (32)$$

and evolve to a point $z_0 < z_1$ at which $u(z_0) = 0$. The complete orbit corresponds to the finite range $z_0 \leq z \leq z_1$ in the parameter z . We plot an example, obtained by numerical calculations, of such a capture orbit below.



The velocity blows up as $\frac{1}{r^2}$ for small r . From a finite distance the particle is captured in a finite time.

Other cases can be worked out similarly. The formulation of the Painlevé equation in terms of the Riemann-Hilbert problem and Isomonodromy[10, 12] give powerful techniques to study our solution. In particular, there are a pair of conserved quantities that replace energy and angular momentum in this dissipative but integrable system. Also, we can generalize the Rutherford formula for Coulomb scattering to include radiation. We hope to return to such a detailed analysis in a longer paper.

5 Acknowledgements

I thank J. Golden, S. Iyer and A. Jordan for discussions. Also thanks to H. Spohn and F. Rohrlich for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under the contract number DE-FG02-91ER40685.

References

- [1] I. Newton, translated by I.B. Cohen and A. Whitman, *The Principia : Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy* ; University of California Press (1999)
- [2] J., D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics* Wiley; 3 edition (1998)
- [3] F. Rohrlich *Classical Charged Particles* 2nd edition, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1990.
- [4] P. A. M. Dirac, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond **A167** (1938) 148.

- [5] H. Spohn, *Dynamics of charged particles and their radiation field*.
arXiv:math-ph/9908024 (unpublished); M. Kuze and H. Spohn, SIAM
J. Math. Anal. **32**, 30-53(2000).
- [6] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz *Classical Theory of Fields* , Section 76,
Butterworth-Heinemann (1982)
- [7] F. Rohrlich, Am. J. Phys. **68** 1109 (2000).
- [8] See for example, G. A. de Parga, R. Mares and S. Dominguez, Ann.
Fond. L. de Broglie, **30** 283-288 (2005).
- [9] E. L. Ince *Ordinary Differential Equations* , Dover (1956)
- [10] A.S. Fokas, A. R. Its, A. A. Kapaev and V. Yu. Novokshenov, *Painlevè
Transcendents: The Riemann-Hilbert Approach* AMS, Providence, RI
(2006)
- [11] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom Comm. Math. Phys. 159 151-174 (1994).
- [12] P. Deift and X. Zhou, Asymptotics for the Painlevé II equation, Comm.
Pure Appl. Math. 48 (1995), 277–337
- [13] J. Huschilt and W. E. Baylis Phys. Rev. **D17** 985 (1978) .
- [14] C. E. Aguiar and F. A. Barone arxiv/physics/0508186.
- [15] J. C. Herrera Phys. Rev. **D15**, 453-456 (1977)
- [16] S. G. Rajeev, Ann. Phys. **322** 1541-1555 (2007);
arXiv:quant-ph/0701141