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#### Abstract

Classical target space duality transformations are studied for the non-linear sigma model with a dilaton field. Working within the framework of the Hamiltonian formalism we require the duality transformation to be a property only of the target spaces. We obtain a set of restrictions on the geometrical data. The "on-shell duality" integrability conditions are inspected.


PACS: 11.25-w, 03.50-z, 02.40-k
Keywords: duality, strings, geometry

[^0]
## 1 Introduction

In a series of previous papers [1, 2] a framework was developed for studying classical target space duality between nonlinear sigma models in two dimensional Minkowski space. References to the earlier literature may be found in [1, 2]. Here we introduce a dilaton field $\Phi$ coupled to the world sheet curvature scalar $R^{(2)}(\vec{\sigma})$ via the action $S=S_{0}+S_{B}+S_{\Phi}$ where

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{0}+S_{B}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{2} \sigma\left\{\sqrt{-h} h^{\alpha \beta} g_{i j}(x) \partial_{\alpha} x^{i} \partial_{\beta} x^{j}-\epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} x^{i} \partial_{\beta} x^{j} B_{i j}(x)\right\}  \tag{1.1}\\
S_{\Phi}=\int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{-h} \Phi(x) R^{(2)}(\vec{\sigma}) \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The target manifolds with their respective geometrical data are denoted by $M(g, B, \Phi)$ and $\widetilde{M}(\tilde{g}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{\Phi})$. The Greek indices refer to the world sheet $\Sigma$ with metric $h_{\alpha \beta}$ and coordinates $\vec{\sigma}=(\tau, \sigma)$. In two dimensions it is always possible to find a coordinate transformation that locally puts the metric in conformal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha \beta}=e^{2 \mu(\vec{\sigma})} \eta_{\alpha \beta}, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$ is the flat world sheet metric with the signature $(-,+)$ and $\mu(\vec{\sigma})$ is the conformal factor. Introducing light-cone coordinates on the world sheet by $\sigma^{ \pm}=\tau \pm \sigma$ we see that the curvature scalar is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{(2)}=8 e^{-2 \mu(\vec{\sigma})} \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu(\vec{\sigma}) . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A possible multiplicative constant for $S_{\Phi}$ can be absorbed into the definition of dilaton field $\Phi$. Defining the closed 3 -form $H$ by $H=d B$ and the derivatives $x_{ \pm}^{i}$ by pulling back an orthonormal coframe from the target spac\& ${ }^{3}, \theta^{i}=x^{i}{ }_{\alpha} d \sigma^{\alpha}$ gives the classical equations of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{+-}^{i}+\frac{1}{2} H^{i}{ }_{j k}(x) x_{+}^{j} x_{-}^{k}+2 \Phi_{i}^{\prime}(x) \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu(\vec{\sigma})=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d \Phi=\Phi_{i}^{\prime} \theta^{i}$ and $x^{i}{ }_{+-}$is the second covariant derivative. There is an analogous expression for $\widetilde{M}$ model. Any transformation of the metric resulting from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\vec{\sigma}) \rightarrow \mu(\vec{\sigma})+\xi\left(\sigma^{+}\right)+\eta\left(\sigma^{-}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with arbitrary functions $\xi$ and $\eta$ leaves the form of equations of motion invariant.
We note that the first two terms in the action $S_{0}+S_{B}$ are manifestly independent of the choice of the conformal factor but the term $S_{\Phi}$ is not ${ }^{4}$.

The $\Phi=0$ models are trivially classically conformally invariant. Therefore, at the classical level it was sufficient to study those models on a flat world sheet because all dependence on the conformal factor was absent. Consequently, the study of classical target space duality in these conformally invariant models reduced to studying models with a flat world sheet. The classical conformal invariance is manifestly broken by the presence of a generic non-zero

[^1]dilaton field $\sqrt{5}$. This means that the classical behavior of strings propagating on a target space $M$ depends on local metrical properties of the world sheet $\Sigma$. In this article we ask the following question.

Is it possible to have a classical duality transformations between strings propagating on target spaces $M$ and $\widetilde{M}$ such that the duality transformation is only a property of the target spaces and it is independent of the metrical geometry of the world sheet $\Sigma$, i.e., independent of the conformal factor $\mu$ ?
N.B. The sigma models are not necessarily conformally invariant.

The world sheet stress-energy tensor $T^{\alpha \beta}$ is defined variationally by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S=\frac{1}{2} \int d^{2} \sigma \sqrt{-h} T^{\alpha \beta} \delta h_{\alpha \beta} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution from $S_{0}$ is

$$
T_{(0)}^{\alpha \beta}=4 e^{-4 \mu(\vec{\sigma})}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{-} x_{-} & 0  \tag{1.8}\\
0 & x_{+} x_{+}
\end{array}\right),
$$

the term $S_{B}$ does not contribute to the stress-energy tensor although it contribute to the equations of motion. Using the equations of motion in the calculation of $\nabla_{\alpha} T^{\alpha \beta}$ you find terms such as $H_{i j k} x_{-}^{i} x_{-}^{j} x_{+}^{k}$ that vanish due to the antisymmetry of $H_{i j k}$.

To calculate the contribution from $S_{\Phi}$ we have to integrate by parts twice and to observe that the Einstein tensor vanishes because the Hilbert-Einstein action is a topological invariant in 2 dimensions. After some algebra we arrive at the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{(\Phi)}^{\alpha \beta}=2\left(h^{\gamma \alpha} h^{\delta \beta} \Phi_{\gamma ; \delta}-h^{\alpha \beta} h^{\gamma \delta} \Phi_{\gamma ; \delta}\right) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\gamma}:=x_{\gamma}^{i} \partial_{i} \Phi(x)$ and $\Phi_{\gamma ; \delta}$ is the covariant derivative on the world sheet. The only nonvanishing connection coefficients for the metric (1.3) are $\Gamma_{++}^{+}=2 \partial_{+} \mu(\vec{\sigma})$ and $\Gamma_{--}^{-}=2 \partial_{-} \mu(\vec{\sigma})$. The explicit expression is

$$
T_{(\Phi)}^{\alpha \beta}=8 e^{-4 \mu}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 \partial_{-} \mu \Phi_{j}^{\prime} x_{-}^{j}-\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} x_{-}^{i} x_{-}^{j}-\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{--}^{i} & \Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} x_{+}^{i} x_{-}^{j}+\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{+-}^{i}  \tag{1.10}\\
\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} x_{+}^{i} x_{-}^{j}+\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{+-}^{i} & 2 \partial_{+} \mu \Phi_{j}^{\prime} x_{+}^{j}-\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} x_{+}^{i} x_{+}^{j}-\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{++}^{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, the derivatives $\Phi_{i}^{\prime}$ are defined as components of the 1-form $d \Phi$ with respect to the orthonormal coframe of $M$ and $\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime}$ is a covariant derivative on the target space. For the model $\widetilde{M}(\tilde{g}, \widetilde{B}, \widetilde{\Phi})$ these derivatives will be denoted as $\widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_{i ; j}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Note that the stress-energy tensor does not vanish in the limiting case of a flat world sheet $\mu(\vec{\sigma}) \rightarrow 0$, although in this limit both the action and the classical equations of motion are the same as for the flat world sheet case. In the limit $\mu(\vec{\sigma}) \rightarrow 0, T_{(\Phi)}^{\alpha \beta}$ has the property that its divergence vanishes identically, i.e. $\nabla_{\beta} T_{(\Phi)}^{\alpha \beta}=0$ for any $x^{i}\left(\sigma^{\mu}\right)$ and not just for the solution of equation of motion.

[^2]In the case of [1, 2] where the action was $S_{0}+S_{B}$, the transformation equation for the "on-shell" duality could have been written down by inspecting the stress-energy tensor. Here there are two difficulties. The stress-energy tensor contains the terms $\Phi_{i}^{\prime}(x)$ and $\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime}(x)$ which have dependence on $x$ 's and the duality transformation involves derivatives of $x$ 's, therefore integrability issues arise. The other difficulty is just the mentioned possibility that two equivalent expressions may differ by a contribution whose divergence vanishes identically and this contribution has to be clearly identified.

## 2 A Toy Model

As a guideline consider a classical mechanics time-dependent Hamiltonian system. By prescribing a generating function $F(q, \tilde{q}, t)$ one obtains both the canonical transformation and the relation between the hamiltonians by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p}=\frac{\partial F(q, \tilde{q}, t)}{\partial \tilde{q}}, \quad-p=\frac{\partial F(q, \tilde{q}, t)}{\partial q}, \quad \tilde{H}-H=-\frac{\partial F(q, \tilde{q}, t)}{\partial t} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we consider the inverse problem. Both hamiltonians are given and we want to determine the conditions that have to be satisfied in order to establish a canonical transformation. We assume the Hamiltonians are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}(p-A(q, t))^{2}+V(q, t), \quad \widetilde{H}=\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{p}-\widetilde{A}(\tilde{q}, t))^{2}+\widetilde{V}(\tilde{q}, t) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider a generating function of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q, \tilde{q}, t)=q \tilde{q}+f(t)(\widetilde{W}(\tilde{q})-W(q)) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(t), \widetilde{W}(\widetilde{q}), W(q)$ to be determined. Using (2.1) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\tilde{p}-f \widetilde{W}^{\prime}, \quad \tilde{q}=-\left(p-f W^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{p}-\widetilde{A})^{2}+\widetilde{V}-\left\{\frac{1}{2}(p-A)^{2}+V\right\}=-\dot{f}(\widetilde{W}-W) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We rewrite (2.5) using (2.4) and group together terms according to their $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ dependence:

$$
\begin{align*}
0=\frac{1}{2} & q^{2}-V-\frac{1}{2}\left(A-f W^{\prime}\right)-\dot{f} W \\
& -\left\{\frac{1}{2} \tilde{q}^{2}-\widetilde{V}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\widetilde{A}-f \widetilde{W^{\prime}}\right)-\dot{f} \widetilde{W}\right\} \\
& -q\left(\widetilde{A}-f \widetilde{W}^{\prime}\right)-\tilde{q}\left(A-f W^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

To eliminate the mixed $q$ and $\tilde{q}$ dependence we require that the summands in the last line of the equation above satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-f W^{\prime}=h(t) q, \quad \widetilde{A}-f \widetilde{W}^{\prime}=-h(t) \tilde{q} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remaining part of (2.6) gives immediately the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& V(q, t)=\frac{1}{2}(1-h(t))^{2} q^{2}-\dot{f} W, \\
& \widetilde{V}(\tilde{q}, t)=\frac{1}{2}(1-h(t))^{2} \tilde{q}^{2}-\dot{f} \widetilde{W} . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

To make this example more similar to the sigma model case consider the special case where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(q, t)=f(t) B(q), \quad A(\tilde{q}, t)=f(t) \widetilde{B}(\tilde{q}) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B-W^{\prime}=q, \quad \widetilde{B}-\widetilde{W}^{\prime}=\tilde{q}, \quad h(t)=f(t) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating we obtain the generating function for this transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q, \tilde{q}, t)=q \tilde{q}+h(t)\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\tilde{q}^{2}-q^{2}\right)+\int_{0}^{\tilde{q}} d \tilde{q}^{\prime} \widetilde{B}\left(\tilde{q}^{\prime}\right)-\int_{0}^{q} d q^{\prime} B\left(q^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Target Space Duality

In the field theory case we have to consider hamiltonian densities of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2} g^{i k}\left(\pi_{i}-B_{i j} x_{\sigma}^{j}\right)\left(\pi_{k}-B_{k l} x_{\sigma}^{l}\right)+g_{i k} \frac{1}{2} x_{\sigma}^{i} x_{\sigma}^{k}+2 \eta^{\alpha \beta}\left(\partial_{\alpha \beta}^{2} \mu\right) \Phi(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the analogous expression for the $\widetilde{M}$ model. The explicit time dependence enters via the conformal factor. By analogy to (2.1) the imposed requirement is that the Hamiltonians of both models differ only by a time derivative of the generating functional.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{H}-H=\int d \sigma(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}-\mathcal{H})=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial \tau} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general form of the generating functional is taken to be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[x, \tilde{x}]=\int \alpha+\int\left(\partial_{\sigma} \mu Y+\partial_{\tau} \mu Z\right) d \sigma \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y(x, \tilde{x})$ and $Z(x, \tilde{x})$ are functions on $M \times \widetilde{M}$ and $\alpha(x, \tilde{x})$ is a 1-form on $M \times \widetilde{M}$ written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\alpha_{i}(x, \tilde{x}) d x^{i}+\tilde{\alpha}_{i}(x, \tilde{x}) d \tilde{x}^{i} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated canonical transformation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi_{i}=m_{j i} \frac{d \tilde{x}^{j}}{d \sigma}+l_{i j} \frac{d x^{j}}{d \sigma}-\partial_{\sigma} \mu \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x^{i}}-\partial_{\tau} \mu \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x^{i}},  \tag{3.5}\\
& \widetilde{\pi}_{i}=m_{i j} \frac{d x^{j}}{d \sigma}+\tilde{l}_{i j} \frac{d \tilde{x}^{j}}{d \sigma}+\partial_{\sigma} \mu \frac{\partial Y}{\partial \tilde{x}^{i}}+\partial_{\tau} \mu \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \tilde{x}^{i}} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m_{i j}, l_{i j}$ and $\tilde{l}_{i j}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \alpha=-\frac{1}{2} l_{i j}(x, \tilde{x}) d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{l}_{i j}(x, \tilde{x}) d \tilde{x}^{i} \wedge d \tilde{x}^{j}+m_{i j}(x, \tilde{x}) d \tilde{x}^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here it would be desirable to maintain a symmetric formulation between tilded and untilded quantities. Therefore we introduce the following definitions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
n \equiv l-B, \quad \tilde{n} \equiv \tilde{l}-\widetilde{B},  \tag{3.8}\\
\widetilde{m}_{i j} \equiv m_{j i},  \tag{3.9}\\
d Y=Y_{i}^{\prime} \theta^{i}-Y_{i}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{\theta}^{i}  \tag{3.10}\\
d Z=Z_{i}^{\prime} \theta^{i}-Z_{i}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{\theta}^{i} \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(\theta, \widetilde{\theta})$ is an orthonormal coframe of $M \times \widetilde{M}$. We use $\|\|$ and $\langle$,$\rangle to denote the norms$ and the inner products on the target spaces, we also suppress target space indices $i, j \ldots$ hereafter. Using the form of the canonical transformations we see that the integrand of (3.2) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}-\mathcal{H}= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|m x_{\sigma}+\tilde{n} \tilde{x}_{\sigma}-\mu_{\sigma} Y^{\prime \prime}-\mu_{\tau} Z^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\tilde{x}_{\sigma}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& -\left\|\tilde{m} \tilde{x}_{\sigma}+n x_{\sigma}-\mu_{\sigma} Y^{\prime}-\mu_{\tau} Z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{\sigma}\right\|^{2}+ \\
& -2\left(-\mu_{\tau \tau}+\mu_{\sigma \sigma}\right)(\widetilde{\Phi}-\Phi) . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The next step is to group together terms with different $x$ and $\tilde{x}$ behavior:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}-\mathcal{H}= & \frac{1}{2}\left\langle x_{\sigma},\left(m^{t} m-n^{t} n-I\right) x_{\sigma}\right\rangle-\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\tilde{x}_{\sigma},\left(\tilde{m}^{t} \tilde{m}-\tilde{n}^{t} \tilde{n}-I\right) \tilde{x}_{\sigma}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle\tilde{x}_{\sigma},\left(\tilde{n}^{t} m-\tilde{m}^{t} n\right) x_{\sigma}\right\rangle \\
& +\mu_{\tau}\left[-\left\langle x_{\sigma}, m^{t} Z^{\prime \prime}-n^{t} Z^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{x}_{\sigma}, \tilde{m}^{t} Z^{\prime}-\tilde{n}^{t} Z^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\mu_{\sigma}\left[-\left\langle x_{\sigma}, m^{t} Y^{\prime \prime}-n^{t} Y^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{x}_{\sigma}, \tilde{m}^{t} Y^{\prime}-\tilde{n}^{t} Y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mu_{\sigma} Y^{\prime \prime}+\mu_{\tau} Z^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mu_{\sigma} Y^{\prime}+\mu_{\tau} Z^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& -2\left(-\mu_{\tau \tau}+\mu_{\sigma \sigma}\right)(\widetilde{\Phi}-\Phi) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

On the level of Hamiltonian densities the condition (3.2) is expressed as

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}-\mathcal{H}=-\left(\mu_{\sigma \tau} Y+\mu_{\tau \tau} Z\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} h
$$

It will be convenient to have this condition rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}-\mathcal{H} & =\mu_{\tau}\left(Y_{i}^{\prime} x^{i}{ }_{\sigma}-Y_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{x}^{i}{ }_{\sigma}\right)+\mu_{\sigma}\left(Z_{i}^{\prime} x^{i}{ }_{\sigma}-Z_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{x}^{i}{ }_{\sigma}\right) \\
& +\left(-\mu_{\tau \tau}+\mu_{\sigma \sigma}\right) Z+\frac{d}{d \sigma}\left(h-\mu_{\tau} Y-\mu_{\sigma} Z\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Looking at $x_{\sigma} x_{\sigma}, \tilde{x}_{\sigma} \tilde{x}_{\sigma}, \tilde{x}_{\sigma} x_{\sigma}$ terms in (3.13) we recover the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{m}^{t} \tilde{m} & =I+\tilde{n}^{t} \tilde{n}=I-\tilde{n}^{2}=m m^{t},  \tag{3.15}\\
m^{t} m & =I+n^{t} n=I-n^{2},  \tag{3.16}\\
-m n & =\tilde{n} m, \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

which are the same as the ones calculated in [1]. Incorporating these in the remaining terms of (3.13) and using (3.14) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \sigma}\left(h-\mu_{\tau} Y-\mu_{\sigma} Z\right) & =\mu_{\tau}\left[-\left\langle x_{\sigma}, m^{t} Z^{\prime \prime}+n Z^{\prime}+Y^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{x}_{\sigma}, \tilde{m}^{t} Z^{\prime}+\tilde{n} Z^{\prime \prime}+Y^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\mu_{\sigma}\left[-\left\langle x_{\sigma}, m^{t} Y^{\prime \prime}+n Y^{\prime}+Z^{\prime}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{x}_{\sigma}, \tilde{m}^{t} Y^{\prime}+\tilde{n} Y^{\prime \prime}+Z^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle\right] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mu_{\sigma} Y^{\prime \prime}+\mu_{\tau} Z^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\mu_{\sigma} Y^{\prime}+\mu_{\tau} Z^{\prime}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\mu_{\tau \tau}-\mu_{\sigma \sigma}\right)[2(\widetilde{\Phi}-\Phi)+Z] . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we require that our construction be independent of the conformal factor $\mu$. The terms linear in $\mu_{\tau}$ and $\mu_{\sigma}$ should vanish which gives us the equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{Y^{\prime}}{Y^{\prime \prime}}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & m^{t} \\
\tilde{m}^{t} & \tilde{n}
\end{array}\right)\binom{Z^{\prime}}{Z^{\prime \prime}},  \tag{3.19}\\
& \binom{Z^{\prime}}{Z^{\prime \prime}}=-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & m^{t} \\
\tilde{m}^{t} & \tilde{n}
\end{array}\right)\binom{Y^{\prime}}{Y^{\prime \prime}} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The above matrix equations are equivalent because

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
n & m^{t}  \tag{3.21}\\
\tilde{m}^{t} & \tilde{n}
\end{array}\right)^{2}=\mathbb{1} .
$$

Next we concentrate on terms quadratic in first derivatives of the conformal factor. They give us respectively the following equations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{\tau}^{2}: & \left\|Z^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\|Z^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=0 \\
\mu_{\sigma}^{2}: & \left\|Y^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\|Y^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=0 \\
\mu_{\tau} \mu_{\sigma}: & \left\langle Y^{\prime \prime}, Z^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{array}
$$

From the term linear in second-order derivatives we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=2(\widetilde{\Phi}-\Phi) . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This gives us immediately a condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi^{\prime}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The L.H.S. of (3.26) is only a function of $x$, the R.H.S. only of $\tilde{x}$ which means that it is in fact a restriction saying that the 1-forms $d \Phi$ and $d \widetilde{\Phi}$ have the same norm in their respective metrics.

Now we are ready to write down the duality equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\binom{\tilde{x}_{\sigma}+2 \mu_{\sigma} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime}}{\tilde{x}_{\tau}+2 \mu_{\tau} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime}} & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1} n & \left(m^{t}\right)^{-1} \\
m-\tilde{n}\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1} n & \tilde{n}\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{\sigma}+2 \mu_{\sigma} \Phi^{\prime}}{x_{\tau}+2 \mu_{\tau} \Phi^{\prime}},  \tag{3.27}\\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1} n & \left(m^{t}\right)^{-1} \\
\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1} & \tilde{n}\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x_{\sigma}+2 \mu_{\sigma} \Phi^{\prime}}{x_{\tau}+2 \mu_{\tau} \Phi^{\prime}} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

In a light cone basis these equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{ \pm}+2 \mu_{ \pm} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime}= \pm T_{ \pm}\left(x_{ \pm}+2 \mu_{ \pm} \Phi^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{ \pm}$are orthogonal matrices given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{ \pm}=\left(m^{t}\right)^{-1}(I \mp n) . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Specifying to the case $n=0$ the above become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{ \pm}+2 \mu_{ \pm} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime}= \pm T\left(x_{ \pm}+2 \mu_{ \pm} \Phi^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a single orthogonal matrix $T$.
A final curiosity is that $h$ according to eq. (3.18) is the Hodge dual of the respective term in the generating function (3.3).

## 4 Integrability Conditions

Her we study the integrability conditions for the classical duality equations. It is instructive to study momentarily a more general duality equation than (3.31). Dimensional considerations impose the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{ \pm}^{i}+2 \mu_{ \pm} \tilde{u}^{i}= \pm x_{ \pm}^{i} \pm 2 \mu_{ \pm} u^{i} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations are interpreted as equations on a bundle of orthonormal coframes as in references [3, 6]. The vector valued functions $u^{i}$ and $\tilde{u}^{i}$ are functions on the same bundle. We denote by ' and " we denote the derivatives with respect to $x$ and $\tilde{x}$. Taking the derivative of (4.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{x}_{+-}^{i} \mp x_{+-}^{i}-\tilde{\omega}^{i}{ }_{j \mp} \tilde{x}_{ \pm}^{j} \pm \omega^{i}{ }_{j \mp} x_{ \pm}^{j}= \\
& =2 \mu_{ \pm}\left[\left(-\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{; j} \pm u^{\prime i}{ }_{; j}\right) x_{\mp}^{j}+\left(-\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{; j} \pm u^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{; j}\right) \tilde{x}_{\mp}^{j}+\tilde{\omega}^{i}{ }_{j \mp} \tilde{u}^{j} \mp \omega^{i}{ }_{j \mp} u^{j}\right]+2 \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu\left[-\tilde{u}^{i} \pm u^{i}\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By the use of equations of motion (1.5) we may eliminate second derivatives on the L.H.S of (4.2) which now reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2 \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu\left(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \mp \Phi^{\prime i}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mp \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \tilde{x}_{ \pm}^{j} \tilde{x}_{\mp}^{k}+H^{i}{ }_{j k} x_{ \pm}^{j} x_{\mp}^{k}\right)-\tilde{\omega}^{i}{ }_{j \mp} \tilde{x}_{ \pm}^{j} \pm \omega^{i}{ }_{j \mp} x_{ \pm}^{j} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the strategy is to use the duality equation (4.1) in order to replace selectively ${ }^{6}$ $\tilde{x}_{\mu}^{i}$ with $x_{\mu}^{i}$. The L.H.S is thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu\left(\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \mp \Phi^{\prime i}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left( \pm \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k}+H^{i}{ }_{j k}\right) x_{ \pm}{ }^{\prime} x_{\mp}^{k} \mp\left(\widetilde{\omega}^{i}{ }_{j \mp}-\omega^{i}{ }_{j \mp}\right) x_{ \pm}^{j}-4 \mu_{ \pm} \mu_{\mp} \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \tilde{u}^{j} u^{k}  \tag{4.4}\\
& +\mu_{ \pm} \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k}\left(-\tilde{u}^{j} \pm u^{j}\right) x_{ \pm}^{k}+\mu_{\mp} \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k}\left(-\tilde{u}^{j} \pm u^{j}\right) x_{ \pm}^{k}-2 \mu_{ \pm} \omega^{i}{ }_{j \mp}\left(-\tilde{u}^{j} \pm u^{j}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have to identify as before [3, 6] the orthogonal groups in both coframes bundles by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} H_{i j k} \tilde{\omega}^{k}=\omega_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{H}_{i j k} \omega^{k} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.6), then again (4.1) and collecting the terms we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & 2 \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu\left(-\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \pm \Phi^{\prime i}+\tilde{u}^{i} \mp u^{i}\right)+4 \mu_{ \pm} \mu_{\mp}\left(\tilde{u}_{; j}^{\prime i} \mp u^{\prime \prime i}{ }_{; j}\right)\left(\tilde{u}^{j} \pm u^{j}\right) \\
& +\mu_{ \pm}\left(\widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \tilde{u}^{k}+H^{i}{ }_{j k} u^{k}-2\left(\tilde{u}^{\prime i}{ }_{; j} \pm u^{\prime i}{ }_{; j} \pm \tilde{u}_{; j}^{\prime \prime i}{ }_{; j}-u^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{; j}\right)\right) x_{\mp}^{j} \\
& +\mu_{\mp}\left(\mp H_{j k}^{i}\left(-\tilde{u}^{j} \pm u^{j}\right)+\widetilde{H}_{j k}^{i}\left(-\tilde{u}^{j} \pm u^{j}\right)\right) x_{ \pm}^{k} . \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From $x_{ \pm}^{k}$ and $x_{\mp}^{j}$ terms we learn respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{i}{ }_{j k} u^{k}+\widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \tilde{u}^{k}=0,  \tag{4.8}\\
& H^{i}{ }_{j k} \tilde{u}^{k}+\widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} u^{k}=0,  \tag{4.9}\\
& \tilde{u}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \tilde{u}^{\prime \prime \prime}+{ }^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime \prime \prime}+u^{\prime i}=0,  \tag{4.11}\\
&=0,
\end{align*}
$$

From the first line of (4.7) and requiring $\mu$ independence leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i}=\Phi^{\prime i}, \quad \tilde{u}^{i}=\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime i} \tilde{;}^{j}-u^{\prime \prime i}{ }_{; j} u^{j}=0, \\
u^{\prime \prime i} \tilde{j}_{j}^{j}-\tilde{u}^{\prime \prime i}{ }_{; j} u^{j}=0 . \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here (4.12) tells that the integrable duality equation is (3.31), the one obtained already by studying the Hamiltonian formalism. In this case $u^{i}$ are only functions of $x$ 's and $\tilde{u}^{i}$ of $\tilde{x}$ 's, therefore mixed derivatives $u^{\prime \prime i}{ }_{j j}$ and $\tilde{u}^{\prime i}{ }_{; j}$ vanish. Substituting (4.10) and (4.11) into (4.13) and using (4.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} \Phi^{\prime j}=\widetilde{\Phi}_{i ; j}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime j} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which corresponds to (3.26), being expressed in a differential way.

[^3]In the light-cone coordinates the trace of energy-momentum tensor is proportional to $T^{+-}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\alpha \beta}\right]=e^{2 \mu} T^{+-} & =8 e^{-2 \mu}\left(\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} x_{+}^{i} x_{-}^{j}+\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{+-}^{i}\right)  \tag{4.15}\\
& =8 e^{-2 \mu}\left(\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime} x_{+}^{i} x_{-}^{j}-\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{i}^{\prime} H_{j k}^{i} x_{+}^{j} x_{-}^{k}-2 \Phi_{i}^{\prime} \Phi_{i}^{\prime} \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu(\vec{\sigma})\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last line the equation of motion was used. Inspecting equations (4.8) and (4.9) we may establish their connection to the relationship between $\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\alpha \beta}\right]$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\widetilde{T}^{\alpha \beta}\right]$. Using the antisymmetry of $H^{i}{ }_{j k}$ together with (4.12) we write (4.8) and (4.9) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{\prime i} H^{i}{ }_{j k}+\widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k}=0,  \tag{4.17}\\
& \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} H^{i}{ }_{j k}+\Phi^{\prime i} \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k}=0 . \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we contract the equation of motion (1.5) with $\Phi_{i}^{\prime}$ and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{+-}^{i}= & -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{i}^{\prime} H_{j k}^{i} x_{+}^{j} x_{-}^{k}-2 \Phi_{i}^{\prime} \Phi^{\prime i} \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu(\vec{\sigma}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{H}_{j k}^{i} x_{+}^{j} x_{-}^{k}-2 \widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu(\vec{\sigma}) . \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

In the last line we took advantage of (4.17) and (3.26). Using the duality equation (4.1) we eliminate $x_{ \pm}^{j}$ 's in favor of their tilded counterparts. Having in mind the antisymmetry of $\widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k}$ and (4.18) it is clear that the terms $\widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \Phi^{\prime j} \Phi^{\prime k}, \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime j} \Phi^{\prime k}, \widetilde{H}^{i}{ }_{j k} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime j} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime k}$ vanish. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}^{\prime} x_{+-}^{i}=-\frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{H}_{j k}^{i} \tilde{x}_{+}^{j} \tilde{x}_{-}^{k}-2 \widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \widetilde{\Phi}^{\prime \prime i} \partial_{+-}^{2} \mu(\vec{\sigma})=\widetilde{\Phi}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \tilde{x}_{+-}^{i}, \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

which for the case $\Phi_{i ; j}^{\prime}=0$ is a statement that $\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{\alpha \beta}\right]=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\widetilde{T}^{\alpha \beta}\right]$. We understand that equations (4.8) and (4.9) are a condition which guarantees that form of the trace of the energy-momentum tensor is "preserved on-shell" by the duality transformation.

## 5 Conclusions

In order to set the duality equations we have to impose the constraints allowing only the coupling of a curvature scalar to the dilaton fields whose differentials have the same norm in their respective metric. The special case is a linear dilaton field. Here we might have expected to obtain a strong restriction, we required the "conformal covariance" as a local symmetry of Hamiltonian formalism and subsequently of the integrability conditions.

At the one-loop quantum level the condition ${ }^{7}$ that the model has to satisfy in order to be conformally invariant involves the second derivatives of a dilaton field, e.g., 4, 5]. It raises therefore a question whether it is possible to establish at a quantum level a more general form of duality transformation which leads to preserving of the form of the beta function and what would be the role of classical duality within such a construction.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See [2, 3] for notation.
    ${ }^{4}$ It is still invariant under simultaneous global scaling of the conformal factor $\mu(\vec{\sigma}) \rightarrow a \mu(\vec{\sigma})$ and the dilaton field $\Phi(x) \rightarrow \Phi(x) / a$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ At the quantum level it is consistent to choose the dilaton term to be $O(\hbar)$. The condition for conformal invariance, the tracelessness of the energy-momentum tensor, is obtained by combining contributions (both classical and quantum) from the dilaton term with the quantum corrections from the other terms. This gives a set of restrictions on geometrical data describing a conformally invariant model, see e.g. 4, 5.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ The subsequent equation may be not therefore explicitly "tilded-untilded" symmetric, the final result however has to be as neither $M$ nor $\widetilde{M}$ is formally distinguished.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ By imposing the vanishing of beta function.

